



**HAL**  
open science

# MRI simulation of arbitrarily complex flow: Application to the cerebral venous network

Alexandre Fortin, Stéphanie Salmon, Joseph Baruthio, Maya Delbany,  
Emmanuel Durand

## ► To cite this version:

Alexandre Fortin, Stéphanie Salmon, Joseph Baruthio, Maya Delbany, Emmanuel Durand. MRI simulation of arbitrarily complex flow: Application to the cerebral venous network. 2017. hal-01326698v4

**HAL Id: hal-01326698**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01326698v4>**

Preprint submitted on 20 Jan 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# MRI simulation of arbitrarily complex flow: Application to the cerebral venous network

Alexandre Fortin, Stéphanie Salmon, Joseph Baruthio, Maya Delbany, Emmanuel Durand

---

## Abstract

**PURPOSE:** Simulation of MR flow motion is of high interest for the study of flow artifacts formation and angiographic acquisitions processes. Though, currently, most of advanced MRI simulators do not include this option and are specialized in static tissues imaging. This work was carried out to expand the possibilities of one of those softwares to the general field of MR spin flow simulation.

**THEORY AND METHODS:** An extension of JEMRIS, one of the most prevalent high performance open-source softwares for MRI simulation to date, is presented. Implementing a Lagrangian description of individual spins motion in the code makes possible to simulate any MR experience, including both static tissues and arbitrarily complex flow data from Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD).

**RESULTS:** The efficiency of this approach is illustrated with a common flow artifact (misregistration artifact) and tested with the main three angiographic methods (phase contrast velocimetry, time-of-flight sequence and contrast-enhanced imaging). A realistic simulation of MRA on a full cerebral venous network is then provided.

**CONCLUSION:** This framework provides an efficient and versatile tool for the simulation of any MRI experience including physiological fluids with arbitrarily complex flow motion.

*Keywords:* MRI simulation, Bloch equations, angiography, complex flow, CFD, JEMRIS

---

*Preprint submitted to Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*

## Introduction

Since the initial work of Bittoun et al. [1], MRI simulation has proven to be an effective tool for numerous research fields. Design of new pulse sequences, testing of physical models on perfectly controlled experiments, generation of realistic ground truth data, development of new methods or even educational purposes are the main applications usually cited. However, the simulation of realistic experiments supposes to take into account the effect of physiological circulating fluids, considering that blood flow can induce numerous artifacts and lead to misinterpretation. Furthermore, including flow motion into an MRI simulation is a necessary condition to study the specific field of angiographic techniques such as time-of-flight, contrast-enhanced angiography or phase-contrast velocimetry. This can also be an efficient tool for exploration of perfusion with spin labeling techniques.

Based on these considerations, several approaches were developed to simulate specifically the whole physical process of MR angiography, coupled with Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) methods. Those techniques can be classified as Lagrangian, Eulerian and mixed approaches, depending on the way to express and solve Bloch equations. However, the most advanced and disseminated MRI simulators to date are widely specialized in static tissues simulation and generally do not include any specific option for flow modeling. This is the case, e.g., for SIMRI [2], ODIN [3], POSSUM [4] and JEMRIS [5]. ODIN is specialized in the simulation of diffusion phenomena, based on Bloch-Torrey equations, while POSSUM focuses especially on fMRI. Both POSSUM and JEMRIS can take into account spins displacement involved in rigid body motion. A more recent non-open source software, MRISIMUL, is the only exception which showed the ability to simulate laminar flow motion from analytical velocity expression, based on a Lagrangian approach [6]. However, no result was presented, to date, in the case of complex flow data from CFD in realistic vessels geometries.

Thus, we aimed to develop a versatile framework that can deal with any pulse sequence and arbitrarily complex flow pattern. Our purpose was to evaluate the viability of implementing a description of flow in an existing open-source simulator, as an alternative way to the development of new dedicated algorithms. We aimed to show that it is an efficient way to provide a complete and easily reusable tool to the community, covering the general field of MR flow simulation.

## Theory

The approaches proposed to simulate flow motion can be classified into Lagrangian, Eulerian and mixed methods (note: in this context, the terms ‘‘isochromat’’, ‘‘spin’’ and ‘‘particle’’ are used as synonymous).

