

# **Prospects of herbivore egg-killing plant defenses for sustainable crop protection**

Nina E. Fatouros, Antonino Cusumano, Etienne G.J. Danchin, Stefano

Colazza

## **To cite this version:**

Nina E. Fatouros, Antonino Cusumano, Etienne G.J. Danchin, Stefano Colazza. Prospects of herbivore egg-killing plant defenses for sustainable crop protection. Ecology and Evolution, 2016, 6 (19), pp.1-13.  $10.1002/\text{ece}3.2365$ . hal-01413544

# **HAL Id: hal-01413544 <https://hal.science/hal-01413544>**

Submitted on 9 Dec 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### **REVIEW**

## Prospects of herbivore egg-killing plant defenses for sustainable crop protection

Nina E. Fatouros , Antonino Cusumano , Etienne G.J. Danchin & Stefano Colazza<br>1

Abstract

<sup>1</sup>Biosystematics Group, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6700 AP Wageningen, The Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Entomology, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands 3 INRA, CNRS, UMR 1355-7254, Institut Sophia Agrobiotech, University of Nice Sophia Antipolis, 06900 Sophia Antipolis, France 4 Department of Agricultural and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, Viale delle Scienze edificio 5, 90128 Palermo, Italy

#### Keywords

Egg deposition, egg parasitoids, hypersensitive response, oviposition-induced plant volatiles, phylogeny.

#### **Correspondence**

Nina E. Fatouros, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6700 AP Wageningen, The Netherlands. Tel: 0031-317-483160; E-mail: nina.fatouros@wur.nl

#### Funding Information

Italian Minister of University and Research, (Grant/Award Number: "PRIN 2010-2011: PRO-ROOT").

Received: 2 June 2016; Revised: 7 July 2016; Accepted: 18 July 2016

doi: 10.1002/ece3.2365

#### Introduction

A growing demand of an increasing world population, estimated to reach 9 billion people in 2050, requires a drastic increase of food production (Godfray et al. 2010; Foley et al. 2011). Crop losses caused by phytopathogens and insects account for 25–40% of the annual worldwide production (Beddington 2010; Popp et al. 2013; Sobhy et al. 2014). Pest outbreaks are largely due to climate change, vast monocultures, and insect adaptations to pesticides and crop resistance (Bebber et al. 2013; Balmer et al. 2014; Guedes et al. 2016). Since decades, synthetic pesticides are the most influential pest management tool. But pesticide use is highly controversial as they are toxicants that contaminate the environment and adversely affect living species (Guedes et al. 2016). Thus, it is imperative to

ing crops and improve biological control. find strategies to increase yields with preferably minimal impact on natural ecosystems, including a reduction in use of chemical pesticides. Biological control of insect herbivore attackers by natural enemies (van Lenteren 2012; Colazza et al. 2014) and exploitation of the genetic variation in resistance traits among wild relatives are two promising and sustainable ways to reduce pest damages (Broekgaarden et al. 2011; Palmgren et al. 2015). However, such pest management strategies often allow the pest to continue feeding; they begin to work only when damage has already occurred. Moreover, due to plant domestication, crop defense mechanisms are often lowered in favor of high-yield traits, and plants become more susceptible

Due to a growing demand of food production worldwide, new strategies are suggested to allow for sustainable production of food with minimal effects on natural resources. A promising alternative to the application of chemical pesticides is the implementation of crops resistant to insect pests. Plants produce compounds that are harmful to a wide range of attackers, including insect pests; thus, exploitation of their natural defense system can be the key for the development of pest-resistant crops. Interestingly, some plants possess a unique first line of defense that eliminates the enemy before it becomes destructive: egg-killing. Insect eggs can trigger (1) direct defenses, mostly including plant cell tissue growth or cell death that lead to eggs desiccating, being crushed or falling off the plant or (2) indirect defenses, plant chemical cues recruiting natural enemies that kill the egg or hatching larvae (parasitoids). The consequences of plant responses to eggs are that insect larvae do not hatch or that they are impeded in development, and damage to the plant is reduced. Here, we provide an overview on the ubiquity and evolutionary history of egg-killing traits within the plant kingdom including crops. Up to now, little is known on the mechanisms and on the genetic basis of egg-killing traits. Making use of egg-killing defense traits in crops is a promising new way to sustainably reduce losses of crop yield. We provide suggestions for new breeding strategies to grow egg-kill-

> The existing literature on plant resistance traits against insects is highly biased, almost exclusively focusing on

than their wild ancestors (Palmgren et al. 2015).

© 2016 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,

distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

sublethal traits that slower the growth of feeding herbivores by traits such as toxic or antidigestive compounds, leaf toughness or trichomes (Schoonhoven et al. 2005; Agrawal 2011; Voelckel and Jander 2014) or attraction of larval parasitoids by herbivore-induced plant volatiles (HIPVs) (Dicke and Baldwin 2010). Furthermore, many larvae of herbivores are mobile and can easily escape such defenses by moving to a neighboring plant.

In contrast, most lethal traits target immobile, nonfeeding stages, like eggs deposited on plants. Thus far, little attention has been paid to insect egg-killing traits of plants that act before the pest causes damage. Such a plant defense strategy has been labeled "early herbivore alert" (Hilker and Meiners 2006). Plants that are able to respond to insect egg deposition can either directly defend themselves by targeting the eggs or defend indirectly by recruiting egg parasitoid wasps (Fig. 1). An increasing number of studies show that plants defend themselves against eggs of insects deposited on different plant tissues (Hilker and Fatouros 2015).

So far, direct egg-killing defense traits have been described in diverse plant species, including crops, that either physiologically kill the eggs (Seino et al. 1996) or respond with plant cell death (Shapiro and De Vay 1987; Fatouros et al. 2012, 2014) or cell growth (Desurmont and Weston 2011; Petzold-Maxwell et al. 2011) causing eggs to desiccate/drop off or being crushed, respectively. Some plants respond to herbivore egg deposition by releasing chemicals that recruit natural enemies such as egg parasitoids, that upon locating the herbivore host eggs, inject their own eggs and kill the host embryo to feed their own offspring (Fatouros et al. 2008; Colazza et al. 2010). However, under multiple herbivore scenarios, such plant stimuli can change and sometimes disrupt egg parasitoid recruitment (Moujahed et al. 2014; Cusumano et al. 2015) (Fig. 1).

Up to now, about 30 plant species belonging to different plant orders are known to express egg-killing traits (Table 1). We mapped the distribution of these egg-killing traits on a phylogeny of these species to get an understanding how ancient, widespread, and ubiquitous these traits are within the plant kingdom (Fig. 2). Exploiting egg-killing defense traits should be promising to reduce losses of diverse types of crops in future. While recent reviews by Reymond (2013) and Hilker and Fatouros (2015, 2016) thoroughly discuss the mechanisms of eggkilling traits, in this review article, we discuss the latest developments in research on egg-killing traits including the research needed to create breeding strategies for eggkilling insect-resistant crops and improvements to biological control.

