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## Multipliers of uniform topological algebras
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#### Abstract

Let $E$ be a complete uniform topological algebra with Arens-Michael normed factors $\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Then $M(E) \cong \lim _{\varrho} M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ within an algebra isomorphism $\varphi$. If each factor $E_{\alpha}$ is complete, then every multiplier of $E$ is continuous and $\varphi$ is a topological algebra isomorphism where $M(E)$ is endowed with its seminorm topology.
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## 1. Preliminaries

A topological algebra is an algebra (over the complex field) which is also a Hausdorff topological vector space such that the multiplication is separately continuous. For a topological algebra $E$, we denote by $\Delta(E)$ the set of all nonzero continuous multiplicative linear functionals on $E$. An approximate identity in a topological algebra $E$ is a net $\left(e_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ such that for each $x \in E$ we have $x e_{\omega} \rightarrow_{\omega} x$ and $e_{\omega} x \rightarrow_{\omega} x$. Let $E$ be an algebra, a function $p: E \rightarrow[0, \infty[$ is called a pseudo-seminorm, if there exists $0 \leq k \leq 1$ such that $p(x+y) \leq$ $p(x)+p(y), p(\lambda x)=|\lambda|^{k} p(x)$ and $p(x y) \leq p(x) p(y)$ for all $x, y \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} . k$ is called the homogenity index of $p$. If $k=1, p$ is called a seminorm. A pseudo-seminorm $p$ is a pseudo-norm, if $p(x)=0$ implies $x=0$. A locally $m$-pseudoconvex algebra is a topological algebra $E$ whose topology is determined by a directed family $\left\{p_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ of pseudo-seminorms. For each $\alpha \in$ $\Lambda, \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{x \in E: p_{\alpha}(x)=0\right\}$, the quotient algebra $E_{\alpha}=E / \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$ is a pseudo-normed algebra in the pseudo-norm $\bar{p}_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=p_{\alpha}(x), x_{\alpha}=x+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$. Let $f_{\alpha}: E \rightarrow E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}(x)=x+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)=x_{\alpha}$, be the quotient map, $f_{\alpha}$ is a continuous homomorphism from $E$ onto $E_{\alpha}$. We endow the set $\Lambda$ with the partial order: $\alpha \leq \beta$, if and only, if $p_{\alpha}(x) \leq p_{\beta}(x)$ for all $x \in E$. Take $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$, since $\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\beta}\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$, we define the surjective continuous homomorphism $f_{\alpha \beta}: E_{\beta} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}=x+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow x_{\alpha}=x+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$. Thus $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}\right), \alpha \leq \beta\right\}$ is a projective system of pseudo-normed algebras. We also define the algebra isomorphism (into) $\Phi: E \rightarrow \lim E_{\alpha}, \Phi(x)=\left(f_{\alpha}(x)\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, the canonical projections $\pi_{\alpha}: \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} E_{\alpha} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ and the restrictions to the projective limit $g_{\alpha}=\pi_{\alpha} / \lim E_{\alpha}: \lim ^{2} E_{\alpha} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$. Since $g_{\alpha} \circ \Phi=f_{\alpha}$ and the quotient map $f_{\alpha}$ is surjective, it follows that the map $g_{\alpha}$ is surjective, this proves that the projective system $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}\right), \alpha \leq \beta\right\}$ is perfect in the sense of [5, Definition 2.10] (see also [2, Definition 2.7]). Thus, if $E$ is a locally $m$-pseudoconvex algebra (not necessarly complete), then its generalized Arens-Michael projective
system $\left\{\left(E_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha \beta}\right), \alpha \leq \beta\right\}$ is perfect. If $E$ is complete, then $E \cong \lim E_{\alpha}$ within a topological algebra isomorphism.
A locally $m$-convex algebra is a topological algebra $E$ whose topology is defined by a directed family $\left\{p_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ of seminorms. For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, put $\Delta_{\alpha}(E)=$ $\left\{f \in \Delta(E):|f(x)| \leq p_{\alpha}(x), x \in E\right\}$. Let $E$ be an algebra with involution $*$. A seminorm on $E$ is called a $C^{*}$-seminorm if $p\left(x^{*} x\right)=p(x)^{2}$ for all $x \in E$. A complete locally $m$-convex ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$, for which each $p_{\alpha}$ is a $C^{*}$ seminorm, is called a locally $C^{*}$-algebra. A uniform seminorm on an algebra $E$ is a seminorm $p$ satisfying $p\left(x^{2}\right)=p(x)^{2}$ for all $x \in E$. A uniform topological algebra is a topological algebra whose topology is determined by a directed family of uniform seminorms. In that case, such a topological algebra is also named a uniform locally convex algebra. A uniform normed algebra is a normed algebra $(E,\|\|$.$) such that \left\|x^{2}\right\|=\|x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in E$.
An algebra $E$ is called proper if for any $x \in E, x E=E x=\{0\}$ implies $x=0$. If $E$ has identity, then $E$ is proper. Moreover, a topological algebra with approximate identity is proper. Also, a (Hausdorff) uniform topological algebra is proper. Let $E$ be an algebra, a map $T: E \rightarrow E$ is called a multiplier if $T(x) y=x T(y)$ for all $x, y \in E$. We denote by $M(E)$ the set of all multipliers of $E$. It is known that if $E$ is a proper algebra, then any multiplier $T$ of $E$ is linear with the property $T(x y)=T(x) y=x T(y)$ for all $x, y \in E$, and $M(E)$ is a commutative algebra with the identity map $I$ of $E$ as its identity. Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a uniform normed algebra, and let $M_{c}(E)$ be the algebra of all continuous multipliers of $E$ with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{o p}$. It is known that $\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ has the square property and the map $l:(E,\|\cdot\|) \rightarrow\left(M_{c}(E),\|\cdot\|_{o p}\right), l(x)(y)=x y$, is an isometric isomorphism (into). For information on the multiplier algebra in non-normed topological algebras, see also [3] and [4].
In the sequel, we will need the following elementary result called the universal property of the quotient: Let $X, Y, Z$ be vector spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow$ $Z$ be linear maps. If the map $g$ is surjective and $\operatorname{ker}(g) \subset \operatorname{ker}(f)$, then there exists a unique linear map $h: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $f=h \circ g$.

