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The Sinai model of a tracer diffusing in a quenched Brownian potential is a much-studied problem exhibiting a logarithmically slow anomalous diffusion due to the growth of energy barriers with the system size. However, if the potential is random but periodic, the regime of anomalous diffusion crosses over to one of normal diffusion once a tracer has diffused over a few periods of the system. Here we consider a system in which the potential is given by a Brownian bridge on a finite interval (0, L) and then periodically repeated over the whole real line and study the power spectrum $S(f)$ of the diffusive process $x(t)$ in such a potential. We show that for most of realizations of $x(t)$ in a given realization of the potential, the low-frequency behavior is $S(f) \sim A/f^2$, i.e., the same as for standard Brownian motion, and the amplitude $A$ is a disorder-dependent random variable with a finite support. Focusing on the statistical properties of this random variable, we determine the moments of $A$ of arbitrary, negative, or positive order $k$ and demonstrate that they exhibit a multifractal dependence on $k$ and a rather unusual dependence on the temperature and on the periodicity $L$, which are supported by atypical realizations of the periodic disorder. We finally show that the distribution of $A$ has a log-normal left tail and exhibits an essential singularity close to the right edge of the support, which is related to the Lifshitz singularity. Our findings are based both on analytic results and on extensive numerical simulations of the process $x(t)$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical classification of time-dependent stochastic processes is often based on the study of their power spectrum

$$S(f) = \lim_{\Theta \to \infty} \left| \int_0^\Theta dt \ e^{if t} x(t) \right|^2,$$

where $\Theta$ is the observation time and the horizontal bar denotes ensemble averaging with respect to all possible realizations of $x(t)$. Many processes, which are common in nature and are often observed in engineering and technological sciences, are found to exhibit a low-frequency noise spectrum of the universal form [1,2]

$$S(f) \sim \frac{A}{f^\alpha}.$$

The amplitude $A$ is independent of $f$, and the exponent $\alpha \in (1,2)$, with the extreme cases $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$ corresponding to the $1/f$ (flicker) noise and Brownian noise (or noise of the extremes of Brownian noise [3]), respectively. There exist a few physical cases for which the form in (2) with $\alpha < 2$ extends over many decades in frequency, implying the existence of correlations over surprisingly long times. Relevant examples include electrical signals in vacuum tubes, semiconductor devices and metal films [1,2]. More generally, the form in (2) is observed in sequences of earthquakes [4] and weather data [5], in evolution [6], human cognition [7], network traffic [8], and even in the temporal distribution of loudness in musical recordings [9]. Recent experiments have shown the occurrence of such universal spectra in processes taking place in a variety of nanoscale systems. Among them are transport in individual ionic channels [10,11] and electrochemical signals in nanoscale electrodes [12], bio-recognition processes [13], and intermittent quantum dots [14]. Many other examples, related theoretical concepts, emerging challenges, and unresolved problems have been discussed in [14–19].

An example of a transport process which exhibits the flicker $1/f$ noise (with logarithmic corrections) was pointed out more than 30 years ago in Refs. [15,16]. This is a paradigmatic example for random motion in a quenched random environment, now known as Sinai diffusion [20], which has been studied in many different contexts [21–27]. Sinai diffusion is defined as a Brownian motion advected by a quenched drift which is time independent and uncorrelated in space. It can thus be seen as an overdamped Langevin process subject to a quenched force which is uncorrelated in space, so in one dimension it is derived from a Brownian potential $V(x)$. The mean-square displacement of the Sinai diffusion exhibits a remarkably slow logarithmically growth with time $t$,

