



HAL
open science

Spin Multiplicities

Thomas Curtright, Cosmas Zachos

► **To cite this version:**

| Thomas Curtright, Cosmas Zachos. Spin Multiplicities. 2016. hal-01345527v1

HAL Id: hal-01345527

<https://hal.science/hal-01345527v1>

Preprint submitted on 14 Jul 2016 (v1), last revised 25 Jul 2016 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spin Multiplicities

T. L. Curtright[§] and C. K. Zachos^{§,‡}

curtright@miami.edu and zachos@anl.gov

[§]Department of Physics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124-8046, USA

[‡]High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439-4815, USA

Abstract

The number of times spin s appears in the Kronecker product of n spin j representations is computed, and the large n asymptotic behavior of the result is obtained. Applications are briefly sketched.

The character $\chi(R)$ of a group representation R succinctly encodes considerable information about R , as is well-known [1]. For irreducible representations the characters are orthogonal,

$$\int \mu \chi^*(R_1) \chi(R_2) = \delta_{R_1, R_2} , \quad (1)$$

where the sum or integral is over the group parameter space with an appropriate measure μ .

For a Kronecker product of n representations, the character is given by the product of the individual characters,

$$\chi(R_1 \otimes R_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n) = \chi(R_1) \chi(R_2) \cdots \chi(R_n) , \quad (2)$$

from which follows an explicit expression for the number of times that a given representation R appears in the product (e.g., see [2] Chapter I §4.7). This multiplicity is

$$M(R; R_1, \cdots, R_n) = \int \mu \chi^*(R) \chi(R_1) \chi(R_2) \cdots \chi(R_n) . \quad (3)$$

For real characters, this is totally symmetric in $\{R, R_1, \cdots, R_n\}$, and it immediately shows that the number of times R appears in the product $R_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n$ is equal to the number of times the trivial or “singlet” representation appears in the product $R \otimes R_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes R_n$.

Consider now the Lie group $SU(2)$. In this case the irreducible representations are labeled by angular momentum or spin, j or s , the classes of the group are specified by the angle of rotation about an axis, θ , and the characters are Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, $\chi_j(\theta) = U_{2j}(\cos(\theta/2))$. Explicitly, for either integer or semi-integer j ,

$$\chi_j(\theta) = \frac{\sin((2j+1)\theta/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} . \quad (4)$$

These characters are all real. Therefore the number of times that spin s appears in the product $j_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j_n$ is

$$M(s, j_1, \cdots, j_n) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \chi_s(2\vartheta) \chi_{j_1}(2\vartheta) \cdots \chi_{j_n}(2\vartheta) \sin^2 \vartheta \, d\vartheta , \quad (5)$$

where we have taken $\theta = 2\vartheta$ to avoid having half-angles appear in the invariant measure and the Chebyshev polynomials (e.g., see [2] Chapter III §8.1) thereby mapping the $SU(2)$ group manifold $0 \leq \theta \leq 4\pi$ to $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 2\pi$. To re-emphasize earlier remarks, we note that (5) is totally symmetric in $\{s, j_1, \cdots, j_n\}$ and valid if s or any of the j s are integer or semi-integer, and we also note that $M(s, j_1, \cdots, j_n) = M(0, s, j_1, \cdots, j_n)$.

In general $M(s, j_1, \cdots, j_n)$ will obviously reduce to a finite sum of integers through use of the Chebyshev product identity, $U_m U_n = \sum_{k=0}^n U_{m-n+2k}$ for $m \geq n$. Alternatively, the integral form (5) for the multiplicity always reduces to a finite sum of hypergeometric functions (e.g. see (11) and (12) to follow).

