



HAL
open science

Orbifold kähler groups and the shafarevich conjecture for hirzebruch's covering surfaces with equal weights

Philippe Eyssidieux

► **To cite this version:**

Philippe Eyssidieux. Orbifold kähler groups and the shafarevich conjecture for hirzebruch's covering surfaces with equal weights. 2016. hal-01403699

HAL Id: hal-01403699

<https://hal.science/hal-01403699v1>

Preprint submitted on 27 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ORBIFOLD KÄHLER GROUPS AND THE SHAFAREVICH CONJECTURE FOR HIRZEBRUCH'S COVERING SURFACES WITH EQUAL WEIGHTS

PHILIPPE EYSSIDIEUX

To Ngaiming Mok, for his 60th birthday.

ABSTRACT. This article is devoted to examples of (orbifold) Kähler groups from the perspective of the so-called Shafarevich conjecture on holomorphic convexity. It aims at pointing out that every quasi-projective complex manifold with an ‘interesting’ fundamental group gives rise to interesting instances of this long-standing open question.

Complements of line arrangements are one of the better known classes of quasi-projective complex surfaces with an interesting fundamental group. We solve the corresponding instance of the Shafarevich conjecture partially giving a proof that the universal covering surface of a Hirzebruch’s covering surface with equal weights is holomorphically convex.

The final section reduces the Shafarevich conjecture to a question related to the Serre problem.

This article is the result of my effort to understand the candidate counterexamples given in [BoKa98] to the Shafarevich conjecture that the universal covering space of a complex projective manifold should be holomorphically convex. Being unable to settle them, I recasted them in stack-theoretic terms and generalized the construction to generate a wealth of similar examples where the Shafarevich conjecture leads to pretty non-trivial group theoretic statements, some of which are in principle much simpler than the conjecture in [BoKa98].

In view of [EKPR12], a counterexample to the Shafarevich conjecture should have a pretty complicated fundamental group since it is not a linear group, i.e.: it could not be realized as a subgroup of a matrix group. Furthermore, when one knows that the fundamental group has finite dimensional complex linear representations with infinite image, the problem becomes tractable, although non trivial.

On the other hand, if there are non-linear (actually non-residually finite) complex-projective groups (π_1 of complex projective manifold) [Tol93], it is not known whether there exists a (possibly residually finite) infinite complex-projective group with no finite dimensional complex linear representations with infinite image. Hence non trivial instances of the Shafarevich conjecture are to be constructed from non trivial examples of complex-projective (or Kähler) groups.

Now, it is much easier to construct *orbifold* Kähler groups than Kähler groups themselves. They appear as quotients of fundamental groups of quasi-Kähler manifolds and the first section will begin by reviewing this classical construction with more focus on its stack-theoretic aspects than in the literature. The outcome of this construction is that when one has an interesting fundamental group of a quasi-projective complex manifold, then one has a wealth of potentially interesting

orbifold Kähler groups¹. Propositions 1.10 and 1.16 are the main original results in this section and may be stated as a Theorem answering questions asked in [Eys13]:

Theorem 1. *Every orbifold Kähler group is the fundamental group of a developpable compact Kähler orbifold. There exists a non uniformizable developpable compact Kähler orbifold.*

A word is due on the stack-theoretic perspective adopted here. Among its numerous advantages over Thurston's approach to orbifolds advocated by [Ler10], I want to emphasize the clear definition of a map of stacks, of substacks of a given stack, more generally of (2-)fibered products of stacks and add that there is now enough foundational material on the algebraic topology of topological stacks [Noo05] to manipulate Deligne-Mumford topological stacks as one would manipulate ordinary spaces.

These computational tools are used in the second section to revisit a well-known class of examples of complex projective surfaces, namely the Hirzebruch covering surfaces branched along a line arrangement [BHH87]. The main original result in this section is:

Theorem 2. *Hirzebruch covering surfaces with equal weights do satisfy the Shafarevich conjecture.*

Hirzebruch covering surfaces come indeed from the construction given in the first section and the corresponding quasi-projective manifold is nothing but the complement of the union of the lines for a projective linear arrangement - a rich fundamental group, indeed, and much studied. Of course, it would have been much better to find a counterexample to the Shafarevich conjecture among such classical examples of surfaces, but one has to try harder.

The concluding section is devoted to the formulation of several open questions which are not investigated to the best of my knowledge in the litterature. I believe that the higher dimensional analogue of Theorem 2 is, by far, the most accessible one. The group theoretical consequence of the Shafarevich conjecture for orbifolds (Question 3.5) is the promised reformulation of the main open question in [BoKa98]. Finally, Theorem 3.8 gives a reduction of the Shafarevich conjecture for X to a group theoretical statement concerning the images in the fundamental group of X of the fundamental groups of the connected compact complex analytic spaces over X for which a proof seems to be out of reach although a counterexample might be more accessible.

1. QUASI-KÄHLER GROUPS, COMPACT KÄHLER ORBIFOLDS, UNIFORMIZABILITY AND DEVELOPPABILITY.

1.1. The fundamental group of a weighted DCN. Let M be a (Hausdorff second countable) complex analytic space and D be an effective Cartier divisor. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, one can construct $P \rightarrow M$ the principal \mathbb{C}^* -bundle attached to $\mathcal{O}_M(-D)$ and the tautological section $s_D \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(D))$ can be lifted to a holomorphic function $f_D : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f_D(p.\lambda) = \lambda f_D(p)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $p \in P$. Define a complex analytic space $Y := Y_D \subset P \times \mathbb{C}_z$ by the equation $z^r = f_D(p)$. One can define a \mathbb{C}^* -action on Y by $(p, z).\lambda = (p.\lambda^r, \lambda.z)$. The complex analytic stack

$$M(\sqrt[r]{D}) := [Y_D/\mathbb{C}^*]$$

¹If residually finite, an orbifold Kähler group is Kähler [Eys13] and it is not clear whether the two classes are the same. This is actually one of the main difficulties in proving that the classes of Kähler and complex-projective groups coincide.

