

SAR tomography for the retrieval of forest biomass and height: Cross-validation at two tropical forest sites in French Guiana

Dinh Ho Tong Minh, Thuy Le Toan, Fabio Rocca, Stefano Tebaldini, Ludovic Villard, Maxime Réjou-Méchain, Oliver L. Phillips, Ted R. Feldpausch,

Pascale Dubois-Fernandez, Klaus Scipal, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Dinh Ho Tong Minh, Thuy Le Toan, Fabio Rocca, Stefano Tebaldini, Ludovic Villard, et al.. SAR tomography for the retrieval of forest biomass and height: Cross-validation at two tropical forest sites in French Guiana. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2016, 175, pp.138-147. 10.1016/j.rse.2015.12.037 . hal-01354748

HAL Id: hal-01354748 https://hal.science/hal-01354748

Submitted on 19 Aug2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SAR tomography for the retrieval of forest biomass and height: cross-validation at two tropical forest sites in French Guiana

Dinh Ho Tong Minh^{a,b}, Thuy Le Toan^b, Fabio Rocca^c, Stefano Tebaldini^c, Ludovic Villard^b, Maxime Réjou-Méchain^{d,e}, Oliver L Phillips^f, Ted R. Feldpausch^g, Pascale Dubois-Fernandez^h, Klaus Scipalⁱ, Jérôme Chave^d

^aInstitut national de Recherche en Sciences et Technologies pour l'Environnement et l'Agriculture (IRSTEA), UMR TETIS, Montpellier, France ^bCentre d'Etudes Spatiales de la BIOsphere (CESBIO), UMR CNRS 5126, University of Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France ^cDipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy ^dLaboratoire Evolution et Diversite Biologique, UMR CNRS 5174, University of Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France ^eFrench Institute of Pondicherry, UMIFRE 21/USR 3330 CNRS-MAEE, Pondicherry, India ^fSchool of Geography, University of Leeds, University Road, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK ^gGeography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, UK

^hOffice National d'Etudes et de Recherches Aerospatiales (ONERA), Toulouse, France ⁱEuropean Space Research and Technology Centre (ESTEC), Noordwijk, the Netherlands

Abstract

Developing and improving methods to monitor forest carbon in space and time is a timely challenge, especially for tropical forests. The next European Space Agency Earth Explorer Core Mission BIOMASS will collect synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data globally from employing a multiple baseline orbit during the initial phase of its lifetime. These data will be used for tomographic SAR (TomoSAR) processing, with a vertical resolution of about 20 m, a resolution sufficient to decompose the backscatter signal into two to three layers for most closed-canopy tropical forests. A recent study, con-

Preprint submitted to Remote Sensing of Environment

December 17, 2015

ducted in the Paracou site, French Guiana, has already shown that TomoSAR significantly improves the retrieval of forest aboveground biomass (AGB) in a high biomass forest, with an error of only 10% at 1.5-ha resolution. However, the degree to which this TomoSAR approach can be transferred from one site to another has not been assessed. We test this approach at the Nouragues site in central French Guiana (ca 100 km away from Paracou), and develop a method to retrieve the top-of-canopy height from TomoSAR. We found a high correlation between the backscatter signal and AGB in the upper canopy layer (i.e. 20-40 m), while lower layers only showed poor correlations. The relationship between AGB and TomoSAR data was found to be highly similar for forests at Nouragues and Paracou. Cross validation using training plots from Nouragues and validation plots from Paracou, and vice versa, gave an error of 16 - 18% of AGB using 1-ha plots. Finally, using a high-resolution LiDAR canopy model as a reference, we showed that TomoSAR has the potential to retrieve the top-of-canopy height with an error to within 2.5 m. Our analyses show that the TomoSAR-AGB retrieval method is accurate even in hilly and high-biomass forest areas and suggest that our approach may be generalizable to other study sites, having a canopy taller than 30 m. These results have strong implications for the tomographic phase of the BIOMASS spaceborne mission.

Keywords: Aboveground biomass, BIOMASS mission, French Guiana, Paracou, Nouragues, TropiSAR, P-band SAR tomography, tomography phase, vertical forest structure

1 1. Introduction

Forests play a key role in the global carbon cycle, and hence in the global 2 climate (Wright, 2005; Pan et al., 2011). However, this role remains poorly 3 characterized quantitatively, as compared to other ecosystems due to the 4 practical difficulties in measuring forest biomass stocks over broad scales. 5 Over the past few years, considerable progress has been made in mapping 6 forest ecosystem biomass stocks using a range of remote sensing technologies 7 (Saatchi et al., 2011b; Baccini et al., 2012; Mitchard et al., 2009; Mermoz 8 et al., 2015). However, these studies has limitations associated with limited 9 sensor sensitivity to biomass, inappropriate sampling intensity, and limited 10 validation of the methodology. These maps are least accurate in high carbon 11 stock forests, predominantly found in the tropics, where existing large-scale 12 remotely-sensed biomass maps conflict substantially and with field-based es-13 timates of spatial biomass patterns (e.g., (Mitchard et al., 2014)). Tropical 14 forests are highly complex, varied, and often threatened. In this context 15 there is a critical need to develop new technologies that can help survey and 16 monitor tropical forests. 17

Delivering accurate global maps of forest aboveground biomass (AGB) 18 and height is the primary objective of BIOMASS, the next European Space 19 Agency (ESA) Earth Explorer Core Mission (Le Toan et al., 2011). The 20 BIOMASS satellite is planned for a 2020 launch date. To achieve the goal 21 of wall-to-wall mapping of forest AGB, the BIOMASS mission features, for 22 the first time from space, a fully polarimetric, P-band (435 MHz, ~ 69 cm 23 wavelength, and 6 MHz bandwidth) Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR). The 24 low frequency ensures that the transmitted wave can penetrate the vegeta-25

tion down to the ground even in dense multi-layer tropical forests (Smith-Jonforsen et al., 2005; Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a). The satellite will operate in two different observation phases. The tomographic phase will last for one year and will result in one global forest AGB and total canopy height map at 200-m resolution. It will be followed by an interferometric phase, which will last for four years and will provide updated global forest AGB maps every six months (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015b).

The algorithm for forest AGB retrieval based on P-band SAR has been 33 developed during the BIOMASS Mission Assessment Phase (Phase A), based 34 on airborne data collected over boreal and tropical forests (Sandberg et al., 35 2011; Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a; Villard and Le Toan, 2015). It makes 36 full use of information on Polarimetric SAR (PolSAR) backscatter intensity 37 and the Polarimetric Inteferometric (PolInSAR) phase information. PolSAR 38 algorithms combine statistical and physical models to derive AGB based on 30 intensity measurements in all polarizations (Le Toan et al., 1992; Sandberg 40 et al., 2011). These algorithms usually perform better for low biomass values 41 (typically less than 200 t/ha in dry matter units), whereas at high AGB, sig-42 nal intensity exhibits a saturation effect that affects biomass retrieval. PolIn-43 SAR technique combines two PolSAR measurements from slightly different 44 orbits to obtain an estimate of forest height; this canopy height is subse-45 quently converted into AGB using field-derived allometric equations (Saatchi 46 et al., 2011a; Le Toan et al., 2011). By combining AGB estimates from these 47 two complementary techniques, AGB maps may be produced with less than 48 20% root mean square error (RMSE), at a resolution of 4-ha (Le Toan et al., 49 2011). To achieve this performance, however, AGB estimation algorithms 50

need to be accurately tuned, so as to take into account noise factors that affect radar measurements, primarily terrain topography and ground moisture
status (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a; Van Zyl, 1993).

