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## 0. Introduction.

In this paper we shall discuss a hyperbolic variational inequality associated with the following initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta u=f \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{0-1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad \partial_{t} u(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{0-2}\\
u=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \times(0, T)  \tag{0-3}\\
u(x, t) \geq \phi(x) \text { on } \Gamma_{2} \times(0, T)  \tag{0-4}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \geq 0, \quad(u-\phi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{2} \times(0, T) \tag{0-5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $R^{n}, n \geq 2$, with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega \in C^{2}$. We assume that $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are disjoint, nonempty open subsets of $\partial \Omega$ such that $\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{2}=\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$ and $\partial \Gamma_{1}=\partial \Gamma_{2} \in C^{2}$. The above functions $f(x, t), u_{0}(x), u_{1}(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are given, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ denotes the outward normal derivative on $\partial \Omega$. We assume that $\phi \leq 0$ and $u_{0} \geq \phi$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. We can put the above problem in the form of a variational inequality: find $u(x, t)$ which satisfies ( $0-2$ ), (0-3), (0-4) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}, w-u>+a(u, w-u) \geq<f, w-u\right\rangle \tag{0-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $w \in G$, for all $t \in(0, T)$. Here we use the notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
<u, w> & =\int_{\Omega} u w d x \\
a(u, w) & =\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w d x \\
G & =\left\{w \in H^{1}(\Omega): w=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \text { and } w \geq \phi \text { on } \Gamma_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If a solution $u$ of this new problem is sufficiently smooth, one can show that $u$ also satisfies (0-1) and (0-5) so that $u$ is a solution of the original problem (0-1) through (0-5). The corresponding stationary variational inequality: find $u \in G$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, w-u) \geq<f, w-u\rangle, \text { for all } w \in G \tag{0-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the boundary thin obstacle problem (or the Signorini problem), and its mathematical theory has been well-established: see [6] and references therein. However, to the author's knowledge, nothing has been known about the solution of (0-1) through ( $0-5$ ). The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of a (weak) solution of (0-1) through (0-5). This problem is an example of a hyperbolic variational inequality where a constraint is imposed on the unknown function rather than on the time derivative of the unknown function. Hyperbolic variational inequalities have been extensively investigated in the case where a constraint is imposed on the time derivative of the unknown function; see [3] and [11] among others. On the other hand, when a constraint is imposed on the unknown function, existence theorems seem to be known mainly for special problems of space dimension one; see [1], [2], [5], [8], [12], [13] and [14]. In the case of several space dimensions, [10] and this paper seem to be the only known works. [10] resolved the above problem by microlocal techniques when $\Omega$ is a half-space; but the same method does not extend to a general domain, as is explained in [10].

In this paper, the basic procedure to prove the existence of a solution consists of a penalty method [11], a multiplier technique [9] and compensated compactness [4]. Finally, we note that the questions of uniqueness and energy conservation for solutions of our problem are still open.

## 1. Notation and Preliminaries.

$$
\partial_{t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial_{t}}, \partial_{t t}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}, \nabla g=\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{n}}\right)
$$

When $E$ is an open subset of $R^{N}, N \geq 1, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(E)$ denotes the space of distributions over $E$ and $C_{0}^{m}(E)=\left\{w \in C^{m}(E): \operatorname{supp} w\right.$ is a compact subset of $\left.E\right\}$. We assume that $\partial \Omega \in C^{2}$ and $\partial \Gamma_{1}=\partial \Gamma_{2} \in C^{2}$. For $m=$ nonnegative integer,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{m}(\Omega) & =\left\{w \in L^{2}(\Omega):\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right)^{\alpha_{n}} w \in L^{2}(\Omega), 0 \leq \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \leq m\right\}, \\
H_{0}^{m}(\Omega) & =\text { the completion of } C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { in } H^{m}(\Omega), \\
H^{-m}(\Omega) & =\text { the dual of } H_{0}^{m}(\Omega), \\
H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega) & =\left\{w \in H^{1}(\Omega): w=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s=$ nonnegative real, $H^{s}(\Omega)$ is defined by interpolation and $H^{-s}(\Omega)=$ the dual of $H_{0}^{s}(\Omega)$. We denote by $<,>$ the duality pairing between $H^{-\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $H^{\alpha}(\Omega), 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, for $g \in$ $L^{2}(\Omega), h \in H^{\alpha}(\Omega), 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $<g, h>=\int_{\Omega} g h d x$.

