



**HAL**  
open science

# Towards a unified bayesian geometric framework for template estimation in Computational Anatomy

Nina Miolane, Xavier Pennec, Susan Holmes

► **To cite this version:**

Nina Miolane, Xavier Pennec, Susan Holmes. Towards a unified bayesian geometric framework for template estimation in Computational Anatomy. International Society of Bayesian Analysis: World Meeting, Jun 2016, Cagliari, Italy. . hal-01396716

**HAL Id: hal-01396716**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01396716v1>**

Submitted on 14 Nov 2016


**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Computational Anatomy aims to model and analyze the variability of the human anatomy. Given a set of medical images of the same organ, the first step is the estimation of the mean organ's shape. This mean anatomical shape is called the template in Computer vision or Medical imaging. The estimation of a template/atlas is central because it represents the starting point for all further processing or analyses. In view of the medical applications, evaluating the quality of this statistical estimate is crucial. How does the estimated template behave for varying amount of data, for small and large level of noise? We present a geometric Bayesian framework which unifies two estimation problems that are usually considered distinct: the template estimation problem and manifold learning problem - here associated to estimating the template's orbit. We leverage this to evaluate the quality of the template estimator.


## Template estimation in Computational Anatomy

Computational Medicine relying on medical images



First step: **template shape** computation

Second step: analysis




Images from: [Talbot and al 2013][Lorenzi and al, 2011][Gerber and al, 2010][Margeta and al, 2011]

## Template estimation as a non-linear model of Errors-in-Variables

### Generative model of organs' shapes

$$X_i = \rho(T, g_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where  $g_i \sim \mathcal{N}(g_0, \eta)$  i.i.d. and  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$  i.i.d.




**Goal:**  
Estimate the **template T**

### Non-linear model of Errors-in-Variables

$$X_i = \rho(T, g_i) + \epsilon_i$$

where  $g_i \sim \mathcal{N}(g_0, \eta)$  i.i.d. and  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$  i.i.d.




**Goal:**  
Estimate the **curve parameterized by T**

### Unification through Geometric Statistics

M: space of the images  $X_i$ 's  
G: Lie group of transformations  
Action of G on M:  $\rho: M \times G \rightarrow M$  denoted:  $(X, g) \rightarrow \rho(X, g)$   
Q: shape space, quotient of M by G

Space of images M



## Different estimators of the template's shape

### Functional model: $g_i$ 's are parameters

$$\text{Likelihood: } L = \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(-\frac{d_M^2(\rho(X_i, g_i), T)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

- Modal approximation in (1):  $\exp\left(-\frac{d_G^2(g, g_0)}{2\eta^2}\right) \approx \delta_{g_0}$  i.e.  $\eta \approx 0$
- Adding regularization in (1):  $+\frac{\sigma^2}{\eta^2} d_G^2(g_i, g_0)$

### Structural model: $g_i$ 's are random variables

$$\text{Likelihood: } L = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{g \in G} \exp\left(-\frac{d_M^2(\rho(X_i, g), T)}{2\sigma^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{d_G^2(g, g_0)}{2\eta^2}\right) dg$$

### Maximum-Likelihood (MLE-F)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (1) \forall i, \hat{g}_i &= \operatorname{argmin}_{g \in G} d_M^2(\rho(\hat{T}, g_i), X_i) \\ (2) \hat{T} &= \operatorname{argmin}_T \sum_{i=1}^n d_M^2(\rho(T, \hat{g}_i), X_i) \end{aligned} \right\} \hat{T} = \operatorname{argmin}_T \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{g_i \in G} d_M^2(\rho(T, g_i), X_i)$$

Fréchet mean in the shape space

### Maximum-Likelihood: Expectation-Maximization algorithm (MLE-S)



$$\left. \begin{aligned} (1) \text{Expectation} \\ (2) \text{Maximization} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{No closed form solution}$$

Adding priors:  $p(T) = \text{cte.} \exp\left(-\frac{d_Q(T, T_0)^2}{2\sigma_T^2}\right)$  reweights metric in shape space;  $p(g_0) = \text{cte.} \exp\left(-\frac{d_G(g_0, \bar{g}_0)^2}{2\sigma_{g_0}^2}\right)$  reweights metric in the orbit;  $p(\sigma) = \text{cte.} \left(\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2\sigma_\sigma^2}\right)}{2\sigma_\sigma}\right)^{\alpha_\sigma}$ ;  $p(\eta) = \text{cte.} \left(\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\eta^2}{2\sigma_\eta^2}\right)}{2\sigma_\eta}\right)^{\alpha_\eta}$



### Maximum-a-Posteriori (MAP-F)

## Comparison of the estimators

MLE-S: Consistent but slow  
MLE-F: Fast but inconsistent



Improvement using the Bayesian framework: fast and inconsistency substantially reduced



Acknowledgment: Participation in this conference was supported by the "NSF @ISBA junior travel support"

**References:** [1] Miolane, Holmes, Pennec. Biased estimators on quotient spaces (2015). [2] Allasonniere, S., Amit, Y., Troune, A.: Towards a coherent statistical framework for dense deformable template estimation (2007). [3] Devilliers, Allasonniere, Pennec, Troune. Fréchet means top and quotient space might not be consistent: a case study (2015).