

# The Quantization of the Gravitational Charges At the Origin of the Gravitational Waves From a Binary Black Hole Merger (LIGO)

Stefan Mehedinteanu

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Stefan Mehedinteanu. The Quantization of the Gravitational Charges At the Origin of the Gravitational Waves From a Binary Black Hole Merger (LIGO). 2016. hal-01316349v3

### HAL Id: hal-01316349 https://hal.science/hal-01316349v3

Preprint submitted on 22 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## The Quantization of the Gravitational Charges At the Origin of the Gravitational Waves From a Binary Black Hole Merger (LIGO)

Stefan Mehedinteanu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> (retired CITON-Romania) Senior Principal Engineer Researcher; E-Mail: mehedintz@yahoo.com; s.mehedinteanu@yahoo.com, s.mehedinteanu@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The Micro-black-holes particles produced at the horizon entry by quantum fluctuation at Reheating stay at the base of the origin and evolution of Universe. These adjust theirs dimensions by photons radiation but kipping someone else, to become electrons, others leptons (quarks) and uncharged particles (neutrinos).

During a merging process of two Black Holes (BH) it results a disruptive part as a new BH that it could be supposed to contain inside the quantized gravitational charges from and which in the following these were released as gravitons that deform the spacetime till the LIGO site.

Since, the **first observation of gravitational waves** 1 February 2016, when the signal was named **GW150914**, and the event happened at the time

 $t = 1.4 billion_l y \approx 4.4 \times 10^{16} \Leftrightarrow 1.32 \times 10^{25} m$ , which is in range of earliest galaxies

formation ~150 million(  $(4.7 \times 10^{15} \, s)$  to 1 billion years  $(3.15 \times 10^{16} \, s \rightarrow 9.46 \times 10^{24} \, m)$ 

after the Big Bang, known as Reionization and 21 centimeter radiation epoch, for that we have considered the two primordial BHs as the nuclei of such galaxies and not originated from stars collapsing.

Only by using this model were obtained the parameters of gravitational wave which arrives at LIGO site.

#### 1. Introduction

Recently [1], it was the first direct detection of gravitational waves and the first observation of a frequency from 35 to 250 Hz with a peak gravitational-wave strain of  $1.0\times10^{-21}$  of binary black hole merger (BHmg) with  $3.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}M_{SUN}c^2\cong5.3\times10^{47}J$  radiated in gravitational waves.

Also in [1], the gravitational waves (GW) observations constrain the Compton wavelength of the graviton to be  $\lambda_g > 10^{16} [m]$ , which could be interpreted as a bound on

the graviton mass 
$$m_g < 1.2 \times 10^{-22} \ eV/c^2 \cong 2.15 \times 10^{-58} \ kg$$
, and  $\varepsilon_g = 1.9 \times 10^{-41} \ J$ ", or  $\hbar c/\lambda_g \cong 3 \times 10^{-42} \ J$ ". The event happened at the time

$$t = 1.4billion_ly \cong 4.4 \times 10^{16} \Leftrightarrow 1.32 \times 10^{25} m$$
.

During the final 20 milliseconds of the merger, the power of the radiated gravitational waves peaked at about  $3.6\times10^{49}$  watts -50 times greater than the combined power of all light radiated by all the stars in the observable universe.

In the following will be shown how that one obtain these parameters only if we adopt the gravity quantization in matter particles inside the black holes.

#### 2. The situation before BH formation-the quantization of gravity in matter particles

#### The electron

The average magnitude of the electric field inside the model electron is as from [2a], [4]:

$$\langle E \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{6\hbar c}{\pi \varepsilon_0 \lambda^4}}$$

, it results  $\langle E \rangle = 5.7 \times 10^{17} [N/C]$  , for electrons of  $\lambda_C = \hbar/m_e c \cong 3.7 \times 10^{-13} [m]$ 

#### The graviton

In [2a], [2b], we have introduced the concept of primordial Micro-black-holes ( $\mu BH$ ) which later decay into photons in  $t_p$  as the Hawking radiation where, the gravitational field is so strong that it causes the spontaneous production (absorbtion) of photon pairs (with black body energy distribution) and even of particle pairs.

