



**HAL**  
open science

# ON THE LETAC-MASSAM CONJECTURE ON CONES $Q$ An

Salha Mamane, Hideyuki Ishi, Hiroyuki Ochiai

► **To cite this version:**

Salha Mamane, Hideyuki Ishi, Hiroyuki Ochiai. ON THE LETAC-MASSAM CONJECTURE ON CONES  $Q$  An. 2016. hal-01400974

**HAL Id: hal-01400974**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01400974>**

Preprint submitted on 22 Nov 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## ON THE LETAC-MASSAM CONJECTURE ON CONES $Q_{A_n}$

By Piotr GRACZYK,<sup>\*1)</sup> Hideyuki ISHI,<sup>\*2)</sup> Salha MAMANE,<sup>\*3)</sup> and Hiroyuki OCHIAI<sup>\*4)</sup>

**Abstract:** We prove, for graphical models for nearest neighbour interactions, a conjecture stated by Letac and Massam in 2007. Our result is important in the analysis of Wishart distributions on cones related to graphical models and in its statistical applications.

**Key words:** Laplace transform; power function; analysis on cones; Wishart distribution; graphical model.

### 1. Preliminaries

**1.1. Introduction** In this note, we solve on an important class of cones, the conjecture stated by Letac and Massam in [7] p.1314, and called "Letac-Massam Conjecture" in [1]. This conjecture is of fundamental importance in harmonic analysis of Riesz and Wishart measures on convex cones connected to graphs and in its applications to modern multivariate statistics. More generally, the Conjecture of Letac-Massam is closely related to an important problem in a wide range of analysis on cones:

( $\mathcal{P}$ ) *Is the Laplace transform of a product of powers of given polynomials equal to a product of powers of some polynomials?*

Let  $n \geq 2$ . We denote by  $\text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$  the vector space of real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices and by  $\text{Sym}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  the cone of symmetric positive definite matrices. Let  $G$  be a graph with vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and edges  $E$ . Let  $Z_G$  be the subspace of  $\text{Sym}(n, \mathbb{R})$  containing matrices  $z$  with  $z_{ij} = 0$  if  $\{i, j\} \notin E$ . Cones  $P_G = \text{Sym}^+(n, \mathbb{R}) \cap Z_G$  and their dual cones  $Q_G$  are basic objects of graphical model theory ([5], [7]), one of the most important parts of contemporary statistics, including big data statistics. We refer to [5] and [7] for all the notions and notations not ex-

plained in detail in this paper.

We show that the Letac-Massam conjecture is true on the cones  $Q_G$  where

$$G = A_n = 1 - 2 - \dots - n.$$

This is a fundamental class of non-homogeneous cones appearing in the statistical theory of graphical models [5], corresponding to the practical model of nearest neighbour interactions. In the Gaussian character  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , non-neighbours  $X_i, X_j$ ,  $|i - j| > 1$  are conditionally independent with respect to other variables.

According to [7, Corollary 3.1], the Letac-Massam Conjecture is true on the cones  $Q_{A_4}$  and  $P_{A_4}$ , but these results are "obtained by a nontrivial and long computation" and the proofs are omitted. [7] states that for  $n = 5$  "calculations are terrifying." Our method of proof is simple and based on methods of [4]: triangular changes of variables on  $Q_{A_n}$  and using natural "future" and "past" power functions  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  and  $\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  on  $Q_{A_n}$  and on  $P_{A_n}$ . Our method also applies to the cone  $P_{A_4}$ .

### 1.2. Letac-Massam Conjecture

The Letac-Massam Conjecture is a conjecture on the Laplace transform of functions  $\eta \mapsto H(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ ,  $\eta \in Q_{A_n}$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$ ,  $\beta = (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$ , introduced in [7], cf. (2) below. If needed, we will use a more precise notation  $H_n$  for the function  $H$  on  $Q_{A_n}$ . Let  $\pi$  denote the projection from  $\text{Sym}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  onto the cone  $Q_{A_n}$  and let  $\mu_{A_n}(d\eta)$  be the reference measure on the cone  $Q_{A_n}$ , defined by (3) below. The Letac-Massam conjecture on the cone  $Q_{A_n}$  says that there exists  $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \int_{Q_{A_n}} e^{-\text{tr}(y\eta)} H(\alpha, \beta, \eta) d\mu_{A_n}(\eta) \\ = C_{\alpha, \beta} H(\alpha, \beta, \pi(y^{-1})) \quad (y \in P_{A_n})$$

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 62E15, 42B10, 15B48, 62H99.

