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## QUASI-NEWTON METHODS FOR GENERALIZED EOUATIONS
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#### Abstract

Newton's method is a well known and often applied technique for computing a zero of a nonlinear function. Situations arise in which it is undesirable to evaluate, at each iteration, the derivative appearing in the Newton iteration formula. In these cases, a technique of much modern interest is the quasi-Newton method, in which an approximation to the derivative is used in place of the derivative. By using the theory of generalized equations, quasiNewton methods are developed to solve problems arising in both mathematical programming and mathematical economics...

We premb two results concerning the convergence and convergence rate of quasi-Newton methods for generalized equations.

We preseins computational results of quasi-Newton methods applied to a nonlinear complementarity problem of Koiima.[11].
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## Significance and Explanation

Many practical problems in operations research and mathematical economics can be formulated as a system of equations and inequalities. A previous paper (Josephy [10]) developed an iterative procedure, Newton's method, for computing a solution to such a system. However, situations arise in which it is computationally unreasonable to evaluate the derivative appearing in the Newton iteration formula. In such cases, an approximation to the derivative which is easier to compute is used in place of the derivative. The iterative procedure with an approximation to the derivative is called a quasi-Newton method. This paper extends the results known about the convergence and rate of convergence of quasi-Newton methods for equations to the case of equations and inequalities which arise in the nonlinear programming problem and the economic equilibrium problem. The method is illustrated by solving a small practical problem involving equations and inequalities.

[^0]
## Norman H. Josephy

1. Introduction.

We recall the definition of a generalized equation. Further elaboration can be found in Robinson [13-17] and Josephy [10].

Let $C$ be a non-empty, convex, closed subset of $R^{n}$. The normal cone to $C$ at $x \in C$ is the set of outward pointing normals to $C$ at $x$. We have the following:

Definition 1. Let $C$ be a non-empty, closed, convex subset of $R^{n}$.
The normal cone to $C$ at $x$ is given by

$$
N_{C}(x):= \begin{cases}\{2 \mid\langle 2, k-x\rangle \leqq 0 \forall k \in C\} & \text { if } x \in C \\ \phi & \text { if } x \notin C\end{cases}
$$

We can now define a generalized equation.
Definition 2. Let $f: D \subset R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$.
Let $C$ be a non-empty, closed, convex subset of $R^{n}$.
A generalized equation is a set relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in f(x)+N_{C}(x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $x^{*}$ satisfies the generalized equation $0 \varepsilon f(x)+N_{C}(x)$ if and only if $x^{*}$ satisfies the relations

$$
x^{*} \in C
$$

and

$$
\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right), k-x^{*}\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \quad \text { for all } k \in C .
$$

[^1]Newton's method for solving a generalized equation $0 \in F(x)+N_{C}(x)$ involves the evaluation of $F^{\prime}$, the derivative of $F$, at each iterate $x_{n}$. (See Josephy [10]). In situations where this evaluation imposes an excessive computational burden, we consider replacing $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ with an approximation. A quasi-Newton method for solving $0 \in F(x)+N_{C}(x)$ replaces $F$ with an affine map $F\left(x_{n}\right)+B\left(\cdot-x_{n}\right)$ whose derivative $B$ is both an approximation to $F^{\prime}\left(X_{n}\right)$ in some sense and is computationally easier to evaluate. One class of approximations, the secant approximations, has been the subject of great interest to those solving systems of equations and related problems, such as non-linear least squares estimation, unconstrained and equality-constrained optimization, and, most recently, inequality-constrained optimization. A sample of the recent work on quasi-Newton methods can be found in Brodlie [2], Dennis and Moré [5], Gill and Murray [8], Tapia [18], and Han [9].

In this paper, we will extend to the generalized equation case two fundamental results on the convergence and rate of convergence of quasiNewton methods with secant approximations. Section 2 contains introductory material on quasi-Newton methods. We prove local convergence of quasi-Newton iterates to a solution of a generalized equation in Section 3, and prove in Section 4 Q-superlinear rate of convergence for the quasiNewton iterates. We conclude in Section 5 with the computational results of applying a quasi-Newton method to the generalized equation representing a nonlinear complementarity problem of Kojima [11].
2. Quasi-Newton Methods.

Newton's method for finding a zero of F proceeds by iteratively solving $0=F\left(x_{n}\right)+F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(x-x_{n}\right)$ for a solution $x_{n+1}$. A quasi-Newton method iteratively solves $0=F\left(x_{n}\right)+B_{n}\left(x-x_{n}\right)$, where $B_{n}$ approximates $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ in some sense. An approximation of much current interest is a generalization to maps on $R^{n}$ of the secant approximation of the derivative of a real-valued map on $R$. Let $f: R \rightarrow R$ have derivative $f$ '. Given two points in $R$, say $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$, the secant approximation to $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ is

$$
b:=\left(f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right) /\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) .
$$

Alternatively, the secant approximation $b$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)=b\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $F: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$, a secant approximation to $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ is any matrix $B$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{n+1}\right)-F\left(x_{n}\right)=B\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since equation (2) does not uniquely specify $B$, additional conditions can be imposed to guarantee desired properties of $B$, such as symmetry and positive definiteness. This approach to secant approximations is discussed in more detail in Dennis and Schnabel [6] . Stable numerical techniques for efficiently implementing these secant approximations are described in Gill, Golub, Murray and Saunders [7]. The price one pays for using an approximation for $F^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is the
loss of the quadratic rate of convergence to a local solution. However, iterates generated by secant approximations typically exhibit Q-superlinear convergence, provided appropriate conditions are satisfied. We will need the concepts of linear and Q-superlinear convergence, which we now define.

