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# TIAN'S INVARIANT OF THE GRASSMANN MANIFOLD 

JULIEN GRIVAUX


#### Abstract

We prove that Tian's invariant on the complex Grassmann manifold $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to $1 /(p+q)$. The method introduced here uses a Lie group of holomorphic isometries which operates transitively on the considered manifolds and a natural imbedding of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{p}$ in $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$.


Résumé. - On prouve que l'invariant de Tian sur la grassmannienne $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ est $1 /(p+q)$. La méthode présentée dans cet article utilise un groupe de Lie d'isométries holomorphes qui opère transitivement sur les variétés considérées ainsi qu'un plongement naturel de $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{p}$ dans $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$.

## 1. Introduction

On a complex manifold, an hermitian metric $h$ is characterized by the 1-1 symplectic form $\omega$ defined by $\omega=i g_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} d z^{\lambda} \wedge d \bar{z}^{\mu}$, where $g_{\lambda \bar{\mu}}=h_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} / 2$.
The metric is a Kähler metric if $\omega$ is closed, i. e. $d \omega=0$; then $M$ is a Kähler manifold.
On a Kähler manifold, we can define the Ricci form by $R=i R_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} d z^{\lambda} \wedge d \bar{z}^{\mu}$, where $R_{\lambda \bar{\mu}}=-\partial_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} \log |g|$.
A Kähler manifold is Einstein with factor $k$ if $R=k \omega$. For instance, choosing a local coordinate system $Z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)$, the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{m}(\mathbb{C})$ with the Fubini-Study metric $\omega=i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(1+\|Z\|^{2}\right)$ is Einstein with factor $m+1$.
On a Kähler manifold $M$, the first Chern class $C^{1}(M)$ is the cohomology class of the Ricci tensor, that is the set of the forms $R+i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$, where $\varphi$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $M$. If there is a form in $C^{1}(M)$ which is positive (resp. negative, zero), then $C^{1}(M)$ is positive (resp. negative, zero). If a Kähler manifold is Einstein, then $C^{1}(M)$ and $k$ are both positive (resp. negative, zero). In the negative case, it was proved by Aubin ([Au1], see also [Au4]), that there exists a unique Einstein-Kähler metric (E.K. metric) on $M$. It is so for the zero case too ([Au1], [Ya]). The question for the positive case is still open: some manifolds, such as the complex projective space blown up at one point, do not admit an E.K. metric (for obstructions, see [Li] and [Fu]). Aubin [Au2] and Tian [Ti] have shown that for suitable values of holomorphic invariants of the metric, there exists an E.K. metric on $M$.
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For $\omega / 2 \pi$ in $C^{1}(M)$, Tian's invariant $\alpha(M)$ is the supremum of the set of the real numbers $\alpha$ satisfying the following: there exists a constant $C$ such that the inequality $\int_{M} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \leq C$ holds for all the $C^{\infty}$ functions $\varphi$ with $\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi>0$ and $\sup \varphi \geq 0$, where $\omega=i g_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} d z^{\lambda} \wedge d \bar{z}^{\mu}$ is the metric form. Such functions $\varphi$ are said $\omega$-admissible.
In [Ti], Tian established that if $\alpha(M)>m /(m+1), m$ being the dimension of $M$, there exists an E.K. metric on $M$. This condition is not necessary: it does not hold on the projective space, where Tian's invariant is $1 /(m+1)$.
In the same paper, Tian introduces a more restrictive invariant $\alpha_{G}(M)$, considering only the admissible functions $\varphi$ invariant by the action of a compact group $G$ of holomorphic isometries. The sufficient condition for the existence of an E.K. metric on $M$ remains $\alpha_{G}(M)>m /(m+1)$; it is more easily satisfied if the group $G$ is rich enough.
In many cases, the group $G$ is a non-discrete Lie group. The invariant $\alpha_{G}(M)$ can be computed using subharmonic functions methods and the maximum principle (for effective examples, see [Be1], [Be2], [Be-Ch1], [Be-Ch2], [Re]).
In this paper, we prove the following theorem:
Theorem 1.1. Tian's invariant on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ is given by $\alpha\left(G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})\right)=1 /(p+q)$.
This generalizes the known result on $\mathbb{P}^{m}(\mathbb{C})([\mathrm{Ti}]$, see also $[\mathrm{Au} 3])$. Let us also mention that Tian's invariant has been computed on $\mathbb{P}^{m}(\mathbb{C})$ blown up at one point and on certain Fermat hypersurfaces using Hörmander $L^{2}$ estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$-equation ([Ti]).
We first compute the volume element of the metric $\mathscr{C}_{p, q}$; then we will establish some general preliminary results concerning Tian's invariant as well as imbeddings of $\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}$ in $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ which allow us to deduce $\alpha\left(G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ from $\alpha\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right)$.

## 2. Basic properties of the Grassmann manifold

We propose here a short survey of the properties of Grassmann manifold (for more details, see $[\mathrm{Ko}-\mathrm{No}])$. We denote by $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ the set of the subspaces of dimension $p$ in $\mathbb{C}^{p+q}$; in particular, $G_{1, m}(\mathbb{C})$ is the complex projective space of dimension $m$. It is known (see $[\mathrm{Au} 3])$ that on $\mathbb{P}_{m}(\mathbb{C})$, the Fubini-Study metric is Einstein with factor $m+1$ and that Tian's invariant is $1 /(m+1)$. Now, let $M^{*}(p+q, p)$ be the set of the matrices of rank $p$ in $M_{p+q, p}(\mathbb{C})$. The group $G l_{p}(\mathbb{C})$ acts by multiplication on the right on $M^{*}(p+q, p)$. More precisely $\left(M^{*}(p+q, p), \pi, G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})\right)$ is a principal fiber bundle with group $G l_{p}(\mathbb{C})$. The group $G l_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ acts by multiplication on the left on $M^{*}(p+q, p)$ and induces an action on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$; so does the unitary group $U(p+q)$. These groups act transitively on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$, which shows that $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ is compact.

We denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of all increasing-ordered subsets of $p$ elements in $\{1, \ldots, p+q\}$. Let $P$ be an element of $M^{*}(p+q, p), P=\left(p_{i j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p+q \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}}$. By Cauchy-Binet formula we get: $\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} P \bar{P}\right)=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left|\operatorname{det} m_{I}(P)\right|^{2}$, where $m_{I}(P)$ is the matrix $\left(p_{i j}\right)_{\substack{i \in I \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}}$. The form $\omega$, where $\omega=i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} P \bar{P}\right)$, is invariant by the action of $G l_{p}(\mathbb{C})$ on $M^{*}(p+q, p)$, and so it projects onto a form $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}_{p, q}$. The metric $\mathscr{C}_{p, q}$ is a Kähler metric form on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$. For $p=1$, this metric on $G_{1, m}(\mathbb{C})$ is the Fubini-Study metric on the complex projective space. The action of the unitary group $U(p+q)$ on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ preserves the metric $\mathscr{C}_{p, q}$ so that $U(p+q)$ is a group of holomorphic isometries which operates transitively on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$. For $I$ in $\mathcal{I}$, let $U_{I}$ be the set of the matrices $P$ in $M^{*}(p+q, p)$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(m_{I}(P)\right)$ is non-zero. Then $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ is a coordinate open set on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix $Z_{I}$ in $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ is the coordinate, the inverse of the chart $\varphi_{I}$ sends $M^{*}(p+q, p)$ onto $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ and we have $m_{I}\left(\varphi_{I}^{-1}\left(Z_{I}\right)\right)=I^{(p)}$ where $I^{(p)}$ is the $p \times p$ identity matrix, and $m_{I^{c}}\left(\varphi_{I}^{-1}\left(Z_{I}\right)\right)=Z_{I}$.

Lemma 2.1. For I in $\mathcal{I}$, let $\lambda_{I}$ be the map from $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$defined by

$$
\lambda_{I}\left(Z_{I}\right)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Id}+{ }^{t} Z_{I} \bar{Z}_{I}\right)\right|^{-(p+q)}
$$

Then $\left(\lambda_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ are the components of a maximal differential form $\eta$ on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$, namely:

$$
\eta=\lambda_{I}(i / 2)^{p q}(d Z \wedge d \bar{Z})_{I}
$$

Proof. It suffices to show that the following transformation rule holds:

$$
\text { for every } I, \widetilde{I} \text { in } \mathcal{I}, \lambda_{I} \text { is equal to } \lambda_{\widetilde{I}} \times\left|\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial Z_{\widetilde{I}}}{\partial Z_{I}}\right|^{2} \text { on } \pi\left(U_{I}\right) \cap \pi\left(U_{\widetilde{I}}\right) \text {. }
$$

Let $P_{I}$ be the matrix $\varphi_{I}^{-1}\left(Z_{I}\right)$. Then $P_{I}\left\{m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(P_{I}\right)\right\}^{-1}=P_{\widetilde{I}}$, so $Z_{\widetilde{I}}=m_{\tilde{I} c}\left(P_{I}\right)\left\{m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(P_{I}\right)\right\}^{-1}$. The differential of the map which sends $Z_{I}$ on $P_{I}$ is the map which sends $H$ on $\breve{H}$, where $m_{I^{c}}(\breve{H})=H$ and $m_{I}(\breve{H})=0$. The change of charts sending $Z_{I}$ on $Z_{\tilde{I}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
D Z_{\widetilde{I}}(H) & =m_{\tilde{I}^{c}}(\breve{H})\left\{m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(P_{I}\right)\right\}^{-1}-m_{\tilde{I} c}\left(P_{I}\right)\left\{m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(P_{I}\right)\right\}^{-1} m_{\widetilde{I}}(\breve{H})\left\{m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(P_{I}\right)\right\}^{-1} \\
& =\left(m_{\tilde{I}^{c}}(\breve{H})-\gamma m_{\widetilde{I}}(\breve{H})\right) \alpha^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad \alpha=m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(P_{I}\right), \beta=m_{\tilde{I}^{c}}\left(P_{I}\right) \text { and } \gamma=\beta \alpha^{-1}
$$