<sup>\*1)</sup> LAREMA, University of Angers, 2 Boulevard Lavoisier 49045 Angers cedex 01, France, piotr.graczyk@univ-angers.fr

<sup>\*2)</sup> Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku Nagoya 464-8602, Japan, hideyuki@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

<sup>\*3)</sup> School of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, Private Bag X3, Wits 2050, South Africa, Salha.Mamane@wits.ac.za

<sup>\*4)</sup> Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan, ochiai@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp

if and only if  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{M=2}^{n-1} A_M$  and the sets  $A_M$  are defined by the following conditions (C) and (I):

- (C)  $\alpha_j = \beta_{j+1}$  if  $1 \leq j \leq M-2$ , and  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$  if  $M+1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  
(I)  $\alpha_j > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and  $\alpha_{M-1} + \alpha_M - \beta_M > 0$ .

The sufficiency of the condition  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$  was showed in [7] and the necessity conjectured and announced true for  $n = 4$ . For  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  the equivalence of (1) with  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$  is well known. The necessity of (I) is evident (consider diagonal  $y \in P_{A_n}$ , cf. Lemma 2.1 below), so the necessity of (C) is to be proved for  $n \geq 4$ .

In the sequel, the equality (1) will be referred to as the Letac-Massam formula on  $Q_{A_n}$  and the conditions (C) as Letac-Massam conditions. In this note we prove

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 4$ . The formula (1) implies conditions (C).*

**1.3. Letac-Massam Conjecture for power functions  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  and  $\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$**  Now we introduce the power functions  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  on  $Q_{A_n}$  and  $\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  on  $P_{A_n}$ . For all  $2 \leq M \leq n-1$ ,

$$\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{M-1} |\eta_{\{i:i+1\}}|^{s_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^n |\eta_{\{i-1:i\}}|^{s_i}}{\prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \eta_{ii}^{s_{i-1}} \eta_{MM}^{s_{M-1}-s_M+s_{M+1}} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \eta_{ii}^{s_{i+1}}}$$

$$\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(y) = \prod_{i < M} |y_{\{1:i\}}|^{s_i - s_{i+1}} |y|^{s_M} \prod_{i > M} |y_{\{i:n\}}|^{s_i - s_{i-1}},$$

where, for  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the matrix  $A_I$  is the submatrix of  $A$  indexed by  $I$ , and the symbol  $\{a : b\}$  with  $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$  denotes the set of  $i$  for which  $a \leq i \leq b$ . The relation  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\pi(y^{-1})) = \Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(y)$  is proved in [4]. Define  $r_i = \alpha_{i+1} - \beta_i$  and  $p_i = \alpha_i - \beta_i$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . We have, as defined in [7],

$$(2) \quad H(\alpha, \beta, \eta) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |\eta_{\{i:i+1\}}|^{\alpha_i}}{\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \eta_{ii}^{\beta_i}}$$

so that  $H(\alpha, \beta, \eta) = \delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta) \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \eta_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \eta_{ii}^{p_i}$ , where  $s_i = \alpha_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq M-1$ ;  $s_i = \alpha_{i-1}$ , for all  $M+1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $s_M = \alpha_{M-1} + \alpha_M - \beta_M$ . This implies  $r_M = s_M - s_{M+1}$  and  $p_M = s_M - s_{M-1}$ . We notice that  $\underline{s} = (s_i)$  depends on  $M$ , whereas neither  $\underline{r} = (r_i)$  nor  $\underline{p} = (p_i)$  does.

Let  $\varphi(y) = \pi(y^{-1})$  and

$$(3) \quad \mu_{A_n}(d\eta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |\eta_{\{i:i+1\}}|^{-3/2} \prod_{i \neq 1, n} \eta_{ii} d\eta$$

be the reference measure used in [7], where  $d\eta$  is the Lebesgue measure.

The Letac-Massam formula (1) is equivalent, for each  $2 \leq M \leq n-1$ , to

$$(4) \quad \int_{Q_{A_n}} e^{-\text{tr}(y\eta)} \delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta) \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \eta_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \eta_{ii}^{p_i} d\mu_{A_n}(\eta) = C_{\alpha, \beta} \Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(y) \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \varphi(y)_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \varphi(y)_{ii}^{p_i}.$$

The Letac-Massam conditions (C) are equivalent to the following  $n-2$  alternative conditions:

$$(5) \quad r_2 = \dots = r_{M-1} = p_{M+1} = \dots = p_{n-1} = 0$$

for an  $M \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ , or, in other words, to the equality  $H(\alpha, \beta, \cdot) = \delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  for an  $M \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ .

A positive answer to the Letac-Massam Conjecture implies that the natural generalized power functions on  $Q_{A_n}$  are the families  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta)$ , motivated by analysis on symmetric and homogeneous cones, with  $n$ -dimensional parameter  $\underline{s}$ . Power functions  $H(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ ,  $\eta \in Q_{A_n}$  are motivated by advanced graph theory, more exactly by cliques and separators formalism. The parameters  $\alpha, \beta$  have dimension  $2n-3$ . Even if we start with a larger family  $H(\alpha, \beta, \eta)$ , in order to have the property (P) satisfied, we boil down to the families  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta)$ , with  $M = 2, \dots, n-1$ . Moreover, the families  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(1)}(\eta)$  and  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(n)}(\eta)$  are "forgotten" in the graph theory approach of [7].

**2. Proof** We are going to prove the Letac-Massam conjecture by induction on  $n$ . The proof of the initiation part ( $n = 4$ ) and the heredity part ( $n \geq 5$ ) are the same, so they are given together. We use extensively the bijections  $\Psi_n$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}_n$  between  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times Q_{A_{n-1}}$  and  $Q_{A_n}$  and the bijections  $\Phi_n$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_n$  between  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times P_{A_{n-1}}$  and  $P_{A_n}$ , studied in [4] (see Appendix for more information).

First, in the following lemma, we express, for each  $M$ , the constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  as a function of  $M, \underline{s} = (s_i), \underline{r} = (r_i)$  and  $\underline{p} = (p_i)$ . This is convenient and needed in further study of the formula (4).

**Lemma 2.1.** *If the formula (4) holds for all  $y \in P_{A_n}$  then we have*

$$(6) \quad C_{\alpha, \beta} = \pi^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma(s_M) \left\{ \prod_{i \neq M} \Gamma(s_i - \frac{1}{2}) \right\} \times \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \frac{\Gamma(s_i + r_i)}{\Gamma(s_i)} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(s_i + p_i)}{\Gamma(s_i)}.$$

If  $y$  is diagonal, then (4) holds if and only if  $s_i > \frac{1}{2}$  for  $i \neq M$ ,  $s_M > 0$ ,  $s_i + r_i > 0$  for  $2 \leq i < M$  and  $s_i + p_i > 0$  for  $M < i \leq n-1$ .

*Proof.* We take  $y$  diagonal. The proof is a by-product of the Step 1 of the main proof.  $\square$

**Step 1 (descent in Letac-Massam formula, from  $Q_{A_n}$  to  $Q_{A_{n-1}}$ ).** Let  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$  and  $\beta = (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$ .

Suppose that the Letac-Massam formula (1) holds for  $H_n(\alpha, \beta, \cdot)$  on  $Q_{A_n}$ . Then the Letac-Massam formula holds on  $Q_{A_{n-1}}$  for:

(i)  $H_{n-1}((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}), (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-2}), \cdot)$  and the graph  $1 - \dots - (n-1)$

(ii)  $H_{n-1}((\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}), (\beta_3, \dots, \beta_{n-1}), \cdot)$  and the graph  $2 - \dots - n$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove (i). We choose  $2 \leq M \leq n-2$ . For all  $y \in P_{A_n}$ , let, successively,  $y = \tilde{\Psi}_n(a', b', z)$  and  $z = \Phi_{n-1}(a'', b'', Z)$ . We easily check that for  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $\varphi(y)_{ii} = \varphi(z)_{ii} = \varphi(Z)_{ii}$ , see Lemma 4.2 (by our convention,  $z$  is indexed by  $1, \dots, n-1$  and  $Z$  is indexed by  $2, \dots, n-1$ ). Integration on  $Q_{A_n}$  with two successive changes of variables  $\eta = \tilde{\Psi}_n(\mu', \nu', \xi)$  and then  $\xi = \Psi_{n-1}(\mu'', \nu'', \Xi)$  gives

$$(7) \quad \int_{Q_{A_{n-2}}} e^{-\text{tr}(Z\Xi)} \delta_{(s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})}^{(M)}(\Xi) \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \Xi_{ii}^{r_i} \\ \times \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \Xi_{ii}^{p_i} d\mu_{A_{n-2}}(\Xi) \\ = C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n-2)} \Delta_{-(s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})}^{(M)}(Z) \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \varphi(Z)_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-1} \varphi(Z)_{ii}^{p_i},$$