### *Lagrangian approach*

Lagrangian approach uses the same method to describe flowing particles and static tissues [7] [8] [9] [10]. To this end, the position of the isochromats is made variable:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(t) \Rightarrow B_z(\mathbf{r}, t) = B_0 + \mathbf{G}(t) \cdot \mathbf{r}(t) + \Delta B(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (1)$$

where  $B_z(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the value of the magnetic field along z axis,  $B_0$  is the main static field,  $\mathbf{G}(t)$  is the gradient field,  $\mathbf{r}(t)$  is the isochromat trajectory and  $\Delta B(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the field inhomogeneity due to off-resonance. The classical expression of Bloch equations is then solved individually for each isochromat along its trajectory:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dt} = \gamma \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}_0) \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}$  is the magnetization vector of the isochromat,  $\mathbf{M}_0$  is the steady state value of the magnetization,  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio,  $\mathbf{B}$  is the magnetic field and  $\mathbf{R}$  the relaxation matrix with  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxation times.

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/T_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/T_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/T_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

The Lagrangian approach is the most intuitive way to simulate flow motion, as it closely mimics the physical process of fluid circulation. Reproducing the physical motion of spins allows one to simulate diffusion of species with

different physical properties, e.g. to study contrast agent washout. Another significant advantage is the ease of solving of the Bloch equations, which are simple ordinary differential equations (ODE). It is thus possible to implement analytical time-discretized solutions in the algorithm, for most pulse sequences. With this method, the same process is applied to flowing particles and static tissues. Moreover, the independent treatment of each isochromat is well-suited for parallelization, by splitting and distributing the sample over multiple cores. As a counterpart, the main constraint is the need to determine the individual particles trajectories, which adds an additional step that can be computationally expensive.

### *Eulerian approach*

Eulerian approach is based on a formalism inspired from fluid mechanics. Magnetization is hence considered as a field depending on space and time  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . Bloch equations are modified by inserting in Eq. (2) the Eulerian expression of the material derivative:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{M}}{dt} = \frac{\partial\mathbf{M}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{M} \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}$  is the magnetization vector of the tissue and  $\mathbf{V}$  is the velocity of the flowing spins. The partial derivative equation (PDE) can then be solved on a mesh to get the value of the magnetization on a discrete collection of points over the sample [11] [12].

The main advantage is the possibility to couple MRI simulations with fluid mechanics computations, by solving both Bloch and Navier-Stokes equations on the same mesh. Therefore, there is no need to compute individual particles trajectories, as in the Lagrangian case. The main drawback is the complexity of PDE solving and its parallelization, which requires specific technical knowledge. Furthermore, in the previously cited studies, the simulation of misregistration artifacts need some specific process with this approach.

### *Mixed approaches*

All methods proposing an intermediate solution between Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions can be classified as “mixed” methods. For instance, some iterative approaches propose to calculate the value of magnetization in a collection of fixed points by separating Bloch evolution of the spin from fluid advection phenomena [13]. At each time step, Bloch equations are iterated on a fixed grid, and the value of magnetization is then translated to the neighboring points, proportionally to the local velocity. The main advantage of this physically intuitive method is to avoid the calculation of the whole particles trajectories. As in Lagrangian methods, the equations to solve are a simple ODE system. However, an additional step is required to consider the advection of magnetization due to spins motion.

## **Methods**

### *Implementation*

Conventional MRI simulators have been highly developed over the last decades. Therefore, implementing a description of flow motion into an existing software is an efficient way to get a complete tool for virtual angiography. From this point of view, JEMRIS is, to date, one of the most full-featured simulation platforms [5], based on a numerical solving of the Bloch equations with the Adams-Moulton linear multistep method, using the external library CVODE (<http://computation.llnl.gov/projects/sundials>). This allows for the reproduction of any pulse sequence with simultaneous time-varying gradients and complex RF waveforms, when no analytical solution is known (by contrast, most simulators using a time-discretized solution are based on the assumption of hard-pulse approximation and, sometimes, piecewise constant gradient fields). A comprehensive list of physical effects involved in the imaging process is also taken into account, such as off-resonance effects (including T2\*), non-uniform gradients, parallel receive and transmit and the effect of rigid body motion.

The choice to implement a Lagrangian description of the flow into this software was the most obvious in regard of the existing code and the most suitable for parallel computing. The extensible structure of C++ allowed us to add this feature without deep modification of the existing operating mode. Initially, the class “TrajectoryMotion” only allowed to provide a single trajectory for the whole sample. Therefore, a new specific class, “TrajectoryFlow”, was appended to the code. This new version enables to specify an individual trajectory for each flowing spin [14], it thus became possible to describe complex flow motion while maintaining the features inherited from the original software.