(see e.g. [EySa16, BN06]) is then a Deligne-Mumford separated complex analytic stack with trivial generic isotropy groups whose moduli space is M and an orbifold if M and D are smooth. The nontrivial isotropy groups live over the points of D and are isomorphic to μ_r the group of r -roots of unity. In the smooth case, the corresponding differentiable stack can be expressed as the quotient by the natural infinitesimally free $U(1)$ action on the restriction UY of Y to the unit subbundle for (any hermitian metric) of P which is a manifold indeed. It is straightforward to see that this is an analytic version of Vistoli's root stack construction:

Lemma 1.1. *If (M, D) is the analytification of $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ a pair consisting of a \mathbb{C} - (separated) scheme and a Cartier divisor, then $M(\sqrt[r]{D})$ is the analytification of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}), s_{\mathcal{D}}, r}$ in the notation of [Cad07, Section 2].*

The main property I will use is treated in the scheme-theoretic setting by [Cad07]:

Lemma 1.2. *If S is a complex analytic stack*

$$\text{Hom}(S, M(\sqrt[r]{D})) = \{f : S \rightarrow M \text{ holomorphic, } D_S \text{ Cartier on } S \text{ s.t. } D_S = r \cdot f^* D\}.$$

Let \bar{X} be a compact Kähler manifold and $x_0 \in X$ a base point, $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$, and let $D := D_1 + \dots + D_l$ be a simple normal crossing divisor whose smooth irreducible components are denoted by D_i . We assume for simplicity $x_0 \notin D$. For each choice of weights $d := (d_1, \dots, d_l)$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N}^*$, one may construct as [Cad07, Definition 2.2.4] does in the setting of scheme theory the compact Kähler orbifold (Compact Kähler DM stack with trivial generic isotropy)

$$\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d) := \bar{X}(\sqrt[d_1]{D_1}) \times_{\bar{X}} \dots \times_{\bar{X}} \bar{X}(\sqrt[d_l]{D_l}).$$

In other words, $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d) = [Y_{D_1} \times_{\bar{X}} \dots \times_{\bar{X}} Y_{D_l} / \mathbb{C}^{*l}]$. Denote by X the quasi-Kähler manifold $X := \bar{X} \setminus D$. View $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ as an orbifold compactification of X and denote by $j_d : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ the natural open immersion.

By Zariski-Van Kampen, the fundamental group $\pi_1(\bar{X}, x_0)$ is the quotient of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ by the normal subgroup generated by the γ_i , where γ_i is a meridian loop for D_i .

This generalizes to orbifolds, see e.g. [Noo04], and $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0)$ is the quotient of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ by the normal subgroup generated by the $\gamma_i^{d_i}$. Note that, if $d = (1, \dots, 1)$, $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d) = \bar{X}$. In particular the natural map

$$j_{d*} : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0)$$

is surjective.

Say $d \in \mathbb{N}^{*l}$ divides $d' \in \mathbb{N}^{*l}$, which will be denoted by $d|d'$, whenever $d'_i/d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $d|d'$, we have a map (1-morphism of DM stacks) $p_{d,d'} : \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d') \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ inducing the identity on the open substack X . This gives surjective group homomorphisms:

$$\pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{j_{d'*}} \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d')) \xrightarrow{p_{d,d'}^*} \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)) \xrightarrow{j_{d*}} \pi_1(\bar{X}).$$

The same construction can be done for the étale fundamental group π_1^{et} which is the profinite completion of π_1 .

The log pair (\bar{X}, D) has to be pretty rigid if one wishes that the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0)$ be very different from $\pi_1(\bar{X}, x_0)$. Here is a simple manifestation:

Proposition 1.3. *Assume $\mathcal{O}_{D_q}(D_q)$ is ample for all $1 \leq q \leq l$, and $d|d'$. Then we have a finite central extension:*

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d'), x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0) \rightarrow 1$$

by a finite abelian group having generators g_q whose order divides d'_q/d_q , $q = 1, \dots, l$.

Proof: Indeed $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l$ are central in $\pi_1(X)$ by [Nor83]. \square

1.2. A possible obstruction to residual finiteness for quasi-Kähler groups. Consider the natural group homomorphism

$$j_{\infty*} : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \varprojlim (\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0), p_{d,d'*}).$$

Lemma 1.4. *One has*

$$\ker(j_{\infty*}) \subset \ker(\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(X, x_0)) = \bigcap_{H \text{ finite index subgroup of } \pi_1(X, x_0)} H.$$

Hence, if $\pi_1(X, x)$ is residually finite, then $j_{\infty*}$ is injective.

Proof: Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \setminus \ker(\pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(X, x_0))$. Then there exists a finite group G and a morphism $\phi : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow G$ such that $\phi(\gamma) \neq 1$. Let d_i be the order of $\phi(\gamma_i)$ and $d = (d_1, \dots, d_q)$. Then $\phi(\gamma_i^{n_i}) = 1$ and ϕ factorizes as $\phi = \bar{\phi} \circ j_{d*}$ where $\bar{\phi} : \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0) \rightarrow G$. In particular $\bar{\phi}(j_{d*}(\gamma)) = \phi(\gamma) \neq 1$ and a fortiori $j_{d*}(\gamma) \neq 1$ and also $j_{\infty*}(\gamma) \neq 1$.

\square

The proof of lemma 1.4 also gives:

Corollary 1.5. *The natural morphism*

$$j_{\infty*}^{et} : \pi_1^{et}(X, x_0) \rightarrow \varprojlim (\pi_1^{et}(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0), p_{d,d'*})$$

is an isomorphism.

The best statement in the reverse direction I could think of is that if $j_{\infty*}$ is injective and $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), x_0)$ is residually finite for all d sufficiently divisible then $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is residually finite.

Example 1.6. *The inclusion in Lemma 1.4 may be strict.*

Proof: Indeed let Y be a compact Kähler manifold and L be a holomorphic line bundle on Y . Let X be the total space of L minus the zero section. One has a central extension:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow 1.$$

Assume that $q = 0$ (e.g.: $\pi_2(Y) = 0$). One may write $X = \bar{X} \setminus D$ where $\bar{X} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C} \oplus L)$ and $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ is the union of the zero and infinite section of L . One has $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)) = \pi_1(X)/g.c.d(d_1, d_2)\mathbb{Z}$ and $j_{\infty*}$ is injective whereas $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ needs not be residually finite [Del78] [Rag84]. \square

Lemma 1.7. *$\ker(j_{\infty*}) \subset \pi_1(X, x_0)$ is independent of the log-smooth compactification of X .*

Question 1.8. *Does there exists (\bar{X}, D) such that $j_{\infty*}$ is not injective?*

Example 1.9. *The example in Proposition 1.10 satisfies $\ker(j_{\infty*}) = \{1\}$.*

1.3. A developpable non-uniformizable compact Kähler orbifold. An orbifold \mathcal{X} is said to be *developpable* if its universal covering stack [Noo05]² is an ordinary manifold which is equivalent to the injectivity of all local inertia morphism $I_x = \pi_1^{loc}(\mathcal{X}, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X})$, x being an orbifold point of \mathcal{X} . It is said to be *uniformizable* whenever the profinite completion $I_x \rightarrow \pi_1^{et}(\mathcal{X})$ of all local inertia morphism is injective³. When $\pi_1(\mathcal{X})$ is residually finite, uniformizability and developpability are equivalent for \mathcal{X} .

²The covering theory of [Noo05] has nothing to do with the complex structure. In fact, it works for reasonable topological DM stacks (with finite isotropy) e.g.: DM stacks in the Complex Analytic category.

³The litterature also uses *good* orbifold for developpable and *very good* orbifold for uniformizable.

The fundamental group of a compact Kähler uniformizable orbifold is Kähler, i.e.: occurs as the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold (see e.g.: [Eys13]). In loc. cit. it was asked for an example of a developpable non-uniformizable Kähler orbifold.

