

# The Singular Value Decomposition and the Control of a Robot in Singular Configuration

Christine Chevallereau, Rabah Rabah

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Christine Chevallereau, Rabah Rabah. The Singular Value Decomposition and the Control of a Robot in Singular Configuration. CESA'96, IEEE-SMC-IMACS Multiconference, Symposium on Robotics and Cybernetics, Ecole Centrale de Lille, Jul 1996, Lille, France. pp.339-342. hal-01415991

HAL Id: hal-01415991

https://hal.science/hal-01415991

Submitted on 15 Dec 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The Singular Value Decomposition and the Control of a Robot in Singular Configuration\*

Christine CHEVALLEREAU Rabah RABAH

Laboratoire d'Automatique de Nantes, URA C.N.R.S. 823 Ecole Centrale-Ecole des Mines-Université- de Nantes 1, rue de la Noë, 44072 Nantes Cedex 3 E-mail: chevallereau@lan.ec-nantes.fr, rabah@emn.fr

#### Abstract

When a robot is at a singular configuration, i.e. when the Jacobian matrix of the system is not invertible, the classical control laws using the inverse or pseudo-inverse matrix of the Jacobian cannot be used. Nevertheless some trajectories can be followed with a finite input even along the degenerate direction. These trajectories, namely the feasible trajectories, are described and studied using the Singular Value Decomposition of the Jacobian matrix. This decomposition allows also to describe and to design the open loop control law which permits to leave the singular position. In a similar way a closed loop control law is given.

#### 1 Introduction

The task of a robot is naturally described in the Cartesian space. The control is applied in the joint space. The relation between the Cartesian and the joint coordinates is given by the relation x(t) = f(q(t)), where  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}, q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \dots & q_n \end{bmatrix}$  are respectively the Cartesian coordinate of the end effector and the joint coordinate. The study is limited to the non-redundant robot. To define the joint velocity which is the control, we use the velocity model:  $\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$ , where J(q) is the Jacobian matrix of the function f. The functions  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{q}$  take values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The joint velocity  $\dot{q}(t)$  may be obtained from the desired velocity  $\dot{x}(t)$  using the relation:

$$\dot{q} = J^+(q)\dot{x},\tag{1}$$

where  $J^+(q)$  is the pseudo-inverse of the Jacobian matrix. At a regular configuration  $J^+(q) = J^{-1}(q)$ . A singular configuration, or more simply a singularity, is a configuration  $q_0$  where the matrix  $J(q_0)$  looses rank. In the corresponding position in the Cartesian space some directions, namely the directions which lies outside the image space of J, can not be followed using relation (1). The directions which are orthogonal to Im J are called degenerate directions.

Hence, relation (1) yields zero if the desired velocity is along a degenerate direction. As a result, the robot stops at the singularity. Nevertheless, as it has been shown by many authors [1,4,5,7], some motions of the robot effector are possible from the singularity along the degenerate direction. Those motions are feasible trajectories (Cartesian path together with a timing law), i. e. trajectories which may be generated by finite joint velocities. Our purpose is to use the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) of the matrix J to describe the degenerate direction, to characterize the feasible trajectories and to define the control law at the singularity. This control law is calculated in such a way that no discontinuity occurs.

In the first part of this paper we present an example where, in a particular case, it is shown how to leave the singularity along a feasible trajectory with a suitable control law. Then we give a presentation of the SVD. This decomposition permits an analysis of feasible trajectories. The last sections will be devoted to the calculation of the control law using the singular values. In Section 4 the main result is given: the open loop control law is expressed using the SVD in such a way that the control is continuous at and near the singular position. The Section 5 is concerned with the closed loop control law: an adequate gain is given. In the last section some simulation results are discussed.

We consider a singular position with one degenerate direction, the rank of J(q) at the singularity is n-1.

#### 2 Preliminaries

First we consider an example. It permits to see how the method works in a particular case.

#### Example

Our example is taken from [2]. We consider a two rotative joint planar robot with link lengths  $L_1 = 1$  and  $L_2 = r$ . The control inputs are the joint velocities  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . The direct geometric relation between the Cartesian coordinates and the joint coordinates is given by:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = \cos q_1 + r \cos (q_1 + q_2) \\ x_2 = \sin q_1 + r \sin (q_1 + q_2). \end{cases}$$
 (2)

<sup>\*</sup>CESA'96, IEEE–SMC–IMACS Multiconference, Symposium on Robotics and Cybernetics, July 1996, Lille, pp. 339-342. ISBN: 2-9510266-1-7.