where  $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n-2)} = \frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}}{\pi \Gamma(s_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2})}$  and the rows and columns of  $\Xi$  and  $Z$  are numbered  $2, \dots, n-1$ . Now, we apply one more change of variable  $\Xi = \tilde{\Psi}_{n-2}(\mu, \nu, \Theta)$  in formula (7) and we set  $Z = \tilde{\Phi}_{n-2}(a, 0, T)$ . The lines and columns of  $\Theta$  and  $T$  are numbered  $2, \dots, n-2$ . Let  $F(\mu, \nu, \Theta)$  be the integrand. We first compute  $J = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} F d\mu d\nu = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} F d\mu d\nu$ . Using the change of variables  $u = a\mu, t = a\Theta_{n-2, n-2} \nu^2$  we get

$$J = 2a^{-p_{n-1}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(a\mu + a\Theta_{n-2, n-2} \nu^2)} \\ \times \mu^{s_{n-1} - 3/2} (a\mu + a\Theta_{n-2, n-2} \nu^2)^{p_{n-1}} d\mu d\nu \\ = a^{-(s_{n-1} + p_{n-1})} \Theta_{n-2, n-2}^{-1/2} \\ \times \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(u+t)} u^{s_{n-1} - 3/2} t^{-1/2} (u+t)^{p_{n-1}} du dt.$$

Now, using the change of variables  $(u, v) = (u, u+t)$ , we get

$$J = a^{-(s_{n-1} + p_{n-1})} \Theta_{n-2, n-2}^{-1/2} \\ \times \int_0^{\infty} \left( \int_0^v u^{s_{n-1} - 3/2} (v-u)^{-1/2} du \right) e^{-v} v^{p_{n-1}} dv \\ = a^{-(s_{n-1} + p_{n-1})} \Theta_{n-2, n-2}^{-1/2} \\ (8) \quad \times B(s_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s_{n-1} + p_{n-1}),$$

where, in the integral with respect to  $du$  we made a change of variable  $x = u/v$ . We get

$$\int_{Q_{A_{n-3}}} e^{-\text{tr}(T\Theta)} \delta_{(s_2, \dots, s_{n-2})}^{(M)}(\Theta) \\ \times \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \Theta_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-2} \Theta_{ii}^{p_i} d\mu_{A_{n-3}}(\Theta) \\ = C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n-3)} \Delta_{-(s_2, \dots, s_{n-2})}^{(M)}(T) \\ (9) \quad \times \prod_{i=2}^{M-1} \varphi(T)_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^{n-2} \varphi(T)_{ii}^{p_i},$$

where

$$C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n-3)} = \frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}}{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(s_1 - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s_n - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s_{n-1} - \frac{1}{2})} \\ (10) \quad \times \frac{\Gamma(s_{n-1})}{\Gamma(p_{n-1} + s_{n-1})}.$$

Recall that throughout the paper  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  denotes the constant from formulas (1) and (4). By the same argument as to obtain formula (7), we observe that the Letac-Massam formula for the function  $H_{n-1}((\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}), (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-2}), \cdot)$  on  $Q_{A_{n-1}}$  and the graph  $1 - 2 - \dots - (n-1)$  is equivalent to formula (9). This finishes the proof of (i).

By a similar ‘‘mirror-like’’ argument with the change of variables  $\Xi = \Psi_{n-2}(\mu, \nu, \Theta)$  in (7), we get the Letac-Massam formula for  $H_{n-1}((\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}), (\beta_3, \dots, \beta_{n-1}), \cdot)$  and the graph  $2 - \dots - n$ , and we prove part (ii) of Step 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* For  $y$  diagonal, formula (10) leads by induction to formula (6), observing that the last equation we get is  $a^{-s_M} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ax} x^{s_M} \frac{dx}{x} = C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} a^{-s_M}$ , so that  $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} = \Gamma(s_M)$ .

**Step 2 (induction step).** The Letac-Massam conjecture on  $Q_{A_{n-1}}$  implies the Letac-Massam conjecture on  $Q_{A_n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 4$ . Suppose that the Letac-Massam formula (1) holds for some  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and suppose that the Letac-Massam conjecture is true

on  $Q_{A_{n-1}}$ .

For  $n \geq 5$ , we use Step 1 and the induction hypothesis. Thus one of the following  $n-3$  conditions has to be satisfied:

$$r_2 = \cdots = r_{M-1} = p_{M+1} = \cdots = p_{n-2} = 0,$$

for an  $M \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ , and, simultaneously, one of the following  $n-3$  "shifted" conditions has to be satisfied:

$$r_3 = \cdots = r_M = p_{M+2} = \cdots = p_{n-1} = 0,$$

for an  $M \in \{2, \dots, n-2\}$ . This implies that either conditions (5) are satisfied or

$$(11) \quad p_3 = \cdots = p_{n-2} = 0; \quad r_3 = \cdots = r_{n-2} = 0.$$

Let us assume this single remaining case and show that it also implies conditions (5).