The analysis and evaluation of data collected during the tomography 54 phase is essential to achieving the goals of the BIOMASS mission. The 55 satellite's orbit is designed to gather multiple acquisitions over the same 56 sites from slightly different orbital positions, so as to image forest vertical 57 structure through SAR tomography (henceforth referred to as TomoSAR) 58 (Reigher and Moreira, 2000; Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015b). Hence, for the 59 first time, BIOMASS will provide quantitative information on forest structure 60 through P-band TomoSAR from space. 61

The potential of P-band TomoSAR to characterize forest structure was 62 previously assessed in a number of studies relating forest vertical structure to 63 forest biomass (Tebaldini and Rocca, 2012; Mariotti d'Alessandro, M. et al., 64 2013; Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a). The TropiSAR campaign carried out 65 in 2009 in French Guiana offered the first opportunity to test TomoSAR 66 for tropical forest areas (Dubois-Fernandez et al., 2012). TropiSAR data 67 have been acquired for TomoSAR processing at two forest sites, the Paracou 68 forest and the Nouragues forest, about 100 km apart. In a previous study 69 we conducted at the Paracou site, the signal at P-band coming from upper 70 vegetation layers was found to be strongly correlated with forest AGB, for 71 values ranging from 250 t/ha to 450 t/ha (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a). This 72 finding was used to construct a simple AGB model having a RMSE of only 73 10% at a resolution of 1.5 ha. These results suggest that TomoSAR methods 74 hold promise for accurately mapping forest biomass in tropical areas. 75

The robustness of the TomoSAR algorithm, however, needs further eval-76 uation to different sites. Here we provide the first such assessment by per-77 forming a cross-comparison between two French Guiana tropical forest sites, 78 namely Paracou and Nouragues. In addition we report on the performance 79 of forest top height retrieved from the TomoSAR data at both sites. Specif-80 ically, we address the following questions: (1) Can the TomoSAR algorithm 81 be parameterized for a landscape on hilly terrain?; (2) Is the relationship 82 between TomoSAR and AGB transferable across tropical forest sites?; (3) 83 Is the forest top height retrieval algorithm transferrable? Finally we discuss 84 the implications of these findings for the tomographic phase of the BIOMASS 85 spaceborne mission. 86

87 2. Methods

88 2.1. Field data

The present study was conducted at two sites in French Guiana. The first 89 site, the Nouragues Ecological Research Station, is located 120 km south of 90 Cayenne, French Guiana (4°05' N, 52°40' W). This area is a protected natural 91 reserve characterized by a lowland moist tropical rainforest. The climate is 92 humid with a mean annual rainfall of 2861 mm/year (average 1992-2012), 93 a short dry season in March and a longer 2-month dry season from late 94 August to early November. The site is topographically heterogeneous, with 95 a succession of hills ranging between 26-280 m above sea level (asl) and a 96 granitic outcrop (Inselberg) reaching 430 m asl (the mean ground slope is 97 greater than 5° at a 100-m resolution). The study area encompasses three 98 main types of geological substrates, a weathered granitic parent material 99

with sandy soils of variable depths, a laterite crust issued from metavolcanic 100 rock of the Paramaca formation with clayey soils and a metavolcanic parent 101 material. There has been no obvious forest disturbance by human activities 102 in the past 200 years. One hectare of forest includes up to 200 tree species 103 with a diameter at breast height $(DBH) \geq 10$ cm. Top-of-canopy height 104 reaches up to 55 m with the average value around 35 m. At Nouragues, 105 ground-based AGB was inferred from two large and long term permanent 106 plots, namely Grand Plateau (1000 x 100 m^2) and Petit Plateau (400 x 300 107 m^2), both established in 1992-1994 and regularly surveyed to the present. 108 The two plots were subdivided in 100 x 100 m^2 subplots, resulting in 22 109 study plots of 1-ha. We used tree census data conducted at the end of 2008. 110 Five additional plots were also considered in the analyses, three of 1-ha (100 111 x 100 m^2) in terra-firme forest (Pararé-ridge established in 2010; Lhor in 112 2010; Ringler in 2012) and two 0.25-ha plots (50 x 50 m^2) in permanently 113 flooded forests (Bas_fond 1 and Bas_fond 2 both in 2012). 114

The second study area is located at the Paracou station, near Sinnamary, 115 French Guiana (5°18' N, 52°55' W). The climate is also humid with a mean 116 annual rainfall of 2980 mm/year (30 years period) and a 2-month dry season 117 occurring from late August to early November. The Paracou site is fairly 118 flat and has a homogeneous topography (5-50 m asl), but with deep drainage 119 gullies flowing into the Sinnamary River. The most common soils at Para-120 cou are shallow ferralitic soils which are limited in depth by a more or less 121 transformed loamy saprolithe (Gourlet-Fleury et al., 2004). Following forest 122 censuses, the number of tree species is estimated to be approximately 140-123 160 species/ha (trees with DBH \geq 10 cm). Top-of-canopy height reaches 124

up to 45 m with the average value around 30 m. In Paracou, in-situ forest 125 measurements were available from 16 permanent plots established since 1984. 126 There are 15 plots of 250 x 250 m^2 (6.25 ha) and one plot of 500 x 500 m^2 127 (25 ha). From 1986 to 1988, nine of these 15 6.25-ha plots underwent three 128 different mild to severe logging treatments to study forest regeneration after 129 logging (Gourlet-Fleury et al., 2004). Logging treatments had a significant 130 impact on current AGB stocks (Blanc et al., 2009). As at the Nouragues 131 site, we subdivided these large plots in 100 x 100 m^2 . This resulted in 85 132 field plot units for the Paracou site. To match the BIOMASS resolution, we 133 also subdivided all large plots in 200 x 200 m^2 subplots, resulting in 19 4-ha 134 plots. 135

At both sites, the two forests are moist closed-canopy tropical forests. Nouragues forest has a slightly higher top canopy and aboveground biomass stock and is on a more hilly terrain. However, the floristic composition is largely similar (dominant tree families are Fabaceae, Sapotaceae, Burseraceae, Lecythidaceae, Chrysobalanaceae, and Moraceae), and is typical of most forests at the north-eastern end of the long pan-Amazon floristic gradient (e.g., (ter Steege et al., 2006)).

In each permanent sampling plot, living trees ≥ 10 cm DBH were mapped, diameter measured to the nearest 0.5 cm at 1.3 m above the ground, and botanically identified when possible. For trees with buttresses, stilt roots or irregularities, stem diameter was measured 30 cm above the highest irregularity. The point of measurement was marked with permanent paint on the stem. Trees ≤ 10 cm DBH and lianas were disregarded in the census, but these contribute a small fraction of the total AGB. A subset of tree heights was measured at Nouragues (2462 trees) and Paracou (1157 trees). These were used to construct plot-specific heightdiameter allometries in each plot using a model of the form:

$$ln(H) = a + b \times ln(DBH) + c \times ln(DBH)^2$$
(1)

where H is the total tree height (Rejou-Mechain et al., 2015). In Paracou, a single height diameter model was used for all 6.25-ha plots but a specific model was used for the 25-ha plot as this is known to have more slender trees (Vincent et al., 2014).