$$\mathbb{E}(x^2(t)) \sim \ln^4(t), \ t \to \infty,$$

where $\mathbb{E}(-)$ denotes averaging over realizations of the random potential. The result in (3) is supported by typical realizations of disorder, i.e., it holds for almost all samples with a given potential $V(x)$. Note that despite the slow logarithmic dispersion of the trajectories, the probability currents $J_L$ through finite samples of Sinai chains of length $L$ appear to be much larger than the Fickian currents in homogeneous systems [22–25]; for finite Sinai chains, one has $\bar{E}(J_L) \sim 1/\sqrt{L}$, while for homogeneous systems $J_L \sim 1/L$. Such an anomalous behavior of currents is supported by rare atypical realizations of $V(x)$ which, however, produce the dominant contributions to the average.
In this paper we analyze the power spectrum of random motion in a random quenched potential looking at the problem from a different perspective—we will mainly focus on the amplitude $A$ of the power spectrum, not on the value of the exponent $\alpha$ characterizing the power spectrum. In random environments, this amplitude is itself a random variable fluctuating from realization to realization of the random potential, and this makes the power spectrum itself a random variable. Here we concentrate on a particular model—a periodic Sinai chain [28], in which the potential is a finite Brownian trajectory with constrained end point—the so-called Brownian bridge, defined on the interval $(0, L)$ and then periodically extended in both directions to give an infinite one-dimensional system (see Fig. 1). The origin of the slow logarithmic growth in the original Sinai model (with $\alpha = 1$ [15,16]) will be presented elsewhere.

II. THE PERIODIC SINAI MODEL

The precise definition of the model studied is as follows. Consider the Langevin dynamics of a tracer $x(t)$ in a time-independent potential $V(x)$:

$$\eta \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -dV[x(t)] + \xi_t,$$

where $\eta$ is the friction coefficient, $\xi_t$ is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and covariance

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_t \xi_{t'}) = 2\eta T \delta(t-t'),$$

and $T$ is the temperature in units of the Boltzmann constant. The potential is periodic, such that $V(x + L) = V(x)$, with $L$ being the periodicity.

Furthermore, we assume that the potential $V(x)$ on the interval $x \in (0, L)$ is a stochastic, continuous Gaussian process, pinned at both ends so $V(0) = V(L) = 0$, having zero mean and covariance

$$\mathbb{E}(V(x)V(y)) = 2D_V \left( \min(x,y) - \frac{xy}{L} \right), \quad 0 \leq x, y \leq L,$$

where $D_V = V_0^2/(2l)$, $V_0$ being a characteristic extent of the potential on a small scale of size $l$. In other words, $V(x)$ on the interval $(0, L)$ is the so-called Brownian bridge (BB) [29] which has the representation

$$V(x) = W_\xi - \frac{x}{L} W_L,$$

where $W_\xi$ is a standard Brownian motion started at $W_0 = 0$ with correlation function

$$\mathbb{E}(W_\xi W_{\eta}) = 2D_V \min(x,y).$$

The overall potential on the entire $x$-axis is then given by a periodically repeated realization of the BB (see Fig. 1).

Before we proceed, it is important to emphasize that the dynamics in Eq. (4) represents a combination of two paradigmatic situations: random motion in a periodic potential and the Sinai dynamics. Consequently, we expect that $x(t)$ will exhibit two distinct temporal behaviors. At sufficiently short times $t$, $t \ll t_c$, where $t_c$ is a crossover time, the periodicity will not matter and the evolution of $x(t)$ will proceed exactly in the same fashion as in the original Sinai model, Eq. (3). At longer times, $t \gg t_c$, the periodicity of the potential will ensure a transition to a standard diffusive behavior, so $x(t)$ will converge to

$$x(t) \sim \sqrt{2D[V(x)]} B_t,$$

where $B_t$ is a Brownian trajectory with diffusion coefficient 1 and $D[V(x)]$ is a sample-dependent diffusion coefficient (see, e.g., Refs. [30–33]):

$$D[V(x)] = D_0 \left\{ \int_0^L \frac{dx}{L} \int_0^L \frac{dy}{L} \exp \left[ \frac{V(x) - V(y)}{T} \right] \right\},$$

where $D_0 = T/\eta$ is the bare diffusion coefficient in absence of disorder. Note that $D[V(x)] \leq D_0$ [30] so $D[V(x)]$ is a random variable with support on $(0, D_0)$.

