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## JUMPLETS

ROMAN ANDREEV ${ }^{\dagger}$


#### Abstract

In this note we describe a locally supported Riesz basis consisting of "jumplets" for the orthogonal complement of continuous splines on an interval in the space of discontinuous ones.


## 1. Introduction

Let $T>0$ and write $J:=[0, T]$. For $N \geq 1$ let a finite partition of $J$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}=\left\{0=: t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{N}<t_{N+1}:=T\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_{d}$ denote the space of real-valued polynomials on $\mathbb{R}$ of degree at most $d$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
F:=\left\{f \in L_{2}(J):\left.f\right|_{\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)} \in \mathbb{P}_{p_{n}}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad E:=F \cap H^{1}(J) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the space $F$ of piecewise polynomials on the interval [ $0, T$ ] of given polynomial degrees $p_{n} \geq 0$ and for its subset $E \subset F$ of the continuous ones. Here and throughout, $L_{2}$ and $H^{1}$ refer to the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces of real-valued functions on $J$.

In the next Section 2 we construct a locally supported Riesz basis for the $L_{2}$ orthogonal complement of $E$ in $F$. Its dimension is obviously $N$, which is the number of interior nodes of $\mathscr{T}$. We refer to $E$ as the continuous part of $F$, and to its $L_{2}$-orthogonal complement in $F$ as the discontinuous part of $F$. An application to adaptive approximation in $L_{2}$ is discussed in Section 3.

## 2. Construction of the basis

If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval, we write $(\cdot, \cdot)_{I}$ for the $L_{2}(I)$ scalar product, and $\|\cdot\|_{I}$ for the norm. The euclidean norm is denoted by $|\cdot|$. For integer $d \geq 0$ let $\ell_{d} \in \mathbb{P}_{d}$ be the Legendre polynomial on the unit interval $[0,1]$ characterized by $\ell_{d}(1)=\sqrt{2 d+1}$ and $\int_{0}^{1} \ell_{d}(t) q(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$ for any $q \in \mathbb{P}_{d-1}$. Then $\left\{\ell_{k}: 0 \leq k \leq d\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{P}_{d} \cap L_{2}([0,1])$.

For integer $d \geq 0$ define the polynomial $R_{d}:=\sum_{k=0}^{d} \ell_{k}(1) \ell_{k}$. Its key property is that $\left(R_{d}, q\right)_{[0,1]}=$ $q(1)$ for any polynomial $q \in \mathbb{P}_{d}$, as can be verified by expanding $q$ into the Legendre basis. Further, $\left\|R_{d}\right\|_{[0,1]}=1+d$. Together, these observations imply $R_{d}(1)=\left(R_{d}, R_{d}\right)_{[0,1]}=(1+d)^{2}$. Using $\ell_{k}(0)=$ $(-1)^{k} \ell_{k}(1)$ in the definition of $R_{d}$ we also obtain $R_{d}(0)=(-1)^{d}(1+d)$.

For each $n=1, \ldots, N+1$, let $J_{n}:=\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)$ be the $n$-th subinterval in the partition $\mathscr{T}$ from (1). Write $\alpha_{n}:=\left|t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right|^{-1}$ for the inverse of its length, and define the constants $\beta_{n}:=\alpha_{n}\left(1+p_{n}\right)^{2}$, where $p_{n}$ is the polynomial degree from (2). Define the functions $\psi_{n}^{-}$and $\psi_{n-1}^{+}$in $F$ having the values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}^{-}(t):=\alpha_{n} R_{p_{n}}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{n-1}^{+}(t):=\alpha_{n} R_{p_{n}}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(t_{n}-t\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $t \in J_{n}$, and zero else. Note that $\psi_{n-1}^{+}$is the reflection of $\psi_{n}^{-}$about the vertical at the middle of the subinterval $J_{n}$. Since $\beta_{n}$ is associated with both, $\psi_{n-1}^{+}$and $\psi_{n}^{-}$, we also write $\beta_{n-1}^{+}:=\beta_{n}^{-}:=\beta_{n}$. These functions are designed towards the following observation.

Lemma 2.1. $\left(\psi_{n}^{ \pm}, f\right)_{J}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f\left(t_{n} \pm|\epsilon|\right)$ for all $f \in F$ and $n=1, \ldots, N$.
Proof. If $f \in F$ then $q: t \mapsto f\left(t_{n-1}+s / \alpha_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial of degree $p_{n}$ on the unit interval [0,1]. Since $\psi_{n}^{-}$is supported on $J_{n}$, we employ the definition of $\psi_{n}^{-}$and the properties of $R_{d}$ to obtain $\left(\psi_{n}^{-}, f\right)_{J}=\left(R_{p_{n}}, q\right)_{[0,1]}=q(1)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f\left(t_{n}-|\epsilon|\right)$. The proof for $\psi_{n}^{+}$is analogous.


