

A Theranostic Agent Combining a Two-Photon-Absorbing Photosensitizer for Photodynamic Therapy and a Gadolinium(III) Complex for MRI Detection

Julie Schmitt, Valérie Heitz, Angélique Sour, Frédéric Bolze, Pascal Kessler, Lucia Flamigni, Barbara Ventura, Célia S. Bonnet, Éva Tóth

To cite this version:

Julie Schmitt, Valérie Heitz, Angélique Sour, Frédéric Bolze, Pascal Kessler, et al.. A Theranostic Agent Combining a Two-Photon-Absorbing Photosensitizer for Photodynamic Therapy and a Gadolinium(III) Complex for MRI Detection. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2016, 22 (8), pp.2775-2786. 10.1002 /chem.201503433. hal-01407691

HAL Id: hal-01407691 <https://hal.science/hal-01407691v1>

Submitted on 31 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

 1

$\frac{1}{3}$ Photodynamic Therapy $\frac{1}{3}$ 2 $=$ N, of a dynamic Thomas \sim 2

A Theranostic Agent Combining a Two-Photon-Absorbing $\frac{6}{7}$ Photosensitizer for Photodynamic Therapy and a Gadolinium(III) $\frac{6}{7}$ Complex for MRI Detection 8 8 4 a contract to the contract of the contract o 5 5 $7 \cdot 1000$

13 13

27 27 28 28

Julie Schmitt,^[a] Valérie Heitz,^{*[a]} Angélique Sour,^[a] Frédéric Bolze,^{*[b]} Pascal Kessler,^[c] 11 Lucia Flamigni,^[d] Barbara Ventura, $*^{[d]}$ Célia S. Bonnet,^[e] and Éva Tóth $*^{[e]}$ 9 9 10 Julie Schmitt,^{ia} Valérie Heitz,*i^a Angélique Sour,^{ia} Frédéric Bolze,*i^{bj} Pascal Kessler,^{ia} (10 - 10 12 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 12 and 12

Dr.) for the authors \blacksquare The convergent synthesis and characterization of a potential theranostic agent, [DPP-ZnP- properties were examined and large two-photon absorption | 17 GdDOTA]⁻, which combines a diketopyrrolopyrrole-porphyrin component DPP-ZnP as a two-photon photosensitizer for photodynamic therapy (PDT) with a gadolinium(III) DOTA complex as a magnetic resonance imaging probe, is preseented. [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ has a remarkably high longitudinal water proton relaxivity (19.94 mm^{-1} s⁻¹ at 20 MHz and 25 \degree C) for a monohydrated molecular system of this size. The 25 | nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) profile is was able to induce high one-photon and moderate two-
25 | nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) profile is was able to induce high one-photon and moder 16 **Dr.**) for the authors **T** The convergent synthesis and char- aggregation occurring in aqueous solution. The two-photon 16 18 GdDOTA]⁻, which combines a diketopyrrolopyrrole-porphy- cross-sections around 1000 GM were determined between 18 910 and 940 nm in dichloromethane (DCM) with 1% pyri-19 19 20 **| photodynamic therapy (PDT)** with a gadolinium(III) DOTA dine and in DMSO. Furthermore, the new conjugate was \vert 20 21 | complex as a magnetic resonance imaging probe, is pre-
21 | complex as a magnetic resonance imaging probe, is pre-
21 | complex as a magnetic resonance imaging probe, is pre-22 Seented. [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ has a remarkably high longitu- and 0.68 in DCM with 1% pyridine and DMSO, respectively. $\frac{22}{2}$ 23 dinal water proton relaxivity (19.94 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ at 20 MHz and Cellular studies were also performed. The [DPP-ZnP- $\begin{bmatrix} 23 \end{bmatrix}$ 24 25 °C) for a monohydrated molecular system of this size. The GdDOTA]⁻ conjugate demonstrated low dark toxicity and $\frac{24}{24}$ 15 **ADStract:** \blacksquare prease provide academic titles (Proi., Proi. Dr., Figlia aromatic units integrated in the molecule and to sell-
 15

Abstract: perplease provide academic titles (Prof., Prof. Dr., rigid aromatic units integrated in the molecule and to self- 26 characteristic of slow rotation, related to the extended and photon phototoxicity on cancer cells. \bigcup_{26} rigid aromatic units integrated in the molecule and to selfproperties were examined and large two-photon absorption was able to induce high one-photon and moderate twophoton phototoxicity on cancer cells.

Introduction 29 29

 The combination of therapy and diagnosis has led to a new and As a diagnostic tool, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is 32 field of research named theranostics, the aim of which is to de- widely used in medicine. It is a non-invasive modality, which 33 $34\;$ velop mild, efficient, and personalized treatments. Associating provides images with excellent anatomical and temporal reso- $34\;$ an imaging modality to a therapeutic agent offers a promising Jution. A disadvantage of this technique, however, is its low $\,$ 35 way to improve treatment by monitoring in vivo the delivery way to improve treatment by monitoring in vivo the delivery sensitivity requiring high concentrations of the contrast agents -36

posed to obtain theranostic agents based on molecular sys-30 30 \sim 31 31 31 31 32 \sim 5 \sim 31 \sim

 37 and/or the effect of a drug. Several strategies have been pro- to be injected.^[2] Commercial contrast agents, based on para- 37 As a diagnostic tool, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is widely used in medicine. It is a non-invasive modality, which provides images with excellent anatomical and temporal resolution. A disadvantage of this technique, however, is its low to be injected.^[2] Commercial contrast agents, based on para-

 57 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201503433. 57 various endogenous chromophores. Recently, PDT based on 57

magnetic gadolinium complexes, are extracellular and have 38 38 **low MRI efficiency. Their relaxivities, which measure the para-** 39 40 and the longitudinal water proton relax-40 and the longitudinal water proton relax-40 41 Laboratoire de Synthèse des Assemblages Moléculaires Multifonctionnels, ation rate, are only in the order of 4–5 mm⁻¹s⁻¹.^[3] These values 41 42 Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, CNRS/UMR 7177, Université de Strasbourg, could be significantly improved by optimizing the parameters 42 43 μ , we buse relaxivity of the Gd^{III} complexes, such as the hy- 43 dration number, the water exchange rate or the rotational dy-44 the Rolze 45 CAMB, UMR 7199, UdS/CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie and the structural modifications.^[4] CAMB, UMR 7199, UdS/CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie and the structural modifications.^[4] 46 Université de Strasbourg, 74 route du Rhin, 67401 Illkirch (France) Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a minimally invasive therapy, 46 47 bimall: Irederic bolze@unistra.fr 47 and 47 based on the localized light activation of a photosensitizer that 47 reacts with surrounding oxygen to produce singlet oxygen or 48 48 49 answers of the species and molecular university of the species of the species^[5] produced by energy or 49 $\frac{50}{10}$ idl L Flamiani B Ventura 51 Istituto ISOF-CNR, Via P. Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna (Italy) sitizer. Although it is frequently applied to various skin diseas- 51 52 E-mail: barbara.ventura@isof.cnr.it examples and cancerous tumors, it 52 es, age-related macular degeneration and cancerous tumors, it 52 53 (e) C. S. Bonnet, E. Toth 54 centre a supprisque Moleculaire UPR4301, CNRS, Universite a Uneans, tration of the visible light currently used in PDT, and photo- 54 55 is the excitation light outside the therapeu-
 $\frac{1}{5}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ 56 \Box supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under tic window (between 700 and 1000 nm), which is absorbed by 56 [a] J. Schmitt, V. Heitz, A. Sour Laboratoire de Synthèse des Assemblages Moléculaires Multifonctionnels, Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, CNRS/UMR 7177, Université de Strasbourg, 4, rue Blaise Pascal, 67000 Strasbourg (France) E-mail: v.heitz@unistra.fr [b] F. Bolze CAMB, UMR 7199, UdS/CNRS, Faculté de Pharmacie Université de Strasbourg, 74 route du Rhin, 67401 Illkirch (France) E-mail: frederic.bolze@unistra.fr [c] P. Kessler Institute of Genetics and Molecular and Cellular Biology 1, rue Laurent Fries, 67404 Illkirch (France) [d] L. Flamigni, B. Ventura Istituto ISOF-CNR, Via P. Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna (Italy) E-mail: barbara.ventura@isof.cnr.it $[e]$ C. S. Bonnet, \acute{E} . Tóth Centre de Biophysique Moléculaire UPR4301, CNRS, Université d'Orléans, rue Charles Sadron, 45071 Orléans (France) E-mail: eva.jakabtoth@cnrs-orleans.fr \Box Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201503433.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201503433)

tions.^[6]

The design of theranostic agents that combine MRI deteccient therapy.[7] The most obvious benefit of such agents is 8 8 P indeed to monitor the delivery of the photosensitizer to the **Possults and Discussion** 10 site of interest, to have a precise localization of the damaged **10 strategies and Discussion** area and to treat it with high spatial resolution by means of should not substantially change the biodistribution and the therapeutic agent will act as a vehicle to deliver the MRI agent to the area of the treatment. On the other hand, the chemical theranostic system as compared to the contrast agent alone namics of the Gd^{III} center, provided that the whole molecule $25\quad$ promote intersystem crossing to the triplet state by heavy cultures. $25\quad$ 26 atom effect⁽⁸⁾ and enhance the triplet yield. Finally, as each The final hetero-dinuclear [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ complex, in- 26 11 area and to treat it with high spatial resolution by means of The design of the theranostic system [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ was 11

Few molecular systems consisting of $Gd^{\parallel\parallel}$ complexes associ- 32 ated to a porphyrin derivative used as a one-photon photosen- quirements. The DPP-ZnP-NH₂ component can be readily ob- 32 sitizer for PDT have been reported to behave both as MRI con- 34 trast agents and singlet oxygen generators.^[9] In those exam- cursor contains a DOTA-like ligand, a common macrocyclic che- 34 35 ples, the relaxivity of the contrast agent constructed on a por-alator for gadolinium(III)-based contrast agents that provides -35 phyrin core was greatly affected by the size and flexibility of the whole molecule. Various strategies have also been pro- 38 posed to assemble high concentrations of the MRI probe and functionalized with an additional carboxylic acid. Gd^{III} complex- 38 39 of the photosensitizer in a nanoparticle.^[10] However, their ation of [DOTAGA]⁵⁻ involves four carboxylates and the re- 39 random proportion renders the pharmacokinetics of such systems difficult to predict. For the molecular PDT-MRI conjugate 42 systems reported so far, the optimization of the PDT sensitizer GA]²⁻ complex was also synthesized and used to prepare the 42 43 component remains to be done, in particular in terms of lower- $\,$ [DPP-ZnP-YDOTA] $^{-}$ conjugate, as the diamagnetic analogue of $\,$ 43 ing the excitation energy close to the therapeutic window (700–1000 nm) to achieve higher penetration depth in tissues. 45 45 46 This can be pursued mainly by two approaches: 1) by lowering \qquad The DPP-ZnP-NH₂ component was obtained in two steps $\qquad 46$ two-photon absorption (TPA) cross-section in the therapeutic also the advantages inherent to the TPA phenomenon, that is, photodamage of the surrounding tissues.^[6g] 33 sitizer for PDT have been reported to behave both as MRI con- tained from DPP-ZnP-TIPS (Figure 2).^[11] The [GdDOTAGA]²⁻ pre- 33 36 phyrin core was greatly affected by the size and flexibility of high thermodynamic stability, kinetic inertness, and fast water -36 37 the whole molecule. Various strategies have also been pro- exchange.^[3] In H₅DOTAGA, one of the acetate pendant arms is 37 40 random proportion renders the pharmacokinetics of such sys- maining carboxylate of [GdDOTAGA]²⁻ allows further reaction $\,$ 40 41 tems difficult to predict. For the molecular PDT-MRI conjugate without using a protection/deprotection step.[12] The [YDOTA- 41 44 ing the excitation energy close to the therapeutic window the desired paramagnetic [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ molecule 44

Following the second strategy, we herein describe the convergent synthesis of the theranostic agent [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻

1 two-photon excitation of a photosensitizer performed in the GdDOTA as MRI probe $(H_4$ DOTA = 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclodode- 2 near infrared region with short focalized laser pulses has cane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid). We have evaluated the two- 2 $\,$ $\,$ proven to be a promising approach to circumvent those limita- photon absorption properties, the singlet oxygen generation $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ 6 tion capabilities with two-photon sensitization ability for PDT have been carried out to assess its potential as a near-IR two- \qquad **is a further step towards a better control of this mild and effi-** photon PDT photosensitizer. GdDOTA as MRI probe $(H_4$ DOTA = 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid). We have evaluated the twophoton absorption properties, the singlet oxygen generation 4 tions.^[6] tions.^[6] tions. Cell 4 as well as the relaxivity of the new conjugate in solution. Cell 4 5 The design of theranostic agents that combine MRI detec- penetration and two-photon excitation experiments in cells 5 have been carried out to assess its potential as a near-IR twophoton PDT photosensitizer.