Let us define a map $u$ from $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ by $u(H)=m_{\tilde{I} c}(\breve{H})-\gamma m_{\tilde{I}}(\breve{H})$. We can choose $I=\{q+1, \ldots, q+p\}$ and $\widetilde{I}=\{1, \ldots, r\} \cup\{q+1+r, \ldots, q+p\}$, where $0 \leq r \leq \inf (p, q)$. We define the $k \times l$ matrix $E_{i, j}^{(k \times l)}$ by $\left(E_{i, j}^{(k \times l)}\right)_{\lambda \mu}=\delta_{i \lambda} \delta_{j \mu}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(\breve{E}_{i, j}^{(q \times p)}\right) & =E_{i, j}^{(p \times p)} \quad \text { if } i \leq r, \text { and } 0 \text { if } i>r, \\
\text { and } \quad m_{\tilde{I} c}\left(\breve{E}_{i, j}^{(q \times p)}\right) & =E_{i-r, j}^{(q \times p)} \quad \text { if } i>r, \text { and } 0 \text { if } i \leq r . \text { Hence } \\
\left(\gamma m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(\breve{E}_{i, j}^{(q \times p)}\right)\right)_{\alpha \beta} & =\gamma_{\alpha i} m_{\widetilde{I}}\left(\breve{E}_{i, j}^{(q \times p)}\right)_{i j} \delta_{j \beta}=\gamma_{\alpha i} \delta_{j \beta} \quad \text { if } i \leq r, \text { and } 0 \text { elsewhere. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the map which sends $H$ to $\gamma m_{\widetilde{I}}(\breve{H})$ can be restricted if $1 \leq j \leq p$ to the span $B_{j}$ of the $\left(E_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$. The $r$ first columns of its matrix are those of $\gamma$, the others are 0 . The map which sends $H$ to $\gamma m_{\tilde{I} c}(\breve{H})$ maps also $B_{j}$ into itself. The right upper block of its matrix is $I^{(q-r)}$, the other elements are 0 . This allows us to compute the matrix of the restriction of $u$ to $B_{j}$, whose determinant is $(-1)^{r \times(q-r)} \operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{i j}\right)_{\substack{q-r+1 \leq \leq \leq q \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}}$. So $\operatorname{det} u=(-1)^{p \times r \times(q-r)}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{i j}\right)_{\substack{q-r+1 \leq i \leq q \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}}\right]^{p}$. For $1 \leq i \leq q$, let $C_{i}$ be the span of the $\left(E_{i, j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq p}$. Each $C_{i}$ is stable by the map from $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ which sends $H$ to $H \alpha^{-1}$. The matrix of the restriction is $\alpha^{-1}$, so the determinant of the map is $(\operatorname{det} \alpha)^{-q}$. Hence

$$
\left|\operatorname{det} D Z_{\widetilde{I}}(H)\right|^{2}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\gamma_{i, j}\right)_{\substack{q-r+1 \leq \leq \leq q \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}}\right|^{2 p} \times|\operatorname{det} \alpha|^{-2 q} .
$$

Let $A$ be the right $r \times r$ upper block of $\alpha$. The left $(p-r) \times(p-r)$ lower block of $\alpha$ is $I^{(p-r)}$ and the right $(p-r) \times r$ lower block is 0 , so $\operatorname{det} \alpha=(-1)^{r(p-r)} \operatorname{det} A$. The left $r \times(p-r)$ lower block of $\beta$ is 0 , the right $r \times r$ block is $I^{(r)}$ so that the left $r \times r$ lower block of $\gamma$ is $A^{-1}$.
From this we deduce $\left|\operatorname{det} D Z_{\widetilde{I}}(H)\right|^{2}=|\operatorname{det} \alpha|^{-2(p+q)}$. Since $P_{I} \alpha^{-1}=P_{\tilde{I}}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{\widetilde{I}}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} P_{\widetilde{I}} \bar{P}_{\widetilde{I}}\right)\right|^{-(p+q)}=|\operatorname{det} \alpha|^{2(p+q)} \lambda_{I}=\left|\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial Z_{\widetilde{I}}}{\partial Z_{I}}\right|^{-2} \lambda_{I} .
$$

Lemma 2.2. The unitary group $U(p+q)$ preserves $\eta$.
Proof. We call $I$ the set $\{q+1, \ldots, q+p\}$. We define $P_{I}$ in $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ by $P_{I}=\varphi_{I}^{-1}\left(Z_{I}\right)$. Let $U$ be an element in $U(p+q)$ such that $m_{I}\left(U P_{I}\right)$ is invertible. Let $\tilde{P}_{I}=U P_{I}\left\{m_{I}\left(U P_{I}\right)\right\}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{I}=m_{I^{c}}\left(\tilde{P}_{I}\right)$. We have $\tilde{Z}_{I}=m_{I^{c}}(U) P_{I}\left\{m_{I}(U) P_{I}\right\}^{-1}$. So

$$
D \tilde{Z}_{I}(H)=m_{I^{c}}(U)\left[\breve{H}\left\{m_{I}(U) P_{I}\right\}^{-1}-P_{I}\left\{m_{I}(U) P_{I}\right\}^{-1} m_{I}(U) \breve{H}\left\{m_{I}(U) P_{I}\right\}^{-1}\right] .
$$

Thus $D \tilde{Z}_{I}(H)=X \breve{H} \delta^{-1}$, where $\delta=m_{I}(U) P_{I}$ and $X=m_{I^{c}}(U)\left[I^{(p+q)}-P_{I} \delta^{-1} m_{I}(U)\right]$. Let $X_{1}$ be the $q \times q$ matrix of the $q$ first columns of $X$. Then, $X \breve{H}=X_{1} H$ and we get $D \tilde{Z}_{I}(H)=X_{1} H \delta^{-1}$. The determinant of the map from $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ which sends $H$ to $H \delta^{-1}$ is $(\operatorname{det} \delta)^{-q}$. The determinant of the map from $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ which sends $H$ to $X_{1} H$ is $\left(\operatorname{det} X_{1}\right)^{p}$, so $\operatorname{det} D \tilde{Z}_{I}=\left(\operatorname{det} X_{1}\right)^{p}(\operatorname{det} \delta)^{-q}$. We divide $U$ into four blocks:

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
U_{q} & U_{q, p} \\
U_{p, q} & U_{p}
\end{array}\right), \quad U_{q} \in M_{q}(\mathbb{C}), U_{p} \in M_{p}(\mathbb{C}), U_{p, q} \in M_{p, q}(\mathbb{C}), U_{q, p} \in M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Then $\delta=U_{p, q} Z_{I}+U_{p}$, so $X_{1}=U_{q}-\left(U_{q} Z_{I}+U_{q, p}\right)\left(U_{p, q} Z_{I}+U_{p}\right)^{-1} U_{q, p}$. Let $Z$ in $M_{p+q, p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix with blocks $Z_{q}=I^{(q)}, Z_{p, q}=0, Z_{q, p}=Z_{I}, Z_{p}=I^{(p)}$, the notations being the same as above. Writing $\operatorname{det} U=\operatorname{det}(U Z)$ and using the column transformation $C_{1} \leftarrow C_{1}-C_{2}\left(U_{p, q} Z_{I}+U_{p}\right)^{-1} U_{p, q}$ where $C_{1}$ is made of the first $q$ columns and $C_{2}$ of the remaining ones, we get

$$
\operatorname{det} U=\operatorname{det}\left[U_{q}-\left(U_{q} Z_{I}+U_{q, p}\right)\left(U_{p, q} Z_{I}+U_{p}\right)^{-1} U_{p, q}\right] \times \operatorname{det}\left(U_{p, q} Z_{I}+U_{p}\right) .
$$

