

The role of native woody species in the restoration of Campos Rupestres in quarries

Soizig Le Stradic, Elise Buisson, Daniel Negreiros, Pascal Campagne, Geraldo

Wilson Fernandes

▶ To cite this version:

Soizig Le Stradic, Elise Buisson, Daniel Negreiros, Pascal Campagne, Geraldo Wilson Fernandes. The role of native woody species in the restoration of Campos Rupestres in quarries. Applied Vegetation Science, 2014, 17 (1), pp.109-120. 10.1111/avsc.12058 . hal-01329378

HAL Id: hal-01329378 https://hal.science/hal-01329378v1

Submitted on 18 Jan2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

The role of native woody species on the restoration of *campos rupestres* in quarries

2

3 Soizig Le Stradic, Elise Buisson, Daniel Negreiros, Pascal Campagne & G. Wilson
4 Fernandes

5 Le Stradic, S. (Corresponding author, soizig.lestradic@gmail.com) Buisson, E.
6 (elise.buisson@univ-avignon.fr) & Campagne, P. (campagne@aesop.rutgers.edu): UMR
7 CNRS/IRD 7263/237 IMBE - Institut Méditerranéen de Biodiversité et d'Ecologie 8 Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, IUT, Agroparc, BP 61207, 84 911 Avignon
9 cedex 9, France.

Le Stradic, S., Negreiros, D. (negreiros.eco@gmail.com) & Fernandes, G.W.
(gw.fernandes@gmail.com): Ecologia Evolutiva & Biodiversidade/Instituto de Ciências
Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, 30161-970 Belo Horizonte MG, CP 486,
Brazil.

14

15 Abstract

Questions: Can shrub and tree seedlings be reintroduced in an extremely harsh environment by transplantation? Does the growth strategy of species affect their survival? What factors influence the transplantation success? Do transplanted species influence their immediate vicinity, e.g. promoting colonization by native species?

20 Location: Campos Rupestres, Espinhaço range, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

Methods: We studied the reintroduction of four native tree and 14 native shrub species. Their transplantation success (survival, growth, and reproduction) and their impacts on their immediate vicinity (understorey composition, soil surface indicators such as the cover of moss, biological crust, bare ground, litter, herbaceous cover, and soil characteristics) were assessed 4.5 years after transplantation.

26 Results: While some transplanted species had low survival (< 30%), half of them had a

27 survival >78% 4.5 years after transplantation. Plant growth was barely correlated to the 28 transplantation success in such harsh environment. Transplanted species did not influence soil 29 and understorey plant composition but significantly impacted soil surface indicators. The 30 shrub species with higher survival rates usually allowed the establishment of an understorey 31 herbaceous cover which may increase soil erosion control. This is also true for some species 32 for those the survival was <40%: Diplusodon orbicularis (survival: 39%) and Lavoisiera campos-portoana (37%). Crown volume had a direct effect on light reaching the soil (e.g. 33 34 Jacaranda caroba or Collaea cipoensis had a less dense canopy more permeable to light 35 allowing understorey species). On the other hand, crown volume was positively correlated to 36 the amount of litter: Fabaceae species, such as Chamaecrista semaphora and Mimosa 37 foliolosa, had denser canopy and produced a thick layer of litter, limiting herbaceous species 38 establishment. Three tree species (Enterolobium ellipticum, Kielmeyera petiolari, and 39 Zeyhera tuberculosa) neither had high survival nor did facilitate the establishment of the 40 herbaceous cover. The layout and spacing of species and individuals must thus be considered 41 carefully to insure recolonization by native shrub and herbaceous species.

42 Conclusion: This study demonstrates the practical efficiency of some native species to restore
43 a harsh tropical ecosystem as the *campos rupestres* in terms of their transplantation success,
44 their effects on both the establishment of herbaceous species and soil conservation.

45

Keywords: assessment of restoration success;, Cerrado; herbaceous understorey; neotropical
mountain grasslands; Serra do Cipó; transplantation success.

Abbreviations: Dret: Dasyphyllum reticulatum ; Jcar: Jacaranda caroba ; Abon:
Actinocephalus bongardii; Cfas: Calliandra fasciculata; Csem.: Chamaecrista semaphora,
Mfol.: Mimosa foliolosa; Ccip.: Collaea cipoensis; Dhir.: Diplusodon hirsutus; Dorb.:
Diplusodon orbicularis; Hbyr.: Heteropterys byrsonimifolia; Lcam.: Lavoisiera camposportoana; Mtax.: Marcetia taxifolia; Thet.: Tibouchina heteromalla; Lpac.: Lafoensia pacari;

53 Kpet.: *Kielmeyera petiolaris;* Eell.: *Enterolobium ellipticum;* Edys.: *Eugenia dysenterica;*54 Ztub.: *Zeyhera tuberculosa.*

55 Nomenclature: Lista de Espécies da Flora do Brasil 2013 in http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/

56 **Running head:** *Campo rupestre* restoration

57

58 Introduction

59 Ecological restoration is the process of intentionally assisting the recovery of degraded 60 ecosystems in order to repair ecosystem processes, productivity and services, as well as to re-61 establish the biotic integrity (SER 2004). Grassland restoration projects are often hampered 62 by abiotic constraints, such as increased soil nutrients in case of degradation by intensive 63 agriculture or the alteration of soil chemical and physical characteristics (i.e. limited nutrient availability, low water availability) in case of degradation by quarrying and mining activities 64 65 (Ash et al. 1994, Jim 2001, Wong 2003, Yuan et al. 2006). Biotic constraints also affect seedling establishment through the lack of reliable seed sources, the limited dispersal of 66 appropriate propagules or the presence of competitive exotic species (Ash et al. 1994, 67 Bradshaw 1997, Bakker & Berendse 1999, Wilson 2002, Shu et al. 2005). 68

69 Open ecosystems, such as grasslands or savannas, represent more than 31% of world 70 vegetation, but they have drastically decreased or have been highly altered throughout the 71 world over the last decades (Gibson 2009), due to intensification of agricultural practices 72 (Green 1990, Klink & Moreira 2002), land abandonment, invasive species, civil engineering 73 and changes in disturbance regimes (Hoekstra et al. 2005, Gibson 2009). These ecosystems 74 are important not only from the perspective of conserving biodiversity (FAO 1998), but also 75 in maintaining ecosystem services, such as increased water quality or decreased soil erosion 76 (Osborne et al. 1993, Berger & Rey 2004, MEA 2005 a, b). Moreover, since the process of 77 natural succession is slow after degradation, especially by quarrying and mining activities 78 (Bradshaw 1983, Davis et al. 1985, Bradshaw 1997), their restoration is often attempted.

79 The Cerrado is the richest tropical savanna in the world, representing the second largest vegetation formation of Brazil originally covering c.a. 2.2 million km² or 23% of the 80 81 country (Oliveira & Marquis 2002) and due to anthropogenic pressures (e.g. intensive 82 agriculture, mining, quarrying) is currently one of the most endangered biomes in South 83 America (Klink & Machado 2005, Hoekstra et al. 2005). This has led to biodiversity losses, landscape fragmentation, biological invasions (Pivello et al. 1999), soil erosion, water 84 85 pollution and land degradation (Klink & Moreira 2002). Campos rupestres are one of the 86 physiognomies of the Cerrado biome, and are usually found above 900 meters high in 87 altitude. They are composed of a more or less continuous herbaceous stratum with 88 sclerophyllous evergreen shrubs and small trees growing between rocky outcrops, supporting 89 a high biodiversity with one of the highest levels of endemism in Brazil (Giulietti et al. 1997, 90 Carvalho et al. 2012). Such ecosystem is under extreme environmental conditions; their soils are coarse textured and shallow, with high Al^{3+} and low nutrient content (Benites et al. 2007). 91 92 Few studies have been carried on such physiognomies of the Cerrado and they remain poorly 93 documented while restoration ecology studies are urgently needed.