Proposition 1.10. *There exists a pair (\bar{X}, D) where \bar{X} is complex projective, D is a smooth divisor and $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is developpable but not uniformizable.*

Proof: This is nothing but Toledo's famous example [Tol93]. Assume $n \geq 4$ and $n \equiv 0[2]$. Assume \bar{X} is uniformized by a symmetric domain Ω of type IV and D is a totally geodesic smooth complex hypersurface.

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is developpable. Indeed let $\pi^u : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the universal covering map. Then $\pi^u{}^{-1}(D)$ is a countable disjoint union of totally geodesic complex hypersurfaces $(H_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Those are equivalent by the automorphism group of Ω to a hyperplane section $\{z_1 = 0\}$ of Ω embedded in $\mathbb{C}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^n$ by Harish-Chandra embedding. Hence we may assume $H_0 = \{z_1 = 0\} \cap \Omega$. Since Ω is convex in its Harish Chandra representation the pair (Ω, H_0) is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. The complex-analytic stack $\Omega \times_{\bar{X}} \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is, by functoriality of the root stack, equivalent to $\Omega[\sqrt[d]{H_0}] \times_{\Omega} \Omega[\sqrt[d]{H_1}] \times_{\Omega} \dots$ and is a covering of topological stacks of $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$. Now consider $c_d : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (z_1^d, z_2, \dots, z_n)$. Then, $c_d^{-1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Omega[\sqrt[d]{H_0}]$ is a universal covering stack and $\Omega[\sqrt[d]{H_0}]$ is uniformizable. It follows that $c_d^{-1}(\Omega) \times_{\Omega} \Omega[\sqrt[d]{H_1}] \times_{\Omega} \dots$ is a covering stack of $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ such that a connected component of the preimage of the substack supported on D is a smooth hypersurface having no inertia groups. It follows that $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is developpable.

We now have to prove that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d \notin \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is not uniformizable. Choose a tubular neighborhood V of D in \bar{X} which is diffeomorphic to the closed unit ball in the normal bundle of D in \bar{X} so that D corresponds to the zero section. Let $N = \partial V$, $U = V \setminus D$. Since $\pi_2(D) = \{0\}$, we have a central extension:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \gamma^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \pi_1(N) \rightarrow \pi_1(D) \rightarrow 1$$

and $\pi_1(N)$ injects in $\pi_1(X)$ (see [Tol93]).

Since $\pi_1(D)$ injects in $\pi_1(\bar{X})$ and the inertia group at the base point y of D injects into $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d))$, it follows that $\pi_1(N)/d\mathbb{Z}$ injects in $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d))$ as the image of the fundamental group of the open substack $U[\sqrt[d]{D}]$. The central subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \subset \pi_1(N)/d\mathbb{Z}$ identifies with the inertia group at the base point y of D .

The main fact used by Toledo is the following: every finite index subgroup in $\pi_1(N)$ contains $8\mathbb{Z}$ [Rag84]. Hence the kernel of any finite representation of $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d))$ contains the subgroup $8\mathbb{Z} + d\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} = \pi_1^{loc}(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d), y) \subset \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d))$. \square

Question 1.11. *Are the orbifold Kähler groups $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d))$ constructed above genuine Kähler groups for $d \notin \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$?*

Remark 1.12. *Analysing the above argument, we see that $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is developpable provided $(\pi^u)^*O_{\bar{X}}(D_i)$ is holomorphically trivial for all $1 \leq i \leq l$ where $\pi^u : \widetilde{X}^u \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is the universal covering space of \bar{X} . This condition is satisfied e.g. when \widetilde{X}^u is Stein and $[D_i]$ lies in the image of $H^2(\pi_1(\bar{X}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\bar{X}, \mathbb{Z})$. A sufficient condition for the latter is $\pi_2(\bar{X}) = \{0\}$.*

1.4. Basic obstructions towards developpability. It seems hopeless to classify uniformizable or developpable compact Kähler orbifolds. The $n = 1$ case was known as the Fenchel-Nielsen problem and was solved by Fox.

The following trivial lemma enables to find obstructions for developpability or uniformizability.

Lemma 1.13. *If \mathcal{X} is a developpable (resp. uniformizable) compact Kähler orbifold, any connected closed substack is developpable (resp. uniformizable).*

Let $\gamma : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{mod}$ be the canonical map to the moduli space of \mathcal{X} . Every closed substack of \mathcal{X} has the form $\mathcal{Y} = Y \times_{\mathcal{X}^{mod}} \mathcal{X}$ where Y is a closed substack of \mathcal{X}^{mod} . The only non-uniformizable (or non-developpable) compact complex orbifold-curves being the orbifold $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{P}^1, \sqrt[d]{p})$, $d > 1$ and $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{P}^1, \sqrt[d_1]{p_1}) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{P}^1, \sqrt[d_2]{p_2})$, $d_1 \neq d_2$ both > 1 , it follows that:

Corollary 1.14. *If \bar{X} contains a smooth rational curve C transverse to D such that $C \cap D = \{p\}$ or $C \cap D_1 = \{p_1\}$ $C \cap D_2 = \{p_2\}$ with $d_1 \neq d_2$, both > 1 , and $C \cap D_i = \emptyset$ if $k > 2$, then $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is not developpable.*

One may formulate obstructions attached to singular or non transverse rational curves (say when the singular points are disjoint from D) but I will refrain addressing this in complete generality.

The substack of $\mathcal{X}(X, D, d)$ corresponding to D_p is equivalent to $B(\mathbb{Z}/d_p\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{D}_p$ where \mathcal{D}_p is the induced orbifold:

$$\mathcal{D}_p := \mathcal{X}(D_p, \sum_{i=1, i \neq p}^l D_i \cap D_p, (d_1, \dots, \hat{d}_p, \dots)).$$

Corollary 1.15. *If $\mathcal{X}(X, D, d)$ is uniformizable (resp. developpable), so is \mathcal{D}_p for all $1 \leq p \leq l$.*

There is no obstruction to developpability coming only from the fundamental group.

Proposition 1.16. *Let \mathcal{X} be a compact Kähler orbifold. Then there exists \mathcal{X}' a developpable compact Kähler orbifold such that $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}') = \pi_1(\mathcal{X})$. Furthermore the moduli space of \mathcal{X}' has a proper holomorphic bimeromorphic mapping to the moduli space of \mathcal{X} .*

Proof: This is a corollary of Noohi's covering theory. Indeed, [Noo05] constructs a simply connected complex orbifold $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^u$ upon which $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathcal{X})$ acts with an equivalence $[\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^u/\Gamma] = \mathcal{X}$. We have the moduli map $\gamma : \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^u \rightarrow \Xi := (\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^u)^{mod}$. The complex space with quotient singularities Ξ is simply connected since a covering of Ξ lifts to a covering of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^u$. Also, Γ acts in properly discontinuous fashion on Ξ . The normal complex orbifold $\mathcal{X}^{red} := [\Xi/\Gamma]$ has the same moduli space than \mathcal{X} is sandwiched between \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}^{mod} and is the smallest such sandwiched orbifold with fundamental group Γ . Its isotropy structure is a reduction of the isotropy structure of \mathcal{X} , whence the terminology.