Hence $\left|\operatorname{det} D \tilde{Z}_{I}\right|^{2}=|\operatorname{det} \delta|^{-2(p+q)}$. We have $\tilde{P}_{I}=A P_{I} \delta^{-1}$, so

$$
\lambda_{\tilde{I}}=\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} \tilde{P}_{I} \bar{P}_{I}\right)^{-(p+q)}=\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} P_{I} \bar{P}_{I}\right)^{-(p+q)} \times|\operatorname{det} \delta|^{2(p+q)}=\lambda_{I}\left|\operatorname{det} D \tilde{Z}_{I}\right|^{-2},
$$

which proves the result.
Proposition 2.3. 1. $d V\left(\mathscr{C}_{p, q}\right)=\eta$.
2. If $I \in \mathcal{I},\left|\mathscr{C}_{p, q}\right|_{I}=\left\{\operatorname{det}\left(I^{(p)}+{ }^{t} Z_{I} \bar{Z}_{I}\right)\right\}^{-(p+q)}$.
3. $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)=(p+q) \mathscr{C}_{p, q}$.

Proof. 1. Let $I$ in $\mathcal{I}$. It is easy to compute $\mathscr{G}_{p, q}$ at the point $Z_{I}=0: \mathscr{C}_{p, q}(H, K)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}(H \bar{K})$. Then $d V\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)_{Z_{I}=0}=(i / 2)^{p q}(d Z \wedge d \bar{Z})_{I}=\eta_{Z_{I}=0}$. Since $d V\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)$ and $\eta$ are invariant by the transitive action of $U(p+q)$, we have $d V\left(\mathscr{C}_{p, q}\right)=\eta$.
2. Since $d V\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)=\left|\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right|_{I}(i / 2)^{p q}(d Z \wedge d \bar{Z})_{I}$, property 1 gives the result.
3. Remark that $\mathscr{G}_{p, q}=i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left\{\operatorname{det}\left(I^{(p)}+{ }^{t} Z_{I} \bar{Z}_{I}\right)\right\}$. Since $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathscr{C}_{p, q}\right)=-i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left|\mathscr{C}_{p, q}\right|_{I}$, we obtain $\mathcal{R}\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)=(p+q) \mathscr{C}_{p, q}$, which expresses that $\mathscr{C}_{p, q}$ is Einstein, with factor $p+q$.

## 3. Some general results about Tian's invariant

3.1. Tian's invariant with a normalization on a finite set. If $X$ is a manifold, we will denote by $\mu_{X}$ a measure on $X$ compatible with the manifold structure.

Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be a compact Kähler manifold. We suppose that there exists a compact Lie group $G$ of holomorphic isometries. Let $\Delta_{n}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\}$ be a finite subset of $M$. Let $\alpha(\omega)$ (resp. $\alpha_{\Delta_{n}}(\omega)$ ) be the supremum of the set of the nonnegative real numbers $\alpha$ satisfying the condition: there exists a constant $C$ such that the inequality $\int_{M} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \leq C$ holds for all the $\omega$-admissible functions $\varphi$ with $\sup \varphi \geq 0$ (resp. with $\varphi\left(P_{i}\right) \geq 0$ for $\left.1 \leq i \leq n\right)$. Suppose in addition that the orbit of each $P_{i}$ under the action of $G$ has positive measure. Then $\alpha(\omega)=\alpha_{\Delta_{n}}(\omega)$.

We first establish a few lemmas which will be useful for the proof.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of admissible functions with nonnegative maxima. Then there exists a subset $\Omega$ of $M$, with $\mu_{M}(\Omega)=\mu_{M}(M)$, and a subsequence $\varphi_{n_{k}}$ of $\varphi_{n}$, such that for every $p$ in $\Omega$, the sequence $\left(\varphi_{n_{k}}(p)\right)_{k \geq 0}$ has a finite lower bound (depending on $p$ ).
Proof. It is sufficient to assume that $\varphi_{n}$ has null maxima. Let $Q_{n}$ be a point such that $\varphi_{n}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ vanishes. Green's formula runs as follows:

$$
\varphi_{n}\left(Q_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{V} \int_{M} \varphi_{n}+\int_{M} G\left(Q_{n}, R\right) \Delta \varphi_{n}(R) d V(R)
$$

with $G(Q, R) \geq 0$ and $\int_{M} G(Q, R) d V(R)=C$, where $C$ is a positive constant (see [Au4]). Since $\varphi_{n}$ is admissible, $\Delta \varphi_{n}$ is less than $m$, $m$ being the dimension of $M$. Thus $\int_{M}\left|\varphi_{n}\right| \leq C m V$. Furthermore, $\int_{M} \Delta \varphi_{n}=0$, so $\int_{M}\left|\Delta \varphi_{n}\right|=2 \int_{\left\{\Delta \varphi_{n}>0\right\}} \Delta \varphi_{n} \leq 2 m V$. For every $Q$ in $M$, we have $\nabla \varphi_{n}(Q)=\int_{M} \nabla_{Q} G(Q, R) \Delta \varphi_{n}(R) d v(R)$, so that