94 According to the level of degradation, restoration of quarries and mines may require 95 seed addition (Cooper & MacDonald 2000, Turner et al. 2006, Kirmer et al. 2012, Ballesteros 96 et al. 2012), native species transplants (Ash et al. 1994, Soliveres et al. 2012), turves or 97 rhizomes transfer (Ash et al 1994, Cooper & MacDonald 2000). Currently in Brazil, many 98 mine and quarry mitigation projects use exotic species for revegetation, such as the African 99 grass Melinis minutiflora (Griffith & Toy 2001), to rapidly reach specific goals, e.g. to reduce 100 soil erosion. Exotic species are one of the major threats to local diversity, particularly when 101 degraded areas are close to roads where propagation and invasion risks are higher (Hansen & 102 Clevenger 2005; Barbosa et al. 2010).

103 Spontaneous regeneration of woody as well herbaceous campo rupestre species does 104 not seem to occur on degraded *campos rupestres* or is extremely slow (Le Stradic 2012) in

105 contrast with the seasonal deciduous forests (Sampaio et al 2007). Several non-mutually-106 exclusive hypotheses could explain the lack of spontaneous recruits in degraded areas 107 (Bradshaw 2000): i) species produce viable seeds but they do not disperse far enough to reach 108 degraded sites; ii) dispersed seeds arrive to degraded areas but do not germinate due to the 109 high temperature and dryness of the bare and nutrient poor and/or toxic substrate; iii) 110 dispersed seeds are able to germinate but further development of saplings does not take place 111 due to the extreme harshness of the degraded site, the stress caused by natural enemies, or 112 lack of symbiotic interactions with facilitating arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The first two 113 reasons and sometimes the third one can be overcome by reintroduction which consists in the 114 re-establishment of taxa in part of their native range from where they had disappeared or had 115 drastically declined (Maunder 1992; Young 2000). Transplantation of native species may thus 116 be a suitable substitute (Maunder 1992; Bradshaw 1997, Byers et al. 2006, Hölzel et al. 2012), 117 ensuring that a desired panel of species are introduced and avoiding limited seedling 118 establishment (Bradshaw 1997).

119 For practical reasons, restoration by reintroduction often involves a single species; 120 restoring full communities is often costly and difficult to implement (Sampaio et al. 2007). 121 Usually species are selected as they are keystone, structuring, dominant or rare species 122 (Maunder 1992; Byers et al. 2006). Recent interest in the outstanding biodiversity of *campos* 123 rupestres has led to germination studies of some native plants which is a necessary step to 124 perform restoration projects (Gomes et al. 2001, Silveira et al. 2012). Species propagation and 125 their performance under controlled conditions in greenhouses represented the next crucial step 126 for restoration programs (Negreiros et al. 2009). The third step consists in a pilot field study.

127 It is now widely accepted that monitoring should be carefully planned prior, during 128 and after all restoration projects (Holl & Cairns 2002). In order to provide a common basis for 129 the assessment of restoration success, numerous measurements (i.e. ecosystem attributes) 130 were proposed (SER 2004). However, most projects consider one or two measurements

131 among the three major ecosystem attributes: (1) species diversity; (2) vegetation structure; 132 and (3) ecological processes (Ruiz-Jaen & Aide 2005). When restoration projects are based on 133 (single-) native species reintroduction, monitoring and evaluation of success is often restricted 134 to survival and growth of these reintroduced species (Maunder 1992; Guerrant & Pavlik 135 1998). Nevertheless, introduced species can drastically change ecosystem functioning 136 (Simberloff et al. 2005) and monitoring should therefore assess the impact of introduced 137 species on their environment (SER 2004); 1) by measuring reintroduced species survival, 138 growth and recruitment ability and 2) by measuring the impacts of reintroduced species on 139 their direct environment. While short-term monitoring is needed to document the survival and 140 establishment of reintroduced species, mid-term and long-term monitoring is essential to 141 understand induced changes in ecosystem functioning (Maunder 1992; Sutter 1996).

142 In this context, we studied the reintroduction of 18 native campo rupestre tree and 143 shrub species to degraded areas. The questions raised by this study were: (1) can shrub and 144 tree seedlings be reintroduced in an extremely harsh environment by transplantation?; (2) 145 does the growth strategy of species affect their survival?; (3) what factors influence the 146 transplantation success?; and finally (4) do transplanted species influence their environment, 147 i.e. the herbaceous understorey, the soil properties, and the soil surface indicators in their 148 immediate vicinity? In this experiment, we expected the ideal to-be-transplanted species to be 149 able to survive and to grow on harsh environments and to allow herbaceous species, 150 cryptogams and biological crust to colonize the understorey in order to increase total 151 vegetation cover and thus soil conservation.

152

153 Methods

154 STUDY SITE

155 *Campos rupestres* are encountered along the Espinhaço mountain range (states of 156 Minas Gerais and Bahia) in Brazil. Our study area is located in the southern portion of the

Espinhaço Range. Fieldwork was conducted in the Vellozia Private Reserve (19°16'45.7"S, 43°35'27.8"W; elevation 1200 m) in the buffer zone of the Serra do Cipó National Park (Minas Gerais). The climate is classified as Cwb according to the Köppen's system, which is characterized by warm temperature, dry winter and warm summer. It is markedly seasonal, with a rainy season during summer. The mean annual precipitation is 1622 mm and the annual temperature is 21.2°C (Madeira & Fernandes 1999).

163 A study reported the presence of degraded areas along the highway MG010 in 1996 (Negreiro 164 et al. 2011) which dated back from 1990. They were exploited for gravel and/or were used to 165 park machines. These small quarries are common in the region: vegetation is destroyed and 166 soils are disturbed and when exploitation stops, soils are not returned entirely and 167 construction debris may be added resulting in a high-altered soil. All of these degraded areas 168 are surrounded by pristine *campos rupestres*, that is why we chose them as the reference 169 ecosystem. Two experimental degraded areas, with a sandy altered substrate, were selected. 170 Sites were located a few tens of meters apart, thus, for both sites, exploitation stop at the exact 171 same time and the mixed soil horizons were put back in the same way in order to have true 172 site replicates. Indeed, sites further apart may have different soil granulometry due to the way 173 that soil horizons are mixed after exploitation.

174

175 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Eighteen native species were planted: fourteen shrub species and four tree species (Table 1). In 2002, seeds of all eighteen species were gathered in the field in areas surrounding the degraded areas. Mature fruits were collected from at least ten individuals of each species. For *Chamaecrista semaphora, Mimosa foliolosa, Collaea cipoensis* and *Enterolobium ellipticum*, seed dormancy was broken by mechanical scarification (Gomes et al. 2001). In November 2002, seeds were hydrated for 24 hours and each seed was sown in black polythene bags (8cm diameter and 20cm deep) directly in the substrate, composed of 183 1/3 of soil from around the degraded areas, 1/3 of peat and 1/3 of organic compost of confined 184 cattle dung. To correct for soil acidity and nutrients, 2L dolomitic limestone and 1L NPK 185 (4:14:8) were added for 360L of substrate. Seedlings were placed in a greenhouse: 50% light, 186 watering by micro-sprinklers for 15 minutes, three times a day, equivalent to 17.5 mm/day. At 187 the end of April 2003, seedlings were transferred out of the greenhouse and exposed to 188 ambient conditions, while watering by micro-sprinklers was gradually reduced.