As above, the bilinear form $a($,$) is defined by a(g, h)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla g \cdot \nabla h d x$ and

$$
G=\left\{w \in H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega): w \geq \phi \text { on } \Gamma_{2}\right\}
$$

where $\phi(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\phi(x) \leq 0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$.
Next we define the distance function $d$ by $d(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ and set

$$
V_{\delta}=\{x \in \bar{\Omega}: d(x)<\delta\} .
$$

Let $\delta_{0}$ be a positive number such that $1 / \delta_{0}$ bounds the principal curvatures of $\partial \Omega$. Since $\Omega$ is bounded and $\partial \Omega \in C^{2}$, it is known that $d(x) \in C^{2}\left(V_{\delta_{0}}\right)$; see [7]. We also set

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\delta} & =\{x \in \Omega: d(x)<\delta\}, \\
S_{\delta} & =\{x \in \Omega: d(x)=\delta\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $S_{\delta}$ is a $C^{2}$-surface for $0 \leq \delta<\delta_{0}$. We shall use the following lemmas later.
Lemma 1.1. There is a function $h(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})^{n}$ such that $h(x)=$ the outward unit normal vector at $x$ on $S_{\delta}$ if $d(x)=\delta \leq \delta_{0} / 2$ and $h(x)=0$ if $d(x) \geq \delta_{0}$.

Proof: For each $x \in S_{\delta}, 0 \leq \delta<\delta_{0}$, there is a unique point $y(x) \in \partial \Omega$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, y(x))=\delta$ and the outward normal vector at $y(x)$ on $\partial \Omega$ is also outward normal at $x$ on $S_{\delta}$. Furthermore, $y(x) \in C^{1}\left(V_{\delta_{0}}\right)$. Next we denote by $\nu(y)$ the outward unit normal vector at $y$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then, $\nu(y)$ is a $C^{1}$ function of $y$. Let us choose a function $\rho(t) \in C^{1}(R)$ such that $\rho(t)=1$ for $t \leq \delta_{0} / 2$ and $\rho(t)=0$ for $t>\frac{2}{3} \delta_{0}$. We then set $h(x)=\nu(y(x)) \rho(d(x))$, which achieves our goal.

The next lemma is known and stated without proof.
Lemma 1.2. Let $g \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. Then, for $0<\delta^{*}<\delta_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U_{\delta^{*}}} g d x=\int_{0}^{\delta^{*}} \int_{S_{\delta}} g d \sigma_{\delta} d \delta \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds where $d \sigma_{\delta}$ is the surface element on $S_{\delta}$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)} \leq M  \tag{1-2}\\
& \left\|\partial_{t} u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq M \tag{1-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant independent of $k$ and each $u_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{k}}{\partial t^{2}}-\Delta u_{k}=g_{k} \tag{1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{g_{k}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$, and, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k} \rightarrow u \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2} \rightarrow\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2} \tag{1-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega \times(0, T))$.
Proof: Set $v_{k_{i}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, w_{k_{i}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, n$, and $v_{k(n+1)}=-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, w_{k(n+1)}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. Then, apply Corollary 4.3 of [4].

Finally, we state
Lemma 1.4. Let $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{k} \rightarrow u \text { weak* }^{*} \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{\beta}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{1-7}\\
\partial_{t} u_{k} \rightarrow \partial_{t} u \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{\alpha}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1-8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq 1$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k} \rightarrow u \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; H^{r}(\Omega)\right) \tag{1-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r<\beta$.
Proof: A special case was proved in [8]. The same argument can still be employed. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha \neq-\frac{1}{2}, \beta \neq-\frac{1}{2}$, because we can take smaller $\alpha$ or $\beta$ if necessary.