Above-ground biomass of each tree (AGB_t) was estimated using the equation in (Chave et al., 2005) :

$$AGB_t = 0.0509 \times \rho \times DBH^2 \times \overline{H} \tag{2}$$

where \overline{H} is the tree height estimated using the height-diameter equation 159 1 and ρ is the oven-dry wood specific gravity in q/cm^3 . A more recent allo-160 metric equation was published in (Chave et al., 2014) but it gave essentially 161 identical AGB values (within 2%). Wood specific gravity ρ , was inferred from 162 the species identification of the trees using a global wood density database 163 (Chave et al., 2009). We assigned a ρ value to each tree corresponding to 164 the mean ρ for species found in the database. Only ρ measurements made 165 in tropical South America (4182 trees) were considered in order to limit the 166 bias due to regional variation of wood density (Muller-Landau, 2004; Chave 167 et al., 2006). When no reliable species identification or no wood density in-168 formation at the species level was available, the mean wood density at higher 169 taxonomic level (i.e. genus, family) or at the plot level was attributed to the 170

tree. In each plot, AGB was summed across trees and normalized by plot
area to obtain AGB density in t/ha, in dry biomass units (note that AGB in
dry biomass units may be converted into carbon units using a 0.48 ratio).

174 2.2. LiDAR data

Airborne LiDAR campaigns were also conducted in the study sites to 175 serve as a reference repository of canopy height estimates. In the Nouragues 176 site, an airborne LiDAR survey was conducted in 2012, covering an area 177 of 2400 ha. A canopy height model was generated from the cloud data at 178 1-m resolution using the FUSION software ((McGaughey, 2012); Details on 179 canopy model construction can be found in (Rejou-Mechain et al., 2015) 180). At the Paracou study site, an airborne LiDAR survey was conducted in 181 2008, covering an area of 1200 ha. The canopy model was generated by the 182 ALTOA society using the TerraScan software ((Terrasolid, 2008); Details on 183 the LiDAR data can be found in (Vincent et al., 2012)). 184

185 2.3. SAR data-sets

The TropiSAR study was conducted in the summer of 2009, and SAR 186 airborne campaigns covered both Nouragues and Paracou sites flying mul-187 tiple baselines, so as to allow tomographic processing. The SAR system 188 used in the TropiSAR campaign was the ONERA airborne system SETHI 189 (Dubois-Fernandez et al., 2012). The P-band SAR had a bandwidth of 335 190 - 460 MHz (125 MHz) and the resolution was 1 m in slant range and 1.245 191 m in azimuth direction (Dubois-Fernandez et al., 2012). Datasets of the 192 TropiSAR campaign are available as an ESA archive through the EOPI por-193 tal (http://eopi.esa.int). 194

At Nouragues, tomographic data-sets consisted of five fully polarimetric 195 Single Look Complex (SLC) images at P-band acquired on 14 August 2009. 196 The baselines have been spaced vertically with a spacing of 15 m. The flight 197 trajectory was lower than the reference line (3962m) with a vertical shift of 198 15 m, 30 m, 45 m and 60 m, respectively. At Paracou, tomographic data-199 sets consisted of 6 fully polarimetric SLC images at P-band (and L-band) 200 acquired on 24 August 2009. As for Nouragues, the baselines had a spacing 201 of 15 m with a reference line of 3962 m, but an additional vertical shift at 75 202 m. In both data-sets, with the vertical shift of 15 m, the height of ambiguity 203 was 110 m in near range and 210 m in far range, enabling unambiguous 204 imaging of the forest volume. 205

Since the tomographic flight lines were in a vertical plane rather than in a horizontal plane, the phase to height factor and the height of ambiguity had a small variation across the scene swath (Dubois-Fernandez et al., 2012). The resulting vertical resolution is 20 m, whereas forest height ranges from 20 m to over 40 m. These features make it possible to map the 3-D distribution of the reflectivity by a coherent focusing, see section 2.4.

The Nouragues and Paracou SAR images are shown in Fig. 1. In the Nouragues image, almost the whole scene is forested except the Arataye river in the south and the top of the Inselberg in the northwest. In the Paracou image, the Sinnamary river and the bare terrain areas can be observed. In both images, the texture of the river and the bare terrain areas are uniform as compared to the forested areas.



Figure 1: P-band SAR image (8 km x 6 km) in Pauli false color (R:|HH-VV|, G: 2|HV|, B: |HH+VV|, where H and V refer to horizontal and vertical linear polarizations, respectively). The North is on the top. (a) Nouragues, the near range is on the left. (b) Paracou, the near range is on the right. The in situ AGB measurements are outlined with a label identifying the plot name. The white dash rectangles are relative to the area where LiDAR forest height data is available.

(b) Paracou SAR image



Figure 2: Left panel: a schematic view of the tomography acquisition. Right panel: multilayer images, each of which represents scattering contributions associated with a certain height.

218 2.4. TomoSAR processing

The rationale of TomoSAR is to employ multiple flight tracks, nearly 219 parallel to each other, as shown in the left panel of figure 2. The ensem-220 ble of all flight lines allows formation of a 2-D synthetic aperture, with the 221 possibility to focus the signal in the whole 3-D space. In other words, by 222 exploiting TomoSAR, multi-baseline SLC data can be converted into a new 223 multi-layer SLC data stack where each layer represents scattering contribu-224 tions associated with a certain height, as shown in the right panel of figure 225 2.226

Let us consider a multi-baseline data-set of SLC SAR images acquired by flying the sensor along N parallel tracks, and let $y_n(r, x)$ denote the pixel at slant range, azimuth location (r, x) in the n - th image. Assuming that each image within the data stack has been resampled on a common master grid, and that phase terms due to platform motion and terrain topography
have been compensated, the following model holds (Bamler and Hartl, 1998;
Reigber and Moreira, 2000; Tebaldini, 2010):

$$y_n(r,x) = \int S(\xi,r,x) \exp\left(j\frac{4\pi}{\lambda r}b_n\xi\right) d\xi$$
(3)

where: b_n is the normal baseline relative to the n - th image with respect 234 to a common master image; λ is the carrier wavelength; ξ is the cross range 235 coordinate, defined by the direction orthogonal to the Radar Line-of-Sight 236 (LOS) and the azimuth coordinate; $S(\xi, r, x)$ is the average scene complex 237 reflectivity within the slant range, azimuth, cross range resolution cell, as 238 shown in figure 3. Equation (3) states that SAR multi-baseline data and 239 the cross range distribution of the scene reflectivity constitute a Fourier pair. 240 Accordingly, the latter can be retrieved by taking the Fourier Transform of 241 the data along the baseline direction. 242

$$\hat{S}(\xi, r, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n(r, x) \exp\left(-j\frac{4\pi}{\lambda r}b_n\xi\right)$$
(4)

As a result, TomoSAR processing allows us to retrieve the cross range distribution of the scene complex reflectivity at each range and azimuth location, hence providing fully 3-D imaging capabilities. The final conversion from cross range to height is then obtained through straightforward geometrical arguments. The resulting vertical resolution is approximately (Reigber and Moreira, 2000):

$$\Delta z \simeq \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{rsin\theta}{b_{max}} \tag{5}$$

where θ is the radar look angle and b_{max} the overall normal baseline span. Equation (5) defines the so called Rayleigh limit. This way of processing does



Figure 3: Schematic representation of the tomography geometry. Azimuth axis is orthogonal to the picture.

not optimize vertical resolution but ensures good radiometric accuracy in the
vertical direction. An alternative approach would be to resort to sophisticated spectral estimation techniques such as MUSIC, CAPON, RELAX, or
Compressive sensing algorithms (Zhu and Bamler, 2010; Gini et al., Oct 2002;
Lombardini and Reigber, 2003). Such algorithms, however, are optimized for
the problem of detecting and localizing point targets, whereas they result in
poor radiometric accuracy in the case of distributed targets.