Between 20 Jul 2003 and 26 Jul 2003, we randomly assigned 64 eight month-old seedlings (except *Lavoisiera campos-portoana:* 27 months-old) of each species to be transplanted to the degraded areas. Shrubs were transplantated on both degraded areas; while trees were transplantated on only the largest degraded area. Seedling transplantation was carried out according to the experimental design explained in Figure 1. As planting was carried out during the dry season, plants were irrigated by sprinklers during the first two months. Plants received water for 15 minutes at every other 10 days.

196

197 MONITORING OF THE SURVIVAL AND GROWTH OF PLANTED SPECIES

198 Survival was recorded for each individual in August 2003 (date of transplantation), 199 September 2003, February 2004, April 2006 and February 2008 (4.5 years after 200 transplantation). Some individuals were considered dead one year, but they had to be 201 considered alive after due to resprouting. At each date, growth was evaluated by measuring 202 the height of the main stem, crown volume (calculated using the largest crown diameter, the 203 largest perpendicular diameter to the first one and crown height) and basal diameter of each 204 individual. These variables are known to reflect the growth of both roots and shoot systems 205 (Niklas 1993; Negreiros et al. 2009). Relative Growth Rates (RGRs) were calculated for 206 diameter, height and volume as: RGR= $(Ln x_{ij} - Ln x_{ij}) / (t_j - t_i)$ where x denotes the variable measured at two different dates t_i and then t_i . Since it is important to assess the sustainability 207

208 of a species in a restored area through its reproductive ability, we recorded the occurrence of 209 new sprouts, individuals with flowers or fruits and new seedlings in February 2008.

210

211 UNDERSTOREY AND SOIL SAMPLING

212 In February 2008, on each plot, four 20×20 cm guadrats were set randomly to assess 213 soil surface indicators and the composition of species colonizing the understorey (understorey 214 composition and richness). Percent of cover of each understorey species was recorded. 215 Monitored soil surface indicators were: (I) cover of moss (%); (II) biological crusts (thin 216 organic layer formed by cyanobacteria, green algae, lichens, fungus and heterotrophic bacteria 217 (Belnap & Lange 2001)); (III) cover of bare ground (%); (IV) litter cover (%); and (V) 218 herbaceous plant cover (%) (hereafter named herbaceous cover). In order to assess the 219 influence of transplanted species on light reaching the ground, canopy closure (named shade) 220 was estimated based on the vertical projection of the crown area weighted by an index of 221 foliage density (Daubenmire 1959). This index was calculated from the analysis of four 222 canopy pictures for each species using an image processing software which assessed the 223 percentage of the picture with foliage.

In order to determine whether species influence soil chemical properties, one soil sample was collected on each plot, resulting from four sub-samples which were mixed and homogenized, dried and sieved prior to chemical analyses. The following chemical analyses were performed: P and K in mg/dm³, N and C in dag/kg, Mg²⁺, Al³⁺, Ca²⁺ in cmol_c/dm³, Organic Matter (OM) in dag/kg – P, Na, K with the Mehlich 1 extraction method, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Al³⁺ with 1 mol/L KCl extraction, OM = C.Org x 1.724 following the Walkley-Black method).

231

232 DATA ANALYSIS

233 The effects of the qualitative variables "sites" and "plots" on survival (0 or 1 at the individual 234 level) at the end of the survey were tested using GLM (Generalized Linear Models) with a 235 binomial distribution and a logit link function (Crawley 2007). Then, the effect of the 236 variables "initial size of individuals" (size when transplanting) and "RGR" on individual 237 survival were analyzed with GLM procedures (binomial distribution and logit link function) 238 by setting the "plot" and "site" effects as an offset component of the GLM. An offset specifies 239 an *a priori* known component to be included in the linear predictor during fitting (using the R 240 package stats) (Crawley 2007). Differences in survival according to the plant family and plant 241 stature levels were tested at the different times of the survey (2004, 2006 and 2008) using χ^2 242 tests.

Similar treatments being expected to lead to similar effects in both sites, multivariate analyses were performed to assess the co-structure of their variables. Three co-inertia analyses were thus ran between site 1 and 2 considering: (i) soil surface indicators (2 matrices of 30 plots \times 6 soil surface indicator), (ii) soil chemistry (2 matrices of 30 plots \times 9 soil variables) and understorey composition data (2 matrices of 30 plots \times 81 understorey species) separately (Chessel et al. 2009). The significance of the coinertia coefficient was estimated with 999 Monte Carlo permutations.

250 Then, as a co-structure was found only for soil surface indicators, we further explored 251 the effects of transplanted species on these indicators, by running an inter-class Principal 252 Component Analysis (76 transplanted and control plots \times 6 soil surface indicators; PCA-253 between; ade4 R package, Chessel et al. 2009). Simple ANOVAs, followed by post-hoc tests 254 (Tukey HSD: Honestly Significant Difference) were performed: herbaceous cover and 255 understorey richness were treated as dependent variables and species and control plots as 256 categorical predictors. Normality and homoscedasticity assumptions were checked and a 257 square root transformation was applied (Sokal & Rohlf 1998). Species morphology especially 258 the crown volume was expected to impact on the amount of light reaching the soil. In order to

assess the relationship between crown volume at the end of the survey and soil surface indicators, tests for association between paired samples using Spearman's ρ were carried out. All statistical analyses were performed using the software R (R Development Core Team 262 2009).

- 263
- 264 **Results**

265 SURVIVAL AND GROWTH OF PLANTED SPECIES

266 Differences in terms of survival and growth were observed. Four and a half years after transplantation, some species were characterized by a fairly high survival (above 78%): 267 268 Calliandra fasciculata, Collaea cipoensis, Jacaranda caroba, Dasyphyllum reticulatum, 269 Heteropterys byrsonimifolia, Tibouchina heteromalla, Eugenia dysenterica, Diplusodon 270 hirsutus and Lafoensia pacari. On the contrary survival was lower than 50% for 271 Actinocephalus bongardii, Chamaecrista semaphora, Diplusodon orbicularis, Enterolobium 272 ellipticum, Lavoisiera campos-portoana and Zeyhera tuberculosa (Table 2). In addition, 273 survival of seven species significantly differed depending on the plot and/or the site: A. 274 bongardii, C. fasciculata, C. semaphora, D. hirsutus, D. orbicularis, J. caroba or Kielmeyera 275 *petiolaris*. Individuals growing in the site 2 generally presented a higher survival (Table 2).

Beyond their simple survival, some species were able to colonize available sites: *Chamaecrista semaphora, C. cipoensis, Marcetia taxifolia* and *M. foliolosa* recruited more
than 10 seedlings. Others expanded through resprouting, such as the majority of individuals of *C. cipoensis, D. reticulata, D. hirsutus, H. byrsonimifolia, L. pacari*, and *T. heteromalla*(Table 2). Finally no signs of reproduction were observed in *Z. tuberculosa, E. dysenterica*and *E. ellipticum* (Table 2).