The equality  $r_M = 0$  implies  $s_M = s_{M+1}$  and  $p_M = 0$  implies  $s_M = s_{M-1}$ . Also, from  $p_j = r_j$  for all  $3 \leq j \leq n-2$ , we get  $s_2 = \cdots = s_{M-1}$  and  $s_{M+1} = \cdots = s_{n-1}$ . Thus,  $s_2 = \cdots = s_{n-1} = s$ . In the case (11), using the cofactor formula for  $Z^{-1}$ , equation (7) reduces to

$$(12) \quad \int_{Q_{A_{n-2}}} e^{-\text{tr}(Z\Xi)} \delta_{(s,\dots,s)}^{(M)}(\Xi) \Xi_{22}^{r_2} \Xi_{n-1,n-1}^{p_{n-1}} d\mu_{A_{n-2}}(\Xi) \\ = C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n-2)} |Z|^{-s} \left( \frac{|Z_{\{3:n-1\}}|}{|Z|} \right)^{r_2} \left( \frac{|Z_{\{2:n-2\}}|}{|Z|} \right)^{p_{n-1}}.$$

We apply the second derivative with respect to  $Z_{n-2,n-1}$  on both sides of (12) and we take  $Z_{n-2,n-1} = 0$ . Theorem 2.7.1 in [6] ensures that the derivatives of all orders of the integral (12) can be computed under the integral sign. We obtain

$$(13) \quad \int_{Q_{A_{n-2}}} e^{-\text{tr}(Z\Xi)} \delta_{(s,\dots,s)}^{(M)}(\Xi) \Xi_{22}^{r_2} \Xi_{n-1,n-1}^{p_{n-1}} \\ \times \Xi_{n-2,n-1}^2 d\mu_{A_{n-2}}(\Xi) \Big|_{Z_{n-2,n-1}=0} \\ = \frac{C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n-2)}}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_{n-2,n-1}^2} \Big|_{Z_{n-2,n-1}=0} g(Z),$$

where  $g(Z) = |Z|^{-s} \left( \frac{|Z_{\{3:n-1\}}|}{|Z|} \right)^{r_2} \left( \frac{|Z_{\{2:n-2\}}|}{|Z|} \right)^{p_{n-1}}$ .

Let us change the variables  $\Xi = \tilde{\Psi}_{n-2}(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \Theta)$  and set  $Z = \tilde{\Phi}_{n-2}(a, 0, T)$ , i.e.  $Z_{n-2,n-1} = 0$ . Similarly as in the proof of (9) in Step 1, we find that the left hand side of (13) is

$$(14) \quad a^{-(s+p_{n-1}+1)} \Gamma(s+p_{n-1}+1) B\left(s-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) \times$$

$$\int_{Q_{A_{n-3}}} e^{-\text{tr}(T\Theta)} \delta_{(s,\dots,s)}^{(M)}(\Theta) \Theta_{22}^{r_2} \Theta_{n-2,n-2} d\mu_{A_{n-3}}(\Theta).$$

We write  $\frac{1}{4} C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n-2)}$  the right hand side of (13) and we compute  $D$ . Denoting  $S = -(s+r_2+p_{n-1})$  and  $h(Z) = |Z|^S |Z_{\{3:n-1\}}|^{r_2}$  we have

$$D = |Z_{\{2:n-2\}}|^{p_{n-1}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_{n-2,n-1}^2} \Big|_{Z_{n-2,n-1}=0} h(Z).$$

We apply formulas

$$|Z| = Z_{n-1,n-1} |Z_{\{2:n-2\}}| - Z_{n-2,n-1}^2 |Z_{\{2:n-3\}}|, \\ |Z|^S = (Z_{n-1,n-1} |Z_{\{2:n-2\}}|)^S \times \\ \left( 1 - S \frac{Z_{n-2,n-1}^2 |Z_{\{2:n-3\}}|}{Z_{n-1,n-1} |Z_{\{2:n-2\}}|} + o(Z_{n-2,n-1}^2) \right).$$

Thus, for  $Z_{n-2,n-1} = 0$ , we get  $\frac{\partial |Z|^S}{\partial Z_{n-2,n-1}} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 |Z|^S}{\partial Z_{n-2,n-1}^2} = -2S (Z_{n-1,n-1} |Z_{\{2:n-2\}}|)^{S-1} |Z_{\{2:n-3\}}|$ .