Consider $\Xi' \rightarrow \Xi$ a canonical (e.g.: Encinas-Villamayor) resolution of the singularities of Ξ . Then, Ξ' is simply connected by [Kol93, Sect. 7] [Tak03]. Then $\mathcal{X}' = [\Xi'/\Gamma]$ is the required orbifold.

It should be noted that the Kähler form of \mathcal{X} gives rise to a Γ -equivariant Kähler form on Ξ and then on Ξ' using an orbifold variant of some standard techniques in M. Păun's thesis (see [EySa16]). Hence \mathcal{X}' is Kähler indeed. \square

2. HIRZEBRUCH COVERING SURFACES

Let us apply the previous construction to the study of the fundamental group of a famous class of algebraic surfaces introduced by Hirzebruch in [Hir83].

2.1. Reformulation of Hirzebruch's construction. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ be a line arrangement in \mathbb{P}^2 . Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ be the points of multiplicity ≥ 3 in $\sum_i H_i$. Denote by \bar{X} the blow up at p_1, \dots, p_s of \mathbb{P}^2 . Denote by D_1, \dots, D_k the strict transforms of L_1, \dots, L_k and by D_{k+1}, \dots, D_{k+s} the exceptional curves over p_1, \dots, p_s . Put $l = k + s$ and consider $D = D_1 + \dots + D_l$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, (N, \dots, N)).$$

Proposition 2.1. *Unless \mathcal{A} is a pencil, $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ is uniformizable. More precisely, $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$ and the morphism $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{k-1}$ factors through a morphism $\eta := \eta_{\mathcal{A}} : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{k-1}$ which is injective on the isotropy groups of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof: The isomorphism $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$ is classical, see e.g. [OrTe92].

Next, consider the case \mathcal{A} is an affine pencil, i.e.: a pencil \mathcal{A}' plus a line which does not pass through the base point of the pencil.

The case $k = 3$ is somewhat simpler and I treat it first. In this case, \mathcal{A} is a triangle, which can be described as $x_0x_1x_2 = 0$ where (x_0, x_1, x_2) is a homogenous coordinate system of \mathbb{P}^2 . The map $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \rightarrow [x_0^N : x_1^N : x_2^N]$ lifts to an étale $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ -covering of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ which is actually its universal covering space. The resulting isomorphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ is actually given by $\eta_{\mathcal{A}}$.

For the case $k \geq 4$, one needs to blow up the base point of the pencil and $\bar{X} \simeq \mathbb{F}_1$ the Hirzebruch surface of index 1. Denote by $p : \mathbb{F}_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then D is the union of $p^{-1}(S)$ with $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ is a finite subset of cardinal $k - 1$, the unique -1 curve E and a $+1$ curve F . When D' is a SNC divisor on \mathbb{F}_1 we will also denote by p the composition $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_1, D', d) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

This implies that $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}')$ is not uniformizable since the general fiber of p is a teardrop. On the other hand, the natural map $p : \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, p^{-1}(S), (N, \dots, N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ lifts to $\bar{p} : \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, p^{-1}(S), (N, \dots, N)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S})$ by [Cad07, Remark2.2.2]. The map \bar{p} is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle and yields an isomorphism on π_1 . Furthermore,

$$H_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S}), \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{k-2}$$

and the resulting map $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S})) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{k-2}$ is injective on isotropy groups so that there is a smooth abelian uniformization of degree N^{k-2} , $e : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S})$. Then $C \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ is N -th root stack of $Y = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus e^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ along the divisor corresponding to the two tautological sections. Since $e^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ has degree N^{k-2} we may construct M an invertible sheaf on C such that $M^{\otimes N} \simeq e^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$. This is exactly what is required to construct a fiberwise N -th power map $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus M) \rightarrow Y$ which lifts to an étale cover of $C \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ compatible with the projection to C .

By composition, we get an étale covering map $e' : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus M) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ in particular $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ is uniformizable.

It remains to be seen that the étale covering map e' corresponds precisely to $\ker(\eta_{\mathcal{A}})$. To see this observe that $p : \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S})$ is a fibration of DM topological stacks whose fiber is a football $\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{0 + \infty})$ and, thanks to [Noo05] gives rise to an exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S})) \rightarrow 1.$$

This sequence splits since p has a section and the monodromy action is trivial since p is a pull back of a fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 , which has a trivial monodromy action since \mathbb{P}^1 is simply connected. Hence, the exact sequence underlies a product decomposition $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})) = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \times \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S}))$ and the claim follows.

Now to the general case. For every double point p of \mathcal{A} , since \mathcal{A} is not a pencil, there a triangle subarrangement \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} and the map $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}')$ is an isomorphism near p . Since $\eta_{\mathcal{A}'}$ is a quotient of $\eta_{\mathcal{A}}$ it follows from the triangle case

that $\eta_{\mathcal{A}}$ is injective on the isotropy group at p . For every $m \geq 3$ -uple point q , there is a near pencil \mathcal{A}' subarrangement with the m lines of \mathcal{A} through p and the statement follows from the case of \mathcal{A}' by the same argument. \square

Definition 2.2. *The covering surface $M_N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to $\ker(\eta_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a smooth projective surface with an action of $G := (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{k-1}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) \simeq [M_N(\mathcal{A})/G]$ and is called the Hirzebruch covering surface branched with index N over \mathcal{A} .*

The surface $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ is the ‘Kummersche Überlagerung der projektiven Ebene’ described in [BHH87, Kapitel 3].

2.2. The fundamental group of Hirzebruch covering surfaces. This reference [BHH87] contains a wealth of information on $M_N(\mathcal{A})$. However, the fundamental group of $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ does not seem to have been studied systematically in the literature. One nevertheless knows quite a lot.

The computation of $b_1(M_N(\mathcal{A}))$ in terms of the characteristic variety of \mathcal{A} was carried out by [Hir92, Sak95] see also [Suc02]. It may be possible to study the LCS and Chen ranks of $\pi_1(M_N(\mathcal{A}))$ along the lines of [Suc02].

Here, I will give a necessary and sufficient condition for the fundamental group of $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ to be finite. However, I will begin by describing the main examples.

When \mathcal{A} is a general type arrangement $\pi_1(M_N(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite abelian by Corollary 1.3.

If p is a $k \geq 3$ -uple point of \mathcal{A} the previous construction gives a surjective map $f_p : \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[k]{S_p})$ where $\#S_p = k$ with connected fibers hence the induced mapping on π_1 is surjective (it actually has a section). It follows that if $N \geq 3$ or $N = 2$ and $k \geq 4$ then $\pi_1(M_N(\mathcal{A}))$ is infinite and has an infinite image linear representation in the presence of singular points.