Species appeared to differentially survive according to their families at different dates (respectively χ^2 =319.8, *df*=4, *P*<0.001 in 2006, χ^2 =21.8, df=4, *P*<0.001 in 2008). Melastomataceae suffered higher mortality (at least of the aboveground parts) than the other 285 families at the beginning and during the first years, as 39% of their individuals died during the first six months and 76% after 2.5 years, especially L. campo-portoana (Table 2 & Table 3). 286 287 Melastomataceae species were able to resprout, thus increasing their survival rates 4.5 years 288 after the transplantation (Table 3) compared to the two first years after the transplantation. At 289 the end of the survey, Fabaceae and Bignoniaceae were the families with the highest mortality 290 rate (respectively 59.69% and 54.69% of survival) (Table 3). Shrubs presented higher 291 mortality than trees at the beginning and during the first years of the transplantation (respectively 10% vs. 6% respectively for 2004; $\chi^2=3.7$, df=1, P=0.052 and 29% vs.14% 292 respectively for 2006; $\chi^2=21.9$, df=1, P<0.001), while at the end of the survey shrubs were 293 characterized by a lower mortality than trees (31% vs. 45% respectively; $\chi^2=15.6$, df=1, 294 295 *P*<0.001).

296 For most species, the RGR did not appear to significantly reflect the final survival 297 probability. However, when such effects where observed, a faster growth was associated with 298 a higher survival, excepted for C. semaphora (Table 4). Survival 4.5 year after the 299 transplantation was positively related to the initial size of individuals for A. bongardii, D. 300 reticulatum and M. taxifolia and to a lesser extent for E. dysenterica and C. fasciculata (Table 301 4). For only two species, E. ellipticum and M. taxifolia, the survival 6 months after the 302 transplantation was positively related to the RGR during the first month (Table 4). The RGR 303 during the first 6 months was positively linked to the survival 2.5 years after the 304 transplantation (in 2006) for five species: C. fasciculata, D. orbicularis, K. petiolaris, M. 305 taxifolia and M. foliolosa. Finally, for just three species, C. fasciculata, D. reticulatum and Z. 306 tuberculosa, the RGRs during the first years, between 2004 and 2006, were positively 307 correlated with the survival at the end of the survey, 4.5 years after the transplantation, while 308 it was negatively correlated with the survival of one species: C. semaphora (Table 4).

309

310 UNDERSTOREY RECOLONISATION

311 Similar treatments should lead to similar effects; we then expected that the factor 312 "species" lead to some co-structure between the two sites. However among the three co-313 inertia analyses run between site 1 and 2, a significant co-structure was found only for soil 314 surface indicators (RV=0.390, P<0.05 Monte-Carlo permutations), and not for soil or 315 understorey composition data (RV=0.136, P=0.17 and RV=0.595, P=0.34 respectively). A first 316 PCA was carried out and indicated that C. semaphora was highly correlated with percent 317 cover of litter masking other effects (Inertia = 0.42, P < 0.001- Monte-Carlo permutations). 318 Another PCA was thus carried out, without C. semaphora (Inertia = 0.35, P <0.01- Monte-319 Carlo permutations), indicating on the axis 1 (45% of the total inertia) that Eugenia 320 dysenterica (8%), Z. tuberculosa (9%) and K. petiolaris (10%) were characterized by bare 321 ground (axis contribution: 23%) while C. fasciculata (29%) M. foliolosa (26%) and L. 322 *campos-portoana* (9%) were correlated with high cover of litter and shade (axis contribution: 323 38% and 34% respectively) (Fig. 2). Axis 2 (38% of the total inertia) underlined that 324 Actinocephalus bongardii (6%), D. hirsutus (10%), L. campos-portoana (13%) and control 325 plot (27%) were characterized by a dense cover of biological crust (39%) and to a lesser 326 extent by a cover of moss (11%) and herbaceous vegetation (18%) contrary to E. ellipticum 327 (8%) and K. petiolaris (10%) which were distinguished by a higher cover of bare ground 328 (21%).

329 The transplanted species appeared to influence both species richness and the 330 herbaceous cover of the understorey. Calliandra fasciculata, J. caroba, D. reticulatum, D. 331 orbicularis, L.campos-portoana, A. bongardii and control plots had significantly higher 332 understorey richness than that of other species (F=3.33, P<0.001). Moreover the pre-cited 333 species as well as D. hirsutus, C. cipoensis and control plots had significantly higher 334 herbaceous cover than that of other species (F = 2.78, P < 0.001). The floristic survey of the 335 herbaceous understorey led to the identification of 69 species, of which the majority were 336 represented by ruderal species which were likely to be dispersed from the road and that did not occur on the surrounding savannas. The most represented family was Poaceae (21
species), followed by Fabaceae (15) and Asteraceae (8). Two invasive species were identified: *Melinis repens* (from Africa; Starr et al. 2006) and *Euphorbia hirta* (from India, USDA
2008).

341 Crown volume, was positively correlated with the cover of litter (Spearman's ρ =0.65, 342 *P*<0.01) and, since it influenced the amount of light reaching the soil, with shade (Spearman's 343 ρ =0.74, *P*<0.001). Crown volume was negatively correlated with the cover of bare ground 344 (Spearman's ρ =-0.54, *P*<0.05). No significant correlations between crown volume and 345 biological crust, moss and herbaceous cover were found.

346

347 **Discussion**

The restoration success typically depends on multiple criteria. In this survey of a 348 349 transplantation experiment, we considered two crucial aspects: (i) the capacity of transplanted 350 species to settle and reproduce in the degraded area; (ii) the effect of the re-introduced species 351 on their immediate environment which may result in an increased re-colonization of the site 352 by other species. This study represents a landmark in the restoration of this type of tropical 353 mountain savannas. We report one of the first conclusive restoration projects on these highly 354 threatened ecosystems and emphasise that transplantation in degraded sites is a very good 355 way to reintroduce native species and increase plant cover in harsh environments.

356

357 SURVIVAL AND GROWTH OF PLANTED SPECIES

Prior to the analysis of the efficiency of transplanted species to modify their environment, the first step in restoration using transplants is to identify species characterized by a high survival. Although some native species transplanted in this study was characterized by a low survival (<50%), half of our species panel showed a high survival (>78%) 4.5 years after transplantation in highly degraded areas. Those species, *C. fasciculata, C. cipoensis, J.* *caroba, D. reticulatum, H. byrsonimifolia, T. heteromalla, E. dysenterica, D. hirsutus* and *L. pacari,* are therefore excellent candidates to restore degraded areas of highland savannas.
While native trees presented a low survival compared to the native shrubs, the tree species *E. dysenterica* was also successfully transplanted (survival > 96%) and could be reintroduced with success, even if its contribution to recruitment would probably occur in the longer term.

368 Beyond survival, planted species, were able to reproduce vegetatively and/or sexually 369 and therefore initiate the self-recolonisation of the degraded sites. This was also true 370 concerning some species presenting low survival and in another hand 1) which are able to 371 recruit numerous seedlings, such as the Fabaceae species: Mimosa foliolosa or Chamaecrista 372 semaphora, or, 2) which are able to resprout like Melastomataceae species. This is 373 particularly interesting since most of the transplanted species do not seem to fastly re-colonize 374 degraded sites. They are not generally found in disturbed areas which have been abandoned 375 for years (Le Stradic 2012), and their seeds are not detected in the seed bank (Medina & 376 Fernandes 2007).

377 In addition, species lifespan should balance any evaluations exclusively based on the 378 survival of transplanted individuals. Actinocephalus bongardii presented the lowest survival 379 of all planted species (< 10%), but this species commonly lives only three to four years 380 (Oriani et al. 2008) and the transplanted individuals survived well during the first two years. 381 Actinocephalus bongardii has bloomed every year and has produced a large number of seeds 382 although few recruitements are currently found. A. bongardii thus participated in degraded 383 area stabilization during the first years. Moreover, dead individuals produced a fine litter 384 which may have played a role in increasing soil organic matter and nutrients and in allowing 385 colonisation by herbaceous species.

