



HAL
open science

Nondegeneracy of positive solutions to nonlinear Hardy-Sobolev equations

Frédéric Robert

► **To cite this version:**

Frédéric Robert. Nondegeneracy of positive solutions to nonlinear Hardy-Sobolev equations. *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, 2017, A festschrift in honor of Professor Patrizia Pucci's 65th birthday, 6 (2), pp.237-242. 10.1515/anona-2016-0267 . hal-01412909v2

HAL Id: hal-01412909

<https://hal.science/hal-01412909v2>

Submitted on 13 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

NONDEGENERACY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO NONLINEAR HARDY-SOBOLEV EQUATIONS

FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT

ABSTRACT. In this note, we prove that the kernel of the linearized equation around a positive energy solution in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, to $-\Delta W - \gamma|x|^{-2}V = |x|^{-s}W^{2^*(s)-1}$ is one-dimensional when $s + \gamma > 0$. Here, $s \in [0, 2)$, $0 \leq \gamma < (n-2)^2/4$ and $2^*(s) = 2(n-s)/(n-2)$.

We fix $n \geq 3$, $s \in [0, 2)$ and $\gamma < \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$. We define $2^*(s) = 2(n-s)/(n-2)$. We consider a nonnegative solution $W \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}$ to

$$(1) \quad -\Delta W - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2}W = \frac{W^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Due to the abundance of solutions to (1), we require in addition that W is an energy solution, that is $W \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the norm $u \mapsto \|\nabla u\|_2$. Linearizing (1) yields to consider

$$(2) \quad K := \left\{ \varphi \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) / -\Delta\varphi - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2}\varphi = (2^*(s) - 1)\frac{W^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s}\varphi \text{ in } D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

Equation (1) is conformally invariant in the following sense: for any $r > 0$, define

$$W_r(x) := r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} W(rx) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

then, as one checks, $W_r \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is also a solution to (1), and, differentiating with respect to r at $r = 1$, we get that

$$-\Delta Z - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2}Z = (2^*(s) - 1)\frac{W^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s}Z \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where

$$Z := \frac{d}{dr}W_r|_{r=1} = \sum_i x^i \partial_i W + \frac{n-2}{2}W \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Therefore, $Z \in K$. We prove that this is essentially the only element:

Theorem 0.1. *We assume that $\gamma \geq 0$ and that $\gamma + s > 0$. Then $K = \mathbb{R}Z$. In other words, K is one-dimensional.*

Such a result is useful when performing Liapunov-Schmidt's finite dimensional reduction. When $\gamma = s = 0$, the equation (1) is also invariant under the translations $x \mapsto W(x - x_0)$ for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and the kernel K is of dimension $n + 1$ (see Rey [6] and also Bianchi-Egnell [1]). After this note was completed, we learnt that Dancer-Gladiali-Grossi [4] proved Theorem 0.1 in the case $s = 0$, and that their proof can be extended to our case, see also Gladiali-Grossi-Neves [5].

Date: December 12th 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J60, 35J75.

This note is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.1. Since $\gamma + s > 0$, it follows from Chou-Chu [3], that there exists $r > 0$ such that $W = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2^*(s)-2}} U_r$, where

$$U(x) := \left(|x|^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}\alpha_-(\gamma)} + |x|^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}\alpha_+(\gamma)} \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2-s}}.$$

with

$$\epsilon := \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \gamma} \text{ and } \alpha_{\pm}(\gamma) := \frac{n-2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \gamma}.$$

As one checks, $U \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and

$$(3) \quad -\Delta U - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} U = \lambda \frac{U^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \text{ with } \lambda := 4 \frac{n-s}{n-2} \epsilon^2.$$

Therefore, proving Theorem 0.1 reduces to prove that \tilde{K} is one-dimensional, where

$$(4) \quad \tilde{K} := \left\{ \varphi \in D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) / -\Delta \varphi - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \varphi = (2^*(s)-1) \lambda \frac{U^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} \varphi \text{ in } D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

I. Conformal transformation.

We let $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / \sum x_i^2 = 1\}$ be the standard $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere of \mathbb{R}^n . We endow it with its canonical metric can . We define

$$\begin{cases} \Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} & \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \\ (t, \sigma) & \mapsto e^{-t} \sigma \end{cases}$$

