



**HAL**  
open science

# Embeddings and the (virtual) cohomological dimension of the braid and mapping class groups of surfaces

Daciberg Lima Gonçalves, John Guaschi, Miguel Maldonado

► **To cite this version:**

Daciberg Lima Gonçalves, John Guaschi, Miguel Maldonado. Embeddings and the (virtual) cohomological dimension of the braid and mapping class groups of surfaces. *Confluentes Mathematici*, 2018, 10 (1), pp.41-61. hal-01377681

**HAL Id: hal-01377681**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01377681>**

Submitted on 11 Oct 2016

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# EMBEDDINGS AND THE (VIRTUAL) COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION OF THE BRAID AND MAPPING CLASS GROUPS OF SURFACES

DACIBERG LIMA GONÇALVES, JOHN GUASCHI, AND MIGUEL MALDONADO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we make use of the relations between the braid and mapping class groups of a compact, connected, non-orientable surface  $N$  without boundary and those of its orientable double covering  $S$  to study embeddings of these groups and their (virtual) cohomological dimensions. We first generalise results of [4, 14] to show that the mapping class group  $MCG(N; k)$  of  $N$  relative to a  $k$ -point subset embeds in the mapping class group  $MCG(S; 2k)$  of  $S$  relative to a  $2k$ -point subset. We then compute the cohomological dimension of the braid groups of all compact, connected aspherical surfaces without boundary, which generalises results of [15]. Finally, if the genus of  $N$  is greater than or equal to 2, we give upper bounds for the virtual cohomological dimension of  $MCG(N; k)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S$  be a compact, connected surface, and let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  be a finite (possibly empty) subset of  $S$  of cardinality  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $\text{Top}(S; X)$  denote the group of homeomorphisms of  $S$  for the operation of composition that leave  $X$  invariant. If  $S$  is orientable, let  $\text{Top}^+(S; X)$  denote the set of elements of  $\text{Top}(S; X)$  that preserve orientation. Note that  $\text{Top}^+(S; X)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Top}(S; X)$  of index two. We define the *mapping class group*  $MCG(S; X)$  of  $S$  relative to  $X$  by:

$$(1.1) \quad MCG(S; X) = \begin{cases} \pi_0 \text{Top}^+(S; X) & \text{if } S \text{ is orientable} \\ \pi_0 \text{Top}(S; X) & \text{if } S \text{ is non orientable.} \end{cases}$$

If  $S$  is orientable (resp. non orientable), the group  $MCG(S; X)$  is thus the set of isotopy classes of  $\text{Top}^+(S; X)$  (resp.  $\text{Top}(S; X)$ ), the isotopies being relative to the set  $X$ . If  $f \in \text{Top}^+(S; X)$  (resp.  $\text{Top}(S; X)$ ) then we let  $[f]$  denote its mapping class in  $MCG(S; X)$ . Up to isomorphism,  $MCG(S; X)$  only depends on the cardinality  $k$  of the subset  $X$ , and we shall often denote this group by  $MCG(S; k)$ . If  $X$  is empty, then we omit it from the notation, and shall just write  $\text{Top}(S)$ ,  $\text{Top}^+(S)$ ,  $MCG(S)$  etc. The mapping class group may also be defined in other categories (PL category, smooth category), the groups obtained being isomorphic [5]. The mapping class group has been widely studied from different points of view – see [1, 9, 10, 22, 28] for example.

If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a surjective homomorphism  $\sigma: \text{Top}(S; X) \longrightarrow \Sigma_k$  defined by  $f(x_i) = x_{(\sigma(f))(i)}$  for all  $f \in \text{Top}(S; X)$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , where  $\Sigma_k$  is the symmetric group on  $k$  letters. This homomorphism induces a surjective homomorphism from  $MCG(S; X)$  to  $\Sigma_k$  that we also denote by  $\sigma$ , and its kernel is called the *pure mapping class group of  $S$  relative to  $X$* , denoted by  $PMCG(S; X)$ . So we have a short exact sequence:

$$(1.2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow PMCG(S; X) \longrightarrow MCG(S; X) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Sigma_k \longrightarrow 1,$$

and if  $f \in \text{Top}(S; X)$  then  $[f] \in PMCG(S; X)$  if and only if  $f$  fixes  $X$  pointwise.

---

*Date:* 11th October 2016.

*Key words and phrases.* Mapping class groups, surface braid groups, finite coverings, embeddings, (virtual) cohomological dimension.

Mapping class groups are closely related to surface braid groups. If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $k^{\text{th}}$  ordered configuration space  $F_k(S)$  of  $S$  is the set of all ordered  $k$ -tuples of distinct points of  $S$  that we may consider as a subspace of the  $k$ -fold product of  $S$  with itself. The group  $\Sigma_k$  acts freely on  $F_k(S)$  by permuting coordinates, and the associated quotient is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  unordered configuration space of  $S$ , denoted by  $D_k(S)$ . The fundamental group  $\pi_1 D_k(S)$  (resp.  $\pi_1 F_k(S)$ ), denoted by  $B_k(S)$  (resp.  $P_k(S)$ ) is the *braid group* (resp. *pure braid group*) of  $S$  on  $k$  strings [8, 11], and  $B_k(S)$  and  $P_k(S)$  are related by a short exact sequence similar to that of (1.2). It is well known that  $B_k(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (resp.  $P_k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ) is isomorphic to *Artin's braid group* (resp. *Artin's pure braid group*) on  $k$  strings. If  $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  is a  $d$ -fold covering map, where  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , then for all  $k \geq 1$ , there is a continuous map:

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi_k : D_k(S) \longrightarrow D_{kd}(\tilde{S})$$

defined by  $\varphi_k(A) = \pi^{-1}(A)$  for all  $A \in D_k(S)$ , and the induced homomorphism  $\varphi_{k\#} : B_k(S) \rightarrow B_{kd}(\tilde{S})$  on the level of fundamental groups is injective [14]. Taking  $\pi : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$  to be the standard 2-fold (universal) covering, where  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is the 2-sphere and  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  is the real projective plane, this result was then applied in [14] to classify the isomorphism classes of the finite subgroups of  $B_k(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ , and to show that  $B_k(\mathbb{R}P^2)$  and  $MCG(\mathbb{R}P^2; k)$  are linear groups for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $g \geq 0$  (resp.  $g \geq 1$ ), let  $S = S_g$  (resp.  $N = N_g$ ) be a compact, connected orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface of genus  $g$  without boundary. In the non-orientable case,  $g$  is the number of projective planes in a connected sum decomposition. If  $g \geq 1$  and  $k \geq 0$ , the orientable double covering  $\pi : S_{g-1} \rightarrow N_g$  induces a homomorphism  $\phi_k : MCG(N_g; k) \rightarrow MCG(S_{g-1}; 2k)$ , where the  $2k$ -point subset of marked points in  $S$  is equal to the inverse image by  $\pi$  of a  $k$ -point subset of  $N$ . One of the main aims of this paper is to generalise the injectivity result of [14] to this homomorphism. If  $k = 0$  and  $g \geq 3$ , it was shown in [4, 21] that there exists an injective homomorphism  $\phi : MCG(N_g) \rightarrow MCG(S_{g-1})$ , and that via  $\phi$ ,  $MCG(N_g)$  may be identified with the subgroup of  $MCG(S_{g-1})$  that consists of isotopy classes of symmetric homeomorphisms. In Section 3, we show that a similar result holds for all  $k \geq 0$ , namely that the homomorphism  $\phi_k$  induced by  $\pi$  is injective, and that via  $\phi_k$ ,  $MCG(N_g; k)$  may be identified with the subgroup of  $MCG(S_{g-1}; 2k)$  that consists of isotopy classes of symmetric homeomorphisms.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $k, g \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $N = N_g$  be a compact, connected, non-orientable surface of genus  $g$  without boundary, and let  $S$  be its orientable double covering. Then the homomorphism  $\phi_k : MCG(N; k) \rightarrow MCG(S; 2k)$  induced by the covering  $\pi : S \rightarrow N$  is injective. Further, if  $g \geq 3$  then we have a commutative diagram of the following form:*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & B_k(N) & \longrightarrow & MCG(N; k) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & MCG(N) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_{k\#} & & \downarrow \phi_k & & \downarrow \phi \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & B_{2k}(S) & \longrightarrow & MCG(S; 2k) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_k} & MCG(S) \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where  $\tau_k$  and  $\tilde{\tau}_k$  are the homomorphisms induced by forgetting the markings on the sets of marked points.

Note that in contrast with [4], Theorem 1.1 holds for all  $g \geq 1$ , not just for  $g \geq 3$ . As we recall in Remark 2.4, the result of [4] does not hold if  $g = 2$ . The proof of this exceptional case  $g = 2$  in Theorem 1.1 will turn out to be the most difficult, in part due to the non injectivity of  $\phi : MCG(N_2) \rightarrow MCG(S_1)$ .