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In the main plot of Fig. 2 we show the temporal evolution of $\mathbb{E}(x^2(t))$ in a periodic BB Sinai model, with $L = 64$. The numerical evidence for the existence of the two temporal regimes described in (3) (at short times) and in (9) (at large times) is clear. We plot with points the numerical data averaged over 500,000 realizations of the random quenched potential. The dashed line is $4 \ln^2(t)$ and agrees with the simulated data in the time region $(100, 1000)$, while the continuous thin straight line is $t$ and fits perfectly the asymptotically large time region (say, from $t_{\min} = 10^5$).
A ballistic regime, since for any finite BB the mean-square displacement yielding a constant, but random from sample to sample, force transition time also in this case. We may expect that for that appears in the case of the Sinai periodically repeated below. Accounting for the intermediate, subdiffusive regime the typical behavior of \( x(t) \) will be diffusive, so the low-frequency \( f \ll 1/t_c \) behavior of the power spectrum (1) will have the form of (2) with \( \alpha = 2 \),

\[
\frac{A}{4D_0} = \frac{1}{\int_0^L dx \int_0^L dy \exp\left[\frac{V(x)-V(y)}{T}\right]}. \tag{11}
\]

Taking into account that for a standard Brownian motion with the diffusion coefficient \( D \) the amplitude in (2) is \( A = 4D \), we expect \( A \) to have support \((0,4D_0)\). In what follows we will focus on the statistical properties of \( A \).

We start by analyzing the typical behavior of \( A \) based on an estimate for the typical value of \( A \) that we call \( A_{\text{typ}} \):

\[
\frac{A_{\text{typ}}}{4D_0} \sim \exp \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left( \ln \left( \frac{A}{4D_0} \right) \right) \right\}. \tag{12}
\]

Furthermore,

\[
\mathbb{E}\left( \ln \left( \frac{A}{4D_0} \right) \right) = \mathbb{E}(\ln J^+_L) + \mathbb{E}(\ln J^-_L) + 2 \ln(L), \tag{13}
\]

where \( J^+_L \) and \( J^-_L \) are stationary currents through a finite sample, of length \( L \), of a Sinai chain,

\[
J^+_L = \frac{1}{\int_0^L dx \exp\left[\frac{V(x)}{T}\right]}, \quad J^-_L = \frac{1}{\int_0^L dy \exp\left[-\frac{V(y)}{T}\right]}. \tag{14}
\]

Note that since \( \mathbb{E}(V(x)) = 0 \), moments of arbitrary order obey \( \mathbb{E}(J^+_L)^k = \mathbb{E}(J^-_L)^k \) so

\[
\mathbb{E}(\ln J^+_L) = \mathbb{E}(\ln J^-_L) \tag{15}
\]

and thus

\[
\mathbb{E}\left( \ln \left( \frac{A}{4D_0} \right) \right) = 2 \mathbb{E}(\ln J^+_L) + 2 \ln(L). \tag{16}
\]

The statistical properties of the currents in finite Sinai chains have been analyzed in Refs. [22–25] for the case where \( V(x) \) is an unconstrained Brownian or an unconstrained fractional Brownian motion. It was shown (see, e.g. Ref. [25] for more details) that for sufficiently large values of \( L \), the behavior of \( J^+_L \) is dominated by the maximum of \( V(x) \), \( V_{\max} = \max_{0 \leq x \leq L} V(x) \). Moreover, any given realization of disorder \( J^+_L \) can be bounded from below and from above by \( A_1 \exp(-V_{\max}/T) \) and \( A_2 \exp(-V_{\max}/T) \), where \( A_1 \leq A_2 \) are \( L \)-independent constants. Consequently, the \( L \) dependence (up to an insignificant numerical factor) is captured by the estimate

\[
J^+_L \sim \exp(-V_{\max}/T). \tag{17}
\]

