Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Isotopic Abundance of $^{13}$C, $^2$H, and $^{18}$O in Biofield Energy Treated $p$-tertiary Butylphenol (PTBP)
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Abstract: $p$-tert-Butyphenol (PTBP) is a phenolic monomer used in the synthesis of numerous industrially useful chemicals. The current research work aimed to evaluate the effect of the biofield energy treatment on the isotopic abundance ratios of $P_{M+1}/P_M$ and $P_{M+2}/P_M$ in PTBP using gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The sample, PTBP was distributed into two parts - one part was designated as control PTBP and another part was considered as biofield energy treated PTBP. The biofield energy treatment was achieved through unique biofield energy transmission process by Mr. Trivedi (also known as The Trivedi Effect®). T1, T2, T3, and T4 were indicated to the different time interval analysis of the biofield treated PTBP. The GC-MS spectra of the both control and biofield treated PTBP showed the presence of molecular ion peak $[M^+]$ at $m/z$ 150 (calculated 150.10 for $C_{10}H_{10}O$) along with eight major fragmented peaks at $m/z$ 135, 107, 95, 77, 65, 41, and 39, which might be due to $C_{10}H_{12}$, $C_{10}H_{14}O^{-}$ or $C_7H_{11}^+$, $C_8H_{13}O^{-}$, $C_7H_{11}^+$, $C_7H_{13}^+$, $C_7H_{15}^+$, and $C_7H_{17}^{++}$ ions, respectively. The relative intensities of the parent molecule and other fragmented ions of the biofield treated PTBP were altered as compared to the control PTBP. The percentage in the isotopic abundance ratio of $P_{M+1}/P_M$ was enhanced in the biofield treated PTBP at T2, T3 and T4 by 1.60%, 3.57%, and 120.13%, respectively while it was decreased by 4.14% in the treated sample at T1 with respect to the control sample and was found to have time dependent effect. The biofield energy treated PTBP might display isotope effects such as different physicochemical and thermal properties, rate of the reaction, selectivity and binding energy due to the changed isotopic abundance ratio as compared to the control sample. Biofield treated PTBP could be valuable for the designing new chemicals and pharmaceuticals through using its kinetic isotope effects.
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1. Introduction

$p$-tert-Butyphenol (PTBP) or chemically known as 4-(1,1-dimethylethyl) phenol is a phenol derivative, $C_{10}H_{12}O$ and is widely used as a monomer in the synthesis of several industrial chemicals. PTBP is an important chemical for the manufacturing of polycarbonate polymers, phenolic resins, epoxy resins, and perfumery intermediates [1-5]. Literature reported a wide industrial applications of PTBP-
formaldehyde resins, for e.g. as glues and laminates, adhesives, surface coatings in plywood and building industries, as tackifiers and vulcanisation agent in tyre industry; as good insulating materials used in electronic and electric appliances; as binders for glass and mineral fibers in the production of heat, noise, and fire-insulating materials; printing inks, etc. [1-5]. PTBP is useful for the production of p-tert-butylcyclohexanol and tri-aryl phosphate esters used as flame retardants and plasticizers [3]. Besides these applications, PTBP has other useful applications such as soap-antioxidant, pour point depressant, plasticizer, germicidal agent in detergent disinfectant, fumigant, insecticide, de-emulsifier for oil, etc. [2]. PTBP is a quintessential reactant for the condensation reaction with formaldehyde to produce calixarenes-cyclic oligomers used as supramolecular catalysts, enzyme mimetics, etc. [6, 7]. The uses of PTBP is constrained due to its adverse effects on skin leading to contact dermatitis and contact eczema [1, 8-10]. As PTBP is the potent phenolic depigmentation agent, it causes patchy depigmentation of the skin due to the loss of functional melanocytes through apoptosis [8, 11].

Now-a-days analysis of natural abundance variations in the stable isotopes (or also known as stable isotope ratio analysis) is increasing attention in various scientific fields such as agricultural, biochemistry, metabolism, medical research, environmental pollution, archaeology, etc. for the measurement of the flow of materials and energy both within and among the organisms [12-14]. The alteration in isotopic abundance ratio between the isotopic forms of the molecule produces isotope effects i.e. the differences in physical and chemical properties of the molecule [15-17]. Literature mentioned that conventional mass spectrometry (MS) technique such as GC-MS is the main choice for isotope ratio analysis with sufficient precision. But specialized instruments like isotope ratio mass spectrometer, multiple collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry are required when the molecules have molar isotope enrichments at below 0.1% [13, 14, 17].