Sure, only few free photons of photons dimension could remain embedded in ex-like-Micro-black-hole which adjusts its dimensions to electrons, other leptons, noncharged particles (neutrinos, Higgs) in order to equilibrate the gravitational charge  $\sqrt{G}m_P$  as it was explained following electron model ref. [4] .

Very early in 1960 L.Motz ref. [3a]; [3b] has elaborated a new theory of the structure of fundamental particles, which introduces gravitational field into the interior of particles such as electrons to account for their stability.

Thus,  $\sqrt{G}m_P$  is the gravitational charge defined by L. Motz as resulting from:

$$F_G = \frac{\sqrt{G}m_1\sqrt{G}m_2}{r^2}$$
, where it can say that the gravitational charge  $\sqrt{G}m_1$  is the source of

gravitational field  $\sqrt{G}m_1/r^2$  at the distance r, and that this field is coupled to the gravitational charge  $\sqrt{G}m_2$  at the position r (relative to the source of the field ) via the product of the field strength and the charge. L. Motz derived the quantization condition on gravitational charge in a similar manner by noting that moving particle with velocity  $\vec{V}$  and with gravitational charge  $\sqrt{G}m_p$  is coupled not only to the Newtonian gravitational fields of all other particles (the gravielectric field ) in the usual way, but also to the Coriolis inertial force of field  $2\vec{\omega}c/\sqrt{G}$  by means of the cross product  $(\sqrt{G}m_p\vec{v}/c)\times(2\vec{\omega}c/\sqrt{G})$ , see below the possible Motz's derivation (my guess), where  $\vec{\omega}$  is an appropriate angular velocity. This cross product term give rice to an angular momentum ( $L=rm_pv$ ;  $v=r\omega$ ) component in the motion of the particle which is of the order of  $L=2m_pr^2\omega$  and is parallel to the field  $\vec{\omega}$ .

Therefore, it is obtained the *quantization condition* of spin 2 (graviton) as:  $L=2m_Pr^2\omega=2\hbar$ .

Since it was interested in the quantization of fundamental gravitational charge, it is found a value for r and  $\omega$  that must be associated with such charge. To do this, L.Motz considered the Universe to two such charges in gravitational equilibrium and resolving

about each other in the first Bohr orbit. The radius of this orbit is just  $r = \hbar^2 / G m_P^3$ ,  $v \cong c$ ;  $m_1 = m_2 = m_P$ .

Such a coupling of the charge to gravimagnetic field  $\frac{L}{\sqrt{Gm_p}}$  is achieved,

$$\sqrt{G}m_P \frac{v}{c} \times \frac{2\varpi r^2}{\sqrt{G}}$$
, that becomes just the angular momentum which contains a *double*

moment:  $L = 2m_P r^2 \omega$ .

This will be so only if  $v = \omega r \cong c$  is of the order of c since the centrifugal force (being charge times field) is just  $(\sqrt{G}m)\cdot(2\omega r^2/\sqrt{G})$ . Introducing these two relationships into the above quantization equation, is obtained  $L = 2m_p c r = 2\hbar$ , or, substituting for r as Bohr orbit when the electron is held in a circular orbit by electrostatic attraction.

$$\begin{split} \frac{m_e v^2}{r} &= \frac{Z k_e e^2}{r^2} \quad ; \ k_e = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} = 4\pi G \, ; \ m = m_P \, , \\ mvr &= n\hbar \, , \ r = \frac{\hbar^2}{k_e e^2 m} \, , \ r = \frac{\hbar^2}{k_e e^2 m} = \frac{\hbar^2}{G m^2 m} \, ; \ \text{when} \ e \to \sqrt{G} m_P \, , \\ 2m_P c \, \frac{\hbar^2}{G m_P^3} &= \hbar \, , \ \text{or} \, \frac{G m_P^2}{c} = \hbar \end{split}$$

In the case of an electron, the centrifugal force of gravity charge is equal with the the Lorentz force of the magnetic flux as been induced by the solenoidal current of the apparent charge which arises from the electric field of the confined photons (as above):

$$F_G = F_{\gamma - condensate}$$

$$\frac{Gm_P^2}{r^2} = \langle E \rangle e \; ; \langle E \rangle = 5.7 \times 10^{17} [N/C]; \; \text{Or, } 0.15 \ge 0.09 [N].$$

If the square of quantum charge is, indeed  $\hbar c$ , we can account for the stable electrically charged particles such as electrons by balancing the explosive positive electro-static energy (as due of the confined photons) with the negative binding energy of the gravitationally charge.