#### Direct: How Plants Can Directly Destroy Insect Eggs

Plants are capable of directly killing their enemies. Such lethal plant traits are mainly restricted to sessile herbivore



Figure 1. Known (a)biotic stressors affecting oviposition-induced indirect defenses, that is, volatile chemical cues = oviposition-induced plant volatiles and contact chemical cues recruiting egg parasitoids. Direct defenses against insect eggs have not been tested in a multiple stressor scenario. "+", positive effect;  $"$ , negative affect; "n", neutral effect; "n.i.", not investigated.

stages that cannot escape the plant defense response, like eggs.

Some herbivore eggs induce responses in plants that resemble a hypersensitive response (HR), which is defined as a rapid cell death usually activated by pathogens resulting in necrosis restricting the pathogens to the inoculated regions (Lam et al. 2001). An HR-like necrosis induced by herbivore insect eggs was first described in the wild crucifer Brassica nigra, a wild relative of cabbage crops, on which eggs of the small cabbage white butterfly/imported cabbage worm (Pieris rapae) were observed to desiccate and/or drop off the plants (Shapiro and De Vay 1987). Since then, HRlike necrosis has been also observed in crop plants, induced by coleopteran pests like the bean-pod weevil, Apion godmani, which often causes heavy losses in crops of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) (Garza et al. 2001), or the Colorado potato beetle, Leptinotarsa decemlineata on a hybrid potato variety (Solanum spec.) (Balbyshev and Lorenzen 1997). We show that egg deposition by the large cabbage white butterfly, Pieris brassicae, induces HR on different brassicaceous plants, including crop plants like the oilseeds B. napus, B. rapa or the radish Raphanus sativus (Fatouros et al. 2012; Pashalidou et al. 2015a; N.E. Fatouros, unpubl. data). To date, it is not exactly known what causes desiccation of egg by the plants. The most likely scenario is that, due to cell apoptosis underneath the egg, humidity drops and water is drawn out of the egg, which eventually leads to the egg shrinking (Shapiro and De Vay 1987; Clark and Faeth 1998).

Neoplasm formation in combination with HR-like necrosis was also shown as egg-killing responses in several solanaceous species: a callus grows below the eggs, dies, and falls of the plant and with it the insect egg. Oviposition of different moth species was shown to induce such responses in two ground-cherry species (Physalis spp.) (Petzold-Maxwell et al. 2011), and the bittersweet Solanum dulcamara (D. Geuss & A. Steppuhn, pers. comm.). In Viburnum shrubs (Adoxaceae), twigs produce wound tissue in response to eggs of the Viburnum leaf beetle (Pyrrhalta viburni) laid into cavities, leading to beetle eggs being crushed inside the cavity (Desurmont and Weston 2011). Further wound tissue growth responses are known in eucalyptus (Eucalyptus marginata) (Mazanec 1985), black cherries (Prunus serotina) (Karban 1983), and avocado (Persea americana) (Aluja et al. 2004) in response to egg deposition of leaf miner, cicada, or tephritid fly pests, respectively (Table 1).

## Indirect: Volatile and Contact Chemical Cues Recruiting Parasitoids

Plants respond to egg deposition of herbivore insects by recruiting egg and larval parasitoids (Hilker and Fatouros 2015). From a pest control perspective, the recruitment of egg parasitoids plays a key role as the herbivore is killed before plant damage occurs (Colazza et al. 2014). Because of this, egg parasitoids are massively produced worldwide as biological control agents, although their efficiency in agro-ecosystems is not always satisfactory in terms of pest population suppression (van Lenteren 2012). Increasing egg parasitoid efficiency could be achieved via manipulation of egg-induced plant infochemicals that enhance egg parasitoid foraging abilities, but this promising strategy has not yet been implemented. Egg-induced plant defenses guide egg parasitoids toward the plant infested with herbivore eggs either by volatile attractants from a distance or by contact chemical cues at short range (Hilker and Meiners 2006; Fatouros et al. 2008; Colazza et al. 2010).

Volatile chemicals released by plants after egg deposition are called oviposition-induced plant volatiles (OIPVs). OIPVs often consist of complex mixtures of volatiles including green leaf volatiles, terpenoids, and isothiocyanates (Hilker and Fatouros 2015). Egg-induced changes in the volatile blends usually result in quantitative alterations, which both enhance or reduce emission of specific compounds, depending on the case study (Hilker and Fatouros 2015). OIPVs are emitted by several plant species regardless of being annual or perennial, monocotyledons or dicotyledons, gymnosperms and angiosperms (Table 1) (Meiners and Hilker 2000; Hilker et al. 2002; Mumm et al. 2003; Colazza et al. 2004a,b; Tamiru et al. 2011; Fatouros et al. 2012). Depending on the herbivore species, OIPV emission occurs with or without plant wounding. For example, when lepidopteran species lay eggs on plants, no immediate leaf tissue damage is observed. Studies conducted on maize landraces (Zea mays) and black mustard (B. nigra) showed that egg deposition by lepidopteran pests resulted in the emission of OIPVs that attract polyphagous Trichogramma egg parasitoids as well as larval parasitoids that eventually kill the caterpillars (Tamiru et al. 2011; Fatouros et al. 2012, 2014; Cusumano et al. 2015; Ponzio et al. 2016). However, beetles and sawflies damage the plant by feeding prior to oviposition and/or ovipositional wounding. Oviposition in combination with wounding by elm leaf beetles on elm (Ulmus minor or U. campestris) and by pine sawflies on pine (Pinus sylvestris) also induces OIPVs attracting specialist egg parasitoids (O. gallerucae and Closterocerus ruforum, respectively) (Meiners and Hilker 2000; Hilker et al. 2002; Mumm et al. 2003; Beyaert et al. 2010). Other studies on the leguminous crops Phaseolus vulgaris and Vicia faba revealed that oviposition by the polyphagous stink bugs and leafhoppers, often in combination with wounding due to sucking-feeding activity prior to oviposition, results in the release of OIPVs that

Table 1. Overview on plant species that employ different types of egg-killing defenses induced by different herbivore species.



Table 1. Continued.



attract oligophagous egg parasitoids (Colazza et al. 2004a, b; Chiappini et al. 2012).