In principle, this argument can be readily generalized for the case at hand, when \( V(x) \) is a BB, and we have merely to use the distribution \( \mathbb{P}_{\text{BB}}(V_{\max}) \) of a maximal positive displacement of a BB on an interval \((0,L)\), instead of the analogous distribution for an unconstrained Brownian motion used in Ref. [25]. This distribution \( \mathbb{P}_{\text{BB}}(V_{\max}) \) is well known from the classical papers [35–37] and is given by

\[
\mathbb{P}_{\text{BB}}(V_{\max}) = \frac{4V_{\max}}{D_0} \exp\left(-\frac{V_{\max}^2}{D_0 L}\right). \tag{18}
\]

where \( D_V = V_0^2/(2l) \). Using (17), we find that, dropping numerical constants,

\[
\mathbb{E}\left( \ln \left( \frac{A}{4D_0} \right) \right) \sim -V_0 T L^{1/2}, \tag{19}
\]

so, for arbitrary values of \( k \),

\[
\left( \frac{A_{\text{typ}}}{4D_0} \right)^k \sim \exp\left(-\frac{k V_0}{T} L^{1/2}\right). \tag{20}
\]
Therefore, we expect that, for most realizations of the random potential $V(x)$, the amplitude $A$ of the power spectrum will decrease, as a stretched-exponential function $\exp(-L^{1/2})$ of the periodicity $L$, and will exhibit an Arrhenius dependence on the temperature $T$.

Next we consider the behavior of the moments $\mathbb{E}(A^k)$ of the amplitude with arbitrary (positive or negative) values of $k$. When $V(x)$ is an unconstrained Brownian motion, a general analysis of the functional in (10) or (11) can be bounded from below and from above by $B_1 \exp(-R/T)$ and $B_2 \exp(-R/T)$, where $B_1 \leq B_2$ weakly depend on $L$ and

$$R = \max_{0 \leq x \leq L} V(x) - \min_{0 \leq x \leq L} V(x)$$

is the range, or span, of the random potential $V(x)$. Physically, $R$ corresponds to the largest energy barrier that will be encountered by the tracer. Expecting that $\mathbb{E}(A^k)$ will show a stronger-than-power-law dependence on $L$ (and we will show in what follows that this is the case), we may drop the constants $B_1$ and $B_2$ and write an estimate

$$A^k \sim \exp(-kR/T),$$

which should capture the $L$, $k$, and $T$ dependence of the moments up to insignificant pre-exponential factors.

To extend this analysis over the case of a BB potential and in order to calculate the moments of $A$ for the case under study, we need to know the distribution of the range of a BB. This distribution was first derived in Ref. [39], in which $R$ was referred to as an adjusted range of Brownian motion, and it is given in series form as

$$P_{BB}(R) = \frac{d^2 f(R)}{dR^2} + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \left[ 2n(n-1) \left( \frac{df(n-1)/R}{dR} \right) - \frac{df(n)/R}{dR} \right] + (n-1)^2 R \frac{d^2 f(n-1)/R}{dR^2} \left( 2n^2 R \frac{d^2 f(n)/R}{dR^2} \right),$$

where, in our notation, $f(R) = \exp(-R^2/Dy L)$. For our purposes a slightly different form of $P_{BB}(R)$ will also turn out to be useful. To this end, we exploit the observation made in Ref. [40] that the range of Brownian bridge and the maximum of Brownian excursion—a Brownian bridge constrained to stay positive—have the same distributions. The distribution of the maximum of a Brownian excursion has been extensively discussed in the literature and several forms of it have been derived (see, for example, Ref. [41]). Choosing a suitable one, we have, in our notation,

$$P_{BB}(R) = \sqrt{2\pi R^2} (2Dy L)^{3/2} \times \frac{d}{dR} \left[ \frac{1}{R^3} \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^2 \exp \left( -\frac{\pi^2 n^2}{R^2} Dv L \right) \right].$$

The two expressions (22) and (23) coincide.