It is clear that a gravitational charge of magnitude  $\hbar c$  will contribute negative gravitational binding energy of the order of  $mc^2$  if this charge is distributed over a region whose dimensions are equal to the Compton wave length of the particle.

#### 3. The spacetime inflation induced by the two merging BH

In our case, the resulting BH is supposed to contain inside these gravitational charges as a heritage of the collapsing star matter where these were embedded during matter creation. In the following during the merging process of two black-holes these are released as gravitons, the expression of the gravitational wave G-wave (as in case of photons and the light).

Therefore, it seems that only the merged part of the merged black hole is released as gravitons which wraps the space-time till today.

To estimate the horizon entry we use some derivations done in [2b]. In Inflation models [2a, 3b], the scale leaving the horizon at a given epoch is directly related to the number  $N(\varphi)$  of e-folds of slow-roll space-time inflation that occur after the epoch of horizon exit. Indeed, since H -the Hubble length is slowly varying, we

have  $d \ln k = d(\ln(aH)) \cong d \ln a = \frac{\dot{a}dt}{a} = Hdt$ . From the definition Eq. (38) of [2b] this gives  $d \ln k = -dN(\varphi)$  as of eq.(46) from [2], and therefore  $\ln(k_{end}/k) = N(\varphi)$ , or,  $k_{end} = ke^N[m]$  where  $k_{end}$  is the scale leaving the horizon at the end of slow-roll inflation, or usually  $k^{-1} << k_{end}^{-1}[m]$ , the correct equation being  $k = k_{end}e^N[m^{-1}]$ . When the wavelength  $(k^{-1}[m])$  is large compared to the Hubble length  $(H^{-1}[m])$ , the distance that G-wave can travel in a Hubble time becomes small compared to the wavelength, and hence all motion is very slow and the pattern is essentially frozen in, but it will not be the case, as in the following.

Since, the FLRW metric of the universe must be of the form  $ds^2 = a(t)^2 ds_3^2 - c^2 dt^2$ ; or where  $ds_3^2$  is a three-dimensional metric that must be one of (a) flat space, (b) a sphere of constant positive curvature or (c) a hyperbolic space with constant negative curvature, or

for small commoving time  $dt = \frac{1}{aHc}$ , we can consider the distance as  $L = ds \cong a = a_{end}$ .

Here, the Hubble constant is defined as

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}$$
$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^2}p + \Lambda c^2$$

**In Newtonian interpretation, t**he Friedmann equations are equivalent to this pair of equations:

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{2} = \frac{G\frac{4\pi a^3}{3}\rho}{a}$$

 $\rho [kg/m^3]$ ; energy density  $p = -\rho c^2$ 

If we divide with  $a^2$  we obtain for *outside* the object (BH, Universe, planets, stars etc.)

$$\frac{\dot{a}^{2}}{2a^{2}} = H^{2}[s^{-2}] = \frac{GM}{a^{3}} \Rightarrow \frac{GE}{c^{2}a^{3}} \to \frac{GE}{c^{4}a^{3}} = \frac{G}{c^{4}a^{3}} \cdot n_{g} \cdot \varepsilon_{g}[m^{-2}]; \quad (1)$$

, where: 
$$n_g = E/\varepsilon_g$$
;  $n_{at-merging} = \frac{n_g}{(\varepsilon_P/\varepsilon_g)}$ ;  $M = \frac{4\pi a^3}{3} \rho$ ;  $E = Mc^2$ ;  $M = n_{\mu BH} m_{\mu BH}$ ;

$$R = H^{-1}$$

Or, for *inside* of these objects:

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{2a^2} = \frac{G\frac{4\pi a^3}{3}\rho}{a^3} [s^{-2}] \to \frac{4\pi G\rho}{3c^2} = \frac{4\pi G\frac{M_U}{n_{at-merging}} \cdot l_C^3}{3c^2} [m^{-2}]$$
 (2)

During Universe evolution at *Electroweak epoch* or Reheating due of the quantum fluctuations [2a] a huge number of the micro-black holes as Planck particles  $n_P$  are generated,  $m_{\mu BH} = m_P$ ; the graviton energy being at horizon leave;

$$\begin{split} &\varepsilon_{g}=\hbar c/a_{end}=2\times 10^{-26}\,J \;\;\text{; when } a_{leave}=k_{leave}/H_{leave}=1,\; M_{U}=2.2\times 10^{53}\,kg\;;\\ &\lambda_{C}=\hbar c/m_{\mu BH}c^{2}\;;\; n_{\mu BH}\approx 1/H_{-1}^{3}\cdot V_{available}\cong n_{P}\cong 10^{60}\;\text{, or}\\ &n_{P}=E_{U}/\varepsilon_{P}=10^{70}\,J/10^{9}\,J\;\text{with}\,\varepsilon_{\mu BH}=10^{11}/a_{end-ee}=10^{11}\,GeV\to 17.4J\;;\; a_{end-ee}=0.92\;,\\ &\text{it results with } k_{leave}^{-1}=H_{leave}^{-1}=10^{-27}\,[m]\;\;\text{by iteration for }N=16.2\;;\; \text{in eq. (2)};\\ &k_{end}=9.2\times 10^{19}\,[m^{-1}]\;\;;\; H^{-1}=10^{-20}\,[m]\;;\; t=3.3\times 10^{-29}\,s\;;\; R=6.5\times 10^{-20}\,[m]\;;\\ &l_{C}=l_{P}=1.7\times 10^{-27}\,[m]\;;\; a_{EW}=0.92\;;\; \text{where }\; n_{g}=\frac{\varepsilon_{P}}{\varepsilon_{\sigma}}\cdot n_{P}\to 10^{96}\;\; \text{as a "fix" number of} \end{split}$$

gravitons escaped (an inverse process of a black-hole) from micro-blacks holes created in Universe as the Planck particles during theirs *totally decaying*, and which deforms the spacetime.

The number of gravitons that has been released following  $\mu BHs$  merging is only

$$n_{at-merging} = \frac{n_g}{\left(\varepsilon_{\mu BH}/10^{-26}\right)} = \frac{10^{96}}{\left(17.4/10^{-26}\right)} \cong 5.7 \times 10^{68}$$
, and these generate the above curvature radius of the object  $R$ .

The necessary volume is  $V_{necessary} = n_g \cdot \lambda_C^3 = 10^{-12} \, m^3$ , and the available volume being  $V_{available} = a_{end}^3 = 0.7 m^3$ 

To mention that only this data set match the model.

#### 4. The BH internal structure at the beginning of merging

Thus, the field for a gravity charge at time of quantum fluctuation (before BH) is as in section 3.

$$\varepsilon_{\rm g} = \varepsilon_{\rm g0} = \frac{GM_{\rm P}^2}{a_{\rm end\_0}} = \frac{\hbar c}{a_{\rm evHorizon\_0}} \cong 2 \times 10^{-26} [J]; \ a_{\rm EvHorizon\_0} = 1 \ {\rm is \ the \ scale \ factor \ at \ the \ }$$

origin as it results from the inflation model [2a].

With  $M \cong 3 \times M_{SUN} = 3 \times 1.98 \times 10^{30} \, kg$  as disruptive mass of the merged binary black-holes calculated by Numerical Relativity complex methods given in [1]; the energy being  $E_{mBH} = Mc^2 = 5.3 \times 10^{47} \, J$ , the Schwarzschild radius is  $r_{Sch} = 2GM/c^2 = 8.7 \times 10^3 \, [m]$ 

, and the mass of graviton resulting as  $m_{g0} = \frac{\varepsilon_{g0}}{c^2} = 10^{-43} [kg]$ , here, the Planck mass is  $M_B = 1.8 \times 10^{-8} \, kg$ .

