



HAL
open science

How Should Iron and Titanium be Combined in Oxides to Improve Photoelectrochemical Properties?

Sarah Petit, Sigismund T.A.G. Melissen, Loraine Duclaux, Moulay Tahar Sougrati, Tangui Le Bahers, Philippe Sautet, Damien Dambournet, Olaf Borkiewicz, Christel Laberty-Robert, Olivier Durupthy

► **To cite this version:**

Sarah Petit, Sigismund T.A.G. Melissen, Loraine Duclaux, Moulay Tahar Sougrati, Tangui Le Bahers, et al.. How Should Iron and Titanium be Combined in Oxides to Improve Photoelectrochemical Properties?. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2016, 120 (43), pp.24521 - 24532. 10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b05794 . hal-01393396

HAL Id: hal-01393396

<https://hal.science/hal-01393396v1>

Submitted on 7 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

How Should Iron and Titanium be Combined in Oxides to Improve Photoelectrochemical Properties?

*Sarah Petit¹, Sigismund T.A.G. Melissen², Loraine Duclaux¹, Moulay T. Sougrati³, Tangui Le Bahers², Philippe Sautet^{†2}, Damien Dambournet⁴, Olaf Borkiewicz⁵, Christel Laberty-Robert¹, and Olivier Durupthy^{*1}*

1 Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Collège de France, Laboratoire de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Paris, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France.

2 Université de Lyon, CNRS, École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Laboratoire de Chimie, UMR 5182

46 Allée d'Italie, 69342 Lyon CEDEX, France

3 ICGM – UMR5253 – Equipe AIME, Université Montpellier II, 2 Place Eugène Bataillon – CC1502, 34095 Montpellier CEDEX 5, France.

4 Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, UMR 8234, PHENIX, F-75005 Paris, France

5 X-ray Science Division, Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois, USA

ABSTRACT

We discuss here for the first time how to combine iron and titanium metal ions to achieve a high photo-electrochemical activity for TiO₂-based photo-anodes in water splitting devices. To do so, a wide range of photoelectrode materials with tailored Ti/Fe ratio and element vicinity were synthesized by using the versatility of aqueous sol-gel chemistry in combination with a microwave-assisted crystallization process. At low ferric concentrations, single phase TiO₂ anatase doped with various Fe amounts were prepared. Strikingly, at higher ferric concentrations, we observed the concomitant crystallization of two polymorphs of Fe₂TiO₅. The as-synthesized compounds were tested as photoelectrode and compared with pure nanoparticles of TiO₂, Fe₂TiO₅ and α - or γ -Fe₂O₃ and with corresponding nanocomposites. When TiO₂ is slightly doped by Fe, the performance of this photo-electrode improves particularly in the low-bias region (< 1.0 V vs. reversible hydrogen electrode.) The photoanode exhibits a higher photocurrent than nanocomposite with TiO₂/Fe₂O₃ and FeTi₂O₅, and more cathodic onset potential. The former can be partly explained by a lower bandgap and a hole with a longer lifetime. For the latter, we propose that the nature of the heterojunction impacts charge carrier recombination. The results presented herein not only answer whether iron and titanium should be combined in the same structure or into heterostructured systems, but also on the importance of the arrangement of ions in the structure to improve the performances of the photoanode.

Keywords. pseudo-brookite, photoanode, water splitting, heterojunctions, “*chimie-douce*”.

1. Introduction

One of the grand challenges for the 21st century is to secure the availability of energy on demand on the terawatt scale. Moreover, environmental concerns result in the need for renewable energy sources to satisfy this demand. The direct photoelectrochemical (PEC) conversion of solar energy into storable fuels, which is based on cheap and earth-abundant semiconductors and catalysts, has the potential to satisfy these requirements.¹⁻⁶ Metal-oxide semiconductors are particularly appealing candidates for practical applications because of their low cost, nontoxicity, abundance, and stability toward corrosion.

For application in a water splitting device, a semiconductor must fulfill several requirements based on the microscopic steps involved in the working principle. First, the semiconductor must absorb sunlight efficiently to create an exciton. This property is governed by the bandgap (E_g) and the absorption coefficient (α). Then, the exciton is dissociated yielding a free electron and a free hole. Spontaneous dissociation is facile if the exciton binding energy (E_b) in the material is lower than the thermal energy at room temperature (25 meV). These free charges must diffuse toward the active sites for catalysis. The diffusion of charge carriers is characterized by the electron and hole mobilities (μ), which are themselves related to the effective masses of the charge carriers (m^*). Then, the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) must be positioned such, that they straddle the redox potentials of the target reactions.^{7, 8} If the active sites are on another material, the band positions must be adapted to allow the charge transfer between the two systems thermodynamically. The efficiency of this final step is not only governed by bulk properties of the semiconductor, but also by the electronic structure of the interface. In addition to these requirements on the microscopic properties of the bulk or the interface, other more

general constraints must be satisfied, such as the chemical stability in working conditions and the low cost of the materials.

Among the different materials already tested,⁹ TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ have attracted a lot of attention in PEC water splitting applications, since they fulfill several of these requirements.¹⁰⁻¹² TiO₂ has been the first material tested for water oxidation.^{13, 14} The TiO₂ absorption edge is 3.2 eV, allowing only 4% of the incident solar energy to be absorbed at best.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Its CB lies slightly above the hydrogen evolution redox potential, potentially decreasing the onset potential. The electron mobility of TiO₂ in a nanoparticle (NP) film is about 0.01 cm²·V⁻¹·sec⁻¹, which is low compared to the mobility observed in monocrystals of 1 cm²·V⁻¹·sec⁻¹.¹⁷ Accordingly, TiO₂ suffers from two major limitations which compromise high photon-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency: its wide bandgap and its fast electron–hole recombination. Lately, hematite has emerged as a more promising material due to its suitable bandgap of 2.1 eV, which corresponds to a maximum theoretical air mass 1.5 global (AM 1.5 G) photocurrent density of 12.3 mA·cm⁻².¹⁸ Hematite also has an excellent chemical stability in a broad pH range and is naturally abundant, nontoxic and cheap. The hematite conduction band minimum (CBM) and valence band maximum (VBM) are located at -0.3 V and 1.7 V vs. reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) at pH = 0, respectively.^{8, 10} However, the reported water oxidation efficiencies for hematite to date are notoriously lower than the predicted maximum value despite intense efforts.¹⁸ This is caused by two principal limiting physical properties: the short lifetime of the photogenerated charge carriers (≤ 10 ps), and the low mobility of the minority carriers (0.2 cm²·V⁻¹·sec⁻¹).¹⁸ The combined effect is that the minority carrier (hole) diffusion length is only 2-4 nm, whereas full absorption of the incident light requires much thicker films due to the indirect nature of the bandgap (the penetration depth of light is ~118 nm at a wavelength of 550 nm).¹⁸

This short literature overview underlines that no single material, whether Fe_2O_3 or TiO_2 , fulfilled all the criteria for efficient water oxidation. To overcome these intrinsic shortcomings, different approaches have been explored in the literature. For example, the modification of the TiO_2 surface with a small bandgap semiconductor has been proven to enhance the photocatalytic activity.^{19, 20} Another approach consists of tuning the optical and photoelectrochemical (PEC) properties by element doping.²¹ For example, ferric doping of TiO_2 lowers the bandgap from 3.2 to 1.9 eV, depending on the Fe content and the synthesis procedure.^{22, 23} However, high doping levels often lead to heterogeneous materials.^{24, 25} Their functionality is then the result of both the bulk properties of the various components and their interface properties. We note that some reports in the literature highlight the benefit of designing heterojunctions to enhance the charge separation.²⁵⁻²⁸ However, none of them studied together the impact of doping level, atoms ordering and heterostructures formation in the Ti-Fe-O system get high photo(electrochemical) efficiency.

All in all, good photoelectrode material must contain i) a bulk semi-conductor structure that efficiently harvests photons and allows their conversion into mobile charge carriers, titanium oxide structures being particularly efficient in that case; ii) appropriate surface catalytic sites, iron (III) being *a priori* better suited. The issue that is still to be answered is the elements mixing level coupled with the doping level that provide the best results. In other words, can Fe_2TiO_5 alone fulfill those conditions, is a low iron doping of titanium oxide enough or is it mandatory to combine metal oxide nanoparticles with completely different chemical compositions?

The goals of this article are to provide original syntheses of Ti-Fe-O nanomaterials with the iron and the titanium atoms combined in the same structure or into heterostructured nanocomposites and to correlate the structural aspects of the materials used as photoanode with their efficiency

for water oxidation. The huge versatility of aqueous sol-gel chemistry and more precisely that of iron and titanium metal ions is the perfect toolbox to prepare a wide range of photoelectrode materials with tailored Ti/Fe ratio and element vicinity. We have prepared single phase TiO₂-anatase (TiO₂-A) doped with various amounts of ferric ions, Fe₂TiO₅ nanoparticles featuring two structural arrangements, *i.e.* a heterostructured system. We have also mixed phase pure NPs of TiO₂, Fe₂TiO₅ and Fe₂O₃ hematite or maghemite to test their efficiency as photoelectrode material.