Results and Discussion

 12 the combined imaging and therapeutic functions. Ideally, the based on a convergent synthesis that couples in the last step 12 13 conjugation of the MRI contrast agent to a porphyrin deriva- two well-defined components, a diketopyrrolopyrrole–zinc(II)- 13 14 tive, known to preferentially accumulate in tumor tissues, porphyrin component, DPP-ZnP-NH₂, decorated with hydro- 14 18 association of the photosensitizer to the MRI probe can also use of a diketopyrrolopyrrole-porphyrin conjugate as a compo- 18 $\sqrt{19}$ improve its relaxation properties. The increased size of the nent of the theranostic agent was motivated by our recent $\sqrt{19}$ 21 will enhance its relaxivity by slowing down the rotational dy- has a large delocalized π -system and showed high TPA cross- 21 23 keeps a low inner flexibility.^[3] Moreover, the photosensitizer singlet oxygen in solution, penetrated readily in cells, and ex- 23 24 can benefit from the linkage with the Gd^{III} ion, which could hibited high two-photon induced phototoxicity on HeLa cell 24 based on a convergent synthesis that couples in the last step two well-defined components, a diketopyrrolopyrrole–zinc(II) porphyrin component, DPP-ZnP-NH₂, decorated with hydro- 15 should not substantially change the biodistribution and the philic oligoethylene glycol chains, and an anionic [GdDOTA- 15 16 therapeutic agent will act as a vehicle to deliver the MRI agent GA]²⁻ complex, which provides sufficient water solubility to 16 17 to the area of the treatment. On the other hand, the chemical the final compound for biological applications (Figure 1). The 17 use of a diketopyrrolopyrrole–porphyrin conjugate as a component of the theranostic agent was motivated by our recent 20 theranostic system as compared to the contrast agent alone studies on a related conjugate, DPP-ZnP-TIPS. This robust dye 20 has a large delocalized π -system and showed high TPA cross- 22 namics of the Gd^{III} center, provided that the whole molecule section in the near-IR.^[11] Furthermore, it generated efficiently 22 singlet oxygen in solution, penetrated readily in cells, and exhibited high two-photon induced phototoxicity on HeLa cell cultures.

 27 component (the Gd^{III} complex and the photosensitizer) retains corporating a Zn^{II} in the porphyrin and a Gd^{III} in the DOTA che- 28 its activity within the conjugate without a need of in vivo late, could be conceived from the pre-metalated macrocyclic li- 28 29 cleavage, the real-time monitoring of not only the delivery but gands by a careful choice of the reaction conditions to avoid 29 30 also the excretion of the theranostic agent becomes possible. Indentalation of these acid-sensitive complexes. An amide 30 The final hetero-dinuclear [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ complex, incorporating a Zn^{\parallel} in the porphyrin and a Gd^{III} in the DOTA chelate, could be conceived from the pre-metalated macrocyclic ligands by a careful choice of the reaction conditions to avoid demetalation of these acid-sensitive complexes. An amide 31 Few molecular systems consisting of Gd^{III} complexes associ- bond formation in mild conditions was able to satisfy these re- 31 quirements. The DPP-ZnP-NH₂ component can be readily obcursor contains a DOTA-like ligand, a common macrocyclic chelator for gadolinium(III)-based contrast agents that provides functionalized with an additional carboxylic acid. Gd^{III} complexation of [DOTAGA]⁵⁻ involves four carboxylates and the re- $GA]^{2-}$ complex was also synthesized and used to prepare the [DPP-ZnP-YDOTA]⁻ conjugate, as the diamagnetic analogue of (Figure 2).

 the HOMO–LUMO gap of the sensitizer through an appropriate from DPP-ZnP-TIPS. After classical cleavage of the triisopropyl 47 chemical modification, or 2) by using a sensitizer with high silyl group using TBAF, the air-sensitive intermediate DPP-ZnP- 48 window. The application of a two-photon sensitizer implies iodobenzylamine^[13] under standard Sonogashira cross-coupling 50 increased spatial resolution of the treatment and decreased phy \blacksquare and sixe-exclusion column chromatography 52 that associates a diketopyrrolopyrrole–zinc(II) porphyrin com- Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O to afford DPP-ZnP-NH₂ in almost quantitative 56 ponent as two-photon absorbing photosensitizer for PDT with yield. The DPP-ZnP-NH₂ component was obtained in two steps from DPP-ZnP-TIPS. After classical cleavage of the triisopropyl silyl group using TBAF, the air-sensitive intermediate DPP-ZnP- two-photon absorption (TPA) cross-section in the therapeutic H was reacted with the tert-butoxycarbonyl (Boc)-protected 3- 49 iodobenzylamine^[13] under standard Sonogashira cross-coupling also the advantages inherent to the TPA phenomenon, that is, reaction conditions. Purifications with silica gel chromatogra- 51 phy \blacksquare ok? \blacksquare and size-exclusion column chromatography $\%$ photodamage of the surrounding tissues.^[6g] Notation 2008 and to DPP-ZnP-NHBoc in 42% yield. Removal of the Boc-pro- Following the second strategy, we herein describe the con- tecting group using trifluoroacetic acid led to partial demetala- 54 vergent synthesis of the theranostic agent [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ tion of the zinc(II) porphyrin, which was remetalated with 55 $Zn(OAc)_{2}$ ²H₂O to afford DPP-ZnP-NH₂ in almost quantitative yield.

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 2 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

CHEMISTRY A European Journal Full Paper

 rigule 2. symmetry of Na(DFF-ZIIF-WDOTA), M=T of Gd. 51 52

The complexation of DOTAGA anhydride with $GdCl₃$ at neu-

These are not the final page numbers! $\pi\pi$

 tral pH adjusted with an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution of the activating agent O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetrame- 54 55 gave the complex Na₂[GdDOTAGA] as reported.^[12] The amide thyluronium (N-TBTU) tetrafluoroborate, and the base N,N-dii- 55 coupling reaction leading to the final [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ mol- sopropylethylamine (DIPEA). Then a DMSO solution of DPP- 56 ecule was performed by using the sodium salt of the [GdDO- ZnP-NH₂ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was 57 53 **All of the complexation of DOTAGA anhydride with GdCl₃ at neu-** TAGA]²⁻ complex, dissolved in DMSO at 65 °C before addition 53 of the activating agent O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium (N-TBTU) tetrafluoroborate, and the base N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA). Then a DMSO solution of DPP- $ZnP-NH₂$ was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was

CHEMISTRY A European Journal Full Paper

 $\,$ stirred overnight at room temperature. Size-exclusion column vater molecule, analogously to GdDOTA and all similar DOTA $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ 2 chromatography allowed the removal of the coupling agents derivatives.^[3] $\qquad \qquad 2$ and unreacted starting porphyrin from the crude mixture. 3 and unreacted starting porphyrin from the crude mixture. The relaxivity obtained for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻, $r_1 = 3$

The delicate purification of the final molecule was achieved 5 by size-exclusion column chromatography followed by prepa- lar system of this size (MW=2.4 kDa) incorporating one mono- 5 \acute{o} rative TLC using DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH 80:15:5 as eluent to hydrated GdDOTA complex. It is only slightly lower than the re- 7 afford the sodium salt Na[DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA] in 52% yield. The laxivity of P760 ($r_1 \approx$ 25 s⁻¹mm⁻¹ between 20 and 60 MHz) with 7 8 compound was characterized by high-resolution mass spec- an approximately double molecular weight (MW=5.3 kDa), 8 trometry. The isotopic profile of the molecular ionic species $[M+2Na]^{+}$, detected at 2470.8131, was in accordance with the calculated one (Supporting Information, Figure S12). The relat- $\sqrt{2}$ ed diamagnetic yttrium(III) complex Na[DPP-ZnP-YDOTA] ob- Gd^{III} is in the barycenter of the molecule and takes full advant- $\sqrt{2}$ 13 tained by reacting [YDOTAGA]²⁻ with DPP-ZnP-NH₂ in similar age of the slow rotation of the entire system. The relaxivity of 13 14 reaction conditions as for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ was further [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ is in the same order of magnitude as 14 characterized with 1D and 2D¹HNMR spectroscopy in [D6]DMSO (Supporting Information, Figure S9 and S10). 4 The delicate purification of the final molecule was achieved 19.94 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ (20 MHz, 25 °C), is remarkably high for a molecu- 4 $\%$ trometry. The isotopic profile of the molecular ionic species which was previously developed as a low diffusion, fast clear- $\%$ 10 [M + 2Na]⁺, detected at 2470.8131, was in accordance with the ance blood pool agent.^[14] In P760, a GdDOTA core is decorated 10 11 calculated one (Supporting Information, Figure S12). The relat- with four large (though not rigid) hydrophilic arms, thus the 11 15 characterized with 1D and 2D ¹H NMR spectroscopy in those reported for various nanosized objects such as MOFs,^[15] 15 $\frac{1}{6}$ [D₆]DMSO (Supporting Information, Figure S9 and S10). $\qquad \qquad$ polyrotaxanes,^[16] micelles,^[17] and slightly lower than that of op-

Relaxivity measurements 19 **Relaxivity measurements** and the state of slow rotation (Figure 3). Such slow rotation is 19

To characterize the relaxation efficiency of the theranostic agent [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻, nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) profiles were recorded in water at two different temperatures in the field range of 10 kHz–600 MHz (Figure 3). Some relaxivity data were also obtained in an aqueous solution containing 1% DMSO and were identical to those in water. Likewise, the relaxivities in the presence of 50 mm NaCl were very similar to those measured in H_2O alone (Supporting Information, Figure S14). 20 σ To characterize the relaxation efficiency of the theranostic the result of the large size of our molecule integrating extend-21 agent (DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA) – puclear magnetic relaxation disperged and rigid aromatic systems. Furthermore, a self-aggregation 21 22 sion (NMRD) profiles were recorded in water at two different phenomenon occurring in aqueous solution is likely to further 22 23 temperatures in the field range of 10 kHz-600 MHz (Figure 3) contribute to slow rotation. Indeed, self-aggregation is postu- 24 Some relaxivity data were also obtained in an aqueous solu-
lated on the basis of the photophysical properties of the con- 25 tion containing 1% DMSO and were identical to those in Jugate in water (see below). The dilution of the circa 1 mm so- 25 26 water Likewise the relaxivities in the presence of 50 mm NaCl ution by a factor of two did not have detectable effect on the 26 27 were very similar to those measured in H.O alone (Supporting relaxivity. Water soluble tri- or tetra-anionic porphyrins are 27 28 Information Figure S14) **Example 28** 28 Known to aggregate spontaneously^[19] and in the present [DPP-28

The most important parameters that influence relaxivity are the number of water molecules directly coordinated to the Gd^{III} (q), their exchange rate with the bulk water (k_{ex}) , the rotational correlation time of the complex (τ_R) , and the parameters characterizing electron spin relaxation ($\tau_{\rm V}$ and Δ^2). These parameters govern relaxivity via the Solomon–Bloembergen– Morgan (SBM) theory of paramagnetic relaxation.[3] We assume that the [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ complex has one inner-sphere 29 The most important parameters that influence relaxivity are ZnP-GdDOTA] system, the large extended π -conjugate system 29 30 the number of water molecules directly coordinated to the over the diketopyrrolopyrrole–zinc(II)porphyrin conjugate 30 31 Gd^{III} (a) their exchange rate with the bulk water (k) the rota- might favor intermolecular π - π interactions. Self-aggregation 31 $\frac{32}{2}$ tional correlation time of the complex (τ) and the parameters resulting in high relaxivities has been often observed for $\frac{32}{2}$ 33 characterizing electron spin relaxation (τ_{tot} and Λ^2) These na-
medium-size systems consisting of several Gd^{III} complexes 33 $\frac{34}{\text{ameters}}$ covern relaxivity via the Solomon-Bloembergen-
linked to an aromatic core.^[20] Nevertheless, despite the large $\frac{34}{\text{ameters}}$ 35 Morgan (SRM) theory of paramagnetic relaxation $^{[3]}$ We assume size and the aggregation of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]-, the relaxivity 35 36 that the IDPP-ZnP-GdDOTA1⁻ complex has one inner-sphere is still limited by rotation and not by slow water exchange, as 36 evidenced by the temperature dependency of the NMRD 37 37

 55 rigure 3. Th Nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (winkly) profiles for $\frac{1}{2}$ tions are completely restricted.^[3] 56 continuous lines represent the fitted curves. The Gd³⁺ content was determined the fitting was restricted to frequencies above 6 MHz, as at 56 57 mined by ICP-AES measurements. 57 and 57 how magnetic fields the SBM theory fails in describing electron-Figure 3.¹H Nuclear magnetic relaxation dispersion (NMRD) profiles for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ (1.13 mm, pH 6.6) at 25 °C (\blacktriangle) and 37 °C (v) in H₂O. The continuous lines represent the fitted curves. The Gd^{3+} content was determined by ICP-AES measurements.

