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# A REALIZATION THEOREM FOR SETS OF DISTANCES 

ALFRED GEROLDINGER AND WOLFGANG A. SCHMID


#### Abstract

Let $H$ be an atomic monoid. The set of distances $\Delta(H)$ of $H$ is the set of all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property: there are irreducible elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{1} \ldots, v_{k+d}$ such that $u_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{k}=$ $v_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot v_{k+d}$ but $u_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{k}$ cannot be written as a product of $\ell$ irreducible elements for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\ell<k+d$. It is well-known (and easy to show) that, if $\Delta(H)$ is nonempty, then $\min \Delta(H)=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta(H)$. In this paper we show conversely that for every finite nonempty set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\min \Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$ there is a finitely generated Krull monoid $H$ such that $\Delta(H)=\Delta$.


## 1. Introduction

Sets of lengths (together with all invariants describing their structure, such as sets of distances and elasticities) are a well-studied means of describing the arithmetic structure of non-factorial monoids and domains. The first goal is to describe the arithmetical invariants in terms of algebraic invariants of the underlying structure. Then the question arises to which extent the achieved results are best possible, and answers can be given by providing monoids and domains with prescribed arithmetical invariants. For a sample of such realization results for sets of lengths, sets of elasticities, sets of catenary degrees we refer to [2, 8, 14, 15 and to various survey articles in 3.

In the present paper we focus on sets of distances, also called delta sets in the literature. The set of distances $\Delta(H)$ of an atomic monoid $H$ is the set of all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property: there are atoms (irreducible elements) $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{1} \ldots, v_{k+d}$ such that $u_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{k}=v_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot v_{k+d}$ but $u_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{k}$ cannot be written as a product of $\ell$ atoms for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\ell<k+d$. The monoid $H$ is called half-factorial if $\Delta(H)=\emptyset$ (clearly, factorial monoids are half-factorial). If $H$ is not half-factorial, then a simple argument shows that $\min \Delta(H)=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta(H)$ ([10, Proposition 1.4.4]). Sets of distances are finite for transfer Krull monoids of finite type (hence in particular for Krull domains with finite class group), weakly Krull domains with finite $v$-class group, finitely generated monoids, and others (see [9, Theorem 4.6], [10. Theorems 3.1.4 and 3.7.1], [13]). The question which finite sets can actually occur as a set of distances (of any monoid or domain) was open so far. It is easy to see that every singleton can be realized as a set of distances (we recall the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1). One of the very few results beyond this is given in a recent paper where it is shown that every set $\Delta$ with $|\Delta|=2$ and $\min \Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$ can be realized as the set of distances of a numerical monoid (4).

The arithmetic structure of a Krull monoid is completely determined by its class group and the distribution of prime divisors in the classes. Let $H$ be a Krull monoid such that every class contains a prime divisor. If the class group is finite (e.g., for rings of integers in algebraic number fields), then $\Delta(H)$ is a finite interval and if $G$ is infinite, then $\Delta(H)=\mathbb{N}([1])$. The assumption on the prime divisors is crucial. Indeed, in contrast to the above result we show in the present paper that every finite nonempty set $\Delta$ with $\min \Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$ can occur as the set of distances of a finitely generated Krull monoid.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite nonempty set of positive integers with $\min \Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$. Then there is a finitely generated Krull monoid $H$ such that $\Delta(H)=\Delta$.

The above realization theorem is not restricted to abstract Krull monoids, but any such set $\Delta$ can be realized as the set of distances of a Dedekind domain or as the set of distances of a monoid of modules. To formulate this precisely, let $R$ be a ring, $\mathcal{C}$ a class of right $R$-modules which is closed under finite direct sums, under direct summands, and under isomorphisms, and suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ has a set $V(\mathcal{C})$ of representatives (this means that every module $M$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a unique $[M]$ in $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ ). Then $V(\mathcal{C})$ becomes a commutative semigroup with operation given by $[M]+[N]=[M \oplus N]$, and it encodes all possible information about direct sum decompositions of modules in $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, the Krull-Remak-SchmidtAzumaya Theorem holds for $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $V(\mathcal{C})$ is factorial. This semigroup-theoretical approach to the study of direct-sum decompositions of modules was pushed forward by Facchini and Wiegand, and we refer to the surveys [1, 6].