## 2. Results

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a locally m-pseudoconvex algebra with proper pseudo-normed factors $\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. The following assertions are equivalent: (i) $T\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ for all $T \in M(E)$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$;
(ii) for each $T \in M(E)$, there exists a unique $\left(T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $f_{\alpha} \circ T=T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}$ and $T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ T_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$. Furthermore, $T$ is continuous if and only if $T_{\alpha}$ is continuous for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since the pseudo-normed factors $\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are proper, it follows that the algebra $E$ is proper and so every multiplier of $E$ (or $E_{\alpha}$ ) is linear.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i):$ If $T \in M(E)$ and $x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$, then $f_{\alpha}(T(x))=T_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(x)\right)=0$ and so $T(x) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$.
(i) $\Rightarrow(i i)$ : Take $T \in M(E)$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since $T\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ and
by using the universal property of the quotient (see Preliminaries), there exists a unique linear map $T_{\alpha}: E_{\alpha} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ such that $f_{\alpha} \circ T=T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}$. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $x, y \in E, T_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha}(y)\right)=T_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(x y)\right)=f_{\alpha}(T(x y))=f_{\alpha}(x T(y))=$ $f_{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha}(T(y))=f_{\alpha}(x) T_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(y)\right)$ and similary on the other side, so $T_{\alpha}$ is a multiplier of $E_{\alpha}$. Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$, we have $T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ T$, then $T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta}=$ $f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta} \circ T=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ T_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta}$, hence $T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ T_{\beta}$ since the quotient map $f_{\beta}$ is surjective. Suppose that $T$ is continuous. Let $O_{\alpha}$ be an open set in $E_{\alpha}$, we have $f_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(T_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(O_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\left(T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\left(O_{\alpha}\right)=\left(f_{\alpha} \circ T\right)^{-1}\left(O_{\alpha}\right)$ which is open in $E$ since $f_{\alpha} \circ T$ is continuous, then $T_{\alpha}^{-1}\left(O_{\alpha}\right)$ is open in $E_{\alpha}$. Conversely, suppose that $T_{\alpha}$ is continuous for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since $E$ is topologically isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\lim E_{\alpha}, T$ is continuous if and only if $f_{\alpha} \circ T$ is continuous for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since $\overleftarrow{f_{\alpha}} \circ T=T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}$ and $T_{\alpha}$ is continuous for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we deduce that $T$ is continuous.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a locally m-pseudoconvex algebra with proper pseudo-normed factors $\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. The following assertions are equivalent: (j) $U\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ for all $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$;
(jj) there exists a unique projective system $\left\{\left(M\left(E_{\alpha}\right), h_{\alpha \beta}\right), \alpha \leq \beta\right\}$ such that $h_{\alpha \beta}(U) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U$ for all $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$. Furthermore, if $E_{\alpha}$ is complete for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $h_{\alpha \beta}$ is continuous for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$.