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 4 4 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

RR These are not the final page numbers!

lar system of this size ($MW=2.4$ kDa) incorporating one monohydrated GdDOTA complex. It is only slightly lower than the relaxivity of P760 ($r_1 \approx 25$ s⁻¹ mm⁻¹ between 20 and 60 MHz) with an approximately double molecular weight $(MW=5.3$ kDa),

 $Gd^{\parallel\parallel}$ is in the barycenter of the molecule and takes full advantage of the slow rotation of the entire system. The relaxivity of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ is in the same order of magnitude as

derivatives.^[3]

 17 and the state of the state of the state of the relaxivity profiles display 17 18 a high field peak with a maximum at around 20 MHz, which is 18 $\frac{1}{2}$ ic parameters and rotational dynamics of slowly rotating ob- the Gd^{III} centers in [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ (lower S² value), de- jects. In the fitting procedure, the number of water molecules spite a larger size also reflected in the larger values of the directly coordinated to Gd^{III} was fixed to 1, and the water ex- global rotational correlation time, τ_q^{298} (due to the large molec- change rate constant and its activation enthalpy (k_{ex} and ΔH^{\neq}) ular weight of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA][–] and its possible aggrega- were fixed to values determined for GdDOTA.^[22] The diffusion stion). \pm constant and its activation energy were also fixed to $D_{\rm{GdH}}^{298}$ $=$ Given the hydrophobic nature of the π -conjugate diketopyr- \pm 26×10^{-10} m²s⁻¹ and $E_{\sf GdH}=$ 20 kJ mol⁻¹. The best fit parameters rolopyrrole–zinc(II)porphyrin system, we anticipated a possible $-$ obtained from the analysis of ¹H NMRD data are summarized interaction of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ with serum proteins such as $\%$ Table 1 and the Supporting Information, Table S1, and the BSA (bovine serum albumin) through hydrophobic interac-

Table 1. Best-fit parameters obtained from the fitting of the ¹H NMRD profile to the SBM theory, including the Lipari–Szabo approach for internal flexibility.[23]

 fitted curves are presented in Figure 3. The parameters charac- singlet oxygen yield) by a conventional one-photon study. 30 31 terizing electron spin relaxation ($\tau_v=38\pm10$) ps, $\Delta^2=(0.34\pm1)$ Characteristics such as absorption, and fluorescence quantum 31 0.04) \times 10¹⁹ s⁻²) are poorly determined by this fit and should yield, are key parameters for the determination of two photon 32 not be over-interpreted. In Table 1, we compare our data to absorption spectra. Moreover, traceability of the photosensitiz- 33 those reported for a metallostar [Fe{Gd₂bpy(DTTA)₂(H₂O)₄}₃]^{4–} er inside cells by fluorescence imaging depends on the lumi- 34 compound which is a discrete molecular contrast agent with nescence efficiency, whereas one- and two-photon phototoxici- 35 six Gd^{III} units conjugated to an iron(II)–tris(byridine) complex. Ity induced by singlet oxygen are strictly related to energy 36 Although the metallostar has a higher molecular weight transfer-from the excited triplet state of the sensitizer to mo- 37 (3.7 kDa), and a higher hydration number per Gd^{III} (two instead lecular oxygen. of one for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]-), we chose this comparison The photophysical characterization of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]- 39 since its rotational dynamics has been also described with the was performed in three solvents of different polarity: dichloro- 40 model-free Lipari–Szabo formalism.[23] 41 model-free Lipari–Szabo formalism.^[23] methane (DCM), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and water (H₂O). 41

 43 for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ are longer than those for the metallos- shown in Figure 4. The spectrum in DMSO appears more re- 43 44 tar [Fe{Gd₂bpy(DTTA)₂(H₂O)₄}₃]⁴ and are rather similar to the 44 45 values reported for micellar systems^[17b] (for example, suggest- 1 46 ing that the formation of aggregates should be indeed consid-
 46 47 ered to contribute to the high relaxivities). The low value of S^2 , and the set of \sim 47 48 shows that the motion of the Gd^{III}-coordinated water proton $\qquad \qquad \frac{5}{5}$ and $\qquad \qquad \frac{18}{100}$ 49 vector is largely decoupled from the overall motion of the ag-50 gregates. One contributing factor to this flexibility is the pres- $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ \wedge 51 ence of the alkyl-amide linker between the GdDOTA and the $\begin{array}{ccc} 1.0 & 1.0 & \mathcal{N} \end{array}$ highly rigid porphyrin core. As compared to 53 (Fe(Gd₂bpy(DTTA)₂(H₂O)₄}₃]⁴⁻ (Table 1), the relaxivity of our and the second of the second of \sim 53 54 system is lower under similar conditions. This lower relaxivity is $\frac{300}{300}$ $\frac{400}{300}$ $\frac{600}{300}$ $\frac{700}{300}$ 55 related to: 1) its lower hydration number (equal to one instead 55 of two for each of the six Gd centers of 56 of two for each of the six Gd centers of $_{Figure 4.}$ Absorption-spectra-of-[DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ in-DCM (black-thin), 56 52 highly rigid porphyrin core. As compared to $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{1}$ $\overline{2}$ 52

the Gd^{III} centers in [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ (lower S^2 value), despite a larger size also reflected in the larger values of the global rotational correlation time, τ_g^{298} (due to the large molecular weight of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ and its possible aggregation).

Given the hydrophobic nature of the π -conjugate diketopyrrolopyrrole–zinc(II)porphyrin system, we anticipated a possible interaction of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ with serum proteins such as BSA (bovine serum albumin) through hydrophobic interac-10 **tions.**^[24] This interaction would result in a longer τ_{R} , so an in- 10 11 **Creased relaxivity, as well as a longer circulation time.** At 11 $\sqrt{2}$ Table 1. Best-fit parameters obtained from the fitting of the 'HNMRD 20 MHz and 25 °C, the addition of the physiological concentra- $\sqrt{2}$ 13 | profile to the SBM theory, including the Lipari-Szabo approach for inter- | tion of BSA (38 g L⁻¹) yields a relaxivity of 23.94 mm⁻¹s⁻¹, that 13 $\frac{1}{4}$ an nexibility. 15 Parameters 15 [DPP-ZnP- [Fe{Gd₂bpy(DTTA)₂(H₂O)₄}₃⁴⁻ H₂O only (19.94 mm⁻¹s⁻¹). This indicates the formation of 15 16 **a supramolecular entity between our system and BSA, al-** 16 17 M_w 2448 Da 3744 Da though it is difficult to know if BSA interacts with the mono- 17

tion 22 ϵ_1 [kmol⁻¹] 40 ± 9 ϵ_2 ϵ_3 ϵ_4 ϵ_5 ϵ_6 ϵ_7 ϵ_8 ϵ_9 ϵ_9

 $25 \begin{array}{l} 25 \end{array}$ $\frac{3}{25}$ \frac 26 **CollEtional during the fitting procedure Collection Collec** 27 27 27 200 data was always because. produced by one-photon excitation, we performed a photo-28 28 physical characterization of the system (absorption, emission, 29 29 singlet oxygen yield) by a conventional one-photon study. Characteristics such as absorption, and fluorescence quantum yield, are key parameters for the determination of two photon absorption spectra. Moreover, traceability of the photosensitizer inside cells by fluorescence imaging depends on the luminescence efficiency, whereas one- and two-photon phototoxicity induced by singlet oxygen are strictly related to energy transfer from the excited triplet state of the sensitizer to molecular oxygen.

> The photophysical characterization of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ was performed in three solvents of different polarity: dichloro-

 42 The global and local rotational correlation times obtained and Absorption spectra obtained in these three solvents are 42 Absorption spectra obtained in these three solvents are shown in Figure 4. The spectrum in DMSO appears more re-

 57 {Fe[Gd₂bpy(DTTA)₂(H₂O)₄]₃⁴⁻), and 2) the increased flexibility of DCM with 1% pyridine (black dashed), DMSO (black thick), and H₂O (gray). 57 DCM with 1% pyridine (black dashed), DMSO (black thick), and H₂O (gray).

1 solved than in the other two solvents; in H₂O, in particular, decays are single exponentials, with a common value of 0.85 \pm 1 a marked broadening of all bands occurs, very likely ascribable 2 2 jugation introduced by the phenyl group, the shift being more Figure S15).

Emission spectra recorded at room temperature are displayed in Figure 5 and the relevant luminescence data are col-DMSO are not affected by the solvent polarity and in both soled with respect to precursor DPP-ZnP-TIPS.^[11] The emission 22 ed with respect to precursor DPP-ZnP-TIPS.^[11] The emission Figure 6). The addition of pyridine increases this value, and 22

 $\frac{35}{2}$ Figure 5. Corrected emission spectra of iso-absorbing solutions of [DPP-ZnP-
3.2 **Figure 5.** Corrected emission spectra of iso-absorbing solutions of [DPP-ZnP-
3.3 Figure 5. Corrected emission spectra of iso-ab GdDOTA] in DCM (black thin), DCM with 1% pyridine (black dashed), DMSO in DCM (a) and in DCM with 1% pyridine (o) as a function of absorbance at $\frac{36}{10}$ $\frac{37}{42}$ (black thick), and H₂O (gray). The spectrum in H₂O is multiplied by 50. Excita-442 nm. 38 tion is performed at 514 nm (A₅₁₄=0.070).

duction quantum yields; see the Experimental Section for details. [f] In D₂O, below detection limit. [q] From Ref. [11]. [h] Measured with an indirect method using DPBF as singlet oxygen trap (unpublished results).

decays are single exponentials, with a common value of 0.85 \pm 0.1 (Table 2).

 3 to aggregation phenomena, as also evidenced by relaxometry and the emission quantum yield in DCM is about 2.5 times 3 4 (see above). In comparison to the precursor DPP-ZnP-TIPS pre- lower than that in DMSO, which is most likely due to moderate 4 5 viously characterized,^[11] [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ displays a batho- aggregation phenomena. To verify this assumption, the charac- 5 $\acute{\circ}$ chromic spectral shift that is possibly due to the extended con- terization in DCM was also performed in presence of 1% pyri- $\acute{\circ}$ 8 pronounced in DCM than in DMSO (Supporting Information, porphyrin, inhibits aggregate formation. Both absorption and 8 12 lected in Table 2. In DCM and DMSO the typical features of gands, whereas the emission quantum yield recovers the value 12 13 porphyrin fluorescence appear, whereas in H₂O a weak and observed in DMSO (0.14, Table 2), similar to the one measured 13 14 almost featureless spectrum is observed. In the latter case for precursor DPP-ZnP-TIPS in both solvents (0.15). The emission quantum yield in DCM is about 2.5 times lower than that in DMSO, which is most likely due to moderate aggregation phenomena. To verify this assumption, the characterization in DCM was also performed in presence of 1% pyri- 7 jugation introduced by the phenyl group, the shift being more dine, which, by axial coordination to the central Zn^{II} ion of the 7 porphyrin, inhibits aggregate formation. Both absorption and $\%$ Figure S15). The sectral profiles are almost unaffected by the addi- 10 Emission spectra recorded at room temperature are dis- tion of pyridine (Figure 4 and 5), in contrast to the red shift 10 11 played in Figure 5 and the relevant luminescence data are col- usually observed for Zn-porphyrin axially complexed by N-ligands, whereas the emission quantum yield recovers the value observed in DMSO (0.14, Table 2), similar to the one measured for precursor DPP-ZnP-TIPS in both solvents (0.15).

