



HAL
open science

On a nonlinear critical elliptic equation with a perturbed Hardy potential

Hussein Cheikh Ali

► **To cite this version:**

Hussein Cheikh Ali. On a nonlinear critical elliptic equation with a perturbed Hardy potential. 2016.
hal-01316145v2

HAL Id: hal-01316145

<https://hal.science/hal-01316145v2>

Preprint submitted on 18 May 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

ON A NONLINEAR CRITICAL ELLIPTIC EQUATION WITH A PERTURBED HARDY POTENTIAL

HUSSEIN CHEIKH ALI

ABSTRACT. In this work, we use a minimisation argument to show the existence of a nontrivial solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2}u = u^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \geq 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, is a smooth domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, such that $0 \in \partial\Omega$. We let $a \in C^{0,\theta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\theta \in]0, 1[$ be a Hölder continuous function. In this note, we investigate the existence of weak solutions $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $u \not\equiv 0$, to the problem

$$(H) \begin{cases} -\Delta u - \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2}u = u^{2^*-1} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u \geq 0 & \text{a.e. in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where $2^* := \frac{2n}{n-2}$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ for the norm $u \mapsto \|\nabla u\|_2$. The exponent 2^* is critical from the viewpoint of Sobolev embeddings: $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\Omega)$ for all $1 \leq q \leq 2^*$, and the embedding is compact if $1 \leq q < 2^*$. Such type of equations has been investigated by many authors since the pioneer work of Brezis-Nirenberg [1] (which is the case $a(x) := \lambda|x|^2$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$). For the case of nontrivial Hardy potential, without claiming any exhaustivity, we refer to Jannelli [4], Ruiz-Willem [5] (both for the case $0 \in \Omega$), the recent survey by Ghoussoub-Robert [3] and the references therein.

Concerning terminology, we say that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution to (H) if

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2} u v dx = \int_{\Omega} u^{2^*-1} v dx \text{ for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

This definition makes sense because of the Sobolev embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ and the Hardy inequality

$$\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since $0 \in \partial\Omega$, this classical inequality is improved and one has

$$(1) \quad \gamma_H(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} \frac{v^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx$$

Date: April 18th, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B33 (35J20 35J60 46E35).

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, where

$$\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} < \gamma_H(\Omega) \leq \frac{n^2}{4}$$

is the best possible constant such that (1) holds. Note that when Ω is convex, or more generally when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / x_1 > 0\}$, then $\gamma_H(\Omega)$ achieves the maximal value $\frac{n^2}{4}$. We refer to Ghoussoub-Robert [2] for discussions and references about the value of $\gamma_H(\Omega)$.

In the sequel, we assume that the operator $-\Delta - \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2}$ is coercive, that is there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{a(x)v^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \geq c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \text{ for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

We investigate weak solutions to (H) as minima of the functional defined for $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$J_a^\Omega(u) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}.$$

We define

$$\mu_a(\Omega) := \inf \{ J_a^\Omega(u) \mid u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

In the following $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ for the norm $u \mapsto \|\nabla u\|_2$. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. Let $a \in C^{0,\theta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. We assume that $-\Delta - \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2}$ is coercive and that $\gamma := a(0) < \frac{n^2}{4}$. We assume that*

$$\mu_a(\Omega) < \frac{1}{K(n, \gamma)}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{K(n, \gamma)} := \inf_{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} (|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\gamma v^2}{|x|^2}) dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |v|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}}.$$

Then there exists $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ that is a weak solution of (H). Moreover, u can be achieved as a minimizer for $\mu_a(\Omega)$.