The biofield is a massless electromagnetic field that surrounds, permeates and affects the human body. Healing practitioner has the ability to harness the energy from the earth, the “universal energy field” and can be transmitted the biofield energy into any living or non-living object(s) around the Globe with the purpose of improving the wholeness within the objects. This is known as biofield energy treatment or energy medicine and is recognized as complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) [18, 19]. The Trivedi Effect®- biofield energy healing has recently drawn attention in the various scientific fields, such as medical science [20], biotechnology [21, 22], microbiology [23, 24], organic chemistry [25, 26], pharmaceutical [27], nutraceutical [28], materials science [29, 30], and agricultural [31, 32] due to its outstanding applicability to modify the characteristic properties of the living and non-living substances. Number of literatures [33-36] indicated that biofield energy treatment (also known as The Trivedi Effect®) might be a potential method for alteration of the isotopic abundance ratio in the organic compounds. An altered physicochemical and thermal properties such as increased crystallite size, enhanced thermal stability was observed in the biofield energy treated PTBP as compared to the control sample through the spectroscopic and thermal study [37]. The toxicity, hazards effects and human health effects by the chemical or material are very closely associated with its intrinsic physicochemical properties [38]. Hence, the isotopic abundance ratio (P_{M+1}/P_M and P_{M+2}/P_M) analysis in both of the control and biofield treated PTBP was performed in the current research work through gas chromatography-mass spectrometry.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

PTBP was procured from Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India. All the other chemicals and reagents used analytical grade in this experiment were purchased from local vendors.

2.2. Biofield Energy Treatment Modalities

The sample PTBP was distributed into two parts: one was denoted as control, where no treatment was given. The other part of the sample represented as biofield energy treated sample, which was handed over to Mr. Trivedi for the biofield energy treatment in a sealed condition. The biofield energy treatment was provided by Mr. Trivedi (also known as The Trivedi Effect®) through his unique energy transmission process to the test item in a sealed pack under laboratory conditions for 5 minutes without touching the sample. After treatment, control and the biofield treated samples were stored at standard laboratory condition and investigated by GC-MS. The biofield treated PTBP was analyzed in different time intervals designated as T1, T2, T3, and T4 in order to understand the influence of the biofield energy treatment on isotopic abundance ratio with respect to the time.

2.3. Gas Chromatograph - Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

The GC-MS analysis was conducted on Perkin Elmer/Auto system XL with Turbo mass, USA. A silica capillary column equipped with a quadrupole detector with pre-filter, one of the fastest, widest mass ranges was applied for the analysis. The mass spectrometer was worked in an electron ionization (EI) positive/negative, and chemical ionization mode at the electron ionization energy of 70 eV. Mass range: 10-650 Daltons (amu), stability: ± 0.1 m/z mass accuracy over 48 hours. The analytes were identified by retention time and through the comparison of the mass spectra of the identified substances with references.

2.4. Method for the Calculation of Isotopic Abundance Ratio from the GC-MS Spectra

A comprehensive literature review [39-42] revealed that the relative intensity of the peak in the mass spectra is directly proportional to the relative isotopic abundance of the
molecule and the method used for the analysis of the isotopic abundance ratio of the molecule is mentioned below:

\( P_M \) stands for the relative peak intensity of the parent molecular ion \([M^+]\) expressed in percentage. In other way, it indicates the probability to \( A \) elements having only one natural isotope in appreciable abundance (for e.g. \( ^{12}\text{C}, {^1}\text{H}, {^{16}}\text{O}, {^{14}}\text{N}, \text{etc.} \) contributions to the mass of the parent molecular ion \([M^+]\).

\( P_{M+1} \) represents the relative peak intensity of the isotopic molecular ion \([M+1]^+\) expressed in percentage

\[
= \text{(no. of } ^{13}\text{C} \times 1.1\%) + \text{(no. of } ^{15}\text{N} \times 0.40\%) + \text{(no. of } ^2\text{H} \times 0.015\%) \text{ + (no. of } ^{17}\text{O} \times 0.04\%)
\]

\( i.e. \text{ the probability to } A+1 \text{ elements having an isotope that has one mass unit heavier than the most abundant isotope (for e.g. } ^{13}\text{C}, ^{2}\text{H}, ^{15}\text{N}, \text{etc.} \) contributions to the mass of the isotopic molecular ion \([M+1]^+]\).

