



HAL
open science

Beak color dynamically signals changes in fasting status and parasite loads in king penguins

Quentin Schull, F. Stephen Dobson, Antoine Stier, Jean-Patrice Robin, Pierre Bize, Vincent A. Viblanc

► **To cite this version:**

Quentin Schull, F. Stephen Dobson, Antoine Stier, Jean-Patrice Robin, Pierre Bize, et al.. Beak color dynamically signals changes in fasting status and parasite loads in king penguins. *Behavioral Ecology*, 2016, 27 (6), pp.1684-1693. 10.1093/beheco/arw091 . hal-01356299

HAL Id: hal-01356299

<https://hal.science/hal-01356299>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Beak colour dynamically signals changes in fasting status
and parasite loads in king penguins**

Journal:	<i>Behavioral Ecology</i>
Manuscript ID	BEHECO-2016-0018.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original article
Keywords:	dynamic ornament, fasting, honest signal, king penguin, condition-dependence, parasites

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

New Only

1
2
3 **1 Beak colour dynamically signals changes in fasting status and parasite loads in king**
4
5
6 **2 penguins**
7

8
9
10
11 4 Quentin SCHULL^{1,2*}, F. Stephen DOBSON³, Antoine STIER^{1,2}, Jean-Patrice ROBIN^{1,2},
12
13 5 Pierre BIZE⁴ and Vincent A. VIBLANC^{1,2}
14

15
16
17
18 7 ¹ Université de Strasbourg, 67087 Strasbourg, France.

19
20 8 ² CNRS, IPHC, UMR 7178, IPHC, 23 rue Becquerel, 67087 Strasbourg, France.

21
22 9 ³ Department of Biological Sciences, Auburn University, 311 Funchess Hall, Auburn, AL
23
24 10 36849, USA

25
26
27 11 ⁴ Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Scotland, UK.

28
29 12 *Correspondence: quentin.schull@gmail.com
30
31

32 13

33
34 14 Keywords: dynamic ornament, parasites, fasting, honest signal, king penguin, sexual
35
36 15 selection.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

16 ABSTRACT

17 Dynamic ornamental signals that vary over minutes, hours or weeks can yield continuous
18 information on individual condition (e.g. energy reserves or immune status), and may
19 therefore be under strong social and/or sexual selection. In vertebrates, the colouration of
20 integument is often viewed as a dynamic ornament, which in birds can be apparent in the
21 beak. King penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) are monomorphic seabirds that possess
22 conspicuous yellow-orange and ultra-violet beak spots that are used by both males and
23 females in mate choice. We studied the dynamicity of beak spot sexual traits, and to what
24 extent they reflected changes in individual condition in fasting king penguins and in penguins
25 treated with an anti-parasitic drug. We also describe for the first time the maturation of this
26 colourful ornament during the yearly catastrophic moult. On a time-scale of days to weeks,
27 beak spot colouration changed in response to fasting and experimental changes in parasite
28 load. Beak spot $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ decreased over a 10 days fast in breeding birds. For birds caught
29 during courtship and held in captivity YO_{chroma} decreased after a 24 day fast. Birds that were
30 treated with an anti-parasitic solution showed an increase in UV colouration after parasite
31 removal. Altogether, our results show that beak spot colouration is a dynamic ornament that
32 reflects multiple dimensions of changes in individual condition in breeding-fasting penguins.

35 INTRODUCTION

36 Darwin's theory of sexual selection has been central to evolutionary biology, providing
37 scientists with a framework for understanding mechanisms that might lead to the evolution of
38 individuals selecting mates that produce fitter offspring (Darwin 1871). When assessing mate

1
2
3 39 or competitor condition, animals often rely on ornamental signals that are costly to produce
4
5
6 40 and/or maintain, and are therefore expected to honestly reflect individual quality (Zahavi
7
8 41 1975; Grafen 1990; Cotton et al. 2004; Walther and Clayton 2004). Mates may use such
9
10
11 42 ornaments to assess the direct and/or indirect fitness benefits (e.g. paternal care, genetic
12
13 43 benefits; MØller and Thornhill 1998; Mays and Hill 2004; Fromhage et al. 2009) that arise
14
15 44 from mating with partners able to bear their cost.
16

17
18 45 In species where interactions with mates and/or social competitors occur repeatedly,
19
20 46 there should be strong selection for dynamic signals that allow to continuous tracking of
21
22 47 changes in individual condition over extended periods of time (Velando et al. 2006; Ardia et
23
24 48 al. 2010; Rosenthal et al. 2012). Dynamic changes in integument colouration have been
25
26
27 49 reported in fish and amphibians (Sköld et al. 2013), reptiles (Weiss 2002), mammals
28
29 50 (Stephen et al. 2009), and birds (Velando et al. 2006; Ardia et al. 2010). In birds, studies have
30
31 51 suggested that beak colouration may serve as a dynamic signal of individual condition
32
33
34 52 (Blount et al. 2003; Faivre, Grégoire, et al. 2003; Navarro et al. 2010; Rosenthal et al. 2012).
35
36 53 In contrast to feathers that are replaced only during moult and constitute an inert (non-
37
38 54 vascularized) tissue, the beak is a vascularized part of the integument (Lucas and Stettenheim
39
40 55 1972). Thus, rapid changes in beak colouration may reflect more dynamic changes than
41
42
43 56 feathers in the deposition or mobilization of pigments (e.g. carotenoids; Alonso-Alvarez et al.
44
45 57 2004), or rearrangement of local microstructures (e.g. keratin; Dresp and Langley 2006)
46
47 58 linked to modifications in individual condition over time.
48
49

50
51 59 One important trade-off shaping the evolution of honest signals is between sexual
52
53 60 ornaments and immune function, and by extension resistance to parasites (Hamilton and Zuk
54
55 61 1982). Differential allocation of pigments to ornaments or immune function is thought to act
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 62 as a constraint, so that only high quality individuals are able to invest at the same time
5
6 63 pigments into both coloured ornaments and efficient immune defences (Blount et al. 2003;
7
8 64 Faivre, Pr  ault, et al. 2003; Aguilera and Amat 2007). In addition, pigment-based colouration
9
10 65 appears to change rapidly under stressful conditions or immune stimulation (Faivre,
11
12 66 Gr  goire, et al. 2003; Rosenthal et al. 2012) raising questions about the potential role of
13
14 67 pigmented ornaments in reflecting rapid changes in body condition and energy depletion. In
15
16 68 addition to pigmented ornaments, structural colours such as ultra-violet (UV) may also
17
18 69 provide information on individual quality. For instance, inter-individual variation in
19
20 70 integument UV colouration has been linked to inter-individual variation in body condition in
21
22 71 several species (Bize et al. 2006; Jacot and Kempenaers 2007; Dobson et al. 2008; Viblanc et
23
24 72 al. 2016). However, because of the structural nature of UV colours, it is unclear whether this
25
26 73 trait is labile and reflects intra-individual changes in condition over short to long time
27
28 74 periods.
29
30
31
32
33

34 75 Using king penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) as a study species, we studied the
35
36 76 dynamicity of beak colouration and tested whether it responded to ecological factors
37
38 77 (parasites, long-term fasting) that might produce changes in beak colouration. Both male and
39
40 78 female king penguins display colourful yellow-orange beak spots that also reflect ultraviolet
41
42 79 (UV) (Dresp et al. 2005; Jouventin et al. 2005). In particular, beak UV appears to be an
43
44 80 important signal of individual quality used in mutual mate choice. For instance, experimental
45
46 81 studies have shown that reducing beak $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ decreases the pairing likelihood in both
47
48 82 males and females (Nolan et al. 2010). Further, beak UV is associated with indices of
49
50 83 condition. For instance, beak $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ was positively correlated to body condition in
51
52 84 breeding males, but negatively correlated in breeding females (Dobson et al. 2008; Viblanc et
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

85 al. 2016). Beak UV_{hue} is negatively related to total oxidative damage in breeding females but
86 not in males, and is negatively related to individuals' responsiveness to acute stress in both
87 sexes (Viblanco et al. 2016).

