Aspectual Flexibility Increases with Agentivity and Concreteness

A Computational Classification Experiment on Polysemous Verbs

Ingrid Falk & Fabienne Martin



University of Stuttgart Germany

Project B5 @ SFB 732: Incremental Specification in Context—Institut für Linguistik/Romanistik

About

Infer (Vendlerian) aspectual classes for lexical readings of French verbs

based on features provided by the lexicon.

Method

Using annotated verbal readings from French lexicon LVF train classifiers [Hall et al., 2009] to automatically predict aspectual class of LVF verbal readings

- ► 1199 readings for 167 verbs in French lexicon LVF
- annotated with 8 fine-grained aspectual classes
- morpho-syntactic and semantic feature sets extracted from the LVF: syn/sem syntactic and semantic diagnostics agentivity of each reading
 - concreteness or abstractness of each reading

- Accuracy: Can the aspectual class be predicted by using lexical data? Features:
- Which features are the most important ones for classification?
- What is the impact of agentivity and concreteness?

▶ \approx 12 300 verbs, \approx 25 610 readings, \approx 15 readings/verb

Sample uses, semantic descriptions

elaborate morpho-syntactic and semantic description

Syntactic descriptions

id example	semantic decomposition	sem. primitive	sem. class	id schema	a encoded information
01 On élargit une route/ La route s'élargit.	r/d+qt large	(make) become	Transformation	01 A30	intransitive with adjunct, inanimate subject
02 Cette veste élargit Paul aux épaules.	d large	become	Transformation	T1308	transitive, human subject, inanimate direct object, instrumental adjunct
03 On élargit ses connaissances.	r/d large abs	(make) become, figurative	Transformation	P3008	reflexive, inanimate subject, instrumental adjunct
04 On élargit le débat à la polititque étrangère.	f.ire abs VRS	directed move, figurative	Enter/Leave	02 N1i	intransitive, animate subject, prep. phrase headed by $de(of)$
				A90	intransitive with adjunct, subject human or thing
				T3900	transitive, inanimate subject, object human or thing
			c /l · /	1	

Table: Sample readings for élargir/enlarge

The Annotation – 8 value telicity scale \rightarrow 3 value telicity scale

1 – STA	stative	<i>Cette veste élargit P aux épaules.</i> This jacket widens Paul's shoulders		
4 – ACT-ACC	variable telicity telic/atelic	<i>On élargit une route/La route s'élargit</i> They widen a road/The road widens	VARiable	
5 – W-ACC	weak accomplishment accomplishment/activity	<i>Pierre remplit le seau d'eau</i> Pierre is filling the bucket with water		
6 – S-ACC	strong accomplishment	rong accomplishment <i>Le chasseur tue un lapin</i> The hunter is killing a rabbit.		
7 – ACC-ACH	accomplishment/ achievement	blishment/ In corrige facilement une erreur. In error can be easily corrected.		
8 – ACH	achievements	ements On commence les travaux/à travailler. We start working.		

Features

syn/sem syntactic frames, argument structure, adjuncts, semantic decomposition, semantic class, derived nominalisations and adjectives, degree of polysemy.
agent animacy of subject, semantic decomposition, semantic classes positively or negatively relevant for agentivity, *-age* and *-eur* nominalisations.
abs literal vs. figurative meanings, components in the semantic decomposition, semantic classes (psych-verbs).

Distribution of 1199 annotated readings shows considerable aspectual variability:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1-3	4	5-8
S-STA	ACT-STA	S-ACT	ACT-ACC	W-ACC	S-ACC	ACC-ACH	S-ACH	ATE	VAR	TEL
182	67	175	195	172	227	29	152	424	195	580

58.55

48.37

65.80

48.37

64.97

48.37

	# sample feature	
syn/sem	28 subcategorisation of an indirect object	ct
agent	9 subject always inanimate	
abs	10 literal or figurative sense	

Results: over	rall classification p	performar	nce		Results: by class			
Feature reduction usefulness of f [Kohavi and J Evaluation: accura	n: use prediction perfor feature subsets obtain John, 1997] acy in 10-fold crossva	rmance of ned by forw lidation	naivebaye vard selectio	es to assess r on	relative	 Which features are the most helpful for identification of class? Feature maximisation metric (FMC): [Lamirel, 2013] Most helpful: syn/sem: Availability of canonical (non reflexive) passive, derivational family: 		
Algorithm	syn/sem agent abs a	agent-abs syn	/sem- <mark>agent</mark> syl	n/sem- <mark>abs</mark> syn/s	sem- <mark>agent</mark> -abs	non-availability of particular derivations (adjectives/nouns formed with		
trees.j48	63.55 56.00 58.13	56.55	63.00	63.72	62.47	narticular suffixes) category of the root transitivity		
lazy.kstar	67.56 55.88 55.38	57.38	67.47	65.56	65.39	particular sum cos, category of the root, transitivity.		

66.14

48.37

agent: at least one feature of agentivity, no-agentivity indicator

By class:

ATE: dobj=FALSE, passive=FALSE, subj-only=TRUE, literal=FALSE

Conclusions

bayes.naivebayes

rules.zeror

- We achieve an accurate classification of LVF verbal readings with respect to aspectual value based on features extracted from LVF.
- Lexical aspect varies along the dimensions of agentivity and abstractness/concreteness

64.55 **56.55** 56.71

48.37 48.37 48.37

- agentivity and/or abstractness/concreteness alone are not sufficient to determine aspectual value: syn/sem diagnostic features are decisive
 We gained further evidence for aspectual flexibility of predicates:
 more flexible under agentive than under non-agentive reading
 more flexible under concrete readings than under abstract ones
- ATE: dobj=FALSE, passive=FALSE, subj-only=TROE, interal=FALSE
 TEL: indobj=TRUE, non-agentive=FALSE
 VAR: der-ment=TRUE, is-derivation=TRUE, subj-inanim=TRUE,
 has-adj-deriv=TRUE, is-derivation=TRUE
 2 classes: has-derivation=FALSE, has-adj-deriv=TRUE, non-agentive=FALSE,
 - conc=FALSE, conc=TRUE, dobj-inanim=TRUE, reflexive=TRUE.

References

[Dubois and Dubois-Charlier, 1997] Dubois, J. and Dubois-Charlier, F. (1997). *Les Verbes français*.

[Hall et al., 2009] Hall, M., Frank, E., Holmes, G., Pfahringer, B., Reutemann, P., and Witten, I. H. (2009). The WEKA Data Mining Software: An Update.

[Kohavi and John, 1997] Kohavi, R. and John, G. H. (1997). Wrappers for Feature Subset Selection.

Lamirel, 2013] Lamirel, J.-C. (2013).

Enhancing Classification Accuracy with the Help of Feature Maximization Metric.