The PEC activities of the different pure phase and heterostructured systems were recorded and rationalized according to the known relative position of electronic bands and the charge dynamics in the different components. Additionally, an extensively tested theoretical approach²⁹⁻³³ was invoked to provide key data on the bulk properties of the different pure semi-conductors. This study is the first complete study on the PEC activity of the whole Fe-Ti oxide composition range.

The manuscript is organized as follows. The methodology section is dedicated to the presentation of the experimental and computational details. In the first part of the results, the Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations of the main TiO₂, Fe₂O₃ and Fe₂TiO₅ phases will be presented in order to understand the ability of these materials in water splitting devices. Subsequently, structural and morphological characterizations of the different synthesized systems are presented. The PEC activities are then determined for each material. Finally, in a discussion confronting both theoretical and experimental results we explain the relative efficiency of the different systems.

2. Methodology

2.1 Experimental setup

2.1.1 Nanoparticle syntheses

Preliminary studies have been performed with all the combinations of Fe(II)/(III) and Ti(III)/(IV) precursors in order to form mixed oxides. The best results were achieved with Fe (III) salts and Ti (IV) precursors.

The combination of Fe(III) and Ti(IV) oxides was created according to two different synthetic pathways. First, a crystallite structure involving both metal ions was obtained from the sol-gel reaction of the corresponding salts in aqueous solution. Alternatively, the composites were obtained through the grinding of a mixture of pure nanoparticles of TiO₂, Fe₂TiO₅, α-Fe₂O₃ and γ-Fe₂O₃. The initial particles were synthesized through a microwave assisted process described below.

TiO₂ anatase. TiO₂ particles were obtained through the hydrothermal treatment of an aqueous TiCl₄ solution with a pH set to 6 according to a previously described method.³⁴ A stock solution with Ti(IV) ion concentration of 1.0 mol·L⁻¹ was prepared by dilution of TiCl₄ in HCl (3 mol·L⁻¹) solution. Then, 100 mL of the stock solution were introduced in 200 mL of Milli-Q water, the pH was set to 6 by addition of sodium hydroxide solution ([NaOH] = 3 mol·L⁻¹) and the sample volume was increased to 500 mL with Milli-Q water to obtain a Ti(IV) ion concentration of 0.2 mol·L⁻¹ in the reacting medium. A white sol immediately appeared; aliquots of this suspension were transferred in Teflon cups and heated in autoclaves for 1 h at 200 °C using a microwave oven (Synthos3000, Anton Paar). The resulting precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed twice with water, then with nitric acid ([HNO₃] = 3 mol·L⁻¹) and at least one additional time with water. The obtained powders were dried under dry air flow overnight.

Fe doped TiO₂ anatase. The former protocol was slightly modified to introduce various amounts of Fe (III) in the final product. The total metal ion concentration was maintained at 0.2 mol·L⁻¹ and the targeted Fe(III) atomic ratio was varied between 5 and 66 %. The appropriate amount of the Ti(IV) stock solution and solid ferric nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O) were introduced in 200 mL of Milli-Q water to form a clear yellow solution. Sodium hydroxide solution ([NaOH] = 3 mol·L⁻¹) was then added to adjust the pH to 2-6 and the sample volume was increased to 500 mL with Milli-Q water. A brownish precipitate is obtained, hydrothermally treated in the microwave oven and washed the same way as the pure TiO₂ sample. The samples obtained with a pH of the reacting medium of 6 are denoted by acronyms indicating the added iron content in at.%, for example TiO₂-10%Fe. For reacting media with other pH values, this is indicated at the end of the sample name.

Fe₂TiO₅. Fe₂TiO₅ nanoparticles used for the mixing were obtained according to the former protocol with a well-defined set of parameters. The metal precursors were introduced with a relative Ti:Fe atomic ratio of 1:1 and the pH of the reactive medium was fixed at 6 with NaOH.

α-Fe₂O₃ Hematite. The preparation protocol is similar to that of iron doped titania particles, except that Fe(NO₃)₃ is the only metal ion source used and the pH of the reacting medium was not fixed with NaOH solution. The hydrolysis of the ferric precursor acidified the aqueous solution to a pH of around 2. A reddish precipitate was obtained.

γ-Fe₂O₃ Maghemite. The particles were synthesized according to an earlier published method using microwave assisted heating in order to reduce the aging time.³⁵ First, 50 mL of a 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ ferrous solution prepared from FeSO₄·7H₂O and 100 mL of a 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ ferric solution prepared from FeCl₃·6H₂O were mixed together. Then, the pH of the reacting medium was maintained at 12 by adding small amounts of a NaOH solution. The obtained colloidal

solution was heated in a microwave oven for 25 min at 150 °C. Finally, the dark brown precipitate was washed twice with water and dried under air flow.

2.1.2 Nanoparticle Characterizations

UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) of the samples were recorded on a Varian-Cary 5000 spectrometer with integrating sphere from 300 to 800 nm. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed with a Brücker D8 X-ray diffractometer operating in the Bragg-Brentano reflection mode using Cu K α radiation. The data were collected in the 20 – 80° 2 θ range with 0.02° steps and a counting time of 5 s per step. Transmission electron micrographs (TEMs) were obtained using a Tecnai spirit G2 apparatus operating at 120 kV. Samples were prepared by evaporating dilute suspensions in ethanol onto carbon-coated grids. Relative iron and titanium atomic composition of the samples was determined with a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi S-3400N) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Oxford Instruments - X-max).

Total scattering data were collected at the 11-ID-B beamline at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, using high energy X-rays ($\lambda = 0.2128 \text{ \AA}$) to high values of momentum transfer $Q_{\text{max}} = 22 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$.^{36, 37} One-dimensional diffraction data were obtained by integrating the raw 2D total scattering data in Fit2D.³⁸ Pair distribution functions (PDFs) $G(r)$ were extracted from the background and Compton scattering corrected data following Fourier transform within PDFgetX2.³⁹ The PDFs were subsequently modeled using PDFgui.⁴⁰

2.1.3 Film preparation

As photoanode, we synthesized 30 μm mesoporous films (*cf.* Figure SI-1) by tape casting. 37 wt.% of the inorganic powders was dispersed in ethanol with 5 wt.% of ethylene glycol and 0.5 wt.% of Triton X. The ethanol suspensions were mixed in an ultrasonic bath for ½ h before

deposition. The ethanol suspensions were then deposited directly onto a fluoride tin oxide (FTO) substrate to yield homogeneous thick films. The substrates used are TEC-15 FTO-coated glass (15 Ω /sq, Asahi, Co). After the deposition, the thick-films were annealed for 3 min. at 300 °C in air to decompose the organic material and to further improve the particle-particle contact.

2.1.4 Photo-electrochemical characterizations

PEC characterization was carried out in an aqueous solution 1 mol·L⁻¹ NaOH (pH = 14). The solution was purged with nitrogen prior to and during the measurements to remove any dissolved oxygen. The working area of the electrodes exposed to the electrolyte was 28.5 mm² for all samples. The potential of the working electrode was controlled by potentiostat (Modulab, Ametek). In three-electrode measurements, a Pt wire and an Ag/AgCl electrode (Asashi, saturated AgCl solution) were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed with a scan rate of 20 mV·s⁻¹. Light photocurrent measurements were performed using the visible range of a 300 W Xe-Lamp (Oriel and a UV filter with a 400 nm wavelength cutoff) providing a power density of 300 mW·cm⁻² at the surface of the electrode. Electrical contact to the sample was made using a copper tape. For the cyclic voltammograms represented below, the potentials are referenced to RHE according to the following equation:

$$E \text{ (V/RHE)} = E \text{ (V/Ag/AgCl)} + E^{\circ}_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.059 \text{ pH.} \quad (1)$$

2.2 Computational details

2.2.1 Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculations

Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed using the CRYSTAL14 code, due to its efficiency when doing calculations with hybrid functionals using Gaussian Type Orbitals.^{41, 42} All optimized structures were characterized as minima on the potential energy

surface by vibrational analysis. The all-electron 86-411G(2d) basis sets for Fe⁴³ and Ti⁴⁴ and an 8-41G(d) basis set for O were used.⁴⁴ All calculations, except for electronic structure calculations, were performed using the hybrid PBE0 functional,⁴⁵ using an $8 \times 8 \times 4$ K-point mesh⁴⁶ for Fe₂TiO₅ and a $12 \times 12 \times 12$ mesh for α -Fe₂O₃. Electronic structure calculations were performed with a $10 \times 10 \times 6$ mesh for Fe₂TiO₅ and a $24 \times 24 \times 24$ mesh for α -Fe₂O₃ and using the range-separated HSE06 functional^{47, 48} with $\omega = 0.11 \text{ a}_0^{-1}$,⁴⁹ based on its positive comparison in terms of speed and accuracy with other hybrid functionals found here and reported earlier.⁵⁰⁻⁵² (HSE06 reduces to PBE0 when setting ω to zero.) The dielectric constant ϵ_r is the sum of a vibrational contribution ϵ_{vib} and an optical contribution ϵ_∞ . The first term ϵ_{vib} was computed invoking the harmonic approximation to the lattice potential, with infrared intensities calculated using the Berry phase method.⁵³ The second term was computed using the Coupled-Perturbed Kohn-Sham (CPKS, although sometimes the acronym CPHF is used) approach.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ The individual terms were calculated by taking the geometric mean of the diagonal elements of the (diagonalized) dielectric tensors.⁵⁷

2.2.2 Bulk properties calculations

The bulk properties computed to understand the semiconductors' capacities for photocatalytic reactions are the bandgap (noted E_g), the dielectric constant (noted ϵ_r), the effective masses (noted m^*) and the exciton binding energy (noted E_b). The way to compute all these properties were presented in the following article⁵² and used to compute properties of several families of semiconductors including oxides, sulfides, nitrides and halides. It can just be reminded that first the total dielectric constant (ϵ_r) is the sum of the electronic (ϵ_∞) and the vibrational contribution (ϵ_{vib}) and then the exciton binding energy is computed in the framework of the Wannier model using the average values of m^* and ϵ_r . This model is generally well adapted for delocalized

excitons (large dielectric constant and low effective masses), which is usually the case for inorganic semiconductors.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Bulk properties of the semiconductors

The materials used for the work presented in this manuscript fulfill several requirements of the bulk semiconductors properties presented in the introduction. This verification has been performed using the DFT calculations presented here.