### *Simulation settings*

The description of the flow in terms of Lagrangian trajectories requires to fulfill some specific conditions for realistic simulations.

*Trajectories format.* The sample geometry and the flow model provided as input are completely described in an independent trajectories file. The user need to specify a discrete collection of positions over the pulse sequence for each isochromat. Intermediate positions are then determined by linear interpolation. No restriction is made on the time step interval of the trajectories, depending on the level of precision of the flow description.

*Continuous flow.* To reproduce a continuous flow of particles, the vessel must obviously be filled at the beginning of the pulse sequence and a continuous seeding of isochromats is required during the whole experience. However, in the case of periodic flow due to heartbeat, the same trajectories can be reused periodically to reduce the flow data volume. Moreover, in the case of sequences with long TR, one can also synchronize vessels filling with RF pulses, in order to reduce the amount of particles.

*Isochromats density.* To simulate MR signal with discretely distributed points, at least one isochromat per voxel is needed to get a consistent image of the sample. Moreover, it has been shown that at least two isochromats per voxel and direction are required to get an error lower than 3.5% on the calculated signal, and that three isochromats per voxel and direction are needed to get an error lower than 1.5% [15]. However, in the cases of spin echo simulations or spoiled pulse sequences, far more isochromats are necessary to prevent artificial refocusing, as will be discussed in the next paragraph.

For simulation of incompressible fluids, as well as for static tissues, the spatial interval between neighboring isochromats must incur few variations, to prevent depletion or accumulation of spins in a voxel. Therefore, the user must check that the trajectories provided as input will ensure an homogenous density of isochromats in the voxels, over space and time. A too much irregular distribution of spins can, indeed, lead to artificial signal peaks or blanks in some voxels, as the software does not intend to control the density of input flow trajectories and to correct potential inhomogeneities.

Besides, in the case of complex vessel geometries, it can be difficult to get a set of trajectories which preserve a uniform distribution of spins. Indeed, previous studies about particle tracking suggest that even with highly resolved CFD mesh and high particles density, a uniform distribution of spins at inlet never remains perfectly uniform by flowing throughout a complex geometry [16]. Increasing the density of particles can reduce the effect of local inhomogeneities but results, however, in higher execution times. Seeding the entry of the geometry with a constant spatial step is also a more efficient approach than with a constant time step, as a regular seeding over time leads to a depletion at the center of the vessel, in the regions of highest velocities. To this end, it was shown that a paraboloidal distribution of spins can be used, proportionally to the local velocity and to the time-seeding interval [16] (Fig. 1), in order to achieve an homogenous distribution of spins.

*Intravoxel dephasing.* Unrealistic results can appear while simulating phenomena based on intravoxel dephasing (such as gradients spoiling, T2\* relaxation, spin echo...), resulting from the discrete spatial location of the isochromats. Contrary to biological tissues with a continuous distribution of spins, a discrete distribution of isochromats can lead to constructive magnetization summation inside a voxel and to artificial spins refocusing [17]. Thus, a high number of isochromats is usually needed to accurately simulate spins dephasing arising from gradients or constant field inhomogeneities [18]. Some simulators workaround by estimating intravoxel dephasing from gradients magnitudes [19], controlling T2\* decay with a specific variable [2], calculating intravoxel magnetization gradients [3] or by nulling the transverse magnetization artificially when a spoiling gradient is applied [6] (but this occults all realistic spins refocusing from one TR to the next). In the present framework, increasing the number of particles per voxel is the way to circumvent the problem which, however, it results in higher execution times.

*Total number of isochromats.* For each acquisition with incompressible flow, the total number of dynamic isochromats  $N_{dyn}$  is given by:

$$N_{dyn} = n_{/voxel} \cdot (V_{geometry} + Q \cdot T_{sequence}) / V_{voxel} \quad (5)$$

where  $V_{geometry}$  indicates the inner volume of the vessels geometry,  $Q$  denotes the inflow rate,  $T_{sequence}$  is the total duration of the experience,  $V_{voxel}$  is the volume of the voxel and  $n_{/voxel}$  is the total number of isochromats per voxel, in the three spatial directions. Therefore, the number of isochromats needed increases as the cube of the spatial resolution.

*Dynamic spins storage.* In case of slice-selective excitation, the flowing spins can generally be disabled and stored in a static place when they are outside of the slice. However, the spins must not be disabled just after they left the slice, as they still emit a physical significant signal as long as they are in the RF coils detection area.