In Section 4, we compute the cohomological dimension of the braid groups of all compact surfaces without boundary different from  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ , and we give an upper bound for the

virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group  $MCG(N_g; k)$  for all  $g \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Recall that the *virtual cohomological dimension*  $\text{vcd}(G)$  of a group  $G$  is a generalisation of the cohomological dimension  $\text{cd}(G)$  of  $G$ , and is defined to be the cohomological dimension of any torsion-free finite index subgroup of  $G$  [6]. In particular, if  $G$  is a braid or mapping class group of finite (virtual) cohomological dimension then the corresponding pure braid or mapping class group has the same (virtual) cohomological dimension. In [15, Theorem 5], it was shown that if  $k \geq 4$  (resp.  $k \geq 3$ ) then  $\text{vcd}(B_k(\mathbb{S}^2)) = k - 3$  (resp.  $\text{vcd}(B_k(\mathbb{R}P^2)) = k - 2$ ). These results are generalised in the following theorem, the proof being a little more straightforward due to the fact that the braid groups of  $S_g$  and  $N_{g+1}$  are torsion free if  $g \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $g, k \geq 1$ . Then:*

$$\text{cd}(B_k(S_g)) = \text{cd}(P_k(S_g)) = \text{cd}(B_k(N_{g+1})) = \text{cd}(P_k(N_{g+1})) = k + 1.$$

We then turn our attention to the mapping class groups. If  $2g + k > 2$ , J. Harer proved that [20, Theorem 4.1]:

$$\text{vcd}(MCG(S_g; k)) = \begin{cases} 4g - 5 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ k - 3 & \text{if } g = 0 \text{ and } k > 0 \\ 4g + k - 4 & \text{if } g, k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the embedding of  $MCG(N_g)$  in  $MCG(S_{g-1})$  given in [4], G. Hope and U. Tillmann showed that if  $g \geq 3$  then  $\text{vcd}(MCG(N_g)) \leq 4g - 9$  [21, Corollary 2.2]. Using [15, Theorem 5], it was shown in [15, Corollary 6] that if  $k \geq 4$  (resp.  $k \geq 3$ ) then  $\text{vcd}(MCG(\mathbb{S}^2; k)) = k - 3$  (resp.  $\text{vcd}(MCG(\mathbb{R}P^2; k)) = k - 2$ ). In the case of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , we thus recover the results of Harer. In the second part of Section 4, we generalise some of these results to non-orientable surfaces with marked points.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $k > 0$ . The mapping class groups  $MCG(N_g; k)$  and  $PMCG(N_g; k)$  have the same (finite) virtual cohomological dimension. Further:*

- (a)  $\text{vcd}(MCG(N_2; k)) = k$ .
- (b) if  $g \geq 3$  then  $\text{vcd}(MCG(N_g; k)) \leq 4g + k - 8$ .

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and results about orientation-true mappings and the orientable double covering of non-orientable surfaces, we describe how we lift an element of  $\text{Top}(N)$  to one of  $\text{Top}^+(S)$  in a continuous manner, and we show how this correspondence induces a homomorphism from the mapping class group of a non-orientable surface to that of its orientable double covering (Proposition 2.3). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 using long exact sequences of fibrations involving the groups that appear in equation (1.1) and the corresponding braid groups [3, 30]. In most cases, using [14, 19], we obtain commutative diagrams of short exact sequences, and the conclusion is obtained in a straightforward manner. The situation is however much more complicated in the case where  $N$  is the Klein bottle and  $S$  is the 2-torus  $T$ , due partly to the fact that the exact sequences that appear in the associated commutative diagrams are no longer short exact. This case requires a detailed analysis, notably in the case  $k = 1$ . In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.3. Finally, in an Appendix, we provide presentations of  $P_2(T)$  and  $B_2(T)$  that we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Acknowledgements.* The first-named author was partially supported by FAPESP-Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, Projeto Temático Topologia Algébrica, Geométrica 2012/24454-8. He and the second-named author would like to thank the ‘Réseau

Franco-Brésilien en Mathématiques' for financial support for their respective visits to the Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme UMR CNRS 6139, Université de Caen Normandie, from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 15<sup>th</sup> of September 2016, and to the Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, from the 9<sup>th</sup> of July to the 1<sup>st</sup> of August 2016. The third-named author was supported by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología to visit the Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme UMR CNRS 6139, Université de Caen Normandie, from the 1<sup>st</sup> of February 2014 to the 31<sup>st</sup> of January 2015. During that period, he was also partially supported by the Laboratorio Internacional Solomon Lefschetz (LAISLA).

## 2. ORIENTATION-TRUE MAPPINGS AND THE ORIENTABLE DOUBLE COVERING

Let  $M, N$  be manifolds with base points  $x_0, y_0$ , respectively. Following [27], a pointed map  $f : (M, x_0) \rightarrow (N, y_0)$  is called *orientation true* if the induced homomorphism

$$f_* : \pi_1(M, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(N, y_0)$$

sends orientation-preserving (resp. orientation-reversing) elements to orientation-preserving (resp. orientation-reversing) elements. In other words, the map  $f$  is orientation-true if for all  $\alpha \in \pi_1(M, x_0)$ , either  $\alpha$  and  $f_*(\alpha)$  are both orientation preserving or they are both orientation reversing. In the case of a branched covering  $f : M \rightarrow N$  it follows by [16, Proposition 1.4] that  $f$  is orientation-true. In what follows, we will be interested in the case of maps between surfaces. We will start with the case of non-orientable surfaces.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Every homeomorphism  $f : N \rightarrow N$  of a non-orientable surface is orientation true. Consequently, the subgroup of all orientation-preserving loops is invariant with respect to  $f_*$ .*

Lemma 2.1 is an obvious consequence of [16, Proposition 1.4], but we shall give a more direct proof.

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Let  $x_0 \in N$  be a base point and consider  $\alpha \in \pi_1(N, x_0)$ , which we represent by a loop  $h : S^1 \rightarrow N$ . We fix a local orientation  $O_1$  at  $x_0$ , and we consider the orientation on  $N$  induced by  $f$ , denoted by  $O_2$ . Moving the orientation  $O_1$  along the path  $h$  by a finite sequence of small open sets  $U_i$ , the images  $f(U_i)$  may be used to transport the local orientation  $O_2$  along the path  $f \circ h$ .

Consider the maps  $h, f \circ h : S^1 \rightarrow N$  and the tangent bundle  $TN$  of  $N$ . Pulling back by these maps, we obtain bundles over  $S^1$  that are homeomorphic, and that are the trivial bundle if the loop is orientation-preserving or the twisted bundle if the loop is orientation-reversing.  $\square$

Let  $g \geq 1$ , and let  $N_g$  be as defined in the introduction. The unique orientable double covering of  $N_g$  may be constructed as follows (see [25] for example). Let the orientable surface  $S_{g-1}$  be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in such a way that it is invariant under the reflections with respect to the  $xy$ -,  $yz$ - and  $xz$ -planes. Consider the involution  $J : S_{g-1} \rightarrow S_{g-1}$  defined by  $J(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, -z)$ . The orbit space  $S_{g-1}/\langle J \rangle$  is homeomorphic to the surface  $N_g$ , and the associated quotient map  $\pi : S_{g-1} \rightarrow N_g$  is a double covering.

To simplify the notation, from now on, we will drop the subscripts  $g$  and  $g-1$  from  $N$  and  $S$  respectively unless there is risk of confusion, so  $S$  will be the orientable double covering of  $N$ . As indicated previously, the map  $\pi$  gives rise to a map on the level of configuration spaces that induces an injective homomorphism  $B_k(N) \rightarrow B_{2k}(S)$  of the corresponding braid groups, and this allows us to study the braid groups of a non-orientable surface in terms of those of its orientable double covering [14].

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 and is basically contained in [21, Key-Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f : N \rightarrow N$  be a homeomorphism of a non-orientable surface, and let  $\pi : S \rightarrow N$  be the orientable double covering. Then  $f$  admits a lift, and the number of lifts is exactly two.*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.1, we know that  $f_{\#}|_{\pi_1(S)}(\pi_1(S)) \subset \pi_1(S)$ , where we identify  $\pi_1(S)$  with a subgroup of  $\pi_1(N)$ . By basic properties of covering spaces, the map  $f$  lifts to a map  $f' : S \rightarrow S$ , and since  $S \rightarrow N$  is a double covering, there are two lifts.  $\square$

The lifts of  $f$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the group  $\langle J \rangle$  of deck transformations. There is thus a natural way to choose a lift in a continuous manner simply by choosing  $\tilde{f}$  to be the lift of degree 1 (the other lift is of degree  $-1$  since  $J$  is of degree  $-1$ ). Let  $\rho : \text{Top}(N) \rightarrow \text{Top}^+(S)$  denote this choice of lift. We may use Lemma 2.2 to compare mapping class groups of orientable and non-orientable surfaces.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $N$  be a non-orientable surface, and let  $S$  be its orientable double covering. Then there is a homomorphism  $\phi : MCG(N) \rightarrow MCG(S)$  such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Top}(N) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{Top}^+(S) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ MCG(N) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & MCG(S). \end{array}$$

Further, if the genus of  $N$  is greater than or equal to 3 then  $\phi$  is injective.