In particular, for $j_1 = \cdots = j_n = j$, the n -fold product $j^{\otimes n}$ can yield spin s a number of times, as given by

$$M(s; n; j) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin((2s+1)\vartheta) \left(\frac{\sin((2j+1)\vartheta)}{\sin(\vartheta)} \right)^n \sin \vartheta \, d\vartheta , \quad (6)$$

for $s, j \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \cdots\}$. Yet again, we note that $M(j; n; j) = M(0; n+1; j)$. One may visualize $M(s; n; j)$ as a 3-dimensional semi-infinite lattice of points $(s; n; j)$ with integer multiplicities appropriately assigned to each lattice point.

There are many straight lines on this lattice such that the multiplicities are polynomial in the line parameterization. For example, along some of the lattice diagonals,

$$M(n; n; 1) = 1, \quad M(n-1; n; 1) = n-1, \quad M(n-2; n; 1) = \frac{1}{2} n(n-1). \quad (7)$$

These are, respectively, the number of ways the highest possible spin (i.e. $s = n$), the 2nd highest spin ($s = n-1$), and the 3rd highest spin ($s = n-2$) occur in the Kronecker product of n vector (i.e. $s = 1$) representations. The form for the number of spins farther below the maximum $s = n$, that occur in products of n vectors, is

$$M(n - (2k + 2); n; 1) = \frac{1}{(2k+2)!} n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k) \times p_{k+1}(n), \quad (8a)$$

$$M(n - (2k + 3); n; 1) = \frac{1}{(2k+3)!} n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots (n-k) \times q_{k+2}(n), \quad (8b)$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where p_{k+1} and q_{k+2} are polynomials in n of order $k+1$ and $k+2$, as follows.

$$p_{k+1}(n) = n^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}(k+1)(5k-2)n^k + \frac{1}{24}(k)(k+1)(75k^2 - 205k - 134)n^{k-1} + \dots, \quad (9a)$$

$$q_{k+2}(n) = n^{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}(k)(5k+7)n^{k+1} + \frac{1}{24}(k+1)(75k^3 - 85k^2 - 410k - 168)n^k + \dots. \quad (9b)$$

As an exercise, the reader may verify the complete polynomials for orders 2, 3, 4, and 5.

$$p_2(n) = n^2 + 3n - 22, \quad q_2(n) = n^2 - 7, \quad (10)$$

$$p_3(n) = n^3 + 12n^2 - 61n - 192, \quad q_3(n) = n^3 + 6n^2 - 49n + 6,$$

$$p_4(n) = n^4 + 26n^3 - 37n^2 - 1622n + 120, \quad q_4(n) = n^4 + 17n^3 - 91n^2 - 587n + 1200,$$

$$p_5(n) = n^5 + 45n^4 + 205n^3 - 5565n^2 - 17486n + 48720, \quad q_5(n) = n^5 + 33n^4 - 23n^3 - 3393n^2 + 2542n + 21000.$$

At the time of writing, the authors have not managed to identify the p_k and q_k polynomial sequences with any that were previously studied.

For more explicit examples, we tabulate the number of singlets that appear in products $j^{\otimes n}$ for $j = 1, \dots, 9$ and for $n = 1, \dots, 10$. The Table entries below were obtained just by evaluation of the integrals in (6) for $s = 0$.

$M(0; n; j)$	$j = 1$	$j = 2$	$j = 3$	$j = 4$	$j = 5$	$j = 6$	$j = 7$	$j = 8$	$j = 9$
$n = 1$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$n = 2$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$n = 3$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$n = 4$	3	5	7	9	11	13	15	17	19
$n = 5$	6	16	31	51	76	106	141	181	226
$n = 6$	15	65	175	369	671	1105	1695	2465	3439
$n = 7$	36	260	981	2661	5916	11516	20385	33601	52396
$n = 8$	91	1085	5719	19929	54131	124501	254255	474929	827659
$n = 9$	232	4600	33922	151936	504316	1370692	3229675	6836887	13315996
$n = 10$	603	19845	204687	1178289	4779291	15349893	41729535	100110977	217915579