Corollary 1.2. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite nonempty set of positive integers with $\min \Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$.

1. There is a Dedekind domain $R$ with finitely generated class group such that $\Delta(R)=\Delta$.
2. There is a ring $R$ and a class of right $R$-modules $\mathcal{C}$ with the above properties such that for the monoid of modules $V(\mathcal{C})$ we have $\Delta(V(\mathcal{C}))=\Delta$.
We gather some background and fix notation in Section 2. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and of Corollary 1.2 are given in Section 3

## 2. Background on Krull monoids and factorizations

By a monoid, we mean a commutative semigroup which has a unit element and which satisfies the cancelation laws. For any set $P$, let $\mathcal{F}(P)$ be the free abelian monoid with basis $P$ and for an element

$$
a=p_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{\ell}=\prod_{p \in P} p^{\mathrm{v}_{p}(a)} \in \mathcal{F}(P), \quad \text { where } \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \text { and } p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\ell} \in P
$$

we denote by $|a|=\ell=\sum_{p \in P} \vee_{p}(a) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ the length of $a$.
Let $H$ be a monoid. We denote by $H^{\times}$the group of units, by $\mathrm{q}(H)$ the quotient group of $H$, and by $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the set of atoms of $H$. The monoid $H$ is called reduced if $H^{\times}=\{1\}$, and we denote by $H_{\text {red }}=H / H^{\times}$the associated reduced monoid of $H$. We say that $H$ is

- atomic if every nonunit can be written as a finite product of atoms;
- root-closed if $x \in \mathrm{q}(H)$ and $x^{m} \in H$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $x \in H$.

Let $\mathrm{Z}(H)=\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(H_{\text {red }}\right)\right)$ be the factorization monoid of $H$ and let $\pi_{H}: \mathrm{Z}(H) \rightarrow H_{\text {red }}$ be the canonical epimorphism. For $a \in H$, we denote by

- $\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)=\pi_{H}^{-1}\left(a H^{\times}\right) \subset \mathrm{Z}(H)$ the set of factorizations of $a$, and by
- $\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)=\left\{|z|: z \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)\right\} \subset \mathbb{N}_{0}$ the set of lengths of $a$.

Thus $H$ is atomic if and only if $\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in H$. A monoid $H$ is a Krull monoid if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions ([10, Chapter 2]) :
(a) $H$ is completely integrally closed and satisfies the ascending chain condition on divisorial ideals.
(b) There is a free abelian monoid $F$ and a homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow F$ such that for all $a, b \in H$ we have that $a \mid b$ in $H$ if and only if $\varphi(a) \mid \varphi(b)$ in $F$.
Every Krull monoid is atomic, its sets of lengths are all finite, and since it is completely integrally closed, it is root-closed. Let $R$ be a domain. Then its multiplicative semigroup of nonzero elements $R$ • $=R \backslash\{0\}$ is a monoid, and $R$ is a Krull domain if and only if $R^{\bullet}$ is a Krull monoid. Thus Property (a) reveals that every integrally closed noetherian domain is a Krull domain.

We briefly discuss a Krull monoid having a combinatorial flavor which plays a crucial role in all arithmetic studies of Krull monoids and which we will need in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and of Corollary 1.2. Let $G$ be an additively written abelian group and let $G_{0} \subset G$ be a subset. For an element $S=g_{1} \cdot \ldots \cdot g_{\ell}=\prod_{g \in G_{0}} g^{\mathrm{v}_{g}(S)} \in \mathcal{F}\left(G_{0}\right)$ let

$$
\sigma(S)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} g_{i}=\sum_{g \in G_{0}} \mathrm{v}_{g}(S) g \in G \quad \text { denote its sum } .
$$

Then $\mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right)=\left\{S \in \mathcal{F}\left(G_{0}\right): \sigma(S)=0\right\}$ is a submonoid of $\mathcal{F}\left(G_{0}\right)$, called the monoid of zero-sum sequences over $G_{0}$. Since the inclusion $\mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(G_{0}\right)$ satisfies Property (b), $\mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right)$ is a Krull monoid. We refer the reader to the monographs [10, 12] for detailed expositions on Krull monoids.