*Proof.* If  $f, g \in \text{Top}(N)$  are isotopic homeomorphisms then an isotopy between them lifts to an isotopy between the orientation-preserving homeomorphisms  $\rho(f)$  and  $\rho(g)$  of  $S$ . This proves the first part of the statement. For the second part, suppose that the genus of  $N$  is greater than or equal to 3. Let  $MCG^{\pm}(S)$  denote the extended mapping class group of  $S$  consisting of the isotopy classes of all homeomorphisms of  $S$ , and let  $C\langle J \rangle$  be the subgroup of  $MCG^{\pm}(S)$  defined by:

$C\langle J \rangle = \{ [f] \in MCG^{\pm}(S) \mid f \in \text{Top}(S), \text{ and there exists } f' \simeq f \text{ such that } f' \circ J = J \circ f' \},$   
 where  $f' \simeq f$  means that  $f'$  is isotopic to  $f$ . By [4],  $MCG(N) \cong C\langle J \rangle / \langle [J] \rangle$ . Let  $\pi : C\langle J \rangle \rightarrow C\langle J \rangle / \langle [J] \rangle$  denote the quotient map. Let  $f \in \text{Top}(N)$ . Then  $\phi([f]) = [\rho(f)]$  by diagram (2.1). By Lemma 2.2 and the comment that follows it,  $f$  admits exactly two lifts,  $\rho(f)$  and  $J \circ \rho(f)$ , the first (resp. second) preserving (resp. reversing) orientation. Now  $\rho(f) \circ J$  is an orientation-reversing lift of  $f$ , from which we conclude that  $J \circ \rho(f) = \rho(f) \circ J$ . Hence  $[\rho(f)] \in C\langle J \rangle \cap MCG(S)$ , and so  $\text{Im}(\phi) \subset C\langle J \rangle \cap MCG(S)$ . On the other hand,  $\pi|_{C\langle J \rangle \cap MCG(S)} : C\langle J \rangle \cap MCG(S) \rightarrow MCG(N)$  is an isomorphism using the proof of [21, Key-Lemma 2.1]. It then follows that  $\pi \circ \phi = \text{Id}_{MCG(N)}$ , in particular  $\phi$  is injective.  $\square$

In the case of surfaces with marked points, there is another continuous way to choose a lift. For example, given a finite subset  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  of  $N$ , denote its preimage under  $\pi$  by:

$$\tilde{X} = \{x'_1, x''_1, x'_2, x''_2, \dots, x'_k, x''_k\},$$

where  $\{x'_i, x''_i\} = \pi^{-1}(x_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Pick a subset of  $\tilde{X}$  that contains exactly one element of  $\{x'_i, x''_i\}$ , denoted by  $\bar{x}_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If  $f \in \text{Top}(N; X)$  then the restriction of  $f$  to  $X$  is a given permutation of  $X$ , and if  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  is such that  $f(x_1) = x_j$ , we may define  $\tilde{f}$  to be the unique lift of  $f$  such that  $\tilde{f}(\bar{x}_1) = \bar{x}_j$ . The two choices for  $\bar{x}_j$  correspond to the two possible lifts of  $f$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Suppose that the genus of  $N$  is 2, in which case  $N$  is the Klein bottle  $K$ . If  $T$  is the torus, the homomorphism  $\phi: MCG(K) \rightarrow MCG(T)$  is not injective, and it may be described as follows. Set  $\pi_1(K) = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$ . The group  $MCG(K)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  [24], and its elements are given by the mapping classes of the following automorphisms:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \alpha \\ \beta \mapsto \beta, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \alpha \\ \beta \mapsto \alpha\beta, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \alpha \\ \beta \mapsto \beta^{-1}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \alpha \\ \beta \mapsto \alpha\beta^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\pi: T \rightarrow K$  be the orientable double covering of  $K$  and let  $\pi_1(T) = \langle a, b \mid [a, b] \rangle$ , so that  $\pi_{\#}(a) = \alpha$  and  $\pi_{\#}(b) = \beta^2$ . Given a map  $f: K \rightarrow K$  such that the induced homomorphism on the fundamental group is given by  $f_{\#}(\alpha) = \alpha^r$ ,  $f_{\#}(\beta) = \alpha^u\beta^v$ , if  $v$  is odd, then  $f$  lifts to a map from  $T$  to  $T$ , and there are exactly two lifts. The matrices of the induced homomorphisms of these lifts on  $\pi_1(T)$  are  $\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} -r & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$ . Observe that the determinant of one of these two matrices is positive. Identifying  $MCG(T)$  with  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , we conclude that  $\phi$  sends the first (resp. second) two automorphisms of equation (2.2) to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (resp. to  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ). In particular, the second part of Proposition 2.3 does not hold in this case.

### 3. EMBEDDINGS OF MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

As in all of this paper, the surfaces  $N$  and  $S$  under consideration are compact and without boundary, and  $\pi: S \rightarrow N$  is the double covering defined in Section 2. If  $X$  is a finite  $k$ -point subset of  $N$ ,  $\tilde{X} = \pi^{-1}(X)$  and  $f \in \text{Top}(N; X)$ , then we define a map  $\rho_k: \text{Top}(N, X) \rightarrow \text{Top}^+(S, \tilde{X})$  by  $\rho_k(f) = \rho(f)$ , where we consider  $f$  to be an element of  $\text{Top}(N)$  and  $\rho$  is as defined in Section 2. By Proposition 2.3, the map  $\rho_k$  induces a homomorphism  $\phi_k: MCG(N; X) \rightarrow MCG(S; \tilde{X})$  defined by  $\phi_k([f]) = [\rho_k(f)]$ . On the other hand, the map  $\psi_k: \text{Top}(N) \rightarrow D_k(N)$  (resp.  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k}: \text{Top}^+(S) \rightarrow D_{2k}(S)$ ) defined by  $\psi_k(f) = f(X)$  for all  $f \in \text{Top}(N)$  (resp.  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k}(h) = h(\tilde{X})$  for all  $h \in \text{Top}^+(S)$ ) is a locally-trivial fibration [3, 26] whose fibre is  $\text{Top}(N; k)$  (resp.  $\text{Top}^+(S; 2k)$ ). The long exact sequence in homotopy of these fibrations gives rise to the following exact sequences:

$$B_k(N) \rightarrow MCG(N; X) \xrightarrow{\tau_k} MCG(N), \quad B_k(S) \rightarrow MCG(S; \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_k} MCG(S),$$

where  $\tau_k, \tilde{\tau}_k$  are induced by suppressing the markings on  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  respectively [3]. In Theorem 1.1, we will see that the injectivity of  $\phi$  given by Proposition 2.3 carries over to that of the homomorphism  $\phi_k$  between mapping class groups of marked surfaces and holds for all  $g \geq 1$ , in contrast to the non injectivity in the case  $g = 2$  described in Remark 2.4.

Let  $S$  be a compact, connected surface without boundary, let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $X \subset S$  be a subset of cardinality  $l$ . If  $S$  is orientable, let:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Top}_F^+(S; l) &= \{f \in \text{Top}^+(S) \mid f(x_i) = x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, l\} \\ &= \{f \in \text{Top}^+(S; X) \mid [f] \in PMCG(S; l)\} \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Top}_F^+(S; 2l) = \left\{ \tilde{f} \in \text{Top}^+(S) \mid \tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(x_j)) = \pi^{-1}(x_j) \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, l \right\},$$

and if  $S$  is non orientable, let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Top}_F(S; l) &= \{f \in \text{Top}(S) \mid f(x_i) = x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, l\} \\ &= \{f \in \text{Top}(S; X) \mid [f] \in PMCG(S; l)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if  $S$  is orientable (resp. non orientable),  $\text{Top}_F^+(S; 1) = \text{Top}^+(S; 1)$  (resp.  $\text{Top}_F(S; 1) = \text{Top}(S; 1)$ ). Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.1, we state and prove the following two results.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $k \geq 1$ , and consider the homomorphism  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}: \pi_1(\text{Top}^+(T)) \rightarrow B_{2k}(T)$  induced by the map  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k}$  defined above. Then  $\pi_1(\text{Top}^+(T)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ , and  $\text{Im}(\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}) = Z(B_{2k}(T)) \subset P_{2k}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* First, by [17, Theorem 2, p. 63]),  $\pi_1(\text{Top}^+(T)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Secondly, taking  $\text{Top}^+(T)$  to be equipped with  $\text{Id}_T$  as its basepoint, a representative loop of an element  $\gamma \in \pi_1(\text{Top}^+(T), \text{Id}_T)$  is a path in  $\text{Top}^+(T)$  from  $\text{Id}_T$  to itself. It follows from the definition of  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k}$  that  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}(\gamma) \in P_{2k}(T)$ . It remains to show that  $\text{Im}(\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}) = Z(B_{2k}(T))$ . Since  $\text{Im}(\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}) \subset P_{2k}(T)$ , the homomorphism  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}$  coincides with the homomorphism of [3, Theorem 1]. Using the exact sequence of that theorem and [3, Corollary 1.3], we see that  $\text{Im}(\tilde{\psi}_{2k\#}) = \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$ , where  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are the generators of  $P_{2k}(T)$  defined in [2, Theorem 5]. But by [29, Proposition 4.2], these two elements generate the centre of  $B_{2k}(T)$  as required.  $\square$

The first part of the following lemma generalises results of [18].