 15 a very low emission quantum yield and a non-exponential Singlet oxygen quantum yield determinations were per- 15 16 decay, which can be fitted by a bi-exponential function with formed by direct measurement of singlet oxygen phosphores- 16 17 a good approximation, are observed (Table 2), confirming im- cence in DCM and D₂O and by an indirect method in DMSO. In 17 18 portant aggregation phenomena in this solvent. The fluores- fact, the poor luminescence properties of singlet oxygen in the -18 $\sqrt{19}$ cence spectral features of the theranostic system in DCM and latter solvent preclude direct phosphorescence determina- 21 vents the emission maximum is at ca. 685 nm, overall red-shift- pendence on the concentration of the solution (Table 2 and 21 Singlet oxygen quantum yield determinations were performed by direct measurement of singlet oxygen phosphorescence in DCM and D₂O and by an indirect method in DMSO. In fact, the poor luminescence properties of singlet oxygen in the latter solvent preclude direct phosphorescence determina-20 DMSO are not affected by the solvent polarity and in both sol- tion.^[25] In DCM, ϕ_{Δ} is of the order of 0.24 with almost no de- 20 pendence on the concentration of the solution (Table 2 and

Figure 6. Singlet oxygen production quantum yield of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA] in DCM (\bullet) and in DCM with 1% pyridine (\circ) as a function of absorbance at 442 nm.

a concentration-dependent behavior is observed (Figure 6). 40 40 **The higher value of singlet oxygen quantum yield (mean** 41 value: 0.42) can be ascribed to a disaggregation effect induced 42 42 **a** λ_{max} [nm]^[b] $\phi_{\text{n}}^{[c]}$ τ [ns]^[d] $\phi_{\text{A}}^{[c]}$ **by pyridine. Nevertheless, this value is also affected by the** 43 [DPP-ZnP- DCM 686, 750 0.057 0.84 0.24 ± 0.03 axial pyridine bond to the central Zn ion that changes the pho- 44 GdDOTA] (686,750) (0.14) (0.90) (0.42 \pm 0.08) and tophysical parameters of the porphyrin. In D₂O, no singlet 45 and $\frac{1}{2}$ which $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ oxygen signal was observed from [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻, which 46 and $\frac{1}{2}$ is most likely due to the aggregation phenomena favoring an- 47 A8 **1.10 1.10 1.10 inihilation processes, lowering the singlet oxygen quantum** 48 yield below our experimental detection limit, around 0.1 in 49 49 PPP-ZnP-TIPS DCM 658, 724 10 0.15 10 0.56 10 0.58 10 0.55 10 these conditions. In DMSO the singlet oxygen quantum yield 50 \sim 51 \sim 52 al In round brackets values obtained with 1% pyridine. [b] From correct- a singlet oxygen trap with reference to Zn-phthalocyanine 52 \triangle eq emission spectra. [c] Filorescence quantum yields, measured with ref-
(ZnPc, ϕ_{Δ} = 0.67)^[26] (see Experimental Section for details). Fig-
53 and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\$ duction quantum yields; see the Experimental Section for details. [f] In $|$ a mixture of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ and DPBF upon irradiation at -55 P₂O, below detection limit. [g] From Ref. [11]. [h] Measured with an indi- 672 nm. Subtraction of the constant contribution of [DPP-ZnP- 56] Lect method using DPBF as singlet oxygen trap (unpublished results). GdDOTA]-, not experiencing photodegradation, allows the vis- 57

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 6 6 8 3016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

 Figure 7. a) Absorption spectra of a DMSO solution containing [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ (7.8×10⁻⁶ M, -----) and DPBF (5.2×10⁻⁵ M) upon irradiation at 672 nm (0- -13 30 min). b) The same spectra as (a) subtracted by the contribution of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻. Inset: the value of DPBF absorbance at 418 nm as a function of ir-
 14 radiation time (\circ ; values for the same experiment in the presence of NaN₃ 5.0 \times 10⁻² M are shown as \bullet).

16

[DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ was found to be thermally and photo- physically stable in the explored solvents and under the em-
 32 **ployed experimental conditions.** The conditions of the cond

Two-photon excitation spectra

 induced fluorescence method as described previously.^[11] TPA tion of HeLa cells with a 1 μ m solution of the sensitizer was de- was studied in DCM containing 1% pyridine to avoid aggrega- tected after 24h instead of 2 h. After 24h incubation, two tion and in DMSO. The low values of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ fluo- rescence quantum yield in water precluded the determination brightness of this system in cells is lower than the one of the $\,$ of σ_2 in this solvent. Figure 8 shows the two-photon excitation parent molecule, indeed the laser power used to acquire con- spectra of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ in DCM with 1% pyridine and trasted images was higher than for DPP-ZnP-TIPS both in con- in DMSO. This agent shows an interesting two-band pattern in focal and two-photon excited microscopy. the 800–950 nm range with two maxima, one in the 800- $48-$ 840 nm range ($\sigma_2\approx$ 750 GM) and one in the 910–940 range (σ_2- MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bro- $49\quad\approx$ 1000 GM). The second band is slightly red-shifted from DCM \quad mide) test. A moderate dark toxicity was detected below 2 μ m \quad to DMSO, but the TPA intensity is similar in both solvents. after 28 h incubation with the sensitizer (Figure 10). Compared to DPP-ZnP-TIPS, the parent molecule without the 52 contrast agent, σ_2 values in DCM are similar, whereas in DMSO, σ_1 are nhaten phatetoxi-ity. the values are slightly higher. Combined to high singlet oxygen generation quantum yield, these values yield to inter-(1% pyridine), respectively. tion and in DMSO. The low values of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ fluo- photon excited images (Figure 9) were also registered. The the 800–950 nm range with two maxima, one in the 800- The dark cytotoxicity of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ was studied by oxygen generation quantum yield, these values yield to inter- Phototoxicity of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ was investigated using

 [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA] was found to be thermally and photo- Figure 8. Two-photon excitation spectra of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA] in DCM con- 31 taining 1% pyridine (\bullet) and in DMSO (\bullet).

Cell behavior **Cell behavior** 34

 TPA properties were investigated by means of the two-photon and the maximum fluorescence signal obtained after incuba- 39 The cellular penetration of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]- was studied by 36 $\frac{37}{2}$ $\frac{37}{2}$ inception exclusion spectrum \sim slows down the cell penetration as compared to DPP-ZnP-TIPS, 38 and the maximum fluorescence signal obtained after incubation of HeLa cells with a 1 μ m solution of the sensitizer was detected after 24 h instead of 2 h. After 24 h incubation, twobrightness of this system in cells is lower than the one of the parent molecule, indeed the laser power used to acquire contrasted images was higher than for DPP-ZnP-TIPS both in confocal and two-photon excited microscopy.

> MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) test. A moderate dark toxicity was detected below $2 \mu m$ after 28 h incubation with the sensitizer (Figure 10).

One-photon phototoxicity

 esting two-photon singlet oxygen action cross-section $\sigma_2 \cdot \phi_\Delta$ (in a homemade LED Irradiation apparatus as described by Ander- 55 the range 910–940 nm) of 680 and 460 GM in DMSO and DCM son et al.^[6f] After 24 h incubation with 1 μm [DPP-ZnP- 56 a homemade LED Irradiation apparatus as described by Anderson et al.^[6f] After 24 h incubation with 1μ m [DPP-ZnP-(1% pyridine), respectively. The state of the state of GdDOTA]⁻ in DMEM containing 1% DMSO, the cells were irra- 57

A European Journal Full Paper

Figure 9. Two-photon excited microscopy image of HeLa cells incubated with 1 μ m of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ for 24 h ($\lambda_{ex}=$ 920 nm, laser pow $er=10$ mW, scale bar = 25 $µ$ m).

Figure 10. Evaluation of the cytotoxicity of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ after 28 h incubation on HeLa cell cultures.

(Figure 11).

Non-irradiated cells showed a similar toxicity to the one ob- $ZnP-GdDOTA$ ⁻, which was equal to 9 J cm⁻².

Two-photon phototoxicity

was explored with 1 µm [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ as described pre- zinc(II)porphyrin conjugate, as a vector to convey an imaging 57

 λ_{av} = 660 nm.

22 Figure 9. Two-photon excited microscopy image of HeLa cells incubated at 400 Hz, pixel dwell time of 1.4 μ s). The cell viability was as- 22 with 1 µm of [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ for 24 h (λ_{ex} =920 nm, laser pow-**comegogies of a double coloration** and a double coloration and a double coloration and a set of a double coloration and a set of λ er = 10 mW, scale bar = 25 µm). \blacksquare with Hoechst (all cells staining) and Cytox orange (compro- 24 mised membrane cells) nuclear stains. The irradiation led to 25 about 50% of cell death, whereas the irradiation of a similar 26

Conclusion

 diated one hour ($\lambda_{\rm ex}$ =660 nm, P=1.7–4.2 mW/ cm²). The cell 20 MHz, 25 °C in water and this value is further increased to 43 viability was assessed 5 h after irradiation using the MTT assay 23.94 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ in the presence of BSA, demonstrating its po- 44 served for the dark toxicity test. After one-hour irradiation with around 1000 GM in DCM (1% pyridine) and DMSO in the 910– 47 an irradiance of 4.2 mW/cm², 70% of cell death was detected, $\,$ 940 nm range, corresponding to the therapeutic window. The $\,$ 48 even with a low concentration of the sensitizer (1 μ m, com- singlet oxygen generation is high in DMSO and more limited 49 pared to 10 μm classically used). Irradiations with different in DCM, where aggregation phenomena occur. Concerning 50 powers allowed us to determine the LD₅₀ (light dose) of [DPP- biological applications, the molecule is robust, penetrates in 51 Preliminary two-photon induced cell phototoxicity behavior of using a therapeutic agent, here the diketopyrrolopyrrole- 56 $\frac{39}{2}$ and the Y^{III}-complexed analogue [DPP-39] 40 rigule in explication of the cytological curre-zine-gabolici after zo in a znP-YDOTA]- were obtained in good yield, high purity and 40 were fully characterized. [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ exhibits a high 41 longitudinal water proton relaxivity, r_1 of 19.94 mm⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 42 20 MHz, 25° C in water and this value is further increased to 23.94 mm⁻¹s⁻¹ in the presence of BSA, demonstrating its potential as an efficient contrast agent for in vivo MRI applica- 45 $46\,$ Mon-irradiated cells showed a similar toxicity to the one ob- $\,$ tions. The new molecule has a high two-photon cross section $\,$ 46 around 1000 GM in DCM (1% pyridine) and DMSO in the 910– 940 nm range, corresponding to the therapeutic window. The singlet oxygen generation is high in DMSO and more limited in DCM, where aggregation phenomena occur. Concerning biological applications, the molecule is robust, penetrates in ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻, which was equal to 9 J cm⁻². The resells and presents low dark toxicity. Preliminary PDT experi- 52 ments on HeLa cancer cells are promising; the conjugate dem- 53 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ onstrates high one-photon and moderate two-photon photo t_{tot} toxicity. Finally, the present system also validates the concept 55 of using a therapeutic agent, here the diketopyrrolopyrrole– zinc(II)porphyrin conjugate, as a vector to convey an imaging

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 8 8 3000 8 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Experimental Section

General information

used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran was dried using a dry solvent station GT S100. Dry chloroform was obtained by dis-F254 plates and visualization when required was achieved using UV light. Precoated plates (PLC Silica gel 60 F_{254} , 2 mm) were used for preparative thin-layer chromatography. Column chromatography was carried out on silica (Fluka 60, 70–230 mesh). Size-exclu-

20 **phy was carried out on silica (Fluka 60, 70–230 mesh). Size-exclu-** for $[C_{104}H_{121}N_7O_{24}ZnNa_2]^2$ ⁺/2). sion chromatography was carried out using Bio-Beads S-X1, 200–
20 2012 - Sion chromatography was carried out using Bio-Beads S-X1, 200– 21 and mesh (Bio-Rad). NMR spectra were recorded at the ambient 200 and 21 and 22 22 probe temperature using Bruker AVANCE 300, 400 or 500 spec-
22 trometers. Chemical shifts are quoted as parts per million (ppm) 23 23 24 relative to the residual peak of solvent and coupling constants (J) 24 25 are quoted in Hertz (Hz). Where assignments of ¹H NMR spectra **Synthesis of DPP-ZnP-NH**₂ 25 are given, they were unambiguously established via COSY, HSQC, HMBC and ROESY experiments. In the assignments, the chemical shift (in ppm) is given first, followed, in brackets, by the multiplicity of the signal (s singlet, d doublet, t triplet, m multiplet, br s broad (ES-MS). ICP-AES was performed by emission spectrometry with a Vista AX CCD Simultaneous ICP-AES Varian spectrophotometer. 12 used without further purification. Tetranydrofuran was dried using $13_C NMR$ (125 MHz, CDCl₃ +1% pyridine, 298 K): δ = 28.53, 42.12, 12

Compounds tert-butoxycarbonyl (Boc)-protected 3-iodobenzylamine $(I-Ph-NHBoc)$,^[13] Na₂[GdDOTAGA],^[12] and Na₂[YDOTAGA]^[12] were prepared according to previous reports.

Synthesis of DPP-ZnP-NHBoc

temperature for 20 min and then anhydrous calcium chloride (87 mg, 0.786 mmol) was added. The solvent was evaporated and the crude was submitted to a silica gel column chromatography (DCM/MeOH 96:4). Due to its instability, the deprotected compound DPP-ZnP-H obtained as a brown-green solid was rapidly used for the next reaction.

 49 (0.5 mg, 2.7 µmol), PPh₃ (2.5 mg, 9.5 µmol), and I-Ph-NHBoc (19 mg, $\frac{9.67 \text{ ppm}}{132000 \text{ ppm}}$ (d, J=4.4 Hz, ZH, Dy₁ or Dy₄). argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at 50 \degree C for 2 h. The solvents were evaporated and the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (DCM/pyridine 1%/MeOH 1 to 2%) followed by size-exclusion column chromatography (Bio-Beads S-X1)

1 probe of high relaxivity inside cells, in contrast to extracellular ¹HNMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃+1% pyridine, 298 K): δ = 1.50 (s, 9H, 1) 2 agents of clinical use, such as GdDOTA. Such theranostic H_{10} , 3.30 (s, 12H, H₁), 3.33 (s, 3H, H₂₅), 3.39 (s, 3H, H₂₀), 3.44–3.50 2 3 agents are promising to expand MRI towards novel molecular $(m, 10H, H_{24}, H_2)$, 3.55–3.57 (m, 4H, H_{23,} H₁₉), 3.60–3.63 (m, 10H, H_{3,} 4 imaging applications and to perform more efficient PDT treat-
 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3.00-5.00}{2}$, $\frac{1}{10}$, $\frac{3.70-5.00}{2}$, $\frac{1}{10}$, $\frac{3.74-3.79}{2}$ (m, 101, 121, 13), 13, 24–3.82 All chemicals were of the best commercially available grade and py_4 , 9.67 ppm (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 2 H, py_1 or py_4). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃+1% pyridine, 298 K): δ = 1.50 (s, 9 H, H₁₀), 3.30 (s, 12H, H₁), 3.33 (s, 3H, H₂₅), 3.39 (s, 3H, H₂₀), 3.44–3.50 (m, 10H, H₂₄, H₂), 3.55–3.57 (m, 4H, H_{23,} H₁₉), 3.60–3.63 (m, 10H, H_{3,} H₁₈), 3.66–3.70 (m, 8H, H₄), 3.74–3.79 (m, 10H, H₂₂, H₅), 3.82–3.85 (m, 2H, H₁₇), 3.92–3.95 (m, 8H, H₆), 3.98–4.02 (m, 2H, H₂₁), 4.04– ments.