## Experiments

*Computer hardware.* JEMRIS proposes a parallel mode via MPI (Message Passing Interface). The present simulations were performed on a supercomputer, with a few hundred of CPUs for each experience. Computation time mainly depends on the number of isochromats and is conditioned by the performances of the numerical solver for the specified pulse sequence. Hence, there is no simple linear relation between computation time and pulse sequence duration, contrary to simulators based on analytical time-discretized solutions.

*Experimental flow phantom.* The experimental flow phantom is an hydrodynamic bench with a flexible tube inside and a rigid tube as return line, as shown on Fig. 2. The dimensions of the bench are 110 mm × 120 mm × 390 mm. The flexible tube has an inner diameter of 19 mm at rest and a thickness of 1.04 mm. The pipe wall reproduces the compliance of an artery [20] (0.32%/mmHg) and is immersed in static water to avoid deformations effects from gravity. The rigid return line has an inner diameter of 22 mm.

For the velocimetric experiences, Glycerol was injected in the flowing water to get a dynamic viscosity of  $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$  Pa.s, close to blood viscosity at 37°C and leading to laminar flow conditions. All experiences were carried out with steady flow and images were acquired on a 3T Siemens Magnetom Verio.

*Misregistration artifact.* A classical misregistration artifact was simulated on a vessel going through a 2D slice with an oblique angle [21]. Thus, blood excited during the slice selection continues its move and the final position is encoded during phase and readout gradients. Displacement in the readout direction is then directly proportional to the TE delay. For a straight tube with steady flow, normal to the slice and inclined by the angle  $\alpha$  in the readout direction, the apparent displacement  $\Delta x$  of a particle with constant velocity  $V_0$  is given by:

$$\Delta x = V_0.TE.sin(\alpha) \quad (6)$$

For this experience, the hydraulic flow rate was 114.8 mL/s in a tube of 20 mm in diameter, with non-laminar flow conditions, close to plug flow. The tube was inclined by 30.2° in the readout direction. Experimental FOV was 246 × 184.5 mm, 2D acquisition matrix was 576 × 432 (i.e., pixel size was 0.43 mm × 0.43 mm), slice thickness was 5 mm, TR was 66 ms, RF pulse angle was 15°, NEX was 32 and flow compensation mode was active.

For the simulation, a simplified model of plug flow was used, with uniform velocity  $V_0 = 366$  mm/s in a tube with an inner diameter of 20 mm, leading to a flow rate of 114.8 mL/s. The tube was inclined by 30.2° in the readout direction. The distance between neighboring isochromats was 1 mm in the direction of the flow and 0.39 mm in the radial direction, leading to a total of 3 808 000 particles. The sequence used was a classical spoiled gradient echo. The 2D acquisition matrix was 71 × 64, the pixel size was 0.78 mm × 0.78 mm and the slice thickness was 5 mm (thus, there were 2 isochromats per voxel and direction in the slice and 5 isochromats per voxel and direction normally to the slice). TR was set to 66 ms and NEX was set to 1.

*Time-of-flight sequence.* To simulate the main three angiographic techniques, the experimental flow phantom was first modeled with the appropriate trajectories, integrated from an analytical expression of the velocities. A steady laminar flow in straight tubes was considered, following Poiseuilles law. The flow rate was 15 mL/s and the internal diameter was set to 20 mm for the internal tube and 22 mm for the external one. The same flow data were then used as input to simulate TOF, PC and contrast-enhanced MRA sequences.

For the 2D TOF sequence, a short TR of 12 ms and TE of 8 ms were chosen, with a full flip angle of 90° in order to saturate the signal from the static spins and to favor the entry effect. The k-space matrix was 83 × 115, the

pixel size was  $1.56 \text{ mm} \times 1.56 \text{ mm}$  and the slice thickness was  $2.5 \text{ mm}$ . The inter-spin distance was set to  $0.3 \text{ mm}$  in the plane of the slice (leading to a density of 5 isochromats per voxel and direction) and  $1.5 \text{ mm}$  normally to the slice (leading to 1.5 isochromats per voxel in that direction). The  $T_1$  relaxation time of the dynamic spins was set to  $154 \text{ ms}$ , according to the experimental values measured for the water-glycerol mixture. The  $T_1$  for the static spins of the phantom (static water), was set to  $2885 \text{ ms}$ . A total of  $1354810$  spins was used for this simulation. For this and the subsequent angiographic simulations, rather than using spoiling sequences, which require a great number of isochromats per voxel, we set an artificially reduced value for the  $T_2$  relaxation time, to prevent spurious rephasing from one TR to the next. It was, in that case, an expedient to reduce computation time, considering that those experiments are mainly based on  $T_1$  relaxation time or phase value (and, moreover, simulation offers an infinite signal-to-noise ratio).