Geometry optimizations. α -Fe₂O₃ (crystallographic cell in Figure 1a) was optimized in the $R\bar{3}c$ geometry as given by Adelstein *et al.*,⁵⁸ using the conventional Anti-Ferromagnetic (AFM) spin configuration, reducing the symmetry to $R\bar{3}$.⁵⁹ TiO₂ (crystallographic cell in Figure 1b) was optimized in the anatase structure at the same level of theory as in a previous publication.⁵² For Fe₂TiO₅ (space group: *Ccmm*), the orthorhombic pseudo-brookite structure with ordered distribution of Fe was chosen and several spin isomers were investigated, since the exact spin state of the Fe(III) atoms is unknown. Figure 1c presents the structure of the most stable spin state found for Fe₂TiO₅. This is an anti-ferromagnetic spin state (AFM). The calculations revealed that several spin states (all AFM) are close in energy (see supporting information for more details). The ferromagnetic structure was significantly less stable (~1.1 eV/unit cell) than the AFM ones. The cell parameters of all the semiconductors are presented in Table 1. The larger discrepancy on a cell parameter between DFT and experiment is around 1.05%, which is the standard discrepancy at this level of theory.

	α -Fe ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	Fe ₂ TiO ₅
	$a / \text{\AA}$ $b / \text{\AA}$	$a / \text{\AA}$ $b / \text{\AA}$	$a / \text{\AA}$ $b / \text{\AA}$ $c / \text{\AA}$

Expt'l	5.04	13.77	3.78	9.51	9.79	3.73	9.98
Calc'd	5.04	13.70	3.77	9.61	9.86	3.75	9.90

Table 1. Experimental and computed cell parameters of α -Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, and Fe₂TiO₅^{52, 60, 61}




Figure 1: Representation of the conventional crystallographic cells of (a) α -Fe₂O₃, (b) TiO₂, and (c) Fe₂TiO₅. Red, grey, orange and green atoms correspond to O, Ti, Fe (spin up) and Fe (spin down) atoms respectively.

Electronic properties. The density of states (DOS) of the compounds are presented on Figure 2. It appears that the valence bands is largely made up of the O atoms' orbitals while the conduction bands originate from Fe orbitals for α -Fe₂O₃ and Fe₂TiO₅ and from Ti atoms for TiO₂. All relevant computed properties for photocatalysis are presented in Table 2. While the bandgap computed for TiO₂ is relatively close to the experimental one, the E_g computed for Fe-containing semiconductors is overestimated.⁶² Unfortunately, this is the expected behavior when hybrid functionals are applied to materials having partially filled d-orbitals. A GGA+U approach⁶³ could solve this problem, but GGA functionals are unreliable for the calculation of other

properties explaining the choice for hybrid functionals as a compromise. About the effective masses, for α -Fe₂O₃, values for m_e of ~ 3.5 were found, whereas for m_h values of ~ 1 were found, consistent with findings in the literature^{64, 65} that attribute the heavy electron mass to the strong localization of the VB on O,¹⁰ confirming our approach. The anisotropy of the charge carrier mobilities in α -Fe₂O₃ can be explained by Hund's rule,¹⁰ that hinders charge carrier mobility in the [001] direction, where the spins are antiferromagnetically coupled. The effective mass in TiO₂ is much lower, especially for the electrons because of a better delocalization in the conduction band. Fe₂TiO₅ has an intermediate behavior between TiO₂ and α -Fe₂O₃.




Figure 2. Computed DOS projected on the atoms of (a) α -Fe₂O₃, (b) TiO₂, and (c) Fe₂TiO₅. The red, grey and blue colors correspond to the projection on O, Ti and Fe atoms respectively. For magnetic compounds, only the DOS of one spin is presented since both spins are symmetric.

Excitonic properties. The dielectric constants are relatively high, mainly because of the vibrational contribution, stemming from the materials' ionicity. As for the effective mass, the dielectric constant of Fe₂TiO₅ is intermediate between the ones of α -Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂. Combining

the effective masses and the dielectric constants, the exciton binding energy is very different between the three materials. For α -Fe₂O₃, E_b is larger than room thermal energy meaning that the exciton is relatively difficult to dissociate while for the two other compounds, the exciton should dissociate easily at room temperature.

	α -Fe ₂ O ₃	TiO ₂	Fe ₂ TiO ₅
E_g (eV)	3.4	3.4	3.2
$m_{e\perp,\parallel}$ (m_e)	3.30, 3.54	0.69, 0.06	0.98, 0.96
$m_{h\perp,\parallel}$ (m_e)	1.01, 1.19	1.13, 0.15	1.36, 1.59
ϵ_r { ϵ_∞ , ϵ_{vib} }	16.5 {5.7, 10.8}	32.0 {5.8, 26.2}	21.2 {6.3, 14.8}
E_b (meV)	41	3	18

Table 2: DFT computed electronic properties α -Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, and Fe₂TiO₅.

These calculations and the experimental data available clearly highlight their interest for this work. The strength of TiO₂ is in its very good electronic properties, except for the bandgap. Contrary to TiO₂, hematite has a very adapted bandgap but is unadapted in terms of the other electronic properties. Finally, Fe₂TiO₅ has intermediate properties, inheriting the well-adapted bandgap from α -Fe₂O₃ and the good electronic properties from TiO₂. For these reasons, it is interesting to study experimentally the PEC properties of each material individually as well as in combination with the others.

3.2 Experimental Results

3.2.1 Nanoparticle characterizations

Aqueous solution chemistry of Ti(IV) and Fe(III) metal ions is now rather well understood when studied separately.⁶⁶⁻⁷³ Much less is known about the ternary Ti-Fe-O diagram when

dealing with nanoparticle synthesis in water.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶ In the 2-6 pH range, both metal ions first form an amorphous or very poorly organized hydroxide precipitate. Consequently, we used a subsequent microwave assisted hydrothermal treatment to enable the oxide crystallization. pH values strongly impact the nature of the stabilized phase. Particularly, low pH yields phase mixtures containing brookite and anatase polymorphs (*cf.* Table SI-1). In the following, we focused on samples prepared at pH 6 and monitored the structural dependence on the iron content. The obtained crystallized compounds were analyzed by XRD analysis. The evolution of the XRD patterns as a function of the Fe content is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: X-Ray diffraction patterns of pure phase TiO_2 anatase $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, and $\text{TiO}_2\text{-}x\%\text{Fe}$ composites.

X-ray diffraction analysis shows a strong structural dependence on the iron content as well as broad lines indicating the formation of nanosized particles. The TiO_2 rutile structure was observed in none of the experiments, which is in good agreement with published results.⁷⁷ For low iron contents, i.e. $< 10\%$, only TiO_2 anatase could be detected as crystalline phase.

Increasing the Fe ratio renders the phase identification more complex owing to numerous phases occurring in the Ti-Fe-O system. Moreover, iron doping has shown to favor the brookite TiO₂ polymorph at the expense of the anatase.^{22, 26} For %Fe higher than 10, XRD patterns strongly evolve, indicating a phase transition which becomes more obvious in TiO₂-33%Fe. A peak at around 18° (2θ) appears which can be assigned to orthorhombic Fe₂TiO₅ which has a pseudo-brookite structure and eventually to a monoclinic polytype Fe₂TiO₅.^{78, 79} Nevertheless, for the latter, the main line is absent from the XRD pattern. While increasing the Fe ratio to 50% seems to enable the preparation of a single phase of orthorhombic Fe₂TiO₅, the absence of the monoclinic variety cannot be completely ruled out. Moreover, pure Fe₂TiO₅ is expected in TiO₂-66%Fe only. However, with that iron ratio, hematite is clearly detected by XRD.