Similarly,  $|Z_{\{3:n-1\}}| =$

$$= Z_{n-1,n-1} |Z_{\{3:n-2\}}| - Z_{n-2,n-1}^2 |Z_{\{3:n-3\}}|$$

(for  $n=5$  we set  $|Z_{\{3:n-3\}}| = 1$ ) and

$$\frac{\partial^2 |Z_{\{3:n-1\}}|^{r_2}}{\partial Z_{n-2,n-1}^2} \Big|_{Z_{n-2,n-1}=0} = \\ -2r_2 (Z_{n-1,n-1} |Z_{\{3:n-2\}}|)^{r_2-1} |Z_{\{3:n-3\}}|.$$

Using  $Z = \tilde{\Phi}_{n-2}(a, 0, T)$ , where the matrix  $T$  is indexed by  $2, \dots, n-2$ , we obtain  $Z_{n-1,n-1} = a$ ,  $Z_{\{2:n-2\}} = T$ ,  $Z_{\{3:n-2\}} = T_{\{3:n-2\}}$ ,  $|Z_{\{3:n-1\}}| = a |T_{\{3:n-2\}}|$  and  $|Z| = a |T|$ . By Leibniz formula,

$$D = -2a^{r_2+S-1} |T|^{p_{n-1}+S-1} |T_{\{3:n-2\}}|^{r_2-1} \\ \times (S |T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| + r_2 |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T|),$$

where for  $n=5$  we set  $|T_{\{3:n-3\}}| = 1$ . Hence, for  $Z_{n-2,n-1} = 0$ , the right hand side of (13) is

$$(15) \quad \frac{C_{\alpha,\beta}^{(n-2)}}{2} a^{-(s+p_{n-1}+1)} |T|^{-(s+r_2+1)} |T_{\{3:n-2\}}|^{r_2-1} f(T),$$

where

$$f(T) = (s+r_2+p_{n-1}) |T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| \\ - r_2 |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T|.$$

Equating (15) and (14), we obtain, using (10),

$$\int_{Q_{A_{n-3}}} e^{-\text{tr}(T\Theta)} \delta_{(s,\dots,s)}^{(M)}(\Theta) \Theta_{22}^{r_2} \Theta_{n-2,n-2} d\mu_{A_{n-3}}(\Theta)$$

$$(16) \quad = \frac{sd(s, r_2, T)}{s + p_{n-1}} f(T),$$

where

$$d(s, r_2, T) = C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n-3)} |T|^{-(s+r_2+1)} |T_{\{3:n-2\}}|^{r_2-1}.$$

Formula (16) is supposed to be true for our  $p_{n-1} = \alpha_{n-1} - \beta_{n-1}$ . It is surely true for  $p_{n-1} = 0$ , because the Letac-Massam conditions (5) are then satisfied. Equating (16) for these two values of  $p_{n-1}$ , and noting that by (6) the constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(n-3)}$  does not depend on  $p_{n-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(s + r_2 + p_{n-1}) |T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| - r_2 |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T|}{s + p_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{(s + r_2) |T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| - r_2 |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T|}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(17) \quad r_2 p_{n-1} (|T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| - |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T|) = 0,$$

where for  $n = 5$  we set  $|T_{\{3:n-3\}}| = 1$ . We observe that  $|T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| - |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T| \neq 0$ , for example for  $T$  such that  $T_{ii} = 2$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ ,  $T_{i,i+1} = T_{i+1,i} = 1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n-3$  and  $T_{ij} = 0$  for all other  $i, j$  (in this case, this expression equals 1). Thus, for  $n \geq 5$ , in the remaining case (11), we also have  $r_2 = 0$  or  $p_{n-1} = 0$ . In both cases we fall in the Letac-Massam conditions (5) and the proof of the induction step is finished.

For  $n = 4$ , we get formula (7) for  $M = 2$ , the computations are simpler (no use of Leibniz formula is needed), and no condition  $s_2 = s_3 = s$  appears. The analogue of formula (16) is

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Gamma(s_3 + p_3 + 1) B(s_3 - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \int_0^\infty e^{-tu} u^{s_2} u \frac{1}{u} du \\ &= \frac{C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}}{2} (s_2 + p_3) t^{-(s_2+1)}, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

After substitution of the constant

$$C_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)} = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(s_2) \Gamma(s_3 - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{\Gamma(s_3 + p_3)}{\Gamma(s_3)},$$

one gets  $(s_3 + p_3)s_2 = s_3(s_2 + p_3)$  equivalent to  $r_2 p_3 = 0$ , so  $r_2 = 0$  or  $p_3 = 0$ . We get the Letac-Massam conditions for  $Q_{A_4}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *The expression on the RHS of (17), i.e.  $|T_{\{3:n-2\}}| |T_{\{2:n-3\}}| - |T_{\{3:n-3\}}| |T|$ , where  $T = T_{\{2:n-2\}}$  is known in matrix theory. It is treated in Desnanot-Jacobi identity ([2, Thm 3.12]), called also Lewis Caroll (or Dodgson's) identity ([3]) and is*

equal to  $(\prod_{i=2}^{n-3} T_{i,i+1})^2$ , the square of the monomial of the off-diagonal entries.