Let $r=\theta \alpha+(1-\theta) \beta, 0<\theta<1, r \neq-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$. There is $E \subset[0, T]$ such that meas $(E)=0$ and for all $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T] \backslash E, t_{1}<t_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{k}\left(t_{2}\right)-u_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{H^{r}(\Omega)} \leq \\
& \leq M_{\theta}\left\|u_{k}\left(t_{2}\right)-u_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)}^{\theta}\left\|+u_{k}\left(t_{2}\right)-u_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|_{H^{\beta}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} \\
& \leq M_{\theta}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\|\partial_{t} u_{k}(t)\right\|_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega)} d t\right)^{\theta} \leq M_{\theta}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\theta / 2} \tag{1-10}
\end{align*}
$$

holds for all $k$ and $0<\theta<1$ such that $\theta \alpha+(1-\theta) \beta \neq \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}$, where $M_{\theta}$ denotes positive constants independent of $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $k$. Consequently, each $u_{k}$ belongs to $C\left([0, T] ; H^{r}(\Omega)\right)$ for every $\alpha<r<\beta$ possibly after a modification on $E$. Since the embedding $H^{r_{2}}(\Omega) \subset H^{r_{1}}(\Omega)$ is compact for every $r_{1}<r_{2}$, we can use the Ascoli theorem to arrive at the conclusion.

## 2. Approximate Problem.

In this section we shall consider an approximate problem with a penalty parameter $k>0$. The problem is: find a function $u_{k}(x, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t t} u_{k}(x, t) \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \partial_{t} u_{k} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2-1}\\
u_{k}(x, 0)=u_{0 k}(x), \partial_{t} u_{k}(x, 0)=u_{1 k}(x)  \tag{2-2}\\
<\partial_{t \mathrm{t}} u_{k}, w>+a\left(u_{k}, w\right)=<f_{k}, w>+\int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(k\left(u_{k}-\phi\right)^{-}-\frac{1}{k} \partial_{t} u_{k}\right) w d \sigma \tag{2-3}
\end{gather*}
$$

holds for all $w \in H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)$, for almost all $t \in(0, T)$. Here $d \sigma$ is the surface element on $\partial \Omega$ and $g^{-}=\max (0,-g)$.

Our strategy consists of three steps.
(Step 1) Establish the existence of solution of (2-1), (2-2) and (2-3).
(Step 2) Obtain a priori estimates independent of $k>0$.
(Step 3) Pass $k \rightarrow \infty$ to arrive at a solution of the original problem.
In this section, the first two steps will be carried out.

### 2.1 Existence of solution

Throughout this subsection, we shall suppress the subscript $k$.
Proposition 2.1. Let $u_{0}, u_{1} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), f \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\phi \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\phi \leq 0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. Then there is a solution of (2-1), (2-2) and (2-3).

Proof: We set $u=v+u_{0}+t u_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, 0) \equiv 0, \partial_{t} v(x, 0) \equiv 0 \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2-3) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.<\partial_{t t} v, w\right\rangle+a(v, w)=\langle f, w\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\Delta\left(u_{0}+t u_{1}\right), w\right\rangle+k \int_{\Gamma_{2}}(v-\phi)^{-} w d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} v\right) w d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

5
for all $w \in H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)$, for almost all $t \in(0, T)$. We shall employ the Galerkin approximation procedure. Let $\left\{w_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis for $H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)$ where each $w_{j} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)$. Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{m j}(t) w_{j}(x) \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $a_{m j}(t)$ is determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m j}(0)=\dot{a}_{m j}(0)=0, \tag{2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\partial_{i t} v_{m}, w_{j}\right\rangle+a\left(v_{m}, w_{j}\right)=\left\langle f, w_{j}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\Delta\left(u_{0}+t u_{1}\right), w_{j}\right\rangle+k \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-} w_{j} d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} v_{m}\right) w_{j} d \sigma, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{2-8}
\end{align*}
$$