Example 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ be the braid arrangement whose equation is*

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{(x_1, \dots, x_4) \mid \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (x_i - x_j) = 0\}.$$

The intersection of these hyperplanes is the line $\mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Let \mathcal{B} be the line arrangement induced by the central arrangement $\mathcal{B}^/\mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 1, 1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbb{C} \cdot (1, 1, 1, 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$. Then \mathcal{B} is the complete quadrilateral formed by the 6 lines joining every 2 among 4 points in general position. One of the first computations in [BHH87] shows that $M_2(\mathcal{B})$ is a K3 surface (their computation gives $K = 0, c_2 = 24$), hence $\#\pi_1(M_2(\mathcal{B})) = 2^5 = 32$.*

Theorem 2.4. *If \mathcal{A} is not a pencil and has only double and triple points, $\pi_1(M_2(\mathcal{A})) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\#\mathcal{A}-1}$. Consequently, $M_2(\mathcal{A})$ is simply connected.*

The following appears in [Lef16] with no proof except a reference to a personal communication I made to the author:

Corollary 2.5. *The fundamental group $\pi_1(M_2(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite if and only if \mathcal{A} has only double points or \mathcal{A} has only double and triple points and $N = 2$.*

Proof: Let B_1, \dots, B_r be small disjoint closed coordinate balls centered on the triple points of \mathcal{A} and let S_1, \dots, S_r denote their boundary spheres. Define also $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r)$, $\gamma : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the moduli map, and $\Omega_2 = \gamma^{-1}(\Omega)$, $\Sigma_{2,i} = \gamma^{-1}(S_i)$, $B_{2,i} = \gamma^{-1}(B_i)$ all viewed as topological DM stacks. By the Seifert-Van Kampen for orbifolds [Hae84] [Kap01, p. 140] (one may also follow the method of [Zoo02]) :

$$\pi_1(M_2(\mathcal{A})) = \pi_1(\Omega_2) *_{\pi_1(S_{2,1})} \pi_1(B_{2,1}) *_{\pi_1(S_{2,2})} \dots *_{\pi_1(S_{2,r})} \pi_1(B_{2,r}).$$

On the other hand, let \mathcal{A}' be a small perturbation of \mathcal{A} so that \mathcal{A}' is a generic arrangement. Define as above $\Omega = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus (B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r)$, $\gamma' : \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{A}') \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the moduli map, and $\Omega'_2 = (\gamma')^{-1}(\Omega)$, $\Sigma'_{2,i} = (\gamma')^{-1}(S_i)$, $B'_{2,i} = (\gamma')^{-1}(B'_i)$ all viewed as topological DM stacks. By Seifert-Van Kampen:

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{A}')) = \pi_1(\Omega'_2) *_{\pi_1(S'_{2,1})} \pi_1(B'_{2,1}) *_{\pi_1(S'_{2,2})} \dots *_{\pi_1(S'_{2,r})} \pi_1(B'_{2,r}).$$

By Ehresmann lemma, we obtain a homeomorphism:

$$(\Omega_2, \Sigma_{2,1}, \dots, \Sigma_{2,r}) \simeq (\Omega'_2, \Sigma'_{2,1}, \dots, \Sigma'_{2,r}).$$

Hence, it is enough to show that the group morphisms $\pi_1(S_{2,i}) \rightarrow \pi_1(B_{2,i})$ and $\pi_1(S'_{2,i}) \rightarrow \pi_1(B'_{2,i})$ are isomorphic. Since $\pi_1(B'_{2,i}) = H_1(B'_{2,i}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ it is enough to show:

Lemma 2.6. $\pi_1(B_{2,i}) = H_1(B_{2,i}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$.

Proof: The proof of Proposition 2.1 also yields that $H_1(B_{2,i}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ be a finite subset with 3 elements. Then $B_{2,i}$ is a smooth fiber bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[2]{S})$ with fiber $\Delta(\sqrt[2]{0})$ where Δ is an open unit disk. In particular by [Noo05] we get an exact sequence:

$$\pi_1(\Delta(\sqrt[2]{0})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(B_{2,i}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[2]{S})) \rightarrow \{1\}.$$

Now $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[2]{S})) = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = abc = 1 \rangle$ is easily seen to be abelian isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$. Hence, $\pi_1(B_{2,i})$ is finite of cardinal 4 or 8. Since its abelianization has cardinal 8, it follows that $\pi_1(B_{2,i})$ has cardinal 8, hence is isomorphic to its abelianization. \square The proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete. \square

2.3. The Shafarevich conjecture for $M_N(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.7. *If \mathcal{A} is not a pencil, the universal covering space of $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ is holomorphically convex.*

Proof:

We will first treat the $N \geq 3$ case. The idea of the proof stems from Sommese's construction of minimal general type surfaces with a Miyaoka-Yau ratio c_1^2/c_2 close to 3 [Som84].

We may assume \mathcal{A} is not generic since the fundamental group is then finite and the universal covering space compact hence proper over the point which is Stein. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ be the points of multiplicity ≥ 3 in \mathcal{A} and consider $F : \prod_{m=1}^s f_{p_m} : \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{m=1}^s \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S_{p_m}})$.

The first case to be treated is if there are 3 non collinear such points in \mathcal{A} . By construction, F contracts no curve. In particular F is finite over an orbifold whose universal covering space is a product of disks and complex lines and the corresponding covering space of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ is Stein. Hence, its universal covering space which is the same as the universal covering space of $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ is Stein too.

Then we have to look at the case when all multiple points lie on a line L that may or may not belong to \mathcal{A} .

A degenerate case is when there is a single point of multiplicity ≥ 3 . In this case, since all the lines that do not pass through this multiple point are transverse to the arrangement, we may delete them thanks to lemma 1.3. Indeed, if $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a *finite* map of compact Kähler orbifolds such that the mapping induced by f on π_1 has finite kernel then the map from the universal cover of \mathcal{Y} to the universal cover of \mathcal{Z} is finite and holomorphic convexity of the universal cover of \mathcal{Z} implies holomorphic convexity of the universal cover of \mathcal{Y} . This reduces us to the case of a near pencil where the analysis in the proof of proposition 2.1 shows that $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ is a ruled surface, the ruling being essentially given by F . In this case, the Γ -dimension of $M_N(\mathcal{A})$ is 1.

Now assume there are at least 2 points of multiplicity ≥ 3 all lying on L . Once again, we may delete from the arrangement all the lines that do not pass through these points since they are transverse to the rest of the arrangement. We are reduced to the case of an arrangement which is the union of s pencils $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s$ with at least 3 lines. Actually, there are two subcases whether the line L does belong to these pencils or does not. The base points of the \mathcal{P}_i coincide with the p_i and do belong to L .