**Remark 2.3.** *The same method applies in order to prove the Letac-Massam Conjecture on  $P_{A_4}$ . We take  $M = 2$  and apply two changes of variables  $\Phi_4$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}_3$  on  $P_{A_4}$  and  $P_{A_3}$ , see Lemma 4.1. We obtain an integral on  $P_{A_2} = \text{Sym}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ , which is the same as the integral on  $Q_{A_2} = \text{Sym}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  in the proof above. The work on the Letac-Massam Conjecture on  $P_{A_n}$  for  $n \geq 5$  is in progress. The analysis on these cones is more difficult.*

**Remark 2.4.** *Our method of differentiating the Letac-Massam formula with respect to  $Z_{12}$  gives a simple proof of the "Mellin transform" Lemma 3.1 in [7, p. 1302], announced without proof. However, instead of the second derivative in  $Z_{12}$ , the complete Taylor expansion in  $Z_{12}$  is needed.*

**Remark 2.5.** *Sufficiency of Letac-Massam conditions follows from Gamma-Siegel integrals, i.e. formulas for the Laplace transform of  $\delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$  and  $\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}$ , proved in [4], using the triangular changes of variables from Lemma 4.1.*

**3. Generalized Letac-Massam Conjecture** In the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we showed that the Letac-Massam formula (1) on  $Q_{A_n}$ , with  $M = 2, \dots, n-1$ , is equivalent to a Laplace transform formula (4) on  $Q_{A_{n-2}}$ , for a function  $\delta_{(s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})}^{(M)}$ . Next we proved that (4) implies that the formula is rewritten for an  $M' \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$  with  $r_i = 0 = p_j, i = 2, \dots, M'-1, j = M'+1, \dots, n-1$ . Thus, in fact we showed a stronger result that we call Generalized Letac-Massam Conjecture (GLMC):

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . There exists a multi-index  $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $y \in P_{A_n}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_{A_n}} e^{-\text{tr}(y\eta)} \delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta) \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \eta_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^n \eta_{ii}^{p_i} d\mu_{A_n}(\eta) \\ &= C \Delta_{-\underline{s}}^{(M)}(y) \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \varphi(y)_{ii}^{r_i} \prod_{i=M+1}^n \varphi(y)_{ii}^{p_i} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if the formula is rewritten with  $M' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $r_i = 0 = p_j, i = 1, \dots, M'-1, j = M'+1, \dots, n$  and  $s_i > \frac{1}{2}, i \neq M', s_{M'} > 0$ .

The GLMC gives a partial answer to the question which products of powers of well-defined minors on  $Q_{A_n}$  have the property (P).

### References

- [ 1 ] Ben-David, E. and Rajaratnam, B. (2016), *The Letac-Massam conjecture and existence of high dimensional Bayes estimators for Graphical Models*. Technical Report, Department of Statistics, Stanford University. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.0788>
- [ 2 ] Bressoud, D.M. (1999) *Proofs and Confirmations, The Story of the Alternating Sign Matrix Conjecture*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [ 3 ] Chenevier, G., Renard, D. (2008), *Characters of Spqh representations and Lewis Carroll identity, Representation Theory, An Electronic Journal of the American Mathematical Society Vol. 12, p. 447-452.*
- [ 4 ] Graczyk, P., Ishi, H. and Mamane, S. (2016), *Riesz and Wishart distributions on the cones related to  $A_n$  graphs*, submitted.
- [ 5 ] Lauritzen, S.L. (1996), *Graphical models*, Oxford University Press.
- [ 6 ] Lehmann, E. and Romano, J. (2005), *Testing Statistical Hypotheses, Springer Texts in Statistics*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [ 7 ] Letac, G. and Massam, H. (2007), *Wishart distributions for decomposable graphs*, *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 1278-1323.

## 4. Appendix

### 4.1. Changes of variables

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $n \geq 2$ .