4.08 (m, 2H, H₁₆), 4.29–4.33 (m, 8H, H₇), 4.42 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H, H₈), 5.32 (d $\frac{6}{100}$ (h, 211, 11₆), 125 (h, 211, 11₆), 125 (h, 911, 11₁), 112 (d, 3 $\frac{1}{200}$ i.12 (d, 3 $\frac{1}{200}$ i.12 (d, 3 $\frac{1}{200}$ i.12 i.14 i.1₈), 1.26 (d, 1=2.2 Hz, 4H, **Experimental Section** $\frac{7}{2}$ **Experimental Section** $\frac{7}{2}$ **Experimental Section Experimental Section** 8 $J=8.3$ Hz, 2H, m^o), 7.98–8.01 (m, 2H, op), 8.13 (d, $J=8.5$ Hz, 2H, $\frac{8}{3}$ **General information** \circ' , 8.23 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, m'), 8.91 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H, py₂ or py₃), \circ' 10 **a** $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{$ py₄), 9.67 ppm (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H, py₁ or py₄).

 $\frac{13}{13}$ tillation over CaH₂ under nitrogen. Triethylamine (Et₃N, 99%), and $\frac{13}{70.74}$ 70.95, 71.91.71.97.93.39.96.07.96.27.96.71.100.09.101.07 anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99.7%) were purchased from $\frac{101.45}{101.45}$ 100.00 110.15 11.88 122.47 123.31 127.36 127.45 15 Aldrich Chemicals (France). Analytical thin-layer chromatography $\frac{151.7883}{177.81}$ 158.80 178.80 179.47 179.74 179.86 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179.88 179 $\frac{16}{16}$ (TLC) was carried out on Merck aluminum backed silica gel 60 $\frac{17.73}{131.73}$, 131.83, 132.55, 132.75, 139.51, 144.54, 148.41, 149.29, $\frac{16}{16}$ 42.48, 59.04, 59.11, 59.20, 67.89, 68.97, 69.13, 69.92, 70.54, 70.63, 70.74, 70.95, 71.91, 71.97, 93.39, 96.07, 96.23, 96.71, 100.09, 101.07, 101.45, 109.90, 110.15, 114.88, 122.47, 123.31, 127.36, 127.45, 127.82, 128.10, 128.89, 129.42, 129.74, 130.56, 130.80, 131.28, 131.73, 131.83, 132.55, 132.75, 139.51, 144.54, 148.41, 149.29, 17 F254 plates and visualization when required was achieved using 149.82, 149.93, 152.04, 152.21, 157.09, 157.94, 163.03, 163.13 ppm. 17 $\frac{18}{18}$ UV light. Precoated plates (PLC Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄, 2 mm) were used
The ES-MS: m/z (%) 981.8761 (100) [M+2Na]²⁺/2 (calcd 981.8799 for $[C_{104}H_{121}N_7O_{24}ZnNa_2]^{2+}/2$).

Synthesis of DPP-ZnP-NH₂

 signal), the number of protons implied, the value of the coupling and ature, the organic layer was washed with water (1 mL) and aque-
29 signal), the number of protons implied, the value of the coupling are caturated constants in Hertz if applicable, and finally the assignment. UV/Vis and structured want UV and overpreted The crude product was directived spectra were recorded on a UVIKON XL spectrophotometer. Mass $\frac{1}{10}$ day CHCL (20 ml) and a solution of 2n(0Ac) 3H O (11 mg) spectra were obtained by using a Bruker MicroTOF spectrometer $\frac{1}{49}$ umol) in MeOH (2 ml) was added dropwise. The resulting mix-
32 are given, they were unambiguously established via COSY, HSQC, Compound DPP-ZnP-NHBoc (63 mg, 33 µmol) was dissolved in dry 26 minus and NUEST experiments, in the assignments, the cristmical 27 DCM (7 mL). The resulting mixture was cooled to 0°C before 27 $\frac{3}{100}$ of the signal (s singlet d doublet t triplet m multiplet by shoad ature, the organic layer was washed with water (7 mL) and aqueous saturated NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and evaporated. The crude product was dissolved in dry CHCl₃ (20 mL) and a solution of $Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O$ (11 mg, μ mol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting mix-33 (ES-MS). ICP-AES was performed by emission spectrometry with ture was stirred at 50 °C for 3 h. The solvents were evaporated. 33 a Vista AX CCD Simultaneous ICP-AES Varian spectrophotometer. BCM (10 mL) was added and the solution was washed twice with 34 Compounds tert-buttoxycarbonyl (Boc)-protected 3-iodobenzyla brine (2×10 mL) then with water (10 mL). The organic layer was 35 mine (I-Ph-NHBoc), and Da₂IGdDO1AGAJ, and Na₂IYDO1AGAJ¹²² dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to dryness. DPP-ZnP- 36 were prepared according to previous reports. 37 NH₂ was obtained as a brown-green solid in 99% yield (59 mg). 37

 $\frac{18}{38}$ Constructing of DPP 7-P NUPs of the UV/Vis (DCM): λ_{max} (log ε) = 458 (5.26), 514 sh, 592 sh, 683 nm 38 (4.88). 39

40 A solution of TBAF (25 mg, 79 µmol) in dry THF (1 mL) was added HNMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1% pyridine, 298 K): δ = 3.30 (s, 12 H, 40 41 to a degassed solution of DPP-ZnP-TIPS (147 mg, 79 µmol) in dry H_1 , 3.33 (s, 3H, H₂₅), 3.39 (s, 3H, H₂₀), 3.45–3.49 (m, 10H, H₂, H₂₄), 41 μ THF (24 mL) under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred at room μ 3.51–3.53 (m, 2H, H₁₉), 3.54–3.56 (m, 2H, H₂₃), 3.59–3.62 (m, 10H, μ ₂), 3.59–3.62 (m, 10H, μ 2 48 DPP-ZnP-H (65 mg, 20 μmol), [Pd₂(dba)₃] (1.7 mg, 2 μmol), CuI (d, J=4.4 Hz, 2H, py₃ or py₃), 9.66 (d, J=4.4 Hz, 2H, py₁ or py₄), 48 ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1% pyridine, 298 K): δ = 3.30 (s, 12 H, H₁), 3.33 (s, 3H, H₂₅), 3.39 (s, 3H, H₂₀), 3.45–3.49 (m, 10H, H₂, H₂₄), 3.51–3.53 (m, 2H, H₁₉), 3.54–3.56 (m, 2H, H₂₃), 3.59–3.62 (m, 10H, H_{18} , H₃), 3.67–3.69 (m, 8H, H₄), 3.76–3.78 (m, 12H, H₈, H₅, H₂), 3.82– $\frac{13}{43}$ temperature for 20 min and then annyarous calcium chlonde
4.85 (m, 2H, H₇), 3.92–3.94 (m, 8H, H₆), 3.99–4.01 (m, 2H, H₁₇), 3.92–3.94 (m, 8H, H₆), 3.99–4.01 (m, 2H, H₂₁), the crude was submitted to a silica gel column chromatography $4.05-4.07$ (m, 2H, H₁₆), 4.30–4.32 (m, 8H, H₇), 6.93 (br s, 2H, p), 4.4 45 (DCM/MeOH 96:4). Due to its instability, the deprotected com- 7.36–7.38 (m, 6H, o, m^a), 7.50–7.53 (m, 3H, mp, pp), 7.94 (d, J= 45 46 pound DPP-ZnP-H obtained as a brown-green solid was rapidly 7.9 Hz, 2H, o"), 7.99–8.00 (m, 2H, op), 8.13 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2H, o'), 46 47 used for the next reaction. 47 8.23 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, m'), 8.91 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, py₂ or py₃), 8.94 47 (d, $J=4.4$ Hz, 2H, py₂ or py₃), 9.66 (d, $J=4.4$ Hz, 2H, py₁ or py₄), 9.67 ppm (d, $J=4.4$ Hz, 2H, py₁ or py₄).

50 57 µmol) were dried under vacuum for 1 hour at 45 °C. A solution \sim C NMR (125 MHz, CDCI₃ + 1 % pyridine, 298 K): δ =42.08, 42.44, 50 51 of dry THF (2 mL) and Et₃N (1 mL) degassed by four freeze-thaw 40.12, 59.06, 59.13, 59.23, 67.81, 68.93, 69.10, 69.90, 70.51, 70.60, 51 52 cycles was transferred by cannula to the solids placed under $\frac{70.71}{70.92}$, $\frac{71.88}{71.93}$, $\frac{93.14}{78}$, $\frac{96.00}{72}$, $\frac{96.34}{72}$, $\frac{96.71}{71}$, $\frac{100.00}{71}$, $\frac{100.99}{71}$, $\frac{100.00}{71}$, $\$ 56 with DCM. DPP-ZnP-NHBoc was obtained as a brown-green solid HR ES-MS: m/z (%) 1818.7247 (100) [M+H]⁺ (calcd 1818.7323 for 56 57 in 42% yield (63 mg). 57 $[C_{99}H_{114}N_7O_{22}Zn]^+$). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃ + 1% pyridine, 298 K): δ = 42.08, 42.44, 46.12, 59.06, 59.13, 59.23, 67.81, 68.93, 69.10, 69.90, 70.51, 70.60, 70.71, 70.92, 71.88, 71.93, 93.14, 96.00, 96.34, 96.71, 100.00, 100.95, 101.56, 109.84, 110.09, 114.78, 122.42, 122.77, 123.47, 127.34, $\frac{127.4}{127.40}$, $\frac{127.4}{128.03}$, $\frac{129.4}{129.41}$, $\frac{129.7}{129.73}$, $\frac{129.7}{129.60}$, $\frac{129.7}{129.73}$, $\frac{129.7}{129.73}$, $\frac{130.53}{130.80}$, $\frac{131.29}{129.73}$, $\frac{130.53}{130.80}$, $\frac{131.29}{129.73}$ 54 column chromatography (DCM/pyridine 1%/MeOH 1 to 2%) fol- 131.73, 131.77, 132.51, 132.74, 143.07, 144.52, 148.42, 149.29, 54 55 lowed by size-exclusion column chromatography (Bio-Beads S-X1) 149.48, 149.76, 149.87, 152.00, 152.18, 157.88, 163.02, 163.13 ppm. 55 HR ES-MS: m/z (%) 1818.7247 (100) $[M+H]^{+}$ (calcd 1818.7323 for $[C_{99}H_{114}N_7O_{22}Zn]^+$).

Synthesis of Na[DPP-ZnP-MDOTA]

A solution of Na₂[MDOTAGA] (12.7 mmol. L⁻¹, 50 µmol, 1.5 equiv) in absorption peaks.
2 **A solution of Na₂[MDOTAGA] (12.7 mmol. L⁻¹, 50 µmol, 1.5 equiv) in** cinglet overge areduction suggestion widds in DCM and D.O dry DMSO was stirred under argon at 60 \degree C for 5 h. TBTU (18 mg, 60° C under reduced pressure before water (10 mL) and DCM (10 mL) were added. The organic layer was washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and evaporated. The product was purified by size-exclusion column chromatography (Bio-Beads S-X1, 18 g) eluted with DCM, followed by $\frac{12}{\text{a}}$ a silica prep-TLC (2 mm) eluted with DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH (80:15:5). ca. 7 mW to avoid annihilation effects). (10 mL) and this solution was washed with water (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and evaporated. The compound was dried under vacuum.

Characterization of the gadolinium(III) complex Na[DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]: Brown-yellow solid (42 mg, 52%).