## 3. Proof of the Main Results

Before starting the proof of Theorem 1.1, we recall some basic facts that are needed in the proof. A submonoid $H^{\prime} \subset H$ of a monoid $H$ is called divisor-closed if $a \in H^{\prime}$ and $b \in H$ with $b \mid a$ (in $H$ ) implies that $b \in H^{\prime}$. If $H^{\prime} \subset H$ is a divisor-closed submonoid, then for each $a \in H^{\prime}$ one has $\mathrm{Z}_{H^{\prime}}(a)=\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)$, in particular $\mathrm{L}_{H^{\prime}}(a)=\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)$ and $\Delta\left(H^{\prime}\right) \subset \Delta(H)$.

If $H=H_{1} \times H_{2}$ is the product of two reduced atomic monoids $H_{1}, H_{2}$, then $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are divisorclosed submonoids whence $\Delta\left(H_{1}\right) \cup \Delta\left(H_{2}\right) \subset \Delta(H)$. In general, this inclusion is strict. This is why a direct product construction (as used in a realization result for sets of catenary degrees and others, 8, Proposition 3.2]) cannot be used to construct arbitrary sets of distances.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite subset with $\min \Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$. We proceed by induction on $|\Delta|$. Suppose that $\Delta=\{d\}$. Let $G$ be an additive abelian group having an element $g \in G$ with $\operatorname{ord}(g)=d+2$, and let $H=\mathcal{B}(\{g,-g\})$. We set $v_{1}=g^{d+2}, v_{2}=(-g)^{d+2}$, and $v_{3}=(-g) g$. Then $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right)\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$, $v_{1} v_{2}=v_{3}^{d+2}$, and $H$ is a reduced finitely generated Krull monoid with $\Delta(H)=\{d\}$.

Now suppose that $|\Delta|>1$ and that the assertion holds for all sets under consideration which are strictly smaller than $|\Delta|$. We set $d_{1}=\min \Delta, d_{2}=\max \Delta, \Delta_{1}=\Delta \backslash\left\{d_{2}\right\}$, and $\Delta_{2}=\left\{d_{2}\right\}$. Then $\min \Delta_{1}=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta_{1}=d_{1}$ and min $\Delta_{2}=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta_{2}$. Thus by the induction hypothesis there are finitely generated Krull monoids $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\Delta\left(H_{i}\right)=\Delta_{i}$ for $i \in[1,2]$. Without restriction we may suppose that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are reduced and that $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ with $v_{1} v_{2}=v_{3}^{d_{2}+2}$ as constructed for the case $|\Delta|=1$. We set $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$ and observe that $\mathcal{A}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(H_{1}\right) \uplus \mathcal{A}\left(H_{2}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$. We define

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\pi: \mathbb{N}_{0}^{k+3} \xrightarrow{\sim} \quad \mathrm{Z}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) & \longrightarrow H_{1} \times H_{2} \\
\boldsymbol{m} \mapsto z=u_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{k}^{m_{k}} v_{1}^{n_{1}} v_{2}^{n_{2}} v_{3}^{n_{3}} & \mapsto \pi_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(z)
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega=\left\{\boldsymbol{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{k+3}:\right. & \left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \neq(0,0,0),\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0), \text { and } \\
& \left.|\boldsymbol{m}|<\max \mathrm{L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(\pi(\boldsymbol{m}))-d_{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that this set is in fact nonempty, as for example $\max \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{H}_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(u_{1} v_{1} v_{2}\right)=\left\{3,3+d_{2}\right\}$. The set of minimal elements $\operatorname{Min}(\Omega) \subset \Omega$ (with respect to the usual partial order) is finite by Dickson's Theorem ([10, Theorem 1.5.3]). For each $\boldsymbol{m} \in \operatorname{Min}(\Omega)$ and each

$$
\ell \in\left[|\boldsymbol{m}|+d_{1}, \max \mathrm{~L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(\pi(\boldsymbol{m}))-d_{1}\right] \cap\left(|\boldsymbol{m}|+d_{1} \mathbb{N}\right)
$$

we consider a set $P_{\ell}^{m}=\left\{p_{\ell, 2}^{m}, \ldots, p_{\ell, \ell}^{m}\right\}$. We define $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Min}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{N}$ to be the set of all $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell)$ where $\boldsymbol{m} \in \operatorname{Min}(\Omega)$ and $\ell$ as above, and note that $\Omega^{\prime}$ is finite too. For each $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$, we define