The map Φ is a smooth conformal diffeomorphism and $\Phi^* \text{Eucl} = e^{-2t}(dt^2 + \text{can})$. On any Riemannian manifold (M, g) , we define the conformal Laplacian as $L_g := -\Delta_g + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_g$ where $\Delta_g := \text{div}_g(\nabla)$ and R_g is the scalar curvature. The conformal invariance of the Laplacian reads as follows: for a metric $g' = e^{2\omega} g$ conformal to g ($\omega \in C^\infty(M)$), we have that $L_{g'} u = e^{-\frac{n+2}{2}\omega} L_g(e^{\frac{n-2}{2}\omega} u)$ for all $u \in C^\infty(M)$. It follows from this invariance that for any $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we have that

$$(5) \quad (-\Delta u) \circ \Phi(t, \sigma) = e^{\frac{n+2}{2}t} \left(-\partial_{tt} \hat{u} - \Delta_{\text{can}} \hat{u} + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \hat{u} \right) (t, \sigma)$$

for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, where $\hat{u}(t, \sigma) := e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}t} u(e^{-t}\sigma)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. In addition, as one checks, for any $u, v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we have that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla u, \nabla v) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\partial_t \hat{u} \partial_t \hat{v} + (\nabla' \hat{u}, \nabla' \hat{v})_{\text{can}} + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \hat{u} \hat{v} \right) dt d\sigma \\ &:= B(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted $\nabla' \hat{u}$ as the gradient on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with respect to the σ coordinate. We define the space H as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_H := \sqrt{B(\cdot, \cdot)}$. As one checks, $u \mapsto \hat{u}$ extends to a bijective isometry $D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H$.

The Hardy-Sobolev inequality asserts the existence of $K(n, s, \gamma) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq K(n, s, \gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} u^2 \right) dx \text{ for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}).$$

Via the isometry $D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \simeq H$, this inequality rewrites

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |v|^{2^*(s)} dt d\sigma \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq K(n, s, \gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} ((\partial_t v)^2 + |\nabla' v|_{\text{can}}^2 + \epsilon^2 v^2) dt d\sigma,$$

for all $v \in H$. In particular, $v \in L^{2^*(s)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for all $v \in H$.

We define $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$) as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$) for the norm

$$u \mapsto \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{u}^2 + u^2) dx} \quad \left(\text{resp. } u \mapsto \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (|\nabla' u|_{\text{can}}^2 + u^2) d\sigma} \right).$$

Each norm arises from a Hilbert inner product. For any $(\varphi, Y) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, define $\varphi \star Y \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ by $(\varphi \star Y)(t, \sigma) := \varphi(t)Y(\sigma)$ for all $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. As one checks, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi \star Y\|_H \leq C \|\varphi\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{R})} \|Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$$

for all $(\varphi, Y) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Therefore, the operator extends continuously from $H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ to H , such that (7) holds for all $(\varphi, Y) \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \times H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$.

Lemma 1. *We fix $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and $Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. We define*

$$u_Y(t) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(t, \sigma) Y(\sigma) d\sigma = \langle u(t, \cdot), Y \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $u_Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, this definition extends continuously to $u \in H$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u_Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_H \|Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \text{ for all } (u, Y) \in H \times H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Proof of Lemma 1: We let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, $Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Fubini's theorem yields:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u_Y \partial_t \varphi + u_Y \varphi) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\partial_t u \partial_t (\varphi \star Y) + u \cdot (\varphi \star Y)) dt d\sigma$$

Taking $\varphi := u_Y$, the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ & \leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} ((\partial_t u)^2 + u^2) dt d\sigma} \times \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} ((\partial_t (u_Y \star Y))^2 + (u_Y \star Y)^2) dt d\sigma} \\ & \leq C \|u\|_H \|u_Y \star Y\|_H \leq C \|u\|_H \|u_Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{R})} \|Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

and then $\|u_Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_H \|Y\|_{H_1^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}$. The extension follows from density. \square

II. Transformation of the problem. We let $\varphi \in \tilde{K}$, that is

$$-\Delta \varphi - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \varphi = (2^*(s) - 1) \lambda \frac{U^{2^*(s)-2}}{|x|^s} \varphi \text{ weakly in } D_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since $U \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, elliptic regularity yields $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Moreover, the correspondance (6) yields

$$(8) \quad -\partial_{tt} \hat{\varphi} - \Delta_{\text{can}} \hat{\varphi} + \epsilon^2 \hat{\varphi} = (2^*(s) - 1) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^*(s)-2} \hat{\varphi}$$

weakly in H . Note that since $\hat{\varphi}, \hat{U} \in H$ and H is continuously embedded in $L^{2^*(s)}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, this formulation makes sense. Since $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we get that $\hat{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \cap H$ and equation (8) makes sense strongly in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. As one checks, we have that

$$(9) \quad \hat{U}(t, \sigma) = \left(e^{\frac{2-s}{n-2}\epsilon t} + e^{-\frac{2-s}{n-2}\epsilon t} \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{2-s}} \text{ for all } (t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

