

Reply to Comment on 'Modelling the magnetic dipole'

Kira Seleznyova, Mark Strugatsky, Janis Kliava

▶ To cite this version:

Kira Seleznyova, Mark Strugatsky, Janis Kliava. Reply to Comment on 'Modelling the magnetic dipole'. European Journal of Physics, 2016, 37 (5), pp.058002 (1-2). 10.1088/0143-0807/37/5/058002. hal-01392687

HAL Id: hal-01392687 https://hal.science/hal-01392687

Submitted on 4 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International License



Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Reply to Comment on 'Modelling the magnetic dipole'

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 Eur. J. Phys. 37 058002 (http://iopsc ence iop.org/0143-0807/37/5/058002)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 147.210.24.83 This content was downloaded on 10/10/2016 at 16:25

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also be interested in:

Magnetic Excitations and Geometric Confinement: Introduction: geometrically confined magnetic systems G M Wysin

Comment on 'Modeling the magnetic dipole' Hanno Essén

Modelling the magnetic dipole Kira Seleznyova, Mark Strugatsky and Janis Kliava

Introducing electromagnetic field momentum Ben Yu-Kuang Hu

The magnetic dipole interaction in Einstein-Maxwell theory W B Bonnor

Magnetic fields produced by rotating symmetrical bodies with homogeneous surface charge density R Espejel-Morales, G Murguía-Romero, A Calles et al.

Can a moving charge or a varying dipole produce a constant field? S E Boichenko, V Epp and J G Janz

Reply to Comment on 'Modelling the magnetic dipole'

Kira Seleznyova^{1,2}, Mark Strugatsky² and Janis Kliava¹

¹LOMA, UMR 5798 Université de Bordeaux CNRS, F 33405 Talence cedex, France ²Physics and Technology Institute, Crimean Federal V.I. Vernadsky University, Simferopol, Republic of Crimea

Abstract

The claim that the model of a pair of fictitious magnetic charges, described in our recent paper, is that of an infinitely thin solenoid is a mistake, mainly based on misinterpretation of certain figures therein.

The comment [1] claims that the model of 'a pair of fictitious magnetic charges', introduced and described in our recent paper [2], is in fact that of an infinitely thin solenoid. (We may add that the latter model is exactly analogous to that of an infinitely thin permanent magnet.) Below we show that this claim is based on a misunderstanding.

The affirmation that in order to produce a spherically-symmetric magnetic field, 'one *must* assume that it arises from one end of a thin long solenoid' [2], is misleading; indeed, one *can* as well assume that it arises from a magnetic monopole. Of course, the Dirac vector potential of the magnetic monopole, cf equation (23) in our paper [2] and equation (3) in the comment [1], has a singularity along the half-line $\vartheta = \pi$. In our paper we clearly specify this flaw (to readers particularly interested in this issue, the so-called Dirac string, we can recommend the detailed analysis by Hadjesfandiari [4]).

Meanwhile, it is a mistake to affirm that 'the authors... introduce two such fields of opposite sign with the singularity on the line between them' [1]. In fact, in order to calculate the magnetic field in this model, we start with the vector potential of a pair of magnetic monopoles of opposite sign, cf equations (23), (24) in our paper. This vector potential has singularities only at the locations of both magnetic monopoles and not on the line between them (as explicitly emphasized in our paper). As a consequence, the magnetic field can readily be calculated in the whole space, including the dipole axis.

In order to examine the claim that 'they are not describing a dimonopole model of the magnetic dipole, but in fact an infinitely thin solenoid of finite length' [1], one must understand the difference between the two models in question. Gsponer [3] indicates one fundamental difference concerning the form of the $\sim \delta(r)$ singularity of the magnetic field at the space origin; however, this issue is irrelevant for the present discussion. Elsewhere, both models yield identical results, except on the dipole axis (chosen as the *z*-axis). The magnetic

field **B** inside a solenoid (or a permanent magnet) is directed from the south pole to the north; thus, if the magnetic moment is oriented along the *positive* direction of the *z*-axis, the *z*component of the magnetic field, B_z , between the poles would be *positive*. In contrast, in the model of a pair of 'magnetic charges' the magnetic field on the dipole axis is directed from the positive charge to the negative one; thus, on the portion of the *z*-axis between the two charges B_z is *negative*. (The radial component of **B** vanishes on the dipole axis.) Indeed, putting $\vartheta = 0$ or π in the second equation (26) of our paper yields, for $-\frac{1}{2}d < z < \frac{1}{2}d$, an obviously *negative* result, as expected for a pair of fictitious magnetic charges

$$B_{z}(\vartheta = 0, \pi) = -\frac{2\mu_{0}}{\pi} \frac{m}{d} \frac{d^{2} + 4z^{2}}{(d + 2z)^{2}(d - 2z)^{2}} < 0.$$

(Here *m* is the dipole magnetic moment, and the positive and negative monopoles are located on the *z*-axis at $z = +\frac{1}{2}d$ and $z = -\frac{1}{2}d$, respectively.) Finally, there are no 'puzzling features' [1] in figures 5 and 6 in our paper [2]; indeed, it

Finally, there are no 'puzzling features' [1] in figures 5 and 6 in our paper [2]; indeed, it is *not* true that the field lines in these figures are 'both entering and leaving' the two monopoles.

In fact, the field lines in these figures are represented by *thin* lines, and it is clearly seen that all of them are leaving the positive monopole and entering the negative one, as expected. On the other hand, the *thick* arrows connecting the monopoles in these figures are merely symbolic representations of the dipole moment. Apparently, these arrows have been mistaken for field lines, in spite of the obvious difference in the graphical notation.

Clearly, an infinitely thin solenoid (or permanent magnet) also can serve as a model of the magnetic dipole. Meanwhile, in the framework of our paper this model would have been redundant, since its description would be too similar to that of the uniformly magnetized sphere, introducing two distinct vector potentials, one inside and other outside the field source, cf equation (15) in [2]. Besides, from the viewpoint of teaching the model of a pair of fictitious magnetic charges is clearly more attractive because of its closeness to the model of an electric dipole. Note that in the electro/magnetostatics cursus the magnetic dipole is usually taught after the electrical dipole and, certainly, before the solenoid and, *a fortiori*, magnetic solids.

References

- [1] Essén H 2016 Comment on 'Modeling the magnetic dipole' Eur. J. Phys. 37 058001
- [2] Seleznyova K, Strugatsky M and Kliava J 2016 Modelling the magnetic dipole Eur. J. Phys. 37 025203
- [3] Gsponer A 2007 Distributions in spherical coordinates with applications to classical electro dynamics *Eur. J. Phys.* 28 267
- [4] Hadjesfandiari A R 2009 Character of the magnetic monopole field Int. J. Mater. Product Technol. 34 37