\( P_{M+2} \) represents the relative peak intensity of the isotopic molecular ion \([M+2]^+\) expressed in percentage

\[
= \text{(no. of } ^{18}\text{O} \times 0.20\%) \text{ + (no. of } ^{37}\text{Cl} \times 32.50\%)
\]

\( i.e. \text{ the probability to have } A+2 \text{ elements having an isotope that has two mass unit heavier than the most abundant isotope (for e.g. } ^{18}\text{O}, ^{37}\text{Cl}, ^{34}\text{S}, \text{etc.} \) contributions to the mass of the isotopic molecular ion \([M+2]^+]\).

The value of the natural isotopic abundance of the some elements are obtained from several literatures and presented in the Table 1 [13, 42-44].

\[ A \text{ represents element, } n \text{ represents the number of the element (i.e. C, H, O, N, etc.)} \]

Isotopic abundance ratio for \( A+1 \) elements = \( P_{M+1}/P_M \)

Similarly, isotopic abundance ratio for \( A+2 \) elements = \( P_{M+2}/P_M \)

Percentage (%) change in isotopic abundance ratio = \( [(\text{IAR}_{\text{Treated}} - \text{IAR}_{\text{Control}}) / \text{IAR}_{\text{Control}}] \times 100 \)

Where, \( \text{IAR}_{\text{Treated}} \) = isotopic abundance ratio in the treated sample and \( \text{IAR}_{\text{Control}} \) = isotopic abundance ratio in the control sample.

### Table 1. The isotopic composition (i.e. the natural isotopic abundance) of the elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>% Natural Abundance</th>
<th>( A+1 ) Factor</th>
<th>( A+2 ) Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>(^1\text{H})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99.9885</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(^2\text{H})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0115</td>
<td>0.015 ( n_H )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>(^{12}\text{C})</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>98.892</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 ( n_C )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(^{13}\text{C})</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>(^{16}\text{O})</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>99.762</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04 ( n_O )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(^{17}\text{O})</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20 ( n_O )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>(^{15}\text{N})</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>99.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40 ( n_N )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>(^{35}\text{Cl})</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>75.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.50 ( n_Cl )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(^{37}\text{Cl})</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. GC-MS Analysis

The GC-MS spectrum of the control PTBP (Figure 1) indicated the presence of molecular ion peak \([M^+]\) at \( m/z \) 150 (calculated 150.10 for \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{14}\text{O} \)) at the retention time \( (R_t) \) of 12.48 min. Eight major fragmented peaks in lower \( m/z \) region at \( m/z \) 135, 107, 95, 91, 77, 65, 41, and 39 were observed in the control TPBP due to the breaking up of PTBP, corresponded to the following ions: \( \text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{15}^+ \) (tert-Butylbenzene), \( \text{C}_{7}\text{H}_{7}^+ \) (\( p \)-cresol) or \( \text{C}_{8}\text{H}_{11}^+ \) (Ethyl benzene), \( \text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{7}^+ \) (Phenol), \( \text{C}_{7}\text{H}_{7}^+ \) (Toluene), \( \text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5}^+ \) (Benzene), \( \text{C}_{5}\text{H}_{5}^+ \), \( \text{C}_{3}\text{H}_{5}^+ \), and \( \text{C}_{3}\text{H}_{3}^+ \) ions, respectively (Figure 1). The GCMS spectrum along with fragmentation pattern of PTBP as shown in the Figure 1 was well accorded with the literature [45].

![Figure 1. GC-MS spectrum and possible fragmentation of the control p-tertiary butylphenol (PTBP).](image-url)
The GC-MS spectra of the biofield treated PTBP at T1, T2, T3, and T4 (Figures 2 and 3) disclosed the molecular ion peak $[M^+]$ at $m/z$ 150 at $R_t$ of 12.46, 12.42, 12.44, and 12.46 min, respectively. So, $R_t$ of the biofield treated PTBP was close to the control sample. There was similar pattern of fragmentation observed in the biofield treated PTBP as compared with the control sample.