As  $\dot{q}_i = w_i$ , differentiating (2) we get the velocity model:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = -w_1 \sin q_1 - r(w_1 + w_2) \sin (q_1 + q_2), \\ \dot{x}_2 = w_1 \cos q_1 + r(w_1 + w_2) \cos (q_1 + q_2). \end{cases}$$
(3)

When  $q_1 = q_2 = 0$  we have a singularity. In this singular configuration one has

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = 0 \\ \dot{x}_2 = (1+r)w_1 + rw_2. \end{cases}$$
 (4)

In the direction  $x_1$ , at the singularity, no velocity can be created. But the acceleration may be created using the control  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}_1 = -w_1^2 - r(w_1 + w_2)^2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = (1+r)w_1 + rw_2. \end{cases}$$
 (5)

If we assume a perfect tracking of a given trajectory  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ , then from (2) and (3) a simple calculation yields to:

$$w_{1} = \frac{r\dot{\xi}_{1}\cos(q_{1} + q_{2}) + (\xi_{2} - \sin q_{1})\dot{\xi}_{2}}{\xi_{2}\cos q_{1} - \xi_{1}\sin q_{1}},$$

$$w_{2} = \frac{-\xi_{1}\dot{\xi}_{1} - \xi_{2}\dot{\xi}_{2}}{\xi_{2}\cos q_{1} - \xi_{1}\sin q_{1}}$$
(6)

out of the singularity.

At the singularity, the expression of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are indeterminated because  $\sin q_1 = 0$  and (2) gives  $\xi_2 = 0$ .

To leave the singularity we can choose a trajectory such that the desired first Cartesian coordinate  $\xi_1$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$\xi_1(0) = 1 + r$$
,  $\dot{\xi}_1(0) = 0$ ,  $\ddot{\xi}_1(0) = \gamma_0 < 0$ .

Then one can take  $\xi_1(t) = 1 + r + t^2\alpha(t)$ , where  $\alpha(t)$  is a differentiable function which may be chosen such that  $\alpha(0) < 0$  and according to the desired final condition at a fixed time T. The initial time is t = 0.

From equation (2) we see that the second desired coordinate may be written as:  $\xi_2(t) = t\beta(t)$  with a desired continuous function  $\beta(t)$ .

Taking into account the given desired trajectory  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  and after some simplifications we get finite values for  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  at the singularity. Moreover the expression are obtained by continuity. Indeed the functions  $\sin q_1, \cos q_1$  and  $\cos (q_1 + q_2)$  may be calculated from (2) via the desired trajectory. The function  $\sin q_1$  may be expressed by  $\sin q_1 = ts(t)$  with a smooth function s(t) such that  $s(0) \neq 0$ . Then from (6) and after a simplification of the common factor t in the numerator and in the denominator, we get:

$$w_1(t) =$$

$$\frac{r(2\alpha(t) + t\dot{\alpha}(t))\cos(q_1 + q_2) + (t\beta(t) - ts(t))\beta(t)}{\beta(t)\cos q_1 - (1 + r + t^2\alpha(t))s(t)}$$

 $w_2(t) =$ 

$$\frac{-(1+r+t^2\alpha(t))(2\alpha(t)+t\dot{\alpha}(t))-\beta(t)(\beta(t)+t\dot{\beta}(t))}{\beta(t)\cos q_1-(1+r+t^2\alpha(t))s(t)}.$$

We can see that no more indetermination occurs at t=0. Hence, the control is well-defined at the singularity:

$$w_1 = \frac{2r\alpha(0)}{\beta(0) + (1+r)s(0)},$$

$$w_2 = \frac{-2\alpha(0)(1+r) - \beta^2(0)}{\beta(0) + (1+r)s(0)}.$$

In other words, for the given feasible trajectory, it is possible to calculate the corresponding control law.

The simplifications made here are very particular. What is the situation in a more general case, this will be the main subject of this paper. In order to see how the control law may be calculated in a more general case, we use the SVD.

#### The Singular Value Decomposition

The SVD is a powerful tool in several domains (cf. [6, 10]). Our purpose is to use this decomposition for the matrix J(q) in order to describe degenerate direction and to compute the needed control law in a singular configuration along a degenerate direction. The matrix J may be decomposed as follows:

$$J = U\Sigma V^*, \quad \Sigma = \operatorname{diag}\left[\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\right],$$

the matrices  $U = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \end{bmatrix}$  and  $V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix}$  are unitary,  $\sigma_i$  are taken in decreasing order,  $\sigma_n$  being the smallest singular value. The vectors  $u_i$  and  $v_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are the eigenvectors of matrices  $JJ^*$  and  $J^*J$  respectively. The matrices U, V and  $\Sigma$  depend on q(t). At the studied singularity, t = 0, we have  $\sigma_n = 0, \sigma_i > 0$  for i < n according to our assumption that rank deficiency of J is 1. At the singularity we denote the corresponding values of U and V by U(0) and V(0), instead of U(q(0)) and V(q(0)) since no confusion occurs. The corresponding column vectors are  $u_i(0)$  and  $v_i(0)$ . The degenerate direction is given by the vector  $u_n(0)$ .