To apply the simple approach depicted above, it is usually necessary to take a number of factors into account (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a). First, the baseline distribution is not uniform due to atmospheric turbulences affecting the airborne flight trajectory. Second, the phases of the SLC data are affected by slow varying phase disturbances caused by uncompensated platform motion. Both factors affect tomographic focusing, leading to a ²⁶⁴ blurring of the processed data, and hence need to be corrected. Third, terrain
²⁶⁵ topography has to be considered, as it plays a key role for studying the
²⁶⁶ relation between TomoSAR and in-situ measurements.

After these pre-processing steps, tomographic imaging is performed sim-267 ply by taking the Fourier Transform (with respect to the normal baseline) 268 of the multi-baseline SLC data set at every slant range, azimuth location. 269 The result of this operation is a multi-layer SLC stack, where each layer is 270 referred to a fixed height above the terrain. We will hereinafter refer to each 271 image within the multi-layer data stack simply by the associated height (i.e.: 272 15 m layer, 30 m layer...), or as ground layer for the image focused at 0 m. A 273 detailed step by step description of the processing is given in (Ho Tong Minh 274 et al., 2014a). Fig. 4a and 4b show the HV backscatter for layers at ground 275 layer 0 m, 15 m, and 30 m over the Nouragues and Paracou sites, respec-276 tively. To provide a comparison we also show the backscatter relative to one 277 image from the original multi-baseline data-stack (i.e. non-tomographic). 278

We then evaluated the relationship between backscatter for different layer heights and in-situ AGB using the slope of a least-square linear regression and the Pearson coefficient r_P . It is well-known that the cross-polarization HV have a better correlation with AGB than the co-polarization HH or VV (see for instance (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a)). Hence to focus the discussion we only report on the HV results in this paper.

285

We define a simple AGB model assuming a classical log law:

$$AGB = a \times \log_{10}(P_L) + b, \tag{6}$$

286

where AGB is the estimated forest AGB, P_L is the HV backscatter of a



Figure 4: (a) Nouragues site, the left panel is the local ground slope and the right panels are HV intensities associated with the original (i.e. non-tomographic) SAR image with the three layer produced by TomoSAR. (b) Paracou site, the left panel is the local ground slope and the right panels are HV intensities associated with the original SAR image with the three layer produced by TomoSAR. Compared to Paracou site, the topography of the Nouragues site is very rugged.

given tomographic layer, and *a*, *b* are two parameters to be calibrated using training data. These parameters were estimated by using 10 training samples selected randomly out of 112 plots (i.e. calibration dataset). To assess model performance, the retrieved AGB values were then compared with the in-situ AGB of the remaining samples (i.e. validation dataset) to estimate the RMSE of the model.

Finally, to simulate BIOMASS equivalent data we reprocessed the highresolution airborne data (125 MHz of bandwidth) to generate a new data stack with 6 MHz bandwidth and an azimuth resolution of 12 m. The overall baseline span was fixed to the critical value of BIOMASS (4610 m), 6 passes were used, resulting in the height of ambiguity 110 m and the vertical resolution 20 m (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015b). Based on this reprocessed data-set we examined the relationship of TomoSAR products to biomass.
The reader is referred to (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015b) for the description
of the BIOMASS simulator, for which BIOMASS tomographic data were
emulated at the Paracou site.

303 2.5. Forest top height retrieval

In tropical rainforests, where canopy structure is more complex than any other forest type, estimating forest top height in the field is a challenging task because it is often hard to clearly identify the top leaf or branch of a tree in the canopy. Due to its ability to accurately characterize the vertical structure of tropical forests, TomoSAR can be used to estimate forest top height. Forest vertical structure can be observed by taking a tomographic profile, i.e. a slice of the multi-layer data stack (Fig. 5).



Figure 5: A tomographic profile at the Nouragures forest for the HV channel, see the black dashed line AA' in figure 1a. The power level for each channel is normalized in such a way that the level ranges from 0 (dark blue) to 1 (dark red). The top panels and the white line denote the LiDAR height measurements.



Figure 6: (a) The HV vertical backscatter distribution with respect to the phase center at 10 m, 20 m and 30 m, in Nouragues site. (b) The schematic view of the vertical backscatter distribution.

By retrieving the 3-D backscatter distribution from the multi-layer SLC, it is possible to show the vertical backscatter distribution function. Each vertical distribution is characterized by an effective scattering center, where most of the backscatter is concentrated, the so called phase center H_C . This can be written in formula,

$$H_C(r,x) = \arg\max\{P(z,r,x)\},\tag{7}$$

where P(z, r, x) is the vertical backscatter at slant range, azimuth location (r, x) in vertical direction z. Figure 6a shows an example of HV vertical backscatter distribution with respect to the phase center at 10 m, 20 m and 319 30 m, from the 3-D backscatter distribution in Nouragues site.

Fig. 6b shows a schematic view of the vertical backscatter distribution, in which it can be assumed that the shape of the distribution can be divided into

three zones. The first corresponds to the zone where most of the backscatter 322 is concentrated, i.e. the phase center zone. The second is the power loss 323 zone, where the backscatter undergoes a loss along the vertical direction 324 from the phase center location. Further away, the backscatter is dominated 325 by noise, unlikely to be associated with any physically relevant components. 326 Therefore, by identifying the power loss from the phase center location in the 327 upper envelope of the profile, forest top height H can be retrieved (Tebaldini 328 and Rocca, 2012; Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015b). This can be written in 329 formula, 330

$$H(r,x) = \arg\min\{|P(z',r,x) - P(H_C,r,x) - K|\},$$
(8)

where $P(H_C, r, x)$ is the backscatter at phase center H_C , K is the power loss value, z' is the height values ranging from H_C to the upper envelope of the profile, e.g. 60 m.

Since the forest top height retrieval is dependent on the choice of the power loss value K, we used top-of-canopy height LiDAR models to select an optimal power loss value.

337 3. Results

The three tomographic layers (0, 15 and 30 m) were found to be different in their information content, with the upper vegetation layer (30 m) having the highest correlation between the backscatter and AGB (Fig. 7). For this layer, the Pearson correlation was 0.75 and the slope indicates an increase of > 1.8 dB per 100 t/ha for a range of AGB of 200-600 t/ha. For the lower layers, the linear correlations were weak, and even negative for the ground



Figure 7: Sensitivity of HV backscatter at different layers produced by TomoSAR to aboveground biomass. The top left panel is the HV backscatter associated with the original SAR image. r_P is the Pearson correlation coefficient. Slope is referred to the angular coefficient of the resulting linear fit.

layer. Our results thus show that the best TomoSAR estimator to retrieve
AGB was based on the HV backscatter at 30 m. Results of the calibration
and validation with field data are reported in figure 8 and showed a model
RMSE of 15%.