$$
p_{\ell, 1}^{m}=\pi(\boldsymbol{m})\left(p_{\ell, 2}^{\boldsymbol{m}} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_{\ell, \ell}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{-1} \in \mathrm{q}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) \times \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(P_{\ell}^{m}\right)\right)
$$

and set $P_{\ell}^{m, *}=\left\{p_{\ell, 1}^{m}, \ldots, p_{\ell, \ell}^{m}\right\}$. Let

$$
H \subset \mathrm{q}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) \times \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(P_{\ell}^{m}\right)\right)
$$

be the submonoid generated by $A=\mathcal{A}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) \uplus \biguplus_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} P_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{m}, *}$. Thus $H$ is a reduced finitely generated monoid with quotient group $\mathrm{q}(H)=\mathrm{q}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right) \times \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(P_{\ell}^{m}\right)\right)$. Since $A$ is a minimal generating set (with respect to inclusion), it follows by [10, Proposition 1.1.7] that $A$ is the set of atoms of $H$. If $i \in[1,2]$, then $H_{i} \subset H$ is a divisor-closed submonoid and hence if $a \in H_{i}$, then $\mathrm{Z}_{H_{i}}(a)=\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)$ and thus $\mathrm{L}_{H_{i}}(a)=\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)$. Clearly, $H_{1} \times H_{2} \subset H$ is not divisor-closed, and hence if $a \in H_{1} \times H_{2} \backslash\left(H_{1} \cup H_{2}\right)$, then $\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(a)$ need not be equal. Consider a product of the form

$$
a=\prod_{\nu=1}^{k} u_{\nu}^{r_{\nu}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{3} v_{\nu}^{s_{\nu}} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}}
$$

where all exponents $r_{\nu}, s_{\nu}$, and $t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}$ are non-negative integers. Then, by construction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \in H_{1} \times H_{2} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1}=\ldots=t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \ell} \text { for all }(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the remainder of the proof we show the following three assertions. The first one verifies a condition that is important for technical reasons and the latter two then establish what we intended to show.
A1. If $a_{1} \in H_{1}$ and $a_{2} \in H_{2}$, then $\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)=\max \mathrm{L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$.
A2. $\Delta(H)=\Delta$.
A3. $H$ is a Krull monoid.
Proof of A1. Let $a_{1} \in H_{1}, a_{2} \in H_{2}$, and $z \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$ with $|z|=\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$. We need to show that there exists some $z^{\prime} \in Z_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$ such that $|z|=\left|z^{\prime}\right|$. Suppose that

$$
z=\prod_{\nu=1}^{k} u_{\nu}^{r_{\nu}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{3} v_{\nu}^{s_{\nu}} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}}
$$

where all exponents $r_{\nu}, s_{\nu}$, and $t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}$ are non-negative integers. If all $t_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}$ are equal to 0 , then $z \in$ $\mathrm{Z}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$, and the claim holds with $z^{\prime}=z$. Assume to the contrary that there are $\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}\right) \in \Omega^{\prime}$ and $\nu^{\prime} \in\left[1, \ell^{\prime}\right]$ such that $t_{m^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}}>0$. Since we consider a factorization of the element $a_{1} a_{2} \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$, we infer by (3.1) that $t_{\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, \nu^{\prime}}=t_{\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, 1}=\ldots=t_{\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}}$. By definition of $\Omega^{\prime}$ we have $\max \mathrm{L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(\pi\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq \ell^{\prime}+d_{1}$. If $y \in \mathrm{Z}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(\pi\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is a factorization of maximal length, then

$$
\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell^{\prime}}\left(p_{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}^{m^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} z y \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)
$$

is a factorization of $a_{1} a_{2}$ of length greater than or equal to $|z|+d_{1}$, a contradiction to $|z|=\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$.
Proof of A2. Since $H$ is finitely generated, [10, Theorem 3.1.4] implies that $\Delta(H)$ is finite and by construction we have $\Delta(H) \subset d_{1} \mathbb{N}$. Since, for $i \in[1,2], H_{i} \subset H$ is a divisor-closed submonoid, it follows that $\Delta_{i}=\Delta\left(H_{i}\right) \subset \Delta(H)$ and hence $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2} \subset \Delta(H)$. To verify the reverse inclusion, let $a \in H$ be given. We choose a factorization $z \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)$ with $|z|<\max \mathrm{L}_{H}(a)$ and show that the distance from $|z|$ to the next largest element in $\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)$ lies in $\Delta$. More formally, let $d=\min \left\{k-|z|: k \in \mathrm{~L}_{H}(a)\right.$ with $\left.k>|z|\right\}$ and we assert that $d \in \Delta$. We write $z$ in the form $z=z_{1} z_{2} z_{0}$, where $z_{i} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i \in[1,2]$ and $z_{0} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\biguplus_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} P_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{m}, *}\right)$, and distinguish two cases.
CASE 1: There exists $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$ such that $\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell} p_{\ell, \nu}^{m} \mid z$ in $\mathbf{Z}(H)$.