Proof. $(j j) \Rightarrow(j):$ Let $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ and $x_{\beta} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$, then $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)\right)=$ $h_{\alpha \beta}(U)\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)\right)=0$ and so $U\left(x_{\beta}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$.
$(j) \Rightarrow(j j):$ Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$ and $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$. Since $U\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and by using the universal property of the quotient (see Preliminaries), there exists a unique linear map $V: E_{\alpha} \rightarrow E_{\alpha}$ such that $V \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U$. Let $x_{\alpha}=x+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right), y_{\alpha}=y+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right) \in E_{\alpha}$ where $x, y \in E$. Put $x_{\beta}=x+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\beta}\right)$ and $y_{\beta}=y+\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\beta}\right)$, clearly $x_{\beta}, y_{\beta} \in E_{\beta}$. By definition of the map $f_{\alpha \beta}$, we get $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)=x_{\alpha}$ and $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(y_{\beta}\right)=y_{\alpha}$. We have $V\left(x_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}\right)=V\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\alpha \beta}\left(y_{\beta}\right)\right)=$ $V\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta} y_{\beta}\right)\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta} y_{\beta}\right)\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta} U\left(y_{\beta}\right)\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(y_{\beta}\right)\right)=$ $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) V\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(y_{\beta}\right)\right)=x_{\alpha} V\left(y_{\alpha}\right)$ and similary on the other side, so $V$ is a multiplier of $E_{\alpha}$. This shows the existence of the map $h_{\alpha \beta}: M\left(E_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $h_{\alpha \beta}(U) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U$ for all $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$. Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda, U_{1}, U_{2} \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}+\lambda U_{2}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ$ $\left(U_{1}+\lambda U_{2}\right)=\left(f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U_{1}\right)+\lambda\left(f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U_{2}\right)=h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}+\lambda h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{2}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=$ $\left(h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}\right)+\lambda h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{2}\right)\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}$, hence $h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}+\lambda U_{2}\right)=h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}\right)+\lambda h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{2}\right)$ since $f_{\alpha \beta}$ is surjective. Also, $h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1} \circ U_{2}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U_{1} \circ U_{2}=h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta} \circ U_{2}=h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}\right) \circ h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{2}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}$, then $h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1} \circ U_{2}\right)=h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{1}\right) \circ h_{\alpha \beta}\left(U_{2}\right)$ since $f_{\alpha \beta}$ is surjective. Let $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ in $\Lambda$ and $W \in M\left(E_{\gamma}\right),\left(h_{\alpha \beta} \circ h_{\beta \gamma}\right)(W) \circ f_{\alpha \gamma}=h_{\alpha \beta}\left(h_{\beta \gamma}(W)\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta \gamma}=$ $f_{\alpha \beta} \circ h_{\beta \gamma}(W) \circ f_{\beta \gamma}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ f_{\beta \gamma} \circ W=f_{\alpha \gamma} \circ W=h_{\alpha \gamma}(W) \circ f_{\alpha \gamma}$, consequently $\left(h_{\alpha \beta} \circ h_{\beta \gamma}\right)(W)=h_{\alpha \gamma}(W)$ since $f_{\alpha \gamma}$ is surjective. Thus $h_{\alpha \beta} \circ h_{\beta \gamma}=h_{\alpha \gamma}$. Let $\alpha \in \Lambda$, if $E_{\alpha}$ is complete, then every multiplier of $E_{\alpha}$ is continuous. Now by assuming that $E_{\alpha}$ is complete for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we will show that $h_{\alpha \beta}$ is continuous for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$ (see also, the proof of Theorem 2.12 in [5]). For $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $r \geqslant 0$, let $B_{\alpha}(0, r)=\left\{x_{\alpha} \in E_{\alpha}: \bar{p}_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \leq r\right\}$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ the
operator pseudo-norm on $M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$. Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda, f_{\alpha \beta}$ is open by the open mapping theorem, so there is $\lambda \geqslant 0$ such that $\lambda B_{\alpha}(0,1) \subset f_{\alpha \beta}\left(B_{\beta}(0,1)\right)$ i.e. $B_{\alpha}(0,1) \subset f_{\alpha \beta}\left(B_{\beta}(0, r)\right)$ where $r=\lambda^{-k_{\beta}}$ and $k_{\beta}$ is the homogenity index of $\bar{p}_{\beta}$. Let $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$,
$\left\|h_{\alpha \beta}(U)\right\|_{\alpha}=\sup \left\{\bar{p}_{\alpha}\left(h_{\alpha \beta}(U)\left(f_{\alpha}(x)\right)\right): f_{\alpha}(x) \in B_{\alpha}(0,1)\right\}$
$\leq \sup \left\{\bar{p}_{\alpha}\left(h_{\alpha \beta}(U)\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(f_{\beta}(x)\right)\right)\right): f_{\beta}(x) \in B_{\beta}(0, r)\right\}$
$=\sup \left\{\bar{p}_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(f_{\beta}(x)\right)\right)\right): f_{\beta}(x) \in B_{\beta}(0, r)\right\}$
$\leq \sup \left\{\bar{p}_{\beta}\left(U\left(f_{\beta}(x)\right)\right): f_{\beta}(x) \in B_{\beta}(0, r)\right\}$
$\leq \sup \left\{\|U\|_{\beta} \bar{p}_{\beta}\left(f_{\beta}(x)\right): f_{\beta}(x) \in B_{\beta}(0, r)\right\}$
$=r\|U\|_{\beta}$.
Therefore $h_{\alpha \beta}$ is continuous.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a complete locally m-pseudoconvex algebra with proper pseudo-normed factors $\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. Assume that $E$ satisfies conditions (i) and ( $j$ ). Then $M(E) \cong \lim M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ within an algebra isomorphism $\varphi$. Furthermore, if each factor $E_{\alpha}$ is complete, then every multiplier of $E$ is continuous and $\varphi$ is a topological algebra isomorphism where $M(E)$ is endowed with its pseudo-seminorm topology.