Now we have all the necessary ingredients to calculate the moments of $A$. Consider first the moments of negative (not necessarily integer) order. Using the form of $P_{BB}(R)$ in (22), and keeping only the leading exponential dependence on $R$, we average the estimate in (21) to obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{4D_0}{A} \right)^k \right) \sim \int_0^\infty dR \exp \left( \frac{kR}{T} - \frac{R^2}{Dy L} \right).$$

Evaluating this integral via steepest descent, we find that the maximum of the exponential is attained at $R \sim R^* = kDy L/2T$, and thus

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{4D_0}{A} \right)^k \right) \sim \exp \left( \frac{k^2 V_0^2}{8T^2} L \right).$$

Therefore, the negative moments grow faster than exponentially with $k$ and $V_0$, exhibit a super-Arrhenius dependence on the temperature, and grow exponentially with the periodicity $L$.

The negative moments may also be computed directly by taking the average over the replicated 2k-fold integral to obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{4D_0}{A} \right)^k \right) = \int_0^1 \ldots \int_0^1 \prod_{a=1}^k du_a dw_a \times \exp \left\{ \frac{Dv L}{2T^2} \left[ \sum_{a,b} |u_a - u_b| + |w_a - w_b| - 2|u_a - w_b| \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. - 2|u_a - w_b| + 2 \left( \sum_{a} u_a - \sum_{a} w_a \right)^2 \right] \right\},$$

where we have rewritten the integration variables using $x_a = Lu_a$ and $y_a = Lw_a$ to obtain the above. The right-hand side of (26) has the form of a partition function for $k + k$ interacting particles of two types $u$ and $w$ at inverse temperature $\beta = Dy L/2T^2$. The corresponding Hamiltonian is explicitly given by

$$H = \sum_{a,b} |u_a - u_b| + |u_a - w_b| - 2|u_a - w_b|$$

$$+ 2 \left( \sum_{a} u_a - \sum_{a} w_a \right)^2. \tag{27}$$

The particles of type $u$ and $w$ attract particles of the same type with a linear attractive potential, and they repel particles of the other type, again with a linear potential. However, there is an additional interaction which harmonically binds the center of masses of the two particle types.

For $k = 1$ the integral in (26) can be performed exactly to give

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \left( \frac{4D_0}{A} \right) \right) = \sqrt{ \frac{2\pi}{V_0} } \frac{T}{V_0} \sqrt{ \frac{2\pi}{L} } \exp \left( \frac{V_0^2}{8T^2} L \right) \text{erf} \left( \frac{V_0}{2\sqrt{T}} \sqrt{ \frac{L}{2} } \right). \tag{28}$$

where erf($z$) is the error function. In the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, the error function converges to 1, so the large-$L$ asymptotic behavior of the latter expression is governed by the exponential function, which has precisely the same form as the one in (25) with $k = 1$. For larger $k$, the direct calculation of the integrals
in (26) becomes very involved, and we instead resort to an approximate, but physically plausible, argument: In the limit of large $L$, the partition function is dominated by the ground-state energy. Due to the attraction between the same particle type, we expect that particles of the same type will condense at low temperature about the same point and hence we write $u_a = U$ and $u_{a'} = W$ for all $a$. This gives the effective reduced low-temperature Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = 2k^2(\Delta^2 - \Delta) = 2k^2\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{k^2}{2},$$

where $\Delta = |U - W|$. The value $\Delta = 1/2$ minimizes the energy leading to

$$E\left(\frac{4D_0}{A}\right)^k \sim \exp\left(\frac{4D_0}{A} L^2\right) = \exp\left(\frac{k^2V_0^2L}{8T^2}\right),$$

in complete agreement with (25).