# 3 Feasible Trajectories

Here we give an analysis of the feasible trajectories using the SVD. Let us make a change of variables. Let us put  $\dot{y} = U^*(0)\dot{x}$ . Then  $\dot{y} = U^*(0)Jw$ , where  $w = \dot{q}$  is the control. Hence,  $\dot{y}_n = u_n^*(0)Jw$ . At the singularity,  $\dot{y}_n(0) = 0$ . Let L(q) be the row vector  $u_n^*(0)J(q)$ . That is  $\dot{y}_n = Lw$ . By differentiating, we get

$$\ddot{y}_n = \dot{L}w + L\dot{w} = w^*\partial Lw + L\dot{w},$$

where

$$\partial L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = \left[\frac{\partial l_j}{\partial q_i}\right]_{i,j=1}^n,$$

 $l_j$  being the components of L. At the singularity L=0 and then  $\ddot{y}_n(0)=w^*(0)\partial L(0)w(0)$ .

From the velocity model and using the SVD, at the singularity,  $\boldsymbol{w}$  may be expressed as

$$w(0) = J^{+}(0)\dot{x}(0) + zv_{n}(0),$$

where z is an arbitrary scalar and  $J^+(0) = J^+(q(0))$ . This yields to

$$\ddot{y}_n(0) = az^2 + \dot{x}^*(0)Bz + \dot{x}^*(0)C\dot{x}(0), \tag{7}$$

with well-defined constants a, B and C:

$$a = v_n^* \partial L v_n$$
,  $B = J^{+*} (\partial L + \partial L^*) v_n$ ,  $C = J^{+*} \partial L J^+$ ,

calculated at t = 0. The feasible trajectories in the degenerate direction may be calculated from (7).

**Proposition 3.1** If  $a \neq 0$ , a trajectory is feasible along the degenerate direction. The desired acceleration may be chosen according to the sign of a.

PROOF. For a desired trajectory along the degenerate direction,  $\dot{x}(0)$  is orthogonal to Im J and then  $J^+\dot{x}(0)=0$ . This implies that  $\ddot{y}_n(0)=az^2$ . Hence, there exists z which allows to choose  $\ddot{y}_n(0)$  if this value has the same sign as a. So, there exists a control giving this acceleration.

An analogous result may be obtained for the projection of the acceleration on the degenerate direction. A simple calculation shows that the feasible trajectories are such that:

$$\ddot{y}_n(0) \ge \frac{-\left(\dot{x}^*(0)B\right)^2 + 4a\dot{x}^*(0)C\dot{x}(0)}{4a} \quad \text{if} \quad a > 0,$$
  
$$\ddot{y}_n(0) \le \frac{-\left(\dot{x}^*(0)B\right)^2 + 4a\dot{x}^*(0)C\dot{x}(0)}{4a} \quad \text{if} \quad a < 0.$$

**Proposition 3.2** If a=0 a trajectory along the degenerate direction is not feasible with a non-zero initial acceleration.

PROOF. If a=0 one can choose  $\ddot{y}_n(0)$  only if  $\dot{x}^*(0)B \neq 0$ . This implies  $\dot{x}^*J^{+*}(0)\neq 0$  and then the tangent of the feasible trajectories are not along the degenerate direction.

If a=0 and  $\dot{x}^*(0)B=0$  the acceleration cannot be chosen. For  $C\neq 0$ , it is imposed by the desired trajectory. If C=0 the acceleration is zero along the degenerate direction for all possible trajectories. In this special case, we have to calculate the third derivative along the degenerate direction and to study the possibility of a choice of a jerk along the degenerate direction. The approach developed here may be extended in an analogous way. For more details see [1].

## 4 Open Loop Control Law

Several methods were proposed to design a control law in a singular configuration. In [4] and [8], a motion in the kernel of J is proposed, however, if  $a \neq 0$  those solutions are not very satisfying. Other authors define the control law near the singularity [3, 9] or only on the singularity [12].

Our method gives the control law on and near the singularity without discontinuity.

We consider the case  $a \neq 0$ . The other cases may be considered also in an analogous way.