The columns of the Table are *not* expressible as polynomials in n , for any fixed j , but they may be written as sums of hypergeometric, rational functions of n . For example, the first two columns may be written as

$$M(0; n; 1) = 3^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k+1}{k+1} \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^k = \frac{4^n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + n)}{\Gamma(2+n)} {}_2F_1\left(-n, -1-n; \frac{1}{2} - n; \frac{1}{4}\right), \quad (11)$$

$$M(0; n; 2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-6)^k}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{k}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + k)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{k}{2})} \binom{n}{k} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{k}{2}, \frac{3}{4} + \frac{k}{2}, k-n; 1 + \frac{k}{2}, \frac{3}{2} + \frac{k}{2}; -16\right). \quad (12)$$

To obtain these and other multiplicities as hypergeometric functions, for integer s and j , it is useful to change variables, to $t = \cos^2 \vartheta$, so that (6) becomes

$$M(s; n; j) = \frac{2}{\pi} 4^{s+nj} \int_0^1 \left(\prod_{k=1}^s (t - r_k(s)) \right) \left(\prod_{l=1}^j (t - r_l(j)) \right)^n \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{t}} dt. \quad (13)$$

The products here involve the known roots $r_l(j)$ of the Chebyshev polynomials. For integer j ,

$$U_{2j}(\cos(\vartheta)) = 4^j \prod_{l=1}^j (t - r_l(j)), \quad t = \cos^2 \vartheta, \quad r_l(j) = \cos^2\left(\frac{l\pi}{2j+1}\right), \quad (14)$$

while for semi-integer j , for comparison to the integer case,

$$U_{2j}(\cos(\vartheta)) = 4^j \sqrt{t} \prod_{l=1}^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (t - r_l(j)) , \quad (15)$$

with the usual convention that the empty product is 1.

The columns of the Table should be compared to the multiplicities of integer spins that appear in the product of $2m$ spin $1/2$ representations. These are well-known to be given by the Catalan triangle [3],

$$M(s; 2m; 1/2) = \frac{(1+2s)(2m)!}{(m-s)!(m+s+1)!} . \quad (16)$$

As an aside, it is perhaps not so well-known that multiplicities of all $SU(N)$ representations occurring in the product of n fundamental N -dimensional representations are given by N -dimensional Catalan structures [4, 5].

In contrast to the columns, the rows of the Table *are* expressible as polynomials in j for any fixed n . Starting with $n = 3$, the entries in the n th row of the Table are polynomials in j of order $n - 3$. The fourth row is obviously just the dimension of the spin j representation, and the fifth row is less obviously $1 + \frac{5}{2}c_j$, where c_j is the quadratic $su(2)$ Casimir for spin j . In fact, based on the numbers displayed above and some modest extensions of the Table, the row entries are seen to be of the form $poly_{(n-3)/2}(c_j)$ for odd $n \geq 3$ and $poly_{(n-4)/2}(c_j) \times d_j$ for even $n \geq 4$, where $poly_k(c)$ is a polynomial in c of order k . For the last eight rows of the Table these polynomials are given by:

$$\begin{array}{llll} n = 3 & 1 & n = 4 & d_j \\ n = 5 & 1 + \frac{5}{2}c_j & n = 6 & (1 + 2c_j) d_j \\ n = 7 & 1 + \frac{14}{3}c_j + \frac{77}{12}c_j^2 & n = 8 & (1 + 4c_j + \frac{16}{3}c_j^2) d_j \\ n = 9 & 1 + \frac{27}{4}c_j + \frac{73}{4}c_j^2 + \frac{289}{16}c_j^3 & n = 10 & (1 + 6c_j + \frac{143}{9}c_j^2 + \frac{140}{9}c_j^3) d_j \end{array} \quad (17)$$

$$\text{where } d_j = 1 + 2j , \quad \text{and } c_j = j(1 + j) . \quad (18)$$

Thus the ten rows of the Table may be effortlessly extended to arbitrarily large j . Moreover, to obtain the polynomial that gives any row for $n > 10$, for arbitrary values of j , it is only necessary to evaluate $M(0; n; j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. Once again, at the time of writing, the authors have not managed to identify this polynomial sequence with any that were previously studied.