88 UV colouration of penguin beaks is structural, resulting from the reflection of light
89 off stacks of elongated lamellae in the horny layer of the beak, forming a photonic
90 microstructure that reflect light in the UV to violet wavelengths (Dresp and Langley 2006).
91 Removing 17% of the beak spot upper-layer results in a decrease of 10% in maximum
92 reflectance. When the horn thickness is reduced by 38% the UV reflectance disappears but
93 the YO orange reflectance remains (Dresp et al. 2005). The remaining reflectance (starting
94 after 450 nm) being that of the yellow-orange beak colour, which is likely caused by
95 carotenoid pigments assimilated through diet are present only in the deeper parts of the beak
96 (McGraw et al. 2007). Indeed, yellow-orange beak colours appear to be constrained by the
97 availability of environmental resources, birds displaying higher yellow-orange beak hue in
98 good years (Keddar, Couchoux, et al. 2015). Because previous studies of beak spot
99 colouration in this species have relied on measures of beak colouration taken on different
100 individuals at a single point in time, it remains unclear whether beak colouration may signal
101 changes in bird condition during breeding and what underlying factors might trigger short-
102 term changes in colouration. Here, we used repeated measures on breeding adult king
103 penguins to investigate changes in beak colouration focusing on two original aspects of
104 variation in beak colour. First, we examined the development of beak colouration following
105 moult. King penguins (and probably the closely related emperor penguin, which has similar
106 UV and yellow-orange beak spots; Jouventin et al. 2005b) entirely renew the coloured
107 keratin superficial layer on both sides of the beak at the end of process of feather moult (see

1
2
3 108 ESM1), a feature that appears unique in birds. Renewed beak spots are present by the time
4
5
6 109 birds return to land after the post-moult foraging trip at sea, and are displaying for mates on
7
8 110 the beach adjacent to the breeding colony.
9

10
11 111 Second, we studied variation in beak spot colouration in response to physiological
12
13 112 constraints (fasting and parasite load) of key importance to king penguins during
14
15 113 reproduction. King penguin fast on-land, facing repetitive long-term fasting periods (up to 3-
16
17 114 5 weeks for the male during the first shift of incubation) (Groscolas and Robin 2001) and as
18
19
20 115 mainly colonial animal cope with parasites which made of these two essential aspects of
21
22 116 reproduction in king penguins. Breeding birds will abandon reproduction if their energy
23
24 117 reserves are critically depleted (Groscolas and Robin, 2001), and strong ectoparasite loads
25
26
27 118 are known to diminish the health of adults and their offspring (Gauthier-Clerc et al. 1998;
28
29 119 Mangin et al. 2003; Bize et al., unpublished data) and as pathogen's vectors (Gauthier-Clerc et
30
31 120 al. 1999) may be responsible of disease transmission. As bi-parental investment is an obligate
32
33
34 121 condition for reproductive success, ornamental signals that dynamically reflect individual
35
36 122 energetic reserves or parasite loads should be of importance for assessing partner condition
37
38
39 123 throughout the season, and to allow birds to adjust their reproductive effort accordingly.
40

41 124

42 43 125 **METHODS**

44 45 126 **Study species**

46
47
48 127 We studied king penguins in the 'Baie du Marin' colony on Possession Island, Crozet
49
50 128 Archipelago (46°25' S, 51°45' E) during the breeding season (November to March) in 2011-
51
52 129 12, 2012-13 and 2014-15. King penguins are long-lived seabirds with a unique breeding
53
54
55 130 cycle. After having moulted and replenished their energy stores at sea, males and females
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 131 will court and establish a breeding territory during a period of ca. 11 days before the female
4
5 132 lays a single egg (Weimerskirch et al. 1992). Pairing takes place after ritualized interactions
6
7
8 133 with several potential partners that include calling and exposing coloured ornaments
9
10 134 (including the beak spot) to tentative partners in stereotyped postures (sky-pointing of the
11
12 135 head in unison with a potential partner; Jouventin 1982). Once the egg is laid, males take
13
14
15 136 duty for the first incubation shift; and must continue what is already a prolonged fasting
16
17 137 period. The female relieves them some 16 days later, and males then return at sea to forage
18
19
20 138 (Weimerskirch et al. 1992). Alternated incubation continues until the egg hatches on average
21
22 139 54 days later (Stonehouse 1960). Birds followed in these studies were at least 3-4 years old,
23
24 140 the age at first reproduction in king penguins (Stonehouse 1960), but their exact age in
25
26 141 unknown.
27
28

142

143 **Measures of beak spot colouration**

144 Colours reflected by the beak spot were measured using a portable JAZ spectrophotometer
145 (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL, USA) with a spectral resolution of 0.3 nm across the
146 spectral range of 320-700 nm. The JAZ contains a pulsed-xenon light and was calibrated
147 against a white standard (Ocean Optics Spectralon). Measures were repeated 3 times across
148 each beak spot (in the yellow-orange region) using a 200 μm fibre-optic probe with a 90°
149 angle window. The obtained spectra were smoothed and averaged using an R script adapted
150 from Montgomerie (Montgomerie 2008) before calculating mean brightness, hue, and
151 chroma (see below) over the spectral range 320-700 nm, which corresponds to the full range
152 of spectral sensitivity in birds (Cuthill 2006). The reflectance spectrum of king penguin beak
153 spots is composed of a peak in UV-violet region and a plateau in the yellow-orange region of

1
2
3 154 the spectrum (Fig. 2). For the UV part of the spectrum, the average wavelength of maximum
4
5 155 reflectance (i.e. the peak maxima) is around 390 nm, but may reach as far as 420 nm when
6
7
8 156 hydrated (Dresp and Langley 2006). Whereas, Keddar and colleagues split the entire
9
10 157 spectrum into two parts (before and after 499 nm) in order to separate UV and yellow-orange
11
12 158 domains (Keddar et al. 2013; Keddar, Jouventin, et al. 2015), the UV peak of birds in our
13
14 159 study extended largely over 450 nm (see Fig. 2), ending at around 490 nm (which also
15
16 160 corresponded to the start of the yellow-orange beak colour). Thus, to avoid missing a part of
17
18 161 light/information emitted by the microstructure for the UV signal and to avoid integrating
19
20 162 light in the YO domain that actually comes from a structural rather than pigment based
21
22 163 colouration, we calculated colour variables separately over those 2 regions: 320-490 nm for
23
24 164 UV and 491-700 nm yellow-orange (YO) colours. The spectral intensity of the beak spot,
25
26 165 mean UV-violet brightness ($UV_{\text{brightness}}$) and mean yellow-orange brightness ($YO_{\text{brightness}}$)
27
28 166 were calculated by averaging reflectance over wavelengths 320-490 nm and 491-700 nm,
29
30 167 respectively (Montgomerie 2006). Hue is a measure of colour appearance (e.g. 'blue',
31
32 168 'yellow', etc.). For the yellow-orange plateau portion of the spectrum, YO_{hue} was calculated
33
34 169 as the wavelength at which the reflectance was halfway between its maximum and minimum
35
36 170 (Keddar et al. 2013). For the UV-violet peak, UV_{hue} was calculated as the wavelength of
37
38 171 maximum reflectance between 320 and 490 nm. Finally, chroma is a measure of colour
39
40 172 purity and was calculated within the region of interest (UV_{chroma} and YO_{chroma}) as the
41
42 173 difference between maximum and minimum reflectance over the mean reflectance for that
43
44 174 particular region (formula S₈; Hill and McGraw 2006, p. 108). Repeatability of the colour
45
46 175 measurements are high (between 0.70 and 0.91) and given in ESM 1. Correlations between
47
48 176 those spectral parameters are presented in Fig. 1a (see also Viblanc et al. 2016). Briefly, the
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 177 correlations between UV and YO colour parameters are very low, consistent with the fact
4
5 178 that those colours in the king penguin are produced by two independent mechanisms
6
7
8 179 (structural for the UV and pigmentary for YO colours; see Dresp et al. 2005). For UV
9
10 180 colours, brightness, hue and chroma parameters were independent and therefore we
11
12 181 considered them separately in further analyses. For YO colours however, those parameters
13
14
15 182 were highly correlated. Thus, we chose to focus YO_{chroma} for the following reasons. First, it
16
17 183 has been argued that the signal with the highest among-individual variance also contains the
18
19
20 184 most information (Dale 2006). YO_{chroma} was the parameter the most correlated with both
21
22 185 brightness and hue, and also that presenting the highest Coefficient of Variation (Fig. 1b).
23
24 186 Second, YO_{chroma} has been shown to directly reflect ornament pigment concentration in
25
26
27 187 several species (Saks et al. 2003; McGraw and Gregory 2004).
28

