Report on "Global stabilization of a KdV equation with a distributed control saturated in L^2 -norm", by S. Marx, E. Cerpa, C. Prieur, V. Andrieu.

This article presents some new results on the problem of stabilization of the non linear KdV equation with a distributed control which is saturated in L^2 norm. The obtained results are very interesting and well-presented. Nevertheless there are some remarks and comments listed below that have to be taken into account before a possible inclusion in Nolcos 2016.

1. General Remarks:

Limited circulation. For Editorial use only. Attachment to NOLCOS 2016 Review 637

- (a) Could you explain why you take to parameters a and u_0 ? It is not very clear for me that you need both parameters.
- (b) The proof of Theorem 2 is not clear at all. How do you obtain (46)? And the end of the proof is very strange as you obtain " $||y||_{L^2(0,L)} \leq ||y_0|| e^{aT_r} e^{at}$ " which is a very bad estimate.
- 2. Below are listed some errors and remarks:
 - (a) There are a lot of ponctuations missing at the end of the mathematical expressions.
 - (b) Page 2, first column, last line, replace "For a " by "For".
 - (c) Page 2 column 2, equation (7), the choice of " u_0 " is not very good as it is generally the initial condition.
 - (d) Equation (17), why do you have a \sqrt{L} in the last term?
 - (e) In the expression of Proposition 3, replace $g \in L^1(0, T, H^1(0, L)) \mapsto y \in L^2(0, T, H^1(0, L))$ by $g \in L^1(0, T, L^2(0, L)) \mapsto y \in B(T)$.
 - (f) In Lemma 2, could you give a precise reference of this lemma in Zhang (1999), or cite Chapouly Lemma 18.
 - (g) In the proof of Lemma 3, just before equation (19) replace $z \in L^2(0, T, L^2(0, L))$ by $z \in L^2(0, T, H^1(0, L))$.
 - (h) In the proof of equation (33) why do you use Grnwall's inequality ? And I think that $C_5 = 1$.
 - (i) In the last expression of (34), a square term is missing and replace T by T'.
 - (j) In the last expression of (36), replace T by T'.
 - (k) 3 lines after (37) replace "Lemmas" by "Lemma" and after "a solution to" by "a solution in".
 - (1) In equation (39) replace k_2 by k.
 - (m) Could you explain how you obtain (46) with (45)?