Suppose that a desired sufficiently smooth feasible trajectory is given:  $x(t) = \xi(t)$ . Let us put  $\dot{\eta}_n(t) = u_n^*(0)\dot{\xi}(t)$ . As the initial velocity along the degenerate direction is zero,  $\dot{\eta}_n(0) = 0$ , one can take  $\dot{\eta}_n(t) = t\alpha(t)$ . The function  $\alpha(t)$  is defined by

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\dot{\eta}_n(t)}{t}, \quad t > 0;$$

$$\alpha(0) = \lim_{t \to +0} \alpha(t) = \ddot{\eta}_n(0).$$

By construction  $\alpha(t)$  is continuous. Under a smoothness condition, the same considerations may be used to write the smallest singular value  $\sigma_n$  and the corresponding vector  $u_n$ . We have  $\sigma_n(t) = t\beta(t)$ , where  $\beta$  is defined by:

$$\beta(t) = \frac{\sigma_n(t)}{t}, \quad t > 0;$$
  
$$\beta(0) = \lim_{t \to +0} \beta(t) = \dot{\sigma}_n(0),$$

and  $u_n(t) = u_n(0) + t\overline{u}_n(t)$ , where  $\overline{u}_n(t)$  is defined by:

$$\overline{u}_n(t) = \frac{u_n(q(t)) - u_n(0)}{t}, \quad \overline{u}_n(0) = \dot{u}_n(t).$$

Let us denote by  $\omega$  the vector  $V^*w$ . Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.1** Assume that  $\dot{\sigma}_n(0) \neq 0$ . Then the following control law permits to leave the singularity along the degenerate direction with the desired trajectory  $\xi(t)$ :  $w = V\omega$ , with

$$\omega_i(t) = \frac{u_i^*(q(t))\dot{\xi}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)}, \quad i < n, \quad t \ge 0,$$
(8)

$$\omega_n(t) = \frac{u_n^*(q(t))\dot{\xi}(t)}{\sigma_n(t)}, \quad t > 0,$$
  
$$\omega_n(0) = \frac{\alpha(0) + \overline{u}_n^*(0)\dot{\xi}(0)}{\beta(0)}.$$

PROOF. For t > 0, one has  $\dot{\xi} = U\Sigma V^*w = U\Sigma\omega$ , and then  $\omega = \Sigma^{-1}U^*\dot{\xi}$ , which gives

$$\omega_i(t) = \frac{u_i^*(q(t))\dot{\xi}(t)}{\sigma_i(t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad t > 0.$$

At t=0 the control is defined by continuity. For i < n, one has  $\sigma_i(0) \neq 0$  and then we obtain (8). In order to define  $\omega_n(0)$ , we use the special form of  $\sigma_n$  and  $\eta_n$  introduced at the beginning of this section. This gives

$$\omega_n(t) = \frac{t\alpha(t) + t\overline{u}_n^*(t)\dot{\xi}(t)}{t\beta(t)} = \frac{\alpha(t) + \overline{u}_n^*(t)\dot{\xi}(t)}{\beta(t)}.$$

Hence, as  $t \to 0$ , we obtain

$$\omega_n(0) = \frac{\alpha(0) + \overline{u}_n^*(0)\dot{\xi}(0)}{\beta(0)}$$

The control is then given by  $w = V\omega$ .

If the smoothness assumptions are satisfied, which is the case for a large class of systems, the given open loop control permits to leave the singularity and no discontinuity occurs. Note that this assumption may be checked via the calculation of  $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial q}$ . The condition  $\dot{\sigma}_n(0) \neq 0$  is satisfied if the trajectory is feasible with a non zero initial acceleration. In fact we need that  $\sigma_n(t)$  is not identically zero in a neighborhood of 0, this means that the desired feasible Cartesian trajectory is at a regular position for t>0. If the desired trajectory is at a singular position for all  $t\geq 0$ , then one can use the pseudo-inverse to track this trajectory because this one belong to the image of J (see [11]).

## 5 Closed Loop Control Law

The open loop permits a perfect tracking of a trajectory but needs an exact knowledge of the model and of the current configuration and joint velocity. In fact some errors may occur. In this situation we can use a closed loop control law. This control law, at regular position, may be derived by:  $w = J^{-1} (\xi - K(\xi - x))$ , where  $\xi$  is the desired trajectory, x is the real trajectory and the gain K is defined as follows:

$$K(t) = U(0) \operatorname{diag} [k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n] U^*(0),$$

with constant  $k_i$ , i < n and  $k_n(t)$  given by:

$$k_n(t) = \begin{cases} ct & 0 \le t < T \\ cT & t \ge T. \end{cases}$$

This expression of the scalar gain  $k_n$  is chosen such that at a singular configuration  $k_n(0) = 0$ . The assumption that the desired velocity along the degenerate direction vanishes at t = 0 is necessary to define the control law. In the same way we have to assume that the projection of  $K(\xi - x)$  along the degenerate direction also vanishes and the design of  $k_n$  is made in order to satisfy this assumption.