For each $m$, there is a unique set of functions $a_{m j}(t) \in C^{2}([0, T]), j \doteq 1, \ldots, m$, which satisfy (2-7) and (2-8). We next obtain estimates independent of $m$. Let us substitute $\partial_{t} v_{m}$ for $w_{j}$ in (2-8):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\partial_{t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} a\left(v_{m}, v_{m}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} k \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)-\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2} \\
& =<f, \partial_{t} v_{m}>+\left\langle\Delta\left(u_{0}+t u_{1}\right), \partial_{t} v_{m}>-\frac{1}{k}\left\|\partial_{t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2} .\right. \tag{2-9}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $v_{m}-\phi \in C^{1}([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$ and hence, $\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-}$is Lipschitz continuous and

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2} \in L^{\infty}(0, T)
$$

It follows from (2-7) and (2-9) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\partial_{t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2-10}\\
\left\|v_{m}\right\|_{\Gamma_{\Gamma_{1}}(\Omega)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2.11}\\
\left\|\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2-12}\\
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\partial_{t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2} d t \leq M, \tag{2-13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M$ denotes positive constants independent of $m$. Next we differentiate (2-8) with respect to $t$ and substitute $\partial_{t} v_{m}$ for $w_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& <\partial_{t t t} v_{m}, \partial_{t t} v_{m}>+a\left(\partial_{t} v_{m}, \partial_{t t} v_{m}\right) \\
& =<\partial_{t} f, \partial_{t t} v_{m}>+<\Delta u_{1}, \partial_{t t} v_{m}> \\
& +k \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \partial_{t}\left\{\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-}\right\} \partial_{t t} v_{m} d \sigma \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t t} v_{m}\right)^{2} d \sigma \tag{2-14}
\end{align*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} a\left(\partial_{t} v_{m}, \partial_{t} v_{m}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\partial_{t} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\left\|\Delta u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} k^{3}\left\|\partial_{t}\left\{\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-}\right\}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 k}\left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2} \tag{2-15}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider (2-8) at $t=0$ and substitute $\partial_{t t} v_{m}(0)$ for $w_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=<f(0), \partial_{t t} v_{m}(0)>+<\Delta u_{0}, \partial_{t t} v_{m}(0)>. \tag{2-16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have used the fact that $v_{m}(0)=\partial_{t} v_{m}(0)=0$. Now (2-16) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq M \tag{2-17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ being a positive constant independent of $m$. Combining (2-13), (2-15) and (2-17), we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\partial_{t t} v_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2-18}\\
& \left\|\partial_{t} v_{m}\right\|_{H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T] \tag{2-19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M$ denotes positive constants independent of $m$. By means of (2-11), (2-18) and (2-19), we can extract a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{v_{m}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{m} \rightarrow v \text { weak } * \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2-20}\\
\partial_{t} v_{m} \rightarrow \partial_{t} v \text { weak } * \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{2-21}\\
\partial_{t t} v_{m} \rightarrow \partial_{t t} v \text { weak } * \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2-22}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some function $v$. By virtue of Lemma 1.4, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{m}-\phi\right)^{-} \rightarrow(v-\phi)^{-} \text {in } C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\partial \Omega)\right) \tag{2-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then make use of (2-20) through (2-23) to derive (2-4) and (2-5) through a standard procedure.

Remark 2.2. The purpose of the term $\frac{1}{k} \partial_{t} u_{k}$ in (2-3) is to obtain approximate solutions which are regular enough to justify manipulations in using a multiplier technique in the next subsection. The utility of that term is seen in (2-15).

### 2.2 A priori estimates independent of $k$.

We still suppress the subscript $k$ and let $u(x, t)$ be a solution constructed in Proposition 2.1 for a fixed $k>0$. We can substitute $\partial_{\mathrm{t}} u$ for $w$ in (2-3):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} a(u, u)=\left\langle f, \partial_{t} u\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{2} k \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left\{(u-\phi)^{-}\right\}^{2} d \sigma-\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2} d \sigma \tag{2-24}
\end{align*}
$$

for almost all $t \in(0, T)$. We now derive from (2-24)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2-25}\\
\|u\|_{H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)} \leq M, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2-26}\\
\left\|(u-\phi)^{-}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)} \leq M / \sqrt{k}, \text { for all } t \in[0, T]  \tag{2-27}\\
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\partial_{t} u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)}^{2} d t \leq M k \tag{2-28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $M$ denotes positive constants which are independent of $k$, and depend only on the number $L$ such that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))} \leq L$.