29
30 188

31 189 **Changes in beak spot colouration following the moult**

32
33
34 190 For adult king penguins, beak spots are renewed each year at the end of the period of moult
35
36 191 of the entire plumage (ESM 2). Moulting of feathers and beak spots occur before the start of
37
38 192 the breeding season in November-January and the whole process takes ca. 32 days
39
40 193 (Groscolas and Cherel 1992). To study the maturation of the new beak spot following the
41
42 194 moult process, 25 moulting males were caught shortly before the end of moult and kept
43
44 195 captive in a pen. Birds were checked daily for moult completion, and the colouration of their
45
46 196 beak spot was measured before the moult started and after the moult was completed.
47
48 197 Seventeen birds were kept captive for an extra 2 days, (5 were released because were close to
49
50 198 reaching a critical body mass, i.e. phase 3 of fasting; Groscolas and Robin 2001) and the
51
52 199 colouration of the beak spot was measured a second time. All birds were released and left at
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 200 sea to forage. Before being released, birds were identified by marking them on the belly with
4
5 201 a unique letter/number combination using a non-toxic human hair dye (Franck Provost, blue-
6
7
8 202 black 2.1). The beach was walked every day to search for birds that returned to the colony to
9
10 203 start breeding after having being at sea to feed and replenish their body reserves. Eighteen of
11
12 204 the 25 followed birds were caught on the beach within a few hours after returning from their
13
14 205 foraging trip and beak measurements were taken a final time. At the end of the moult, 3
15
16 206 individuals presented no reflectance signal whatsoever in the UV part of the beak
17
18 207 (completely flat spectrum) but a classical YO reflectance spectrum, clearly due to a lack of
19
20 208 maturation of the UV component. These 3 individuals were not taken into account in the
21
22 209 analyses of UV colour parameters, explaining the variation of the sample size between UV
23
24 210 and YO colour analyses (i.e. $N = 22$ vs. 25).

25
26
27 211 We studied changes in beak colouration following the moult by specifying beak
28
29 212 colour variables (hue, chroma and brightness) as dependent variables in separate Linear
30
31 213 Mixed Models (LMMs). The time period of colour measurement was entered as a discrete
32
33 214 ordinal variable with 3 levels: the day the old beak spots were shed (day 0), two days later
34
35 215 (day 2), and the day birds returned from their post-moult foraging trip at sea (courtship). Bird
36
37 216 ID was entered as a random effect to account for repeated measurements on individual birds.
38
39 217 Correlation between colour parameters before moult and after their post-moult foraging trip
40
41 218 (courtship) were investigate using Spearman's rank test on 16 birds for which we had both
42
43 219 measurements.
44
45

46
47
48
49
50 220

51
52
53 221 **Changes in beak colouration during fasting**
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 222 We investigated the effect of fasting on beak spot colouration using either breeding penguins
4
5 223 that were naturally fasting while incubating their egg or of captive penguins that were forced
6
7
8 224 to fast. We ran three different studies that covered different fasting and duration periods for
9
10 225 this species.

11
12 226 In a first study performed in 2011-12, we studied 22 males and 22 females during the
13
14 227 third and fourth incubation shift, respectively. We measured beak spots directly in the colony
15
16 228 while birds were incubating their eggs. Birds were measured on their second day of
17
18 229 incubation and again 6 days later (incubation day 8). We waited 2 days before the first
19
20 230 measure to insure that individuals had settled on their eggs, thus avoiding any risk of
21
22 231 breeding abandonment. Those birds were all returning from their foraging trip before taking
23
24 232 their incubation shift, and thus this study covers changes in beak colouration during the first
25
26 233 days of fasting.

27
28 234 In a second study performed in 2014-15, we investigated changes in beak colouration
29
30 235 of 36 breeding males during their first incubation shift. Beak colouration was measured on
31
32 236 the third day after the start of incubation and again 10 days later, i.e. on day 13 of incubation.
33
34 237 Males do not return at sea to feed between the period of courtship display and their first
35
36 238 incubation shift, and thus they had already endured at least 10-days of fasting before our first
37
38 239 measurement of colouration. Hence, this study covers changes in beak colouration during the
39
40 240 second half of a long (> 20 days) fasting period.

41
42 241 Finally, in a third study performed in 2013-14 and 2014-15, we caught 20 males
43
44 242 during courtship on land (10 birds each year) and kept them captive in a pen. These
45
46 243 individuals experienced a forced fasting period (0-24 days) covering the natural fasting
47
48 244 periods of our first (2-8 days) and second (ca. 13-23 days) studies presented above. Such
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 245 prolonged fasting periods are well within the natural range of fasting observed in this species.
4
5 246 In these birds, we measured body mass and beak spot colouration every 6 days to investigate
6
7
8 247 changes in colour as fasting progressed. Upon release, all birds were observed departing to
9
10
11 248 sea to feed and subsequently seen returning at the colony to breed.

12
13 249 Within each experiment, monitored birds were all caught at same day of the same
14
15 250 breeding shift and thus had comparable breeding status. Changes in beak colouration during
16
17 251 fasting were investigated by specifying beak colour variables (hue, chroma and brightness) as
18
19
20 252 dependent variables in separate LMMs. The time period of colour measurement was entered
21
22 253 as a discrete ordinal variable (e.g. day 2 and day 8 in the first study). Bird sex was entered as
23
24 254 a fixed factor in the models, and for the first experiment (the only one where both sexes were
25
26
27 255 monitored), we considered the interaction of sex and time. However, as the interaction was
28
29 256 not significant, we removed it from the final model. In addition, we accounted for body girth,
30
31
32 257 a proxy to body condition (Viblanco et al. 2012), as a covariate in the models. Again however,
33
34 258 as body girth never significantly affected colour parameters, we removed it from the final
35
36 259 models. Bird ID was entered as a random effect to account for repeated measurements on
37
38
39 260 individual birds. In the third study, captive birds were measured in two different years (2013-
40
41 261 2014 and 2014-2015), and thus we entered the year as random factor to control for potential
42
43
44 262 year effects on colouration (Keddar, Couchoux, et al. 2015).