Plant growth did not appear to be a generic predictor of individual survival. Early survival, reflecting the species ability to establish on degraded sites, was poorly related to early RGR. In the same way, for only five species, i.e. *C. fasciculata*, *D. orbicularis*, *D.* *hirsutus, K. petiolaris, M. foliolosa* and *M. taxifolia*, the growth rate partially reflected the ability of an individual to persist in degraded areas. In a majority of the species, survival at the end of the survey was not related to the RGR measured on shoots which might be the result of an investment in root growth.

393 However, if growth is not a critical factor determining the survival in degraded areas, 394 we can expose some hypotheses explaining the low survival of some species. First edaphic 395 conditions on degraded sites are more stressful than on their non-degraded counterparts. 396 Abiotic conditions could limit the early stage of plant establishment (Maestre et al. 2006); 397 maladjustment to the physical and chemical conditions of the degraded sites, critical in the 398 short-term, may lead to a high mortality during the early stage (e.g., as observed for 399 Melastomataceae). Establishment of tree and shrub seedlings in Neotropical savannas is 400 highly constrained by drought, fire and competition with herbaceous species and thus depends 401 on seedling ability to access water (Medina & Silva 1990).

In addition, on degraded sites, species distribution is less dense which modify species interactions compared to pristine areas. Fabaceae species bring, for the plant community, the potentially important feature of fixing atmospheric nitrogen. Unfortunately, two tested Fabaceae (*M. foliolosa* and *C. semaphora*) recorded high late mortality, possibly due to the effects of intra-specific competition occurring at the relatively small experimental plot scale. In a different way, *Enterolobium ellipticum* recorded a high mortality rate during the last year due to the parasitism of all individuals by *Struthanthus flexicaulis* Mart. (Loranthaceae).

409

410 COMMUNITY RESTORATION

411 Candidate species for future restoration projects can be listed on the basis of survival 412 but the next step must be to assess the efficiency of transplanted species to modify their 413 environment (i.e. nurse species, Padilla & Pugnaire 2006). Our work shows that transplanted 414 species, even if they did not significantly influence soil properties and understorey plant 415 composition, affected significantly their immediate vicinity modifying soil surface indicators, 416 potentially increasing the establishment of recruits or future colonization by other species. A 417 large fraction of our species (i.e. C. fasciculata, C. cipoensis, J. caroba, D. reticulatum, D. 418 orbicularis, D. hirsutus L. campos-portoana and A. bongardii) allowed the establishment of 419 an herbaceous strata participating to the soil stabilisation. We, however, did not find a 420 potential nurse effect of our species, as an equal herbaceous cover was also present on control 421 plots. In addition, large part of the new herbaceous cover is composed by ruderal species, 422 which were not encountered on pristine highland savannas, underlining the real limitation of 423 savanna species to immigrate on degraded areas.

Colonization of the understorey by herbaceous species is partly influenced by the amount of light reaching the ground and therefore by the canopy density and morphology of transplanted shrubs and trees. *Jacaranda caroba* and *C. cipoensis* have a canopy which is more permeable to light. They thus favour colonisation by herbaceous species, by contrast with *C. semaphora*. Canopy opening influences regeneration of herbaceous understorey under tree and shrub cover (Cusack & Montagnini 2004; Hobbs & Mooney 1986), especially since savannah species are not shade tolerant (Hoffmann & Franco 2003).

Denser plant cover should increase soil stability (Snelder & Bryan 1995) but according to Rey (2003) vegetation cover of 30% is already effective to control erosion and to trap sediments. *Marcetia taxifolia*, characterized by an average cover of the herbaceous understorey (23.8% \pm 7.3), has significant cryptogam cover (30% \pm 9.5) which also participates in erosion control. The ground does not necessarily have to be covered with shrubs; if their establishment is promoted, biological crusts and cryptogams can also play a major role in erosion control (Belnap & Lange 2001).

On the contrary, we highlighted that some species can limit re-colonisation by
understorey species. For example, in this study, we showed that Fabaceae species (i.e. *C. semaphora, M. foliolosa, C. fasciculate*) were characterized by a high production of a thick

441 litter. Leaves of plants of the genus *Chamaecrista* are rich in secondary compounds such as 442 tannins (e.g. Madeira et al. 1998); tannin-rich litter decomposes very slowly and it has been 443 shown that grasses may be sensitive to tannins released during leaf decomposition (Facelli 444 1991). This litter thus induced a strong inter-specific competition not favourable to 445 colonisation by herbaceous understorey. In addition species of the genus *Mimosa* are often 446 competitive (Braithwaite et al. 1989; IUCN 2002) due to their architecture, dense foliage and 447 the shade they create.

A bad planting protocol can thus also lead to some re-colonization limitation, beyond a higher mortality by intra-specific competition, as we have just mentioned with the example of Fabaceae which should be planted far apart from one another due to their plant architecture and physiology. Therefore, when designing planting protocols, intra- and inter-specific competition and the effects of shade and litter have to be taken into account. To increase bare ground colonization by herbaceous species, plantation should be spaced out, as previously stated, and various types of plant architecture must be combined.

455

456 Conclusion

457 This work shows that the reintroduction of native species into a harsh environment is possible 458 using seedling transplantation. Species, such as C. fasciculata, C. cipoensis, J. caroba, D. 459 reticulatum and D. hirsutus, are excellent candidate to restoration project since they were able 460 to settle and reproduce in the degraded area and they allowed the re-colonization of the site by 461 understorey species. Our work emphasise that plant growth did not seem a good criterion to 462 determine the transplantation success in such harsh environment. Intra-specific competition, 463 leading to higher mortality, was observed especially for Fabaceae species. Therefore, a 464 particular attention should be taken when planning restoration. A suitable planting design, 465 including space between competitive species, is necessary to avoid mortality due to competition and to allow recolonisation. 466

Botanical and ecological knowledge of these ecosystems is still poor and needs to be improved in order to provide a better basis for selection of species to be transplanted. Monitoring is important to measure herbaceous understorey colonization (herbaceous, moss or biological crust cover and richness of herbaceous understorey) and to assess the efficiency of recruitment of transplanted species. Long-term monitoring is necessary; the influence of transplanted species on soil properties and understorey plant composition might occur on a longer time.

474

477

475 Acknowledgements

476 We are grateful to A.M.O. Paiva, M.N.A. Pereira and M.B.L. Moraes for their field

478 thank CNPq (558250/2009-2, 563304/2009-3, 303352/2010-8, 561883/2010-6), FAPEMIG

and laboratory support and to two anonymous referees for their valuables comments. We also

479 (EDT-465/07, APQ-04105-10) and the Conseil Général du Morbihan for financial support.