Remark 1. *For example, if $a(x) = \gamma$ and $\gamma < \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$ we have that $-\Delta - \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2}$ is coercive in \mathbb{R}^n . More generally, it is necessary and sufficient that $\gamma < \gamma_H(\Omega)$ or $\gamma_H(\Omega)$ is the Hardy-Sobolev constant.*

This note is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ be a minimizing sequence for $\mu_a(\Omega)$, that is $J_a^\Omega(u_k) = \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\|u_k\|_{2^*}^2 = 1 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and then

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 - \frac{a(x)u_k^2}{|x|^2} dx = \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Step 1: We claim that $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Since the operator is coercive, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_k|^2 - \frac{a(x)u_k^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx \geq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 dx$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We combine (2) and (3) to get

$$C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^2 dx \leq \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. This proves the claim. \square

As a consequence, up to the extraction of a subsequence, there exists $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\rightharpoonup u && \text{converges weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u_k &\rightarrow u && \text{converges strongly in } L^2(\Omega) \\ u_k &\rightarrow u && \text{converges a.e in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We define $v_k = u_k - u$, we use equation (2) and we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v_k + u)|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)(v_k + u)^2}{|x|^2} dx = \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v_k, \nabla u) dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k u}{|x|^2} dx = \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_k \rightharpoonup 0$ converges weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we get that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx = \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Step 2: We claim that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \right] = 0$$

where $a(0) = \gamma$.

Proof. Since $a \in C^{0,\theta}(\bar{\Omega})$ then there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|a(x) - a(0)| \leq c|x|^\theta \text{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{(a(x) - a(0))v_k^2}{|x|^2} \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|a(x) - a(0)|v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &\leq c \int_{\Omega} \frac{|x|^\theta v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We let $\delta > 0$ to be fixed later. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \right| &\leq c \int_{B(0,\delta)} \frac{|x|^\theta v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + c \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0,\delta)} \frac{|x|^\theta v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \\ &= I_1(k) + I_2(k) \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Concerning $I_1(k)$, we use the Hardy inequality (1) to get

$$I_1(k) = c \int_{B(0,\delta)} \frac{|x|^\theta v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \delta^\theta \int_{B(0,\delta)} \frac{v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \frac{c}{\gamma_H(\Omega)} \delta^\theta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, since $(v_k)_k$ is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, we get that

$$(6) \quad I_1(k) \leq c_1 \delta^\theta$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Concerning $I_2(k)$, we have that

$$I_2(k) = c \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0,\delta)} \frac{|x|^\theta v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq c_2 \delta^{\theta-2} \int_{\Omega \setminus B(0,\delta)} v_k^2 dx$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $v_k \rightarrow 0$ strongly in L^2 , we get that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_2(k) = 0.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a positive number. Since $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} c_1 \delta^\theta = 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $c_1 \delta^\theta < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and then (6) yields $I_1(k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it follows from (7) that there exists k_0 such that $I_2(k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $k > k_0$. Then,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

for all $k > k_0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx \right] = 0.$$

This proves the claim. \square

Step 3: We claim that $\|u\|_{2^*}^2 = 1$.

Proof. We have

$$(8) \quad \|u\|_{2^*}^2 \mu_a(\Omega) \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx.$$

It follows from Ghoussoub-Robert [[2], Proposition 4.3] that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{2^*}^2 \leq (K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v|^2 - \frac{\gamma v^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx + C_\epsilon \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. When $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $v_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, we then get that

$$(9) \quad \|v_k\|_{2^*}^2 \leq (K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon) \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v_k|^2 - \frac{\gamma v_k^2}{|x|^2} \right) dx + o(1).$$

We combine (4), (5), (8) and (9) and to get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx = \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx &= \mu_a(\Omega) - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \\
 \|u\|_{2^*}^2 \mu_a(\Omega) &\leq \mu_a(\Omega) - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \\
 (\|u\|_{2^*}^2 - 1)\mu_a(\Omega) &\leq - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \\
 (1 - \|u\|_{2^*}^2)\mu_a(\Omega) &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \\
 &\geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1) \\
 (10) \quad (1 - \|u\|_{2^*}^2)\mu_a(\Omega) &\geq \frac{1}{(K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon)} \|v_k\|_{2^*}^2 + o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, since $a(0) = \gamma$. It follows from Brezis-Lieb that

$$\|u_k\|_{2^*}^2 = \|v_k\|_{2^*}^2 + \|u\|_{2^*}^2 + o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $\|u_k\|_{2^*}^2 = 1$, we get