$$
\int_{M}\left|\nabla \varphi_{n}\right| \leq \int_{M}\left[\int_{M}\left|\nabla_{Q} G(Q, R)\right| d v(Q)\right]\left|\Delta \varphi_{n}(R)\right| d v(R) \leq 2 m \widetilde{C} V
$$

since $\int_{M}\left|\nabla_{Q} G(Q, R)\right| d v(Q)$ is a continuous, hence a bounded function on $M$. Thus $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded in the Sobolev space $H^{1,1}(M)$. By Kondrakov's theorem, we can extract from $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ a subsequence which converges in $L^{1}(M)$, and after an other extraction we can suppose that this sequence converges almost everywhere to a function $\varphi$ of $L^{1}(M)$. Since $\varphi$ is finite almost everywhere, we get the result.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of admissible functions with nonnegative maxima and suppose that there exists a compact group $G$ of holomorphic isometries of $M$ such that the orbit of each $P_{i}$ has positive measure. Let $\Phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}$ be the map defined by $\Phi(g)=\inf _{\Delta_{n}} \inf _{k \geq 0}\left(\varphi_{k} \circ g\right)$. Then there exists $g$ in $G$ such that $\Phi(g)$ is finite.
Proof. Suppose that $\Phi \equiv-\infty$. For $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $A_{i}$ be the set of the $g$ in $G$ such that $\inf _{k \geq 0}\left(\varphi_{k} \circ g\right)\left(P_{i}\right)=-\infty$. The sets $A_{i}$ are measurable and $\cup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}=G$, so there exists $i$ such that $A_{i}$ has positive measure. From Lemma (3.2), $A_{i} . P_{i}$ is a subset of $\Omega^{c}$. Since $\Omega$ and $M$ have the same measure, the measure of $A_{i} \cdot P_{i}$ vanishes. Let $u_{i}$ be the map from $G$ to $M$ which sends $g$ to $g\left(P_{i}\right)$. Then $u_{i}$ has constant rank on $G$. Indeed, $u_{i} \circ L(g)=\sigma_{g} \circ u_{i}$, where $L(g)$ is the left translation by $g$ and $\sigma_{g}$ the map from $M$ to $M$ which sends $x$ to $g . x$. Since $G . P_{i}$ has positive measure, $u_{i}$ is a submersion on $G$, so that $u_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)$ has positive measure. This is a contradiction since $u_{i}\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{i} . P_{i}$.

We can now prove Theorem (3.1).
Proof. It is clear that $\alpha(\omega) \leq \alpha_{\Delta_{n}}(\omega)$. Conversely, let $\varepsilon>0$. There exists a sequence $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of admissible functions with positive maxima such that $\int_{M} e^{-(\alpha(\omega)+\varepsilon) \varphi_{k}}$ goes to infinity as $k$ goes to infinity. Replacing $\varphi_{n}$ by $\varphi_{n}-\sup \varphi_{n}$, we can take $\sup \varphi_{n}=0$. First we apply Lemma(3.2). For the sake of simplicity, we take $\varphi_{n_{k}}=\varphi_{k}$. From Lemma (3.3), there exists an element $g$ in $G$ such that $\Phi(g)$ is finite; we define $\Psi_{k}$ by $\Psi_{k}=\varphi_{k} \circ g-\Phi(g)$. Since $g$ is an isometry, $\Psi_{k}$ is $\omega$-admissible, and from the very definition of $\Phi, \Psi_{k}\left(P_{i}\right)$ is nonnegative. Furthermore, $\int_{M} e^{-(\alpha(\omega)+\varepsilon) \Psi_{k}}=e^{(\alpha(\omega)+\varepsilon) \Phi(g)} \int_{M} e^{-(\alpha(\omega)+\varepsilon) \varphi_{k}}$. This proves that $\int_{M} e^{-(\alpha(\omega)+\varepsilon) \Psi_{k}}$ goes to infinity as $k$ goes to infinity. Then, $\alpha_{\Delta_{n}}(\omega) \leq \alpha(\omega)+\varepsilon$. This inequality holds for every positive $\varepsilon$, and so $\alpha_{\Delta_{n}}(\omega) \leq \alpha(\omega)$.
3.2. Tian's invariant on a product. For a Kähler form $\omega$ on a compact Kähler manifold $M, \alpha(\omega)$ is defined as in Theorem (3.1).

Proposition 3.4. Let $\left(M_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be compact Kähler manifolds with metric forms $\left(\omega_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. We endow the product $M_{1} \times \cdots \times M_{n}$ with the metric $\omega_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_{n}$. Then $\alpha\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_{n}\right)=$ $\inf _{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha\left(\omega_{i}\right)$.