480

481 **Bibliography**

- Ash, H.J., Gremmell, R.P. & Bradshaw, A.D. 1994. The introduction of native plant species
 on industrial waste heaps : a test of immigration and other factors affecting succession
 primary. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 31: 74-84.
- Bakker, J.P. & Berendse, F. 1999. Constraints in the restoration of ecological diversity in
 grassland and heathland communities. *Tree* 14: 63-68.
- 487 Ballesteros, M., Cañadas, E.M., Foronda, A., Fernández-Ondoño, E., Peñas, P. & Lorite, J.
- 488 2012. Vegetation recovery of gypsum quarries: short-term sowing response to different
 489 soil treatments. *Applied Vegetation Science* 15: 187–197.
- 490 Barbosa, N.P.U., Fernandes, G.W., Carneiro, M.A.A & Júnior, L.A.C. 2010. Distribution of
- 491 non-native invasive species and soil properties in proximity to paved roads and unpaved

- 492 roads in a quartzitic mountainous grassland of southeastern Brazil (rupestrian fields).
 493 *Biological Invasions* 12: 3745-3755.
- 494 Belnap, J. & Lange, O.L. 2001. *Biological soil crusts: structure, function and management*.
 495 Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- 496 Benites, V.M., Schaefer, C.E.G.R., Sima, F.N.B & Santos, H.G. 2007. Soils associated with
- 497 rock outcrops in the Brazilian mountain ranges Mantiqueira and Espinhaço. *Revista*498 *Brasileira de Botânica* 30: 569-577.
- Berger, F. & Rey, F. 2004. Mountain protection forests against natural hazards and risks: new
 French developments by integrating forests in risk zoning. *Natural Hazards* 33: 395–
- 501 404.
- Bradshaw, A D. 1983. The Reconstruction of Ecosystems : Presidential Address to the British
 Ecological. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 20, no. 1: 1-17.
- Bradshaw, A.D. 1997. Restoration of mined lands using natural processes. *Ecological Engineering* 8: 255-269.
- 506 Bradshaw, A.D. 2000. The use of natural processes in reclamation advantages and 507 difficulties. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 51: 89-100.
- Braithwaite, R.W., Lonsdaleb, W.M. & Estbergs, J.A. 1989. Alien vegetation and native biota
 in tropical Australia: the impact of *Mimosa pigra*. *Biological Conservation* 48: 189-210.
- 510 Byers, J.E., Cuddingtonn, K., Jones, C.G., Talley, T.S., Hastings, A., Lambrinos, J.G.,
- 511 Crooks, J.A. & Wilson, W.G. 2006. Using ecosystem engineers to restore ecological
 512 systems. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 21: 493-500.
- 513 Carvalho, F., De Souza, A.F., Carrenho, R., Moreira, F.M.S., Jesus, E.C. & Fernandes, G.W.
- 514 2012. The mosaic of habitats in the high-altitude Brazilian rupestrian fields is a hotspot
 515 for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Applied Soil Ecology* 52: 9-19.
- 516 Chessel, D., Dufour, A.B. & Dray, S. 2009. Analysis of Ecological Data : Exploratory and
- 517 Euclidean methods in Environmental sciences, *http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/ADE-4*.

- 518 Cooper, D.L. & MacDonald, L.H. 2000. Restoring the Vegetation of Mined Peatlands in the
 519 Southern Rocky Mountains of Colorado, U.S.A. *Restoration Ecology* 8: 103-111.
- 520 Crawley, M.J. 2007. The R Book. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester.
- 521 Cusack, D. & Montagnini, F. 2004. The role of native species plantations in recovery of
 522 understorey woody diversity in degraded pasturelands of Costa Rica. *Forest Ecology* 523 *and Management* 188: 1-15.
- 524 Daubenmire, R.A. 1959. Canopy-coverage method of vegetational analysis. *Northwest*525 *Science* 33: 43-64.
- 526 Davis, B.N.K., Lakhani, K.H., Brown, M.C. & Park, D.G. 1985. Early seral communities in a
- 527 limestone quarry : an experimental study of treatment effects on cover and richness of
 528 vegetation. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 22: 473-490.
- Facelli, J.M. 1991. Plant litter: its dynamics and effects on plant community structure. *The Botanical review* 57: 1-32.
- 531 FAO. 1998. Unasylva Moving mountains. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
 532 Nations, eds S.A. Dembner, Rome, Italy.
- 533 Gibson, D.J. 2009. Grasses and grassland ecology. Oxford University Press. UK.
- 534 Giulietti, A.M., Pirani, J.R. & Harley, R.M. 1997. Espinhaço range region: eastern Brazil. In:
- 535 V.H.H.S.D. Davis, Herrera-MacBryde, O., Villa-Lobos J. & Hamilton A.C. (eds)
- 536 *Centre of plants diversity: a guide and strategy for their conservation*, pp. 397-404.
- 537 WWF / WVU, Cambridge.
- 538 Gomes, V., Madeira, J.A., Fernandes, G.W. & Lemos Filho, J.P. 2001. Seed dormancy and
- 539 germination of sympatric species of *Chamaecrista* (Leguminosae) in a rupestrian field.
- 540 International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences 27 : 191-197.
- 541 Green, B.H. 1990. Agricultural intensification and the loss of habitat, species and amenity in
- 542 British grasslands: a review of historical change and asseement os future prospects.
- 543 *Grass and Forage science* 45:365-372.

- 544 Griffith, J.J. & Toy, T.J. 2001. Evolution dans la remise en végétation des mines de fer dans
 545 l'Etat du Minas Gerais, au Brésil. *Unasylva* 207 52: 9-16.
- Guerrant, E.O. & Pavlik, B.M. 1998. Reintroduction of rare plants: genetics, demography,
 and the role of *ex situ* conservation methods. In P.M.K. Peggy Lee Fiedler (eds) *Conservation Biology: For the Coming Decade*, pp. 80-108. Springer.
- Hansen, M.J. & Clevenger, A.P. 2005. The influence of disturbance and habitat on the
 presence of non-native and plant species along transportation corridors. *Biological Conservation* 123: 249–259.
- Hobbs, R.J. & Mooney, H.A. 1986. Community changes following shrub invasion of
 grassland. *Oecologia* 70: 508-513.
- Hoekstra, J.M., Boucher, T.M., Ricketts, T. H. & Roberts, C. 2005. Confronting a biome
 crisis: global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecology Letters* 8:23–29.
- Hoffmann, W.A. & Franco, A.C. 2003. Comparative growth analysis of tropical forest and
 savanna woody plants using phylogenetically independent contrasts. *Journal of Ecology*91: 475-484.
- 559 Holl, K.D. & Cairns, J. Jr. 2002. Monitoring and appraisal. In: Perrow, M.R. & Davy, A.J.
- (eds) *Handbook of Ecological Restoration*, pp 411–432. Cambridge University Press,
 United Kingdom.
- Hölzel N., Buisson, E. & Dutoit, T. 2012. Editorial: "Species introduction a major topic in
 vegetation restoration". *Applied Vegetation science* 15: 161–165
- 564 IUCN Species Survival Commission 2002. Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive
 565 species (Proceedings of the International Conference on Eradication of Island
 566 Invasives), ed C.R. Veitch & M.N. Clout, Switzerland.
- Jim, C.Y. 2001. Ecological and Landscape Rehabilitation of a Quarry Site in Hong Kong.
 Restoration Ecology 9: 85-94.

- Kirmer, A., Baasch, A. & Tischew, S. 2012. Sowing of low and high diversity seed mixtures
 in ecological restoration of surface mined-land. *Applied Vegetation Science* 15: 198–
 207.
- 572 Klink, C.A. & Machado, R.B. 2005. Conservation of the Brazilian cerrado. *Conservation*573 *Biology* 19: 707–713.
- Klink, C.A. & Moreira, A.G. 2002. Past and Current Human Occupation, and Land Use. In:
 Oliveira, P.S. & Marquis, R.J. (eds) *The Cerrados of Brazil Ecology and natural*

576 *history of a neotropical savanna*, pp 69-88. Columbia University Press, NewYork.