For positive moments of the amplitude, we use the form of $P_{\text{BB}}(R)$ in (23). Keeping only the leading term in $L$, we find that the leading behavior of $A^k$ in (21) is given by

$$E\left(\frac{4D_0}{A}\right)^k \sim \int_0^\infty dR \exp\left(-\frac{R}{T} - \frac{\pi^2 DV L}{R^2}\right).$$

Again, we use the steepest descent approach to observe that the dominant contribution to the integral comes from a narrow region around $R^* = (2\pi^2 TD V L/k)^{1/3}$. We then take that the behavior of the positive moments of the amplitude (of not necessarily integer) order $k$ is given by

$$E\left(\frac{A}{4D_0}\right)^k \sim \exp\left[-\frac{3\pi^2}{2}\left(\frac{kV_0}{T}\right)^{2/3} L^{1/3}\right].$$

Therefore, the positive moments of the amplitude exhibit a stretched exponential dependence on the order of the moment $k$ and on the characteristic scale of the potential $V_0$, a sub-Arrhenius dependence on the temperature, and also decay with the periodicity $L$ as a stretched exponential with the exponent $z = 1/3$, that is, slower than predicted by the estimate based on the typical realizations of disorder (19). Note, however, that the result in (32) pertains to the asymptotic limit when $L \to \infty$. For small values of $L$, we expect that positive moments will exhibit the same behavior given by (19).

In Fig. 3 we show with symbols our estimates for $A^2$ obtained from numerical simulations for different values of $L$. In this case, we are not able, in the limits of our numerical precision, to distinguish a small regime. The continuous line is our best fit to the form $a \exp(-L^b)$, where we obtain the value $b = 0.37 \pm 0.02$. The precision of the numerical data does not support a fit with a parameter $b$ (that means that we cannot include subleading corrections). The value $b = 0.37$ that we find for our estimated exponent (in the sense it is estimated by numerical data in a finite region of values of $L$) is close to the expected asymptotic value of $1/3$ for large $L$ but probably feels the contamination from the low $L$ regime.

Before we proceed to the analysis of the distribution of the amplitude $A$, two remarks are in order. We first note that there exists another physical system, completely unrelated to the one under study, which exhibits essentially the same behavior. It concerns survival of diffusing particles, with diffusion coefficient $D_V$, in the presence of perfect traps, independently and uniformly distributed on a one-dimensional line. Identifying $L$ as time and $1/T$ as the density of traps, we see that in one-dimensional systems the behavior of the moments of the probability $S_L$ that a particle survives up to time $L$ is identical to the behavior of the moments of $A$ (see, e.g., Ref. [42] and references therein). At sufficiently short times $L$, $S_L$ follows the stretched-exponential form in (19), which is tantamount to the so-called Smoluchowski regime, while for $L \to \infty$, the moments of $S_L$ obey the form in (32) as they are supported by the optimal fluctuation $R^* = (T V_0^2 L/k)^{1/3}$ of a random cavity devoid of traps. This ultimate, late-time, regime has the celebrated fluctuation-induced tails [43,44], which are also intimately related to the so-called Lifshitz singularity in the low-energy spectrum of an electron in a one-dimensional disordered array of scatterers [45]. Below we will show that an analogous essential singularity shows up in the distribution $P(A)$.