*Contrast agent injection.* Contrast agent injection can be simulated with the same flow data than previously, by reducing the  $T_1$  relaxation time of the dynamic particles. Thus, for this simulation,  $T_1$  was set to  $154 \text{ ms}$  for the flow and  $2885 \text{ ms}$  for the static water. A 2D  $T_1$ -weighted sequence was used with TR of  $5 \text{ ms}$ , TE of  $2 \text{ ms}$  and flip angle of  $45^\circ$ . The k-space matrix was  $83 \times 115$ , the pixel size was  $1.56 \text{ mm} \times 1.56 \text{ mm}$  and the slice thickness was  $5 \text{ mm}$  (thus, there were 5 isochromats per voxel and direction in the slice and 1.5 isochromats per voxel and direction normally to the slice).

*Phase contrast.* A 1-directional velocity encoding was performed on the flow going through the slice, by adding a trapezoidal bipolar gradient on a classical 2D gradient echo pulse sequence. Sequence was then run twice, with opposite bipolar gradient signs. The velocity map was then obtained by phase difference.

Simulations were compared to experimental phase contrast images acquired on the physical flow phantom under similar conditions. The experimental hydraulic flow rate was  $15 \text{ mL/s}$ , the matrix was  $512 \times 384$ , the pixel size was  $0.37 \text{ mm} \times 0.37 \text{ mm}$ , the slice thickness was  $0.1 \text{ mm}$ , TR was  $55.1 \text{ ms}$ , TE was  $8.3 \text{ ms}$ , RF pulse angle was  $15^\circ$ , NEX was 1 and Venc was  $200 \text{ mm/s}$ .

The simulated flow rate was  $15 \text{ mL/s}$  in both tubes, the 2D matrix was  $461 \times 333$ , the pixel size was  $0.39 \text{ mm} \times 0.39 \text{ mm}$  and the slice thickness was  $2.5 \text{ mm}$  (thus, there remained 1.5 isochromats per voxel and direction). The velocity encoding value Venc was  $200 \text{ mm/s}$ . TE was  $10 \text{ ms}$ , TR was  $16 \text{ ms}$  and RF pulse angle was  $15^\circ$ . A reduced TR was chosen compared to the experimental data, in order to reduce the number of isochromats and, consequently, the calculation time. The same way, a larger slice thickness was used in the simulation to get a sufficient number of dynamic particles in the slice during the whole acquisition, without increasing the density of isochromats per  $\text{mm}^3$ . A total of  $2069943$  isochromats was used. A random noise was added to the calculated signal to better match the experimental data.

*Cerebral venous network.* Previous simulations were performed with simple analytical flow models, in order to validate the efficiency of the framework. We then extended the simulations to more realistic applications of blood circulation in realistic vessels geometry. To this end, we modeled the 3D cerebral venous network with segmentation methods, performed on real MRA acquisitions [22]. Velocity data were then generated by solving Navier-Stokes equations on a 3D mesh with CFD methods [23]. The trajectories were finally computed with particle tracking methods on the resulting mesh. The geometry was initially filled with a random distribution of particles, and the entries were seeded uniformly during one TR. Steady flow conditions were considered, and the same trajectories were reused periodically at each TR for the particles seeded. A simulation of phase contrast MRA was then performed, with TE set to  $8 \text{ ms}$ , TR set to  $12 \text{ ms}$  and RF pulse angle set to  $15^\circ$ .

For the simulation of the full cerebral network, the acquisition was performed on a single volume including the whole geometry. The 2D k-space matrix of the sequence was  $128 \times 128$ , the pixel size was set to  $1.5 \text{ mm} \times 1.5 \text{ mm}$ , and the mean inter-isochromats distance was set to  $0.7 \text{ mm}$  (leading to a mean density of 2.1 isochromats per voxel and direction in the readout and phase directions). Velocity encoding along the three directions was performed with a simple four-points acquisition, and the maximum velocity Venc was set to  $150 \text{ mm/s}$  in each direction. The magnitude images of the network were then obtained by complex difference of the signal.