Proof. It suffices to make the proof when $n=2$, the general result will follow by induction.
(1) Suppose that $\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right) \leq \alpha\left(\omega_{2}\right)$, and let $\varepsilon>0$. There exists a sequence $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of $\omega_{1^{-}}$ admissible functions on $M_{1}$ with positive maxima such that $\int_{M_{1}} e^{-\left(\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right)+\varepsilon\right) \varphi_{n}}$ goes to infinity when $n$ goes to infinity. We define $\psi_{n}$ on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ by $\psi_{n}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=\varphi_{n}\left(m_{1}\right)$. Thus $\psi_{n}$ is $\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \omega_{2}\right)$-admissible on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$, with positive maximum, and $\int_{M_{1} \times M_{2}} e^{-\left(\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right)+\varepsilon\right) \psi_{n}}=$ $V\left(M_{2}\right) \int_{M_{1}} e^{-\left(\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right)+\varepsilon\right) \varphi_{n}}$, so that $\int_{M_{1} \times M_{2}} e^{-\left(\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right)+\varepsilon\right) \psi_{n}}$ goes to infinity when $n$ goes to infinity. We have therefore $\alpha\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \omega_{2}\right) \leq \alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right)+\varepsilon$. This yields $\alpha\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \omega_{2}\right) \leq \alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right)$.
(2) Let us now prove the opposite inequality. Let $\alpha$ be a real number such that $\alpha<$ $\inf \left(\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right), \alpha\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\varphi$ an $\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \omega_{2}\right)$-admissible function on $M_{1} \times M_{2}$. If $m_{2}$ is in $M_{2}$, the function which sends $m_{1}$ to $\varphi\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ is $\omega_{1}$-admissible. The same holds for $M_{1}$. Let $(u, v)$ in $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ be such that $\varphi(u, v) \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M_{1} \times M_{2}} e^{-\alpha \varphi\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)} d V_{1} d V_{2} & =\int_{M_{1}} e^{-\alpha \varphi\left(m_{1}, v\right)}\left(\int_{M_{2}} e^{-\alpha\left[\varphi\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(m_{1}, v\right)\right]} d V_{2}\right) d V_{1} \\
& \leq C_{2} \int_{M_{1}} e^{-\alpha \varphi\left(m_{1}, v\right)} d V_{1} \leq C_{1} C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\alpha \leq \alpha\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \omega_{2}\right)$ and we get $\inf \left(\alpha\left(\omega_{1}\right), \alpha\left(\omega_{2}\right)\right) \leq \alpha\left(\omega_{1} \oplus \omega_{2}\right)$.
3.3. Tian's invariant on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$. Since there is a natural duality isomorphism between $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ and $G_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})$, we can assume that $p \leq q$ without loss of generality.

### 3.3.1. Imbedding of $\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}$ into $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ when $p \leq q$. For $w$ in $\mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}, w=\left(w_{i, j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq \leq \leq q \\ 1 \leq i \leq p \\ i \neq j}}$,

 we define the map $\tilde{\rho}_{w}$ from $\left\{\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash(0,0)\right\}^{p}$ to $M_{p+q, p}(\mathbb{C})$ by$$
\tilde{\rho}_{w}\left(\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq p}\right)= \begin{cases}\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j} & \text { if } \quad i \leq p \\ w_{i-p, j} \lambda_{j} & \text { if } \quad i>p \text { and } i \neq j+p \\ \mu_{i} & \text { if } \quad i>p \text { and } i=j+p\end{cases}
$$

We make, for $p+1 \leq i \leq p+q$, the following row transformations: $L_{i} \leftarrow L_{i}-\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq p \\ i \neq j+p}} w_{i-p, j} L_{j}$. We get a matrix $\left(c_{i j}\right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p+q \\ 1 \leq j \leq p}}$ with $c_{i j}=\delta_{i j} \lambda_{i}$ if $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $c_{i j}=\delta_{i-p, j} \mu_{j}$ if $p+1 \leq i \leq$ $p+q$, which has rank $p . \tilde{\rho}_{w}$ induces a map from $\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}$ into $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ as shown on the following diagram, where $\gamma$ is the projection of the principal fiber bundle $\left\{\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash(0,0)\right\}^{p}$ onto $\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}$. Remark that $\tilde{\rho}_{w}$ sends $[0,1] \times \cdots \times[0,1]$ onto $\pi(A)$, where $m_{\{p+1, \ldots, 2 p\}}(A)=I^{(p)}$ and $m_{\{p+1, \ldots, 2 p\}^{c}}(A)=0^{(q \times p)}$.


We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\pi \circ \tilde{\rho}_{w}\right)^{*}\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right) & =i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{w} \overline{\tilde{\rho}}_{w}\right)\right) \\
& =i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{w} \overline{\tilde{\rho}}_{w}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{p} i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left(\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2}+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \tilde{\Phi}+\gamma^{*}\left(F S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F S_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F S_{1}$ is the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}) . \tilde{\Phi}$ is invariant by the action of the structural group $\mathbb{C}^{*} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$, so it induces a map $\Phi$ from $\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. Note that $\Phi([0,1] \times \cdots \times[0,1])=1$. Then $\left(\pi \circ \tilde{\rho}_{w}\right)^{*}\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)=\pi^{*}\left(i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \Phi+F S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F S_{1}\right)$, so that $\rho_{w}^{*}\left(\mathscr{C}_{p, q}\right)=i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \Phi+F S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F S_{1}$.
3.3.2. Lower bound of $\alpha\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)$. For $I$ in $\mathcal{I}$, we define $P_{I}$ by $m_{I}\left(P_{I}\right)=I^{(p)}$ and $m_{I^{c}}\left(P_{I}\right)=$ $0^{(q \times p)}$. If $n=\binom{p+q}{p}$, we set $\Delta_{n}=\left\{P_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. Since $U(p+q)$ is a transitive group of holomorphic isometries of $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$, we know from proposition (3.1), that $\alpha\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)=\alpha_{\Delta_{n}}\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)$. We set $I=\{p+1, \ldots, 2 p\}$. Let $\varphi$ be an admissible function on $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$, nonnegative on $\Delta_{n}$. The last equality of the precedent section shows that the function $\varphi \circ \rho_{w}+\log \Phi$ is $\left(F S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F S_{1}\right)$-admissible for every $w$ in $\mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}$. Furthermore, $\left(\varphi \circ \rho_{w}+\log \Phi\right)$ sends $[0,1] \times \cdots \times[0,1]$ to the nonnegative number $\varphi\left(P_{I}\right)$. It is known that $\alpha\left(F S_{1}\right)=1$ (see [Au3]). Proposition (3.4) yields $\alpha\left(F S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F S_{1}\right)=1$.
Let $\alpha$ be a real number such that $\alpha<1$. There exists a constant $C$, independent of $\varphi$, such that $\int_{\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}} e^{-\alpha \varphi \circ \rho_{w}} \Phi^{-\alpha} \leq C$. We define the map $F_{I}$ from $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$by $F_{I}\left(Z_{I}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I d+{ }^{t} Z_{I} \bar{Z}_{I}\right)$. On $\left\{\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})\right\}^{p}$, we work with the coordinates $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p}$ in the chart $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{p}=1$. Thus
$\Phi(\mu)=\frac{F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)}{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)}, \quad$ so that $\int_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{p}} e^{-\alpha \varphi \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)} \frac{d V_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-\alpha}\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\alpha}} \leq C$.
We have the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|Z_{i j}\right|^{2} \leq F_{I}\left(P_{I}\right)$. In particular, for every $k$ in $\{1, \ldots, p\}$, $1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2} \leq F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)$, and $f_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu) \geq 1+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ 1 \leq j \leq p \\ i \neq j}}\left|w_{i j}\right|^{2}$. Thus, for $\kappa>0$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}$,