$$(11) \quad 1 = \|v_k\|_{2^*}^2 + \|u\|_{2^*}^2 + o(1).$$

Inequality (10) and (11) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \|u\|_{2^*}^2)\mu_a(\Omega) &\geq \frac{1}{(K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon)} (1 - \|u\|_{2^*}^2) \\
 (1 - \|u\|_{2^*}^2) \left(\mu_a(\Omega) - \frac{1}{(K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon)} \right) &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_a(\Omega) < \frac{1}{K(n, \gamma)}$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mu_a(\Omega) - \frac{1}{K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon} < 0$, and therefore

$$\|u\|_{2^*}^2 \geq 1.$$

Since $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ converges weakly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we have that $\|u\|_{2^*}^2 \leq \liminf \|u_k\|_{2^*}^2 = 1$. Therefore, we get that

$$\|u\|_{2^*}^2 = 1.$$

This proves the claim. \square

Step 4: We claim that u is a minimizer for $\mu_a(\Omega)$.

Proof. Equation (4) rewrites

$$(12) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx = \mu_a(\Omega) - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1).$$

Equation (5) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(0)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx + o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, combining (9) with (12), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx &\leq \mu_a(\Omega) - \frac{1}{K(n, \gamma) + \epsilon} \|v_k\|_{2^*}^2 + o(1) \\
 &\leq \mu_a(\Omega) + o(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, since $\|u\|_{2^*}^2 = 1$, we get

$$(13) \quad \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\|u\|_{2^*}^2} \leq \mu_a(\Omega).$$

Combining (13) with the definition of $\mu_a(\Omega)$, we get that

$$\mu_a(\Omega) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)u^2}{|x|^2} dx}{\|u\|_{2^*}^2}.$$

Therefore, u is a minimizer of $\mu_a(\Omega)$ and the claim is proved. \square

Step 5: We claim that, up to multiplying by a positive constant, u is a weak solution to (H).

Proof. Indeed, since u is a minimum for $\mu_a(\Omega)$, it is a critical point of J_a^Ω and then, using that $\|u\|_{2^*} = 1$, we may as well assume that $u \geq 0$ on Ω (otherwise we replace u by $|u|$ in the minimization process) and we get that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2} uv dx = \mu_a(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*-2} uv dx \text{ for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and u is a weak solution to equation

$$-\Delta u - \frac{a(x)}{|x|^2} u = \mu_a(\Omega) u^{2^*-1} \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega).$$

We define $u = k\tilde{u}$ where $k := \mu_a(\Omega)^{\frac{-1}{(2^*-2)}}$. As one checks, \tilde{u} is still a minimizer of J_a^Ω and \tilde{u} is a weak solution to (H). This proves the claim and Step 5. \square

Remark 2. It follows from the above analysis that $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Indeed, we use equation (4), the coercivity of the operator and that u is minimum to conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)v_k^2}{|x|^2} dx = o(1)$$

and then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx \leq o(1)$$

as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Since $v_k \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$, we get that $v_k \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Haïm Brézis and Louis Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), no. 4, 437–477.
- [2] Nassif Ghoussoub and Frédéric Robert, *On the Hardy-Schrödinger operator with a boundary singularity*, Submitted (2014).
- [3] ———, *Sobolev inequalities for the Hardy-Schrödinger operator: Extremals and critical dimensions*, Submitted (2015).
- [4] Enrico Jannelli, *The Role played by Space Dimensions in Elliptic Critical Problems*, Jour. Diff. Eq. **156** (1999), 407426.
- [5] David Ruiz and Michel Willem, *Elliptic problems with critical exponents and Hardy potentials*, J. Differential Equations **190** (2003), no. 2, 524–538.

INSTITUT ÉLIE CARTAN, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, BP 70239, F-54506 VANDŒUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE. DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES, CAMPUS DE LA PLAINE CP213, BD. DU TRIOMPHE, 1050 BRUXELLES, BELGIUM

E-mail address: houssein.cheikh-ali@univ-lorraine.fr, Houssein.Cheikh-Ali@ulb.ac.be