In the sequel, we will write $\hat{U}(t)$ for $\hat{U}(t, \sigma)$ for $(t, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

The eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{\text{can}}$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} are

$$0 = \mu_0 < n - 1 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots$$

We let $\mu \geq 0$ be an eigenvalue for $-\Delta_{\text{can}}$ and we let $Y = Y_\mu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be a corresponding eigenfunction, that is

$$-\Delta_{\text{can}}Y = \mu Y \text{ in } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

We fix $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ so that $\psi \star Y \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Multiplying (8) by $\psi \star Y$, integrating by parts and using Fubini's theorem yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t \hat{\varphi}_Y \partial_t \psi + (\mu + \epsilon^2) \hat{\varphi}_Y \psi) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (2^\star(s) - 1) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^\star(s)-2} \hat{\varphi}_Y \psi dt,$$

where $\hat{\varphi}_Y \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$(10) \quad A_\mu \hat{\varphi}_Y = 0 \text{ with } A_\mu := -\partial_{tt} + (\mu + \epsilon^2 - (2^\star(s) - 1) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^\star(s)-2})$$

where this identity holds both in the classical sense and in the weak $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ sense. We claim that

$$(11) \quad \hat{\varphi}_Y \equiv 0 \text{ for all eigenfunction } Y \text{ of } \mu \geq n - 1.$$

We prove the claim by taking inspiration from Chang-Gustafson-Nakanishi ([2], Lemma 2.1). Differentiating (3) with respect to $i = 1, \dots, n$, we get that

$$(12) \quad -\Delta \partial_i U - \frac{\gamma}{|x|^2} \partial_i U - (2^\star(s) - 1) \lambda \frac{U^{2^\star(s)-2}}{|x|^s} \partial_i U = - \left(\frac{2\gamma}{|x|^4} U + \frac{s\lambda}{|x|^{s+2}} U^{2^\star(s)-1} \right) x_i$$

On $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, this equation reads

$$-\partial_{tt} \partial_i \hat{U} - \Delta_{\text{can}} \partial_i \hat{U} + \left(\epsilon^2 - (2^\star(s) - 1) \lambda \hat{U}^{2^\star(s)-2} \right) \partial_i \hat{U} = -\sigma_i e^t \left(2\gamma \hat{U} + s\lambda \hat{U}^{2^\star(s)-1} \right)$$

Note that $\partial_i \hat{U} = -V \star \sigma_i$, where $\sigma_i : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the projection on the x_i 's and

$$V(t) := -e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}t} U'(e^{-t}) = e^{(1+\epsilon)t} \left(\alpha_+(\gamma) + \alpha_-(\gamma) e^{2\frac{2-s}{n-2}et} \right) \left(1 + e^{2\frac{2-s}{n-2}et} \right)^{-\frac{n-s}{2-s}} > 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $-\Delta_{\text{can}} \sigma_i = (n-1) \sigma_i$ (the σ_i 's form a basis of the second eigenspace of $-\Delta_{\text{can}}$), we then get that

$$A_\mu V \geq A_{n-1} V = e^t \left(2\gamma \hat{U} + s\lambda \hat{U}^{2^\star(s)-1} \right) > 0 \text{ for all } \mu \geq n - 1 \text{ and } V > 0.$$

Note that for $\gamma > 0$, we have that $\alpha_-(\gamma) > 0$, and that for $\gamma = 0$, we have that $\alpha_-(\gamma) = 0$. As one checks, we have that

$$(i) \quad \left\{ (\gamma > 0 \text{ and } \epsilon > 1) \text{ or } \left(\gamma = 0 \text{ and } s < \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\} \Rightarrow V \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$$

$$(ii) \quad \left\{ (\gamma > 0 \text{ and } \epsilon \leq 1) \text{ or } \left(\gamma = 0 \text{ and } s \geq \frac{n}{2} \right) \right\} \Rightarrow V \notin L^2((0, +\infty))$$