Structural analyses were further conducted using synchrotron X-ray ($\lambda = 0.2128 \text{ \AA}$) scattering measurements from which we obtained the pair distribution function (PDF). PDFs are indeed particularly well adapted to study nanostructured materials as they provide a histogram of all the atom-atom (pair) distances within the sample independently of its crystalline state.⁸⁰

The PDF of TiO₂-50%Fe was fitted using different structural models of Fe₂TiO₅ (orthorhombic pseudo-brookite with random Fe distribution in the metal sites⁶¹ or ordered monoclinic structure⁷⁸). The fitting using solely the pseudo-brookite type structure largely failed in reproducing the PDF data. A good fit to the PDF data was only obtained using the two polymorphs of Fe₂TiO₅. The goodness of the fit could be further increased to $R_w = 21.6 \%$ [1-20 Å] by the addition of a third minor phase consisting of TiO₂ anatase (Figure 4). The contributions of the orthorhombic and monoclinic phases of Fe₂TiO₅ to the PDF data (Figure 4) clearly indicate the co-existence of both phases.




Figure 4. PDF refinement of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-50\%Fe}$ ($R_w = 21.6\%$). Individual PDF contributions of the pseudo-brookite and ordered phase of Fe_2TiO_5 (black curves) extracted from the refinement.

The structural parameters of Fe_2TiO_5 phases obtained by PDF refinement and their polyhedron representations are presented in Table 3 and Figure SI-2a respectively. The starting structural models of both Fe_2TiO_5 polytypes were based on structures resolved by Grey and Drofenik for the orthorhombic and monoclinic phases, respectively.^{78, 79} The atomic occupancy was fixed to initial values due to the similar X-ray scattering intensity of iron and titanium atoms. The orthorhombic structure is composed of randomly distributed TiO_6 and FeO_6 octahedra featuring an edge-sharing configuration. In the monoclinic phase, iron and titanium occupy the 8f and 4a Wyckoff sites, respectively, forming a network with both edge and corner-sharing octahedra. While unit cell parameters of the pseudo-brookite match those of literature data (within the

estimated standard deviation), those of the monoclinic structure significantly deviate from the reference sample (*cf.* values in italics in Table 3) obtained by the high-temperature solution method as reported by Drogenik.⁷⁹ The resulting changes in unit cell parameters explained the difficulty to detect this phase by conventional XRD since the most intense reflections were found to be superposed on the pseudo-brookite reflections. Moreover, the origin of the unit cell parameters variation might be a size effect and/or non-stoichiometry. Quantitative phase analysis deduced from PDF refinement indicates a total occurrence of 61-62% of Fe in contrary to the 50% used in the synthesis protocol, which indicates that (i) some titanium ions did not precipitate and that (ii) samples exhibit non-stoichiometric features.

<i>Lattice system</i>	<i>Orthorhombic</i>	<i>Monoclinic</i>
Space group	<i>C c m m</i>	<i>C 2/c</i>
Unit cell parameters (Å)	9.77(1), 9.7933 3.744(4), 3.7299 9.94(1), 9.9757	9.67(1), <i>10.1010</i> 5.02(1), <i>5.0370</i> 7.17(1), <i>7.0240</i> $\beta = 110.782^\circ, 110.9^\circ$
Wyckoff site, atom, atomic coordinates, occupancy	4c, Fe (0.812(1),0,0.25), 0.667 4c, Ti (0.812(1),0,0.25), 0.333 8f, Fe (0.140(1), 0, 0.0639(8)), 0.667 8f, Ti (0.140(1), 0, 0.0639(8)), 0.333 4c, O (0.248(4), 0, 0.25), 1 8f, O (0.056(3), 0, 0.887(3)), 1 8f, O (0.307(2), 0, 0.931(4)), 1	8f, Fe(0.385(2), -0.004(6), 0.267(2)), 1 4a, Ti(0,0,0), 1 8f, O(0.193(5),0.18(1), 0.160(8)), 1 8f, O(0.428(4), 0.15(1), 0.568(6)), 1 4e, O(0, 0.17(1), 0.75), 1

Table 3. Structural parameters of Fe₂TiO₅ phases obtained by PDF analysis. Values in italics refer to reference literature data.

PDF refinements conducted on the TiO₂-10-to-66%Fe series indicate that both Fe₂TiO₅ polymorphs can be detected even at low Fe content, *i.e.* 10%, - both phases are present in almost equal proportions regardless of Fe content. Quantitative Fe content analysis (*cf.* Figure SI-2c) by

PDF refinement follows the nominal composition used during the synthesis. Slight deviations can be observed at high Fe content which, as mentioned above, can be due to non-stoichiometry. However, the chemical composition measurements determined with Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) and EDX on the different samples yielded values very close to the initial ratio with an error below 1 at.%. A recent study has shown that the pseudo-brookite phase may have more Ti than the exact composition Fe_2TiO_5 , without significant conversion of ferric ions into ferrous ones.⁸¹ Indeed, the removal of Fe(III) that lower the 2 to 1 Fe/Ti stoichiometry can be compensated by oxygen defects. Mössbauer experiments reported in Figure SI-3 confirmed that only ferric ions are present in the material. More precisely, three sites are observed upon deconvolution of the spectrum that can be attributed to the 4c and 8f sites of the orthorhombic structure^{26, 82} and to the 8f site of the monoclinic one, in good agreement with PDF data.⁷⁹

The diffractograms of phase pure TiO_2 anatase, $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (hematite) and $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (maghemite) are also reported in Figure 3 and all diffraction peaks could be indexed according to the corresponding reference cards (JCPDS 00-021-1272, 00-033-0664 and 01-039-1346 respectively). The $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ maghemite diffractogram resembles that of the $\gamma\text{-Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ magnetite. However, the brown color of the sample is in disagreement with a mixed iron oxide and all metal atoms are fully oxidized.

The presence of amorphous or very small crystalline nanoparticles was also studied through TEM. Selected micrographs corresponding to the phase pure samples and the $\text{TiO}_2\text{-x}\%\text{Fe}$ series are reported in Figure 5. Micrographs of samples obtained at pH other than 6 are reported in Figure SI-4. The first overview of the TEM images confirms that the particle size is about 20 nm

except for hematite nanoparticle size that is closer to 100 nm. More precisely, the anatase particles with low iron content exhibit two slightly different morphologies: square bipyramids and small rods as already described in a previous article.⁸³ With increasing iron content, the TiO₂ small rods population becomes predominant and the rods' aspect ratio also increases. HRTEM and dark field images confirmed that there is almost no amorphous phase up to 10 at.% Fe. At 16.5 and 33 at.% Fe (figure 5d and 5e), an amorphous or poorly crystalline phase is observed around crystalline anatase nanoparticles. In those two micrographs it is difficult to distinguish pseudo-brookite nanoparticles from anatase ones. The TiO₂-50%Fe sample (figure 5f) seems to present two different nanoparticles morphologies with rods and spheres. However, lattice fringes analyses on HRTEM images reported in Figure SI-5 indicate that the two observed projections may correspond to the same 3D object: a thick disk with the small dimension being parallel to the (010) plane. The mean particle thickness is 10 nm and the mean diameter ~30 nm. Another explanation for the two morphologies may be the presence of two different Fe₂TiO₅ phases as observed in PDF analysis. No significant amorphous domains or small crystalline anatase nanoparticles could be detected on TEM micrographs. However, this does not imply that they are necessarily absent in the whole sample as they are detected in the PDF study. At even higher iron content (TiO₂-66%Fe in Figure 5g) the pseudo-brookite nano-disks are thinner and larger. In addition, small quantities of large hematite rhombohedra with a length of about 300 nm can be observed (see Figure SI-6). The hematite nanoparticles synthesized as pure phase do not have that rhombohedral shape, but rather a round shape with sizes ranging from 50 to 300 nm. The maghemite nanoparticles also display a round shape without a clearly exposed family of facets and the particle size distribution is rather monodisperse at 10 nm in diameter.




Figure 5: Transmission electron micrographs of a) pure TiO_2 , b) TiO_2 -5%Fe, c) TiO_2 -10%Fe, d) TiO_2 -16,5%Fe, e) TiO_2 -33%Fe, f) TiO_2 -50%Fe, g) TiO_2 -66%Fe, g) α - Fe_2O_3 and h) γ - Fe_2O_3 . All micrographs present the same scale bar of 20 nm.