#### 4.1. The $\mu BH$ merging at the base of BH's nuclei of galaxies production

An important contribution to further Universe expansion is given by the BH's nuclei of galaxies which result from another fraction (0.75) of the initially  $\mu BHs$  merging [2b].

Thus, with  $\varepsilon_{BH} = 10^{11} / a_{end\_BH} = 8.6 \times 10^{-10} \, GeV = 1.37 \times 10^{-19} \, J$ , and horizon-entry is when  $k_{end} = k_{leave} e^{-N}$ ;  $k_{end}^{-1} = 8.6 [m]$ ,  $a_{end\_BH} = 1.2 \times 10^{20}$ , from eq. (1)  $H_{end}^{-1} = 10^{21} [m]$ , and the curvature radius results  $R = 10^{21} [m]$ ,  $t_{end} = H_{end}^{-1} / c \cong R/c = 3.3 \times 10^{12} \, s$ , all these correspond with the CMBR time, with  $H_{leae}^{-1} = k_{laeve}^{-1} = H_{EW} = 10^{-20} [m]$  we found N = 48.2 to match the iterations cycle:

$$\begin{split} m_{g} \rightarrow \hbar \nu \rightarrow k_{B}T \rightarrow \varepsilon \rightarrow R \rightarrow H_{end}^{-1} \rightarrow a_{end} \rightarrow N. \\ T = 9 \times 10^{3}, \ \lambda_{C_{BH}} = 2.2 \times 10^{-7} [m], \ \upsilon = c/k_{end}^{-1} = 10^{8} \ Hz. \end{split}$$

The number of gravitons that has been released following  $\mu BHs$  merging is only

$$n_{at-merging} = \frac{n_g}{\left(\varepsilon_{\mu BH}/10^{-26}\right)} = \frac{10^{96}}{\left(1.37 \times 10^{-19}/10^{-26}\right)} \approx 7.3 \times 10^{88}$$
, and these generate the curvature radius of the object (Universe)  $R$ .

In other words the contribution to the space-time deformation is due of  $n_H^{dark} = 7.3 \times 10^{88} / 2n_P = 7.3 \times 10^{26}$  by two  $\mu BHs$  merging, where as above the number of quantum bubbles ( $\mu BHs$ ) being  $n_P = 10^{60}$ .

Thus, from the the overall balance the number of gravitons which are consumed to push the space time is  $:=7.3\times10^{26} \triangleleft n_P^g=10^{35}$ .

Therefore, it remain a lot of gravitons embedded in  $\mu BHs$ -BH in a new merging step towards *primordial BHs* of number  $10^{11}$  of masses  $10^{14} \div 10^{23} \, kg$ .

#### What is in fact the CMBR?

To note that the time of  $3.3\times10^{13}\,s\cong t_{CMBR}=1.13\times10^{13}\,s$ , the temperature  $\sim10^4\,K$ , the wave number of  $\sim8.57\,$ m (microwave) and the frequency of  $10^8\,Hz$ , all correspond to the situation at cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR) time [2b]. Since the all-sky map (pattern) of the CMB contains also voids, that represents in fact the radiation emitted during  $\mu BHs$  merging (clustering) into further galaxies nuclei, and the rest is due of matter as a thermal radiation generated at Recombination following of the photon decoupling.

#### 4.2. The formation of the disruptive BH due of the binary merging

The number of gravitons that has been released following  $\mu BHs$  merging into the primordial resulting disruptive BH of the BHs binary (here, we have considered these as the two primordial galaxy nuclei as in section 4.1, and not as resulting from some stars collapse as in previously version of this work!), as being only ~2% of the cumulative masses [1], or  $3\times M_{SUN}=5.3\times 10^{47}J\to n_g=5.3\times 10^{47}/10^{-26}\cong 5.3\times 10^{73}$ , , and with  $\varepsilon_{ngBH}=1.37\times 10^{-19}/a_{end\_ngBH}=5.6\times 10^{-12} GeV=9\times 10^{-22}J$ , the horizonentry is when  $k_{end}=k_{leave}e^{-N}$ ;  $k_{end}^{-1}=58[m]$ ,  $a_{end\_ngBH}=150$ , from eq. (1)