Recently, the role of OIPVs has been investigated in plants suffering multiple stresses, particularly when an herbivore not attacked by the egg parasitoid (nonhost) is also feeding on the plant. A growing body of literature suggests that, under multiple herbivore attack, the emission of OIPVs can be altered depending on several aspects of the nonhost herbivore attack such as insect feeding guild (Cusumano et al. 2015), plant organ attacked (Moujahed et al. 2014), herbivore density (Ponzio et al. 2016), and plant–insect coevolution (Cusumano et al. 2015) (Fig. 1). Consequently, depending on the interplay of the plant–insect interactions, indirect egg-induced plant defenses could be disrupted or withstand nonhost herbivore interference. Even if the case studies are limited, it seems that feeding guild of the nonhost plays an important role. Wounding of a plant by chewers can interfere with the plant's response to eggs and thus, with attraction to OIPVs by egg parasitoids. For example, in V. faba crops, chewing by the nonhost beetle Sitona lineatus was sufficient to disrupt egg parasitoid (Trissolcus basalis) attraction toward N. viridula egg-induced volatiles. Interestingly, regardless if nonhost beetle chewing damage was inflicted by larvae feeding on roots, or by adults feeding on leaves, the composition of the OIPV blend was significantly altered resulting in a decrease in attraction of the wasps (Moujahed et al. 2014). Moreover, under detrimental abiotic conditions, V. faba can improve indirect defenses against egg deposition, reducing the chances of further stress by larval feeding. Egg parasitoid attraction toward OIPVs was enhanced by severe water stress conditions, whereas mild water stress conditions have an opposite effect (Colazza et al. 2015).

In a wild brassicaceous plant (B. nigra), leaf chewing by caterpillars of native (P. brassicae) and invasive alien herbivores (Spodoptera exigua) disrupt Trichogramma species attraction toward P. brassicae egg-induced volatiles (Cusumano et al. 2015). On the contrary, attack by phloem-feeding insects, such as aphids, appears to have minor interference effects in egg-induced indirect plant defenses (Cusumano et al. 2015; Ponzio et al. 2016). Nonetheless, aphids can still disrupt the attraction of egg parasitoids when they are present in high numbers on the plant (A. Cusumano, unpubl. data), or when they attack the same leaf bearing the Pieris eggs (Ponzio et al. 2016), suggesting a density-dependent or local interference effect.

In addition to OIPVs, plants can respond to herbivore oviposition by changing chemical cues on the leaf surface, which are perceived by egg parasitoids after landing (Fatouros et al. 2005, 2009; Conti et al. 2010; Pashalidou et al. 2010; Blenn et al. 2012). This strategy appears quite effective as plants can inform natural enemies through volatile and/or contact chemical cues, thus increasing the probability that herbivore eggs are found and destroyed by egg parasitoids. Substrate-borne chemical cues (Colazza et al. 2014) have been demonstrated in crops (maize, savoy cabbage) and wild brassicaceous plants resulting in alteration of the leaf chemistry composition. To date, only Blenn et al. (2012) investigated the nature of such chemical changes, showing that quantitative differences in epicuticular wax composition in Arabidopsis thaliana retained Trichogramma wasps to egg-infested leaves. In particular, leaves induced by cabbage white butterfly eggs had higher quantities of tetratriacontanoic acid and lower quantities of tetracosanoic acid compared to clean control leaves.

## Effects of Egg-Induced Resistances on Subsequent Attackers

Besides directly affecting herbivore insect eggs, recent studies have demonstrated that "early herbivore alert" responses can also increase defense against feeding stages (Hilker and Fatouros 2015, 2016) or even pathogens (Hilfiker et al. 2014). Evidence is growing that priming of stress responses by environmental cues that indicate future stress is common in plants but also other organisms lacking a nervous system such as fungi or bacteria (Hilker et al. 2015). Herbivore insect eggs are a reliable indicator for larvae to hatch within a defined period of time. In several plants, priming by insect eggs has been shown to reduce fitness proxies such as larval and pupal weight (Pashalidou et al. 2013, 2015a, b) and/or survival (Beyaert et al. 2011; Geiselhardt et al. 2013; Austel et al. 2016; Bandoly et al. 2015; Bandoly et al. 2016) and even reproductive capacity (Austel et al. 2016). Besides reduced herbivore performance, priming by eggs also enhances volatile emissions and attraction of larval parasitoids that lead to higher parasitism rates and benefit



Figure 2. Reconstruction of the phylogeny of oviposition-induced defense traits in 32 plants. The seven possible egg-killing defense traits (five direct and two indirect) are represented at leaves and nodes of the tree according to the indicated color code. Whenever two different traits were observed within a same species, two colors are represented at a given leaf. More than one color at any ancestral node means that several ancestral states were equally parsimonious. Names of clades are indicated in blue along the branches.

plant fitness in terms of higher seed production (Pashalidou et al. 2015b,c).

Remarkably, egg deposition can activate similar responses that are also triggered by pathogens such as the accumulation of the plant hormone salicylic acid (SA) (Little et al. 2007). In Arabidopsis thaliana, oviposition by P. brassicae activates a systemic required resistance response (SAR), which inhibits the growth of Pseudomonas syringae strains (Hilfiker et al. 2014). Although

so far not shown for crop plants, the fact that oviposition activates immunity against bacterial infections offers prospects that the concept of early herbivore alert could become highly attractive for breeding programs. Furthermore, the activation of SA-related defense pathways by egg deposition could also harm insects that are affected by the same defense pathways, such as aphids. However, this potential oviposition-mediated cross-resistance effect has not been tested yet.

#### N. E. Fatouros et al. **Prospects of Egg-Killing Plant Defenses** Prospects of Egg-Killing Plant Defenses

## Ubiquity of Egg-Killing Traits

So far, little is known on the evolutionary history of the various plant defense traits against herbivore eggs. To explore this issue, we draw a dated phylogeny of the 32 plants listed in Table 1 according to a reference timetree of 639 taxa of seed plants (Zanne et al. 2014) as well as an online timescaled molecular phylogeny for 32,223 land plant species [\(http://www.onezoom.org/vascularplants\\_](http://www.onezoom.org/vascularplants_tank2013nature.htm) [tank2013nature.htm](http://www.onezoom.org/vascularplants_tank2013nature.htm)). The dated phylogeny and associated defense traits, according to Table 1, were imported to the software Mesquite (Maddison and Maddison 2014) for ancestral state reconstruction. We reconstructed ancestral defense traits according to the trait distribution observed today in these 32 plants, including 15 crop plants/cultivars or landraces, using the maximum-parsimony method (Table 1). The distribution of defense traits regarding a direct and indirect egg-killing strategy and inference of ancestral defense traits at each node of the tree are displayed in Figure 2. We are aware that this performed phylogenetic analysis is limited by the knowledge currently available in the literature: for instance, not all traits have been tested in all listed plants. Nevertheless, most plants have been tested with different insect species that differ in their egg-laying mode (e.g. with or without ovipositional wounding, egg deposition of single eggs or in clusters), which can affect the plants' response (Hilker and Fatouros 2015). Thus, despite these limitations, we conducted the first tentative phylogenetic analysis of egg-killing traits within the plant kingdom to reveal the ubiquity and evolutionary history of these defense processes. Such information is of high importance for both basic and applied ecology.

The most parsimonious reconstruction proposes that the defense trait at the most ancestral node of this evolutionary tree was an indirect one, and more particularly the emission of volatile chemical cues (OIPVs). The taxa with the most outgroup positions in this analysis (i.e., gymnosperms and monocots) displayed this indirect defense trait; thus, it is logical that the inferred most ancestral trait was attraction of egg parasitoids by "volatile chemical cues". Including more gymnosperms in a similar analysis would allow confirming whether this trait is really the most likely ancestral one among seed plants.