A simple calculation yields to an explicit expression of the control law near and at the singularity. Recall that  $w = V\omega$  and  $\omega$  is expressed, for all  $0 \le t \le T$ , as follows:

$$\omega_i(t) = \frac{u_i^*(q(t)) \left( \dot{\xi}(t) + K(t) (\xi(t) - x(t)) \right)}{\sigma_i(t)}, \quad i < n,$$

$$\omega_n(t) = \frac{\alpha(t) + \overline{u}_n^*(t)\dot{\xi}(t)}{\beta(t)} + \frac{cu_n^*(0)\left(\xi(t) - x(t)\right) + \overline{u}_n^*(t)K(t)\left(\xi(t) - x(t)\right)}{\beta(t)}.$$

For t > T, as the configuration is not singular, the classical closed loop control law can be used.

#### 6 Simulation

The proposed control has been tested in simulation for the two link robot of our example above. The parameters are  $L_1=L_2=1$ . Its initial (singular) position is in full extension:  $q_1=q_2=0$ . The desired motion is along the degenerate direction. At t=0 the velocity is zero and the acceleration is not zero. Setting in our example  $\alpha(t)=-1$  and  $\beta(t)=0$  for all  $t\geq 0$  we can calculate the needed control law for this desired trajectory:  $\xi_1(t)=2-t^2$  and  $\xi_2(t)=0$ . Without initial error the open loop control law gives a very good tracking of the desired trajectory. The Cartesian errors are near zero.

If some error occurs in the initial joint position, say  $q_1=0$  and  $q_2=10^{-3}$  radians, a Cartesian error exists if we use the open loop control law. Using the closed loop control law, we can reduce the Cartesian errors and we obtain a very good tracking of the desired trajectory.

### 7 Conclusion

Using the Singular Value Decomposition, we gave a general framework for the analysis of the feasible trajectories and the design of the open loop control law in a singular configuration. This method can also be developed in order to reach a singularity by a feasible trajectory which ends at a singular configuration. Moreover the given method is also used to define a closed loop control law with a timevarying gain. This control law is usefull because some errors may occur in the initial joint position for example.

### References

- [1] Chevallereau C. Feasible trajectories for a nonredundant robot at a singularity. IEEE Conf. on Robotics. and Aut., 22-28 April 1996, Minneapolis.
- [2] Chevallereau C., Daya B., A new method for robot control in singular configuration. Proc. IEEE Conference on Robotics and Automation, May 1994, Atlanta (Georgia), pp. 2692-2697.
- [3] Chiacchio P., Chiaverini S., Coping with joint velocity limits in first order kinematics algorithms. 4th International Workshop on Advances in Robot Kinematics, Ljubljana (Slovenia), July 1994.
- [4] Egeland O., Spangelo I., Manipulator robot in singular configuration-motion degenerate directions. International workshop in adaptative and non linear control: Issues in robotics, Grenoble, 1990.
- [5] Kieffer J., Differential analysis of bifurcations and isolated singularities for robots and mechanisms. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, Vol. 10, No 1, 1994, pp. 1-10.
- [6] Klema V. C., Lanio A. J., The Singular Value Decomposition: its computation and some applications. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, Vol. AC-25, 1980, pp. 164-176,
- [7] Nielsen L., Canudas de Wit C., Hagander P., Controllability issues of robots near singular configurations. Proc. of the 2nd on advances in robot kinematics, Linz (Austria), 1990.
- [8] Nenchev D. N., Tracking manipulators trajectories with ordinary singularities: a null space based approach. Int. J. of Robotics Research, Vol. 14, No 4, 1995.
- [9] Pohl E., Lipkin H., A new method of robotic rate control near singularities. Proc. IEEE Conf. on Robotics and Automation, April 1991, Sacramento (California), pp. 1708-1713.
- [10] Sontag E. D., Mathematical control theory: deterministic finite dimensional systems. Springer-Verlag, N-Y, 1990.
- [11] Spanos J., Kholi D., Workspace analysis of regionals structure of manipulator. J. Mech. Trans. and Aut. in Design, Vol. 107, June 1985, pp. 219-225.
- [12] Tuhmed Z., Alford C., Solving for manipulators joint rates in singular position. Proc. IEEE Robotics and Auto., Philadelphia, pp. 987-992, 1988.