$$\begin{split} H_{end}^{-1} = &879 \ \text{Im} \ ] \cong r_{Sch} \ , \ \text{and the curvature } radius \ \text{results} \quad R = 900 \ \text{Im} \ ] \ , \\ t_{end} = & H_{end}^{-1} \ / c \cong R/c = 2.9 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{s} \ , \ \text{with} \quad H_{leae}^{-1} = k_{laeve}^{-1} = \lambda_{C\_BH} = 2.2 \times 10^{-7} \ [m] \ \text{we found} \\ N = & 19.4 \ \text{to match the iterations cycle:} \\ m_g \to & \hbar v \to k_B T \to \varepsilon \to R \to H_{end}^{-1} \to a_{end} \to N \ . \\ T = & 65 K \ , \ \lambda_{C\_mgBH} = 3.3 \times 10^{-5} \ [m] \ , \ \upsilon = c/k_{end}^{-1} = 5 \times 10^6 \, Hz \\ \text{or} \quad n_{at-merging} = & \frac{n_g}{\left(\varepsilon_{uBH} \ / 10^{-26}\right)} = \frac{5.3 \times 10^{73}}{\left(9 \times 10^{-22} \ / 10^{-26}\right)} \cong 5.9 \times 10^{68} \ . \end{split}$$

, with this value the eq. (1) gives the curvature radius R as being equally with Schwarzschild radius.

## 4.3. The gravitons release during binary BHs disruptive merging as a gravitational wave

Therefore, the new horizon leave as gravitational wave (GW) is just the new disruptive BH *escape* at its hypothetical Schwarzschild radius:  $a_{leave} = k_{leave}/H_{leave} = 1$ , or  $k_{leave}^{-1} = H_{leave}^{-1} = r_{Schw} = 8.7 \times 10^3 [m]$ .

Now, the new horizon-entry is when the wave length  $k_{end} = k_{leave}e^{-N}$ ;

 $k_{end}=6\times 10^{-8}[m^{-1}],\ k_{end}^{-1}=1.6\times 10^{7}[m];\ \text{and the scale arrives factor at}\quad a_{end}=k_{end}/H_{end},\ \text{the Hubble length with Compton length}\quad \lambda_{C-GW}=\hbar/m_{g\_GW}c=2.1\times 10^{13}[m];\ \text{with}\\ \lambda_{C-BH}=7.2\times 10^{-7}m\ \text{ as in section (4.1) with eq. (2) result}\ H_{end}^{-1}=6\times 10^{24}[m];\ \text{and}\\ a_{end\_GW}=6.3\times 10^{17},\ t_{end}=H_{end}^{-1}/c=3.35\times 10^{16}s\ ,\ \text{we found}\ N=7.5\ \text{to match the}\\ \text{iterations cycle:}\ m_g\to\hbar\nu\to k_BT\to\varepsilon\to R\to H_{end}^{-1}\to a_{end}\to N\ .$ 

The energy of the graviton becomes at LIGO with the above value at merging

$$\varepsilon_{g_{GW}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{gBH}}{a_{end\_GW}} = \frac{9 \times 10^{-22}}{6.3 \times 10^{17}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-39} [J] \rightarrow 8.9 \times 10^{-30} \, GeV \text{ at BHs merging, and the}$$

frequency is  $\upsilon=c/k_{end}^{-1}=18Hz$ , the mass is  $m_{g\_GW}=1.6\times10^{-56}\,kg$ ; the number of particles released as the gravitational wave (like the photons of the light wave) remains equally with  $n_g=M_{BH}/m_{g0}=5.3\times10^{73}$ , the total energy initially released is

 ${\rm E}_{\rm GW}=E_{\rm mBH}=\varepsilon_{\rm g}\cdot n_{\rm g}=M_{\rm BH}c^2=5.3\times 10^{47}[J]$ , and the curvature radius it results from eq.(1) with the integral (which the starts at the outside of the disrupted BH) graviton energy  $\varepsilon_{\rm g}=2\times 10^{-26}/a_{\rm end\_BH}\cong 10^{-26}J$ , where  $a_{\rm end\_BH}=1.7$  (when this is firstly born as from the above section (4.)), with  $n_{\rm g}$ , and with  $a_{\rm end}=a_{\rm end\_GW}$ , as been

 $R_{LIGO} = 7 \times 10^{24} [m]$ . To note, the dark particles viewed as pure gravity charges have near the same gravitational charge, see [2a].