Detailed velocity maps of the left jugular vein were performed with 1-directional velocity encoding along the main field direction. The pixel size was set to  $0.5 \times 0.5 \text{ mm}$  and the mean inter-isochromats distance was  $0.25 \text{ mm}$  (2 isochromats per voxel and in-plane direction). For the whole vein coronal view, the 2D k-space matrix was  $128 \times 128$

and the Venc was 400 mm/s. For the transverse slices, the matrix was  $32 \times 32$ , the slice thickness was 5 mm and the Venc was 350 mm/s. The velocity maps were obtained by phase difference of the signal.

## Results

The results for the through-plane misregistration artifact are shown in Fig. 3, and compared with experimental data. As expected, flow displacement is clearly reproduced on the simulations, and Table 1 shows a good agreement for the displacement magnitude between theoretical and measured values, which first validates the efficiency of spins motion implementation.

Simulations of the flow phantom with the main three angiographic techniques are presented on Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. Those results were obtained with a reduced value of T2, to limit the number of isochromats usually required with spoiled sequences. First, Fig. 4 shows anatomical acquisitions simulated with TOF and contrast agent injection. As expected, only the signal inside both tubes is clearly contrasted on those images, compared with a classical gradient echo simulation. Then, Fig. 5 illustrates the possibility to carry out some velocimetric measurements on the flow, by comparing experimental and simulated phase contrast images of the flow phantom. The velocities measured are in good agreement with the values used as input, although a low number of isochromats per voxel was used for this experiment. Those results validate the ability of the framework to reproduce simple flow models.

Finally, the phase contrast simulation of blood circulation in the cerebral venous network is given on Fig. 6. The geometry of the 3D network is clearly reproduced on the sagittal view (b). A detailed mapping of the jugular vein is also obtained, for different views and locations (d–g), showing the inner velocity profiles of the vessels.

For each experiment, parallel processing allowed to deal with large samples containing high number of isochromats with reduced time consumption, as summarized in Table 2. Thus, the simulation of the full cerebral venous network took only 20 minutes with 150 CPUs. Computation times point out that the performances of the software highly depend on the total number of isochromats and on the performances of the numerical solver. In particular, the number of isochromats increases proportionally to the sequence duration, to the blood flow rate and to the cube of the spatial resolution. Besides, the performances of the solver are related to the pulse sequence duration and complexity, without simple linear relation with simulation time.

## Discussion and conclusions

An open-source and extensible work for high performance MRI simulation of any experiment including fluid particles was presented. This covers, *inter alia*, the field of angiographic acquisitions as well as the study of flow artifacts.

The Lagrangian approach is well-suited for parallel computing and does not require specific technical knowledge, which allows to carry out realistic simulations of large samples including both static tissues and arbitrarily complex flow motion. Contrast agent washout can be directly modeled, as the approach closely mimics the physical process of fluid circulation. Simulations can take as entry synthetic flow data from theoretical flow models as well as numerical flow data from CFD, for simulation of blood flow in realistic complex vessels geometry. This was successfully applied to the simulation of a full cerebral venous network, with reduced computation time. Results were presented for 2D acquisitions and steady flow conditions. Nevertheless, the framework can be applied to 3D or 4D acquisitions [24], and can also be extended to the case of pulsatile flow.

The main limitation of such approach is to be computationally expensive for the simulation of large samples, with high spatial resolution and long duration sequences. The high number of particles required to accurately simulate spoiling led us to artificially reduce the T2 relaxation time, which might oversimplify the simulations. The computational cost could, however, take advantage from massive parallelization to overcome this issue (migration to a GPU or multi-GPU mode would also be a way of improvement). The size of the flow data can also be optimized by periodically reusing the same trajectories from one TR.

Many results had been proposed previously for spins flow simulation, coupled with CFD data. Contrarily to others, the generality of our approach makes it less optimized for some specific experiments or pulse sequences (e.g. Eulerian approach is technically more complex, but can be less computationally expensive). However, choosing to extend a pre-existing advanced simulator allows to reproduce a very wide range of experiments with a single and



easy-to-use interface. Moreover, JEMRIS offers few limitations on the shape of the pulse sequences, including the field of selective and adiabatic excitations, and takes also into account the most common sources of artifacts. The C++ code is open-source and publicly available, which makes it easily reusable by the community. Combined with all the features of the original software, this is, in conclusion, an efficient and versatile tool for the simulation of any complex flow MR experience.

## Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to JEMRIS developers for providing this complete tool to the MRI community. The original code (available at <http://www.jemris.org/>) and the present extension are distributed under the GNU General Public License.