Finally, consider the extension of the columns of the Table to arbitrarily large n , or more generally, consider the asymptotic behavior of $M(s; n; j)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed s and j . This behavior can be determined in a straightforward way, for any s and j , by a careful asymptotic analysis of the integral in (6). Such $n \rightarrow \infty$ behavior may be of interest in various statistical problems.

The simplest illustration is $M(0; n; 1/2)$ for even n . For this particular case, (16) and Stirling's approximation, $n! \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$, give directly the main term in the asymptotic behavior,

$$M(0; 2m; 1/2) \underset{m \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{4^m}{m^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right) . \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, upon setting $t = \cos^2 \vartheta$ the integral (6) has a form like that in (13), namely,

$$M(0; 2m; 1/2) = \frac{2}{\pi} 4^m \int_0^1 t^m \sqrt{\frac{1-t}{t}} dt = \frac{2}{\pi} 4^m B\left(m + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) . \quad (20)$$

The t integral is just a beta function, $B\left(m + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) = \Gamma\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) / \Gamma(m + 2)$, which leads back to exactly (16) for $s = 0$. But rather than using Stirling's approximation, it is more instructive to determine the asymptotic behavior directly from the integral (20) using Watson's lemma. Thus

$$M(0; 2m; 1/2) \underset{m \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 2\sqrt{2} \frac{2^{2m}}{(2m)^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{9}{8m} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right)\right) . \quad (21)$$

Naively it might be expected that the leading asymptotic behavior (19) follows from a heuristic, saddle-point-Gaussian evaluation of the integration in (20). Unfortunately, that expectation is not fulfilled. The correct m dependence is obtained for M , but with an incorrect overall coefficient. To obtain the correct coefficient, a more careful analysis of the asymptotic behavior is needed, as provided by Watson's lemma.

Similarly, for large n the number of singlets occurring in the product of n spin 1 representations behaves as

$$M(0; n; 1) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{3^n}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{21}{16n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right), \quad (22)$$

and the number of singlets in the product of n spin 2 representations behaves as

$$M(0; n; 2) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{8} \frac{5^n}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{15}{16n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right). \quad (23)$$

In general, the number of spin s representations occurring in the product of n spin j representations for large n has asymptotic behavior

$$M(s; n; j) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} (1 + 2s) \left(\frac{3}{2j(j+1)} \right)^{3/2} \frac{(1+2j)^n}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4n} - \frac{9}{8n} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} - \frac{3}{2n} \frac{s(s+1)}{j(j+1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right). \quad (24)$$

This is correct for either integer or semi-integer s or j , although of course n must be (odd) even to obtain (semi-)integer s from products of semi-integer j , and only integer s are produced by integer j . Asymptotically then, for integer j ,

$$M(j; n; j) / M(0; n; j) = M(0; n+1; j) / M(0; n; j) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 1 + 2j + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (25)$$

Remarkably, this behavior is approximately seen in the Table, with errors $\lesssim 10\%$. On the other hand, for semi-integer j and even n ,

$$M(j; n+1; j) / M(0; n; j) = M(0; n+2; j) / M(0; n; j) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} (1+2j)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (26)$$

For integer j , the result in (24) follows directly, albeit tediously, from an application of Watson's lemma to (13) after switching to exponential variables. In that case the overall coefficient in (24) arises as a simple algebraic function of the Chebyshev roots in (14), namely, $1 / \left(\sum_{l=1}^j \frac{1}{1-r_l(j)} \right)^{3/2}$. This then reduces to the Casimir-dependent expression in (24) by virtue of the integer j identity,

$$\sum_{l=1}^j \frac{1}{1-r_l(j)} = \frac{2}{3} j(j+1). \quad (27)$$

Similar statements apply when j is semi-integer leading again to (24). For semi-integer j the relevant identity is

$$\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1/2} \frac{1}{1-r_l(j)} = \frac{2}{3} j(j+1), \quad (28)$$

with the usual convention that the empty sum is 0.