Second, we are now in position to estimate the crossover time $t_c$ and, hence, to determine the upper bound on the frequency for which the spectrum (2) is characterized by an exponent $\alpha = 2$. Recalling that our numerical results show a sharp crossover from the Sinai regime (3) to the diffusive behavior in (9), we may estimate $t_c$ by simply equating the mean-squared displacement in the Sinai (3) and diffusive regimes (9), i.e.,

$$\ln^2(t_c) \sim \mathbb{E}(D(V(x)))$$

which gives

$$t_c \sim \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(D(V(x)))}.$$

Now noticing that $D(V(x)) \sim A$, we can expect that $t_c$ will display a different dependence on the periodicity $L$ (and the other system parameters) for small and large values of $L$. For sufficiently small $L$ (but still large enough so the behavior in (3) has enough space to emerge), the typical trajectories of disorder, such that $|V(x)| \sim \sqrt{x}$, will dominate and

$$t_c \sim \exp\left(\frac{V_0}{T}L^{1/2}\right).$$
which simply tells us that, for sufficiently small $L$, the crossover time $t_c$ to diffusive regime is a time needed for $x(t)$ to travel over a distance $L$ encountering a typical barrier $V_0L^{1/2}$ which $x(t)$ overcomes due to thermal activation. Note the Arrhenius dependence of $t_c$ on the temperature $T$.

For larger values of $L$ the behavior of the average amplitude $\mathcal{A}$, given by Eq. (32), becomes supported by atypical realizations of disorder with the optimal fluctuation trajectories of $|V(x)| \sim x^{1/3}$. For such $L$, we have, by virtue of (32),

$$t_c \sim \exp \left[ c \left( \frac{V_0}{T} \right)^{2/3} L^{1/3} \right],$$

where $c$ is a numerical constant; this means that, for larger periodicities, $t_c$ exhibits a slower growth with $L$. Note that in this case $t_c$ has a rather unusual sub-Arrhenius dependence on the temperature.

In order to discuss this point and to use our numerical data to better understand it, we start by defining a time of exit from the Sinai asymptotic regime. The Sinai regime holds in the first part of the dynamical evolution. We define an exit time from it as the time $t_c^{(1)}$ as the minimal time such that

$$\mathbb{E}(x(t_c)) - \mathbb{E}(x(t_c))_{\text{Sinai}} > 3 \sigma_{\text{Sinai}}(t),$$

where by the Sinai label we denote an average over the motion in an infinite, unconstrained Sinai potential. In this way we are observing the time where the departure of the motion in the periodic Brownian bridge potential substantially differs from the one in a Sinai infinite potential ($\sigma_{\text{Sinai}}$ is the standard deviation over our numerical estimate for the infinite Sinai motion). On our time scales and sample size this procedure is accurate enough to give a sensible estimate of $t_c^{(1)}$. We assume now that

$$\ln (t_c^{(1)}) \sim a^{(1)} + L^{b^{(1)}}.$$  \hfill (38)

Since our numerical data are not accurate enough to allow us to disentangle precisely the subleading corrections to this behavior, we analyze our data by defining a size-dependent exponent $b^{(1)}(L,2L)$, computed by using Eq. (38) for size $L$ and size $2L$. The numerical values computed for $t_c^{(1)}(L)$ and the one for $t_c^{(1)}(2L)$ are used to disentangle the value of $b^{(1)}(L,2L)$ as estimated from these two values of the lattice size. The limit for large $L$ of $b^{(1)}(L,2L)$ is $b^{(1)}$.

We plot this estimated exponent as a function of $L$ in Fig. 4. In this case, the crossover we have derived analytically clearly emerges from the numerical data, which give an estimated exponent close to $1/2$ for small $L$ values and close to $1/3$ for larger values of the size $L$.

We finally turn to the analysis of the distribution $P(\mathcal{A})$ of the amplitude of the low-frequency power spectrum (see Fig. 5). Examining first the negative moments of $\mathcal{A}$, we observe that they are growing functions of $L$ and $k$, which hints that such a behavior of $\mathcal{A}$ is derived from the left tail of the distribution $P(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., when $\mathcal{A}$ is close to 0. Furthermore, the quadratic dependence of the moments on the order of the moment $k$ in the exponential is a fingerprint of the log-normal distribution, which suggest that the left tail of $P(\mathcal{A})$ has the form:

$$P(\mathcal{A}) \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \exp \left[ - \frac{2\mathcal{A}^2 \ln^2(\mathcal{A})}{V_0^2 L} \right].$$  \hfill (39)