Second, to test the robustness and transferability of the relationship between AGB and TomoSAR data, we used 27 plots from Nouragues for training and 85 samples from Paracou for validation, and vice versa. The RMSE values from these cross-validation models were only slightly higher than to



Figure 8: Comparison between in-situ AGB and AGB derived from inversion of the Pband HV 30 m layer, for both Paracou and Nouragues. The RMSE in retrieved AGB is 15.3% using 1-ha plots.

those obtained by using both training and validation samples from the same
study site (Fig. 9).

Third, we retrieved top heights from the tomographic profile (Fig. 5). 354 Using the top-of-canopy height LiDAR model we evaluated the forest top 355 height location corresponding to a power loss value, with respect to the phase 356 center, ranging from 0 to -10 dB (Fig. 10). In both the Nouragues and 357 Paracou sites, the bias associated with the TomoSAR top-height retrieval 358 decreased regularly with the power loss but the RMSE was significantly lower 359 at a power loss of 2 dB reaching only 2.5 m and 2 m in Nouragues and 360 Paracou, respectively. Using a power loss value of -2 dB at the Nouragues 361 and Paracou site, we then extrapolated the TomoSAR top-of-canopy height 362 retrieval estimates over the whole area covered by the LiDAR campaigns 363



Figure 9: TomoSAR biomass retrieval result based on cross-validations: comparison of retrieved AGB and in-situ AGB. (a) training in Nouragues and validation in Paracou. (b) training in Paracou and validation in Nouragues

for comparison purpose (Fig. 11). Results show that the relative differences
between the top-of-canopy height LiDAR and TomoSAR estimates were 15%
for Nouragues and 10% for Paracou (Fig. 11 right panel).

In the Paracou forest the results from the emulated 6MHz-bandwidth system were found to be similar with those obtained from the airborne dataset in spite of the significant resolution loss. At the resolution of 4-ha, the RMSE was 11% (Pearson correlation of 0.79). As shown in (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015b), it was possible to retrieve forest top height, in which the RMSE was 2.5 m, whereas the relative difference was 10%.



Figure 10: Forest top height bias and RMSE versus power loss with respect to phase center elevation. (a) Bias. (b) RMSE.



Figure 11: Comparison between LiDAR and tomography retrieval of forest top height in both sites. (a) Nouragues. (b) Paracou. The left panels show LiDAR height H_{LiDAR} available, see in Fig. 1. The middle panels present the results from tomography $H_{tomogaphy}$. The right panels report the relative difference, defined by $|H_{tomogaphy} - H_{LiDAR}| / H_{LiDAR}$.

373 4. Discussion

In this work we show that TomoSAR approaches can be used to character-374 ize the vertical structure of tropical forests accurately, even over terrain with 375 strong topography. The present analysis confirms the performance of the 376 TomoSAR approach for aboveground biomass mapping in the tropics. AGB 377 average relative errors were 15% at a 1-ha resolution, for both Nouragues and 378 Paracou. Further, we demonstrate the stability of the TomoSAR retrieval 379 method for different forest areas. Finally, we showed that canopy height re-380 trieval may be performed efficiently even in tropical forests on hilly terrain. 381 Forest top height RMSE was estimated to be 2.5 m and 2 m for Nouragues 382 and Paracou, respectively. Together these results considerably reinforce the 383 proposal that BIOMASS, during its tomographic phase, will be able to pro-384 vide highly accurate wall-to-wall AGB mapping even in high carbon stock 385 forests worldwide. 386

First, we showed that the same analysis conducted originally at a coastal 387 tropical forest site of French Guiana, Paracou, could be replicated at an-388 other site (Nouragues), some 100 km away, and with independent ground 389 data. This was expected to be challenging because the Nouragues area has a 390 considerably more undulating terrain than Paracou, and this terrain is more 391 typical of the Guiana Shield. Our study confirms that P-band SAR tomo-392 graphic data can retrieve AGB even on this terrain. This is reassuring given 393 that many of the remaining mature tropical forests today are on steep slopes, 394 inappropriate for cultivation (see table S4 in (Réjou-Méchain et al., 2014)). 395

In this paper, we also investigated whether our TomoSAR approach can be generalizable to other sites than the study site originally studied (Para-

cou), an important issue for the BIOMASS mission. The relationship be-398 tween AGB and TomoSAR data at Nouragues was found to be highly sim-390 ilar to the one observed in Paracou. In particular, we found that the best 400 correlations hold in the upper layer (e.g., 30 m), whereas the ground and 401 middle layers were poorly correlated to AGB. AGB retrieval using training 402 plots from Nouragues and validation plots from Paracou, and vice versa, re-403 sulted in a RMSE of 16-18% using 1-ha plots, for AGB ranging from 200 to 404 600 t/ha. This is a key result of this paper as it shows that the TomoSAR 405 based biomass retrieval method is generalizable to other study sites at least 406 to those forests with similar physiognomy, i.e. with canopy height ranging 407 from 20 to 40 m. Hence, we provide support to the possibility to transfer 408 training samples from one site to another, even if further studies should be 409 conducted in other forests to assess the generality of our approach. 410

As previously discussed in (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2014a), the physical 411 interpretation of these results is as follows. The correlation between the 412 backscatter and AGB was very weak for the ground layer. Scatterers are 413 indeed likely to be dispersed in the ground layer because dominant scatter-414 ing mechanisms are mostly influenced by local topographical or soil moisture 415 variation. The relationship even tends to be negative, most probably because 416 the signal extinction at the ground level is likely to be higher in the pres-417 ence of tall trees, and hence high AGB. In the 15-m layer, the correlation 418 between backscatters and AGB was also weak. One possible explanation is 419 that almost all trees from the stand may be represented in a rather similar 420 way across sites in the 15-m layer. In recent studies, (Stegen et al., 2011) 421 and (Slik et al., 2013) showed that only the largest trees (> 70 cm of diame-422

ter) drive the difference in AGB among sites and that smaller trees conveys 423 no information on cross-sites differences in AGB. This may explain why the 424 backscatter exhibited a strong significant correlation with AGB in upper lay-425 ers (20 m layer and higher), where the influence of large trees on backscatters 426 prevails. Further, TomoSAR processing removes the ground contributions in 427 the upper layers, minimizing the perturbing effects (e.g. local topography 428 and/or soil moisture) associated with ground backscatter and thus improv-429 ing the relationship between AGB and backscatters. 430

We point out that the quality of our retrieval depended strongly on the 431 availability of tomographic acquisitions. To place this result in perspective, 432 we also used non-tomographic data (i.e. PolSAR) to infer AGB (Fig. 7). 433 The non-tomographic data exhibit a much lower sensitivity to AGB ($r_P =$ 434 0.37) than the tomographic data of the 30 m layer ($r_P = 0.75$, see top 435 left panel of figure 7). The non-tomographic backscatter signals are more 436 dispersed because they integrate noise signals from the ground, that need to 437 be corrected with elaborate techniques (e.g. (Villard and Le Toan, 2015)), 438 and signals from the middle layer that convey little information on AGB. 439

By evaluating the vertical forest structure from tomographic profiles, for-440 est top height can be retrieved. Using the LiDAR model as a reference, for 441 Nouragues and Paracou, the same power loss value of -2 dB with respect to 442 the phase center was used to retrieve forest height with no bias and mini-443 mum errors. The RMSE was estimated to be 2.5 m and 2 m, whereas the 444 relative difference is 15% and 10%, for Nouragues and Paracou, respectively. 445 This shows that the Nouragues hilly terrain is not a major limitation for the 446 implementation of a canopy height retrieval algorithm with TomoSAR. 447

We note that the same power loss value can not be straightforwardly transferred to the case of other campaigns. As shown in (Tebaldini and Rocca, 2012) in the frame of the BioSAR 2008 campaign, the power loss should be varied in space due to a strong variation of the vertical resolution across the scene swath.