According to the distribution of defense traits, it appears as most parsimonious that the last common ancestor of eudicots had the direct defense trait "wound tissue growth". In Brassicales, most of the species display an HR-like necrosis (Shapiro and De Vay 1987; Fatouros et al. 2012, 2014, 2015; Pashalidou et al. 2015a) and this same trait was inferred as the most parsimonious in the ancestor of Brassicales.

It is interesting to note that the indirect defense trait egg parasitoid arrestment to "contact chemical cues"

appears as a derived character in this evolutionary scenario. Indeed, this trait is represented in no ancestral node, and its sparse phylogenetic distribution rather suggests that it has evolved multiple times independently in different phyla. It is also interesting to point out that a lack of OIPV emission was mainly shown for different crop cultivars (Brassica oleracea or Z. mays), whereas their wild relatives or landraces emit OIPVs. The loss of OIPV emission could thus be a result of domestication. Indeed, in Z. mays, egg-induced volatile emission is very rare in commercial hybrids but common in landraces (Tamiru et al. 2011, 2015).

#### The Challenge of Enhanced Production of Parasitoid-Attracting Cues in Crops

Since the discovery that plants respond to herbivore attack by releasing HIPVs that recruit natural enemies (Dicke and Sabelis 1988; Turlings et al. 1990), several researchers have suggested to exploit HIPVs to implement sustainable pest management programs. Manipulation of plant chemical cues is a promising strategy for biocontrol (Kaplan 2012) and can be obtained either by releasing synthetic HIPVs in agro-ecosystems (James 2003) or by breeding plants for enhanced production of HIPVs after herbivore attack (Turlings and Ton 2006; Kappers et al. 2010). However, plant chemicals induced by herbivores have not been implemented so far in agro-ecosystems despite several research efforts during the last decades. The only strategy in which plant chemical cues are opening a new realm for biological pest control is the "push and pull" system (Cook et al. 2006, 2007; Khan et al. 2010).

There are several reasons that have limited practical application of plant chemicals in agro-ecosystems (Heil 2014). First of all, even if some supporting studies have been carried out (Schuman et al. 2012; Gols et al. 2015), there is still a debate about the fitness benefits of HIPVs for plants growing in both natural and agro-ecosystems. Indeed, many parasitoids responding to HIPVs are koinobionts and thus do not immediately kill the herbivore. In this case, plants would suffer serious damage even when herbivores are successfully parasitized (Harvey et al. 2010; de Rijk et al. 2013; Balmer et al. 2014). In addition, HIPVs have been recently discovered to attract organisms belonging to the fourth trophic level (i.e., hyperparasitoids), which may counteract the plants' benefit of recruiting natural enemies (Poelman et al. 2012). Another important aspect to be considered when designing biocontrol pest programs based on plant chemical manipulation is that HIPVs do not represent the resource used by natural enemies but the signal exploited

to locate the herbivores. Consequently, natural enemies may learn to avoid plants overexpressing HIPVs when herbivores are not present on such plants with deleterious effect for biological pest control (Rodriguez-Saona and Stelinski 2009; Kaplan 2012). However, to avoid such problem, the "attract-and-reward" approach has been recently proposed, in which natural enemies are first attracted by HIPVs and then rewarded with food resources (Simpson et al. 2011). There is growing interest in developing plants genetically engineered to release infochemicals for crop protection purposes (Ding et al. 2014; Bruce et al. 2015). However, a recent field study with wheat indicated that plants overexpressing HIPVs did not achieve the expected biological pest control, likely because the infochemical released continuously from uninfested plants may disrupt the attraction of natural enemies (Bruce et al. 2015).

Plant responses to insect oviposition have rarely been exploited for biological control programs despite the potential benefits of recruiting natural enemies before the herbivores feed on the crop. However, manipulation of direct and indirect plant defenses against herbivore egg deposition could be a timely and effective strategy. In fact, egg deposition constitutes a warning signal (early herbivore alert) that triggers egg-killing responses in the plant of great potential for pest control (Hilker and Meiners 2006). Further studies should investigate whether OIPVs may have higher value for the plant than HIPVs considering that: (1) idiobiont parasitoids are likely to have a greater impact than koinobionts in terms of reducing plant damage inflicted by herbivore attacks (Fatouros et al. 2012); (2) OIPV emission can repel subsequent herbivore oviposition (Bruce et al. 2010; Fatouros et al. 2012); (3) koinobiont larval parasitoids are also attracted to OIPVs parasitizing those larvae that escaped from egg parasitism (Bruce et al. 2010; Fatouros et al. 2012; Pashalidou et al. 2015c).

## Exploiting Natural Variation in Egg-Killing Resistances

Crop wild relatives, landraces, and old cultivars retain genetic variation for direct and indirect egg-killing traits (Tamiru et al. 2011, 2015; Yang et al. 2014b). Such genetic variation in defenses possessed by wild ancestors could thus be used for producing crop plants resistant to pests opening new opportunities for biological control (Palmgren et al. 2015). In this perspective, wild crucifers represent an interesting system for "rewilding". In fact, in the black mustard B. nigra but not in the cultivated B. oleracea var. gemmifera, a synergistic effect between direct and indirect egg-induced plant defenses has been found. The synergistic use of two egg-killing defense types was shown to lead to butterfly egg mortalities up to 80% in nature (Fatouros et al. 2014). This "double defense line" is a unique way to control insect pests and highly promising for crop protection.

Egg-killing defenses differing between crop plants and their wild ancestors suggest that artificial selection may have caused the loss of defense traits (Chen et al. 2015; Tamiru et al. 2015). This hypothesis could be true especially when the selection process is aimed at increasing yield in crops subjected to pesticide treatments. There is a growing demand of sustainable food production worldwide. Breeding insect-resistant crops may be a key alternative to chemical control (Palmgren et al. 2015). Plant defenses leading to immediate mortality of the pest before damage is inflicted, such as egg-killing traits, are the most desired traits for breeders but mostly unexplored so far. Introgression of defense traits from wild species or landraces to cultivated plants with classical backcross methodology can be a powerful way to bring back lost defense traits again. When classical breeding may be difficult to achieve, genetic modification techniques could be also applied where current regulations allow (Zamir 2001). Regardless of the methodology, we believe that there is a high potential for pest control using egg-killing plants.