Parallel computing was performed with ROMEO HPC center, hosted by the University of Reims Champagne-Ardenne (<https://romeo.univ-reims.fr>).

Particle tracking was made in collaboration with Alexandre Ancel from IRMA at University of Strasbourg and Simon Garnotel from BioFlowImage at University Picardie Jules Verne.

Experimental images were acquired at the ICube imaging platform (University of Strasbourg, CNRS, ICube, FMTS), with support from Paulo Loureiro de Sousa and Jean-Paul Armspach.

This research was funded by the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* (VIVABRAIN project, Grant Agreement ANR-12-MONU-0010).

## References

- [1] J. Bittoun, J. Taquin, M. Sauzade, A computer algorithm for the simulation of any nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) imaging method, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 2 (2) (1984) 113–120.
- [2] H. Benoit-Cattin, G. Collewet, B. Belaroussi, H. Saint-Jalmes, C. Odet, The SIMRI project: A versatile and interactive MRI simulator, *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 173 (1) (2005) 97–115.
- [3] T. H. Jochimsen, A. Schafer, R. Bammer, M. E. Moseley, Efficient simulation of magnetic resonance imaging with Bloch-Torrey equations using intra-voxel magnetization gradients, *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 180 (1) (2006) 29–38.
- [4] I. Drobnyak, D. Gavaghan, E. Süli, J. Pitt-Francis, M. Jenkinson, Development of a functional magnetic resonance imaging simulator for modeling realistic rigid-body motion artifacts, *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 56 (2) (2006) 364–380.
- [5] T. Stoecker, K. Vahedipour, D. Pflugfelder, N. J. Shah, High-performance computing MRI simulations, *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 64 (1) (2010) 186–193.
- [6] C. Xanthis, I. Venetis, A. Aletras, High performance MRI simulations of motion on multi-GPU systems, *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 16 (1) (2014) 48.
- [7] K. L. Lee, D. J. Doorly, D. N. Firmin, Numerical simulations of phase contrast velocity mapping of complex flows in an anatomically realistic bypass graft geometry, *Medical Physics* 33 (7) (2006) 2621–2631.
- [8] I. Marshall, Computational simulations and experimental studies of 3D phase-contrast imaging of fluid flow in carotid bifurcation geometries, *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 31 (4) (2010) 928–934.
- [9] S. Petersson, P. Dyverfeldt, R. Gardhagen, M. Karlsson, T. Ebbers, Simulation of phase contrast MRI of turbulent flow, *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 64 (4) (2010) 1039–1046.
- [10] A. Klepaczko, P. Szczypiński, G. Dwojakowski, M. Strzelecki, A. Materka, Computer simulation of magnetic resonance angiography imaging: Model description and validation, *PLoS ONE* 9 (4) (2014) e93689.
- [11] S. Lorthois, J. Stroud-Rossman, S. Berger, L.-D. Jou, D. Saloner, Numerical simulation of magnetic resonance angiographies of an anatomically realistic stenotic carotid bifurcation, *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 33 (3) (2005) 270–283.
- [12] L. D. Jou, D. Saloner, A numerical study of magnetic resonance images of pulsatile flow in a two dimensional carotid bifurcation: A numerical study of MR images, *Medical Engineering & Physics* 20 (9) (1998) 643–652.
- [13] K. Jurczuk, M. Kretowski, J.-J. Bellanger, P.-A. Eliat, H. Saint-Jalmes, J. Bezy-Wendling, Computational modeling of MR flow imaging by the lattice Boltzmann method and Bloch equation, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 31 (7) (2013) 1163–1173.
- [14] A. Fortin, E. Durand, S. Salmon, Extension of an MRI simulator software for Phase Contrast angiography experiments, *Biomedical Simulation, ISBMS*, 8789 (2014) 150–154.
- [15] P. Shkarin, R. G. S. Spencer, Time domain simulation of Fourier imaging by summation of isochromats, *Imaging Systems and Technology* 8 (1997) 419–426.
- [16] M. Tambasco, D. A. Steinman, On assessing the quality of particle tracking through computational fluid dynamic models, *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* 124 (2) (2002) 166–175.
- [17] I. Marshall, Pulse sequences for steady-state saturation of flowing spins, *Journal of Magnetic Resonance* 133 (1) (1998) 13–20.
- [18] R. K.-S. Kwan, A. C. Evans, G. B. Pike, MRI simulation-based evaluation of image-processing and classification methods, *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 18 (11) (1999) 1085–1097.
- [19] D. A. Yoder, Y. Zhao, C. B. Paschal, J. M. Fitzpatrick, MRI simulator with object-specific field map calculations, *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 22 (3) (2004) 315–328.