The results obtained above have to be carefully assessed in the context 453 of a spaceborne satellite mission. In the case of the BIOMASS mission the 454 limited pulse bandwidth of 6 MHz needs to be taken into account (ITU-455 2004, 2004). This low bandwidth has a significant effect on the resolution 456 and quality of the TomoSAR products. At the proposed incidence angle of 457 23°-32° of BIOMASS, the bandwidth reduction translates into a resolution 458 loss not only in the horizontal direction but also in the vertical direction. 459 Despite these effects, our simulation of BIOMASS-like data suggests that 460 the performance loss of the TomoSAR derived products is not significant. 461 Thus, our TomoSAR approach will be directly applicable to the BIOMASS 462 mission. 463

In addition to resolution effects also other effects need to be taken into 464 account when extrapolating the results of this study to the spaceborne case. 465 These include ionosophere disturbances and temporal decorrelation effects. 466 However, the impact of ionosphere, i.e. Faraday Rotation, was found not 467 to be critical to TomoSAR (Tebaldini and Iannini, 2012). BIOMASS will 468 acquire fully polarimetric data, therefore allowing estimation of Faraday Ro-469 tation to within an accuracy that will ensure a negligible impact on TomoSAR 470 results. The impact of temporal decorrelation is under analysis in the frame 471 of the TropiScat campaign activities (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2013, 2014b). 472

Temporal depends heavily on the repeat interval, which in the tomographic phase of the BIOMASS mission has been minimized to 3-4 days. The first attempt is provided in (Ho Tong Minh et al., 2015a), in which the resulting tomograms and forest heights were observed to change acceptably as long as the revisit time is 4 days or less.

To conclude, our results reinforce the science basis for the BIOMASS spaceborne mission. TomoSAR appears to be a promising technique to be used by BIOMASS for the retrieval of tropical forest biomass and height, and for the development of a training/validation strategy during the BIOMASS interferometric phase.

483 5. Acknowledgements

We thank the TropiSAR team for providing the TropiSAR datasets of 484 excellent quality. We are grateful to the people and institutes that have 485 contributed the field data, including L Blanc and B Hérault for Paracou, 486 P Gaucher, P Châtelet, E Courtois, S Fauset, A Monteagudo, H. Richard 487 and B Tymen for Nouragues, and G Vincent for tree height data in Paracou. 488 Both Paracou and Nouragues are part of the Guyafor network. We gratefully 489 acknowledge financial support from ESA (TropiSAR and TropiScat project), 490 CNES (TOSCA program), from 'Investissement d'Avenir' grants managed 491 by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (CEBA, ref. ANR-10-LABX-25-01; 492 TULIP: ANR-10-LABX-0041; ANAEE-Services: ANR-11-INBS-0001) and 493 from NERC ('AMAZONICA' consortium) and the Gordon and Betty Moore 494 Foundation for contributing funding for field measurements at Nouragues 495 through the RAINFOR project (www.rainfor.org). 496

- Baccini, A., Goetz, S. J., Walker, W. S., Laporte, N. T., Sun, M., Sulla-497 Menashe, D., Hackler, J., Beck, P. S. A., Dubayah, R., Friedl, M. A., 498 Samanta, S., Houghton, R. A., 2012. Estimated carbon dioxide emissions 499 from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps. Nature Cli-500 mate Change 2 (3), 182–185. 501
- Bamler, R., Hartl, P., 1998. Synthetic aperture radar interferometry. Inverse 502 Problems 14, R1–R54. 503
- Blanc, L., Echard, M., Herault, B., Bonal, D., Marcon, E., Chave, J., Bar-504 aloto, C., 2009. Dynamics of aboveground carbon stocks in a selectively 505 logged tropical forest. Ecological Applications 19 (6), 1397–1404. 506
- Chave, J., Andalo, C., Brown, S., Cairns, M., Chambers, J., Eamus, D., Fol-507 ster, H., Fromard, F., Higuchi, N., Kir, T., Lescure, J.-P., Puig, H., Riera, 508 B., Yamakura., T., Aug. 2005. Tree allometry and improved estimation of 509 carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests. Oecologia 145, 87–99. 510
- Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S., Swenson, N., Zanne, A., 2009. 511 Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. Ecology Letters 12, 351– 512 366. 513
- Chave, J., Muller-Landau, H., Baker, T., Easdale, T., Ter Steege, H., Webb, 514 C., 2006. Regional and phylogenetic variation of wood density across 2456 515 neotropical tree species. Ecological Applications 16, 2356–2367. 516
- Chave, J., Rejou-Machain, M., Burquez, A., Chidumayo, E., Colgan, M. S., 517 Delitti, W. B., Duque, A., Eid, T., Fearnside, P. M., Goodman, R. C., 518 Henry, M., Martinez-Yrizar, A., Mugasha, W. A., Muller-Landau, H. C., 519

 - 31

- Mencuccini, M., Nelson, B. W., Ngomanda, A., Nogueira, E. M., OrtizMalavassi, E., Pelissier, R., Ploton, P., Ryan, C. M., Saldarriaga, J. G.,
 Vieilledent, G., 2014. Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees. Global Change Biology 20 (10), 3177–
 3190.
- 525 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12629
- ⁵²⁶ Dubois-Fernandez, P. C., Le Toan, T., Daniel, S., Oriot, H., Chave, J.,
 ⁵²⁷ Blanc, L., Villard, L., Davidson, M. W. J., Petit, M., Aug. 2012. The
 ⁵²⁸ TropiSAR airborne campaign in French Guiana: Objectives, description,
 ⁵²⁹ and observed temporal behavior of the backscatter signal. Geoscience and
 ⁵³⁰ Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 8 (50), 3228–3241.
- Gini, F., Lombardini, F., Montanari, M., Oct 2002. Layover solution in multibaseline SAR interferometry. Aerospace and Electronic Systems, IEEE
 Transactions on 38 (4), 1344–1356.
- Gourlet-Fleury, Guehl, S. J.-M., Laroussinie, O., 2004. Ecology and managementof a neotropical forest. Lessons drawn from Paracou, a long-term
 experimental resarch site in French Guiana. Elsevier, Paris.
- ⁵³⁷ Ho Tong Minh, D., Le Toan, T., Rocca, F., Tebaldini, S., Mariotti
 d'Alessandro, M., Villard, L., Feb 2014a. Relating P-band synthetic aper⁵³⁹ ture radar tomography to tropical forest biomass. Geoscience and Remote
 ⁵⁴⁰ Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 52 (2), 967–979.
- ⁵⁴¹ Ho Tong Minh, D., Tebaldini, S., Rocca, F., Koleck, T., Borderies, P., Al⁵⁴² binet, C., Villard, L., Hamadi, A., Le Toan, T., Aug 2013. Ground-based

array for tomographic imaging of the tropical forest in P-band. Geoscience
and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 51 (8), 4460–4472.