## Identifying Molecular and Genetic Mechanisms for Resistance Breeding

A limiting aspect remains that the genetic and molecular mechanisms underlying egg-induced defenses are far from being fully understood, despite the ample phenotypic evidence (Reymond 2013; Hilker and Fatouros 2015). Numerous resistance (R) genes involved in resistance against viruses, bacteria, fungi, oomycetes, nematodes, and sucking insects are characterized and efficiently used in crop improvement programs. So far, no R genes are known to be involved in the recognition of herbivoreassociated molecular pattern (HAMPs) from leaf-chewing insects including caterpillars of generalist moths Spodoptera spp. or Plutella xylostella, which are destructive pests that also show increasing resistances to pesticides (Dhaliwal et al. 2010; Xia et al. 2013; Sharma 2014). Yet, two promising approaches are under investigation in order to unravel the genetic basis of a direct and indirect egg-killing trait in graminaceous crops.

Yang et al. (2014b) are the first who studied the genetic and molecular basis of a direct resistance response of some japonica rice (Oryza sativa) varieties against egg deposition of a serious pest, the whitebacked planthopper (Sogatella furcifera). When eggs are laid into air spaces of leaf sheaths, they cause necrotic discolorations, or "watery lesions", which contain an ovicidal substance, benzyl

benzoate (Seino et al. 1996; Suzuki et al. 1996; Seino and Suzuki 1997; Yang et al. 2013, 2014a, b). First, they phenotyped the necrotic discoloration of egg-infested leaf sheaths associated with egg mortality in double haploid rice lines derived from a resistant and susceptible cultivar. Then, they genotyped such lines by constructing a molecular linkage map revealing that 19 quantitative trait loci (QTLs) were associated with watery lesions and egg mortality. Such QTLs were located on 8 of the 12 chromosomes, and among them, qWL6 was the major QTL. Further fine mapping in combination with a transcriptomic analysis defined a 122-kb region on chromosome 6 containing four genes that were differentially regulated between the resistant and susceptible rice cultivar (Yang et al. 2014b). The information obtained from this study can be used as a starting point for breeding rice cultivars resistant to the whitebacked planthopper.

Tamiru et al. (2015) studied the phenotypic variation in volatile emissions of maize commercial hybrids and landraces induced by stemborer (Chilo partellus) oviposition attracting egg and larval parasitoids. In particular, in landraces, stemborer eggs induce increased emission of some terpenoids, including (E)-4,8-dimethyl-1,3,7-nonatriene (DMNT), a key compound for parasitoid attraction (Tamiru et al. 2011, 2015). Most commercial hybrids do not show an induction of parasitoid-attracting compounds, suggesting a potential to breed-in the indirect egg-killing defense traits against stemborers expressed into maize lines showing high yield. To find genes that can be introgressed, Tamiru et al. (2015) are using genomewide association studies that map single-nucleotide polymorphisms, gene markers that can be linked with the indirect egg-killing defense trait.

Besides these two recent approaches, more studies are needed and we hope that future efforts will focus on the genetic aspects underlying lethal egg-killing traits as such information could be the basis to develop a novel strategy for sustainable pest control.

#### Conclusions/Outlook

In this review, we have highlighted the strategies adopted by plants to kill insect eggs, thus minimizing the damage inflicted as the herbivore is killed before the crop feeding stage. Such egg-killing traits have been documented so far in about 30 plant species belonging to different plant orders, and this number is likely to increase rapidly as research in this area is still in its infancy. Our phylogenetic analysis supports the hypothesis that plant domestication negatively affected oviposition-induced defense traits in brassicaceous and graminaceous crops, particularly the capacity of attracting parasitoids via OIPV emission seems lost in cultivated plants compared with wild relatives or landraces. However, our database is restricted to only a small subset of plant species, and expanding the knowledge on the evolutionary history of egg-killing traits is necessary to fully understand the role played by artificial selection for high-yield traits on plant defenses. Considering the advantages and the ubiquity of egg-induced plant defenses, especially in wild species, we believe that egg-killing traits have a strong potential to be implemented in pest control programs.

It is recommended to breed for inducible defenses rather than select for continuous expression of defenses in order to avoid costs when herbivores are not attacking the plant. Direct egg-killing defenses are likely to be more attractive for plant breeders, who have traditionally focused on bitrophic interactions. Furthermore, as pest suppression is not dependent on the third trophic level, the results of implementing direct egg-killing traits into crops are likely to be less variable and less context dependant. Breeding specifically for parasitoid-attracting traits is more challenging, because the extra level of complexity represented by the actions of egg or larval parasitoids can increase the failure risks in controlling the pest population. Furthermore, as crops protected by these traits do not achieve the complete elimination of pests, farmers may be more interested in other alternatives for pest control. When possible, using an integrated approach in which crops are protected with both direct and indirect egg-induced defenses is encouraged, as in the case of brassicaceous plants. Evidence accumulates that priming by egg deposition can enhance defenses against subsequent attack due to oviposition-mediated cross-resistance effects. Thus, crops with high resistances to eggs might also become better protected against feeding stages of pests or even pathogens. In a scenario in which multiple sustainable strategies are used, such crops equipped with egg-killing traits can be supplemented with floral resources to maximize the pest control service provided by parasitoids using an attract-and-reward approach.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Monika Hilker and Marcel Dicke and the anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript, Jenny Lazebnik for English corrections. This work was supported by the Italian Minister of University and Research (PRIN 2010-2011: PRO-ROOT).

## Conflict of Interest

None declared.