- [20] M. Stevanov, J. Baruthio, B. Eclancher, Fabrication of elastomer arterial models with specified compliance, *Journal of Applied Physiology* 88 (4) (2000) 1291–1294.
- [21] T. C. Larson, W. M. Kelly, R. L. Ehman, F. W. Wehrli, Spatial misregistration of vascular flow during MR imaging of the CNS: Cause and clinical significance, *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 11 (5) (1990) 1041–1048.
- [22] O. Merveille, H. Talbot, L. Najman, N. Passat, Curvilinear structure analysis by ranking the orientation responses of path operators, *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* (2017)doi:10.1109/TPAMI.2017.2672972.
- [23] O. Miraucourt, S. Salmon, M. Szopos, M. Thiriet, Blood flow in the cerebral venous system: Modeling and simulation, *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering* 20 (5) (2016) 471–482.
- [24] P. Dyverfeldt, M. Bissell, A. J. Barker, et al., 4D flow cardiovascular magnetic resonance consensus statement, *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 17 (2015) 72.

TE (ms)	Theory (mm)	Simulation (mm)	Experiment (mm)
40	7.4	$7.0 \pm 0.8$	$7.3 \pm 0.9$
50	9.2	$9.4 \pm 0.8$	$9.0 \pm 0.9$
60	11	$10.9 \pm 0.8$	$11.1 \pm 0.9$

Table 1: Apparent displacement magnitude of the misregistration artifact in the readout direction for different TE values.

Simulation	Matrix lines	Isochromats	CPU	Calculation [min]	Calculation [CPU $\times$ ms / spin]
<b>Flow phantom</b>					
Misregistration	64	3 808 000	180	62	176
Time-of-flight	83	1 354 810	150	20	133
Contrast-enhanced	83	1 354 810	100	31	137
Phase contrast 1d	333	$2 \times 2\,069\,943$	300	330	1430
<b>Venous network</b>					
Vein (PC, 1d, coronal)	128	$2 \times 660\,488$	50	240	545
Vein (PC, 1d, transverse)	128	$2 \times 660\,488$	50	360	818
Full network (PC, 3d)	128	$4 \times 178\,173$	150	40	505

Table 2: Computation times for each simulation experience.




Figure 1: Paraboloidal seeding of the geometry, proportionally to the local velocity and to the time-seeding interval  $\Delta t$ , ensures an homogeneous density of particles at the center and at the edges of the vessel.




Figure 2: (a) Experimental flow phantom. The inner flexible tube is immersed in static water to avoid gravity effects. (b) Orientation of the slice for the angiographic experiences.




Figure 3: Misregistration artifact. Comparison between JEMRIS simulations and experimental images of displacement artifact in the readout direction, with oblique flow through the slice, for various TE delays. Simulation was made with a simplified model of plug flow to account for the non-laminar aspect of the experimental data.




Figure 4: Simulation of various angiographic acquisitions on a physical flow phantom. (a) Simple gradient echo acquisition with  $TR = 12$  ms,  $TE = 8$  ms,  $T2 = 50$  ms and RF angle set to  $15^\circ$ . The flow in both tubes is clearly contrasted due to entry effect. (b) Time-of-flight acquisition on the phantom with the same physical parameters as previously. (c) Simulation of contrast-enhanced angiography with  $T_1$ -weighted sequence. Gadolinium injection is simulated by reducing  $T_1$  value of the dynamic isochromats.




Figure 5: Phase contrast velocimetry on a physical flow phantom. (a) JEMRIS simulation based on a modelling of the phantom with synthetic flow data based on Poiseuille law. (b) Experimental image. At the center of both images, flexible tube with steady laminar flow, immersed in static water. On the left of the images, return line with steady laminar flow. The gradient of the Poiseuille law is visible in both tubes.




Figure 6: Simulation of phase contrast MRA on the cerebral venous network. (a) 3D modeling of the venous network from real MRA acquisitions. (b) Simulation of phase contrast, with non-selective 2D sequence and 3-directional velocity encoding. Sum of magnitude images, in sagittal view, obtained by complex difference of the signal. (c) Detail of the left jugular vein, coronal view. Velocity image obtained from phase difference. (d–g) Transverse slices of the jugular vein. Velocity image obtained by phase difference.