**Lemma 3.2.**

- (a) *Let  $S$  be a compact, connected orientable (resp. non-orientable) surface without boundary for which  $\pi_1(\text{Top}^+(S; 1))$ , (resp.  $\pi_1(\text{Top}(S; 1))$ ) is trivial, and let  $l \geq 1$ . Then  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(S; l))$ , (resp.  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F(S; l))$ ) is trivial. In particular,  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; l))$  (resp.  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F(K; l))$ ) is trivial for all  $l \geq 1$ .*
- (b)  *$\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l))$  is trivial for all  $l \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.*

- (a) Assume first that  $S$  is orientable. We prove the result by induction on  $l$ . If  $l = 1$  then the result holds by the hypothesis, using the fact that  $\text{Top}_F^+(S; 1) = \text{Top}^+(S; 1)$ . Suppose by induction that the result holds for some  $l \geq 1$ . The map  $\text{Top}_F^+(S; l) \rightarrow S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$  given by evaluating an element of  $\text{Top}_F^+(S; l)$  at the point  $x_{l+1}$  is a fibration with fibre  $\text{Top}_F^+(S; l+1)$ . Taking the long exact sequence in homotopy of this fibration and using the fact that  $\pi_2(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_l\})$  is trivial, it follows that the homomorphism  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(S; l+1)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(S; l))$  induced by the inclusion of the fibre in the total space is injective, and since  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(S; l))$  is trivial by the induction hypothesis,  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(S; l+1))$  is too. This proves the first part of the statement if  $S$  is orientable. If  $S$  is non orientable, it suffices to replace  $\text{Top}_F^+(S; l)$  by  $\text{Top}_F(S; l)$  in the proof of the orientable case. The second part of the statement is a consequence of the first part and [17, Corollary, p. 65] and [18, Theorem 4.1].
- (b) Let  $l \geq 0$ . The map  $\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l) \rightarrow D_2(T \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{2l}\})$  that to a homeomorphism  $f \in \text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l)$  associates the set  $\{f(x_{2l+1}), f(x_{2l+2})\}$  is a fibration whose fibre is  $\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l+2)$ . If  $l = 0$  then  $\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l)$  is just  $\text{Top}^+(T)$ . Taking the long exact sequence in homotopy, and using the fact that  $D_2(T \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{2l}\})$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$ , we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l+2)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{q}_{2l+2})\#} \pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l)) \rightarrow B_2(T \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_{2l}\}),$$

where the homomorphism  $(\tilde{q}_{2l+2})\#$  is induced by the map  $\tilde{q}_{2l+2}: \text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l+2) \rightarrow \text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l)$  that forgets the marking on the last two points. If  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l))$  is trivial for some  $l \geq 1$  then clearly  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2l+2))$  is also trivial. So applying induction on  $l$ , it suffices to prove the result for  $l = 1$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1 that the map  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T)) \rightarrow B_2(T)$  sends  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T))$  isomorphically onto the centre of  $B_2(T)$ . It follows from exactness of (3.1) that  $\pi_1(\text{Top}_F^+(T; 2))$  is trivial, and this completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$



*Remark 3.3.* If  $S$  is a surface that satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2(a) then  $S$  is different from the 2-sphere [26, lines 2–3, p. 303] and the real projective plane [18, Theorem 3.1], so  $S$  is an Eilenberg Mac Lane space of type  $K(\pi, 1)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Consider the double covering  $\pi : S \rightarrow N$ , fix a  $k$ -point subset  $X$  of  $N$ , and let  $\tilde{X} = \pi^{-1}(X)$ . By the comments preceding the statement of the theorem, we obtain the following commutative diagram of fibrations:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Top}(N; X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Top}(N) & \xrightarrow{\psi_k} & D_k(N) \\ \downarrow \rho_k & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \varphi_k \\ \mathrm{Top}^+(S; \tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Top}^+(S) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_{2k}} & D_{2k}(S), \end{array}$$

where  $\rho$  is as defined in Section 2, and  $\varphi_k : D_k(N) \rightarrow D_{2k}(S)$  is given by equation (1.3). The left-hand square clearly commutes because  $\rho_k$  is the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $\mathrm{Top}(N; k)$ . We claim that the right-hand square also commutes. On the one hand,  $\pi(\tilde{\psi}_{2k} \circ \rho(f)) = \pi \circ \rho(f)(\tilde{X}) = f \circ \pi(\tilde{X}) = f(X)$ , using the fact that  $\rho(f)$  is a lift of  $f$ , so  $\tilde{\psi}_{2k} \circ \rho(f) = \rho(f)(\tilde{X}) \subset \pi^{-1}(f(X)) = \varphi_k \circ \psi_k(f)$ . Conversely, if  $y \in \pi^{-1}(f(X))$ , then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi(y) = f(x)$ . If  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  is a lift of  $x$  then  $y \in \{\rho(f)(\tilde{x}), J \circ \rho(f)(\tilde{x})\} = \{\rho(f)(\tilde{x}), \rho(f)(J(\tilde{x}))\} \subset \rho(f)(\tilde{X})$ , which proves the claim. Let  $\Phi_1 : \pi_1 \mathrm{Top}(N) \rightarrow \pi_1 \mathrm{Top}^+(S)$  denote the homomorphism induced by  $\rho$  on the level of fundamental groups. We now take the long exact sequence in homotopy of the commutative diagram (3.2). The form of the resulting commutative diagram depends on the genus  $g$  of  $N$ , hence we consider the following three cases.

- (a) Suppose that  $g \geq 3$ . Then by [19],  $\pi_1 \mathrm{Top}(N)$  and  $\pi_1 \mathrm{Top}^+(S)$  are trivial, and we obtain the commutative diagram of (1.4). Since  $\varphi_{k\#}$  (resp.  $\phi$ ) is injective by [14] (resp. by Proposition 2.3), the injectivity of  $\phi_k$  follows from the 5-Lemma.
- (b) Suppose that  $g = 1$ . Using [14, 30], we obtain the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}_{\pi_1 \mathrm{Top}(\mathbb{R}P^2)} & \longrightarrow & B_k(\mathbb{R}P^2) & \longrightarrow & MCG(\mathbb{R}P^2; k) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_{k\#} & & \downarrow \phi_k \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}_{\pi_1 \mathrm{Top}^+(\mathbb{S}^2)} & \longrightarrow & B_{2k}(\mathbb{S}^2) & \longrightarrow & MCG(\mathbb{S}^2; 2k) \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

where in both cases,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is identified with the subgroup generated by the full-twist braid of the corresponding braid group. Since  $\varphi_{k\#}$  is injective by [14], so is  $\Phi_1$ , and a routine diagram-chasing argument shows that  $\phi_k$  is injective.

- (c) Finally suppose that  $g = 2$ , so that  $N$  is the Klein bottle  $K$  and  $S$  is the torus  $T$ . Let  $k \geq 1$ . We claim that  $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_k) \subset PMCG(K; k)$ . To prove this, let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Let  $\sigma : MCG(K; k) \rightarrow \Sigma_k$  and  $\tilde{\sigma} : MCG(T; 2k) \rightarrow \Sigma_{2k}$  denote the usual homomorphisms onto the symmetric groups of  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  respectively as described in equation (1.2). From the geometric construction of  $\rho_k$ , if  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  and  $\sigma([f])(x_i) = x_j$  then  $\tilde{\sigma}([\rho_k(f)])(y_i) \in \pi^{-1}(x_j)$ . If  $[f] \in \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_k)$ , where  $f \in \mathrm{Top}(K, X)$ , then  $[\rho_k(f)] = \phi_k([f]) = [\mathrm{Id}_S]$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\sigma}([\rho_k(f)]) = \mathrm{Id}_{\Sigma_{2k}}$ , so for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}([\rho_k(f)])(y_i) = y_i$ , and it follows that  $\sigma([f])(x_i) = x_i$ , whence  $[f] \in PMCG(K; k)$  as claimed. It thus suffices to prove that the restriction  $\phi_k|_{PMCG(K; k)}$  of  $\phi_k$  to  $PMCG(K; k)$  is injective. Now assume that  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $p_k : F_k(K) \rightarrow F_{k-1}(K)$  (resp.  $q_k : \mathrm{Top}_F(K; k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Top}_F(K; k-1)$ ) be the map given

by forgetting the last coordinate (resp. the marking on the last point). Let  $D_{2k}^{(2)}(T) = F_{2k}(T)/\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2}_{k \text{ times}}$ , let  $B_{2k}^{(2)}(T) = \pi_1 D_{2k}^{(2)}(T)$ . Let  $\tilde{p}_{2k}: D_{2k}^{(2)}(T) \rightarrow D_{2(k-1)}^{(2)}(T)$  be the map given by forgetting the last two coordinates, and let  $\tilde{q}_{2k}: \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2k) \rightarrow \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2(k-1))$  be the map defined in the proof of Lemma 3.2(b). Then we have the following commutative diagram whose rows are fibrations:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2(k-1)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Top}^+(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)}} & D_{2(k-1)}^{(2)}(T) \\ \uparrow \tilde{q}_{2k} & & \parallel & & \uparrow \tilde{p}_{2k} \\ \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Top}^+(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{2k}} & D_{2k}^{(2)}(T) \\ \uparrow \rho_k|_{\text{Top}_F(K;k)} & & \uparrow \rho & & \uparrow \hat{\varphi}_k \\ \text{Top}_F(K; k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Top}(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta_k} & F_k(K) \\ \downarrow q_k & & \parallel & & \downarrow p_k \\ \text{Top}_F(K; k-1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Top}(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{k-1}} & F_{k-1}(K) \\ \downarrow \rho_{k-1}|_{\text{Top}_F(K;k-1)} & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \hat{\varphi}_{k-1} \\ \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2(k-1)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Top}^+(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)}} & D_{2(k-1)}^{(2)}(T). \end{array}$$