#### References

- Agrawal, A. A. 2011. Current trends in the evolutionary ecology of plant defence. Funct. Ecol. 25:420–432.
- Aluja, M., F. Diaz-Fleischer, and J. Arredondo. 2004. Nonhost status of commercial Persea americana 'Hass' to Anastrepha ludens, Anastrepha obliqua, Anastrepha serpentina, and Anastrepha striata (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Mexico. J. Econ. Entomol. 97:293–309.
- Austel, N., E. J. Eilers, T. Meiners, and M. Hilker. 2016. Elm leaves 'warned' by insect egg deposition reduce survival of hatching larvae by a shift in their quantitative leaf metabolite pattern. Plant Cell Environ. 39:366–376.
- Balbyshev, N. F., and J. H. Lorenzen. 1997. Hypersensitivity and egg drop: a novel mechanism of host plant resistance to Colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 90:652–657.
- Balmer, O., C. E. Géneau, E. Belz, B. Weishaupt, G. Förderer, S. Moos, et al. 2014. Wildflower companion plants increase pest parasitation and yield in cabbage fields: experimental demonstration and call for caution. Biol. Control 76:19–27.
- Bandoly, M., M. Hilker, and A. Steppuhn. 2015. Oviposition by Spodoptera exigua on Nicotiana attenuata primes induced plant defence against larval herbivory. Plant J. 83:661–672.
- Bandoly, M., R. Grichnik, M. Hilker, and A. Steppuhn. 2016. Priming of anti-herbivore defence in Nicotiana attenuata by insect oviposition: Herbivore specific effects. Plant Cell Environ. doi: [10.1111/pce.12677.](info:doi/10.1111/pce.12677)
- Bebber, D. P., M. A. T. Ramotowski, and S. J. Gurr. 2013. Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. Nat. Clim. Chang. 3:985–988.
- Beddington, J. 2010. Food security: contributions from science to a new and greener revolution. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 365:61–71.
- Beyaert, I., N. Wäschke, A. Scholz, M. Varama, A. Reinecke, and M. Hilker. 2010. Relevance of resource-indicating key volatiles and habitat odour for insect orientation. Anim. Behav. 79:1077–1086.
- Beyaert, I., D. Kopke, J. Stiller, A. Hammerbacher, K. Yoneya, A. Schmidt, et al. 2011. Can insect egg deposition 'warn' a plant of future feeding damage by herbivorous larvae? Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 279:101–108.
- Blenn, B., M. Bandoly, A. Kuffner, T. Otte, S. Geiselhardt, N. E. Fatouros, et al. 2012. Insect egg deposition induces indirect defense and epicuticular wax changes in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Chem. Ecol. 38:882–892.
- Broekgaarden, C., T. A. L. Snoeren, M. Dicke, and B. Vosman. 2011. Exploiting natural variation to identify insectresistance genes. Plant Biotechnol. J. 9:819–825.
- Bruce, T. J. A., C. A. O. Midega, M. A. Birkett, J. A. Pickett, and Z. R. Khan. 2010. Is quality more important than quantity? Insect behavioural responses to changes in a volatile blend after stemborer oviposition on an African grass. Biol. Lett. 6:314–317.
- Bruce, T. J. A., G. I. Aradottir, L. E. Smart, J. L. Martin, J. C. Caulfield, A. Doherty, et al. 2015. The first crop plant genetically engineered to release an insect pheromone for defence. Sci. Rep. 5:11183.
- Chen, Y. H., R. Gols, and B. Benrey. 2015. Crop domestication and its impact on naturally selected trophic interactions. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 60:35–58.
- Chiappini, E., G. Salerno, A. Berzolla, A. Iacovone, M. Cristina Reguzzi, and E. Conti. 2012. Role of volatile semiochemicals in host location by the egg parasitoid Anagrus breviphragma. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 144:311–316.
- Clark, B. R., and S. H. Faeth. 1998. The evolution of egg clustering in butterflies: a test of the egg desiccation hypothesis. Evol. Ecol. 12:543–552.
- Colazza, S., A. Fucarino, E. Peri, G. Salerno, E. Conti, and F. Bin. 2004a. Insect oviposition induces volatile emission in herbaceous plants that attracts the egg parasitoid Trissolcus basalis. J. Exp. Biol. 207:47–53.
- Colazza, S., J. S. McElfresh, and J. G. Millar. 2004b. Identification of volatile synomones, induced by Nezara viridula feeding and oviposition on bean spp., that attract the egg parasitoid Trissolcus basalis. J. Chem. Ecol. 30:945–964.
- Colazza, S., E. Peri, G. Salerno, and E. Conti. 2010. Host searching by egg parasitoids: exploitation of host chemical cues. Pp. 97–147 in F. L. Consoli, J. R. P. Parra, R. Zucchi, eds. Egg parasitoids in agroecosystems with emphasis on Trichogramma. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Colazza, S., A. Cusumano, D. Lo Giudice, and E. Peri. 2014. Chemo-orientation responses in hymenopteran parasitoids induced by substrate-borne semiochemicals. Biocontrol 59:1–17.
- Colazza, S., G. Salerno, F. Frati, M. Marino, L. Ederli, S. Pasqualini, et al. 2015. Drought stress affect host-induced volatile organic compounds emission from plants and parasitoid response. ISCE2015 International Society of Chemical Ecology proceedings, Stockholm, Sweden, 348.
- Conti, E., G. Salerno, B. Leombruni, F. Frati, and F. Bin. 2010. Short-range allelochemicals from a plant-herbivore association: a singular case of oviposition-induced synomone for an egg parasitoid. J. Exp. Biol. 213:3911– 3919.
- Cook, S. M., L. E. Smart, J. L. Martin, D. A. Murray, N. P. Watts, and I. H. Williams. 2006. Exploitation of host plant preferences in pest management strategies for oilseed rape (Brassica napus). Entomol. Exp. Appl. 119:221–229.
- Cook, S. M., Z. R. Khan, and J. A. Pickett. 2007. The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 52:375–400.
- Cusumano, A., B. T. Weldegergis, S. Colazza, M. Dicke, and N. E. Fatouros. 2015. Attraction of egg-killing parasitoids toward induced plant volatiles in a multi-herbivore context. Oecologia 179:163–174.
- Desurmont, G. A., and P. A. Weston. 2011. Aggregative oviposition of a phytophagous beetle

overcomes egg-crushing plant defences. Ecol. Entomol. 36:335–343.

Dhaliwal, G. S., V. Jindal, and A. K. Dhawan. 2010. Insect pest problems and crop losses: changing trends. Indian J. Ecol.  $37 \cdot 1 - 7$ 

Dicke, M., and I. T. Baldwin. 2010. The evolutionary context for herbivore-induced plant volatiles: beyond the 'cry for help'. Trends Plant Sci. 15:167–175.

Dicke, M., and M. W. Sabelis. 1988. How plants obtain predatory mites as bodyguards. Neth. J. Zool. 38:148–165.

Ding, B.-J., P. Hofvander, H.-L. Wang, T. P. Durrett, S. Stymne, and C. Löfstedt. 2014. A plant factory for moth pheromone production. Nat. Commun. 5:3353.

Doss, R. P., W. M. Proebsting, S. W. Potter, and S. L. Clement. 1995. Response of Np mutant of pea (Pisum sativum L.) to pea weevil (Bruchus pisorum L.) oviposition and extracts. J. Chem. Ecol. 21:97–106.

Doss, R. P., J. E. Oliver, W. M. Proebsting, S. W. Potter, S. Kuy, S. L. Clement, et al. 2000. Bruchins: insect-derived plant regulators that stimulate neoplasm formation. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.USA 97:6218–6223.

Fatouros, N. E., G. Bukovinszkine'Kiss, L. A. Kalkers, R. Soler Gamborena, M. Dicke, and M. Hilker. 2005. Ovipositioninduced plant cues: do they arrest Trichogramma wasps during host location? Entomol. Exp. Appl., 115:207–215.

Fatouros, N. E., M. Dicke, R. Mumm, T. Meiners, and M. Hilker. 2008. Foraging behavior of egg parasitoids exploiting chemical information. Behav. Ecol. 19:677–689.

Fatouros, N. E., F. G. Pashalidou, W. V. Aponte Cordero, J. J. A. van Loon, R. Mumm, M. Dicke, et al. 2009. Antiaphrodisiac compounds of male butterflies increase the risk of egg parasitoid attack by inducing plant synomone production. J. Chem. Ecol. 35:1373–1381.

Fatouros, N. E., D. Lucas-Barbosa, B. T. Weldegergis, F. G. Pashalidou, J. J. A. van Loon, M. Dicke, et al. 2012. Plant volatiles induced by herbivore egg deposition affect insects of different trophic levels. PLoS ONE 7:e43607.