The relative intensities of the parent molecule at $m/z$ 150 and other fragmented ions at $m/z$ 135, 107, 95, 77, 65, 41, and 39 are presented in the Table 2. tert-Butylbenzene ion ($C_{10}H_{15}$)$^+$ peak at $m/z$ 135 that was derived from the degradation of the parent molecule exhibited 100% relative intensity (base peak). From the Table 2, it has been noticed that the relative intensities of the parent molecule along with its fragmented ions in the biofield treated PTBP were significantly changed as compared to the control PTBP.
3.2. Analysis of Isotopic Abundance Ratio

The molecular formula of PTBP is C_{10}H_{13}O and the molecular ion [M^+] peak for the control PTBP showed 62.49% relative intensity. \( P_{M+1} \) and \( P_{M+2} \) can be calculated theoretically according to the method described in the materials and method. The theoretical calculation for \( P_{M+1} \) is provided as follows:

\[
P(1^3C) = [(10 x 1.1\%) \times 62.49\% (the\ actual\ size\ of\ the\ M^+\ peak)] / 100\% = 6.87\%
\]

\[
P(2^3H) = [(14 x 0.015\%) \times 62.49\%] / 100\% = 0.13\%
\]

\[
P(1^{17}O) = [(1 x 0.04\%) \times 62.49\%] / 100\% = 0.03\%
\]

From the above calculation, it has been found that \( 1^3C \) has major contribution to \( m/z \) 151.

In the similar approach, \( P_{M+2} \) can be calculated as follow:

\[
P(1^{18}O) = [(1 x 0.20\%) \times 62.49\%] / 100\% = 0.13\%
\]

So, \( P_{M+2} \) i.e. \( ^{18}O \) contribution from \( (C_{10}H_{14}O)^+ \) to \( m/z \) 152 = 0.13%.

\( P_{M}, P_{M+1}, P_{M+2} \) for the control and biofield energy treated PTBP at \( m/z \) 150, 151 and 152, respectively were accomplished from the observed relative peak intensities of \([M^+], [M+1]^+, \) and \([M+2]^+\) peaks in the GC-MS spectra, respectively and are presented in the Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control PTBP</th>
<th>Biofield energy treated PTBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_M ) at ( m/z ) 150 (%)</td>
<td>62.49</td>
<td>43.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{M+1} ) at ( m/z ) 151 (%)</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{M+1}/P_M )</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>0.1019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change of isotopic abundance ratio (( P_{M+1}/P_M ))</td>
<td>-4.14</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{M+2} ) at ( m/z ) 152 (%)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_{M+2}/P_M )</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.0079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change of isotopic abundance ratio (( P_{M+2}/P_M ))</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experimental values (Table 3) for the control sample and the above calculated theoretical values suggest that \( ^{13}C \) and \( ^{18}O \) might have major contributions from \( (C_{10}H_{14}O)^+ \) to \( m/z \) 151 and 152, respectively. The percentage change of the isotopic abundance ratios \( (P_{M+1}/P_M \) and \( P_{M+2}/P_M \)) in the biofield treated PTBP with respect to the control PTBP is presented in Table 3 and Figure 4. The percentage in the isotopic abundance ratio of \( P_{M+1}/P_M \) was increased in the biofield treated PTBP at T2, T3 and T4 by 1.60%, 3.57%, and 120.13%, respectively while it was decreased by 4.14% in the treated sample at T1 with respect to the control PTBP. Consequently, the isotopic abundance ratio of \( P_{M+2}/P_M \) was enhanced in the biofield treated PTBP at T1, T3, and T4 by 1.28%, 2.56%, and 123.08%, respectively with respect to the control sample. On the other hand, it was decreased in the treated sample at T2 by 1.28% as compared to the control PTBP. Concisely, \( ^{13}C, ^2H, \) and \( ^{17}O \) contributions from \( (C_{10}H_{14}O)^+ \) to \( m/z \) 151 and \( ^{18}O \) contribution from \( (C_{10}H_{14}O)^+ \) to \( m/z \) 152 in the biofield treated PTBP were significantly altered with respect to the control sample.
Energy might be in the form of neutrinos changing mass into energy. Literature proposed that “Mr. Trivedi’s superconsciousness might be responsible for changing the behavior at a molecular level. Based on this hypothesis, it is assumed that the possible reason for the alteration of the isotopic abundance ratios of $^{13}$C/12C for C-H bond and $^{17}$O/16O and $^{18}$O/16O for O-H bond (data not shown). Consequently, the variation in the isotopic abundance ratios of $^{13}$C/12C for C-O, $^2$H/1H for C-H and O-H bonds and $^{17}$O/16O and $^{18}$O/16O for O-C bond has significant effect on the ground state vibrational energy of the molecule due to the higher reduced mass ($\mu$) as shown in the Table 4 resulting the isotope effects of the molecule. The isotopic abundance ratio analysis in PTBP revealed that the isotopic abundance ratios of $P_{M+1}/PM$ and $P_{M+2}/PM$ were significantly increased in the biofield treated PTBP at T4 whereas at T1, T2 and T3, it was altered as compared to the control sample.