- 577 Lista de Espécies da Flora do Brasil. 2013. http://floradobrasil.jbrj.gov.br/
- 578 Le Stradic, S. 2012. Composition, phenology and restoration of campo rupestre mountain
- 579 grasslands Brazil. Ph.D. Thesis, Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse,
 580 Avignon, FR & Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, BR.
- Madeira, J.A. & Fernandes, G.W. 1999. Reproductive phenology of sympatric taxa of
 Chamaecrista (Leguminosae) in Serra do Cipo, Brazil. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 15:
 463-479.
- Madeira, J.A., Ribeiro, K.T. & Fernandes, G.W. 1998. Herbivory, tannins and sclerophylly in
 Chamaecrista linearifolia (Fabaceae) along an altitudinal gradient. *Brazilian Journal of Ecology* 2: 1-10.
- 587 Maestre, F.T., Cortina, J. & Vallejo, R. 2006. Are ecosystem composition, structure, and 588 functional status related to restoration success? A test from semiarid Mediterranean 589 steppes. *Restoration Ecology* 14: 258–266.
- Maunder, M. 1992. Plant reintroduction: an overview. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 1: 5161.
- 592 MEA Millenium Ecoystem Assessment 2005 a. Dryland Systems In: Hassan, R., Scholes, R.
- 593 & Ash., N. (eds) Ecosystems and human well-being : current state and trends, pp. 623-
- 594 662. Island Press, Washington, US.

- 595 MEA Millenium Ecoystem Assessment 2005 b. Mountain Systems In: Hassan, R., Scholes, R.
- 596 & Ash., N. (eds) Ecosystems and human well-being : current state and trends, pp. 681-

597 716. Island Press, Washington, US.

- Medina, B.M.O. & Fernandes, G.W. 2007. The potential of natural regeneration of rocky
 outcrop vegetation on rupestrian field soils in "Serra do Cipo", Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Botânica* 30: 665-678.
- Medina, E. & Silva, J.F. 1990. The savanna of northern South-America: A steady state
 regulated by water-fire interactions on a background of low-nutrient availability. *Journal of Biogeography* 17: 1-11.
- Negreiros, D., Fernandes, G.W., Silveira, F.A.O. & Chalub, C. 2009. Seedling growth and
 biomass allocation of endemic and threatened shrubs of rupestrian fields. *Acta Oecologica* 35: 301–310.
- Negreiros, D., Fernandes G.W., Berbara, R.L.L., Rodarte, L.H. & Barbosa, N.P.U. 2011.
 Caracterização fisico-quimica de solos quartziticos degradados e areas adjacentes de
 campo rupetsre na Serra do Cipo, MG, Brasil. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* 6:
 156-161.
- Niklas, K.J. 1993. The allometry of plant reproductive biomass and stem diameter. *American Journal of Botany* 80: 461-467.
- 613 Oliveira, P.S. & Marquis, R.J. 2002. *The cerrados of brazil: ecology and natural history of a*614 *neotropical savanna*. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Oriani, A., Scatena, V.L. & Sano, P.T. 2008. Morphological architecture of *Actinocephalus*(Koern.) Sano (Eriocaulaceae-Poales). *Flora* 203: 341-349.
- Osborne, L.L. & Kovacic D.A. 1993 Riparian vegetated buffer strips in water-quality
 restoration and stream management, *Freshwater Biology* 29: 243-258.
- Padilla, F.M. & Pugnaire, F.I. 2006. The role of nurse plants in the restoration of degraded
 environments. *Frontiers Ecology Environment* 4: 196-202.

- Pivello, V.R., Shida, C.N. & Meirelles, S.T. 1999. Alien grasses in Brazilian savannas: a
 threat to the biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 8: 1281-1294.
- R Development Core Team 2009. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rey, F. 2003. Influence of vegetation distribution on sediment yield in forested marly gullies. *Catena* 50: 549- 562.
- Ruiz-Jaen, M.C. & Aide, T.M. 2005. Restoration success: how is it being measured? *Restoration Ecology* 13: 569-577.
- 629 Sampaio, A.B., Holl, K.D. & Scariot, A. 2007. Does Restoration Enhance Regeneration of
- 630 Seasonal Deciduous Forests in Pastures in Central Brazil? *Restoration Ecology* 15: 462–
 631 471.
- 632 SER 2004. The SER international Primer on Ecological Restoration. Society for Ecological
 633 Restoration International Science & Policy Working Group.
 634 <u>http://www.ser.org./content/ecological_restoration_primer.asp</u>.
- 635 Shu, W.S., Ye, Z.H., Zhang, Z.Q., Lan, C.Y. & Wong, M.H. 2005. Natural Colonization of
- Plants on Five Lead/Zinc Mine Tailings in Southern China. *Restoration Ecology* 13, no.
 1: 49-60.
- 638 Silveira, F.A.O., Ribeiro, R.C., Oliveira, D.M.T., Fernandes, G.W. & Lemos-Filho, J.P. 2012.
- Evolution of physiological dormancy multiple times in Melastomataceae from
 Neotropical montane vegetation. *Seed Sciences Research* 22: 37-44.
- 641 Simberloff, D., Parker, I.M. & Windle, P.N. 2005. Introduced species policy, management,
 642 and future research needs. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 3: 12–20.
- Snelder, D.J. & Bryan, R.B. 1995. The use of rainfall simulation tests to assess the influence
 of vegetation density on soil loss on degraded rangelands in the Baringo District,
 Kenya. *Catena* 25: 105-116.

- 646 Sokal, R.R. & Rohlf, F.J. 1998. Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in
 647 biological research. New York.
- Soliveres, S., Monerris, J. & Cortina, J. 2012. Irrigation, organic fertilization, and species
 successional stage modulate the response of woody seedlings to herbaceous competition
 in a semi-arid quarry restoration. *Applied Vegetation Science* 15: 175–186.
- Starr, F., Starr, K. & Loope, L.L. 2006. New plant records for the Hawaiian archipelago. *Bishop Museum Occasional Papers* 87: 31–43.
- Sutter, R.D. 1996 Monitoring. In: Falk, D., Millar, C. & Olwell, M. (eds) *Restoring diversity: strategies for reintroduction of endangered plants*, pp. 235-264. Island Press,
 Washington, D.C.
- Turner, S.R., Pearce, B., Rokich, D.P., Dunn, R.R., Merritt, D.J., Majer, J.D. & Dixon, K.W.
- 657 2006. Influence of Polymer Seed Coatings, Soil Raking , and Time of Sowing on
 658 Seedling Performance in Post-Mining Restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 14: 267-277.
- 659 USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) 2009. The PLANTS Database. USDA,
- 660 Natural resources conservation services, national plant data center, Baton rouge,
- 661 Louisiana. URL: <u>http://plants.usda.gov</u>.
- 662 Wilson, S. D. 2002. Prairies. Chapter 19. In Perrow, M.R. & Davy, A.J. (eds). *Handbook of*
- 663 *ecological restoration. Volume 2. Restoration in practice.* pp. 443–465. Cambridge
- 664 University Press, New York, US.
- Wong, M.H. 2003. Ecological restoration of mine degraded soils , with emphasis on metal
 contaminated soils. *Ecological Restoration* 50: 775-780.
- Young, T.P. 2000. Restoration ecology and conservation biology. *Biological Conservation*92: 73-83.
- Yuan, J., Fang, W., Fan, L., Chen, Y., Wang, D. & Yang, Z. 2006. Soil Formation and
 Vegetation Establishment on the Cliff Face of Abandoned Quarries in the Early Stages
 of Natural Colonization. *Restoration Ecology* 14: 349-356.