Assume that case (i) holds: in this case, $V \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a distributional solution to $A_\mu V > 0$ in $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$. We define $m := \inf \{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi A_\mu \varphi dt \}$, where the infimum is taken on $\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|\varphi\|_2 = 1$. We claim that $m > 0$. Otherwise, it follows from Lemma 3 below that the infimum is achieved, say by $\varphi_0 \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ that is a weak solution to $A_\mu \varphi_0 = m \varphi_0$ in \mathbb{R} . Since $|\varphi_0|$ is also a minimizer, and due to the comparison principle, we can assume that $\varphi_0 > 0$. Using the self-adjointness of A_μ , we get that $0 \geq m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_0 V dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_\mu \varphi_0) V dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (A_\mu V) \varphi_0 dt > 0$, which is a

contradiction. Then $m > 0$. Since $A_\mu \varphi_Y = 0$, we then get that $\varphi_Y \equiv 0$ as soon as $\mu \geq n - 1$. This ends case (i).

Assume that case (ii) holds: we assume that $\varphi_Y \not\equiv 0$. It follows from Lemma 4 that $V(t) = o(e^{-\alpha|t|})$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ for all $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + n - 1}$. As one checks with the explicit expression of V , this is a contradiction when $\epsilon < \frac{n-2}{2}$, that is when $\gamma > 0$. Then we have that $\gamma = 0$ and $\epsilon = \frac{n-2}{2}$. Since $\frac{n}{2} \leq s < 2$, we have that $n = 3$. As one checks, $(\mu + \epsilon^2 - (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda \hat{U}^{2^*(s)-2}) > 0$ for $\mu \geq n - 1$ as soon as $n = 3$ and $s \geq 3/2$. Lemma 4 yields $\varphi_Y \equiv 0$, a contradiction. So $\varphi_Y \equiv 0$, this ends case (ii).

These steps above prove (11). Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{\varphi}(t, \cdot)$ is orthogonal to the eigenspaces of μ_i , $i \geq 1$, so it is in the eigenspace of $\mu_0 = 0$ spanned by 1, and therefore $\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\varphi}(t)$ is independent of $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then

$$-\hat{\varphi}'' + (\epsilon^2 - (2^*(s) - 1)\lambda \hat{U}^{2^*(s)-2})\hat{\varphi} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \hat{\varphi} \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that the space of such functions is a most one-dimensional. Going back to φ , we get that \hat{K} is of dimension at most one, and then so is K . Since $Z \in K$, then K is one dimensional and $K = \mathbb{R}Z$. This proves Theorem 0.1.

III. Auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Let $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\{\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } -\ddot{\varphi} + q\varphi = 0\} \leq 1.$$

Proof of Lemma 2: Let F be this space. Fix $\varphi, \psi \in F \setminus \{0\}$: we prove that they are linearly dependent. Define the Wronskian $W := \varphi\dot{\psi} - \dot{\varphi}\psi$. As one checks, $\dot{W} = 0$, so W is constant. Since $\varphi, \dot{\varphi}, \psi, \dot{\psi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $W \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and then $W \equiv 0$. Therefore, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\psi(0), \dot{\psi}(0)) = \lambda(\varphi(0), \dot{\varphi}(0))$, and then, classical ODE theory yields $\psi = \lambda\varphi$. Then F is of dimension at most one. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ be such that there exists $A > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} q(t) = A$, and define*

$$m := \inf_{\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\varphi}^2 + q\varphi^2) dt}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2 dt}.$$

Then either $m > 0$, or the infimum is achieved.

Note that in the case $q(t) \equiv A$, $m = A$ and the infimum is not achieved.

Proof of Lemma 3: As one checks, $m \in \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined. We let $(\varphi_i)_i \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a minimizing sequence such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_i^2 dt = 1$ for all i , that is $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\varphi}_i^2 + q\varphi_i^2) dt = m + o(1)$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $(\varphi_i)_i$ is bounded in $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$, and, up to a subsequence, there exists $\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi_i \rightharpoonup \varphi$ weakly in $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi$ strongly in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$. We define $\theta_i := \varphi_i - \varphi$. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} (q(t) - A) = 0$ and $(\theta_i)_i$ goes to 0 strongly in L_{loc}^2 , we get that $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (q(t) - A)\theta_i^2 dt = 0$. Using the weak convergence to 0 and that $(\varphi_i)_i$ is minimizing, we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\varphi}^2 + q\varphi^2) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\theta}_i^2 + A\theta_i^2) dt = m + o(1) \text{ as } i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since $1 - \|\varphi\|_2^2 = \|\theta_i\|_2^2 + o(1)$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\varphi}^2 + q\varphi^2) dt \geq m\|\varphi\|_2^2$, we get

$$m\|\theta_i\|_2^2 \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\dot{\theta}_i^2 + A\theta_i^2) dt + o(1) \text{ as } i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If $m \leq 0$, then $\theta_i \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$, and then $(\varphi_i)_i$ goes strongly to $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ in H_1^2 , and φ is a minimizer for m . This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ be such that there exists $A > 0$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} q(t) = A$ and q is even. We let $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $-\ddot{\varphi} + q\varphi = 0$ in \mathbb{R} and $\varphi \in H_1^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