Fatouros, N. E., A. Pineda, M. E. Huigens, C. Broekgaarden, M. M. Shimwela, I. A. Figueroa, et al. 2014. Synergistic effects of direct and indirect defences on herbivore egg survival in a wild crucifer. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281:20141254.

Fatouros, N. E., L. R. Paniagua Voirol, F. Drizou, Q. Thi Doan, A. Pineda, E. Frago, et al. 2015. Role of large cabbage white male-derived compounds in elicitation of direct and indirect egg-killing defenses in the black mustard plants. Front. Plant Sci. 6:794.

Foley, J. A., N. Ramankutty, K. A. Brauman, E. S. Cassidy, J. S. Gerber, M. Johnston, et al. 2011. Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature 478:337–342.

Garza, R., J. Vera, C. Cardona, N. Barcenas, and S. P. Singh. 2001. Hypersensitive response of beans to Apion godmani (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 94:958–962.

Geiselhardt, S., K. Yoneya, B. Blenn, N. Drechsler, J. Gershenzon, R. Kunze, et al. 2013. Egg laying of cabbage white butterfly (Pieris brassicae) on Arabidopsis thaliana affects subsequent performance of the larvae. PLoS ONE 8: e59661.

Godfray, H. C. J., J. R. Beddington, I. R. Crute, L. Haddad, D. Lawrence, J. F. Muir, et al. 2010. Food security: the challenge of feeding 9 billion people. Science 327:812–818.

Gols, R., R. Wagenaar, E. H. Poelman, H. M. Kruidhof, J. J. A. van Loon, and J. A. Harvey. 2015. Fitness consequences of indirect plant defence in the annual weed, Sinapis arvensis. Funct. Ecol. 29:1019–1025.

Guedes, R. N. C., G. Smagghe, J. D. Stark, and N. Desneux. 2016. Pesticide-induced stress in arthropod pests for optimized integrated pest management programs. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 61:43–62.

Harvey, J. A., E. H. Poelman, and R. Gols. 2010. Development and host utilization in Hyposoter ebeninus (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae), a solitary endoparasitoid of Pieris rapae and P. brassicae caterpillars (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). Biol. Control 53:312–318.

Heil, M. 2014. Herbivore-induced plant volatiles: targets, perception and unanswered questions. New Phytol. 204:297– 306.

Hilfiker, O., R. Groux, F. Bruessow, K. Kiefer, J. Zeier, and P. Reymond. 2014. Insect eggs induce a systemic acquired resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 80:1085–1094.

Hilker, M., and N. E. Fatouros. 2015. Plant responses to insect egg deposition. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 60:493–515.

Hilker, M., and N. E. Fatouros. 2016. Resisting the onset of herbivore attack: plants perceive and respond to insect eggs. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 32:9–16.

Hilker, M., and T. Meiners. 2006. Early herbivore alert: insect eggs induce plant defense. J. Chem. Ecol. 32:1379–1397.

Hilker, M., C. Kobs, M. Varma, and K. Schrank. 2002. Insect egg deposition induces Pinus sylvestris to attract egg parasitoids. J. Exp. Biol. 205:455–461.

Hilker, M., J. Schwachtje, M. Baier, S. Balazadeh, I. Baurle, S. Geiselhardt, et al. 2015. Priming and memory of stress responses in organisms lacking a nervous system. Biol. Rev. doi:[10.1111/brv.12215](info:doi/10.1111/brv.12215).

James, D. G. 2003. Synthetic herbivore-induced plant volatiles as field attractants for beneficial insects. Environ. Entomol. 32:977–982.

Kalske, A., A. Muola, P. Mutikainen, and R. Leimu. 2014. Preference for outbred host plants and positive effects of inbreeding on egg survival in a specialist herbivore. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281:20141421.

Kaplan, I. 2012. Attracting carnivorous arthropods with plant volatiles: the future of biocontrol or playing with fire? Biol. Control 60:77–89.

Kappers, I. F., F. W. A. Verstappen, L. L. P. Luckerhoff, H. J. Bouwmeester, and M. Dicke. 2010. Genetic variation in jasmonic acid- and spider mite-induced plant volatile

emission of cucumber accessions and attraction of the predator Phytoseiulus persimilis. J. Chem. Ecol. 36:500–512.

Karban, R. 1983. Induced responses of cherry trees to periodical cicada oviposition. Oecologia 59:226–231.

Khan, Z. R., C. A. Midega, T. J. Bruce, A. M. Hooper, and J. A. Pickett. 2010. Exploiting phytochemicals for developing a 'push-pull' crop protection strategy for cereal farmers in Africa. J. Exp. Bot. 61:4185–4196.

Lam, E., N. Kato, and M. Lawton. 2001. Programmed cell death, mitochondria and the plant hypersensitive response. Nature 411:848–853.

van Lenteren, J. C. 2012. The state of commercial augmentative biological control: plenty of natural enemies, but a frustrating lack of uptake. Biocontrol 57:1–20.

Little, D., C. Gouhier-Darimont, F. Bruessow, and P. Reymond. 2007. Oviposition by pierid butterflies triggers defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 143:784– 800.

Maddison, W. P., and D. R. Maddison. 2014. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis.

Mazanec, Z. 1985. Resistance of Eucalyptus marginata to Perthida glyphora (Lepidoptera: Incurvariidae). J. Aust. Entomol. Soc., 24:209–221.

Meiners, T., and M. Hilker. 1997. Host location in Oomyzus gallerucae (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), an egg parasitoid of the elm leaf beetle Xanthogaleruca luteola (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Oecologia 112:87–93.

Meiners, T., and M. Hilker. 2000. Induction of plant synomones by oviposition of a phytophagous insect. J. Chem. Ecol. 26:221–232.

Moujahed, R., F. Frati, A. Cusumano, G. Salerno, E. Conti, E. Peri, et al. 2014. Egg parasitoid attraction toward induced plant volatiles is disrupted by a non-host herbivore attacking above or belowground plant organs. Front. Plant Sci. 5:601.

Mumm, R., K. Schrank, R. Wegener, S. Schulz, and M. Hilker. 2003. Chemical analysis of volatiles emitted by Pinus sylvestris after induction by insect oviposition. J. Chem. Ecol. 29:1235–1252.

Palmgren, M. G., A. K. Edenbrandt, S. E. Vedel, M. M. Andersen, X. Landes, J. T. Osterberg, et al. 2015. Are we ready for back-to-nature crop breeding? Trends Plant Sci. 20:155–164.

Pashalidou, F. G., M. E. Huigens, M. Dicke, and N. E. Fatouros. 2010. The use of oviposition-induced plant cues by Trichogramma egg parasitoids. Ecol. Entomol. 35:748–753.

Pashalidou, F. G., D. Lucas-Barbosa, J. J. A. van Loon, M. Dicke, and N. E. Fatouros. 2013. Phenotypic plasticity of plant response to herbivore eggs: effects on resistance to caterpillars and plant development. Ecology 94:702–713.