The proper transform L' of L in $\bar{X} = Bl_{p_1, \dots, p_s}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the only curve that is contracted by the map F^{mod} induced by F on the moduli spaces. In fact, L' is the only positive dimensional fiber of F^{mod} . Denote by \mathcal{L}' the closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ defined as the preimage of L' by the moduli map.

Lemma 2.8. $\text{Im}(\pi_1(\mathcal{L}') \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})))$ is finite.

Proof: In the present case it is possible to compute the fundamental group of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$.

First consider $X' = \bar{X} - \psi^{-1}L$ where $\psi : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is the natural map. $X' \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ has a SNC divisor D' consisting of the union of m parallel arrangements P'_1, \dots, P'_m in general position with respect to each other. In particular, by [Fan87],

$$\pi_1(X' \setminus D') \simeq \prod_i \pi_1(X' \setminus P'_i),$$

each factor being a free group on $\#P'_i$ generators.

We have a π_1 -surjective mapping $j : \mathcal{X}(X', D', (N, \dots, N)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$. The induced morphism of fundamental groups gives rise to a surjective group morphism $\psi : \prod_i \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(X', P'_i, N)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$ and we denote by $\psi_i : \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(X', P'_i, N)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$ the i -th component. Here the group $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}(X', P'_i, N))$ is a free product of $\#P'_i$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Call the corresponding generators $\gamma_{i1}, \dots, \gamma_{i\#P'_i}$ since they correspond to the meridian loops of the lines in P'_i .

There is also a group morphism $\pi_1(f_{p_i}) : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S_{p_i}}))$. The group morphism $\pi_1(f_{p_i}) \circ \psi_i : \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(X', P'_i, N)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S_{p_i}}))$ can be described as the quotient map by the normal subgroup H_i generated by $\gamma_{i1} \cdot \gamma_{i2} \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{i\#P'_i}$ if L is not in the pencil or the normal subgroup generated by $(\gamma_{i1} \cdot \gamma_{i2} \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_{i\#P'_i})^N$ if so.

In particular $\pi_1(F) \circ \psi$ is surjective and $\ker(\pi_1(F) \circ \psi) = \prod_i H_i$. It follows that $\pi_1(F)$ is surjective and that the kernel H of ψ is contained in $\prod_i H_i$ so that ψ presents $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$ as the quotient group by H . But it is clear from the description above that $\prod_i H_i \subset \ker(\psi)$. Hence $\pi_1(F) : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \prod_i \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S_{p_i}}))$ is an isomorphism.

The substack $\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to L' maps via F to an orbifold point of $\prod_i \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S_{p_i}})$ in particular the image of $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}')$ by $\pi_1(F)$ is finite. Since $\pi_1(F)$ is an isomorphism, $\text{Im}(\pi_1(\mathcal{L}') \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})))$ is finite, as claimed. \square

It follows that the preimage of L' in the universal covering space $\pi : \widetilde{M}_N(\mathcal{A})^u \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ is a union of compact connected components (that are easily seen to be smooth). The fibers of $F \circ \pi$ are actually either discrete or preimages of L' in particular, these fibers have all their connected components compact. This enables to construct a proper holomorphic mapping $s : \widetilde{M}_N(\mathcal{A})^u \rightarrow S := S(\widetilde{M}_N(\mathcal{A})^u)$ contracting precisely the compact connected components of the preimage of L' .

The action of $\pi := \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$ descends to S and commutes with s and we have a finite map of complex analytic stacks $[S/\pi] \rightarrow \prod_{m=1}^s \mathbb{P}^1(\sqrt[N]{S_{p_m}})$. Since the latter stack is a product of hyperbolic and elliptic orbifolds it follows that there is an intermediate covering $S \rightarrow S'$ with S' finite over a product of disks and complex lines. Such an S' is Stein and since a covering of a Stein space is Stein, S itself is Stein.

The case when $N = 2$ can be treated as follows. First of all, theorem 2.4 reduces the question to the case when there are ≥ 4 -uple points. The case where they are not all collinear or where there is at most one such point is treated as above. The case when they are collinear, say lie on some line L , and there are some triple points outside L can be treated using the idea of theorem 2.4 by moving slightly one line of a pencil based at infinity to eliminate these triple points (this will not change the fundamental groups). Then one can apply [Fan87] as above to conclude.

□

Remark 2.9. *Even if the group in Lemma 2.8 was infinite, applying [Nap90] would give the conclusion.*

3. FINAL REMARKS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

3.1. Group theoretical nature of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$. Let us recall a well-known interesting open question on arrangement groups:

Question 3.1. *Given \mathcal{A} a line arrangement, is $\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H)$ residually finite? A linear group?*

The standard notation is $X(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$.

Example 3.2. *There is an elementary isomorphism $\pi_1(X(\mathcal{B})) \simeq \bar{P}_4$ where $P_4 = \mathbb{Z} \times \bar{P}_4$ is the decomposition of Artin's pure braid group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \mathcal{B}^*)$ as the product of its infinite cyclic center $\mathcal{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ by the quotient group $\bar{P}_4 := P_4/\mathcal{Z}$. Since B_4 is linear, it follows that \bar{P}_4 is linear too.*

A similar statement holds for the projectivisation \mathcal{B}_n of the generic 3-dimensional linear section of the (reduced) higher braid arrangements \mathcal{B}_n^* . The fundamental group of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathcal{B}_n^*$ is Artin's pure braid group P_n , $n \geq 4$.

In a similar fashion, we ask:

Question 3.3. *Given $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and \mathcal{A} an arrangement, is $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$ residually finite? A linear group?*

In general $\pi_1(F) : \pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \prod_i \pi_1(C_i)$ is not an isomorphism onto a product of orbicurve groups. Hirzebruch's famous example of a compact complex hyperbolic orbifold, $\mathcal{M}_5(\mathcal{B})$, gives a counterexample. Indeed the rational cohomological dimension of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_5(\mathcal{B}))$ is 4 whereas, in this case, the rational cohomological dimension of $\prod_i \pi_1(C_i)$ is 8! One may hope that the projection to 2 factors be an isomorphism but Zuo's computation of b_1 easily allows to exclude this possibility.

Furthermore, the components of F need no be the only orbicurve fiberings of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$. There is indeed a fifth such fibering for $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{B})$. An easy way to see it is that in this case \bar{X} is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$ the Kontsevich moduli space of 5-pointed genus 0 curves, hence $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{B})$ has \mathfrak{S}_5 -symmetry. There is \mathfrak{S}_4 subgroup fixing the exceptional curve and lifting the \mathfrak{S}_4 - symmetry of \mathcal{B} . Precomposing one of this obvious fiberings with a generator of the cyclic subgroup gives the fifth fibering.

I did not investigate whether $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{B})$ is a linear (resp. residually finite) group if $N \neq \{2, 5\}$.