3.1.2 Electrochemical characterization

Pure nanoparticles Fe-doped TiO_2 anatase, Fe_2TiO_5 pseudo-brookite and a mechanical mixture of TiO_2 , and/or Fe_2O_3 and/or Fe_2TiO_5 were deposited onto FTO substrates to fabricate photoelectrodes. The synthesis procedure was described in section 2.1.3. and in Figure SI-1. An example of the microstructure created for these photoelectrodes is reported in Figure SI-1. The photoelectrodes are composed of nanoparticles delineating a mesoporous network. The thickness

determined by cross-section SEM analyses is $\sim 30 \mu\text{m}$. The electrochemical performances of the as-synthesized photoelectrodes of TiO_2 -based nanoparticles with various iron contents are studied at $\text{pH} = 14$ in $1 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ of NaOH , conditions favorable for water oxidation. First, we compare the electroactivity to water oxidation of TiO_2 -based photoelectrodes (TiO_2 - $x\%$ Fe with $x = 2, 10$ and 33), as shown in Figure 6. The cyclic voltammogram shows that TiO_2 -2%Fe has the lowest onset potential ($V_{\text{on}} = \sim 0.85 \text{ V vs. RHE}$), while for TiO_2 -33%Fe a negligible current is flowing through the cell up to an applied potential of 1.6 V vs RHE . The potential for the water oxidation reaction is at 1.23 V versus RHE, which means overpotentials of 0.5 V and 0.7 V at $200 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ for TiO_2 -2%Fe and TiO_2 -10%Fe respectively are required. Doping TiO_2 with iron increases the photocurrent mainly at low potential, as can be seen in Figure 6. This observation is consistent with the explanation that the photocurrent is limited by trap states present at the electrode/electrolyte interface.⁸⁴ For unmodified TiO_2 , electrons in the CB may be injected into the surface states at low potentials while they are more strongly driven away from the surface at higher applied potentials, disabling the electrons from reaching the surface states. Doping TiO_2 with iron narrows the band gap, which should favor the photocurrent density (see Figure SI-7a). For 10% and more specifically 2% of Fe doping in TiO_2 anatase structure it seems that additional discrete energy levels were added in the band gap. For higher concentration it is more like a combination of different contributions presenting different bandgap. All in all, the current density for TiO_2 -2%Fe is ~ 200 times higher than the one for TiO_2 , TiO_2 -10%Fe and TiO_2 -33%Fe at 1 V vs. RHE , where 1 V is close to a typical operating voltage when using this anode in conjunction with a single junction amorphous silicon solar cell. The improved JV characteristic is a sign that Fe doping TiO_2 is beneficial since its presence enhances the oxidative power of the photoelectrodes.




Figure 6. J/V curve for TiO_2 -2%Fe (blue), TiO_2 -10%Fe (green) and TiO_2 -33%Fe (black and enlarged in the insert). Dark curves are reported and correspond to dotted lines. Measurements are performed at $20 \text{ mV} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and 1 M NaOH, pH = 14.

A more detailed look at the voltamogram at low potentials for TiO_2 -33%Fe reveals that the photocurrent increased starting at an onset potential at 0.75 V vs. RHE and that the increase of the photocurrent is more pronounced at higher potential, i.e. 1.6 V vs. RHE. This behavior can be linked to the fact that at low applied potentials (close to flat band potential), a dramatic electron/hole pair recombination is observed, *i.e.*, the photogenerated holes have trouble to reach the semiconductor/electrolyte interface. In our photoelectrodes, sources of recombination are probably the numerous grain boundaries present in the nanostructure. At more positive potentials, the electric field created favors the electron/hole pairs separation, resulting in an increase of the photocurrent.

At this point we have compared our best monophasic photoanode TiO_2 -2%Fe with its equivalent where Ti and Fe have been precipitated as oxides separately (TiO_2 anatase and Fe_2O_3 hematite) and combine afterwards into a nanocomposite electrode that present the same Fe:Ti atomic ratio of 2:98 (the electrode preparation is detailed in figure SI-1). The diffuse reflectance spectra of the 2% doped TiO_2 and of the composite with the same metallic composition are reported in Figure SI-7b and the two JV curves are reported in Figure SI-8. The light absorption behavior of the composite significantly differs from that of doped TiO_2 for it looks like the superimposition of the anatase and hematite spectra. This means that both oxides absorb light depending on their bandgap without synergetic effect in the heterostructure while Fe doping seems to improve visible light absorbance of TiO_2 anatase. The JV curves are completely different too as a significant photocurrent is observed on TiO_2 -2%Fe electrode at a potential of 1 V vs. RHE while its starts to be significant only at 1.7 V vs. RHE in the 98% TiO_2 -2% α - Fe_2O_3 electrode. With higher current density slope at higher voltage the photocurrent is still lower in the composite at 1.8 V vs. RHE with 220 C vs. 840 $\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ in Fe doped anatase. This definitively points out that, at that Fe:Ti ratio, the doping into a well crystallized structure gives better PEC properties than heterostructured system. Oppositely, the TiO_2 -50%Fe sample is almost completely made of well crystalline Fe_2TiO_5 structures and is not PEC active. Could it be possible to combine it with other oxides to recover PEC properties ?

Then, the light driven water oxidation by nanocomposite electrodes with Fe_2TiO_5 and single oxide, either TiO_2 or Fe_2O_3 , was monitored. To do so, nanocomposite electrodes with various compositions: x- Fe_2TiO_5 /y- TiO_2 or x- Fe_2TiO_5 /y- Fe_2O_3 were fabricated; x and y represent the weight percentage (%) of Fe_2TiO_5 and pure oxides, respectively. For simplicity, Figure 7 summarizes the current density (expressed in $\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$) measured at 1.8 V vs. RHE for the

nanocomposite electrodes with various compositions. We monitored the photoactivity at this potential to ensure that all the photoanodes were photo(electro)chemically active. The JV curves of pure samples are reported in Figure SI-9.




Figure 7. Photocurrents measured at 1.8 V vs. RHE and NaOH, pH = 14 for the nanocomposite photoelectrodes with various compositions. The photocurrents are given in $\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ and the area of the circle is proportional to that value.

Several observations can be made: i) Fe_2TiO_5 needs to be combined with either TiO_2 or Fe_2O_3 to achieve a high photocurrent; ii) the composite with Fe_2TiO_5 and Fe_2O_3 exhibits a high photocurrent for a wide range of compositions, iii) the best photocurrent for these nanocomposite electrodes is achieved for 25% Fe_2TiO_5 and 75% TiO_2 .

The poor electrochemical activity as well as the high onset potential (not shown here) of nanocomposite photoelectrodes with TiO_2 and Fe_2O_3 is consistent with findings in the literature and is due to the poor separation of holes at the semi-conductor electrolyte interface that certainly increased back electron recombination at low bias voltage.⁸⁵

However, when the nanocomposite electrodes contain pseudo-brookite ($\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ or $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5/\text{TiO}_2$) better charge separation is achieved as photocurrents measured are higher.

For $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ nanocomposites, the heterojunction has a large effect on the charge separation process as better photocurrents are achieved for a wide range of compositions. Since both the valence and the conduction band extrema are higher in Fe_2TiO_5 than in Fe_2O_3 (as shown in figure 8), a straddling gap is created at the $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ n-n heterojunction. However, the photocurrent onset is still low, indicating that the amounts of long-lived surface holes are not sufficient at low bias voltage. Electron/hole recombination should then occur, probably due to the existence of numerous grain boundaries in these thick electrodes acting as sources of recombination sites. Indeed, these grain boundaries contain a large amount of defects.




Figure 8. Charge generation, recombination, and transfer in the nanocomposite photoelectrodes studied a) $\text{TiO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$; b) $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3/\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5$ and c) $\text{TiO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5$. The relative VB and CB edge positions for the two oxides involved in an heterojunction is indicative of the charge separation and the place where OER may proceed. Electrons' transfer to FTO layer after charge separation is not described here.

In $\text{TiO}_2/\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5$ nanocomposites, the photocurrents depend on the composition of the electrode. Among the various nanocomposite electrodes, the one containing a high TiO_2 content (the best tested composition corresponds to 25/75 $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5/\text{TiO}_2$) exhibits i) the highest

photocurrent and ii) the lowest onset potential (not shown here). This is an indication that the pseudo-brookite/TiO₂ heterojunction has a major impact on the charge separation process. Compared to TiO₂/Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite photoelectrodes, Fe₂TiO₅ favors the separation of holes at the semiconductor-electrolyte interface and greatly limits the e⁻/h⁺ recombination at low bias voltages. This is supported by recent results on hematite-titania nanocomposite photoanodes where the charge dynamics of TiO₂-α-Fe₂O₃ nanocomposite photoanodes were measured using transient absorption spectroscopy.⁸⁶ DFT modeling results have shown that pseudo-brookite exhibits slightly more promising electronic properties than hematite, except for hole mobility, for which reason a good catalytic efficiency is expected for Fe₂TiO₅. Consequently, the gain is rather in the efficiency of the pseudo-brookite/TiO₂ heterojunction for charge separation than the electrocatalytic activity of Fe₂TiO₅. Compared to Fe₂O₃/Fe₂TiO₅ nanocomposite photoelectrodes, TiO₂ limits the fast charge carrier recombination due to both i) the high absorption coefficient of TiO₂ compared to Fe₂O₃ and ii) the higher electron mobility in TiO₂ compared to Fe₂O₃.

The impact of the structure of the iron oxide on the photocurrent has been explored. We performed equivalent studies as before, but hematite was replaced by maghemite. The interest of using maghemite instead of hematite is that maghemite contains cationic vacancies that can act as sites for electron trapping, limiting electron/hole recombination. The photocurrents measured on the various photoelectrodes are summarized and reported in Figure 7. We note that nanocomposite TiO₂/γ-Fe₂O₃ photoelectrodes exhibit higher photocurrents than TiO₂/α-Fe₂O₃. This is probably linked to both better electron/hole separation in the photoelectrodes and holes with a longer life-time due to the presence of vacancies in γ-Fe₂O₃. All of these facilitate the reaction with water.