Figure 1. The basis function $\psi_{2}$ from (4) for polynomial degrees $p=1$ (left) $/ p=2$ (right).
The announced basis for the discontinuous part of $F$ is now defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}:=\frac{\psi_{n}^{-}-\psi_{n}^{+}}{\sqrt{\beta_{n}^{-}+\beta_{n}^{+}}}, \quad n=1, \ldots, N \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $p_{n} \geq p_{\min } \geq 1$ in (2). Then, $\Psi:=\left\{\psi_{n}: n=1, \ldots, N\right\}$ is a Riesz basis for the $L_{2}$-orthogonal complement of $E$ in $F$. More precisely, for all vectors $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{-}|c|^{2} \leq\left\|\Psi^{\top} c\right\|_{J}^{2} \leq C_{+}|c|^{2} \quad \text { with } \quad C_{ \pm}=1 \pm \frac{1}{1+p_{\min }} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $\psi_{n}^{\circ}:=\psi_{n}^{-}-\psi_{n}^{+}$. Then $\left(\psi_{n}^{\circ}, e\right)_{J}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e\left(t_{n}-|\epsilon|\right)-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e\left(t_{n}+|\epsilon|\right)=0$ for any $e \in E$ by continuity of $e$. Hence, each $\psi_{n}$ is $L_{2}$-orthogonal to $E$. By definition, $\psi_{n} \in F$. Therefore, once (5) has been established, the fact that the $L_{2}$-orthogonal complement of $E$ in $F$ is $N$-dimensional shows that $\Psi$ is a basis for it. Now we compute

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n, n-1}^{\circ}:=\left(\psi_{n}^{\circ}, \psi_{n-1}^{\circ}\right)_{J}=-\left(\psi_{n}^{-}, \psi_{n-1}^{+}\right)_{J}=-\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi_{n}^{-}\left(t_{n-1}+|\epsilon|\right)=\alpha_{n}(-1)^{1+p_{n}}\left(1+p_{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}^{\circ}:=\left(\psi_{n}^{\circ}, \psi_{n}^{\circ}\right)_{J}=\left\|\psi_{n}^{-}\right\|_{J}^{2}+\left\|\psi_{n}^{+}\right\|_{J}^{2}=\beta_{n}^{-}+\beta_{n}^{+} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\delta_{n}:=\gamma_{n, n-1}^{\circ} / \sqrt{\beta_{n}^{\circ} \beta_{n-1}^{\circ}}$. Then the Gramian of $\Psi$ is the tridiagonal symmetric $N \times N$ matrix

$$
M_{\Psi}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \delta_{2} & &  \tag{8}\\
\delta_{2} & 1 & \delta_{3} & \\
& \delta_{3} & 1 & \ddots \\
& & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note $c^{\top} M_{\Psi} c=|c|^{2}+\sum_{n=2}^{N} 2 \delta_{n} c_{n} c_{n-1}$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, so we wish to compare the magnitude of the second term to $|c|^{2}$. Observe

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|2 \delta_{n} c_{n} c_{n-1}\right|=\left|\gamma_{n, n-1}^{\circ}\right| \times 2 \frac{\left|c_{n}\right|}{\sqrt{\beta_{n}^{\circ}}} \frac{\left|c_{n-1}\right|}{\sqrt{\beta_{n-1}^{\circ}}} \leq \frac{\beta_{n}}{1+p_{\min }} \times\left(\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{\beta_{n}^{\circ}}+\frac{c_{n-1}^{2}}{\beta_{n-1}^{\circ}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\sum_{n=2}^{N}\left|2 \delta_{n} c_{n} c_{n-1}\right| \leq \frac{1}{1+p_{\min }}|c|^{2}$. Therefore, $C_{-}|c|^{2} \leq c^{\top} M_{\Psi} c \leq C_{+}|c|^{2}$, with $C_{ \pm}=1 \pm \frac{1}{1+p_{\min }}$.
On a uniform mesh $\mathscr{T}$ and with uniform polynomial degree $p$ one obtains $\delta_{n}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{p}}{1+p}$ for each $n$ in the Gramian (8). It is a tridiagonal symmetric Toeplitz matrix with eigenvalues $\left\{1+\frac{1}{1+p} \cos \frac{k \pi}{N+1}\right.$ : $k=1, \ldots, N\}$. Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ shows that the constants in (5) cannot be improved in general.