The map  $\delta_k: \text{Top}(K) \rightarrow F_k(K)$  (resp.  $\tilde{\delta}_{2k}: \text{Top}^+(T) \rightarrow D_{2k}^{(2)}(T)$ ) is defined by:

$$\delta_k(f) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_k)) \quad (\text{resp. } \tilde{\delta}_{2k}(\tilde{f}) = (\tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(x_1)), \dots, \tilde{f}(\pi^{-1}(x_k))))$$

for all  $f \in \text{Top}(K)$  (resp. for all  $\tilde{f} \in \text{Top}^+(T)$ ), and the map  $\hat{\varphi}_k: F_k(K) \rightarrow D_{2k}^{(2)}(T)$  is defined by  $\hat{\varphi}_k(v_1, \dots, v_k) = (\pi^{-1}(v_1), \dots, \pi^{-1}(v_k))$  for all  $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in F_k(K)$ . Note also that the diagram remains commutative if we identify the corresponding terms of the first and last rows. Taking the long exact sequence in homotopy of the diagram (3.4) and applying Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following commutative diagram whose rows are exact:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Top}^+(T) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})\#} & B_{2(k-1)}^{(2)}(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)}} & \pi_0 \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2(k-1)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_{2(k-1)}} & MCG(T) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow (\tilde{p}_{2k})\# & & \uparrow (\tilde{q}_{2k})\# & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Top}^+(T) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\delta}_{2k})\#} & B_{2k}^{(2)}(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{2k}} & \pi_0 \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2k) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_{2k}} & MCG(T) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \uparrow \Phi_1 & & \uparrow (\hat{\varphi}_k)\# & & \uparrow \phi_k|_{PMCG(K;k)} & & \uparrow \phi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Top}(K) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_k)\#} & P_k(K) & \xrightarrow{\partial_k} & PMCG(K; k) & \xrightarrow{\tau_k} & MCG(K) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow (p_k)\# & & \downarrow (q_k)\# & & \parallel & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Top}(K) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_{k-1})\#} & P_{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{k-1}} & PMCG(K; k-1) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{k-1}} & MCG(K) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \downarrow (\hat{\varphi}_{k-1})\# & & \downarrow \phi_{k-1}|_{PMCG(K;k-1)} & & \downarrow \phi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Top}^+(T) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})\#} & B_{2(k-1)}^{(2)}(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)}} & \pi_0 \text{Top}_{\tilde{F}}^+(T; 2(k-1)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_{2(k-1)}} & MCG(T) & \longrightarrow & 1, \end{array}$$

where  $\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)}, \tilde{\delta}_{2k}, \partial_k$  and  $\partial_{k-1}$  are the boundary homomorphisms associated with the corresponding fibrations of (3.4),  $\tilde{\tau}_{2(k-1)}, \tilde{\tau}_{2k}, \tau_{k-1}$  and  $\tau_k$  are the restrictions of these

homomorphisms to the given groups,  $(\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#}$  and  $(q_k)_{\#}$  are the maps induced by  $\tilde{q}_{2k}$  and  $q_k$  respectively on the level of  $\pi_0$ , and  $(\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})_{\#}$ ,  $(\tilde{\delta}_{2k})_{\#}$ ,  $(\delta_k)_{\#}$  and  $(\delta_{k-1})_{\#}$  are the homomorphisms induced by  $\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)}$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}_{2k}$ ,  $\delta_k$  and  $\delta_{k-1}$  on the level of  $\pi_1$ . The homomorphism  $\phi$  is given by Proposition 2.3, and  $(\hat{\varphi}_k)_{\#}$  is the restriction of  $\varphi_{k\#}$  to  $P_k(K)$ , so is injective by [14]. We remark that  $\pi_1 \text{Top}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  (resp.  $\pi_1 \text{Top}^+(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ ), and may be identified with the centre of  $B_k(K)$  (resp. of  $B_{2k}(T)$ ), which in fact lies in  $P_k(K)$  (resp. in  $P_{2k}(T)$ ). Once more, the diagram (3.5) remains commutative if we identify the corresponding groups of the first and last rows. In particular, the second and third columns yield respectively:

$$(3.6) \quad (\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#} \circ (\hat{\varphi}_k)_{\#} = (\hat{\varphi}_{k-1})_{\#} \circ (p_k)_{\#}$$

$$(3.7) \quad (\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#} \circ \phi_k \Big|_{PMCG(K;k)} = \phi_{k-1} \Big|_{PMCG(K;k-1)} \circ (q_k)_{\#}.$$

We recall at this point that our aim is to show that the homomorphism  $\phi_k \Big|_{PMCG(K;k)}$  is injective. Note that by diagram (3.5),  $(\hat{\varphi}_k)_{\#} \circ (\delta_k)_{\#} = (\tilde{\delta}_{2k})_{\#}$ , and it follows from the exactness of (3.5) and the injectivity of  $(\hat{\varphi}_k)_{\#}$  that  $\Phi_1$  is also injective. We claim that the restriction

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{\partial}_{2k} \Big|_{\text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#})} : \text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#}) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}((\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#})$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed:

- (i) it is well defined. Indeed, if  $x \in \text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#})$  then by the commutative diagram (3.5),  $(\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#} \circ \tilde{\partial}_{2k}(x) = \tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)} \circ (\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#}(x) = 1$ , so  $\tilde{\partial}_{2k}(x) \in \text{Ker}((\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#})$ .
- (ii) suppose that  $x \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\partial}_{2k} \Big|_{\text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#})})$ . By exactness of the second row of the diagram (3.5), there exists  $z \in \pi_1 \text{Top}^+(T)$  such that  $(\tilde{\delta}_{2k})_{\#}(z) = x$ , and by commutativity of the same diagram,  $(\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})_{\#}(z) = (\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#} \circ (\delta_{2k})_{\#}(z) = (\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#}(x) = 1$ . But  $(\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})_{\#}$  is injective, so  $z = 1$ , whence  $x = 1$ . Thus  $\tilde{\partial}_{2k} \Big|_{\text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#})}$  is injective too.
- (iii) let  $y \in \text{Ker}((\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#})$ . Using commutativity and exactness of the second row of the diagram (3.5), we have  $y \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\tau}_{2k})$ , so there exists  $w \in B_{2k}^{(2)}(T)$  such that  $\tilde{\partial}_{2k}(w) = y$ , and thus  $(\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#}(w) \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})$ . Hence there exists  $z \in \pi_1 \text{Top}^+(T)$  such that  $(\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#}(w) = (\tilde{\delta}_{2(k-1)})_{\#}(z) = (\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#} \circ (\delta_{2k})_{\#}(z)$ . It follows that  $w(\delta_{2k})_{\#}(z^{-1}) \in \text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#})$ , and that  $\tilde{\partial}_{2k}(w(\delta_{2k})_{\#}(z^{-1})) = \tilde{\partial}_{2k}(w) = y$  by exactness of the second row of (3.5), which proves that  $\tilde{\partial}_{2k} \Big|_{\text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_{\#})}$  is surjective.

In a similar manner, one may show that the restriction

$$(3.9) \quad \partial_k \Big|_{\text{Ker}((p_k)_{\#})} : \text{Ker}((p_k)_{\#}) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}((q_k)_{\#})$$

is also an isomorphism, and if  $w \in \text{Ker}((q_k)_{\#})$  then  $(\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#}(\phi_k(w)) = \phi_{k-1}((q_k)_{\#}(w)) = 1$  by equation (3.7), and so  $\phi_k(w) \in \text{Ker}((\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#})$ . We thus obtain the following commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}((q_k)_{\#}) & \longrightarrow & PMCG(K; k) & \xrightarrow{(q_k)_{\#}} & PMCG(K; k-1) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \phi_k \Big|_{\text{Ker}((q_k)_{\#})} & & \downarrow \phi_k \Big|_{PMCG(K;k)} & & \downarrow \phi_{k-1} \Big|_{PMCG(K;k-1)} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker}((\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Top}_F^+(T; 2k) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{q}_{2k})_{\#}} & \pi_0 \text{Top}_F^+(T; 2(k-1)) \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

the second arrow in each row being inclusion. We claim that  $\phi_k \Big|_{\text{Ker}((q_k)_{\#})}$  is injective. This being the case, if  $\phi_{k-1} \Big|_{PMCG(K;k-1)}$  is injective then  $\phi_k \Big|_{PMCG(K;k)}$  is also injective by the commutativity and exactness of the rows of (3.10). By induction on  $k$ , to

complete the proof in the case  $g = 2$ , it will thus suffice to prove that the homomorphism  $\phi_1: MCG(K; 1) \rightarrow MCG(T; 2)$  is injective, which we shall do shortly (note that  $PMCG(K; 1) = MCG(K; 1)$ , so we may remove the restriction symbol from  $\phi_1$ ). We first prove the claim. Let  $y \in \text{Ker}((q_k)_\#)$  be such that  $\phi_k(y) = 1$ . From the isomorphism (3.9), there exists a unique  $x \in \text{Ker}((p_k)_\#)$  such that  $\partial_k(x) = y$ . By (3.6), we have  $(\tilde{p}_{2k})_\# \circ (\widehat{\varphi}_k)_\#(x) = (\widehat{\varphi}_{k-1})_\# \circ (p_k)_\#(x) = 1$ , so  $(\widehat{\varphi}_k)_\#(x) \in \text{Ker}((\tilde{p}_{2k})_\#)$ . On the other hand,  $\tilde{\partial}_{2k} \circ (\widehat{\varphi}_k)_\#(x) = \phi_k \circ \partial_k(x) = \phi_k(y) = 1$  by commutativity of the diagram (3.5), hence  $(\widehat{\varphi}_k)_\#(x) \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\partial}_{2k})$ . So  $(\widehat{\varphi}_k)_\#(x) = 1$  by the isomorphism (3.8). But  $(\widehat{\varphi}_k)_\#$  is the restriction of  $\varphi_{k\#}$  to  $P_k(K)$ , so is injective [14]. Thus  $x = 1$ ,  $y = 1$ , and therefore  $\phi_k|_{\text{Ker}((q_k)_\#)}$  is injective as claimed.