Proof. Take $T \in M(E)$. By Propositions 2.1 and $2.2,\left(T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$, $T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ T_{\beta}$ and $h_{\alpha \beta}\left(T_{\beta}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=f_{\alpha \beta} \circ T_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$. Hence $h_{\alpha \beta}\left(T_{\beta}\right) \circ f_{\alpha \beta}=T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha \beta}$ and consequently $h_{\alpha \beta}\left(T_{\beta}\right)=T_{\alpha}$ since the map $f_{\alpha \beta}$ is surjective. This shows that $\left(T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \lim M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$. Thus the map $\varphi: M(E) \rightarrow$ $\lim M\left(E_{\alpha}\right), T \rightarrow\left(T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$, is well defined. We will show that $\varphi$ is an algebra isomorphism. Let $T, S \in M(E)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ T$ and $S_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ S$, then $\left(T_{\alpha}+\lambda S_{\alpha}\right) \circ f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ(T+\lambda S)$, so $(T+\lambda S)_{\alpha}=T_{\alpha}+\lambda S_{\alpha}$ by Proposition 2.1. Also, $T_{\alpha} \circ S_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}=T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha} \circ S=f_{\alpha} \circ T \circ S$, hence $(T \circ S)_{\alpha}=T_{\alpha} \circ S_{\alpha}$ by Proposition 2.1. Let $T \in M(E)$, if $T_{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $f_{\alpha} \circ T=T_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and consequently $T=0$. Let $\left(U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \lim M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ and define the map $T=\Phi^{-1} \circ \lim _{\leftrightarrows} U_{\alpha} \circ \Phi: E \rightarrow E$ where $\lim _{\leftrightarrows} U_{\alpha}$ is the multiplier of $\lim E_{\alpha}$ defined by $\left(\underset{\leftarrow}{\lim } U_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}=\left(U_{\alpha}\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right)_{\alpha}$ and $\Phi: E \rightarrow \underset{\rightleftarrows}{\lim } E_{\alpha}$ is the topological algebra isomorphism given by $\Phi(x)=\left(f_{\alpha}(x)\right)_{\alpha}$. Clearly $T$ is a multiplier of $E$, also $f_{\alpha} \circ T=f_{\alpha} \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \lim _{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \circ \Phi=U_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, so $\varphi(T)=\left(U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$. If $E_{\alpha}$ is complete for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then every multiplier of $E_{\alpha}$ is continuous, hence every multiplier of $E$ is continuous by Proposition 2.1. The pseudo-seminorm topology on $M(E)$ is the topology defined by the family of pseudo-seminorms $q_{\alpha}(T)=\left\|T_{\alpha}\right\|_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$, so $\varphi$ is a topological algebra isomorphism.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a locally m-pseudoconvex algebra with approximate identity $\left(e_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$. Then $E$ satisfies conditions (i) and ( $j$ ).