The asymptotic behavior given by (24) is useful for fixed s and j in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If the resulting spin s produced by the n -fold product is also allowed to become large in the limit, e.g. $s = O(\sqrt{n})$, then (24) is *not* useful. However, in that particular case Van Kortryk [6] has managed to sum the series of terms involving powers of $\frac{1}{n} \frac{s(s+1)}{j(j+1)}$ to obtain an exponential, and hence an improved approximation. We report his result here:

$$M(s; n; j) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} (1 + 2s) \left(\frac{3}{2j(j+1)} \right)^{3/2} \frac{(1+2j)^n}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{3}{2n} \frac{s(s+1)}{j(j+1)}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{4n} - \frac{9}{8n} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) \right). \quad (29)$$

For large n this last expression gives an excellent approximation out to values of s of order \sqrt{n} and beyond. Note that Van Kortryk's asymptotic form is normalized to give the correct total number of states as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{nj} (1+2s)^2 \left(\frac{3}{2nj(j+1)} \right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{3}{2n} \frac{s(s+1)}{j(j+1)}} ds = 1. \quad (30)$$

Moreover, the peak in the distribution of spins s produced by the product of n spin j s is given for large n by

$$s_{\text{peak}} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{2nj(j+1)/3} . \quad (31)$$

This follows from the exact result (16) for spin 1/2, or from (29) for any j . Alternatively, for specific j the direct numerical evaluation of (6) verifies (31) upon taking n large, say, $n \approx 10^4$.

In closing, we briefly sketch a few applications of the results described above. Some of the $SU(2)$ results for $s = 0$ have been used for decades in elasticity theory [7] and in quantum chemistry [8], as well as in nuclear physics, as is evident from the literature we have cited upon recognizing that the number of isotropic rank- n tensors in three dimensions is just $M(0; n; 1)$.

The theory of group characters has been widely used in lattice gauge theory calculations for a long time [9, 10] and continues to play an important role in various strong coupling calculations [11]. Characters are also indispensable to determine the spin content of various string theories [12].

More generally, generic representation composition results continually find new uses. Recent examples include frustration [13] and entanglement entropy [14] for spin chains, with possible applications to black hole physics.

Multiplicities such as those in the Table have also attracted some recent attention in the field of quantum computing [15, 16, 17], ultimately with implications for cryptography. Clearly then, spin multiplicities play useful roles in a wide range of fields, too numerous to present in detail here.

Acknowledgements: We thank T S Van Kortryk for discussions. This work was supported in part by a University of Miami Cooper Fellowship.

References

- [1] J Mathews and R L Walker, *Mathematical Methods of Physics*, W.A. Benjamin (1970), ISBN-10: 0805370021
P Ramond, *Group Theory: A Physicist's Survey*, Cambridge University Press (2010), ISBN-10: 0521896037
W Fulton and J Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Springer (2013), ISBN-10: 0387974954
- [2] N Vilenkin, *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations*, American Mathematical Society (1968), ISBN-10: 0821815725
- [3] The result (16) is also valid for products of deformed $SU(2)_q$ representations, for generic q . See C K Zachos, "Altering the Symmetry of Wavefunctions in Quantum Algebras and Supersymmetry" *Mod. Phys. Lett. A7*, 1595-1600 (1992). This is an immediate consequence of the deforming map that relates $SU(2)$ and $SU(2)_q$. See T L Curtright and C K Zachos, "Deforming Maps for Quantum Algebras" *Phys. Lett. B243* (1990) 237-244.
- [4] K Gorska and K A Penson, "Multidimensional Catalan and related numbers as Hausdorff moments" *Prob. Math. Stat.* 33 (2013), 265-274, arXiv:1304.6008 [math.CO]
- [5] Explicit generalizations of (16) for $SU(N)$ multiplicities are straightforward to obtain upon invoking the equality of such multiplicities to the dimensions of representations of the symmetric group, S_n , and then computing the latter as $n! / (\prod \text{hooks})$ after expressing the representations as Young diagrams.
- [6] T S Van Kortryk [private communication]. He also independently obtained some of the other results presented in this paper albeit through another route that *retraces* [pun intended] many of the logical steps. He used the explicit formula [5] for products of fundamental triplets of the group $SU(3)$ and the "tensor embedding" $SU(3) \supset SU(2)$ (where the triplet of $SU(3)$ is identified with the $s = 1$ vector representation) to deduce the number of $s = 0$ singlets appearing in the product of n vector representations of $SU(2)$, namely,