Next we shall adapt a multiplier technique to derive some uniform estimates in a neighborhood of $\partial \Omega$. Let us set

$$
\Omega_{\delta}=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)>\delta\}
$$

for $0<\delta \leq \delta_{0}$. Obviously, $\partial \Omega_{\delta}=S_{\delta}$, which was defined in Section 1. We need to observe that solution $u$ of (2-1), (2-2) and (2-3) has sufficient regularity to justify manipulations in using a multiplier technique. First of all, (2-3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t} u-\Delta u=f \tag{2-29}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega \times(0, T))$. By virtue of (2-1) and the assumption that $f \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{2-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, (2-29) holds in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Now (2-30) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\left(\Omega_{\delta}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\delta>0$. Because of the mixed boundary condition on $\partial \Omega$, one cannot claim that $u \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. We also note that (2-1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in C\left([0, T] ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \partial_{t} u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \tag{2-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

possibly after a modification on a set of measure zero in $t$.
We now proceed to obtain the estimates. Using the function $h(x)$ constructed in Lemma 1.1, we multiply both sides of (2-29) by $(h \cdot \nabla) u$ and integrate over $\Omega_{\delta} \times(0, T), 0<\delta \leq \delta_{0} / 2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(\partial_{t t} u\right)((h \cdot \nabla) u) d x d t \\
& =\int_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(\partial_{t} u(T)\right)((h \cdot \nabla) u(T)) d x-\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} u_{1}\left((h \cdot \nabla) u_{0}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(\partial_{t} u\right)\left((h \cdot \nabla) \partial_{t} u\right) d x d t=
\end{aligned}
$$

(applying the divergence theorem to the last integral)

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\int_{\Omega_{\delta}}\left(\partial_{t} u(T)\right)((h \cdot \nabla) u(T)) d x-\int_{\Omega_{\delta}} u_{1}\left((h \cdot \nabla) u_{0}\right) d x \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\delta}}\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}}(\nabla \cdot h)\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2} d x d t . \tag{2-33}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}}(-\Delta u)((h \cdot \nabla) u) d x d t
$$

$$
=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\delta}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla\{(h \cdot \nabla) u\} d x d t
$$

$$
=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\delta}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t+\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial h_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}} d x d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{\delta}} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \cdot h)|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\delta}}|\nabla u|^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t, \tag{2-34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the unit outward normal derivative on $S_{\delta}$, i.e., $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}=h \cdot \nabla$ on $S_{\delta}$. Combining (2-33) and (2-34), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\delta}}\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\delta}}|\nabla u|^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\delta}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d t=C(\delta) \tag{2-35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C(\delta) & =-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{6}} f((h \cdot \nabla) u) d x d t \\
& + \text { obvious terms in }(2-33) \text { and }(2-34) \tag{2-36}
\end{align*}
$$

Recalling (2-25) and (2-26), it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C(\delta)| \leq M, \text { for all } 0<\delta \leq \delta_{0} / 2, \tag{2-37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant which is independent of $k$ and depends only on the number $L$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))} \leq L
$$

We next choose any $\rho(s) \in C(R)$ such that for all $s, 0 \leq|\rho(s)| \leq 1$, and set $\psi(x)=\rho(\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega))$. Then, $\psi(x)$ is a continuous function and is constant on each $S_{\delta}, 0<\delta \leq \delta_{0}$. Hence, it follows from (2-35) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{\delta^{*}} \int_{S_{\delta}} \psi(x)\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2} d \sigma_{6} d \delta d t \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{\delta^{*}} \int_{S_{\delta}} \psi(x)|\nabla u|^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d \delta d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{\delta^{*}} \int_{S_{6}} \psi(x)((h \cdot \nabla) u)^{2} d \sigma_{\delta} d \delta d t=
\end{aligned}
$$