3.2. Higher dimensional analogue of Theorem 2.7. For every projective hyperplane arrangement $\cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ we have the De Concini-Procesi compactification $\bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ obtained by blowing up certain non-generic intersections of the hyperplanes in \mathcal{A} . The preimage D of $\cup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ in \bar{X} is a simple normal crossing divisor whose components are labelled by the above non-generic intersections of hyperplanes. If \mathcal{A} contains a subarrangement consisting in $n + 1$ coordinate hyperplanes Proposition 2.1 holds to the effect that $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, (N, \dots, N))$

is uniformizable. The Zariski-Lefschetz theorem also reduces the finiteness of $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A}))$ to the case $n = 2$. However, I don't have a complete argument for the Shafarevich conjecture in that case:

Conjecture 1. *Is the universal covering space of $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathcal{A})$ holomorphically convex?*

I do believe an affirmative answer should not be difficult to get.

3.3. A group theoretical consequence of the Shafarevich conjecture. Let (\bar{X}, D, d) be as in subsection 1.1. For $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$ we denote by D_I the intersection $D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_r}$. Since D_I need not be irreducible we denote by D_{I_i} $1 \leq i \leq \alpha_i$ (where $\alpha_i = 0$ is actually allowed!) its irreducible components.

Definition 3.4. *A positive dimensional D_{I_i} is said to be exceptional if*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\pi_1(\mathcal{D}_{I_i}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)))$$

is a finite group, where its preimage in $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is denoted by \mathcal{D}_{I_i} . If D_{I_i} is exceptional we shall say that \mathcal{D}_{I_i} is an exceptional component.

The following is a consequence of the Shafarevich conjecture generalized to Kähler orbifolds. The case when \bar{X} is projective and $\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)$ is uniformizable would be a consequence of the usual statement of the conjecture for complex projective manifolds.

Question 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a connected union of exceptional components. Then $\Gamma' := \mathrm{Im}(\pi_1(\mathcal{D}') \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)))$ is a finite group.*

It follows from [EKPR12, CCE15] that for all $\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, d)) \rightarrow GL_N(\mathbb{C})$, $\rho(\Gamma')$ is finite.

The case where (\bar{X}, D) comes from an arrangement of lines with unequal weights does not seem to be a direct consequence of the literature on fundamental groups of complements of line arrangements. More generally, any sufficiently complicated configuration of curves in a smooth surface - meaning that the fundamental group of the complement has exponential growth with respect to some system of generators - will give rise to a non-trivial instance of this question.

3.4. Connection with the Bogomolov-Katzarkov examples. The situation considered by [BoKa98] can be described as follows. Their \bar{X} is a smooth algebraic surface with a fibration $\pi : \bar{X} \rightarrow S$, S being a complete curve, whose singular (scheme-theoretic) fibers are stable curves of genus $g \geq 2$. This data is equivalent to a mapping $S \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ which cuts nicely the boundary components of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the Deligne-Mumford moduli stack of stable curves of genus g . Their D is the preimage of the critical set of π . And they set $d = (p, \dots, p)$ where p is a large prime number (e.g.: $p \geq 641$) so that the Burnside group on 2 (or more) generators with exponent p is infinite. They obtain that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(\bar{X}, D, p)$ is uniformizable. Let $B \subset S$ be the set of critical points of π and denote by \mathcal{S} the orbicurve $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X}(S, \sqrt[p]{B})$. They prove that the surjective morphism $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ may have an infinite kernel. The open problem they pose is nothing but an instance of Question 3.5.

3.5. A strengthened form of the Shafarevich Conjecture on Holomorphic Convexity. Let Γ be a finitely presented group and G/\mathbb{Q} be an affine algebraic group. Then there exists a finite type affine \mathbb{Q} -scheme $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, G)$ which represents the functor

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}\text{-algebras} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Sets} \\ A & \mapsto & \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Grp}}(\Gamma, G(A)) \end{array} \right).$$

Here, an algebra is assumed to be commutative and associative. Define $A_{\Gamma, G} := \mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma, G)]$. By the very definition of a representing functor there exists a universal representation $\rho_{\Gamma, G} : \Gamma \rightarrow G(A_{\Gamma, G})$ such that every group morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow G(B)$

factors as $G(\phi) \circ \rho_{\Gamma, G}$ for some algebra morphism $\phi : A_{\Gamma, G} \rightarrow B$ where we identify G and its functor of points.

Definition 3.6. $\rho_{\Gamma, G}$ will be called the universal representation of Γ with values in G . Also, for $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\rho_{\Gamma, N} := \rho_{\Gamma, GL_N}$.

Definition 3.7. Let X be a connected quasi-Kähler manifold⁴. Define Property $SSC(X)$ holds as follows:

For every $f : Z \rightarrow X$ where Z is a compact connected complex analytic space and f is holomorphic

$$\#\text{Im}(\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)) < +\infty \Leftrightarrow \forall N \in \mathbb{N}^* \#\rho_{\pi_1(X), N}(\text{Im}(\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X))) < +\infty.$$

Theorem 3.8. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that $SSC(X)$ holds. Then the universal covering manifold of X is holomorphically convex.

Proof: Denote by $\pi : \widetilde{X}^{un} \rightarrow X$ the universal covering map. By [CCE15] (see also [EKPR12] in the projective case) $\tilde{X}_N = \widetilde{X}^{un} / \ker(\rho_{\pi_1(X), N})$ is holomorphically convex. Let $\tilde{X}_N \rightarrow S_N$ be its Cartan-Remmert reduction. The group $\Gamma_N = \pi_1(X) / \ker(\rho_{\pi_1(X), N})$ acts in a proper discontinuous cocompact fashion on S_N . Following [Cam94, Kol93], we consider the natural holomorphic mapping $sh_N : X \rightarrow Sh_N(X) := S_N / \Gamma_N$, which is a Shafarevich morphism (aka. Γ -reduction).

By the characteristic property of the Shafarevich morphism [Eys11], there is a tower of morphisms of normal complex-analytic spaces under X

$$\dots \rightarrow Sh_{N+1}(X) \rightarrow Sh_N(X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Sh_1(X)$$

and by the ascending chain condition for complex-analytic subspaces of $X \times X$ applied to $\{X \times_{Sh_N(X)} X\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ there is $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for all $N \geq N_0$ $sh_N(X) = sh_{N_0}(X)$.

Definition 3.9. $Sh_\infty(X) := sh_{N_0}(X)$ and $(sh_\infty : X \rightarrow Sh_\infty(X)) := sh_{N_0}$ will be called the linear Shafarevich morphism of X .

Lemma 3.10. Under $SSC(X)$, every fiber of $q := sh_\infty \circ \pi$ has no non-compact connected component.

Proof: Every fiber of q is of the form $\pi^{-1}(Z)$ where $Z \subset X$ is a fiber of sh_N for $N \geq N_0$ and is contracted by sh_N for all N . By the characteristic property of sh_N , $\rho_{\pi_1(X), N}(\text{Im}(\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)))$ is finite for all N . Hence by $SSC(X)$ $\text{Im}(\pi_1(Z) \rightarrow \pi_1(X))$ is finite too, so that all connected components of the lift of Z to \widetilde{X}^{un} are compact. \square

Hence, there is a proper morphism $s : \widetilde{X}^{un} \rightarrow S_\infty$ where S_∞ is a normal complex-analytic space whose points are in 1-1 correspondance with the connected components of the fibers of q .