UV/Vis: (DCM): λ_{max} (log ε) = 455 (5.29), 515 sh, 590 sh, 622 sh, 672 nm (4.85). sample (ϕ_{Δ}) were determined by using Equation (1):
22 $\frac{672 \text{ nm}}{485}$ (1):

 $\frac{23}{24}$ HR ES-MS: m/z (%) 2475.8193 (100) $[M-H_2O+2Na]^+$ (calcd $\frac{23}{24}$ 24
2475.7982 for $[C_{118}H_{139}GdN_{11}O_{31}ZnNa_2]^+$, 100%)

Brown-yellow solid. $\frac{26}{\text{Brown-vellow solid.}}$ 26

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO, 298 K): δ = 1.71-1.92 (m, 2H, H₁₀), 2.11-2.92 (m, 25H, DOTA), 3.19 (s, 12H, H₁), 3.20 (s, 3H, H₂₅), 3.25 (s, 3H, 29 H₂₀), 3.38–3.66 (m, 44H, OCH₂), 3.85 (m, 8H, H₆), 3.92 (m, 2H, H₂₁), of absorption at the excitation wavelength calculated as described 29 30 3.99 (m, 2H, H₁₆), 4.34 (m, 8H, H₇), 4.35–4.49 (m, 2H, H₈), 7.04 (m, in reference.^[30] NaN₃ was used at the concentration of 5.0×10⁻² M 30 3 2H, p), 7.35 (br s, 4H, o), 7.51 (d, 2H, J=8.1 Hz, m''), 7.62 (m, 3H, to efficiently quench the produced singlet oxygen.^[31] Small 31 32 mp, pp), 7.97 (m, 2H, op), 8.09 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H, o''), 8.22 (d, J= changes in the absorption spectra of both sample and standard 32 8.1 Hz, 2H, o'), 8.31 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H, m'), 8.53 (br s, J=6.5 Hz, 1H, 33 33 H₉), 8.91 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H, py2 or py3), 8.93 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H, py2 $\frac{34}{25}$ or py3), 9.71 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 2H, py2 or py3), 6.95 (d, J=4.7 Hz, 2H, py2
 $\frac{34}{25}$ or py3), 9.71 (d, J=4.5 Hz, 2H, py1 or py4), 9.76 ppm (d, J=4.5 Hz, $\frac{34}{25}$ and at a hater phater busined are entirely 2H, py1 or py4). 28 2.92 (m, 25H, DOTA), 3.19 (s, 12H, H₁), 3.20 (s, 3H, H₂₅), 3.25 (s, 3H, and the standard, respectively, and I_{abs} ok? \blacksquare is the intensity 28 35 $\frac{3}{1}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{$

HR ES-MS: m/z 2406.7977 $[M-H₂O+2Na]$ ⁺ (calcd 2406.7806 for $[C_{118}H_{139}YN_{11}O_{31}ZnNa_2]$ ⁺, 100%) $\frac{37}{100}$ $\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}{21}$ $\frac{37}{100}$ \frac

Spectroscopy and photophysics

 μ DCM and DMSO were of spectroscopic grade from Merck and ed to ensure an intensity-squared dependence of the fluorescence μ Carlo Erba, respectively. Tri-distilled (Millipore Milli-Q) water and over the whole spectral range. Calibration of the spectra was per-
deuterated water (D.O) from 1000 Chamicals wase weed 1.2 dinha. Fermed by comparison deuterated water (D₂O) from VWR Chemicals were used. 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF), Zn-phthalocyanine (ZnPc), pyridine, and sodium azide (NaN₂) were from Aldrich. nylisobenzofuran (DPBF), Zn-phthalocyanine (ZnPc), pyridine, and μ ne B two-photon absorption spectrum.^[33] Below 800 nm, a signifi- 45 sodium azide (NaN₃) were from Aldrich. 45 and 45 cant contribution from one-photon absorption was noticed by

Absorption spectra were recorded with a PerkinElmer Lambda 650 UV/Vis and with a Lambda 9 UV/Vis-NIR spectrophotometers. Emis- 48 sion spectra were collected with an Edinburgh FLS920 fluorimeter discarded. 49 equipped with a Peltier-cooled Hamamatsu R928 PMT (200– 49 850 nm), and corrected for the wavelength dependent phototube 50 50 response. The fluorescence quantum yields were determined with 51 51 a standard $\phi_{\text{fl}}=0.11$).^[27] Fluorescence lifetimes were measured with an IBH time-correlated single photon counting apparatus with excierrors are 10% on exponential lifetimes, 20% on quantum yields, 47 UV/Vis and with a Lambda 9 UV/Vis-NIR spectrophotometers. Emis-
cence vs the laser power and points below this wavelength were 47

1 Synthesis of Na[DPP-ZnP-MDOTA] 20% on molar absorption coefficients and 3 nm on emission and absorption peaks.

Full Paper

CHEMISTRY A European Journal

 $\frac{4}{100}$ 56 µmol) and DIPEA (18 µL, 102 µmol) were added to the resulting measured with reference to Rose Bengal bis(triethylammonium)salt $\frac{4}{100}$ 5 solution. Then DPP-ZnP-NH₂ (59 mg, 33 µmol) previously dissolved $\phi_{\Delta} = 0.48$ in DCM), and to 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulphonatophe- $\frac{1}{2}$ in dry DMSO (2 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was $\frac{1}{2}$ in the intensity of $\frac{1}{2}$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{$ $\frac{1}{2}$ stirred at room temperature overnight. DMSO was evaporated at $\frac{1}{2}$ are intensity of singlet paygen phosphorescence spectra incate $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$ dry DMSQ was critingly under aroun at 60 °C for 5 b. TRTU (18 mg) Singlet oxygen production quantum yields in DCM and D₂O were $\frac{3}{2}$ measured with reference to Rose Bengal bis(triethylammonium)salt $\phi_{\Lambda}=0.48$ in DCM),^[28] and to 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-sulphonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPPS₄) ($\phi_{\Delta}=0.64$ in D₂O),^[29] respectively, by comparing the intensity of singlet oxygen phosphorescence spectra measured with a FLS920 spectrofluorimeter (Edinburgh) equipped with a Hamamatsu R5509–72 supercooled photomultiplier tube at $\frac{8}{10}$ merged by $\frac{10 \text{ m}}{2}$ merged photomultiplier tube at $\frac{8}{10}$ merged $\frac{10 \text{ m}}{2}$ merged $\frac{10 \text{ m}}{2}$ merged $\frac{10 \text{ m}}{2}$ merged $\frac{10 \text{$ \degree (10 mL) were added. The organic layer was washed with water and \degree 193 K and a TM300 emission monochromator with a grating \degree $\frac{10}{10}$ inter-the organic layer was uned over 1992-04, interest, and evapo-
blazed at 1000 nm. Excitation at 442 nm was performed with $\frac{1}{2}$ to the Product was pursed by $\frac{1}{2}$ a Kimmon Koha Co., Ltd. HeCd laser (the power was reduced to $\frac{1}{2}$ ca. 7 mW to avoid annihilation effects).

 13 The product was extracted from silica three times with a mixture Singlet oxygen production quantum yield in DMSO was measured 13 $\frac{1}{4}$ of solvents DCM/MeOH/NH₄OH/DMSO (80:15:4:1). Remaining silica with a comparative method using DPBF as a singlet oxygen trap, $\frac{1}{4}$ was removed by several centrifugations and filtrations. After evap-15 15 $\frac{1}{6}$ oration of the solvents, the compound was redissolved in DCM monitored by the decrease of its absorbance at 418 nm. $\frac{1}{6}$ Singlet oxygen production quantum yield in DMSO was measured with a comparative method using DPBF as a singlet oxygen trap, which is oxidized by singlet oxygen and its degradation can be monitored by the decrease of its absorbance at 418 nm.[30] ZnPc was used as a standard ($\phi_{\Delta}=0.67$).^[26] Solutions of the standard or (10 mL) and this solution was washed with water (10 mL) and was used is a starting $(\psi_{A} = 0.57)$. Solutions of the starting 17
17 brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na SO, filtered, of the Gd complex conta and evaporated. The compound was dried under vacuum.
and evaporated. The compound was dried under vacuum. dark, were irradiated at 672 nm ($A_{672}=0.6$) by using an irradiation $\frac{19}{2}$ characterization of the gadelinium(III) complex. NaIDPP znp set-up composed by a 150 W xenon lamp (LOT) and a Omni- λ 150 $\frac{19}{2}$ 20 CHIPOTAL PROVIDUAL INTENSITY COMPRETED THE CONTROL CONTROLLED MONOCHROMATOR (ZOLIX), under continuous stirring. The light inten-

monochromator (Zolix), under continuous stirring. The light inten- $\frac{21}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ means of the $\frac{21}{2}$ music (DCM), $\frac{1}{2}$ (log c) = 4EE (E 20), E1E ch, E00 ch, 622 ch, sity was 12 mW cm⁻². The singlet oxygen quantum yield of the $\frac{21}{2}$

2475.7982 for
$$
[C_{118}H_{139}GdN_{11}O_{31}ZnNa_2]^+
$$
, 100%)
\n
$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n25 & \text{Characterization of the yttrium(III) complex Na[DPP-ZnP-YDOTA]:} & \phi_{\Delta} = \phi_{\Delta}^{\text{std}} \frac{R \cdot \text{F}_{\text{abs}}^{\text{std}}}{R^{\text{std}} \cdot I_{\text{abs}}} & (1) & 25 \\
26 & \text{Sinc} & (1) & 25 \\
\end{array}
$$

²⁷ **H NMR** (300 MHz, DMSO, 298 K): δ = 1.71–1.92 (m, 2H, H₁₀), 2.11– where R and R^{std} are the DPBF degradation rates for the sample ²⁷ of absorption at the excitation wavelength calculated as described in reference.^[30] NaN₃ was used at the concentration of 5.0×10^{-2} M to efficiently quench the produced singlet oxygen.^[31] Small changes in the absorption spectra of both sample and standard were observed upon the addition of NaN₃.

 $\frac{36}{2}$ HR ES-MS: m/z 2406.7977 [M-H₂O+2Na]⁺ (calcd 2406.7806 for The TPA cross-section spectra were obtained by up-conversion (680 nm/1300 nm) femtosecond laser. The excitation beam is colli-38 38 **mated over the cell length (10 mm). The fluorescence, collected at** 39 40 Spectroscopy and photophysics and the excitation beam, was focused into an optical fiber con- 40 All the incident beam intensity was adjust- \downarrow ed to ensure an intensity-squared dependence of the fluorescence over the whole spectral range. Calibration of the spectra was performed by comparison with the published 800–950 nm Rhodami- 46 Absorption spectra were recorded with a PerkinFlmer Lambda 650 a non-quadratic dependency dependence of the emitted fluores- 46 discarded.

Cell culture

 52 reference to TPP (tetraphenylporphyrin) in aerated toluene as HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM complete culture medium con-
 52 54 tation both at 465 nm and 560 nm. The analysis of the lumines-
 54 tation both at 465 nm and 560 nm. The analysis of the lumines- dishes for two-photon microscopy. The Ibidi Grid 500 petri dish 55 cence decay profiles against time was accomplished with the DAS6 presents a grid with 500×500 µm on the glass bottom allowing an 55 56 Decay Analysis Software provided by the manufacturer. Estimated easy localization of the irradiation zones in TP-PDT experiments. 56 HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM complete culture medium containing phenol red. They were seeded and maintained in LabTek 53 a standard $\psi_{\text{fl}} = 0.11$. Fructescence meanines were measured with a tailing prientified. They were seeded and maintained in Lablek 53 an IBH time-correlated single photon counting apparatus with exci- (Lab-Tek dishes for two-photon microscopy. The Ibidi Grid 500 petri dish presents a grid with 500×500 µm on the glass bottom allowing an easy localization of the irradiation zones in TP-PDT experiments. 57 errors are 10% on exponential lifetimes, 20% on quantum yields, MTT toxicity test were performed in tetraplicates with extempora- 57

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 10 10 0 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

razolium bromide.

One-photon phototoxicity tests 4 4

 $\frac{5}{4}$ One-photon phototoxicity tests were performed, as described pre-
 $\frac{6}{2}$ viously, using a homemade apparatus^[6f] using 5 mm 660 nm Liteon LED (Mouser electronics ref. 859-LTL-353CKR-H3) adapted for Me thank Agnès Pallier for performing relaxivity measuregraph.

Two-photon imaging and two-photon photodynamic thera-

16 16 py

Two-photon microscopy studies were performed on a Leica SP8 in- $\sqrt{2}$ verted microscope with x 63 λ Blue HCS plan apo objective. A Co- photon absorption 20 herent Chameleon Ultra 2 laser was used for irradiation with 150 fs **20 metal and 20 metal and 20 metal and 20** pulses with a repetition rate of 80 MHz at λ_{ex} = 910 nm. A region of 250×250 µm was irradiated (1.4 µs/pixel) with a power of about 5.9 mW at the back pupil of the objective. 18 Two-photon microscopy studies were performed on a Leica SP8 in-

resonance imaging • photodynamic therapy • porphyrins • two-porphyrins • two-21 puises with a repetition rate of 80 MHz at $\lambda_{\rm ex}$ = 910 nm. A region of [1] a) H. Cabral, N. Nishiyama, K. Kataoka, [Acc. Chem. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar200094a) 2011, 44, 999 – 21 22 22 23 25 M and the structured (1.4 us/pixel) with a power of about [1008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar200094a); b) T. Lammers, S. Aime, W. E. Hennink, G. Storm, F. Kiessling, [Acc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar200019c) 22

HeLa cells were incubated 24-28 h with 1 µm of [DPP-ZnP- $\frac{24}{25}$ GdDOTA]⁻ in DMEM culture medium containing 1% DMSO.
2293-2352.
26 24 2293-2352.