It thus remains to show that the homomorphism  $\phi_1: MCG(K; 1) \rightarrow MCG(T; 2)$  is injective. Suppose that  $w \in \text{Ker}(\phi_1)$ . Taking  $k = 1$ , the second and third rows of diagram (3.5) become:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z(P_1(K)) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_1)_\#} & P_1(K) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & MCG(K; 1) & \xrightarrow{\tau_1} & \underbrace{MCG(K)}_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_{1\#} & & \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow \phi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z(P_2(T)) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\delta}_2)_\#} & B_2(T) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_2} & MCG(T; 2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}_2} & \underbrace{MCG(T)}_{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Since  $\phi \circ \tau_1(w) = \tilde{\tau}_2 \circ \phi_1(w) = 1$ , we see that  $\tau_1(w) \in \text{Ker}(\phi)$ , and so by Remark 2.4,  $\tau_1(w)$  is either equal to the mapping class of the identity of  $MCG(K)$ , in which case we say that

$w$  is of *type one*, or is equal to the mapping class of the automorphism  $\begin{cases} \alpha \mapsto \alpha \\ \beta \mapsto \alpha\beta, \end{cases}$

in which case we say that  $w$  is of *type two*. Recall that  $P_1(K) = \langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha\beta\alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$  and  $Z(P_1(K)) = \mathbb{Z}(\beta^2)$ , and every element of  $P_1(K)$  may be written (uniquely) in the form  $\alpha^r\beta^s$ , where  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the Appendix,  $B_2(T) = \langle x, y, a, b, \sigma \rangle$  and  $Z(P_1(T)) = \mathbb{Z}(a) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(b)$ , where the given generators of  $B_2(T)$  are subject to the relations of Theorem A.2. The group  $B_2(T)$  contains


$$(3.12) \quad P_2(T) = \mathbb{F}_2(x, y) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(a) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(b)$$

as an index two subgroup, where  $\mathbb{F}_2(x, y)$  is the free group on  $\{x, y\}$ . The homomorphism  $\varphi_{1\#}$  is given by  $\varphi_{1\#}(\alpha) = a^{-1}x^2$  and  $\varphi_{1\#}(\beta) = y\sigma^{-1}$ . Using the relations of Theorem A.2, one may check that  $\varphi_{1\#}(\beta^2) = b$ .


First assume that  $w \in \text{Ker}(\phi_1)$  is of type one. Then  $w \in \text{Ker}(\tau_1)$ , and by exactness of the first row of (3.11), there exists  $w' \in P_1(K)$  such that  $\partial_1(w') = w$ , and so  $w' = \alpha^r\beta^s$ , where  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  are unique. If  $w' \in \langle \beta^2 \rangle$  then  $\partial_1(w') = 1$  by exactness of the first row of (3.11), and the conclusion clearly holds. So suppose that either  $s$  is odd or  $r \neq 0$ . Then

$$(3.13) \quad \varphi_{1\#}(w') = (a^{-1}x^2)^r (y\sigma^{-1})^s = \begin{cases} x^{2r} a^{-r} b^{s/2} & \text{if } s \text{ is even} \\ x^{2r} y a^{-r} b^{(s-1)/2} \sigma^{-1} & \text{if } s \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

using the fact that  $Z(B_2(T)) = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Since  $(\tilde{\delta}_2)_\# \circ \varphi_{1\#}(w') = \phi_1 \circ \partial_1(w') = \phi_1(w) = 1$ , by observing the induced permutation of  $\varphi_{1\#}(w')$ , we conclude that  $s$  must be even, so  $\varphi_{1\#}(w') \in P_2(T)$ , and that  $\varphi_{1\#}(w') \in \langle a, b \rangle$  by exactness of the second row of (3.11). Using the decomposition (3.12), it follows that  $r = 0$ , which yields a contradiction. So if  $w \in \text{Ker}(\phi_1)$  is of type one then  $w = 1$ . Now suppose that  $w \in \text{Ker}(\phi_1)$  is of type

FIGURE 1. The homeomorphism  $h$  of  $K$ .

two. Consider the basepoint-preserving homeomorphism  $h$  of  $K$  illustrated in Figure 1. Observe that  $\tau_1([h]) = \tau_1(w)$ , so by exactness of the first row of (3.11), there exists  $w' \in P_1(K)$  such that  $w = [h] \partial_1(w')$ . Let  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $w' = \alpha^r \beta^s$ . Now  $h$  lifts to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism  $\tilde{h} \in \text{Top}^+(T, \tilde{X})$  that is illustrated in Figure 2, where  $\tilde{X} = \{\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2\}$  is the inverse image of the basepoint of  $K$  under  $\pi$ . It follows that  $\tilde{h} = \rho_1(h)$ , and hence  $[\tilde{h}] = [\rho_1(h)] = \phi_1([h])$ . On the other hand, relative to

FIGURE 2. A lift  $\tilde{h}$  to  $T$  of the homeomorphism  $h$ .

the chosen generators of  $P_2(T)$ , the generator  $x$  is represented by the loop  $c$  based at  $\tilde{x}_1$ , and  $a$  is represented by two loops, namely  $c$  and a parallel copy  $c'$  of  $c$  based at  $\tilde{x}_2$ . The loop  $c'$  represents the element  $x^{-1}a$  of  $P_2(T)$ . Using the definition of  $\tilde{\partial}_2$ , we must find a homotopy  $H$  of  $T$  starting at the identity, *i.e.*  $H(\cdot, 0) = \text{Id}_T$ , for which the evaluation of the homotopy at the points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  yields the braid  $x^{-1}a$ . Let  $H$  be the homotopy obtained by pushing  $\tilde{x}_2$  along the loop  $c'$  and that satisfies  $H(\cdot, 1) = \tilde{h}$ . This homotopy clearly has the desired trace (or evaluation), and so  $\tilde{\partial}_2(x^{-1}a) = [\tilde{h}]$ . Since  $w \in \text{Ker}(\phi_1)$ , we have:

$$1 = \phi_1(w) = \phi_1([h] \partial_1(w')) = [\tilde{h}] \tilde{\partial}_2 \circ \varphi_{1\#}(w') = \tilde{\partial}_2(x^{-1}a \varphi_{1\#}(w')),$$

by commutativity of the diagram (3.11), where  $\varphi_{1\#}(w')$  is given by equation (3.13). Once more, by considering the induced permutation of  $x^{-1}a \varphi_{1\#}(w')$ ,  $s$  must be even, and so  $\tilde{\partial}_2(x^{2r-1}a^{-r+1}b^{s/2}) = 1$ , where  $x^{2r-1}a^{-r+1}b^{s/2} \in P_2(T)$  is in the normal form of equation (3.12). Since  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we thus obtain a contradiction. We conclude that  $\phi_1|_{\text{MCG}(K;1)}$  is injective, and this completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. COHOMOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF MAPPING CLASS GROUPS OF PUNCTURED SURFACES

In this section, we study the (virtual) cohomological dimension of surface braid groups and mapping class groups with marked points, and we prove Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $g, k \geq 1$ , and let  $S = S_g$  or  $N_{g+1}$ . Since  $P_k(S)$  is of (finite) index  $k!$  in  $B_k(S)$ , and  $F_k(S)$  is a finite-dimensional CW-complex and an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space

of type  $K(\pi, 1)$  [8], the cohomological dimensions of  $P_k(S)$  and  $B_k(S)$  are finite and equal, so it suffices to determine  $\text{cd}(P_k(S))$ . Let us prove by induction on  $k$  that  $\text{cd}(P_k(S)) = k + 1$  and that  $H^{k+1}(P_k(S), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ . The result is true if  $k = 1$  since then  $F_1(S) = S$ ,  $H^2(\pi_1(S), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$  and  $\text{cd}(P_1(S)) = \text{cd}(\pi_1(S)) = 2$ . So suppose that the induction hypothesis holds for some  $k \geq 1$ . The Fadell-Neuwirth fibration  $p: F_{k+1}(S) \rightarrow F_k(S)$  given by forgetting the last coordinate gives rise to the following short exact sequence of braid groups:

$$(4.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow P_{k+1}(S) \xrightarrow{p\#} P_k(S) \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $N = \pi_1(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, x_{k+1})$ ,  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  being an element of  $F_k(S)$ , and  $p\#$  is defined geometrically by forgetting the last string. Since  $S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  has the homotopy type of a bouquet of circles,  $H^i(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, A)$  is trivial for all  $i \geq 2$  and for any choice of local coefficients  $A$ , and  $H^1(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ , hence  $\text{cd}(N) = 1$ . By [6, Chapter VIII], it follows that  $\text{cd}(P_{k+1}(S)) \leq \text{cd}(P_k(S)) + \text{cd}(N) \leq k + 2$ . To conclude the proof of the theorem, it remains to show that there exist local coefficients  $A$  such that  $H^{k+2}(P_{k+1}(S), A) \neq 0$ . We will show that this is the case for  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have  $H^{k+1}(P_k(S), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ . Consider the Serre spectral sequence with integral coefficients associated to the fibration  $p$ . Then we have that:

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(P_k(S), H^q(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})).$$

Since  $\text{cd}(P_k(S)) = k + 1$  and  $\text{cd}(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}) = 1$  from above, this spectral sequence has two horizontal lines whose possible non-vanishing terms occur for  $0 \leq p \leq k + 1$  and  $0 \leq q \leq 1$ . We claim that the group  $E_2^{k+1,1}$  is non trivial. To see this, first note that  $H^1(S \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}, \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to the free Abelian group of rank  $r$ , where  $r = 2g + k - 1$  if  $S = S_g$  and  $r = g + k$  if  $S = N_{g+1}$ , so  $r \geq 2$ , and hence  $E_2^{k+1,1} = H^{k+1}(P_k(S), \mathbb{Z}^r)$ , where we identify  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  with (the dual of)  $N^{\text{Ab}}$ , the Abelianisation of  $N$ . The action of  $P_k(S)$  on  $N$  by conjugation induces an action of  $P_k(S)$  on  $N^{\text{Ab}}$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $N^{\text{Ab}}$  generated by the elements of the form  $\alpha(x) - x$ , where  $\alpha \in P_k(S)$  and  $x \in N^{\text{Ab}}$  and  $\alpha(x)$  represents the action of  $\alpha$  on  $x$ . Then we obtain a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow N^{\text{Ab}} \rightarrow N^{\text{Ab}}/H \rightarrow 0$  of Abelian groups, and the long exact sequence in cohomology applied to  $P_k(S)$  yields:

$$(4.2) \quad \dots \rightarrow H^{k+1}(P_k(S), N^{\text{Ab}}) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(P_k(S), N^{\text{Ab}}/H) \rightarrow H^{k+2}(P_k(S), H) \rightarrow \dots$$

The last term is zero since  $\text{cd}(P_k(S)) = k + 1$ , and so the map between the remaining two terms is surjective. So to prove that  $E_2^{k+1,1}$  is non trivial, it suffices to show that  $H^{k+1}(P_k(S), N^{\text{Ab}}/H)$  is non trivial. To do so, we first determine  $N^{\text{Ab}}/H$ . Suppose first that  $S = S_g$ , and consider the presentation of  $P_k(S)$  on  $N^{\text{Ab}}$  given in [12, Corollary 8]. With the notation of that paper, a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $N$  is given by:

$$\{\rho_{k+1,r}, \tau_{k+1,r}, C_{i,k+1} \mid 1 \leq r \leq g, 1 \leq i \leq k - 1\},$$

and a set of coset representatives in  $P_{k+1}(S)$  of a generating set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $P_k(S)$  is given by  $\{\rho_{m,r}, \tau_{m,r}, C_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq r \leq g, 1 \leq i < j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq k\}$ . Using [12, Table 1 and Theorem 7 or Corollary 8], one sees that the commutators of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  with those of  $\mathcal{B}$  project to the trivial element of  $N^{\text{Ab}}$ , with the exception of  $[\rho_{m,r}, \tau_{k+1,r}]$  and  $[\tau_{m,r}, \rho_{k+1,r}]$  that project to (the coset of)  $C_{m,k+1}$  for all  $m = 1, \dots, k - 1$  (we take the opportunity here to correct a couple of small misprints in these results: relation (19) of Theorem 7 should read as in relation (XII<sub>n</sub>) of Corollary 8; and in Table 1, in each of the three rows, the first occurrence of  $j > k$  should read  $j < k$ ). Note that we obtain a similar result for  $m = k$ , but using the surface relation [12, equation (1)] in  $P_{k+1}(S)$  and taking  $i = k + 1$  yields no new information. It follows that  $H$  is the subgroup of  $N^{\text{Ab}}$  generated by the  $C_{m,k+1}$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq k - 1$ , and that  $N^{\text{Ab}}/H$  is the free Abelian group generated by the coset representatives of the  $\rho_{k+1,r}$

and  $\tau_{k+1,r}$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq g$ . In particular,  $N^{\text{Ab}}/H \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ . Since the induced action of  $P_k(S)$  on  $N^{\text{Ab}}/H$  is trivial, using the induction hypothesis, we conclude that:

$$H^{k+1}(P_k(S), N^{\text{Ab}}/H) = (H^{k+1}(P_k(S), \mathbb{Z}))^{2g} \neq 0.$$

It then follows from (4.2) that  $E_2^{k+1,1} = H^{k+1}(P_k(S), N^{\text{Ab}}) \neq 0$ . Since  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for all  $p > k+1$  and  $q > 1$ , we have  $E_2^{k+1,1} = E_\infty^{k+1,1}$ , thus  $E_\infty^{k+1,1}$  is non trivial, and hence  $H^{k+2}(P_{k+1}(S), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ . This proves the result in the orientable case.

Now let us turn to the non-orientable case. The idea of the proof is the same as in the orientable case, but the computations for  $N^{\text{Ab}}$  and  $H$  are a little different. We use the presentation of  $P_k(S)$  given in [13]. With the notation of that paper, a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $N$  is given by  $\{\rho_{k+1,r}, B_{i,k+1} \mid 1 \leq r \leq g, 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$ , and a set of coset representatives in  $P_{k+1}(S)$  of a generating set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $P_k(S)$  is given by  $\{\rho_{m,r}, B_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq r \leq g, 1 \leq i < j \leq k, 1 \leq m \leq k\}$ . Using [13, Theorem 3], one sees that the commutators of the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  with those of  $\mathcal{B}$  project to the trivial element of  $N^{\text{Ab}}$ , with the exception of  $[\rho_{m,r}, \rho_{k+1,r}]$  that projects to (the coset of)  $B_{m,k+1}^{-1}$  for all  $m = 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $1 \leq r \leq g$ . We obtain a similar result for  $m = k$ , and using the surface relation [13, relation (c), Theorem 3] in  $P_{k+1}(S)$  and taking  $i = k+1$  implies that the element  $2 \sum_{l=1}^g \rho_{k+1,l}$  also belongs to  $H$  using additive notation. It follows that  $H$  is the subgroup of  $N^{\text{Ab}}$  generated by the  $B_{m,k+1}$ , where  $1 \leq m \leq k-1$ , and the element  $2 \sum_{l=1}^g \rho_{k+1,l}$ . We conclude that  $N^{\text{Ab}}/H \cong \mathbb{Z}^{g-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The argument then goes through as in the orientable case.  $\square$

The exact sequences given by (1.4) and (3.5) also allow us to obtain information about the virtual cohomological dimension of  $MCG(N_g; k)$  if  $g \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ .

*Proof of Proposition 1.3.*

(a) Since  $PMCG(K; k)$  is a subgroup of finite index of  $MCG(K)$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{vcd}(PMCG(K; k)) = k$ . From the exact sequence given by the third row of (3.5):

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1 \text{Top}(K) \xrightarrow{(\delta_k)^\#} P_k(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_k} PMCG(K; k) \xrightarrow{\tau_k} MCG(K) \longrightarrow 1,$$

since  $MCG(K)$  is finite,  $PMCG(K; k)$  has the same vcd as the quotient  $P_k(K)/Z(P_k(K))$ , where  $\pi_1 \text{Top}(K) \cong Z(P_k(K))$ . We now prove the result by induction on  $k$ . First, the result is true for  $k = 1$  since  $P_1(K)/Z(P_1(K)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Now suppose that the result holds for some  $k \geq 1$ . Since the projection  $P_{k+1}(K) \longrightarrow P_k(K)$  maps the centre  $Z(P_{k+1}(K))$  of  $P_{k+1}(K)$  isomorphically onto the centre  $Z(P_k(K))$  of  $P_k(K)$ , we have the following short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \longrightarrow P_1(K \setminus \{x_0\}) \longrightarrow P_{k+1}(K)/Z(P_{k+1}(K)) \longrightarrow P_k(K)/Z(P_k(K)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Now  $\text{vcd}(P_k(K)/Z(P_k(K))) = k$  by induction, hence  $\text{vcd}(P_{k+1}(K)/Z(P_{k+1}(K))) \leq k+1$ , because  $\text{vcd}(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$ . Using the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow Z(P_{k+1}(K)) \longrightarrow P_{k+1}(K) \longrightarrow P_{k+1}(K)/Z(P_{k+1}(K)) \longrightarrow 1,$$

and the fact that  $\text{cd}(P_{k+1}(K)) = k+2 \leq 1 + \text{vcd}(P_{k+1}(K)/Z(P_{k+1}(K)))$  by Theorem 1.2, we see that  $\text{vcd}(P_{k+1}(K)/Z(P_{k+1}(K))) \geq k+1$ , so  $\text{vcd}(P_{k+1}(K)/Z(P_{k+1}(K))) = k+1$ , and the result follows.