We show that $d=d_{1}$. If $\ell<\max _{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(\pi(\boldsymbol{m}))-d_{1}$, then $\left(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell+d_{1}\right) \in \Omega^{\prime}$, and

$$
\left(\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell} p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}\right)^{-1} z\left(\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell+d_{1}} p_{\ell+d_{1}, \nu}^{m}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_{H}(a)
$$

is a factorization of $a$ of length $|z|+\left(\ell+d_{1}\right)-\ell=|z|+d_{1}$. Since $\Delta(H) \subset d_{1} \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $d=d_{1}$.
If $\ell=\max _{\mathrm{H}_{1} \times H_{2}}(\pi(\boldsymbol{m}))-d_{1}$, then we choose $y \in \mathrm{Z}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(\pi(\boldsymbol{m}))$ with $|y|=\max \mathrm{L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}(\pi(\boldsymbol{m}))$. Then

$$
\left(\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell} p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{-1} z y \in \mathbf{Z}_{H}(a)
$$

is a factorization of $a$ of length $|z|+d_{1}$. Since $\Delta(H) \subset d_{1} \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $d=d_{1}$.
CASE 2: There exists no $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$ such that $\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell} p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}} \mid z$ in $\mathbf{Z}(H)$.
For $i \in[1,2]$ we set $a_{i}=\pi_{H_{i}}\left(z_{i}\right)$, and we start with the following assertion.
A4. $\mathrm{Z}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) z_{0}=\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)$.
Proof of A4. Obviously, we have $\mathrm{Z}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) z_{0} \subset \mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)$. To show the reverse inclusion, let $z^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)$. We write $z^{\prime}$ in the form $z^{\prime}=z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime} z_{0}^{\prime}$ where $z_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)$ for $i \in[1,2]$ and $z_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}\left(\biguplus_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} P_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{m}, *}\right)$. Clearly, it is sufficient to show that $z_{0} \mid z_{0}^{\prime}$. For each $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$ we define

$$
z(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell)=\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{k_{\nu}} \quad \text { resp. } \quad z^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell)=\prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{k_{\nu}^{\prime}}
$$

where $k_{\nu}$ resp. $k_{\nu}^{\prime}$ is the multiplicity of $p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}$ in $z_{0}$ resp. $z_{0}^{\prime}$ for all $\nu \in[1, \ell]$. The assumption of CASE 2 implies that there is a $\nu \in[1, \ell]$ such that $k_{\nu}=0$. We claim that $z(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \mid z^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell)$ for each $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$. Once this is done, then it follows that $z_{0} \mid z_{0}^{\prime}$.

Let $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$ and let $\phi_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{m}}: \mathrm{q}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{q}\left(\mathcal{F}\left(P_{\ell}^{m}\right)\right)$ denote the canonical projection. We note that $\phi_{\ell}^{m}(u)=1$ for $u \in \mathcal{A}(H) \backslash P_{\ell}^{m, *}$ and $\phi_{\ell}^{m}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}\right)=p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}$ for $\nu \in[2, \ell]$ and $\phi_{\ell}^{m}\left(p_{\ell, 1}^{m}\right)=\prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell^{\prime}}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}\right)^{-1}$. It follows that

$$
\phi_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{m}}(a)=\prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}\right)^{k_{\nu}-k_{1}} \quad \text { and also } \quad \phi_{\ell}^{m}(a)=\prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}\right)^{k_{\nu}^{\prime}-k_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