Before irradiation, a phase contrast image of the \blacksquare word miss $ing? \blacksquare$ was taken to assess the number of cells before irradiation. $\frac{26}{26}$ in $\frac{26}{26}$ 27 ang readon taken to assess the number of cens before firadila-
27 $\frac{\text{SFR}}{\text{SFR}}$ and time

After TP-PDT irradiation, the cells were left 4 h in an incubator. 29 Then, cell viability was determined by staining with Hoechst nucle-

2008, 47, 8568–8580; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 8696–8709. 30 ar stain (a blue fluorescence indicates the nucleus of all cells) com-
 $\frac{5}{1}$ a) T. J. Dougherty, C. J. Gomer, B. W. Henderson, G. Jori, D. Kessel, M. $\frac{30}{1}$ $\frac{31}{21}$ bined with Cytox orange. This later allows for detection of cells and this proper section of $\frac{1}{2}$ bines in $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ bines and $\frac{1}{2}$ bines and $\frac{1}{2}$ bines and $\frac{1}{2}$ bines and \frac $\frac{32}{2}$ with compromised cytoplasmic membrane (an orange fluorescence $\frac{32}{2}$ $\frac{3$ indicating dead cells). Confocal images were obtained with a Leica TSP SPE microscope using two channels, one for Hoescht (ex. 34 34 405 nm) and one for CYTOX orange (561 nm). We noticed that $\frac{35}{26}$ dead HeLa cells detached from the glass bottom and were there-
26 35 35 dead HeLa cells detached from the glass bottom and were there-
35 dead HeLa cells detached from the glass bottom and were there-
35 J.E. fore not detected with confocal microscopy. 33 indicating dead cells). Confocal images were obtained with a Leica $_{[6]}$ a) K. Ogawa, H. Hasegawa, Y. Inaba, Y. Kobuke, H. Inouye, Y. Kanemitsu, 33 Mater. 2006, 18, 3682–3692; c) M. Khurana, H. A. Collins, A. Karotki, 368 fore not detected with confocal microscopy.

A control experiment to test the viability of cells under TP irradia- $\frac{38}{20}$ tion in absence of the sensitizer [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ (but with 1% $\frac{83}{20}$ and is Experimental Balaz M.K. Kuimova M. Drobizhov X.D. YangVic-DMSO) was performed. It showed that the cell viability was above $\frac{1}{2}$ bullai, E. Danistedt, M. Balaz, M. K. Kulmova, M. Drobiznev, X. D. Yangvic-
1999 DMSO) was performed. It showed that the cell viability was above 40 95% after 300 scans of irradiation, performed in the same condi-
2008, 2, 420–424; e) J. R. Starkey, A. K. Rebane, M. A. Drobizhev, F. 40 tions as with [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻. A cong, A. Gong, A. Elliott, K. McInnerney, C. W. Spangler, [Clin. Cancer](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4162) 41

Relaxometric measurements

Proton NMRD profiles ([DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ = 1.13 mm, pH 6.6 in $\frac{45}{12}$ Proton NMND promes (IDPP-ZNP-GdDOTA) = 1.15 mm, pH 6.65 in aqueous NaCl angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 3292-3316. 50 mm) were recorded on a Stelar SMARTracer Fast Field Cycling relaxometer (0.01–10 MHz) and a Bruker WP80 NMR electromagnet $\frac{1}{181}$ M. Montaldi. A. Credi. L. Prodi. M. T. Gandolfi in Vol. Handbook of Photoadapted to variable field measurements (20–80 MHz) and con-
chemistry, 3rd ed., CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, Boca Ration, 2006, 49 trolled by a SMARTracer PC NMR console. Higher field relaxivities p 620. a VTC91 temperature control unit and maintained by a gas flow. The temperature was determined by previous calibration with a Pt resistance temperature probe. The longitudinal relaxation rates (1/ T_1) were determined in water and in aqueous NaCl 50 mm. The $\frac{1}{20}$ S0 mM) were recorded on a Stelar SMARTracer East Field Cycling [7] J. P. Celli, B. Q. Spring, I. Rizvi, C. L. Evans, K. S. Samkoe, S. Verma, B. W. $\frac{1}{6}$

1 neous solutions of 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tet- for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ = 0.23 mm, in H₂O at 298 K and 20 MHz. for [DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA]⁻ = 0.23 mm, in H_2O at 298 K and 20 MHz. The exact GdIII ion concentration was determined by ICP-AES analy-2 2 sis after microwave-assisted mineralization. 3 3

Acknowledgements

 $\%$ black Corning 96-well special optics plates. Cells were cultured and the state of the theorements of beginning telestricty ineasure-2 days in these 96-well plates and incubated with 1 μ m solution of the fit is the late of the state o $\frac{10}{10}$ Na[DPP-ZnP-GdDOTA] in complete DMEM medium containing 1% years of a control of religionship to J.S., and the Light DMSO for 24 h. The plates were irradiated 1 hour and the light in-11 11 tensity was measured with a power-meter. The cell viability was es-12 12 timated 5 h after irradiation as described in the cell culture para-
 $\frac{13}{13}$ 11.1501/0 11.110 1.1110 1.1110 1.1110 6.10 We thank Agnès Pallier for performing relaxivity measurements. The icFRC (http://wwwicfrc.fr) and Region Alsace are gratefully acknowledged for a fellowship to J.S., and the Ligue contre le Cancer for financial support. We thank warmly E. Leize, C. Perret, and H. Nierengarten for the HR mass-spectrometry determination. The Italian CNR (Project PM.P04.010 graph. graph. \blacksquare (MACOL''), MIUR project PRIN 2010CX2TLM, and MIUR-CNR \blacksquare project Nanomax N-CHEM are gratefully acknowledged. 15 15

 p **Py Example 2 Example 2 Example 2 Keywords:** diketopyrrolopyrrole \cdot gadolinium \cdot magnetic $\vert \mathcal{V} \vert$ photon absorption

- [Chem. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar200019c) 2011, 44[, 1029 1038](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar200019c).
- 23
23 P. Caravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry, R. B. Lauffer, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr980440x) 1999, 99, 23
23 P. Gravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry, R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 23, 2014, 2016 [2293 – 2352](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr980440x).
- [3] E. Tth, L. Helm,A. E. Merbach in The Chemistry of Contrast Agents in 25 25 82.
- 28 After TP-PDT irradiation, the cells were left 4 h in an incubator. [4] E.J. Werner, A. Datta, C.J. Jocher, K. N. Raymond, [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200800212) 28 2008, 47[, 8568 – 8580](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200800212); [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200800212) 2008, 120, 8696 – 8709.
	- [5] a) T. J. Dougherty, C. J. Gomer, B. W. Henderson, G. Jori, D. Kessel, M. Korbelik, J. Moan, Q. Peng, [J. Nat. Cancer Inst.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jnci/90.12.889) 1998, 90, 889 – 905; b) C. W. Brian, S. P. Michael, Phys. Med. Chem. 2008, 53, R61; c) M. Ethira-jan, Y. Chen, P. Joshi, R. K. Pandey, [Chem. Soc. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B915149B) 2011, 40, 340-362.
- E. Kohno, T. Hirano, S.-i. Ogura, I. Okura, [J. Med. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm051072+) 2006, 49, 2276 [2283](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm051072+); b) M. A. Oar, W. R. Dichtel, J. M. Serin, J. M. J. Fréchet, J. E. Rogers, J. E. Slagle, P. A. Fleitz, L.-S. Tan, T. Y. Ohulchanskyy, P. N. Prasad, [Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm0606070) A control experiment to test the viability of cells under TP irradia Fig. H. L. Anderson, D. T. Cramb, B. C. Wilson, [Photochem. Photobiol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00185.x) 2007, 37 83[, 1441 – 1448](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00185.x); d) H. A. Collins, M. Khurana, E. H. Moriyama, A. Mariampillai, E. Dahlstedt, M. Balaz, M. K. Kuimova, M. Drobizhev, X. D. YangVictor, D. Phillips, A. Rebane, B. C. Wilson, H. L. Anderson, [Nat. Photonics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2008.100) 2008, 2[, 420 – 424](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2008.100); e) J. R. Starkey, A. K. Rebane, M. A. Drobizhev, F. Res. 2008, 14[, 6564 – 6573](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4162); f) E. Dahlstedt, H. A. Collins, M. Balaz, M. K. 42 Kuimova, M. Khurana, B. C. Wilson, D. Phillips, H. L. Anderson, [Org.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b814792b) **Biomol.** Chem. 2009, 7, 897–904; g) M. Pawlicki, H. A. Collins, R. G. Den-
[Biomol. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b814792b) 2009, 7, 897–904; g) M. Pawlicki, H. A. Collins, R. G. Den- $\frac{\mu_{\text{total}}}{\mu_{\text{total}}}\text{Area}$ and $\frac{\mu_{\text{total}}}{\mu_{\text{total}}}\text{Area}$ and $\frac{\mu_{\text{total}}}{\mu_{\text{total}}}\text{Area}$. Anderson, [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200805257) 2009, 48, 3244–3266; [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200805257) 2009, 121, 3292 – 3316.
	- Pogue, T. Hasan, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr900300p) 2010, 110[, 2795 2838.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr900300p)
	- [8] M. Montaldi, A. Credi, L. Prodi, M. T. Gandolfi in Vol. Handbook of Photochemistry, 3rd ed., CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, Boca Ration, 2006, p. 620.
- 50 were measured on Bruker AVANCE NMR spectrometers at 300 MHz, \quad [9] a) G. Li, A. Slansky, M. P. Dobhal, L. N. Goswami, A. Graham, Y. Chen, P. 50 400 MHz, and 600 MHz. The temperature was monitored by Kanter, R.A. Alberico, J. Spernyak, J. Morgan, R. Mazurchuk, A. Oseroff, 51 wami, r. Chen, S. Turowski, J. K. Missert, C. Batt, K. Mazurchuk, K. K. S. S. S. L. S. L. S. S. L. S. S. L. S.
Sandey Bioconiugate Chem. 2010. 21. 828–835: d) J. Luo. L.-F. Chen. P. 56 seur/Optimiseur^[34] running on a MATLAB 8.3.0 (R2014a) platform. Hu, Z.-N. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.* 2014, 53, 4184–4191; e) K. S. Samkoe, A. 57 The relaxivity was also measured in the presence of BSA 38 g L⁻¹ Chen, I. Rizvi, J. A. O'Hara, J. P. Hoopes, T. Hasan, B. W. Pogue in Optical 57 [9] a) G. Li, A. Slansky, M. P. Dobhal, L. N. Goswami, A. Graham, Y. Chen, P. Kanter, R. A. Alberico, J. Spernyak, J. Morgan, R. Mazurchuk, A. Oseroff, Z. Grossman, R. K. Pandey, [Bioconjugate Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bc049807x) 2005, 16, 32 – 42; b) L. N. $\frac{52}{\pi}$ a VIC91 temperature control unit and maintained by a gas flow.
The temperature was determined by provious calibration with a Dt Goswami, W. H. White, J. A. Spernyak, M. Ethirajan, Y. Chen, J. R. Missert, 53 The temperature was uetermined by previous canonatori with a Ft. μ J. Morgan, R. Mazurchuk, R. K. Pandey, [Bioconjugate Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bc9005305) 2010, 21, $\frac{53}{1000}$ 54 T) were determined in water and in aqueous NaCl 50 mm. The 54 816–827; c) J. A. Spernyak, W. H. White, M. Ethirajan, N. J. Patel, L. Gos- 54 wami, Y. Chen, S. Turowski, J. R. Missert, C. Batt, R. Mazurchuk, R. K. Pandey, [Bioconjugate Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bc9005317) 2010, 21, 828 – 835; d) J. Luo, L.-F. Chen, P. Hu, Z.-N. Chen, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic500238s) 2014, 53[, 4184 – 4191;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic500238s) e) K. S. Samkoe, A. Chen, I. Rizvi, J. A. O'Hara, J. P. Hoopes, T. Hasan, B. W. Pogue in Optical

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1 – 13 www.chemeurj.org 11 1 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Methods for Tumor Treatment and Detection: Mechanisms and Techniques p. 71640D; f) D. Aydın Tekdaş, R. Garifullin, B. Şentürk, Y. Zorlu, U. Gundogdu, E. Atalar, A. B. Tekinay, A. A. Chernonosov, Y. Yerli, F. Dumoulin, 1376 – 1386; g) H. Haroon-Ur-Rashid, M. N. Umar, K. Khan, M. N. Anjum, M. Yaseen, [J. Struct. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0022476614050163) 2014, 55, 910 – 915. p. 710400, 1) D. Ayum Iekuaş, R. Gamumi, B. Şemurk, T. Zonu, O. Gun- (1984, 4311–4317).
3 dogdu, E. Atalar, A. B. Tekinay, A. A. Chernonosov, Y. Yerli, F. Dumoulin, (20) a) J. Costa, E. Balogh, V. Turcry, R. Tripier, M. Le