(using Lemma 1.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{V_{\delta^{*}}} \psi(x)\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2} d x d t-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{U_{\delta^{*}}} \psi(x)|\nabla u|^{2} d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{U_{\delta^{*}}} \psi(x)((h \cdot \nabla) u)^{2} d x d t=0\left(\delta^{*}\right) \tag{2-38}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $0<\delta^{*} \leq \delta_{0} / 2$, where $0\left(\delta^{*}\right)$ is a number such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|0\left(\delta^{*}\right)\right| \leq M \delta^{*} \tag{2-39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the same positive number as in (2-37). (2-38) will be used in the next section.
3. Convergence of approximate solutions.

We assume that $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega), f \in L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T)), \phi \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\phi \leq 0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$, and define a solution of (0-1) through (0-5) by

Definition 3.1 A function $u(x, t)$ is a solution of (0-1) through (0-5) if

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \partial_{t} u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; H^{-1 / 2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3-1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \partial_{t} u(x, 0)=u_{1}(x)  \tag{3-2}\\
u(t) \in G, \text { for almost all } t \in(0, T) \tag{3-3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\left.<\partial_{t} u(T), w(T)-u(T)>-<u_{1}, w(0)-u_{0}\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u, \partial_{t} w-\partial_{t} u\right\rangle d t+\int_{0}^{T} a(u, w-u) d t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{T}\langle f, w-u\rangle d t, \tag{3-4}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $w$ such that $w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \partial_{t} w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), w(t) \in G$, for almost all $t \in(0, T)$.

We shall justify this definition. Suppose that $u$ is a solution according to the above definition. By taking $w=u \pm \xi, \xi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega \times(0, T))$, in (3-4), we find that ( $0-1$ ) is satisfied in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega \times(0, T))$. ( $0-3$ ) and ( $0-4$ ) are satisfied in the sense $u(t) \in G$, for almost all $t \in(0, T)$. Now we assume further regularity on $u$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t t} u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega \backslash E_{\delta}\right)\right)  \tag{3-5}\\
u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\left(\Omega \backslash E_{\delta}\right)\right) \tag{3-6}
\end{gather*}
$$

for each $\delta>0$, where we set

$$
E_{\delta}=\left\{x \in \bar{\Omega}: \operatorname{dist}\left(x, \partial \Gamma_{1}\right) \leq \delta\right\} .
$$

Recall that $\partial \Gamma_{1}=\partial \Gamma_{2}$ is an ( $n-2$ )-dimensional, $C^{2}$-manifold by assumption. Then, ( $0-1$ ) holds in a stronger sense:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t t} u, w\right\rangle d t-\int_{0}^{T}\langle\Delta u, w\rangle d t=\int_{0}^{T}\langle f, w\rangle d t \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $w \in L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ such that supp $w(x, t) \cap E_{\delta}$ is empty, for almost all $t$, for some $\delta>0$.

Next choose any nonnegative function $\xi(x) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$. Then, we can extend $\xi(x)$ so that $\xi(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\operatorname{supp} \xi \cap\left\{E_{\delta} \cup \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ is empty for some $\delta>0$. Choose any nonnegative function $\eta(t) \in C_{0}^{1}((0, T))$ and take $w=u+\xi(x) \eta(t)$ in (3-4). By means of integration by parts and the divergence theorem (this procedure is justified by (3-5) and (3-6)), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}<\partial_{t t} u, \xi(x) \eta(t)>d t-\int_{0}^{T}<\Delta u, \xi(x) \eta(t)>d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right) \xi(x) \eta(t) d \sigma d t \geq \int_{0}^{T}<f, \xi(x) \eta(t)>d t . \tag{3-8}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3-7) and (3-8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right) \xi(x) \eta(t) d \sigma d t \geq 0 \tag{3-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is true for all nonnegative functions $\xi(x) \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\eta(t) \in C_{0}^{1}((0, T))$. Hence we can conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \geq 0, \text { almost everywhere in } \Gamma_{2} \times(0, T) \tag{3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show the second part of (0-5). Let us choose a function $\xi_{\delta}(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $0 \leq \xi_{\delta}(x) \leq 1$, for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \xi_{\delta} \equiv 1$ on $\Gamma_{2} \backslash E_{\delta}$ and supp $\xi_{\delta} \cap\left\{E_{\delta / 2} \cup \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ is empty. We also choose any $\eta(t) \in C_{0}^{1}((0, T))$ such that $-1 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1$ for all $t$. Then, we set