45
46 263

47 48 264 **Response of beak colouration to experimental parasite removal**

49
50 265 In 2011-2012, we investigated the effects of seabird parasite loads on beak colouration by
51
52
53 266 experimentally removing parasites in an experimental group of 20 breeding pairs using the
54
55
56 267 anti-parasitic solution Eprinex Pour-On®. This solution is commonly used in cattle and
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 268 poultry and known to remove a large spectrum of ecto- and endo-parasites including worms,
4
5 269 lice, ticks, mange mites and grubs) (Shoop et al. 1996). A control group of 20 pairs was
6
7
8 270 treated with a solution of propylene glycol, which is the solvent used in Eprinex Pour-On®.
9
10 271 We obtained information on changes in beak spot colouration in 27 treated birds (14 males,
11
12 272 13 females) and 33 control birds (16 males, 17 females). To control for possible confounding
13
14 273 effects of breeding timing and localization in the colony on inter-individual variation in beak
15
16 274 spot colouration, we applied our treatments so that treated and control pairs did not differ in
17
18 275 their breeding onset (all were early breeders) or where they were breeding in the colony. The
19
20 276 anti-parasitic and control solutions were deposited on the skin of the birds just below the
21
22 277 feathers at the base of the neck at the beginning of the first incubation shift and of the third
23
24 278 incubation shift in males and at the beginning of the second and fourth incubation shift in
25
26 279 females. The efficiency of the anti-parasitic treatment was controlled by counting tick loads
27
28 280 (*Ixodes uriae*) on a small part of the body (the head) of monitored birds. At the start of the
29
30 281 treatments (i.e. beginning of shift one of males and shift two of females), there was no
31
32 282 difference in the number of ticks on the head of birds treated with the anti-parasitic versus
33
34 283 sham solutions (mean \pm s.e. = 2.26 ticks \pm 0.62 versus 1.39 ticks \pm 0.59; Wilcoxon's test: chi^2
35
36 284 = 0.21, df = 1, P = 0.64). The Eprinex Pour-On® solution was efficient at removing parasites
37
38 285 as reflected by lower tick loads after treatment on the head of birds treated with the anti-
39
40 286 parasitic versus sham solutions (0.05 ticks \pm 0.64 versus 3.15 ticks \pm 0.62; Wilcoxon's test:
41
42 287 chi^2 = 27.23, df = 1, P < 0.001). Effects of our treatments on changes in beak spot colouration
43
44 288 were measured during shift 3 and 4 of males and females, respectively, by taking a first
45
46 289 measure of beak colouration on the second day after the start of incubation and again 6 days
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 290 later, i.e. on day 8 of incubation.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 291 Changes in beak colouration in response to our treatments were investigated by
4
5 292 specifying beak colour variables (hue, chroma and brightness) as dependent variables in
6
7
8 293 separate LMMs. The effect of the experimental anti-parasitic treatment on beak colouration
9
10 294 was tested from the significance of the interaction between treatment (anti-parasitic vs. sham)
11
12 295 and time period (day 3 vs. day 8) in the model. Sex was added as a fixed factor in the model
13
14 296 to test for potential sex differences in beak colouration variation and its interaction with
15
16 297 treatment was also considered. Again, body girth was added as a covariate in the model and
17
18 298 its interaction with treatment and period considered, but as it never showed any significant
19
20 299 effect on colour parameter, it was removed from the final models. Bird ID was entered as a
21
22 300 random effect to account for repeated measurements on individual birds.
23
24
25
26
27
28

301

302 **Statistics**

303 Statistical analyses were run with R v.3.1.1 (R development core team). F-statistics for fixed
304 effects (tests of differences from zero), the total number of observations (n) and
305 corresponding number of individuals (N) are given. Effects were considered significant for P
306 < 0.05 . When appropriate, significant differences between groups were assessed using
307 Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) tests for least square means. We insured
308 residuals followed a normal distribution using qqplots (opposing theoretical Quantiles to
309 Sample Quantiles).

310

311 **Ethical statement**

312 All experiments were approved by an independent ethics committee (Comité d'éthique
313 Midi-Pyrénées pour l'expérimentation animale) commissioned by the French Polar

1
2
3 314 Institute and comply with the current laws of France. Authorizations to enter the
4
5 315 breeding colony and handle the birds were provided by the “Terres Australes et
6
7 316 Antarctiques Françaises” (permit n°2010-65 issued on the 3rd of September 2010,
8
9 317 n°2011-96 issued on the 14th of October 2011, n°2012-116 issued on the 29th of
10
11 318 October 2012, n°2013-72 issued on the 29th of October 2013 and n°2014-127 issued on
12
13 319 the 15th of October 2014).

320

321 RESULTS

322 Changes in beak spot colouration following the moult

323 Birds showed pronounced changes in the colouration of their beak spot following the
324 moult. The new beak spot appeared particularly pinkish and changed from day to day (Fig.
325 2). $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ of the new beak decreased by 14% within the first 2 days of shedding the old
326 beak spot, and continued to decrease by another 23% during the post-moult foraging trip at
327 sea (LMM; $F = 79.21$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.001$, $n = 55$, $N = 22$). Freshly moulted birds exhibited a
328 rapid decrease in the UV_{hue} of their new beak spot (by 20.7 nm on average) within the first 2
329 days of shedding the old beak spot (Fig. 2). UV_{hue} continued to decrease during the post-
330 moult foraging trip (another 10.7 nm on average), albeit less substantially ($F = 30.20$, $df = 2$,
331 $P < 0.001$, $n = 55$, $N = 22$). We observed increases both in UV_{chroma} (+19% within 2 days
332 post-moult and another 23% by the time the birds returned for courtship; $F = 51.04$, $df = 2$, P
333 < 0.001 , $n = 55$, $N = 22$) and YO_{chroma} (+24% within 2 days post-moult, and another 13% by
334 courtship; $F = 23.88$, $df = 2$, $P < 0.001$, $n = 60$, $N = 25$).

335 When compared colour parameters before moult and after birds came back from their
336 post-moult foraging trip, UV_{hue} was significantly correlated (Spearman's rank correlation; ρ

337 = 0.64, $S = 246.7$, $P = 0.008$, $n = 30$, $N = 15$, Fig. 3), other parameters remained uncorrelated
338 ($-0.36 < rho < 0.38$, $422 < S < 992$, $0.148 < P < 0.51$, $n = 30$, $N = 15$).

339

340 **Changes in beak spot colouration during fasting**

341 In breeding penguins naturally fasting in the colony, we found no significant changes in beak
342 spot colouration during the first days of fasting (between day 2 and 8 of males in shift three
343 and females in shift four) (LMMs; $0.01 < F < 0.51$, $0.48 < P < 0.98$, $n = 88$, $N = 44$). In
344 contrast, we found a significant decrease of 6 % in $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ in breeding male penguins at
345 the end of a long natural fasting period (between day 13 and 23 of the first incubation shift)
346 (LMM; $F = 7.90$, $P < 0.01$, $n = 72$, $N = 36$, Fig. 4) and no significant changes for the other
347 colour parameters (LMMs; $0.16 < F < 2.54$, $0.12 < P < 0.69$, $n = 72$, $N = 36$).

348 In captive birds that fasted up to a similar body mass of that naturally occurring at
349 partner relief (24 days), fasting duration did not affect UV beak colouration (brightness, hue
350 or chroma) (LMMs: $0.92 < F < 2.04$, $df = 4$, $0.10 < P < 0.46$, $n = 91$, $N = 20$). In contrast,
351 YO_{chroma} decreased ($F = 4.23$, $df = 4$, $P < 0.05$) with increased fasting (Fig. 5), and showed a
352 strong significant decrease at the end of the fasting period (Tukey's HSD: $-3.63 < Z < -2.77$,
353 $0.003 < P < 0.044$, ESM 3).

354

355 **Response of beak colouration to experimental parasite removal**

356 Six days after the experimental treatments (Eprinex® or sham), birds treated with the anti-
357 parasitic solution showed significant increases in beak $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ and UV_{hue} , and a decrease
358 in UV_{chroma} compared to before the treatments (Table 1; Fig. 6). Control birds did not show
359 significant changes in beak colouration after having received the placebo (Tukey's HSD;

1
2
3 360 $0.80 < P < 0.99$, $n = 120$, $N = 60$). Bird sex, whether considered independently or in
4
5 361 interaction with treatments and/or time period, never significantly affected beak colouration
6
7 362 ($0.12 < P < 0.96$ $n = 120$, $N = 60$). The effect of sex was removed from the final models
8
9 363 presented in Table 1.
10
11
12
13 364

14 365 **DISCUSSION**

15
16
17 366 Dynamic ornamental signals can provide continuous information on individual condition, and
18
19 367 are expected to be under strong sexual and social selection (e.g. Velando et al. 2006). In
20
21 368 birds, beak colouration has been proposed to function as a dynamic ornament (Faivre,
22
23 369 Grégoire, et al. 2003; Navarro et al. 2010; Rosenthal et al. 2012) as indeed appears to be the
24
25 370 case in our species. King penguins showed a rapid maturation of beak colouration following
26
27 371 the moult and we found dynamic changes in colouration in response to changes in individual
28
29 372 condition (fasting status and parasite load) in breeding birds over the scale of a single
30
31 373 breeding season.
32
33
34
35
36
37 374