The strain at LIGO site

Now, based on eq. (1) we can derive for the G-wave effect in the deformation (strain) of the space-time between the disruptive BH and LIGO site by using the gravitational

pressure due of gravity charges on the area of Schwarzschild radius  $r_{Schw}$  of BH, respectively with the values calculated in this section, we have:

$$\left(\frac{r_{Schw}}{R}\right)^2 = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{G \cdot \varepsilon_g \cdot n_g \cdot r_{Schw}^2}{c^4 \cdot a_{end-GW}^3} = 1.36 \times 10^{-42}$$

, where  $n_g \cdot \varepsilon_g = 5.3 \times 10^{47} J$  and  $n_g = 5.3 \times 10^{73}$ 

Separately, with the LIGO site curvature radius as

$$R_{LIGO} = 1.3 \times 10^9 Light\_years \times c = 1.25 \times 10^{25} m,$$

and the Schwarzschild radius

$$r_{Schw} = \frac{2G \cdot 3M_{SUN}}{c^2} = 8.7 \times 10^3 [m]$$
, we have

$$\frac{r_{Schw}^2}{R_{UCO}^2} = \frac{(8.7 \times 10^3)^2}{(1.25 \times 10^{25})^2} = 5 \times 10^{-43} \rightarrow r_{Schw}/R_{UGO} = 7.2 \times 10^{-22}$$

, so, in both cases at (LIGO laser site) the strain is around  $\theta = r_{Schw}/R \cong 1.1 \times 10^{-21}$ , that is near equally with the G-wave measured strain  $\cong 10^{-21}$  given in [1].

Therefore, the present numerically analysis proves the concept of gravity charges which escape from the BHs during disruptive merging, as the corpuscle part of the G-wave. Therefore, at LIGO is obtained not only the deformation of space-time, but also the graviton properties, the inner structure of BH as gravitational charge, and are verified the FLWR equations with these quantized masses. To mention that only the above datasets as being obtained by iterations its proves the model.

#### References

- 1. B. P. Abbott et al., Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, PRL 116, 061102 (2016) Selected for a Viewpoint in Physics PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS, 12 FEBRUARY 2016
- a)Stefan Mehedinteanu. What Is Gravity and How Is Embedded in Mater Particles. 2016. <hal-01316929v2>, b) Stefan Mehedinteanu. The Timeline of Universe Based On the Gravitons Release Due of the Merging of the Primordial Micro-black Holes. 2016. <hal-01316347v3</li>
- 3. a)L.Motz, The Quantization of Mass (or Gravitational Charge) http://www.gravityresearchfoundation.or ... 1/motz.pdf; b) L.Motz, A gravitational theory of the Mu Meson and leptons in general, http://www.gravityresearchfoundation.../1966/motz.pdf.
- 4. J.G. Williamson, M.B. van der Mark, Is the electron a photon with toroidal topology?, Annales de la Fondation Louis de Broglie, Volume 22, no.2, 133 (1997)
- 5. Stephen W. Hawking, Malcolm J. Perry and Andrew Strominger, Soft Hair on Black Holes, arXiv:1601.00921v1 [hep-th], 5 Jan 2016
- **6.** Stefan Mehedinteanu, A NEW MODEL FOR W,Z, HIGGS BOSONS MASSES CALCULATION AND VALIDATION TESTS BASED ON THE DUAL

## GINZBURG-LANDAU THEORY(revised-2015), <a href="http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stefan\_Mehedinteanu/publications">http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stefan\_Mehedinteanu/publications</a>