(b) Let  $g \geq 3$ . Consider the short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow B_k(N_g) \longrightarrow MCG(N_g; k) \longrightarrow MCG(N_g) \longrightarrow 1$$

given by equation (1.4). Then by [21, Corollary 2.2] and Theorem 1.2, we have:

$$\text{vcd}(MCG(N_g; k)) \leq \text{cd}(B_k(N_g)) + \text{vcd}(MCG(N_g)) \leq k+1 + 4g - 9 = 4g + k - 8$$

as required.  $\square$

## APPENDIX

In this appendix, we provide presentations of  $P_2(T)$  and  $B_2(T)$  that are adapted to our situation. From [7, Section 4], we have:

**Theorem A.1.** *The group  $P_2(T)$  possesses a presentation with generators  $B_{1,2}$ ,  $\rho_{1,1}$ ,  $\rho_{1,2}$ ,  $\rho_{2,1}$  and  $\rho_{2,2}$  subject to the following relations:*

- (a)  $[\rho_{1,1}, \rho_{1,2}^{-1}] = [\rho_{2,1}, \rho_{2,2}^{-1}] = B_{1,2}$ .
- (b)  $\rho_{2,1}\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,1}^{-1} = B_{1,2}\rho_{1,1}B_{1,2}^{-1}$ .
- (c)  $\rho_{2,1}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,1}^{-1} = B_{1,2}\rho_{1,2}[\rho_{1,1}^{-1}, B_{1,2}]$ .
- (d)  $\rho_{2,2}\rho_{1,1}\rho_{2,2}^{-1} = \rho_{1,1}B_{1,2}^{-1}$ .
- (e)  $\rho_{2,2}\rho_{1,2}\rho_{2,2}^{-1} = B_{1,2}\rho_{1,2}B_{1,2}^{-1}$ .

If necessary, the generator  $B_{1,2}$  may be suppressed from the list of generators of  $P_2(T)$ . Using Theorem A.1, we may obtain the following useful relations in  $P_2(T)$ :

$$\rho_{2,1}B_{1,2}\rho_{2,1}^{-1} = B_{1,2}\rho_{1,1}^{-1}B_{1,2}\rho_{1,1}B_{1,2}^{-1} \text{ and } \rho_{2,2}B_{1,2}\rho_{2,2}^{-1} = B_{1,2}\rho_{1,2}^{-1}B_{1,2}\rho_{1,2}B_{1,2}^{-1}.$$

Setting  $\delta_{1,1} = \rho_{1,1}$ ,  $\tau_{1,1} = \rho_{1,2}$ ,  $\delta_{2,1} = B_{1,2}^{-1}\rho_{2,1}$  and  $\tau_{2,1} = B_{1,2}^{-1}\rho_{2,2}$ , we obtain a new presentation of  $P_2(T)$  whose generators are  $B_{1,2}$ ,  $\delta_{1,1}$ ,  $\tau_{1,1}$ ,  $\delta_{2,1}$  and  $\tau_{2,1}$ , that are subject to the following relations:

- (a)  $[\delta_{1,1}, \tau_{1,1}^{-1}] = [B_{1,2}\delta_{2,1}, \tau_{2,1}^{-1}B_{1,2}^{-1}] = B_{1,2}$ .
- (b)  $[\delta_{2,1}, \delta_{1,1}] = [\tau_{2,1}, \tau_{1,1}] = 1$ .
- (c)  $\delta_{2,1}\tau_{1,1}\delta_{2,1}^{-1} = \tau_{1,1}\delta_{1,1}^{-1}B_{1,2}\delta_{1,1}$ .
- (d)  $\tau_{2,1}\delta_{1,1}\tau_{2,1}^{-1} = B_{1,2}^{-1}\delta_{1,1}$ .

If we let  $a = \delta_{1,1}\delta_{2,1}$ ,  $b = \tau_{1,1}\tau_{2,1}$ ,  $x = \delta_{1,1}$  and  $y = \tau_{1,1}$ , then it is not hard to show that  $P_2(T)$  has a presentation whose generators are  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  that are subject to the following relations:

- (a)  $[a, b] = 1$ .
- (b)  $[a, x] = [b, x] = 1$ .
- (c)  $[a, y] = [b, y] = 1$ .

It follows from this presentation that  $P_2(T)$  is isomorphic to  $F_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\{x, y\}$  is a basis of the free group  $F_2$ , and  $\{a, b\}$  is a basis of the free Abelian group  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . We then obtain the following presentation of  $B_2(T)$ .

**Theorem A.2.** *The group  $B_2(T)$  has a presentation with generators  $B = B_{1,2}$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  that are subject to the following relations:*

- (a)  $\sigma^2 = [x, y^{-1}] = B$ .
- (b)  $[a, b^{-1}] = 1$ .
- (c)  $axa^{-1} = x$  and  $aya^{-1} = y$ .
- (d)  $bx b^{-1} = x$  and  $by b^{-1} = y$ .
- (e)  $\sigma x \sigma^{-1} = Bx^{-1}a$  and  $\sigma y \sigma^{-1} = By^{-1}b$ .
- (f)  $\sigma a \sigma^{-1} = a$  and  $\sigma b \sigma^{-1} = b$ .

The generator  $\sigma$  given in the statement of Theorem A.2 is the Artin generator  $\sigma_1$ . The proof of the theorem is standard, and makes use of the above presentation of  $P_2(T)$ , the short exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow P_2(T) \longrightarrow B_2(T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1,$$

standard results on presentations of group extensions [23, Proposition 1, p. 139], and computations of relations between the given generators by means of geometric arguments.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Birman, J. S., Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups, Ann. Math. Stud. **82**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1975.
- [2] Birman, J. S., *On braid groups*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 41–72.
- [3] Birman, J. S., *Mapping class groups and their relationship to braid groups*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1969), 213–238.
- [4] Birman, J. S., Chillingworth, D. R. J., *On the homeotopy of a non-orientable surface*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **71** (1972), 437–448, and Erratum, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136** (2004), 441.
- [5] Boldsen, S. K., *Different versions of mapping class groups of surfaces*, [arXiv:0908.2221](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2221) (2009).
- [6] Brown, K. S., Cohomology of groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [7] Fadell, E., Husseini, S., *The Nielsen number on surfaces*, Topological methods in nonlinear functional analysis (Toronto, Ont., 1982), *Contemp. Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, **21** (1983), 59–98.
- [8] Fadell, E., Neuwirth, L., *Configuration spaces*, Math. Scand. **10** (1962), 111–118.
- [9] Farb, B., *Some problems on mapping class groups and moduli space*, In Problems on mapping class groups and related topics, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **74**, 11–55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [10] Farb, B., Margalit D., A primer on mapping class groups, Princeton Mathematical Series **49**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [11] Fox, R. H., Neuwirth, L., *The braid groups*, Math. Scand. **10** (1962), 119–126.
- [12] Gonçalves, D. L., Guaschi, J., *On the structure of surface pure braid groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **182** (2003), 33–64 (due to a printer’s error, this article was republished in its entirety with the reference **186** (2004) 187–218).
- [13] Gonçalves, D. L., Guaschi, J., *Braid groups of non-orientable surfaces and the Fadell-Neuwirth short exact sequence*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 667–677.
- [14] Gonçalves, D. L., Guaschi, J., *Surface braid groups and coverings*, J. London Math. Soc. **85** (2012), 855–868.
- [15] Gonçalves, D. L., Guaschi, J., *Inclusion of configuration spaces in Cartesian products, and the virtual cohomological dimension of the braid groups of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}P^2$* , Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [16] Gonçalves, D. L., Kudryavtseva, E., Zieschang, H., *Roots of mappings on nonorientable surfaces and equations in free groups*, Manuscripta Math. **107** (2002), 311–341.
- [17] Hamstrom, M.-E., *The space of homeomorphisms on a torus*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 59–65.
- [18] Hamstrom, M.-E., *Homotopy properties of the space of homeomorphisms on  $P^2$  and the Klein bottle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1965), 37–45.
- [19] Hamstrom, M.-E., *Homotopy groups of the space of homeomorphisms on a 2-manifold*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966), 563–573.
- [20] Harer, J. L., *The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 157–176.
- [21] Hope, G. and Tillmann, U., *On the Farrell cohomology of the mapping class group of non-orientable surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 393–400.
- [22] Ivanov, N. V., *Mapping class groups*, in Handbook of geometric topology, 523–633, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [23] Johnson, D. L., Presentations of groups, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **15**, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [24] Lickorish, W. B. R., *On the homeomorphisms of a non-orientable surface*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **61** (1965), 61–64.
- [25] Lima, E. L., Fundamental Groups and Covering Spaces, A. K. Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 2003.
- [26] McCarty Jr., G. S., *Homeotopy groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1963), 293–304.
- [27] Olum, P., *Mappings of manifolds and the notion of degree*, Ann. Math. **58** (1953), 458–480.
- [28] Paris, L., *From braid groups to mapping class groups*, In Problems on mapping class groups and related topics, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **74**, 355–371, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [29] Paris, L. and Rolfsen D., *Geometric subgroups of surface braid groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **49** (1999), 417–472.
- [30] Scott, G. P., *Braid groups and the group of homeomorphisms of a surface*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **68** (1970), 605–617.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA - IME-USP, CAIXA POSTAL 66281 - AG. CIDADE DE SÃO PAULO,  
CEP: 05314-970 - SÃO PAULO - SP - BRAZIL

*E-mail address:* `dlgoncal@ime.usp.br`

NORMANDIE UNIV., UNICAEN, CNRS, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME UMR  
CNRS 6139, 14000 CAEN, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `john.guaschi@unicaen.fr`

UNIDAD ACADÉMICA DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE ZACATECAS, CALZADA SOLID-  
ARIDAD ENTRONQUE PASEO A LA BUFA, C.P. 98000, ZACATECAS, MEXICO

*E-mail address:* `mmaldonado@matematicas.reduaz.mx`