38 375 **Changes in beak spot colouration following the moult**

39
40
41 376 Although studies on avian moult are numerous, there is virtually no information on moulted
42
43 377 structures other than feathers (King and Murphy 1990). Horn, claw and beak material are
44
45 378 thought to grow continuously in response to wear, but king penguins appear to be an
46
47 379 exception in that the entire horny material of their beak spot is shed every year at the end of
48
49 380 the moult, while the rest of the black beak horn is not. Thus, beak spots are replaced each
50
51 381 time these long-lived seabirds breed, most of the time attracting a new breeding partner
52
53
54
55 382 (Bried et al. 1999; Olsson 1998). After the moult, beak spots colouration becomes less bright
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 383 changing to a deeper (decrease in hue) and purer UV colour (Fig. 2, ESM4). As previously
4
5 384 mentioned, the UV colouration of penguin beaks is structural, resulting from the reflection of
6
7
8 385 stacks of elongated lamellae (multiple layers of doubly folded membranes) in the horny layer
9
10 386 of the beak (Dresp and Langley 2006). The distance (in nm) separating those double-folds is
11
12 387 responsible for UV_{hue} , i.e. the lattice dimension of the photonic crystals (Dresp and Langley
13
14 388 2006). This photonic property may be explained by Bragg's law, with $\lambda_{max} = n2d \sin\theta$,
15
16 389 where λ_{max} is the peak wavelength of reflected light, n is the average refractive index of the
17
18 390 tissue, d is the separation of the layers (lattice dimension), and θ is the angle of incidence of
19
20 391 the light (Bragg 1915). Thus, our results suggest that as the beak matures, there is a decrease
21
22 392 in the distance between the doubly folded membrane structures that compose the upper-layer
23
24 393 of the beak. Simultaneously, although the magnitude of change is weaker, the yellow-orange
25
26 394 colour of the beak also becomes purer as chroma increases. These latter colour changes occur
27
28 395 as the bird prepares to mate, over a period of 13-20 days and are likely explained by the
29
30 396 deposition of carotenoid pigments in the deeper layers of beak spots (higher chroma), as is
31
32 397 the case in many bird species (Saks et al. 2003; McGraw and Gregory 2004). Interestingly,
33
34 398 comparing colours before and at courtship for the same birds, UV_{hue} appeared strongly
35
36 399 correlated (Fig. 3). It suggest that UV_{hue} (distance between doubly-folded membranes) is
37
38 400 determined largely genetically, but some scope for variation does exist (i.e. time since the last
39
40 401 moult, time spent at sea during the past year, time spend preening, etc.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Beak spot colouration and fasting**

52
53 404 Nutritional status and body condition are important information that may be used by
54
55 405 conspecifics both in reproductive and social contexts. In the Alpine swift and the European
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 406 starling for instance, parents adapt their feeding effort to the UV colouration of chick skin,
4
5 407 which is positively correlated with body mass and structural size, and is used by parents to
6
7
8 408 adapt their feeding behaviour to the intensity of the reflected colour of their chicks (Bize et
9
10 409 al. 2006). In the same way, in blue-footed boobies, rapid experimental changes in male foot
11
12 410 integument colouration (reflecting nutritional status) elicited rapid adjustments in female
13
14 411 reproductive strategies, i.e. facilitation of brood reduction by laying smaller eggs compared
15
16 412 to controls (Velando et al. 2006). In our study, male beak colouration appeared to reflect
17
18 413 changes in nutritional status. Over 24 fasting days, captive males showed a progressive
19
20 414 decline in YO_{chroma} but no change in UV colouration. However, when fasting was prolonged
21
22 415 for even longer periods in colonial conditions (over >24 days including 11-15 days of
23
24 416 courtship followed by 13 days of incubation; Fig 4), $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ appeared to decrease. Those
25
26 417 results suggests that UV and YO colouration may signal fasting status on different time-
27
28 418 scales. A progressive decrease in YO_{chroma} in fasting birds may reflect a re-allocation of beak
29
30 419 pigments to antioxidant defences, in line with the hypothesis of costly signalization by
31
32 420 (limited) carotenoid-dependent structures (e.g. in birds: Alonso-Alvarez et al. 2004; in fish:
33
34 421 Pike et al. 2010; but see Cote et al. 2010 in reptiles). This suggestion is consistent with our
35
36 422 recent data suggesting that plasmatic anti-oxidant defences indeed increase over the course of
37
38 423 fasting (QS, FC, VAV, AS, JPR and PB; *unpublished data*). Yellow-orange colours are often
39
40 424 produced by exogenous carotenoid pigments, which are acquired from the diet and may
41
42 425 reflect yearly environmental forage conditions (Linville and Breitwisch 1997; McGraw et al.
43
44 426 2009; Slagsvold and Lifjeld 2009). In king penguins, similar mechanisms might explain
45
46 427 variation in YO colour production between years (i.e. higher YO colour in years of high
47
48 428 resource availability; Keddar, Couchoux, et al. 2015). Decreases in $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ only at
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 429 advanced fasting stages may suggest a cost for UV maintenance and the inability to maintain
4
5 430 high UV reflectance when energy is critically limiting. For instance, preening and associated
6
7
8 431 comfort behaviour (keeping the beak clean) is generally costly in birds (Walther and Clayton
9
10 432 2004), including king penguins (Viblanco et al. 2011), and reducing those behaviour may
11
12 433 allow substantial savings at advanced stages of fasting. Whether such behavioural changes
13
14 434 linked to fasting status might indirectly affect the maintenance of beak colouration remains to
15
16
17 435 be tested. Furthermore, as captive birds and free-living breeders also differed in their social
18
19 436 environmental and breeding status, we cannot exclude that such differences also affected
20
21 437 changes in beak colouration differently between the two groups. Further investigations are
22
23 438 needed to clarify how beak spot dynamics are conditioned by the rate of nutrient reserves
24
25 439 mobilization and availability of dietary antioxidants such as carotenoids by following
26
27 440 plasmatic availability concomitantly to changes in colouration.
28
29
30
31

32 441

33 34 442 **Beak spot colouration and parasites**

35
36 443 Parasites drain resources (including pigments and nutrients) from their hosts, which might
37
38 444 otherwise be partly allocated to producing ornaments. Furthermore, in fighting parasites,
39
40 445 hosts also mount immune responses that divert resources from other functions such as
41
42 446 ornamentation (Rosenthal et al. 2012; Velando et al. 2014). Regardless of the underlying
43
44 447 mechanism, parasitism should affect ornamental signals (e.g., parasite-mediated sexual
45
46 448 selection; Hamilton and Zuk 1982). Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that removing
47
48 449 parasites from males and females during incubation produced significant changes in the UV
49
50 450 component of beak colouration. While not influencing YO colours, removing parasites
51
52
53 451 resulted in beak spots of higher $UV_{\text{brightness}}$, higher UV_{hue} and lower $UV_{\text{saturation}}$ (Fig. 6). Birds
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 452 relieved of parasites should have a less stimulated immune system, and may therefore invest
4
5 453 more into beak colouration. Accordingly, several studies in birds have highlighted strong
6
7
8 454 links between UV ornamentation and parasite load (Hörak et al. 2001; Mougeot et al. 2010)
9
10 455 or in a broader context immune-competence (Griggio et al. 2010; Peters et al. 2008).