$$
\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-\alpha}}{\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\kappa+p+q-\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\kappa-p+q+\alpha(p-1)}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(1+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ 1 \leq \leq \leq p \\ i \neq j}}\left|w_{i j}\right|^{2}\right)^{\kappa}}=\frac{1}{\left(1+\|w\|^{2}\right)^{\kappa}} .
$$

We have, according to Proposition (2.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\pi\left(U_{I}\right)} \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi}}{F_{I}^{\kappa}}=\int_{w \in \mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}} \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{p}} \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)}}{\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\kappa+p+q}} d V_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right) d V_{w}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}\right) \\
& =\int_{w \in \mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}} \int_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{p}}\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)}}{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-\alpha}\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\alpha}}\right) \\
& \times \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{p}\left(1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-\alpha}}{\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\kappa+p+q-\alpha} d V_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right) d V_{w}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}\right)} \\
& =\int_{w \in \mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}}\left(\int_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{p}} \frac{e^{p}\left(1+\left|\mu_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2-\alpha}\left(F_{I} \circ \rho_{w}(\mu)\right)^{\alpha}}{\prod_{k=1}^{p}} d V_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p}\right)\right) \times \frac{d V_{w}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}\right)}{\left(1+\|w\|^{2}\right)^{\kappa}} \\
& \leq C \int_{w \in \mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}} \frac{d V_{w}\left(\mathbb{C}^{p(q-1)}\right)}{\left(1+\|w\|^{2}\right)^{\kappa}} \leq C^{\prime} \quad \text { if } \kappa>p(q-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain that for all $I$ in $\mathcal{I}, \int_{\pi\left(U_{I}\right)} \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi}}{F_{I}^{\kappa}} \leq C$, where $C$ is independent of $\varphi$.
Since $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ is compact, there exists a family $\left(V_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ of open sets of $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $V_{I}$ is relatively compact in $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, and $\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_{I}=G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$. There exists $M>0$ such that $F_{I} \leq M$ on $V_{I}$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus

$$
\int_{G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{V_{I}} e^{-\alpha \varphi} \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} M^{\kappa} \int_{V_{I}} \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi}}{F_{I}^{\kappa}} \leq M^{\kappa} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \int_{\pi\left(U_{I}\right)} \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi}}{F_{I}^{\kappa}} \leq C M^{\kappa}\binom{p+q}{p}
$$

We deduce that $\alpha\left(\mathscr{F}_{p, q}\right) \geq 1$.
3.3.3. Upper bound of $\alpha\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)$. We use here a method which can be found in [Re] for the complex projective space. Let $I$ in $\mathcal{I}$. We define $\tilde{K}$ from $M^{*}(p+q, p)$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ by the relation $\tilde{K}(M)=\left[\left|\operatorname{det} m_{I}(H)\right|^{2}, \operatorname{det}{ }^{t} M \bar{M}\right] . \tilde{K}$ is invariant by the action of the structural group $G_{p}(\mathbb{C})$, so it induces a $C^{\infty} \operatorname{map} K$ from $G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Remark that $\psi=\log K$ is a Kähler potential on $U_{I}$ for the metric $\mathscr{C}_{p, q}$.

Lemma 3.5. There exists a decreasing sequence $\left(\varphi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of admissible functions with positive maxima which converges pointwise to $-\psi$ on $\pi\left(\bar{U}_{I}\right)$.
Proof. We construct a decreasing sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ of $C^{\infty}$ convex functions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfying the conditions $1+f_{n}^{\prime}>0, f_{n}(x)=-(1-1 / n) x$ for $x$ in $[0, n]$ and $f_{n}(x)=-n$ for
$x \geq 2 n$. Let $y$ be an element of $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)^{c}$ and $\Omega_{n}$ the set of the elements $x$ in $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ such that $\psi(x)>2 n$. Since $F_{I}(y)=[0,1]$, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of y such that the inequality $z>e^{2 n}$ holds for every point $[1, z]$ in $F_{I}(V)$. Thus $V \cap \pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ is included in $\Omega_{n}$. We have proved that $W_{n}=\Omega_{n} \cup \pi\left(U_{I}\right)^{c}$, so that $W_{n}$ is an open neighborhood of $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)^{c}$. We define $\varphi_{n}$ by $\varphi_{n}=f_{n} \circ \psi$ on $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$ and $\varphi_{n}=-n$ on $W_{n}$. Thus $\varphi_{n}$ is well defined and $\varphi_{n}(0)=0$. It remains to show that $\varphi_{n}$ is admissible on $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)$. We have

$$
\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}+i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_{n}\right)_{\lambda \bar{\mu}}=\partial_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} \psi+\partial_{\lambda}\left(f_{n}^{\prime} \circ \psi\right) \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \psi=\left(1+f_{n}^{\prime} \circ \psi\right) \partial_{\lambda \bar{\mu}} \psi+f_{n}^{\prime \prime} \circ \psi \partial_{\lambda} \psi \partial_{\bar{\mu}} \psi .
$$

Hence the matrix of the metric $\mathscr{C}_{p, q}+i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi_{n}$ is of the form $A+T$ where $A$ is positive definite and $T$ has rank one and positive trace. So $A+T$ is positive definite and we get the result.