- [10] a) R. Kopelman, Y.-E. Lee Koo, M. Philbert, B. A. Moffat, G. Ramachandra Reddy, P. McConville, D. E. Hall, T. L. Chenevert, M. S. Bhojani, S. M. Buck, Cannizzo, C. Mayer, L. Helm, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2014, 19, 145–159; A. Rehemtulla, B. D. Ross, [J. Magn. Magn. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2005.02.061) 2005, 293, 404 – 410; b) G. R. Reddy, M. S. Bhojani, P. McConville, J. Moody, B. A. Moffat, D. E. Maggioni, *J. Mag. Reson. Imaging* 2002, 16, 394–406 \blacksquare nok? \blacksquare . $\hspace{1.5cm}$ Hall, G. Kim, Y.-E. L. Koo, M. J. Woolliscroft, J. V. Sugai, T. D. Johnson, M. A. Philbert, R. Kopelman, A. Rehemtulla, B. D. Ross, [Clin. Cancer Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0946) 2006, 12[, 6677 – 6686](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0946); c) J. R. McCarthy, F. A. Jaffer, R. Weissleder, [Small](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.200600139) 12 2006, 2, 983-987; d) C.-W. Lai, Y.-H. Wang, C.-H. Lai, M.-J. Yang, C.-Y. Schlaepfer, A. E. Merbach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9333-9346. 12 Chen, P.-T. Chou, C.-S. Chan, Y. Chi, Y.-C. Chen, J.-K. Hsiao, [Small](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.200700283) 2008, 4, $\frac{1}{4}$ 218–224; e) A. Vaidya, Y. Sun, Y. Feng, L. Emerson, E.-K. Jeong, Z.-R. Lu, 2006, 12, 989–1003. [Pharm. Res.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11095-008-9608-1) 2008, 25[, 2002 – 2011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11095-008-9608-1); f) X. Liang, X. Li, L. Jing, X. Yue, Z. Dai, [Biomaterials](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.04.094) 2014, 35, 6379–6388; g) H.-J. Yoon, T. G. Lim, J.-H. Kim, and agents in medical magnetic resonance imaging, Vol. Eds.: J. Wiley and 16 Y. M. Cho, Y. S. Kim, U. S. Chung, J. H. Kim, B. W. Choi, W.-G. Koh, W.-D. Sons), Protein-bound metal chelates, 2001, pp. 193-242. Jang, [Biomacromolecules](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bm401928f) 2014, 15, 1382 – 1389. A. Rehemtulla, B. D. Ross, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2005, 293, 404-410; d) S. Aime, C. Cabella, S. Colombatto, S. Geninatti Crich, E. Gianolio, F. 10 Hall, G. Kim, Y.-E. L. Koo, M. J. Woolliscroft, J. V. Sugai, T. D. Johnson, [21] É. Tóth, L. Helm, K. E. Kellar, A. E. Merbach, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990401)5:4%3C1202::AID-CHEM1202%3E3.0.CO;2-Y) 1999, 5, 1202 – 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ 2006, 12, 6677–6686; c) J. R. McCarthy, F. A. Jaffer, R. Weissleder, Small [22] D. H. Powell, O. M. N. Dhubhghaill, D. Pubanz, L. Helm, Y. S. Lebedev, W. $\frac{1}{3}$ Chen, P.-T. Chou, C.-S. Chan, Y. Chi, Y.-C. Chen, J.-K. Hsiao, Small 2008, 4, [23] J. B. Livramento, A. Sour, A. Borel, A. E. Merbach, E. Tóth, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200500969) $\frac{1}{3}$
- [11] J. Schmitt, V. Heitz, A. Sour, F. Bolze, H. Ftouni, J.-F. Nicoud, L. Flamigni, 18 18 B. Ventura, [Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201407537) 2015, 54, 169 – 173; [Angew. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201407537) 2015, 127[, 171 – 175](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201407537). $\frac{1}{20}$ b. Ventura, Angew. Chem. mt. Ed. 2015, 34, 109–175, Angew. Chem. [20] A. Oguisipe, 3.-1. Chem, 1. Nyokong, New J. Chem. 2004, 26, 622–627. [19] $\frac{1}{20}$
	- 2010, 49[, 6124 6138.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic1007395)
- 22 [13] T. Odani, S. Okada, C. Kabuto, T. Kimura, S. Shimada, H. Matsuda, H. [29] C. Tanielian, C. Wolff, M. Esch, J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 6555-6560. 22
22 [13] T. Odani, S. Okada, C. Kabuto, T. Kimura, S. Shimada, H. M Oikawa, A. Matsumoto, H. Nakanishi, [Cryst. Growth Des.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cg9001576) 2009, 9, 3481 – [3487.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cg9001576) [30] I. Seotsanyana-Mokhosi, N. Kuznetsova, T. Nyokong, [J. Photochem. Pho-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1010-6030(01)00427-0)23 23
	- Chem. 2003[, 2495 2501.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200300036)
- $\frac{26}{15}$ [15] A. Carné-Sánchez, C. S. Bonnet, I. Imaz, J. Lorenzo, É. Tóth, D. Maspoch, $\frac{89 \blacksquare 0}{100}$ ok? [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja4094378) 2013, 135, 17711 – 17714.
- 28 Ménand, V. Marvaud, C. S. Bonnet, E. Tóth, M. Sollogoub, G. Vives, B. Ha- [33] C. Xu, W. W. Webb, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 1996, 13, 481-491. senknopf, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201403635) 2014, 20[, 10915 – 10920](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201403635).
- [17] a) A. Martins, J.-F. Morfin, C. G. C. Geraldes, . Tth, [J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00775-013-1055-8) 30 30 [2014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00775-013-1055-8), *19*, 281–295; b) G. Nicolle, É. Tóth, K.-P. Eisenwiener, H. Mäcke, A. 2014, 19, 201–299, b) G. Nicolle, L. Totil, K.-r. Elsenwiener, T. Macke, A.
31 Merbach, [J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00775-002-0353-3) 2002, 7, 757–769.
- [18] M. F. Ferreira, J. Goncalves, B. Mousavi, M. I. M. Prata, S. P. J. Rodrigues, 32 32 33 D. Calle, P. Lopez-Larrubia, S. Cerdan, T. B. Rodrigues, P. M. Ferreira, L. 2008 1999 1999 1999 1999 1999 1 34 Helm, J. A. Martins, C. F. G. C. Geraldes, [Dalton Trans.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4DT03210A) 2015, 44, 4016 – Received: August 28, 2015

24 **1201** – 2000 [4031.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4DT03210A) 35 35
- 2 in Photodynamic Therapy XVIII, Vol. 7164 (Ed.: D. H. Kessel), 2009, Gibbs, P. Fasella, G. Cerio Venturo, L. d. Hinds, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 2 Methods for Tumor Treatment and Detection: Mechanisms and Techniques [19] R. F. Pasternack, P. R. Huber, P. Boyd, G. Engasser, L. Francesconi, E. Gibbs, P. Fasella, G. Cerio Venturo, L. d. Hinds, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00768a016) 1972, 94[, 4511 – 4517](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00768a016).
- M. O. Guler, V. Ahsen, A. G. Gürek, Photochem. Photobiol. 2014, 90, Handel, L. Helm, É. Tóth, A. E. Merbach, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6841-Handel, L. Helm, É. Tóth, A. E. Merbach, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200501335) 2006, 12, 6841 – 5 $-$ 1376–1386; g) H. Haroon-Ur-Rashid, M. N. Umar, K. Khan, M. N. Anjum, $-$ [6851;](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200501335) b) M. Regueiro-Figueroa, A. Nonat, G. A. Rolla, D. Esteban-Gómez, 5 M. Yaseen, J. Struct. Chem. 2014, 55, 910–915.

A. de Blas, T. Rodríguez-Blas, L. J. Charbonnière, M. Botta, C. Platas-Igle-6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 sias, [Chem. Eur. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201301231) 2013, 19, 11696 - 11706; c) H. Jaccard, P. Miéville, C. Cannizzo, C. Mayer, L. Helm, [J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00775-013-1036-y) 2014, 19, 145 – 159; Maggioni, [J. Mag. Reson. Imaging](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmri.10180) 2002, 16, 394-406 \blacksquare ok? \blacksquare .
	- [1211](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990401)5:4%3C1202::AID-CHEM1202%3E3.0.CO;2-Y).
	- Schlaepfer, A. E. Merbach, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja961743g) 1996, 118, 9333 9346.
	- 2006, 12[, 989 1003.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200500969)
	- [24] S. Aime, M. Botta, M. Fasano and E. Terreno in The chemistry of contrast agents in medical magnetic resonance imaging, Vol. Eds.: J. Wiley and Sons), Protein-bound metal chelates, 2001, pp. 193 – 242.
- 7 Jang, Biomacromolecules 2014, 15, 1382 1389. 17 [25] F. Wilkinson, J.G. Brummer, [J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.555655) 1981, 10, 809 17 [1000.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.555655)
	- [26] A. Ogunsipe, J.-Y. Chen, T. Nyokong, [New J. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b315319c) 2004, 28, 822-827.
	-
- 20 [12] J. Henig, E. Tóth, J. Engelmann, S. Gottschalk, H. A. Mayer, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic1007395) [28] J. J. M. Lamberts, D. R. Schumacher, D. C. Neckers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 20 [28] J. J. M. Lamberts, D. R. Schumacher, D. C. Neckers, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00332a021) 1984, 106[, 5879 – 5883](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja00332a021). 2 1 2010, 49, 6124–6138. 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 5 3 3 4 5 3 3 4 5 3 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 4 5 21
	- [29] C. Tanielian, C. Wolff, M. Esch, [J. Phys. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp952107s) 1996, 100, 6555-6560.
	- [tobiol. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1010-6030(01)00427-0) 2001, 140[, 215 222](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1010-6030(01)00427-0).
- 24 $\,$ [14] L. Vander Elst, M. Port, I. Raynal, C. Simonot, R. N. Muller, *Eur. J. Inorg*. $\,$ [31] M. J. Moreno, E. Monson, R. G. Reddy, A. Rehemtulla, B. D. Ross, M. Phil- $\,$ $\,$ 24 [31] M. J. Moreno, E. Monson, R. G. Reddy, A. Rehemtulla, B. D. Ross, M. Phil- 25 Chem. 2003, 2495–2501. Chem. 2003, 90, 82– 25 bert, R. J. Schneider, R. Kopelman, [Sensor Actuat. B Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4005(03)00057-1) 2003, 90, 82– 25 89 \blacksquare ok? \blacksquare .
- $\frac{27}{16}$ J. Ann. Chem. Soc. 2013, 133, 17711–17714.
27 [16] J. W. Fredy, J. Scelle, A. Guenet, E. Morel, S. Adam de Beaumais, M. J.-F. Nicoud, Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 9112–9119. [32] A. Hayek, F. Bolze, C. Bourgogne, P. L. Baldeck, P. Didier, Y. Arntz, Y. Mély, J.-F. Nicoud, [Inorg. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic900627g) 2009, 48[, 9112 – 9119.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic900627g)
	- [33] C. Xu, W. W. Webb, [J. Opt. Soc. Am. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.13.000481) 1996, 13, 481-491.
- 29 senknopf, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 10915 10920. [34] F. Yerly in VISUALISEUR 2.3.5, OPTIMISEUR 2.3.5, Vol. Lausanne, Switzer- 29 land, 1999.

Received: August 28, 2015 Published online on \blacksquare \blacksquare , 0000

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 12 3 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

$\frac{1}{2}$ FULL PAPER 2 2

 $\frac{12}{2}$ nostic agent. $\frac{12}{2}$ ponent as a two-photon photosensitizer for photodynamic therapy (PDT) with physical, and in cell studies highlight the potential of this molecule as a thera-

11 11 12 12 13 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:19 14:1 nostic agent.

Photodynamic Therapy

C. S. Bonnet, É. Tóth*

&& – &&

a Two-Photon-Absorbing Therapy and a Gadolinium(III) 13 13 \sim 14 \sim 15 \sim 14 \sim 15 \sim 16 \sim 15 15

 $\frac{17}{18}$ Please check that the ORCID identifiers listed below are correct. We encourage all authors to provide an ORCID identifier $\frac{17}{18}$ for each coauthor. ORCID is a registry that provides researchers with a unique digital identifier. Some funding agencies $\frac{19}{20}$ ior each coauthor. ORCID is a registry that provides researchers with a unique digital definitie. Some runding agencies agency guidelines for details. Registration is easy and free; for further information, see http://orcid.org/. Please check that the ORCID identifiers listed below are correct. We encourage all authors to provide an ORCID identifier 18 21 21

Julie Schmitt Valérie Heitz Angélique Sour Frédéric Bolze Pascal Kessler Lucia Flamigni Barbara Ventura Célia S. Bonnet Éva Tóth 22 Julie Schmitt 22 23 Valérie Heitz 23 24 Angélique Sour 24 25 **Frédéric Bolze** 25 **Frédéric Bolze** 2014 (1999) et al. 2014 (1999) 26 Pascal Kessler 226 Pascal Kessler $27 \text{ Lucia } \text{Fiam}$ 28 **Data velocity** 28 29 29 29

Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1-13 www.chemeurj.org 13 3 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim These are not the final page numbers! $\pi\pi$

57 57