Table 4. Possible isotopic bond and their effect in the vibrational energy in p-tertiary butylphenol (PTBP) molecule.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry No.</th>
<th>Probable isotopic bond</th>
<th>Isotope type</th>
<th>Reduced mass ($\mu$)</th>
<th>Zero point vibrational energy ($E_0$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$^{13}$C/12C</td>
<td>Lighter</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>Higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$^{13}$C/12C</td>
<td>Heavier</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$^2$H/1H</td>
<td>Lighter</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>Higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$^2$H/1H</td>
<td>Heavier</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$^{13}$C/14O</td>
<td>Lighter</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>Higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$^{13}$C/14O</td>
<td>Heavier</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$^{17}$O/16O</td>
<td>Heavier</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$^{13}$C/18O</td>
<td>Heavier</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$^{18}$O/16O</td>
<td>Lighter</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>Higher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$^{18}$O/16O</td>
<td>Heavier</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So, biofield treated PTBP might show diverse physical and chemical properties like lower diffusion velocity, mobility, evaporation, higher binding energy with respect to the control sample. The change in the isotopic abundance ratio can affect the thermal decomposition of the molecule [51, 52]. The alteration of a chemical reaction due to the variation in the isotopic abundance ratio of one of the atoms in the reactants is called as kinetic isotope effect (KIE). KIE is a potential tool to study the reaction mechanism, to stabilize the transition state of the rate-determining step of the reaction and for understanding the enzymatic transition state and all aspects of enzyme mechanisms that is supportive for designing enormously effective and specific inhibitors [17, 50, 53, 54]. The variation in the natural isotopic composition of the molecules happens due to the numerous reasons like radiogenic nuclides, interaction between cosmic rays and terrestrial matter, extraterrestrial materials, anthropogenic effects, etc. [17]. The current results infer that the biofield treatment might be an economical viable and alternative approach for the alteration of the natural isotopic abundance ratio of the compounds. Briefly, the physicochemical and thermal properties, rate of the reaction, selectivity and
binding energy of the biofield energy treated PTBP might be different from the control sample due to the isotope effects resulting from alteration of the isotopic abundance ratio. Therefore biofield energy treated PTBP might be beneficial for synthesis of numerous important chemicals that have a wide industrial application.

4. Conclusions

The current research work concluded that biofield energy treatment has the potential effect for altering the isotopic abundance ratios of $P_{M+1}/P_M$ and $P_{M+2}/P_M$ in PTBP. The GC-MS spectra of the both control and biofield treated PTBP indicated the presence of molecular ion peak $[M^+]$ at $m/z$ 150 (calculated 150.10 for C$_{10}$H$_{14}$O along with the same pattern of fragmentation. In addition, the relative intensities of the parent molecule and other fragmented ions of the biofield treated PTBP were significantly altered as compared to the control PTBP. The isotopic abundance ratios of $P_{M+1}/P_M$ and $P_{M+2}/P_M$ in the biofield treated PTBP at T4 were significantly increased by 120.13% and 123.08%, respectively with respect to the control PTBP. On the other hand, the percentage change of the isotopic abundance ratios of $P_{M+1}/P_M$ and $P_{M+2}/P_M$ was changed in the biofield treated PTBP at T1, T2, and T3 with respect to the control sample. In summary, $^{13}$C, $^{1}$H, and $^{18}$O contributions from (C$_{10}$H$_{14}$O)$^+$ to $m/z$ 151 and $^{18}$O contribution from (C$_{10}$H$_{14}$O)$^+$ to $m/z$ 152 in the biofield treated PTBP were altered and was found to have time dependent effect. The biofield energy treated PTBP might exhibit isotope effects such as altered physicochemical and thermal properties, rate of the reaction, selectivity and binding energy due to the altered isotopic abundance ratio with respect to the control sample. Biofield treated PTBP could be advantageous for the designing new chemicals and pharmaceuticals through applying its kinetic isotope effects.
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