Table 1: List of transplanted species. Abbrev: abbreviations used in tables and figure. Stature: is the stature of

673 the plant in the study. Actinocephalus bongardii is an herb but was considered as a shrub due to its stature

674	Spacias	Abbroy	Family	Stature	
	Species	Abbiev.	ганну		
	Dasyphyllum reticulatum (DC.) Cabrera	Dret	Asteraceae	Shrub	
	Jacaranda caroba (Vell) A. DC.	Jcar	Bignoniaceae	Shrub	
	<i>Actinocephalus bongardii</i> A. StHil Sano	Abon	Eriocaulaceae	Shrub	
	Calliandra fasciculata Benth. var. bracteosa (Bentham) Barneby	Cfas	Fabaceae	Shrub	
	Chamaecrista semaphora HS. Irwin & Barneby	Csem	Fabaceae	Shrub	
	<i>Mimosa foliolosa</i> Benth. ssp. <i>pachycarpa</i> (Bentham) Barneby var. <i>pachycarpa</i>	Mfol	Fabaceae	Shrub	
	Collaea cipoensis Fortunato	Ccip	Fabaceae	Shrub	
	<i>Diplusodon hirsutus</i> (Cham & Schlecht) DC	Dhir	Lythraceae	Shrub	
	Diplusodon orbicularis Koehne	Dorb	Lythraceae	Shrub	
	Heteropterys byrsonimifolia A. Juss	Hbyr	Malpighiaceae	Shrub	
	<i>Lavoisiera campos-portoana</i> Mell. Barr	Lcam	Melastomataceae	Shrub	
	Marcetia taxifolia A. StHil DC	Mtax	Mtax Melastomataceae		
	<i>Tibouchina heteromalla</i> (D. Don) Cogn.	Thet	Melastomataceae	Shrub	
	Lafoensia pacari A. StHil	Lpac	Lythraceae	Shrub	
	Kielmeyera petiolaris Mart.	Kpet	Clusiaceae	Tree	
	Enterolobium ellipticum Benth.	Eell	Fabaceae	Tree	
	Eugenia dysenterica DC.	Edys	Myrtaceae	Tree	
	Zeyhera tuberculosa Bureau	Ztub	Bignoniaceae	Tree	

- 675 **Table 2**: Overall and site-specific survival (%) in February 2008. Reproduction was recorded as the percentage
- 676 of individuals with flowers and/or fruits, the number of seedlings recruiting and the percentage of individuals
- 677 using vegetative reproduction. For species abbreviations, see Table 1. Site and plot effects were assessed using
- 678 GLM procedures. / : no data, empty cell: non-significant, *: P < 0.05, **: P < 0.01, ***: P < 0.001 (2 plots in
- 679 each site with 16 plants in each plot)

Species	Surv	vival 2008 (%)	Site	Plot	Individuals with flowers or/and fruits	Number of seedlings recruiting for 64 transplanted plants	Individuals using vegetative reproduction (%)	
Lafoensia pacari	100	(100.0 - 100.0)	encer	eneer	0	0	100	
Eugenia dysenterica	96.9		/		0	0	0	
Heteropterys byrsonimifolia	96.9	(100.0 - 93.8)			0	0	96.9	
Tibouchina heteromalla	96.9	(96.9 - 96.9)			32.8	1	95.3	
Dasyphyllum reticulatum	89.1	(87.5 - 90.6)			39.1	1	89.1	
Collaea cipoensis	82.8	(90.6 - 75.0)			46.9	10	82.8	
Calliandra fasciculata	81.3	(65.6 - 96.9)	***	**	40.6	3	0	
Jacaranda caroba	81.3	(84.4 - 78.1)		**	0	1	0	
Diplusodon hirsutus	78.1	(62.5 - 93.8)	**	***	34.4	0	78.1	
Kielmeyera petiolaris	67.2		/	**	6.3	0	0	
Marcetia taxifolia	60.9	(56.3 - 65.6)			53.1	15	0	
Mimosa foliolosa	59.4	(65.6 - 53.1)			40.6	11	0	
Chamaecrista semaphora	46.9	(28.1 - 65.6)	**	*	46.9	15	0	
Diplusodon orbicularis	39.1	(25.0 - 53.1)	*	*	12.5	2	0	
Lavoisiera campos- portoana	37.5	(28.1 - 46.9)			29.7	0	37.5	
Enterolobium ellipticum	28.1		/		0	0	0	
Zeyhera tuberculosa	28.1		/		0	0	0	
Actinocephalus bongardii	10.9	(18.8 - 3.1)	*	*	9.4	1	0	

- 682 **Table 3:** Number and percentage of dead individuals in 2004, 2006 and 2008 according to their families.
- 683 Resprouting individuals were taken into account, which increased survival rate for some families (e.g.

684 Melastomataceae species)

2004	Number of dead	% of	Total number of
2004	Individuals	suivivai	marviauais
Other families	8	97.50%	320
Bignoniaceae	0	100.00%	128
Fabaceae	11	96.56%	320
Lythraceae	11	94.50%	200
Melastomataceae	75	60.94%	192
2006			
Other families	40	87.50%	320
Bignoniaceae	9	92.70%	128
Fabaceae	60	81.25%	320
Lythraceae	39	80.50%	200
Melastomataceae	146	23.06%	192
2008			
Other families	89	72.19%	320
Bignoniaceae	58	54.69%	128
Fabaceae	129	59.69%	320
Lythraceae	53	73.50%	200
Melastomataceae	67	65.10%	192

Table 4: Effects of the early RGR (between August 2003 and September 2003), the mid-term RGR (between September 2003 and February 2004) and the late RGR (between

686 February 2004 and April 2006) on respectively the survival in 2004, 2006 and 2008, using GLM procedures. Effects of the initial plant size (diameter, height and volume in 2003) on

687 the survival in 2008 using GLM procedures. /: no data, empty cell: non-significant, +: significant positive GLM coefficient value with P < 0.05, ++: with P < 0.01, +++: with P < 0.01, ++: with P < 0.01, ++:

688 0.05, - -: significant negative GLM coefficient value with P < 0.01, - -: with P < 0.001

	Effect of early RGR on survival in 2004 (transplantation + 6 months)		Effect of mid RGR on survival in 2006 (transplantation + 2.5 years)		Effect of late RGR on survival in 2008 (transplantation + 4.5years)			Effect of initial plant size on survival in 2008				
Species	Diameter	Height	Volume	Diameter	Height	Volume	Diameter	Height	Volume	Diameter	Height	Volume
Abon											+	+
Cfas					+	+		++	+++	+		
Csem												
Ccip												
Dret							++	+++	++	+		+
Dhir						+						
Dorb				++	++	+++						
Eell	+											
Edys										+		
Hbyr												
Jcar												
Kpet				+		++						
Lpac												
Lcam				/	/	/	/	/	/			
Mtax	+++		++	++							+	+
Mfol				+++	+++	+++						
Thet							/	/	/			
Ztub							+++	+				

689



Figure 1: sketch of the experimental design: two sites were assigned to shrub transplantation. In each site, 30 4m² plots were defined and two plots were randomly assigned to each shrub species (14 species x 2 plots); only
two 4-m² plots remained unplanted as controls for the study of species influence on soil surface indicators.
Because of the small size of both sites, we could not place 28 control plots with nothing planted on them, as it
would be an ideal scenario. One site was assigned to tree transplantation, sixteen 16-m² plots were assigned for
tree species plantation (4 species x 4 plots). In each plot, 16 individuals of one species were transplanted 1m
apart for tree species and 0.5m apart for shrub species.



700

701 Figure 2: Inter-class PCA carried out on various soil surface indicators and shade, projection of two first

702 principal components [72 points \times 6 variables]. Variables and species contributing to axis 1 are framed and to

axis 2 are in italics. Monte-Carlo permutations: inertia = 0.35, P < 0.01. Chamaecrista semaphora was not

included in the analysis. Abbreviations refer to Table 1.

705