The fact that the Gramian (8) is well-conditioned (5), together with Lemma 2.1, allows for fast computation of the discontinuous part of a function $f \in F$. Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ denote the vector of jumps of $f$, whose components are $\Delta_{n}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\{f\left(t_{n}-|\epsilon|\right)-f\left(t_{n}+|\epsilon|\right)\right\}$ for $n=1, \ldots, N$. Then Lemma


Figure 2. Left: $L_{2}$ error achieved by the adaptive approximation algorithm for $g: t \mapsto t^{-1 / 3}$ on $J=(0,1)$ by piecewise constant functions, and the error of the $L_{2}$ best approximation of $g$ on a uniform mesh, as a function of the mesh size. Right: $g$ and its adaptive approximation $g_{4}$ for $\theta=3 / 4$.
2.1 and definition (4) of $\psi_{n}$ imply $b_{n}:=\left(\psi_{n}, f\right)_{J}=\Delta_{n} / \sqrt{\beta_{n}^{-}+\beta_{n}^{+}}$. The coefficients $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ of the discontinuous part of $f$ with respect to the basis (4) satisfy the linear system $M_{\Psi} c=b$, which can be quickly solved approximatively by the conjugate gradient method. This may be cheaper than projecting onto $E$ and then taking the difference because the dimension of $E$ is significantly larger than $N$ when the polynomial degrees $p_{n}$ in (2) are large.

## 3. Application: adaptive approximation

As an application of the above construction we describe an algorithm for the adaptive approximation of a given function $g \in L_{2}(J)$. Suppose $\mathscr{T}_{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots$, is a sequence of meshes as in the introduction, which are nested, $\mathscr{T}_{i} \subset \mathscr{T}_{i+1}$. Let $F_{i} \subset Y$ be the space of piecewise affine splines on with respect to $\mathscr{T}_{i}$, and set $E_{i}:=F_{i} \cap H^{1}(J)$, which is then the space of continuous piecewise affine splines. Note that $F_{i}=E_{i}^{\prime}+E_{i}$, where the prime denotes the derivative. Let $E_{i}^{\perp}$ denote the $L_{2}$-orthogonal complement of $E_{i}$ in $F_{i}$, and let $Q_{i}^{\perp}: L_{2}(J) \rightarrow E_{i}^{\perp}$ be the surjective $L_{2}$-orthogonal projection.

Suppose $g_{i} \in E_{i}^{\prime}$ is an approximation of $g$. Then we consider $g_{i}^{\perp}:=Q_{i}^{\perp} g_{i} \in E_{i}^{\perp}$, and use the coefficients of $g_{i}^{\perp}$ with respect to the Riesz basis (4) for $E_{i}^{\perp}$ as error indicators for the marking of subintervals to be adaptively refined. The adaptive algorithm is as follows. Let $\mathscr{T}_{0}$ be given. Fix a threshold parameter $\theta \in(0,1]$. For each $i=0,1,2, \ldots$, do: 1$)$ Compute the $L_{2}$-orthogonal projection $g_{i}$ of $g$ onto $E_{i}^{\prime}$, or an approximation thereof. 2) Compute the projection $g_{i}^{\perp}:=Q_{i}^{\perp} g_{i}$. Set $N_{i}=$ $\#\left(\mathscr{T}_{i} \cap(0, T)\right)$ for the number of interior nodes in $\mathscr{T}_{i}$, and $\left[N_{i}\right]:=\left\{1, \ldots, N_{i}\right\}$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{i}}$ be the vector of the coefficients of $g_{i}^{\perp} \in E_{i}^{\perp}$ with respect to the Riesz basis (4) for $E_{i}^{\perp}$. Select $M_{i} \subset\left[N_{i}\right]$ of minimal size such that $\sum_{n \in M_{i}} c_{n}^{2} \geq \theta^{2}|c|^{2}$. 3) If $\mathscr{T}_{i}=\left\{0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{N_{i}}<t_{N_{i}+1}=T\right\}$, let the new mesh $\mathscr{T}_{i+1}$ contain $\mathscr{T}_{i}$, and the new nodes $\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{n-1}+t_{n}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{n}+t_{n+1}\right)$ for all $n \in M_{i}$.

The Riesz basis property guarantees that the part of the indicator $g_{i}^{\perp}$ corresponding to the marked subset $M_{i}$ carries a fraction of its total $L_{2}(J)$ norm that is comparable to $\theta$.

As an example we take $g: t \mapsto t^{-1 / 3}$ on $J:=[0, T]$ with $T:=1$. We set $\mathscr{T}_{0}:=\left\{0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1\right\}$ for the initial mesh. Instead of the $L_{2}$-orthogonal projection we use the 4-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule on each subinterval to obtain $g_{i}$. The error $\left\|g-g_{i}\right\|_{J}$ for the above adaptive is shown in Figure 2. Due to the lack of smoothness of the given function $g$, it is approximated by piecewise constant functions on a uniform mesh with a rate of $\approx 1 / 6$ with respect to the mesh size $\# \mathscr{T}_{i}$, while adaptivity recovers the asymptotic rate of one.

This note was mainly written while at RICAM, Linz (AT), 2014.

[^0]
[^0]:    †Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, LJLL (UMR 7598 CNRS), F-75205, Paris, France
    E-mail address: roman. andreev@upmc.fr