11
12 456 Here again, the independent changes we observe in UV or YO colouration support
13
14 457 previous findings that in king penguins, UV and YO colours of the beak are produced by
15
16 458 distinctly mechanisms (Dresp and Langley 2006), and appear to change over the course of
17
18 459 the breeding season. Indeed, YO carotenoid-based colouration is produced by pigments
19
20 460 embedded in the deeper beak layers and was relatively unaffected in our anti-parasite
21
22 461 treatment. In contrast, changes in beak UV suggest structural modifications. Since UV
23
24 462 reflectance depends on the thickness of the upper beak layer composed of the doubly folded
25
26 463 membrane structures, which result from the differentiation of basal cells into dead keratin (as
27
28 464 is the case for skin renewal) (Dresp and Langley 2006), an increase in UV reflectance
29
30 465 following parasite removal suggests either an increase in cell division or a reorganisation of
31
32 466 those structures. However, whether the thickness of the upper beak layer can be increased
33
34 467 after the moult (i.e. complete renewal of the structure), or whether it can be remodelled is
35
36 468 currently unknown and requires further investigations. Moreover, ectoparasites are known as
37
38 469 vectors of disease such as ticks with Lyme Disease (Gauthier-Clerc et al. 1999), which would
39
40 470 not be cured with our anti-parasitic treatment and could limit the effect of our experimental
41
42 471 treatment. Whereas other proximal mechanisms are likely, our results point to parasitism as
43
44 472 an important influence on structural based UV colour signalisation in the king penguin.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 473

54
55 474 **Concluding remarks**
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 475 Beak colouration of king penguins can be highly flexible, with both components of beak
4
5 476 colouration (UV and YO) modified independently. Both hue and chroma of the UV, and
6
7 477 chroma of the YO of beak spot appear to have a maturation process, with an associated
8
9 478 decline in beak spot brightness that continues through pre-breeding moult, subsequent
10
11 479 feeding at sea, return to the breeding grounds, and mating. Importantly, due to its dynamicity,
12
13 480 beak colouration may serve as an important signal of short-term changes in individual
14
15 481 condition over the course of a single breeding season. This supports the idea that the
16
17 482 information conveyed by sexual ornaments is not restricted to the single time period when
18
19 483 mate choice occurs (e.g. Velando et al. 2006; Ardia et al. 2010).

20
21
22 484 Previous studies have found that higher beak $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ is associated with higher
23
24 485 mating prospects (Nolan et al. 2010) and different body condition in males and females
25
26 486 (Dobson et al. 2008; Viblanc et al. 2016), and higher beak UV_{hue} is negatively related with
27
28 487 hormonal stress responses and oxidative damages in female (Viblanc et al. 2016). In line with
29
30 488 these studies, our current results highlighted positive associations between $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ and
31
32 489 YO_{chroma} and fasting, when condition decrease colour decrease. In the contrary, when during
33
34 490 breeding parasite are removed the condition of the birds increase as well as $UV_{\text{brightness}}$. The
35
36 491 next step is to focus on how such variations might be perceived by the mate at the time it
37
38 492 takes over egg or chick-guarding duties, how beak colouration may help parents coordinate
39
40 493 their efforts throughout the breeding season, and how dynamic changes in beak colouration
41
42 494 may be perceived by social conspecifics.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 496 **Acknowledgments**

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 497 We thank all over-wintering assistants: Benoit Gineste, Sylvia Pardonnet, Laureline Durand,
4
5 498 Emilie Lefol and Hédi Saadaoui for field work and Emilio Rojas for helpful discussion on the
6
7
8 499 analyses. We apologize to our stick insect (*Carausius morosus*) for bearing with VAV's
9
10 500 inquisitive curiosity during our debates on colour ornaments in king penguins. We sincerely
11
12 501 thank the editor and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on a previous
13
14 502 version of the paper. This research was funded by the French Polar Institute (IPEV–Research
15
16 503 Program 119) and the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS-INEE). Field
17
18 504 logistic support was provided by Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises. QS was funded
19
20 505 by a doctoral fellowship from the Ministère Français de l'Éducation Supérieure et de la
21
22 506 Recherche.
23
24
25
26

