

Are rats able to detect stress odours in chicken droppings?

Vincent Bombail, Blandine Barret, Laurence L.A. Guilloteau, Christine

Leterrier, Birte Nielsen

► To cite this version:

Vincent Bombail, Blandine Barret, Laurence L.A. Guilloteau, Christine Leterrier, Birte Nielsen. Are rats able to detect stress odours in chicken droppings?. 50. International Society for Applied Ethology Congress (ISAE 2016), Jul 2016, Edimbourg, United Kingdom. 2016. hal-01351520

HAL Id: hal-01351520 https://hal.science/hal-01351520

Submitted on 2 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Are rats able to detect stress odours in chicken droppings?

Vincent Bombail^a, Blandine Barret^a, Laurence Guilloteau^b, Christine Leterrier^b, and Birte L Nielsen^a

- ^a Neurobiologie de l'Olfaction, INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, Jouy-en-Josas, France
- ^b Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, INRA, Nouzilly, France
- Rats can distinguish between faeces from stressed and non-stressed conspecifics (Valenta & Rigby, 1968; Mackay-Sim & Laing, 1980).
- If they respond in a similar manner to odours emitted by excreta from stressed chickens and rats, this could indicate a specific odorous stress signature, which might be used for non invasive detection of stress levels (e.g. biomarker)
- We used faeces/excreta from stressed rats (chronic variable stress done as part of another experiment) and chickens (24h simulated transport stress), with matched non-stressed control







Test 1. Male Brown Norway (BN) rats (n=12) were tested for 5 min in a large T-maze (100 x 77 cm²) with a perforated metal ball (tea-ball) placed in each arm of the maze. All rats were tested 6 times, with tests 1 and 6 done with empty tea-balls in both arms. In between, each rat was tested twice with rat faeces and twice with chicken excreta. In each test, the two tea-balls contained stressed and control faeces/excreta, always from the same species.







Results 1. Rats sniffed the control excreta/faeces longer than they sniffed the stressed excreta/faeces (16.6 vs 13.0 \pm 0.92 s; P<0.001). The duration of first sniffing was longer for the rat faeces than the chicken excreta (3.6 vs 2.7 \pm 0.31 s; F1,85=4.1; P=0.045).

Results 2. Rats spent longer in contact with (17.4 vs 13.7 s; P=0.020) and longer sniffing the stressed than the control odours (15.5 vs 12.6 s; P=0.036). The percentage of time spent in the odour half in contact with the odour container was larger for the stressed odours (10.4 vs 7.9%; P=0.028).



Conclusions

- The two different testing paradigms yielded different responses to stressed excreta/faeces
- However, within each test, the same differences were seen between stressed and non-stressed excreta/faeces independent of species of origin.

Figure 1. Zoomed chromatogram from GC-MS analyses of excreta from stressed (black) and control (red) chickens. The area under the peaks indicate the relative abundance of phenylmethanol – a potential candidate for avian stress odour.

- This would indicate that both in rats and chickens stress gives rise to specific volatile organic compounds (VOCs).
- A potential candidate molecule is shown in Figure 1

Funding

This work is supported by INRA métaprogramme GISA (integrated management of animal health)

References

Valenta JG, Rigby MK (1968). Discrimination of the odor of stressed rats. Science 161, 599–601. Mackay-Sim A, Laing DG (1980). Discrimination of odors from stressed rats by non-stressed rats. Physiol Behav 24, 699–704.



Sciences Animales PARIS SACLAY