Proof. Let $T \in M(E), x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,
$f_{\alpha}(T(x))=f_{\alpha}\left(T\left(x-x e_{\omega}+x e_{\omega}\right)\right)$
$=f_{\alpha}\left(T(x)-T\left(x e_{\omega}\right)\right)+f_{\alpha}\left(T\left(x e_{\omega}\right)\right)=f_{\alpha}\left(T(x)-T(x) e_{\omega}\right)+f_{\alpha}\left(x T\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)$
$=f_{\alpha}\left(T(x)-T(x) e_{\omega}\right)+f_{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha}\left(T\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)=f_{\alpha}\left(T(x)-T(x) e_{\omega}\right)$.

Since $T(x) e_{\omega} \rightarrow_{\omega} T(x)$ and $f_{\alpha}$ is continuous, we deduce that $f_{\alpha}(T(x))=$ 0 . Now we will show that $U\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ for all $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$. Since $\left(e_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is an approximate identity in $E$ and $f_{\beta}: E \rightarrow E_{\beta}$ is a surjective continuous homomorphism, it follows that $\left(f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is an approximate identity in $E_{\beta}$ (see [8, Theorem 4.1]). Let $U \in M\left(E_{\beta}\right), x_{\beta} \in$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and $\omega \in \Omega$,
$f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)\right)=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}-x_{\beta} f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)+x_{\beta} f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)\right)$
$=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)-U\left(x_{\beta} f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)\right)+f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta} f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)\right)$
$=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)-U\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)+f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta} U\left(f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)\right)$
$=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)-U\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)+f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)\right)$
$=f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)-U\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right)\right)$.
Since $U\left(x_{\beta}\right) f_{\beta}\left(e_{\omega}\right) \rightarrow_{\omega} U\left(x_{\beta}\right)$ and $f_{\alpha \beta}$ is continuous, we deduce that $f_{\alpha \beta}\left(U\left(x_{\beta}\right)\right)=0$.

Corollary 2.5. [5, Theorems 2.6 and 2.12] Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a complete locally m-pseudoconvex algebra with approximate identity. Suppose that each factor $E_{\alpha}=E / \operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)$ in the generalized Arens-Michael decomposition of $E$ is complete. Then every multiplier of $E$ is continuous and $M(E) \cong \underset{\varliminf}{\lim } M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ within a topological algebra isomorphism where $M(E)$ is endowed with its pseudoseminorm topology.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4.
Corollary 2.6. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a locally $C^{*}$-algebra. Then every multiplier of $E$ is continuous and $M(E) \cong \lim M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ within a topological algebra isomorphism where $M(E)$ is endowed with its seminorm topology.

Proof. By [7, Theorem 2.6] and [10, Corollary 1.12], $E$ has an approximate identity and each factor $E_{\alpha}$ is complete.