27 507

28
29 508 **REFERENCES**

- 30
31 509 Aguilera E, Amat JA. 2007. Carotenoids, immune response and the expression of sexual
32
33 510 ornaments in male greenfinches (*Carduelis chloris*). *Naturwissenschaften* 94:895–902.
34
35 511 Alonso-Alvarez C, Bertrand S, Devevey G, Gaillard M, Prost J, Faivre B, Sorci G. 2004. An
36
37 512 experimental test of the dose-dependent effect of carotenoids and immune activation on
38
39 513 sexual signals and antioxidant activity. *Am. Nat.* 164:651–659.
40
41 514 Ardia DR, Broughton DR, Gleicher MJ. 2010. Short-term exposure to testosterone
42
43 515 propionate leads to rapid bill color and dominance changes in zebra finches. *Horm. Behav.*
44
45 516 58:526–532.
46
47 517 Bize P, Piau R, Moureau B, Heeb P. 2006. A UV signal of offspring condition mediates
48
49 518 context-dependent parental favouritism. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 273:2063–2068.
50
51 519 Blount JD, Metcalfe NB, Birkhead TR, Surai PF. 2003. Carotenoid modulation of immune
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 520 function and sexual attractiveness in zebra finches. *Science* 300:125–127.
4
5 521 Bragg WH. 1915. Bakerian lecture: X-rays and crystal structure. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*
6
7 522 London. Ser. A, 215:253–274.
8
9
10 523 Bried, J., Jeguet, F., and Jouventin P. 1999. Why do Aptenodytes penguins have high divorce
11
12 524 rates? *Auk*:504–512.
13
14
15 525 Cote J, Meylan S, Clobert J, Voituren Y. 2010. Carotenoid-based coloration, oxidative stress
16
17 526 and corticosterone in common lizards. *J. Exp. Biol.* 213:2116–24.
18
19
20 527 Cotton S, Fowler K, Pomiankowski A. 2004. Do sexual ornaments demonstrate heightened
21
22 528 condition-dependent expression as predicted by the handicap hypothesis? *Proc. Biol. Sci.*
23
24 529 271:771–83.
25
26
27 530 Cuthill IC. 2006. Color perception. In: Hill GE, McGraw KJ, editors. *Bird coloration*, volume
28
29 531 1: Mechanisms and measurements. Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press. p. 3–40.
30
31 532 Dale J. 2006. Intraspecific variation in coloration. In: Hill GE, McGraw K. Hill, G.E. Hill
32
33 533 GE, McGraw KJ, editors. Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press.
34
35
36 534 Darwin C. 1871. *The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex*. London: Murray.
37
38
39 535 Dobson FS, Nolan PM, Nicolaus M, Bajzak C, Coquel A-S, Jouventin P. 2008. Comparison
40
41 536 of Color and Body Condition Between Early and Late Breeding King Penguins. *Ethology*
42
43 537 114:925–933.
44
45
46 538 Dresp B, Jouventin P, Langley K. 2005. Ultraviolet reflecting photonic microstructures in the
47
48 539 King Penguin beak. *Biol. Lett.* 1:310–3.
49
50
51 540 Dresp B, Langley K. 2006. Fine structural dependence of ultraviolet reflections in the king
52
53 541 penguin beak horn. *Anat. Rec. - Part A Discov. Mol. Cell. Evol. Biol.* 288:213–222.
54
55
56 542 Faivre B, Grégoire A, Prévault M, Cézilly F, Sorci G. 2003. Immune activation rapidly
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 543 mirrored in a secondary sexual trait. *Science* 300:103.
4
5 544 Faivre B, Prévault M, Salvadori F, Théry M, Gaillard M, Cézilly F. 2003. Bill colour and
6
7 545 immunocompetence in the European blackbird. *Anim. Behav.* 65:1125–1131.
8
9
10 546 Fromhage L, Kokko H, Reid JM. 2009. Evolution of mate choice for genome-wide
11
12 547 heterozygosity. *Evolution* (N. Y). 63:684–694.
13
14
15 548 Gauthier-Clerc M, Clerquin Y, Handrich Y. 1998. Hyperinfestation by ticks *Ixodes uria*: A
16
17 549 possible cause of death in adult king penguins, a long-lived seabird. *Colon. Waterbirds*
18
19 550 21:229–233.
20
21
22 551 Gauthier-Clerc M, Jaulhac B, Frenot Y, Bachelard C, Monteil H, Le Maho Y, Handrich Y.
23
24 552 1999. Prevalence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* (the Lyme disease agent) antibodies in king penguin
25
26 553 *Aptenodytes patagonicus* in Crozet Archipelago. *Polar Biol.* 22:141–143.
27
28
29 554 Grafen A. 1990. Biological signals as handicaps. *J. Theor. Biol.* 144:517–546.
30
31
32 555 Griggio M, Zanollo V, Hoi H. 2010. UV plumage color is an honest signal of quality in male
33
34 556 budgerigars. *Ecol. Res.* 25:77–82.
35
36
37 557 Groscolas R, Cherel Y. 1992. How to molt while fasting in the cold: the metabolic and
38
39 558 hormonal adaptations of emperor and king penguins. *Ornis Scand.* 23:328–334.
40
41 559 Groscolas R, Robin J. 2001. Long-term fasting and re-feeding in penguins. *Comp. Biochem.*
42
43 560 *Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 128:643–653.
44
45
46 561 Hamilton WD, Zuk M. 1982. Heritable true fitness and bright birds: a role for parasites?
47
48 562 *Science* (80-.). 218:384–387.
49
50
51 563 Hill GE, McGraw KJ. 2006. *Bird Coloration: Mechanisms and measurements*. Harvard
52
53 564 University Press.
54
55 565 Hõrak P, Ots I, Vellau H, Spottiswoode C, Møller AP. 2001. Carotenoid-based plumage
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 566 coloration reflects hemoparasite infection and local survival in breeding great tits. *Oecologia*
4
5 567 126:166–173.
6
7
8 568 Jacot A, Kempenaers B. 2007. Effects of nestling condition on UV plumage traits in blue tits:
9
10 569 An experimental approach. *Behav. Ecol.* 18:34–40.
11
12 570 Jouventin P. 1982. Visual and vocal signals in penguins, their evolution and adaptive
13
14 571 characters.
15
16
17 572 Jouventin P, Nolan PM, Örnborg J, Dobson FS. 2005a. Ultraviolet beak spots in king and
18
19 573 emperor penguins. *Condor* 107:144.
20
21
22 574 Jouventin P, Nolan PM, Örnborg J, Dobson FS. 2005b. Ultraviolet Beak Spots in King and
23
24 575 Emperor Penguins. *Condor* 107:144.
25
26
27 576 Keddar I, Andris M, Bonadonna F, Dobson FS. 2013. Male-Biased Mate Competition in
28
29 577 King Penguin Trio Parades. *Ethology* 119:389–396.
30
31
32 578 Keddar I, Couchoux C, Jouventin P, Stephen Dobson F. 2015. Variation of mutual colour
33
34 579 ornaments of king penguins in response to winter resource availability. *Behavior*:1–22.
35
36
37 580 Keddar I, Jouventin P, Dobson FS. 2015. Color ornaments and territory position in king
38
39 581 penguins. *Behav. Processes* 119:32–37.
40
41
42 582 King JR, Murphy ME. 1990. Estimates of the Mass of Structures Other than Plumage
43
44 583 Produced during Molt by White-Crowned Sparrows. *Condor* 92:839.
45
46
47 584 Linville SU, Breitwisch R. 1997. Carotenoid Availability and Plumage Coloration in a Wild
48
49 585 Population of Northern Cardinals. *Auk* 114:796–800.
50
51 586 Lucas, A. M. and Stettenheim PR. 1972. *Avian anatomy: integument*. USDA.
52
53 587 Mangin S, Gauthier-clerc M, Frenot Y, Gendner J, Maho Y Le. 2003. Ticks *Ixodes uriae* and
54
55 588 the breeding performance of a colonial seabird , king penguin *Aptenodytes patagonicus*.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 589 1:30–34.
4
5
6 590 Mays HL, Hill GE. 2004. Choosing mates: good genes versus genes that are a good fit.
7
8 591 Trends Ecol. Evol. 19:554–9.
9
10 592 McGraw KJ, Gregory AJ. 2004. Carotenoid pigments in male American goldfinches: What is
11
12 593 the optimal biochemical strategy for becoming colourful? Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 83:273–280.
13
14
15 594 McGraw KJ, Massaro M, Rivers TJ, Mattern T. 2009. Annual, sexual, size- and condition-
16
17 595 related variation in the colour and fluorescent pigment content of yellow crest-feathers in
18
19 596 Snares Penguins (*Eudyptes robustus*). Emu 109:93.
20
21
22 597 McGraw KJ, Toomey MB, Nolan PM, Morehouse NI, Massaro M, Jouventin P. 2007. A
23
24 598 description of unique fluorescent yellow pigments in penguin feathers. Pigment cell Res.
25
26 599 20:301–4.
27
28
29 600 MØller AP, Thornhill R. 1998. Male parental care, differential parental investment by
30
31 601 females and sexual selection. Anim. Behav. 55:1507–15.
32
33
34 602 Montgomerie R. 2006. Analyzing colors; In: Hill GE, McGraw KJ, editors. Bird Coloration.
35
36 603 I. Mechanisms and Measurements. Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press. p. pp. 90–
37
38 604 147.
39
40
41 605 Montgomerie R. 2008. RCLR. Queen’s Univ. Kingston, Canada.
42
43
44 606 Mougeot F, Martínez-Padilla J, Pérez-Rodríguez L, Bortolotti GR. 2007. Carotenoid-based
45
46 607 colouration and ultraviolet reflectance of the sexual ornaments of grouse. Behav. Ecol.
47
48 608 Sociobiol. 61:741–751.
49
50
51 609 Navarro C, Pérez-Contreras T, Avilés JM, McGraw KJ, Soler JJ. 2010. Beak colour reflects
52
53 610 circulating carotenoid and vitamin A levels in spotless starlings (*Sturnus unicolor*). Behav.
54
55 611 Ecol. Sociobiol. 64:1057–1067.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 612 Nolan PM, Stephen Dobson F, Nicolaus M, Karels TJ, McGraw KJ, Jouventin P. 2010.
4
5 613 Mutual Mate Choice for Colorful Traits in King Penguins. *Ethology*:635–644.
6
7
8 614 Olsson O. 1998. Divorce in King Penguins: asynchrony, expensive fat storing and ideal free
9
10 615 mate choice. *Oikos*:574—581.
11
12 616 Peters a., Denk a. G, Delhey K, Kempenaers B. 2004. Carotenoid-based bill colour as an
13
14 617 indicator of immunocompetence and sperm performance in male mallards. *J. Evol. Biol.*
15
16 618 17:1111–1120.
17
18
19 619 Pike TW, Blount JD, Metcalfe NB, Lindström J. 2010. Dietary carotenoid availability and
20
21 620 reproductive effort influence the age-related decline in performance. *Behav. Ecol.* 21:1048–
22
23 621 1053.
24
25
26 622 Rosenthal MF, Murphy TG, Darling N, Tarvin K a. 2012. Ornamental bill color rapidly
27
28 623 signals changing condition. *J. Avian Biol.* 43:553–564.
29
30
31 624 Saks L, McGraw K, Hõrak P. 2003. How feather colour reflects its carotenoid content. *Funct.*
32
33 625 *Ecol.* 17:555–561.
34
35
36 626 Shoop WL, Egerton JR, Eary CH, Haines HW, Michael BF, Mrozik H, Eskola P, Fisher MH,
37
38 627 Slayton L, Ostlind DA, et al. 1996. Eprinomectin: a novel avermectin for use as a topical
39
40 628 endectocide for cattle. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 26:1237–42.
41
42
43 629 Sköld NH, Aspengren S, Wallin M. 2013. Rapid color change in fish and amphibians -
44
45 630 function, regulation, and emerging applications. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 26:29–38.
46
47
48 631 Slagsvold T, Lifjeld JT. 2009. Variation in plumage colour of the Great tit *Parus major* in
49
50 632 relation to habitat, season and food. *J. Zool.* 206:321–328.
51
52
53 633 Stephen ID, Coetzee V, Law Smith M, Perrett DI. 2009. Skin blood perfusion and
54
55 634 oxygenation colour affect perceived human health. *PLoS One* 4:e5083.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 635 Stonehouse B. 1960. The king penguin, *Aptenodytes patagonica* of South Georgia. *Sci. Rep.*
4
5 636 *Falkl. Isl. Dep. Surv.*:1–81.
6
7
8 637 Velando A, Beamonte-Barrientos R, Torres R. 2006. Pigment-based skin colour in the blue-
9
10 638 footed booby: An honest signal of current condition used by females to adjust reproductive
11
12 639 investment. *Oecologia* 149:535–542.
13
14
15 640 Velando A, Beamonte-Barrientos R, Torres R. 2014. Enhanced male coloration after immune
16
17 641 challenge increases reproductive potential. *J. Evol. Biol.* 27:1582–1589.
18
19
20 642 Viblanc V, Bize P, Criscuolo F, Le Vaillant M, Saraux C, Pardonnet S, Gineste B,
21
22 643 Kauffmann M, Prud'homme O, Handrich Y, et al. 2012. Body girth as an alternative to body
23
24 644 mass for establishing condition indexes in field studies: a validation in the king penguin.
25
26 645 *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 85:533–42.
27
28
29 646 Viblanc VA, Dobson FS, Stier A, Schull Q, Saraux C, Gineste B, Pardonnet S, Kauffmann
30
31 647 M, Robin J-P, Bize P. 2016. Mutually honest? Physiological “qualities” signalled by colour
32
33 648 ornaments in monomorphic king penguins. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 118:200–214.
34
35
36 649 Viblanc VA, Mathien A, Saraux C, Viera VM, Groscolas R. 2011. It costs to be clean and fit:
37
38 650 energetics of comfort behavior in breeding-fasting penguins. *PLoS One* 6:e21110.
39
40
41 651 Walther BA, Clayton DH. 2004. Elaborate ornaments are costly to maintain: evidence for
42
43 652 high maintenance handicaps. *Behav. Ecol.* 16:89–95.
44
45
46 653 Weimerskirch H, Stahl JC, Jouventin P. 1992. The breeding biology and population
47
48 654 dynamics of King Penguins *Aptenodytes patagonica* on the Crozet Islands. *Ibis* (Lond. 1859).
49
50 655 134:107–117.
51
52
53 656 Weiss SL. 2002. Reproductive signals of female lizards: Pattern of trait expression and male
54
55 657 response. *Ethology* 108:793–813.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 658 Zahavi A. 1975. Mate selection-A selection for a handicap. J. Theor. Biol. 53:205–214.
4
5
6 659
7
8 660
9