Note that this distribution is unimodal, with the most probable value of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{mp}} \sim \exp(-V_0^2 L/4T^2)$, which is, for sufficiently large $L$, much smaller and closer to 0 than the typical value in (19). Further, positive moments in (32) are, for large $L$, much larger than those expected from the typical realizations of disorder (19). This means, in turn, that the behavior in (32) stems apparently from the right tail of the distribution $P(\mathcal{A})$ when $\mathcal{A}$ is close to the right edge of the support, i.e., $\mathcal{A} \approx 4D_0$. Let us formally write

$$\int_0^{4D_0} \mathcal{A}^k d\mathcal{A} P(\mathcal{A}) \sim \mathcal{A}_2^k \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{kV_0\sqrt{L}}{T} \right)^{2/3} \right],$$

where, for simplicity of notation, any numerical constant in the exponential of the right-hand side is included in $V_0$. We assume that the major contribution to the integral on the left-hand side of (40) comes from a narrow region close to the right edge of

![FIG. 4. The exponent $b^{(1)}(L,2L)$ in Eq. (38) as a function of $L$.](image4.png)

![FIG. 5. Distribution $P(\mathcal{A})$ of the amplitudes $\mathcal{A}$ for a BB potential, plotted with circles (numerical results). In the main figure $L = 64$ while the inset shows the results for $L = 32$. The log-normal fit corresponding to Eq. (39) is shown for small $\mathcal{A}$ as a solid green curve while the prediction of Eq. (47) for the right tail is shown for large values of $\mathcal{A}$ by the dashed blue line.](image5.png)
we cast (40) into the form
\[
4D_0 \frac{V_0 \sqrt{L}}{T} \int_0^\infty dz \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{k V_0 \sqrt{L}}{T} \right) z \right] \times \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{V_0 \sqrt{L} z}{T} \right) \right] P(z) \sim \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{k V_0 \sqrt{L}}{T} \right)^{2/3} \right].
\]  
(42)

Using then the formal definition of the Laplace transform of one-sided stable Lévy distribution \( \mathcal{L}_s(z) \) with index \( \nu \) (see, e.g., Ref. [46]),
\[
\int_0^\infty \exp(-pz) \mathcal{L}_s(z) \equiv \exp(-p^\nu),
\]  
(43)

we immediately infer that
\[
P(A) \sim \frac{T}{V_0 \sqrt{L}} L_{2/3} \frac{T}{V_0 \sqrt{L}} \ln \left( \frac{A}{A_r} \right).
\]  
(44)

Note that the result in (44) is expected to hold only in the vicinity of the right edge of the support, and we consider its asymptotic form in this domain. For \( A \approx A_r \), the argument \( z \) in the one-sided Lévy distribution \( L_{2/3}(z) \) is close to zero, so its asymptotic behavior is given by
\[
L_{2/3}(z) \approx z^{-2} \exp \left( - \frac{b}{z^{2}} \right),
\]  
(45)

where \( b \) is a computable constant. For \( A \approx A_r \), we have that
\[
z \approx \frac{T}{V_0 \sqrt{L}} \left( 1 - \frac{A}{A_r} \right),
\]  
(46)

so eventually we find the following asymptotic representation of the distribution \( P(A) \) close to the right edge of the support:
\[
P(A) \sim \frac{4D_0 V_0 \sqrt{L}}{(4D_0 - A)^2} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{4D_0 V_0 \sqrt{bL}}{T(4D_0 - A)} \right)^2 \right].
\]  
(47)

Note that the distribution in (47) exhibits an essential singularity in the vicinity of \( A_r \), which is related to the Lifshitz singularity. In Fig. 5 we plot the empirical probability distribution obtained in numerical simulations, together with the best fits to the asymptotic forms (39) and (47): The agreement is remarkable.
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