- ⁵⁴⁵ Ho Tong Minh, D., Tebaldini, S., Rocca, F., Le Toan, T., June 2015a. The im⁵⁴⁶ pact of temporal decorrelation on biomass tomography of tropical forests.
 ⁵⁴⁷ Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, IEEE 12 (6), 1297–1301.
- ⁵⁴⁸ Ho Tong Minh, D., Tebaldini, S., Rocca, F., Le Toan, T., Borderies, P.,
 ⁵⁴⁹ Koleck, T., Albinet, C., Hamadi, A., Villard, L., Aug 2014b. Vertical struc⁵⁵⁰ ture of P-Band temporal decorrelation at the Paracou forest: Results from
 ⁵⁵¹ TropiScat. Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, IEEE 11 (8), 1438–
 ⁵⁵² 1442.
- ⁵⁵³ Ho Tong Minh, D., Tebaldini, S., Rocca, F., Le Toan, T., Villard, L., Dubois⁵⁵⁴ Fernandez, P., Feb 2015b. Capabilities of BIOMASS tomography for in⁵⁵⁵ vestigating tropical forests. Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Trans⁵⁵⁶ actions on 53 (2), 965–975.
- ITU-2004, 2004. Article 5 (Frequency Allocations) of the radio regulations.
 International Telecommunication Union.
- Le Toan, T., Beaudoin, A., Riom, J., Guyoni, D., Mar. 1992. Relating forest biomass to SAR data. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 30 (2), 403 – 411.
- Le Toan, T., Quegan, S., Davidson, M., Balzter, H., Paillou, P., Papathanassiou, K., Plummer, S., Rocca, F., Saatchi, S., Shugart, H., Ulander, L.,
 Jun. 2011. The BIOMASS Mission : Mapping global forest biomass to

⁵⁶⁵ better understand the terrestrial carbon cycle. Remote Sensing of Envi ⁵⁶⁶ ronment, 2850–2860.

- Lombardini, F., Reigber, A., july 2003. Adaptive spectral estimation for
 multibaseline SAR tomography with airborne l-band data. In: Geoscience
 and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2003. IGARSS '03. Proceedings. 2003
 IEEE International. Vol. 3. pp. 2014 2016.
- Mariotti d'Alessandro, M., Tebaldini, S., Rocca, F., 2013. Phenomenology
 of ground scattering in a tropical forest through polarimetric synthetic
 aperture radar tomography. Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 51 (8), 4430–4437.
- McGaughey, R., 2012. Fusion/ldv: Software for lidar data analysis and visualization. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest
 Research Station: Seattle, WA, USA, 123.
- Mermoz, S., Rejou-Mechain, M., Villard, L., Toan, T. L., Rossi, V.,
 Gourlet-Fleury, S., 2015. Decrease of l-band {SAR} backscatter with
 biomass of dense forests. Remote Sensing of Environment 159 (0), 307 –
 317.
- URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034425714005112
- Mitchard, E. T. A., Feldpausch, T. R., Brienen, R. J. W., Lopez-Gonzalez,
- G., Monteagudo, A., Baker, T. R., Lewis, S. L., Lloyd, J., Quesada, C. A.,
- Gloor, M., ter Steege, H., Meir, P., Alvarez, E., Araujo-Murakami, A.,
- Aragao, L. E. O. C., Arroyo, L., Aymard, G., Banki, O., Bonal, D., Brown,
- 587 S., Brown, F. I., Ceron, C. E., Chama Moscoso, V., Chave, J., Comiskey,

- J. A., Cornejo, F., Corrales Medina, M., Da Costa, L., Costa, F. R. C., 588 Di Fiore, A., Domingues, T. F., Erwin, T. L., Frederickson, T., Higuchi, 589 N., Honorio Coronado, E. N., Killeen, T. J., Laurance, W. F., Levis, C., 590 Magnusson, W. E., Marimon, B. S., Marimon Junior, B. H., Mendoza Polo, 591 I., Mishra, P., Nascimento, M. T., Neill, D., Nunez Vargas, M. P., Palacios, 592 W. A., Parada, A., Pardo Molina, G., Pena-Claros, M., Pitman, N., Peres, 593 C. A., Poorter, L., Prieto, A., Ramirez-Angulo, H., Restrepo Correa, Z., 594 Roopsind, A., Roucoux, K. H., Rudas, A., Salomao, R. P., Schietti, J., 595 Silveira, M., de Souza, P. F., Steininger, M. K., Stropp, J., Terborgh, J., 596 Thomas, R., Toledo, M., Torres-Lezama, A., van Andel, T. R., van der 597 Heijden, G. M. F., Vieira, I. C. G., Vieira, S., Vilanova-Torre, E., Vos, 598 V. A., Wang, O., Zartman, C. E., Malhi, Y., Phillips, O. L., 2014. Markedly 599 divergent estimates of amazon forest carbon density from ground plots and 600 satellites. Global Ecology and Biogeography 23 (8), 935–946. 601 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12168 602
- Mitchard, E. T. A., Saatchi, S. S., Woodhouse, I. H., Nangendo, G., Ribeiro,
- N. S., Williams, M., Ryan, C. M., Lewis, S. L., Feldpausch, T. R., Meir,
- P., 2009. Using satellite radar backscatter to predict above-ground woody
- ⁶⁰⁶ biomass: A consistent relationship across four different african landscapes.
- Geophysical Research Letters 36 (23), n/a–n/a, l23401.
- ⁶⁰⁸ URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009GL040692
- Muller-Landau, H., 2004. Interspecific and inter-site variation in wood spe cific gravity of tropical trees. Biotropica 36, 20–32.
- Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A.,