10 661 **TABLES**
11
12 662

13
14
15 663 **Table 1. Mixed model estimates (\pm SE) for the effects of an anti-parasitic treatment on**
16
17 664 **beak colouration in breeding king penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*).** The control [C]
18
19 665 level for the treatment factor is tested against the treatment level [T]. The time period [post-
20
21 666 treatment] is tested against the level [pre-treatment]. Bird ID were entered as random factors
22
23 667 in the model to account for repeated measures on the individual. Values are significant for P
24
25 668 < 0.05 . Note the significant interaction terms revealing different treatment effects on pre- and
26
27 669 post-treatment measures of treated vs. control birds. $n = 120$ observations, $N = 60$ birds.
28
29
30
31

32 670
33

34 671 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**
35

36 672
37

38
39 673 **Fig 1. a) Pairwise correlation plots between the different colour parameters in king**
40
41 674 **penguin beak spots.** Spearman correlation coefficients are provided and the distribution of
42
43 675 the each colour parameter is presented. Note that UV and YO colour parameters are weakly
44
45 676 correlated b) Coefficient of variation of the different YO colour parameters. We compiled
46
47 677 first measurements of the 181 individuals (36 where in courtship and 145 at the beginning of
48
49 678 their breeding shift).
50

51 679
52
53 680

54
55 680 **Fig. 2. Changes in the beak colouration of adult king penguins (*Aptenodytes***
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 681 *patagonicus*) following the moult. Average changes in raw spectral data over all birds are
4
5 682 presented for illustrative purposes. Beak colour was measured on the day the old beak spot
6
7 683 was shed (day 0), 2 days later (day 2), and after birds returned from their post-moult foraging
8
9 684 trip for breeding (courtship). Changes in brightness, hue and chroma were assessed using
10
11 685 LMMs, with bird ID specified as a random variable. Least-Square means \pm SE are presented.
12
13 686 Values not sharing a common letter are significantly different for $P < 0.05$. The sample sizes
14
15 687 are given below the means.
16
17
18
19

688

20
21
22 689 **Fig. 3 Correlation between UV_{hue} before the moult and after the post-moult foraging**
23
24 690 **trip at the time the birds come for courtship.** Correlation were assessed using Spearman's
25
26 691 rank correlation test ($\rho = 0.64$; $S = 246.7$; $P = 0.008$).
27
28

692

29
30
31 693 **Fig. 4 Changes in beak $UV_{\text{brightness}}$ and YO_{chroma} for 36 male king penguins (*Aptenodytes***
32
33 694 ***patagonicus*) that were measured on day 3 of their first incubation shift and on day 13**
34
35 695 **after having experienced a 10-day fast while breeding in the colony.** Changes in
36
37 696 brightness were assessed using LMMs, with bird ID specified as a random variable. Least-
38
39 697 Square (LS) means \pm SE are presented. Values not sharing a common letter are significantly
40
41 698 different for $P < 0.05$. The sample sizes are given below the means.
42
43
44
45

699

46
47
48 700 **Fig. 5 Changes in yellow-orange beak chroma (YO_{chroma}) in 20 males that endured a**
49
50 701 **prolonged fast in captivity.** Changes in chroma were assessed using LMMs, with bird ID
51
52 702 and year specified as a random variable.
53
54

703

704 **Fig. 6. Interactive effects of an experimental anti-parasitic treatment on the beak**
 705 **colouration of adult kings penguins (*Aptenodytes patagonicus*) freely incubating in the**
 706 **colony.** Changes in brightness, hue and chroma were assessed using LMMs, with bird ID
 707 specified as a random variable, the treatment (treated vs. sham), the time period (pre-
 708 treatment vs. post-treatment) and the interaction between those factors specified as
 709 independent variables. Least-Square (LS) means are presented. Values not sharing a common
 710 letter are significantly different for $P < 0.05$.

711
 712 **Table 1**

	Term	Estimate \pm SE	t Ratio	Prob > t
UV_{brightness}	Intercept	18.56 \pm 0.57	32.99	<0.001*
	Period[Post-treatment]	-0.52 \pm 0.66	-0.78	0.437
	Treatment [T]	-0.23 \pm 0.84	-0.27	0.787
	Period*Treatment	4.68 \pm 0.98	4.79	<0.001*
UV_{hue}	Intercept	388.65 \pm 1.51	256.57	<0.001*
	Period[Post-treatment]	0.12 \pm 1.10	0.11	0.915
	Treatment [T]	-1.34 \pm 2.26	-0.61	0.54
	Period*Treatment	4.15 \pm 1.62	2.56	<0.001*
UV_{chroma}	Intercept	1.33 \pm 0.03	46.21	<0.001*
	Period[Post-treatment]	-0.02 \pm 0.04	-0.43	0.666
	Treatment [T]	0.08 \pm 0.04	1.87	0.064
	Period*Treatment	-0.12 \pm 0.05	-2.20	0.032*
YO_{chroma}	Intercept	1.16 \pm 0.04	28.27	<0.001*
	Period[Post-treatment]	-0.01 \pm 0.06	-0.13	0.898
	Treatment [T]	0.13 \pm 0.06	2.16	0.032*
	Period*Treatment	-0.10 \pm 0.08	-1.249	0.217

LAY SUMMARY

Elaborate animal ornaments may inform conspecifics of changes in individual condition, particularly important in social/sexual contexts. In mutually ornamented male and female king penguins, we highlight rapid changes in beak coloration in response to individual condition (nutritional status and parasite loads). Those highly dynamic changes especially concerned structural aspects of coloration (UV reflectance of keratin microstructures) particularly important for mutual mate choice in penguins.

For Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60