Pashalidou, F. G., N. E. Fatouros, J. J. A. van Loon, M. Dicke, and R. Gols. 2015a. Plant-mediated effects of butterfly egg deposition on subsequent herbivory across different species of wild Brassicaceae. Ecol. Entomol. 4:444–450.

Pashalidou, F. G., E. Frago, E. Griese, E. H. Poelman, J. J. A. van Loon, M. Dicke, et al. 2015b. Early herbivore alert matters: plant-mediated effects of egg deposition on higher trophic levels benefit plant fitness. Ecol. Lett. 18:927–936.

Pashalidou, F. G., R. Gols, B. W. Berkhout, B. T. Weldegergis, J. J. A. van Loon, M. Dicke, et al. 2015c. To be in time: egg deposition enhances plant-mediated detection of young caterpillars by parasitoids. Oecologia 177:477–486.

Petzold-Maxwell, J., S. Wong, C. Arellano, and F. Gould. 2011. Host plant direct defence against eggs of its specialist herbivore, Heliothis subflexa. Ecol. Entomol. 36:700–708.

Poelman, E. H., M. Bruinsma, F. Zhu, B. T. Weldegergis, A. E. Boursault, Y. Jongema, et al. 2012. Hyperparasitoids use herbivore-induced plant volatiles to locate their parasitoid host. PLoS Biol. 10:e1001435.

Ponzio, C., P. Cascone, A. Cusumano, B. T. Weldegergis, N. E. Fatouros, E. Guerrieri, et al. 2016. Volatile-mediated foraging behaviour of three parasitoid species under conditions of dual insect herbivore attack. Anim. Behav. 111:197–206.

Popp, J., K. Pető, and J. Nagy. 2013. Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 33:243–255.

Reymond, P. 2013. Perception, signaling and molecular basis of oviposition-mediated plant responses. Planta 238:247–258.

de Rijk, M., M. Dicke, and E. H. Poelman. 2013. Foraging behaviour by parasitoids in multiherbivore communities. Anim. Behav. 85:1517–1528.

Rodriguez-Saona, C. R., and L. L. Stelinski. 2009. Behaviormodifying strategies in IPM: theory and practice. Pp. 263– 315 in R. Peshin, A. K. Dhawan, eds. Integrated pest management: innovation-development process. Springer, the Netherlands.

Salerno, G., F. De Santis, A. Iacovone, F. Bin, and E. Conti. 2013. Short-range cues mediate parasitoid searching behavior on maize: the role of oviposition-induced plant synomones. Biol. Control 64:247–254.

Schoonhoven, L. M., J. J. A. van Loon, and M. Dicke. 2005. Insect-plant biology. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.

Schuman, M. C., K. Barthel, I. T. Baldwin, and D. Weigel. 2012. Herbivory-induced volatiles function as defenses increasing fitness of the native plant Nicotiana attenuata in nature. eLife 1:e00007.

Seino, Y., and Y. Suzuki. 1997. Biotransformation of benzyl benzoate from benzoic acid in rice watery ovipositional lesion tissues induced by Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) (Homoptera, Delphacidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 32:530–532.

Seino, Y., Y. Suzuki, and K. Sogawa. 1996. An ovicidal substance produced by rice plants in response to oviposition by the whitebacked planthopper, Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 31:467–473.

Shapiro, A. M., and J. E. De Vay. 1987. Hypersensitivity reaction of Brassica nigra L. (Cruciferae) kills eggs of Pieris butterflies (Lepidoptera, Pieridae). Oecologia 71:631–632.

Sharma, H. C. 2014. Climate change effects on insects: implications for crop protection and food security. J. Crop Improv. 28:229–259.

Simpson, M., G. M. Gurr, A. T. Simmons, S. D. Wratten, D. G. James, G. Leeson, et al. 2011. Insect attraction to synthetic herbivore-induced plant volatile-treated field crops. Agric. For. Entomol. 13:45–57.

Sobhy, I. S., M. Erb, Y. Lou, and T. C. J. Turlings. 2014. The prospect of applying chemical elicitors and plant strengtheners to enhance the biological control of crop pests. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 369:20120283.

Suzuki, Y., K. Sogawa, and Y. Seino. 1996. Ovicidal reaction of rice plants against the whitebacked planthopper, Sogatella furcifera Horvath (Homoptera: Delphacidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool. 31:111–118.

Tamiru, A., T. J. A. Bruce, C. M. Woodcock, J. C. Caulfield, C. A. O. Midega, C. K. P. O. Ogol, et al. 2011. Maize landraces recruit egg and larval parasitoids in response to egg deposition by a herbivore. Ecol. Lett. 14:1075–1083.

Tamiru, A., Z. R. Khan, and T. J. A. Bruce. 2015. New directions for improving crop resistance to insects by breeding for egg induced defence. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 9:51–55.

Turlings, T. C. J., and J. Ton. 2006. Exploiting scents of distress: the prospect of manipulating herbivore-induced plant odours to enhance the control of agricultural pests. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 9:421–427.

Turlings, T. C. J., J. H. Tumlinson, and W. J. Lewis. 1990. Exploitation of herbivore-induced plant odors by hostseeking parasitic wasps. Science 250:1251–1253.

Voelckel, C., and G. Jander. 2014. Insect-plant interactions. Annual Plant Reviews, Vol. 47. Wiley-Blackwell, West Sussex, UK.

Xia, X., D. Zheng, H. Zhong, B. Qin, G. M. Gurr, L. Vasseur, et al. 2013. DNA sequencing reveals the midgut microbiota of diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella (L.) and a possible relationship with insecticide resistance. PLoS ONE 8:e68852.

Yang, J. O., N. Nakayama, K. Toda, S. Tebayashi, and C. S. Kim. 2013. Elicitor(s) in Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) causing the Japanese rice plant (Oryza sativa L.) to induce the ovicidal substance, benzyl benzoate. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 77:1258–1261.

Yang, J. O., N. Nakayama, K. Toda, S. Tebayashi, and C. S. Kim. 2014a. Structural determination of elicitors in Sogatella furcifera (Horvath) that induce Japonica rice plant varieties (Oryza sativa L.) to produce an ovicidal substance against S. furcifera eggs. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 78:937–942.

Yang, Y., J. Xu, Y. Leng, G. Xiong, J. Hu, G. Zhang, et al. 2014b. Quantitative trait loci identification, fine mapping and gene expression profiling for ovicidal response to whitebacked planthopper (Sogatella furcifera Horvath) in rice (Oryza sativa L.). BMC Plant Biol. 14:145.

Zamir, D. 2001. Improving plant breeding with exotic genetic libraries. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2:983–989.

Zanne, A. E., D. C. Tank, W. K. Cornwell, J. M. Eastman, S. A. Smith, R. G. FitzJohn, et al. 2014. Three keys to the radiation of angiosperms into freezing environments. Nature 506:89–92.