Consequently $k_{\nu}-k_{1}=k_{\nu}^{\prime}-k_{1}^{\prime}$ for each $\nu \in[2, \ell]$. It follows that $k_{\nu}^{\prime}=k_{\nu}+\left(k_{1}^{\prime}-k_{1}\right)$ for each $\nu \in[1, \ell]$. Since all $k_{\nu}, k_{\nu}^{\prime}$ are non-negative and at least one of the $k_{\nu}$ is equal to 0 , it follows that $k_{1}^{\prime}-k_{1} \geq 0$, and hence $z(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \mid z^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell)$.
(Proof of A4)
If $a_{2}=1$, then $a_{1} a_{2} \in H_{1}$. As $H_{1} \subset H$ is divisor-closed, it follows that $\Delta\left(\mathrm{L}_{H}(a)\right)=\Delta\left(\mathrm{L}_{H_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right)\right)$ and hence $d \in \Delta_{1} \subset \Delta$. If $a_{1}=1$, then the analogous argument yields $d \in \Delta_{2} \subset \Delta$. Now we assume that $a_{1} \neq$ $1, a_{2} \neq 1$, and assert that $d=d_{1}$. If $|z|-\left|z_{0}\right|=\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right|=\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)$, then $\mathrm{Z}_{H}(a)=\mathrm{Z}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) z_{0}$ and $\mathbf{A 1}$ imply that $|z|=\max \mathrm{L}_{H}(a)$, a contradiction. If $|z|-\left|z_{0}\right|=\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right| \in\left[\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-d_{1}\right.$, $\left.\max _{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-1\right]$, then $d=d_{1}$ by the minimality of $d_{1}$.

It remains to consider the case where $\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right|<\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-d_{1}$. Let $\boldsymbol{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{k+3}$ such that $z_{1} z_{2}=u_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{k}^{m_{k}} v_{1}^{n_{1}} v_{2}^{n_{2}} v_{3}^{n_{3}}$. Since $a_{1} \neq 1, a_{2} \neq 1$, and (by A1)

$$
|\boldsymbol{m}|=\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right|<\max \mathrm{L}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-d_{1}=\max \mathrm{L}_{H_{1} \times H_{2}}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)-d_{1}
$$

it follows that $\boldsymbol{m} \in \Omega$. Let $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Min}(\Omega)$ with $\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime} \leq \boldsymbol{m}$, and let $z^{\prime}$ denote the respective factorization. Then $z^{\prime} \mid z_{1} z_{2}$ in $\mathbf{Z}(H),\left(\boldsymbol{m}^{\prime},\left|z^{\prime}\right|+d_{1}\right) \in \Omega^{\prime}$, and

$$
z^{\prime-1} z_{1} z_{2}\left(\prod_{\nu=1}^{\left|z^{\prime}\right|+d_{1}} p_{\left|z^{\prime}\right|+d_{1}, \nu}^{m}\right) \in \mathrm{Z}_{H}\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)
$$

is a factorization of $a_{1} a_{2}$ of length $\left|z_{1} z_{2}\right|+d_{1}$. Thus we obtain a factorization of $a$ of length $|z|+d_{1}$ which implies $d=d_{1}$.

Proof of A3. Since $H$ is a reduced and finitely generated monoid, it is sufficient to verify that $H$ is root-closed by [10, Theorem 2.7.14]. Let $x \in \mathbf{q}(H)$, say

$$
x=y \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{r_{m, \ell, \nu}} \in \mathrm{q}(H)
$$

where $y \in \mathrm{q}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)$ and all exponents $r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^{m} \in H$, say

$$
x^{m}=b \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{s_{m, \ell, \nu}} \in H
$$

where $b \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$ and all exponents $s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$; note that here we use $p_{\ell, 1}^{m}$, too. We have to show that $x \in H$. Clearly, we have, in $\mathrm{q}(H)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{m} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{m r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}} & =b \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}} \\
& =b \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1}} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}-s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equation follows from the fact that $p_{\ell, 1}^{m}=\pi(\boldsymbol{m})\left(\prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell} p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{-1}$ for all $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$. Since $b^{*}:=b \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1}} \in H_{1} \times H_{2} \subset \mathrm{q}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}=s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}-s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1} \quad \text { for all indexes and } \quad y^{m}=b^{*} \in H_{1} \times H_{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H_{1} \times H_{2}$ is a Krull monoid and hence root-closed, we infer that $y \in H_{1} \times H_{2}$. For each $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$, we choose an index $\nu^{\prime} \in[1, \ell]$ such that