- *If $q \geq 0$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.*
- *We assume that there exists $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$-\ddot{V} + qV > 0, \quad V > 0 \text{ and } V \notin L^2((0, +\infty)).$$

Then either $\varphi \equiv 0$ or $V(t) = o(e^{-\alpha|t|})$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ for all $0 < \alpha < \sqrt{A}$.

Proof of Lemma 4: We assume that $\varphi \not\equiv 0$. We first assume that $q \geq 0$. By studying the monotonicity of φ between two consecutive zeros, we get that φ has at most one zero, and then $\ddot{\varphi}$ has constant sign around $\pm\infty$. Therefore, φ is monoton around $\pm\infty$ and then has a limit, which is 0 since $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The contradiction follows from studying the sign of $\ddot{\varphi}$, φ . Then $\varphi \equiv 0$ and the first part of Lemma 4 is proved.

We now deal with the second part and we let $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be as in the statement. We define $\psi := V^{-1}\varphi$. Then, $-\ddot{\psi} + h\dot{\psi} + Q\psi = 0$ in \mathbb{R} with $h, Q \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ and $Q > 0$. Therefore, by studying the zeros, $\dot{\psi}$ vanishes at most once, and then $\psi(t)$ has limits as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Since $\varphi = \psi V$, $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $V \notin L^2(0, +\infty)$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(t) = 0$. We claim that $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \psi(t) \neq 0$. Otherwise, the limit would be 0. Then ψ would be of constant sign, say $\psi > 0$. At the maximum point t_0 of ψ , the equation would yield $\ddot{\psi}(t_0) > 0$, which contradicts the maximum. So the limit of ψ at $-\infty$ is nonzero, and then $V(t) = O(\varphi(t))$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

We claim that φ is even or odd and φ has constant sign around $+\infty$. Since $t \mapsto \varphi(-t)$ is also a solution to the ODE, it follows from Lemma 2 that it is a multiple of φ , and then φ is even or odd. Since $\dot{\psi}$ changes sign at most once, then ψ changes sign at most twice. Therefore $\varphi = \psi V$ has constant sign around $+\infty$.

We fix $0 < A' < A$ and we let $R_0 > 0$ such that $q(t) > A'$ for all $t \geq R_0$. Without loss of generality, we also assume that $\varphi(t) > 0$ for $t \geq R_0$. We define $b(t) := C_0 e^{-\sqrt{A'}t} - \varphi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $C_0 := 2\varphi(R_0)e^{\sqrt{A'}R_0}$. We claim that $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq R_0$. Otherwise $\inf_{t \geq R_0} b(t) < 0$, and since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} b(t) = 0$ and $b(R_0) > 0$, then there exists $t_1 > R_0$ such that $\ddot{b}(t_1) \geq 0$ and $b(t_1) < 0$. However, as one checks, the equation yields $\ddot{b}(t_1) < 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $b(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq R_0$, and then $0 < \varphi(t) \leq C_0 e^{-\sqrt{A'}t}$ for $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Lemma 4 follows from this inequality, φ even or odd, and $V(t) = O(\varphi(t))$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bianchi and H. Egnell, *A note on Sobolev inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), 18–24.
- [2] S.-M. Chang, S. Gustafson, K. Nakanishi, and T.-P. Tsai, *Spectra of linearized operators for NLS solitary waves*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **39** (2007/08), no. 4, 1070–1111.
- [3] K.-S. Chou and C.-W. Chu, *On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1993), no. 1, 137–151.
- [4] N. Dancer, F. Gladiali, and M. Grossi, *On the Hardy-Sobolev equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A. In press.
- [5] F. Gladiali, M. Grossi, and S. L. N. Neves, *Nonradial solutions for the Hénon equation in \mathbb{R}^N* , Adv. Math. **249** (2013), 1–36.
- [6] O. Rey, *The role of the Green's function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), no. 1, 1–52.

FRÉDÉRIC ROBERT, INSTITUT ÉLIE CARTAN, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, BP 70239, F-54506 VANDOEUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE

E-mail address: frederic.robert@univ-lorraine.fr