(i) Let  $\Phi_n : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times P_{A_{n-1}} \rightarrow P_{A_n}$ ,  $(a, b, z) \mapsto y$  with

$$y = A(b) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t A(b), \quad A(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ b & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let  $\Psi_n : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times Q_{A_{n-1}} \rightarrow Q_{A_n}$ ,  $(\mu, \nu, \xi) \mapsto \eta$  with

$$\eta = \pi \left( {}^t A(\nu) \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} A(\nu) \right).$$

Then the maps  $\Phi_n$  and  $\Psi_n$  are bijections.

(ii) Let  $\tilde{\Phi}_n : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times P_{A_{n-1}} \rightarrow P_{A_n}$ ,  $(a, b, z) \mapsto \tilde{y}$  with

$$\tilde{y} = {}^t B(b) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ z \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} B(b), \quad B(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & b & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and let  $\tilde{\Psi}_n : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \times Q_{A_{n-1}} \rightarrow Q_{A_n}$ ,  $(\mu, \nu, \xi) \mapsto \tilde{\eta}$  with

$$\tilde{\eta} = \pi \left( B(\nu) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \xi \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} {}^t B(\nu) \right).$$

Then the maps  $\tilde{\Phi}_n$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}_n$  are bijections.

(iii) Let  $y = \Phi_n(a, b, z)$  and  $\eta = \Psi_n(\mu, \nu, \xi)$ . Then, for all  $M = 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(y) = a^{s_1} \Delta_{(s_2, \dots, s_n)}^{(M)}(z) \\ \delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\eta) = \mu^{s_1} \delta_{(s_2, \dots, s_n)}^{(M)}(\xi).$$

Let  $\tilde{y} = \tilde{\Phi}_n(a, b, z)$  and  $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\Psi}_n(\mu, \nu, \xi)$ . Then, for all  $M = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\Delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\tilde{y}) = a^{s_n} \Delta_{(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})}^{(M)}(z) \\ \delta_{\underline{s}}^{(M)}(\tilde{\eta}) = \mu^{s_n} \delta_{(s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})}^{(M)}(\xi).$$

(iv) If  $y = \Phi_n(a, b, z)$  and  $\eta = \Psi_n(\mu, \nu, \xi)$ , then

$$\text{tr}(y\eta) = a\mu + a\xi_{22}(b + \nu)^2 + \text{tr}(z\xi).$$

If  $\tilde{y} = \tilde{\Phi}_n(a, b, z)$  and  $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{\Psi}_n(\mu, \nu, \xi)$ , then

$$\text{tr}(\tilde{y}\tilde{\eta}) = a\mu + a\xi_{n-1, n-1}(b + \nu)^2 + \text{tr}(z\xi).$$

(v) The Jacobian of the change of variables  $\eta = \Psi_n(\mu, \nu, \xi)$  on  $Q_{A_n}$  is  $J_{\Psi_n}(\mu, \nu, \xi) = \xi_{22}$ .

The Jacobian of the change of variables  $\eta = \tilde{\Psi}_n(\mu, \nu, \xi)$  on  $Q_{A_n}$  is  $J_{\tilde{\Psi}_n}(\mu, \nu, \xi) = \xi_{n-1, n-1}$ .

The Jacobians of the changes of variables  $y = \Phi_n(a, b, z)$  or  $y = \tilde{\Phi}_n(a, b, z)$  on  $P_{A_n}$  are equal to  $a$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Consider  $y \in P_{A_n}$ .

(i) If  $y = \Phi_n(a, b, z)$ , then  $\varphi(z)_{jj} = \varphi(y)_{jj}$  for  $j \geq 2$ .

(ii) If  $y = \tilde{\Phi}_n(a, b, z)$ , then  $\varphi(z)_{jj} = \varphi(y)_{jj}$  for  $j \leq n-1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Note that  $y = \Phi_n(a, b, z)$  is expressed in the form  $T \begin{pmatrix} a \\ z \end{pmatrix} {}^t T$ , where  $T = A(b)$  in Lemma 4.1. In general, let  $M, R, S$  be  $n \times n$  matrices with  $R$  upper triangular and  $S$  lower triangular. Then  $(RMS)_{\{j:n\}} = R_{\{j:n\}} M_{\{j:n\}} S_{\{j:n\}}$ . It follows that  $(y^{-1})_{\{j:n\}} = (({}^t T)^{-1})_{\{j:n\}} (z^{-1})_{\{j:n\}} (T^{-1})_{\{j:n\}} = (z^{-1})_{\{j:n\}}$  for  $j \geq 2$  since  $(T^{-1})_{\{j:n\}} = Id_{\{j:n\}} = (({}^t T)^{-1})_{\{j:n\}}$ . In particular  $(y^{-1})_{jj} = (z^{-1})_{jj}$ . The proof of (ii) is similar.  $\square$