$$
r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}=\min \left\{r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}: \nu \in[1, \ell]\right\}
$$

and let $\Omega^{\prime \prime} \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ be the the subset of all $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}$ for which $r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}<0$. We write $x$ as a product of four factors and show that each factor lies in $H$ which implies $x \in H$. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=y \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{r_{m, \ell, \nu}} \\
& =\left(y \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{r_{m, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}}\right)\left(\prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{-r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}}\right) \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}} \\
& =\left(y \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{r_{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}\right)\left(\prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{-r_{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}\right) \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \prod_{\nu=2}^{\ell}\left(p_{\ell, \nu}^{\boldsymbol{m}}\right)^{r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider each of the four products individually:
(i) Since all indices $s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1}+m r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}=s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu} \geq 0$ by (3.2), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(y \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{r}{ }_{m, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}\right)^{m} & =b^{*} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{m r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}} \\
& =b \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{s_{m}, \ell, 1} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{m r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}} \\
& =b \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime} \backslash \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{s_{m}, \ell, 1} \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{s_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, 1}+m r_{m, \ell, \nu^{\prime}} \in H_{1} \times H_{2} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H_{1} \times H_{2}$ is a Krull monoid and hence root-closed, it follows that

$$
y \prod_{(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime \prime}} \pi(\boldsymbol{m})^{r_{m, \ell, \nu^{\prime}} \in H_{1} \times H_{2} \subset H .}
$$

(ii) This product lies in $H$ since all $p_{\ell, 1}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ are in $H$ and the exponent $-r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}$ is positive.
(iii) This product lies in $H$ since all all $p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}$ are in $H$ and all exponents $r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}-r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}$ are non-negative by the minimality of $r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu^{\prime}}$.
(iv) This product lies in $H$ since all $p_{\ell, \nu}^{m}$ are in $H$ and the exponents $r_{\boldsymbol{m}, \ell, \nu}$ are non-negative for all $(\boldsymbol{m}, \ell) \in \Omega^{\prime} \backslash \Omega^{\prime \prime}$ by definition of $\Omega^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof of Corollary 1.2, 1. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite nonempty set of positive integers with min $\Delta=\operatorname{gcd} \Delta$. By Theorem 1.1 there exists a finitely generated Krull monoid $H$ such that $\Delta(H)=\Delta$. Let $G$ denote the class group of $H$ and let $G_{0} \subset G$ be the set of classes containing prime divisors. Since $H$ is a finitely generated monoid, $G$ is a finitely generated abelian group. By [10, Theorem 3.4.10], there is a homomorphism $\boldsymbol{\beta}: H \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right)$ such that $\Delta(H)=\Delta\left(\mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right)\right)$. By Claborn's Realization Theorem (10, Theorem 3.7.8]) there is a Dedekind domain $R$ and an isomorphism $\Phi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(R)$ such that $G_{0}$ is mapped onto the subset $G_{P}$ of the class group $\mathcal{C}(R)$ which contains prime ideals. Since $R$ is a Dedekind domain, its multiplicative monoid $R^{\bullet}=R \backslash\{0\}$ is a Krull monoid and again by [10, Theorem 3.4.10] there is a homomorphism $\theta: R^{\bullet} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\left(G_{P}\right)$ such that $\Delta\left(R^{\bullet}\right)=\Delta\left(\mathcal{B}\left(G_{P}\right)\right)$. Thus we obtain that

$$
\Delta=\Delta(H)=\Delta\left(\mathcal{B}\left(G_{0}\right)\right)=\Delta\left(\mathcal{B}\left(G_{P}\right)\right)=\Delta\left(R^{\bullet}\right)
$$

2. Let $R$ be a ring, $\mathcal{C}$ a class of right $R$-modules which is closed under finite direct sums, under direct summands, and under isomorphisms, and suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ has a set $V(\mathcal{C})$ of representatives. If the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$ is semilocal for all modules $M$ in $\mathcal{C}$, then the monoid $V(\mathcal{C})$ is a reduced Krull monoid by a theorem of Facchini (5, Theorem 3.4]. Conversely, every reduced Krull monoid is isomorphic to a monoid of modules $V(\mathcal{C})$ by a realization theorem of Facchini and Wiegand (7, Theorem 2.1]). Their result together with Theorem 1.1 implies the assertion.
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