$$M(0; n; 1) = (-1)^n {}_2F_1\left(-n, \frac{1}{2}; 2; 4\right) ,$$

in exact agreement with the seemingly different result in the text, (11). Combining this with the elementary recursion relation that follows from $\vec{s} \otimes \vec{1} = \overline{s+1} \oplus \vec{s} \oplus \overline{s-1}$, namely,

$$M(s; n; 1) = M(s+1; n-1; 1) + M(s; n-1; 1) + M(s-1; n-1; 1) ,$$

Van Kortryk then obtained $M(s; n; 1)$ as a sum of Gauss hypergeometric functions. Finally, he used the standard integral representation for ${}_2F_1$ to arrive at the same integral form for $M(s; n; 1)$ as is given by (6) for $j = 1$.

- [7] R W Ogden, “On isotropic tensors and elastic moduli” *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 75 (1974) 427-436.
- [8] D L Andrews and T Thirunamachandran, “On three-dimensional rotational averages” *J. Chem. Phys.* 67 (1977) 5026-5033
- [9] K M Bitar, S A Gottlieb, and C K Zachos “Phase Structure and Renormalization Trajectories of Lattice SU(2) Gauge Theory” *Phys. Rev. D* 26 (1982) 2853-2870
- [10] M Creutz, *Quarks, Gluons and Lattices*, Cambridge University Press (1985), ISBN-10: 0521315352.
- [11] W Unger, “Combinatorics of Lattice QCD at Strong Coupling” arXiv:1411.4493 [hep-lat]
- [12] T L Curtright and C B Thorn, “Symmetry Patterns in the Mass Spectra of Dual String Models” *Nucl. Phys.* B274 (1986) 520-558; T L Curtright, C B Thorn, and J Goldstone, “Spin Content of the Bosonic String” *Phys. Lett.* B175 (1986) 47-52; T L Curtright, G I Ghandour, and C B Thorn, “Spin Content of String Models” *Phys. Lett.* B182 (1986) 45-52. Also see, C B Chiu, R L Heimann, and A Schwimmer, “Distribution of the Spins of the Resonances in the Dual-Resonance Model” *Phys. Rev. D* 4 (1971) 3177-3183.
- [13] S Bravyi, L Caha, R Movassagh, D Nagaj, and P Shor, “Criticality without frustration for quantum spin-1 chains” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109 (2012) 207202, arXiv:1203.5801 [quant-ph]
- [14] R Movassagh and P W Shor, “Power law violation of the area law in quantum spin chains” arxiv: 1408.1657
- [15] M Lewenstein, B Kraus, J I Cirac, and P Horodecki, “Optimization of entanglement witnesses” *Phys. Rev.* A62 (2000) 052310, arXiv:quant-ph/0005014
- [16] G Tóth, “Entanglement witnesses in spin models” *Phys. Rev.* A71 (2005) 010301, arXiv:quant-ph/0406061
- [17] E Cohen, T Hansen, and N Itzhaki, “From Entanglement Witness to Generalized Catalan Numbers” arXiv:1511.06623 [quant-ph]