$$
w=u+\xi_{\delta}(x) \eta(t)(u-\phi)
$$

in (3.4). As above, we use integration by parts, the divergence theorem and (3-7) to arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \xi_{\delta}(x) \eta(t)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)(u-\phi) d \sigma d t=0 \tag{3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have the equality, because $-\eta(t)$ can be also chosen in place of $\eta(t)$. Since $u-\phi \geq 0$ almost everywhere in $\Gamma_{2} \times(0, T)$ and $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, (3-10) and (3-11) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right)(u-\phi)=0, \text { almost everywhere in } \Gamma_{2} \times(0, T) \tag{3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the justification of the above definition of a solution.
We now proceed to present the main result.
Theorem 3.2. For $u_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u_{1} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $f \in L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$, there is a solution of (0-1) through (0-5).

Proof: Choose sequences $\left\{u_{0 k}\right\},\left\{u_{1 k}\right\}$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $f_{k}$ in $C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{0 k} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),  \tag{3-13}\\
u_{1 k} \rightarrow u_{1} \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega)  \tag{3-14}\\
f_{k} \rightarrow f \text { in } L^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T)) \tag{3-15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using these, for each $k$, let $u_{k}$ be a solution constructed in Proposition 2.1. Then, each $u_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}<\partial_{t t} u_{k}, w-u_{k}>d t+\int_{0}^{T} a\left(u_{k}, w-u_{k}\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T}<f, w-u_{k}>d t+k \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(u_{k}-\phi\right)^{-}\left(w-u_{k}\right) d \sigma d t \\
& -\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right)\left(w-u_{k}\right) d \sigma d t \tag{3-16}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $w(x, t)$ such that $w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right), \partial_{t} w \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $w(t) \in G$, for almost all $t \in(0, T)$. Using integration by parts and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(u_{k}-\phi\right)^{-}\left(w-u_{k}\right) d \sigma d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(u_{k}-\phi\right)^{-}(w-\phi) d \sigma d t-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(u_{k}-\phi\right)^{-}\left(u_{k}-\phi\right) d \sigma d t  \tag{3-17}\\
& \geq 0 \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.<\partial_{t} u_{k}(T), w(T)-u_{k}(T)\right\rangle-<u_{1 k}, w(0)-u_{0 k}\right\rangle \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\partial_{t} u_{k}, \partial_{t} w\right\rangle d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} a\left(u_{k}, w\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle f, w-u_{k}>d t-\frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right) w d \sigma d t\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2 k} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(u_{k}(T)\right)^{2} d \sigma . \tag{3-18}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of (2-25) and (2-26), we can extract a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{k} \rightarrow u \text { weak } * \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{\Gamma_{1}}^{1}(\Omega)\right),  \tag{3-19}\\
\partial_{t} u_{k} \rightarrow \partial_{t} u \text { weak } * \text { in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) . \tag{3-20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since each $u_{k}$ satisfies (2-29), we infer from (3-15) and (3-19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t t} u_{k} \rightarrow \partial_{t t} u \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{-1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3-21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.4, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u_{k} & \rightarrow \partial_{t} u \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{3-22}\\
u_{k} & \rightarrow u \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega)\right) \tag{3-23}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\partial_{t} u_{k}(T), w(T)-u_{k}(T)>\rightarrow<\partial_{t} u(T), w(T)-u(T)> \tag{3-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for each $w$. In the meantime, we notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{k}\left|\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right) w d \sigma d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right)^{2} d \sigma d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}} w^{2} d \sigma d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \text { (using }(2-28)) \\
& \leq M\left(\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{2}} w^{2} d \sigma d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \sqrt{k} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3-25}
\end{align*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for each $w$. Next we shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2}-|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x d t \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right)^{2}-\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}\right) d x d t \tag{3-26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to introduce the notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{t} u_{k}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{2}+\left((h \cdot \nabla) u_{k}\right)^{2}, \\
& B_{k}=\left((h \cdot \nabla) u_{k}\right)^{2}, \\
& A=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{t} u\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}+((h \cdot \nabla) u)^{2}, \\
& B=((h \cdot \nabla) u)^{2} . \\
& \text { First of all, by Lemma 1.3, as } k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}-B_{k} \rightarrow A-B \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega \times(0, T)) . \tag{3-27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{A_{k}-B_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, we observe that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x)\left(A_{k}-B_{k}\right) d x d t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x)(A-B) d x d t \tag{3-28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\psi(x) \in C_{0}(\Omega)$. Let us define a function $\rho_{\delta}(s) \in C(R)$ such that $\rho_{\delta}(s)$ is nondecreasing in $s$ and