In a more general comparison of the selected pure phase and the corresponding nanocomposites based on experimental and computational results, it is now possible to better explain their relative PEC activity. First pure TiO_2 , that has the best photon conversion efficiency suffers from a lack of catalytically active surface sites that can exploit trapped holes before their recombination. In accontrary, pure iron oxides α - and γ - Fe_2O_3 exhibit more active catalytic sites but also exhibit a lower photon conversion yield and charge mobility. The combination of TiO_2 and Fe_2O_3 may be beneficial in nano-heterostructures, except if the solid-solid interface favors charge recombination. Moreover, the relative amount of each phase, their structure and size should be optimized for significant PEC activity.

The ordered orthorhombic Fe_2TiO_5 structure should exhibit fair PEC activity – between those of TiO_2 and Fe_2O_3 – according to the calculation of its electronic and structural properties. Unfortunately, our synthetic approach did not allow to produce phase pure orthorhombic Fe_2TiO_5 , as a secondary monoclinic phase was detected with PDF analyses. Moreover, the iron occupancy in the pseudo-brookite structure is not fixed as proposed in the model but randomly distributed in the different metallic sites. Finally, the Fe:Ti chemical composition is slightly less than 2:1, implying oxygen defects in the structure that were not taken into account in the DFT calculations. These different points intuitively explain why the tested materials are inactive in PEC: with two phases and a random distribution of Fe atoms the Fe_2TiO_5 composite is much too disordered to allow good photon conversion and charge carrier mobility. The JV curve of Fe_2TiO_5 is compared in Figure SI-10 to that of a brookite sample prepared according to a procedure described in the literature.⁸⁷ It confirms that the brookite structure of TiO_2 presents weak photocurrents ($20 \mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ at 1.8 V vs. RHE) and only at high bias voltage. However, it is still better than Fe_2TiO_5 sample which is still inactive at such bias voltage. In accontrary, its

electrocatalytic activity may be enhanced due to the oxygen defects distorting the geometry of neighboring iron sites. Consequently the combination of small quantities of Fe₂TiO₅ with a good photoharvester such as TiO₂ gave the best results among heterostructured systems.

Finally, this study demonstrates that tuning the electron/hole recombination processes in the bulk electrodes, but also at the electrode/electrolyte interfaces, favors the photoactivity. This was achieved by the design of the nanocomposite photoelectrodes made of materials with different properties. However, the photocurrent achieved as well as the onset potential are lower than that those for single Fe-doped photoelectrodes (onset potential is 0.8 V vs. RHE and at 1.2 V/RHE, the photocurrent is about 412 $\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ and at 1.8 V/RHE is 735 $\mu\text{A}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$). This is an indication that the nanocomposite electrodes probably contain a lot of defects on the grain boundaries that act as recombination traps.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we explored the photoelectrochemical performances of different Fe-Ti oxide electrodes for water oxidation. We proposed to use *chimie douce* coupled with micro-wave stimulus to achieve pure, mono-dispersed and crystalline nanoparticles with different levels of Fe. These nanoparticles have been characterized by various techniques including X-ray diffraction, PDF analysis, HR-TEM and Mössbauer spectroscopy to monitor their structure, chemical composition and microstructure. Experimental conditions have been found and reported to achieve single phase TiO₂ anatase doped with various amounts of Fe(III) up to 10 at.%, and pure α -Fe₂O₃ hematite or γ -Fe₂O₃ maghemite. Most notably, two polymorphs of Fe₂TiO₅ have been obtained in gentle synthesis conditions. The photoelectrochemical performances of pure Fe-doped TiO₂ electrodes and nanocomposite electrodes made of Fe₂O₃

or/and Fe_2TiO_5 or/and TiO_2 have been evaluated. We found that the electrocatalytic activity is strongly dependent on the nature of the hetero-junction and the best photocurrents are achieved for nanocomposite $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiO}_5/\text{TiO}_2$ photoanodes with high TiO_2 weight content (25/75). This increase in electrochemical performance is linked to the high absorption coefficient of TiO_2 and its good electron mobility and to the higher catalytic efficiency of Fe_2TiO_5 compared to $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$. This highlights the interest of using heterostructure to cope with poor charge carrier dynamics. However, the highest photocurrent is not achieved with heterostructured photoelectrodes but with Fe-doped TiO_2 systems at a low doping content of $\text{Fe} = 2$ atom%. This demonstrates the necessity to engineer finely materials at the nanoscale to achieve both good charge carrier dynamics and high performance surface catalysis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Additional figures, including Figure SI-1: Photoanode preparation and FEG-SEM image of the cross-section of nanocomposite electrodes; Table SI-1: qualitative structure analyses of XRD patterns of Fe-Ti composites prepared at different pH; Figure SI-2: details on PDF analyses at different Fe rates and on the orthorhombic and monoclinic Fe_2TiO_5 unit cell representation; Figure SI-3: Mössbauer spectrum of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-50\%Fe}$ and its deconvolution with increasing number of Fe^{3+} sites; Figure SI-4: TEM images of selected $\text{TiO}_2\text{-x\%Fe-pHy}$ samples; Figure SI-5: HRTEM images of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-50\%Fe}$ sample; Figure SI-6: TEM image of $\text{TiO}_2\text{-66\%Fe}$ sample; Figure SI-7: Diffuse reflectance spectra of different $\text{TiO}_2\text{-x\%Fe}$ samples showing bandgap evolution as function of iron doping. Figure SI-8 to Figure SI-10 JV curves of different pure and composite electrodes. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Olivier Durupthy

Telephone: +33144276306, Fax: +33144271504, E-mail: olivier.durupthy@upmc.fr

Present Addresses

†P.Sautet is now at Department of Chemical and Biomolecular engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, United States.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. ‡These authors contributed equally. (match statement to author names with a symbol)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

SP, CLR and OD thank MATISSE for the financial support of LD and TOTAL for the financial support of SP. STM and TLB gratefully acknowledge the computational resources provided by *l'Institut du Développement et des Ressources en Informatique Scientifique* (IDRIS, under project x2015080609) of the *Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique* (CNRS) and the Pôle Scientifique de Modélisation Numérique (PSMN) of *École Normale Supérieure de*

Lyon. The work done at the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

ABBREVIATIONS

AFM Anti-Ferromagnetic

CB Conduction Band

CBM Conduction Band Minimum

CNRS *Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique*

DOS Density Of States

DFT Density Functional Theory

DRS Diffuse Reflectance Spectra

EDX Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy

FM Ferromagnetic

NP nanoparticle

PAW Projector Augmented-Wave

PDF Pair Distribution Function

PEC Photoelectrochemical

RHE Reversible Hydrogen Electrode

TEM Transmission Electron Microscopy

TiO₂-A TiO₂-anatase

VB Valence Band

VBM Valence Band Maximum

XRD X-Ray Diffraction

REFERENCES

1. Abdi, F. F.; Han, L.; Smets, A. H. M.; Zeman, M.; Dam, B.; van de Krol, R., Efficient Solar Water Splitting by Enhanced Charge Separation in a Bismuth Vanadate-Silicon Tandem Photoelectrode. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2195-2195.
2. Joya, K. S.; Joya, Y. F.; Ocakoglu, K.; Van de Krol, R., Water-Splitting Catalysis and Solar Fuel Devices: Artificial Leaves on the Move. *Angew. Chemie, Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10426-10437.
3. Abdi, F. F.; Firet, N.; van de Krol, R., Efficient Bivo₄ Thin Film Photoanodes Modified with Cobalt Phosphate Catalyst and W-Doping. *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 490-496.
4. Gratzel, M., Photoelectrochemical Cells. *Nature* **2001**, *414*, 338-344.
5. McEvoy, J. P.; Brudvig, G. W., Water-Splitting Chemistry of Photosystem Ii. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 4455-4483.
6. Nocera, D. G., Personalized Energy: The Home as a Solar Power Station and Solar Gas Station. *Chemsuschem* **2009**, *2*, 387-390.
7. Sivula, K., Metal Oxide Photoelectrodes for Solar Fuel Production, Surface Traps, and Catalysis. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, *4*, 1624-1633.
8. Hamann, T. W., Splitting Water with Rust: Hematite Photoelectrochemistry. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 7830-7834.
9. Gan, J.; Lu, X.; Tong, Y., Towards Highly Efficient Photoanodes: Boosting Sunlight-Driven Semiconductor Nanomaterials for Water Oxidation. *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 7142-7164.
10. Sivula, K.; Le Formal, F.; Graetzel, M., Solar Water Splitting: Progress Using Hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) Photoelectrodes. *Chemsuschem* **2011**, *4*, 432-449.
11. Barroso, M.; Pendlebury, S. R.; Cowan, A. J.; Durrant, J. R., Charge Carrier Trapping, Recombination and Transfer in Hematite Water Splitting Photoanodes. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2724-2734.
12. Ginley, D. S.; Butler, M. A., Photoelectrolysis of Water Using Iron Titanate Anodes. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1977**, *48*, 2019-2021.