- Phillips, O. L., Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S. L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P.,
 Jackson, R. B., Pacala, S. W., McGuire, A. D., Piao, S., Rautiainen, A.,
 Sitch, S., Hayes, D., 2011. A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's
- 615 forests. Science 333, 988–993.
- Reigber, A., Moreira, A., Sep. 2000. First demonstration of airborne SAR
 tomography using multibaseline L-band data. IEEE Trans. on Geoscience
 and Remote Sensing, 2142–2152.
- Réjou-Méchain, M., Muller-Landau, H. C., Detto, M., Thomas, S. C., 619 Le Toan, T., Saatchi, S. S., Barreto-Silva, J. S., Bourg, N. A., Bunyave-620 jchewin, S., Butt, N., Brockelman, W. Y., Cao, M., Cárdenas, D., Chiang, 621 J.-M., Chuyong, G. B., Clay, K., Condit, R., Dattaraja, H. S., Davies, S. J., 622 Duque, A., Esufali, S., Ewango, C., Fernando, R. H. S., Fletcher, C. D., 623 Gunatilleke, I. A. U. N., Hao, Z., Harms, K. E., Hart, T. B., Hérault, 624 B., Howe, R. W., Hubbell, S. P., Johnson, D. J., Kenfack, D., Larson, 625 A. J., Lin, L., Lin, Y., Lutz, J. A., Makana, J.-R., Malhi, Y., Marthews, 626 T. R., McEwan, R. W., McMahon, S. M., McShea, W. J., Muscarella, 627 R., Nathalang, A., Noor, N. S. M., Nytch, C. J., Oliveira, A. A., Phillips, 628 R. P., Pongpattananurak, N., Punchi-Manage, R., Salim, R., Schurman, J., 629 Sukumar, R., Suresh, H. S., Suwanvecho, U., Thomas, D. W., Thompson, 630 J., Uríarte, M., Valencia, R., Vicentini, A., Wolf, A. T., Yap, S., Yuan, Z., 631 Zartman, C. E., Zimmerman, J. K., Chave, J., 2014. Local spatial struc-632 ture of forest biomass and its consequences for remote sensing of carbon 633 stocks. Biogeosciences Discussions 11 (4), 5711–5742. 634
- URL http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/5711/2014/

- Rejou-Mechain, M., Tymen, B., Blanc, L., Fause, t. S., Feldpausch, T., Monteagudo, A., Phillips, O., Richard, H., Chave, J., 2015. Using repeated
 small-footprint LiDAR maps to infer spatial variation and dynamics of
 a high-biomass neotropical forest. Remote Sensing of Environment 169,
 93–101.
- Saatchi, S. S., Harris, N. L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E. T. A.,
 Salas, W., Zutta, B. R., Buerman, W., Lewis, S. L., Hagen, S., Petrova,
 S., White, L., Silman, M., Morel, A., Jun 2011a. Benchmark map of forest
 carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents. Proceedings of
 the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of Ameria 108 (24),
 9899–9904.
- Saatchi, S. S., Harris, N. L., Brown, S., Lefsky, M., Mitchard, E. T. A.,
 Salas, W., Zutta, B. R., Buermann, W., Lewis, S. L., Hagen, S., Petrova,
 S., White, L., Silman, M., Morel, A., 2011b. Benchmark map of forest
 carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents. Proceedings of
 the National Academy of Sciences 108 (24), 9899–9904.
- URL http://www.pnas.org/content/108/24/9899.abstract
- Sandberg, G., Ulander, L. M. H., Fransson, J. E. S., Holmgren, J., T. Le
 Toan, 2011. L- and P-band backscatter intensity for biomass retrieval in
 hemiboreal forest. Remote Sensing of Environment 115, 2874–2886.
- ⁶⁵⁶ Slik, J. W. F., Paoli, G., McGuire, K., Amaral, I., Barroso, J., Bastian,
- M., Blanc, L., Bongers, F., Boundja, P., Clark, C., Collins, M., Dauby, G.,
- ⁶⁵⁸ Ding, Y., Doucet, J.-L., Eler, E., Ferreira, L., Forshed, O., Fredriksson, G.,
- Gillet, J.-F., Harris, D., Leal, M., Laumonier, Y., Malhi, Y., Mansor, A.,

- Martin, E., Miyamoto, K., Araujo-Murakami, A., Nagamasu, H., Nilus, R., 660 Nurtjahya, E., Oliveira, A., Onrizal, O., Parada-Gutierrez, A., Permana, 661 A., Poorter, L., Poulsen, J., Ramirez-Angulo, H., Reitsma, J., Rovero, 662 F., Rozak, A., Sheil, D., Silva-Espejo, J., Silveira, M., Spironelo, W., ter 663 Steege, H., Stevart, T., Navarro-Aguilar, G. E., Sunderland, T., Suzuki, E., 664 Tang, J., Theilade, I., van der Heijden, G., van Valkenburg, J., Van Do, T., 665 Vilanova, E., Vos, V., Wich, S., Woll, H., Yoneda, T., Zang, R., Zhang, 666 M.-G., Zweifel, N., 2013. Large trees drive forest aboveground biomass 667 variation in moist lowland forests across the tropics. Global Ecology and 668 Biogeography 22 (12), 1261–1271. 669
- ⁶⁷⁰ URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12092
- Smith-Jonforsen, G., Ulander, L., Luo, X., Oct. 2005. Low vhf-band
 backscatter from coniferous forests on sloping terrain. Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on 43 (10), 2246–2260.
- ⁶⁷⁴ Stegen, J. C., Swenson, N. G., Enquist, B. J., White, E. P., Phillips, O. L.,
- JAžrgensen, P. M., Weiser, M. D., Monteagudo Mendoza, A., Nunez Var-
- gas, P., 2011. Variation in above-ground forest biomass across broad cli-
- matic gradients. Global Ecology and Biogeography 20 (5), 744–754.
- ⁶⁷⁸ URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00645.x
- Tebaldini, S., may 2010. Single and multipolarimetric SAR tomography of
 forested areas: A parametric approach. Geoscience and Remote Sensing,
 IEEE Transactions on 48 (5), 2375 –2387.
- ⁶⁸² Tebaldini, S., Iannini, L., april 2012. Assessing the performance of tomo-

- graphic measurements from a P-band spaceborne SAR. Synthetic Aperture
 Radar, 2012. EUSAR. 9th European Conference on, 1 –4.
- Tebaldini, S., Rocca, F., Jan 2012. Multibaseline polarimetric SAR tomography of a boreal forest at P- and L-Bands. Geoscience and Remote Sensing,
 IEEE Transactions on 50 (1), 232 246.
- ter Steege, H., Pitman, N. C. A., Phillips, O. L., Chave, J., Sabatier,
 D., Duque, A., Molino, J.-F., Prevost, M.-F., Spichiger, R., Castellanos,
 H., von Hildebrand, P., Vasquez, R., 2006. Continental-scale patterns of
 canopy tree composition and function across amazonia. Nature 443, 444–
 447.
- Terrasolid, 2008. Terrasolid : Software for processing lidar point clouds and
 images. Software for Processing LiDAR.
- Van Zyl, J., Jan. 1993. The effect of topography on radar scattering from
 vegetated areas. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing
 31 (2), 153–160.
- Villard, L., Le Toan, T., Jan 2015. Relating p-band sar intensity to biomass
 for tropical dense forests in hilly terrain: gamma0 or t0. Selected Topics in
 Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, IEEE Journal of 8 (1),
 214–223.
- Vincent, G., Sabatier, D., Blanc, L., Chave, J., Weissenbacher, E., Pelissier,
 R., Couteron, P., 2012. Accuracy of small footprint airborne LiDAR in
 its predictions of tropical moist forest stand structure. Remote sensing of
 environment 125, 23–33.

- Vincent, G., Sabatier, D., Rutishauser, E., 2014. Revisiting a universal airborne lidar approach for tropical forest carbon mapping: scaling-up from
 tree to stand to landscape. Oecologia 2 (175), 439–443.
- Wright, S. J., Oct. 2005. Tropical forests in a changing environment.
 TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution 20 (10), 553–560.
- 711 Zhu, X. X., Bamler, R., dec. 2010. Very high resolution spaceborne SAR
- tomography in urban environment. Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE
- Transactions on 48 (12), 4296 –4308.