Now we will describe multiplier algebras of complete uniform topological algebras.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a uniform topological algebra. Then $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}(\chi): \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)=\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right): \mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$.

Proof. Show first that $\Delta_{\alpha}(E)$ and $\Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ are non empty sets. Let $F_{\alpha}$ be the completion of $\left(E_{\alpha}, \bar{p}_{\alpha}\right), F_{\alpha}$ is a uniform Banach algebra. By [8, Lemma 5.1], $F_{\alpha}$ is commutative and semisimple. Then $\Delta\left(F_{\alpha}\right)$ is a non empty set since $F_{\alpha}$ is not a radical algebra, hence $\Delta_{\alpha}(E)$ and $\Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ are non empty sets (see [9, Proposition 7.5]).
By $\left[1\right.$, Theorem 6], $p_{\alpha}(x)=\sup \left\{|\chi(x)|: \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}$ for all $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(p_{\alpha}\right)=\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}(\chi): \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Let $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$ and $x_{\beta} \in E_{\beta}$, $x_{\beta} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right) \Leftrightarrow f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)=0$
$\Leftrightarrow \mu\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\left(x_{\beta}\right)\right)=0$ for all $\mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$
$\Leftrightarrow x_{\beta} \in \cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right): \mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$.
Proposition 2.8. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a uniform topological algebra. Then $E$ satisfies conditions (i) and ( $j$ ).

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)=\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}(\chi): \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. If $T$ is a multiplier of $E$, then $T(k e r(\chi)) \subset \operatorname{ker}(\chi)$ for all $\chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)$ by $[6$, Theorem 2.9] and [8, Lemma 5.1], so
$T\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right)=T\left(\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}(\chi): \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}\right)$
$\subset \cap\left\{T(\operatorname{ker}(\chi)): \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}$
$\subset \cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}(\chi): \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}=\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha}\right)$.
By Proposition 2.7, $\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)=\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right): \mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ for all $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $\Lambda$. If $U$ is a multiplier of $E_{\beta}$, then $U(\operatorname{ker}(\delta)) \subset \operatorname{ker}(\delta)$ for all $\delta \in \Delta\left(E_{\beta}\right)$ by [6, Theorem 2.9] and [8, Lemma 5.1], so $U\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ for all $\mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$, and consequently
$U\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right)=U\left(\cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right): \mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right)$
$\subset \cap\left\{U\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right): \mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$
$\subset \cap\left\{\operatorname{ker}\left(\mu \circ f_{\alpha \beta}\right): \mu \in \Delta\left(E_{\alpha}\right)\right\}=\operatorname{ker}\left(f_{\alpha \beta}\right)$.
Theorem 2.9. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a complete uniform topological algebra. Then $M(E) \cong \lim M\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$ within an algebra isomorphism $\varphi$. Furthermore, if
 a topological algebra isomorphism where $M(E)$ is endowed with its seminorm topology.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.8.
Remark. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a complete uniform topological algebra which is also a symmetric ${ }^{*}$-algebra. Then $\chi\left(x^{*}\right)=\overline{\chi(x)}$ for all $x \in E$ and $\chi \in \Delta(E)$ (see [9, Lemma 6.4]). Take $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$. By [1, Theorem 6],
$p_{\alpha}\left(x^{*} x\right)=\sup \left\{\left|\chi\left(x^{*} x\right)\right|: \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}=\sup \left\{|\chi(x)|^{2}: \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}$
$=\left(\sup \left\{|\chi(x)|: \chi \in \Delta_{\alpha}(E)\right\}\right)^{2}=p_{\alpha}(x)^{2}$.
Therefore $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ is a locally $C^{*}$-algebra, and so each factor $E_{\alpha}$ is complete.

As an application of previous results, we deduce the Arhippainen unitization theorem [1, Theorem 4] on uniform topological algebras.