13. Fujishima, A.; Honda, K., Electrochemical Photolysis of Water at a Semiconductor Electrode. *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37-38.
14. Cowan, A. J.; Tang, J.; Leng, W.; Durrant, J. R.; Klug, D. R., Water Splitting by Nanocrystalline TiO₂ in a Complete Photoelectrochemical Cell Exhibits Efficiencies Limited by Charge Recombination. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 4208-4214.
15. Dholam, R.; Patel, N.; Adami, M.; Miotello, A., Hydrogen Production by Photocatalytic Water-Splitting Using Cr- or Fe-Doped TiO₂ Composite Thin Films Photocatalyst. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2009**, *34*, 5337-5346.
16. Tang, J.; Durrant, J. R.; Klug, D. R., Mechanism of Photocatalytic Water Splitting in TiO₂. Reaction of Water with Photoholes, Importance of Charge Carrier Dynamics, and Evidence for Four-Hole Chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13885-13891.
17. Stamate, M. D., Dielectric Properties of TiO₂ Thin Films Deposited by a Dc Magnetron Sputtering System. *Thin Solid Films* **2000**, *372*, 246-249.
18. Le Formal, F.; Pendlebury, S. R.; Cornuz, M.; Tilley, S. D.; Grätzel, M.; Durrant, J. R., Back Electron-Hole Recombination in Hematite Photoanodes for Water Splitting. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 2564-2574.
19. Yu, J. G.; Yu, H. G.; Ao, C. H.; Lee, S. C.; Yu, J. C.; Ho, W. K., Preparation, Characterization and Photocatalytic Activity of in Situ Fe-Doped TiO₂ Thin Films. *Thin Solid Films* **2006**, *496*, 273-280.
20. Yu, J. C.; Wang, X. C.; Fu, X. Z., Pore-Wall Chemistry and Photocatalytic Activity of Mesoporous Titania Molecular Sieve Films. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1523-1530.
21. Saremi-Yarahmadi, S.; Wijayantha, K. G. U.; Tahir, A. A.; Vaidhyanathan, B., Nanostructured Hematite Electrodes for Solar Driven Water Splitting: Effect of Doping Agents on Preparation and Performance. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 4768-4778.
22. Santos, R. d. S.; Faria, G. A.; Giles, C.; Leite, C. A. P.; Barbosa, H. d. S.; Arruda, M. A. Z.; Longo, C., Iron Insertion and Hematite Segregation on Fe-Doped TiO₂ Nanoparticles Obtained from Sol-Gel and Hydrothermal Methods. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2012**, *4*, 5555-5561.
23. Zhao, W.; Li, Y.; Zhang, M.; Chen, J.; Xie, L.; Shi, Q.; Zhu, X., Direct Microwave Hydrothermal Synthesis of Fe-Doped Titania with Extended Visible-Light Response and Enhanced H₂-Production Performance. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2016**, *283*, 105-113.
24. Zhang, X.; Lei, L., Preparation of Photocatalytic Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ Coatings in One Step by Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2008**, *254*, 2406-2412.
25. Peng, L.; Xie, T.; Lu, Y.; Fan, H.; Wang, D., Synthesis, Photoelectric Properties and Photocatalytic Activity of the Fe₂O₃/TiO₂ Heterogeneous Photocatalysts. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *12*, 8033-8041.
26. Courtin, E.; Baldinozzi, G.; Sougrati, M. T.; Stievano, L.; Sanchez, C.; Laberty-Robert, C., New Fe₂O₃-Based Nanoheterostructured Mesoporous Photoanodes with Improved Visible Light Photoresponses. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, 6567-6577.
27. Moniz, S. J. A.; Shevlin, S. A.; An, X.; Guo, Z.-X.; Tang, J., Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ Nanocomposites for Enhanced Charge Separation and Photocatalytic Activity. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 15571-15579.
28. Ahmed, M. G.; Kretschmer, I. E.; Kandiel, T. A.; Ahmed, A. Y.; Rashwan, F. A.; Bahnemann, D. W., A Facile Surface Passivation of Hematite Photoanodes with TiO₂ Overlayers for Efficient Solar Water Splitting. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 24053-24062.

29. Melissen, S.; Labat, F.; Sautet, P.; Le Bahers, T., Electronic Properties of $PbX_3Ch_3Nh_3$ (X = Cl, Br, I) Compounds for Photovoltaic and Photocatalytic Applications. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 2199-2209.
30. Melissen, S.; Bahers, T. L.; Steinmann, S. N.; Sautet, P., The Relationship between Carbon Nitride Structure and Exciton Binding Energies: A Dft Perspective. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**.
31. Bhunia, M. K.; Melissen, S.; Parida, M. R.; Sarawade, P.; Basset, J.-M.; Anjum, D. H.; Mohammed, O. F.; Sautet, P.; Le Bahers, T.; Takanabe, K., Dendritic Tip-on Polytriazine-Based Carbon Nitride Photocatalyst with High Hydrogen Evolution Activity. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 8237-8247.
32. Le Bahers, T.; Haller, S.; Le Mercier, T.; Barboux, P., Assessing the Use of BicuoS for Photovoltaic Application: From Dft to Macroscopic Simulation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 17585-17595.
33. Kandiel, T. A.; Anjum, D. H.; Sautet, P.; Le Bahers, T.; Takanabe, K., Electronic Structure and Photocatalytic Activity of Wurtzite Cugaas Nanocrystals and Their Zn Substitution. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 8896-8904.
34. Pottier, A.; Cassaignon, S.; Chanéac, C.; Villain, F.; Tronc, E.; Jolivet, J.-P., Size Tailoring of TiO_2 Anatase Nanoparticles in Aqueous Medium and Synthesis of Nanocomposites. Characterization by Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2003**, *13*, 877-882.
35. Sreeja, V.; Joy, P. A., Microwave-Hydrothermal Synthesis of Γ - Fe_2O_3 Nanoparticles and Their Magnetic Properties. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2007**, *42*, 1570-1576.
36. Chupas, P. J.; Chapman, K. W.; Lee, P. L., Applications of an Amorphous Silicon-Based Area Detector for High-Resolution, High-Sensitivity and Fast Time-Resolved Pair Distribution Function Measurements. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2007**, *40*, 463-470.
37. Chupas, P. J.; Qiu, X. Y.; Hanson, J. C.; Lee, P. L.; Grey, C. P.; Billinge, S. J. L., Rapid-Acquisition Pair Distribution Function (Ra-Pdf) Analysis. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2003**, *36*, 1342-1347.
38. Hammersley, A. P.; Svensson, S. O.; Hanfland, M.; Fitch, A. N.; Hausermann, D., Two-Dimensional Detector Software: From Real Detector to Idealised Image or Two-Theta Scan. *High Pressure Res.* **1996**, *14*, 235-248.
39. Qiu, X.; Thompson, J. W.; Billinge, S. J. L., Pdfgetx2: A Gui-Driven Program to Obtain the Pair Distribution Function from X-Ray Powder Diffraction Data. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2004**, *37*, 678.
40. Farrow, C. L.; Juhas, P.; Liu, J. W.; Bryndin, D.; Bozin, E. S.; Bloch, J.; Proffen, T.; Billinge, S. J. L., PdfFit2 and PdfGui: Computer Programs for Studying Nanostructure in Crystals. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2007**, *19*.
41. Dovesi, R., et al., Crystal14: A Program for the Ab Initio Investigation of Crystalline Solids. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **2014**, *114*, 1287-1317.
42. Dovesi, R., et al., *Crystal14 User's Manual (University of Torino)*, 2014.
43. Catti, M.; Valerio, G.; Dovesi, R., Theoretical Study of Electronic, Magnetic, and Structural Properties of Alpha - Fe_2O_3 (Hematite). *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *51*, 7441-7450.
44. Sophia, G.; Baranek, P.; Sarrazin, C.; Rérat, M.; Dovesi, R., First-Principles Study of the Mechanisms of the Pressure-Induced Dielectric Anomalies in Ferroelectric Perovskites. *Phase Trans.* **2013**, *86*, 1069-1084.
45. Adamo, C.; Barone, V., Toward Reliable Density Functional Methods without Adjustable Parameters: The Pbe0 Model. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *110*, 6158-6170.

46. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D., Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* **1976**, *13*, 5188-5192.
47. Heyd, J.; Scuseria, G. E.; Ernzerhof, M., Hybrid Functionals Based on a Screened Coulomb Potential. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *118*, 8207-8215.
48. Heyd, J.; Scuseria, G. E.; Ernzerhof, M., Erratum: Hybrid Functionals Based on a Screened Coulomb Potential *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *124*, 219906-219906.
49. Krukau, A. V.; Vydrov, O. A.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Scuseria, G. E., Influence of the Exchange Screening Parameter on the Performance of Screened Hybrid Functionals. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 224106-224106.
50. Barone, V.; Hod, O.; Peralta, J. E.; Scuseria, G. E., Accurate Prediction of the Electronic Properties of Low-Dimensional Graphene Derivatives Using a Screened Hybrid Density Functional. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 269-279.
51. Henderson, T. M.; Paier, J.; Scuseria, G. E., Accurate Treatment of Solids with the Hse Screened Hybrid. *Phys. Status Solidi (B)* **2011**, *248*, 767-774.
52. Le Bahers, T.; Rérat, M.; Sautet, P., Semiconductors Used in Photovoltaic and Photocatalytic Devices: Assessing Fundamental Properties from Dft. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 5997-6008.
53. Noel, Y.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Civalieri, B.; D'Arco, P.; Dovesi, R., Polarization Properties of Zno and Beo: An Ab Initio Study through the Berry Phase and Wannier Functions Approaches. *Phys. Rev. B* **2001**, *65*, 14111-14111.
54. Ferrero, M.; Rerat, M.; Orlando, R.; Dovesi, R., Coupled Perturbed Hartree-Fock for Periodic Systems: The Role of Symmetry and Related Computational Aspects. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128*, 014110-014110
55. Ferrero, M.; Rerat, M.; Orlando, R.; Dovesi, R., The Calculation of Static Polarizabilities of 1-3d Periodic Compounds. The Implementation in the Crystal Code. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2007**, *29*, 1450-1459.
56. Ferrero, M.; Rerat, M.; Kirtman, B.; Dovesi, R., The Calculation of Static Polarizabilities of 1-3d Periodic Compounds. The Implementation in the Crystal Code. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *129*, 244110-244110.
57. Mele, E. J., Screening of a Point Charge by an Anisotropic Medium: Anamorphoses in the Method of Images. *Am. J. Phys.* **2001**, *69*, 557-562.
58. Adelstein, N.; Neaton, J. B.; Asta, M.; De Jonghe, L. C., Density Functional Theory Based Calculation of Small-Polaron Mobility in Hematite. *Phys. Rev. B* **2014**, *89*, 245115-245115.
59. Yang, H.; Mi, W.; Bai, H.; Cheng, Y., Electronic and Optical Properties of New Multifunctional Materials Via Half-Substituted Hematite: First Principles Calculations. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2*, 10708-10716.
60. Howard, C. J.; Sabine, T. M.; Dickson, F., Structural and Thermal Parameters for Rutile and Anatase. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **1991**, *47*, 462-468.
61. Guo, W. Q.; Malus, S.; Ryan, D. H.; Altounian, Z., Crystal Structure and Cation Distributions in the Fetio5-Fe2tio5 Solid Solution Series. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1999**, *11*, 6337-6346.
62. Pozun, Z. D.; Henkelman, G., Hybrid Density Functional Theory Band Structure Engineering in Hematite. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134*, 224706-224706.

63. Dudarev, S. L.; Botton, G. A.; Savrasov, S. Y.; Humphreys, C. J.; Sutton, A. P., Electron-Energy-Loss Spectra and the Structural Stability of Nickel Oxide: An Lsda+U Study. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *57*, 1505-1509.
64. Huda, M. N.; Walsh, A.; Yan, Y.; Wei, S.-H.; Al-Jassim, M. M., Electronic, Structural, and Magnetic Effects of 3d Transition Metals in Hematite. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2010**, *107*, 123712-123712.
65. Tang, H.; Yin, W.-J.; Matin, M. A.; Wang, H.; Deutsch, T.; Al-Jassim, M. M.; Turner, J. A.; Yan, Y., Titanium and Magnesium Co-Alloyed Hematite Thin Films for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *111*, 073502-073502.
66. Cassaignon, S.; Koelsch, M.; Jolivet, J.-P., Selective Synthesis of Brookite, Anatase and Rutile Nanoparticles: Thermolysis of $TiCl_4$ in Aqueous Nitric Acid. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2007**, *42*, 6689-6695.
67. Cassaignon, S.; Koelsch, M.; Jolivet, J.-P., From $TiCl_3$ to TiO_2 Nanoparticles (Anatase, Brookite and Rutile): Thermohydrolysis and Oxidation in Aqueous Medium. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2007**, *68*, 695-700.
68. Pottier, A. S.; Cassaignon, S.; Chaneac, C.; Villain, F.; Tronc, E.; Jolivet, J. P., Size Tailoring of TiO_2 Anatase Nanoparticles in Aqueous Medium and Synthesis of Nanocomposites. Characterization by Raman Spectroscopy. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2003**, *13*, 877-882.
69. Jolivet, J. P.; Chaneac, C.; Tronc, E., Iron Oxide Chemistry. From Molecular Clusters to Extended Solid Networks. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 481-487.
70. Pottier, A.; Chaneac, C.; Tronc, E.; Mazerolles, L.; Jolivet, J. P., Synthesis of Brookite TiO_2 Nanoparticles by Thermolysis of $TiCl_4$ in Strongly Acidic Aqueous Media. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2001**, *11*, 1116-1121.
71. Vayssieres, L.; Chaneac, C.; Tronc, E.; Jolivet, J. P., Size Tailoring of Magnetite Particles Formed by Aqueous Precipitation: An Example of Thermodynamic Stability of Nanometric Oxide Particles. *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.* **1998**, *205*, 205-212.
72. Jolivet, J.-P.; Tronc, E.; Chaneac, C., Iron Oxides: From Molecular Clusters to Solid. A Nice Example of Chemical Versatility. *C.R. Geosci.* **2006**, *338*, 488-497.
73. Li, L.; Fan, M. H.; Brown, R. C.; Van Leeuwen, J. H.; Wang, J. J.; Wang, W. H.; Song, Y. H.; Zhang, P. Y., Synthesis, Properties, and Environmental Applications of Nanoscale Iron-Based Materials: A Review. *Crit. Rev. Env. Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *36*, 405-431.
74. Adan, C.; Bahamonde, A.; Fernandez-Garcia, M.; Martinez-Arias, A., Structure and Activity of Nanosized Iron-Doped Anatase TiO_2 Catalysts for Phenol Photocatalytic Degradation. *Appl. Catal., B* **2007**, *72*, 11-17.
75. Ambrus, Z.; Balazs, N.; Alapi, T.; Wittmann, G.; Sipos, P.; Dombi, A.; Mogyorosi, K., Synthesis, Structure and Photocatalytic Properties of Fe(III)-Doped TiO_2 Prepared from $TiCl_3$. *Appl. Catal., B* **2008**, *81*, 27-37.
76. Khaleel, A., Sol-Gel Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Activity of Fe(III) Titanates. *Colloids Surf., A* **2009**, *346*, 130-137.
77. Dufour, F.; Cassaignon, S.; Durupthy, O.; Colbeau-Justin, C.; Chanéac, C., Do TiO_2 Nanoparticles Really Taste Better When Cooked in a Microwave Oven? *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 2707-2715.
78. Grey, I. E.; Li, C.; Ness, T., Nonstoichiometric Li-Pseudobrookite(Ss) in the Li_2O - Fe_2O_3 - TiO_2 System. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1998**, *141*, 221-228.

79. Drofenik, M.; Golic, L.; Hanzel, D.; Krasevec, V.; Prodan, A.; Bakker, M.; Kolar, D., A New Monoclinic Phase in the Fe₂O₃-TiO₂ System .1. Structure Determination and Mossbauer-Spectroscopy. *J. Solid State Chem.* **1981**, *40*, 47-51.
80. Billinge, S. J. L.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Beyond Crystallography: The Study of Disorder, Nanocrystallinity and Crystallographically Challenged Materials with Pair Distribution Functions. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 749-760.
81. Seitz, G.; Penin, N.; Decoux, L. a.; Wattiaux, A.; Duttine, M.; Gaudon, M., Near the Ferric Pseudobrookite Composition (Fe₂TiO₅). *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 2499-2507.
82. Hirano, M.; Joji, T.; Inagaki, M., Direct Formation of Iron(III)-Doped Titanium Oxide (Anatase) by Thermal Hydrolysis and Its Structural Property. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2004**, *87*, 35-41.
83. Dufour, F.; Pigeot-Remy, S.; Durupthy, O.; Cassaignon, S.; Ruaux, V.; Torelli, S.; Mariey, L.; Maugé, F.; Chanéac, C., Morphological Control of TiO₂ Anatase Nanoparticles: What Is the Good Surface Property to Obtain Efficient Photocatalysts? *Appl. Catal., B* **2015**, *174-175*, 350-360.
84. Le Formal, F.; Sivula, K.; Graetzel, M., The Transient Photocurrent and Photovoltage Behavior of a Hematite Photoanode under Working Conditions and the Influence of Surface Treatments. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 26707-26720.
85. Kuang, S.; Yang, L.; Luo, S.; Cai, Q., Fabrication, Characterization and Photoelectrochemical Properties of Fe₂O₃ Modified TiO₂ Nanotube Arrays. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2009**, *255*, 7385-7388.
86. Cowan, A. J.; Barnett, C. J.; Pendlebury, S. R.; Barroso, M.; Sivula, K.; Graetzel, M.; Durrant, J. R.; Klug, D. R., Activation Energies for the Rate-Limiting Step in Water Photooxidation by Nanostructured α -Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10134-10140.
87. Magne, C.; Dufour, F.; Labat, F.; Lancel, G.; Durupthy, O.; Cassaignon, S.; Pauporté, T., Effects of TiO₂ Nanoparticle Polymorphism on Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell Photovoltaic Properties. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **2012**, *232*, 22-31.

Insert Table of Contents Graphic and Synopsis Here