$$
\rho_{\delta}(s)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for all } s \leq \delta / 2  \tag{3-29}\\ 1 & \text { for all } s \geq \delta\end{cases}
$$

We then set $\psi_{\delta}(x)=\rho_{\delta}(\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega))$. Fix any $0<\delta \leq \delta_{0} / 2$. According to (3-28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}(x)\left(A_{k}-B_{k}\right) d x d t \rightarrow \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}(x)(A-B) d x d t \tag{3-30}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, with the aid of (2-38), we notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\psi_{\delta}(x)-1\right) A_{k} d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{U_{\delta}}\left(\psi_{\delta}(x)-1\right) A_{k} d x d t=0_{1}(\delta) \tag{3-31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we can estimate $0_{1}(\delta)$ by using the number $M$ in (2-37), and (3-13), (3-14) and (3-15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|0_{1}(\delta)\right| \leq M \delta, \text { for all } k \tag{3-32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Meanwhile we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} B_{k} d x d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}(x) B_{k} d x d t \tag{3-33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k$, since $B_{k}$ is nonnegative and integrable, and $0 \leq \psi_{\delta}(x) \leq 1$, for all $x$. Since $A-B \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, it is apparent that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(1-\psi_{\delta}(x)\right)(A-B) d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{U_{\delta}}\left(1-\psi_{\delta}(x)\right)(A-B) d x d t \\
& =0_{2}(\delta) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{3-34}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose any $\epsilon>0$. Then, by (3-32) and (3-34), there is $0<\tilde{\delta}(\epsilon) \leq \delta_{0} / 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|0_{1}(\delta)\right|+\left|0_{2}(\delta)\right| \leq \epsilon \tag{3-35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0<\delta \leq \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon)$ and all $k$. Using (3-30) and (3-34), we have for each $0<\delta \leq \delta_{0} / 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(A-B) d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}(x)(A-B) d x d t+0_{2}(\delta) \\
& =0_{2}(\delta)+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}(x)\left(A_{k}-B_{k}\right) d x d t \\
& =0_{2}(\delta)+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(A_{k}-B_{k}\right) d x d t\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\psi_{\delta}(x)-1\right) A_{k} d x d t-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \psi_{\delta}(x) B_{k} d x d t \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} B_{k} d x d t\right\} . \tag{3-36}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, for $0<\delta \leq \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon)$, we use (3-31), (3-33) and (3-35) to arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(A-B) d x d t \geq-\epsilon+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(A_{k}-B_{k}\right) d x d t . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}(A-B) d x d t \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(A_{k}-B_{k}\right) d x d t \tag{3-38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows (3-26). Combining (3-18), (3-19), (3-20), (3-24), (3-25) and (3-26), we conclude that (3-4) is satisfied. Finally, (3.23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{k}-\phi\right)^{-} \rightarrow(u-\phi)^{-} \text {in } C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{3-39}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, each $u_{k}$ satisfies (2-27) and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \geq \phi, \text { almost everywhere in } \Gamma_{2}, \text { for each } t \tag{3-40}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (3-3) follows. Now the proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.
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