Proposition 2.10. Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a uniform topological algebra, and let $M_{c}(E)$ be the algebra of all continuous multipliers of $E$. Then there is a family of seminorms $\left(q_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ on $M_{c}(E)$ such that

1. $\left(M_{c}(E),\left(q_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ is a uniform topological algebra;
2. the map $L: E \rightarrow M_{c}(E), L(x)(y)=x y$, is an algebra isomorphism (into) and $q_{\alpha}(L(x))=p_{\alpha}(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. 1. By Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.8, we define the map $\psi: M_{c}(E) \rightarrow$ $\varliminf_{\curvearrowleft} M_{c}\left(E_{\alpha}\right), T \rightarrow\left(T_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, $\psi$ is an injective homomorphism. We endow $M_{c}(E)$ with the topology defined by the family of seminorms $q_{\alpha}(T)=\left\|T_{\alpha}\right\|_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Lambda$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ is the operator norm on $M_{c}\left(E_{\alpha}\right)$. Let $T \in M_{c}(E), q_{\alpha}\left(T^{2}\right)=\left\|\left(T^{2}\right)_{\alpha}\right\|_{\alpha}=\left\|\left(T_{\alpha}\right)^{2}\right\|_{\alpha}=\left\|T_{\alpha}\right\|_{\alpha}^{2}=q_{\alpha}(T)^{2}$ since $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}$ has the square property. Let $T \in M_{c}(E)$ with $q_{\alpha}(T)=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, then $T_{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, so $T=0$ since $\psi$ is injective.
2. Since $E$ is proper, $L$ is an algebra isomorphism (into). Let $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda,(L(x))_{\alpha} \circ f_{\alpha}=f_{\alpha} \circ L(x)$, then $(L(x))_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(y)\right)=\left(f_{\alpha} \circ L(x)\right)(y)=$ $f_{\alpha}(x y)=f_{\alpha}(x) f_{\alpha}(y)$ for all $y \in E$. Since the map $l:\left(E_{\alpha}, \bar{p}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{c}\left(E_{\alpha}\right),\|\cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$, $l\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\left(y_{\alpha}\right)=x_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}$, is an isometric isomorphism (into), it follows that $\left\|(L(x))_{\alpha}\right\|_{\alpha}=\bar{p}_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}(x)\right)=p_{\alpha}(x)$, so $q_{\alpha}(L(x))=p_{\alpha}(x)$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $E$ be a uniform topological algebra without unit, and let $E_{e}$ be the algebra obtained from $E$ by adjoining the unit. Then the map $g: E_{e} \rightarrow M_{c}(E), g((x, \lambda))=L(x)+\lambda I$ is an algebra isomorphism (into).

Proof. It is easy to show that $g$ is an algebra homomorphism. Let $(x, \lambda) \in E_{e}$ with $g((x, \lambda))=0$, then $L(x)=-\lambda I$. Suppose $\lambda \neq 0, I=-\lambda^{-1} L(x)=$ $L\left(-\lambda^{-1} x\right)$, so $-\lambda^{-1} x$ is a left unit in $E$. Since $E$ is commutative, $-\lambda^{-1} x$ is a unit in $E$, a contradiction. Thus $L(x)=0$ and consequently $x=0$ since $E$ is proper.

Corollary 2.12. [1, Theorem 4] Let $\left(E,\left(p_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ be a uniform topological algebra without unit. Then there is a family of seminorms $\left(s_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ on $E_{e}$ such that $\left(E_{e},\left(s_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ is a uniform topological algebra and $s_{\alpha}((x, 0))=p_{\alpha}(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we define a seminorm on $E_{e}$ by $s_{\alpha}((x, \lambda))=q_{\alpha}(L(x)+\lambda I)$ for all $x \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
By Propositions 2.10 and 2.11, $\left(E_{e},\left(s_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\right)$ is a uniform topological algebra and $s_{\alpha}((x, 0))=q_{\alpha}(L(x))=p_{\alpha}(x)$ for all $x \in E$.

Remark. We have $s_{\alpha}((x, \lambda))=q_{\alpha}(L(x)+\lambda I) \leq q_{\alpha}(L(x))+|\lambda| q_{\alpha}(I)=$ $p_{\alpha}(x)+|\lambda|$ for all $x \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This shows that the topology on $E_{e}$ defined by the family of seminorms $\left(s_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is weaker than the usual topology on $E_{e}$ defined by the family of seminorms $\left(\tilde{p}_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ where $\tilde{p}_{\alpha}((x, \lambda))=p_{\alpha}(x)+|\lambda|$.
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