Furthermore the natural map $f : S_\infty \rightarrow S_{N_0}$ has the following property: every point in S_{N_0} has an open neighborhood U such that each connected component of $f^{-1}(U)$ is finite over U . Since S_{N_0} is Stein, it follows that S_∞ is Stein, too [LeB76]. \square

Remark 3.11. I don't know of a single connected quasi-Kähler manifold violating $SSC(X)$. Note that $SSC(X)$ implies (with $f = Id_X$) that if $\pi_1(X)$ is infinite then $\pi_1(X)$ has a finite dimensional complex representation with infinite image.

Question 3.12. Is there a quasi-projective manifold X with an infinite fundamental group having no finite dimensional complex linear representation with infinite image? Is there a quasi-projective manifold X with a simple infinite fundamental group?

⁴Quasi-Kähler means realizable as a Zariski open subset of a compact Kähler manifold.

REFERENCES

- [BHH87] G. Barthel, F. Hirzebruch, T. Höfer *Geradenkonfigurationen und Algebraische Flächen* Aspekte der Mathematik, Band **D4** (1987), Vieweg.
- [BN06] K. Behrend and B. Noohi, *Uniformization of Deligne-Mumford curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 599 (2006), pp. 111–153.
- [BoKa98] F. Bogomolov, L. Katzarkov *Complex projective surfaces and infinite groups* Geom. Funct. Anal. 8 (1998), no. 2, 243–272.
- [Cad07] C. Cadman *Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves*, Amer. J. Math., 129 (2007), pp. 405–427.
- [Cam94] F. Campana *Remarques sur le revêtement universel des variétés kählériennes compactes*, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. **122** (1994), 255–284.
- [Cam04] F. Campana, *Orbifolds, special varieties and classification theory* Ann. Inst. Fourier **54** (2004), 499–630.
- [CCE15] F. Campana, B. Claudon, P. Eyssidieux *Représentations linéaires des groupes kählériens : Factorisations et conjecture de Shafarevich linéaire*, doi:10.1112/S0010437X14007751, Compositio Mathematica, **151** (2015) 351–376.
- [Del78] P. Deligne *Extensions centrales non résiduellement finies de groupes arithmétiques* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser A-B **287** n. 4 (1978), A203–A208.
- [Eys11] P. Eyssidieux *Lectures on the Shafarevich Conjecture on Uniformization* dans M. Brunella, S. Dumitrescu, P. Eyssidieux, L. Meersseman, A. Glutsyuk, M. Nicolau, *Complex Manifolds, Foliations and Uniformization*, S. Dumitrescu éditeur, Panoramas et Synthèses **34–35**, Société Mathématique de France (2011), 101–148.
- [Eys13] P. Eyssidieux *On the Uniformisation of Compact Kähler orbifolds* Vietnam J. Math. (2013), **41**. (2013) 399–407.
- [EKPR12] P. Eyssidieux, L. Katzarkov, T. Pantev, and M. Ramachandran, *Linear Shafarevich Conjecture*, Annals of Math. **176** (2012), 1545–1581.
- [EySa16] P. Eyssidieux, F. Sala, *Instantons and framed sheaves on Kähler Deligne-Mumford stacks*, arxiv 1404.3504 , Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse (Mathématiques) to appear.
- [Fan87] K.-M. Fan *Direct product of free groups as the fundamental group of the complement of a union of lines* Michigan J. Math. **44** (1997) 283–291.
- [Hae84] A. Haefliger *Groupes d’holonomie et classifiants* Astérisque **116** (1984), 70–107.
- [Hir92] E. Hironaka *Polynomial periodicity for Betti numbers of covering surfaces* Inv. Math. **108** (1992), 289–321.
- [Hir83] F. Hirzebruch *Arrangements of lines and algebraic surfaces* in *Arithmetic and Geometry—Papers dedicated to I. R. Shafarevich (II)*, Progress in Mathematics **36** (1983), 113–140, Birkhäuser.
- [Kap01] M. Kapovich *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Discrete Groups* Progress in Math. **183**, Birkhäuser (2001).
- [Kol93] J. Kollár, *Shafarevich maps and plurigenera of algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 177–215.
- [LeB76] P. Le Barz *A propos des revêtements ramifiés d’espaces de Stein* Math. Ann. **222** (1976), 63–69.
- [Lef16] L.-C. Lefèvre *A criterion for quadraticity of a representation of the fundamental group of an algebraic variety* Manuscripta Math. (2016). doi:10.1007/s00229-016-0866-7.
- [Ler10] E. Lerman, *Orbifolds as stacks?*, Enseign. Math. (2), 56 (2010), pp. 315–363.
- [Nap90] T. Napier, *Convexity properties of coverings of smooth projective varieties*, Math. Ann. 286 (1990), no. 1-3, 433–479.
- [Noo04] B. Noohi *Fundamental groups of algebraic stacks* Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu **3** (2004), 69–103.
- [Noo05] B. Noohi *Foundations of topological stacks* Arxiv math/0503247 (2005).
- [Nor83] M. Nori *Zariski’s conjecture and related problems* Ann. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e série **16** (1983), 305–344.
- [OrTe92] P. Orlik, H. Terao *Arrangements of Hyperplanes* Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **300** (1992), Springer.
- [Rag84] M.-S. Ragnathan *Torsion in cocompact lattices in coverings of Spin(2, n)* Math. Ann. **266** (1984), 403–419.
- [Sak95] M. Sakuma *Homology of abelian coverings of links and spatial graphs* Canad. J. Math. **47** (1995), 201–224
- [Suc02] A. Suciu *Fundamental groups of hyperplane arrangements: Enumerative aspects* in *Advances in Algebraic Geometry motivated by Physics*, Contemporary Mathematics **276**, Amer. Math. Soc., (2002), 43–79.

- [Som84] A. Sommese *On the density of ratios of Chern numbers of algebraic surfaces*, Math. Ann. **268** (1984), 207–221.
- [Tak03] S. Takayama *Local simple connectedness of log-terminal singularities*, International J. Math. **14** n. 8 (2003), 825–836.
- [To193] D. Toledo *Projective varieties with non-residually finite fundamental group*, Pub. Math. IHES **77** (1993), 103–119.
- [Zoo02] V. Zoonekynd *Théorème de Van Kampen pour les champs algébriques*, Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal **9** n. 1 (2002), 101–145.

Philippe Eyssidieux

Université de Grenoble-Alpes. Institut Fourier. 100 rue des Maths, BP 74, 38402
Saint Martin d'Hères Cedex, France

philippe.eyssidieux@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~eyssi/>