Lemma 3.6. Let $n$ in $\mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $r$ a positive real number. Then

$$
\int_{\|X\| \leq r} \frac{d V_{X}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{|\operatorname{det} X|^{2}}=+\infty .
$$

Proof. We can write

$$
\int_{\|X\| \leq r} \frac{d V_{X}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{|\operatorname{det} X|^{2}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{r / 2^{k+1} \leq\|X\| \leq r / 2^{k}} \frac{d V_{X}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{|\operatorname{det} X|^{2}} .
$$

We put $Y=2^{k} X$, so

$$
\int_{r / 2^{k+1} \leq\|X\| \leq r / 2^{k}} \frac{d V_{X}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{|\operatorname{det} X|^{2}}=\int_{1 / 2 \leq\|Y\| \leq 1} \frac{d V_{Y}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{|\operatorname{det} Y|^{2}} .
$$

The terms in the series are strictly positive and independent of $k$. The sum is therefore infinite.

We can now prove that $\alpha\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)$ is upper bounded by 1 . Suppose that $\alpha\left(\mathscr{G}_{p, q}\right)>1$. Then there exists a positive $C$ such that for every integer $n, \int_{\pi\left(U_{I}\right)} e^{-\varphi_{n}} \leq C$. Using Lemma (3.5) and monotonous convergence, $\int_{\pi\left(U_{I}\right)} F_{I} \leq C$. Since $\pi\left(U_{I}\right)^{c}$ has zero measure, $\int_{G_{p, q}(\mathbb{C})} F_{I} \leq C$. Let $\tilde{I}$ in $\mathcal{I}$ be such that $I \cap \tilde{I}=\emptyset$ (this is possible since $p \leq q$ ). We have $P_{\tilde{I}}\left\{m_{I}\left(P_{\tilde{I}}\right)\right\}^{-1}=P_{I}$. Remark that $m_{I}\left(P_{\tilde{I}}\right)=m_{I}\left(Z_{\tilde{I}}\right)$. Thus $\operatorname{det}\left(I d+{ }^{t} Z_{I} \bar{Z}_{I}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} P_{\tilde{I}} \bar{P}_{\tilde{I}}\right)\left|\operatorname{det} m_{I}\left(Z_{\tilde{I}}\right)\right|^{-2}$. For $\left\|Z_{\tilde{I}}\right\| \leq r$, $\operatorname{det}\left({ }^{t} P_{\tilde{I}} \bar{P}_{\tilde{I}}\right) \leq M$, so that $\int_{\left\|Z_{\tilde{I}}\right\| \leq r} \frac{d V_{Z_{\tilde{I}}}\left(M_{q, p}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{\left|\operatorname{det} m_{I}\left(Z_{\tilde{I}}\right)\right|^{2}}<+\infty$. Integrating over the remaining variables $\left(Z_{i j}\right)_{i \in \tilde{I} c \cap I^{c}}$ yields
$\int_{\|Z\| \leq r} \frac{d V_{Z}\left(M_{p}(\mathbb{C})\right)}{|\operatorname{det} Z|^{2}}<+\infty$, which is in contradiction with the result of Lemma (3.6). Thus we obtain $\alpha\left(\mathscr{F}_{p, q}\right) \leq 1$.

## References

[Au1] T. Aubin, Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes, Bull. Sci. Math. 102 (1978), 63-95.
[Au2] T. Aubin, Réduction du cas positif de l'équation de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés Kählériennes compactes à la démonstration d'une inégalité, J. Funct. Anal. 57 (1984), 143-153.
[Au3] T. Aubin, Métriques d’Einstein-Kähler et exponentiel de fonctions admissibles, J. Func. Anal. 88 (1990), 385-394.
[Au4] T. Aubin, Some Non-linear Problems in Riemannian Geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
[Be1] A. Ben Abdesselem, Lower bound of admissible functions on sphere, Bull. Sci. Math 126 (2002), 675-680.
[Be2] A. Ben Abdesselem, Enveloppes inférieures de fonctions admissibles sur l'espace projectif complexe. Cas symétrique, To appear in Diff. Geom. and its App.
[Be-Ch1] A. Ben Abdesselem, P. Cherrier, Einstein-Kähler metrics on a class of bundles involving integral weights, J. Math. Pures Appl. 3, vol 81, (2002), 259-281.
[Be-Ch2] A. Ben Abdesselem, P. Cherrier, Estimations of Ricci tensor on certain Fano manifolds, Math. Z. 233, 2000, 481-505.
[Fu] A. Futaki, An obstruction to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics, Invent. Math. 73, 1983, 437-443.
[Ko-No] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, Volume II John Wiley (1969).
[Li] A. Lichnerowicz, Sur les transformations analytiques des variétés kählériennes, Cr. Acac. Sci 244, 1957, 3011-3014.
[Ma] Y. Matsushima, Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kählérienne, Nagoya Math. J. 11 (1957), 145-150.
[Re] C. Real, Métriques d'Einstein-Kähler sur des variétés à première classe de Chern positive, J. Func. Anal. 106 (1992), 145-188.
[Ti] G. Tian, On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C^{1}(M)>0$, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 225-246.
[Ya] S. T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equations, I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978), 339-411.

E-mail address: julien.grivaux@free.fr
Université Pierre et Marie Curie