Definition 1. A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $x^{*}$ linearly (Q-superlinearly) if and only if for some a $\varepsilon(0,1)$ (for some sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ converging to zero),

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x *\right\| \leqq a\left\|x_{n}-x *\right\|
$$

$$
\left(\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leqq a_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x *\right\|\right)
$$

The Q-superlinear convergence of quasi-Newton iterates can be proven in the following fashion. In Theorem 1, we establish Inear convergence for iterates determined by any quasi-Newton method whose approximations $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ satisfy a certain norm inequality. The proof for the generalized equation case is modeled on the single-valued case given in Broyden, Dennis and More [1, Theorem 3.2]. We can then invoke the results appearing in Broyden, Dennis and More [1] which show that the traditional update formulas, such as the Broyden rank one, Powell symmetric Broyden rank two, and the DFP update of $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ (see Dennis and More [5] for further details) satisfy the required norm inequality. This establishes a linear convergence rate for the generalized equation case. Dennis and More [4, Theorem 3.4 and Section 4] show that

$$
\ell:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left(B_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)\right\| /\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0,
$$

whenever the sequence $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ is computed by one of the above mentioned update formulas and the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges linearly to $x^{*}$. They note, in a remark immediately following their proof of Theorem 3.4, that the limit $\ell=0$ is established independent of how the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is generated. In particular, their result remains valid when $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is the linearly convergent sequence of solutions to the quasi-Newton method applied to generalized equations rather than to single-valued equations. Thus, for the update formulas mentioned above, the limit $\ell=0$ is valid for the generalized equation case, since Theorem 1 of this paper establishes the linear convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Theorem 2 shows that $\ell=0$ suffices to establish Q-superlinear convergence of iterates $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ generated by quasi-Newton methods applied to generalized equations. We note that proofs of the two theorems appearing in this paper, when restricted to the single-valued equation case, have appeared in the literature (Dennis and More [5]). However, those proofs depend critically upon the fact that single-valued equations are being solved. Hence, proofs are given in this paper which are valid for the generalized equation case.

We conclude this section with a corollary to Theorem 2.4 of Robinson [16], and a lemma from Ortega and Rheinboldt [12].

Corollary 1. Let $C$ be a closed, convex, nonempty subset of $R^{n}$, and let $D$ be an open, convex, nonempty subset of $R^{n}$. Let $f: D \rightarrow R^{n}$ have Fréchet derivative $f^{\prime}$. Suppose the generalized equation $0 \varepsilon f(x)+N_{C}(x)$ has a strongly regular solution at $x^{*} \in D$, with associated Lipschitz constant d .

Then, for some positive constants $r, R, b$ and $e$, the following hold. Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $\bar{x} \in R^{n}$. Then $\bar{B}\left(x^{*}, r\right) \cap\left(f(\bar{x})+A \cdot(\cdot-\bar{x})+N_{C}\right)^{-1}$ restricted to $\bar{B}(0, R)$ is single-valued and Lipschitz continuous with modulus $d\left(1-d\left\|A-f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|\right)^{-1}$, whenever $\left\|\bar{x}-x^{*}\right\|<e$ and $\left\|A-f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|<b$.

Lemma 1. Let $D$ be an open, convex subset of $R^{n}$. Let $f: D \rightarrow R^{n}$ be continuously differentiable. Suppose that for some $k>0$, $\left\|f^{\prime}(u)-f^{\prime}(v)\right\| \leqq k\|u-v\|$ whenever $u, v \varepsilon D$. Then $\left\|f(u)-L f_{v}(u)\right\| \leqq$ $\frac{1}{2} k\|u-v\|^{2}$ whenever $u, v \in D$, where $L f(u):=f(v)+f^{\prime}(v)(u-v)$. The definition and properties of strong regularity of a solution to a generalized equation can be found in Robinson [16]. The use of strong regularity in establishing convergence properties of Newton's method for generalized equations can be found in Josephy [10].

## 3. Local Convergence.

We begin with a definition.
Definition 2. (Dennis and Moré [5]).
Let $D$ be an open, nonempty convex subset of $R^{n}$, and let $P$ denote a class of $n \times n$ matrices. Then an update function $U$ is a map from $D \times P$ to subsets of $P$. An update function can be used to define a set of approximations to the derivative of $F$ at $x_{n+1}$. In the typical situation, the present iterate $x_{n}$ and the present approximation $B_{n}$ will determine the new iterate $x_{n+1}$. Both iterates $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$ are used to determine the next approximation $B_{n+1}$. This procedure, by which $B_{n+1}$ is determined from $x_{n}$ and $B_{n}$, can be represented by $B_{n+1} \varepsilon U\left(x_{n}, B_{n}\right)$, where $U$ is the appropriately defined update function.

We now state and prove the local convergence theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $D$ be an open, nonempty convex subset of $R^{n}$, and let $C$ be a closed, nonempty convex subset of $R^{n}$. Let $F: D \rightarrow R^{n}$ have a Lipschitz continuous derivative $F^{\prime}$ with Lipschitz constant K. Suppose $x^{*} \in D$ is a strongly regular solution of the generalized equation $0 \in F(x)+N_{C}(x)$ with associate Lipschitz constant d. Let $W$ be an open neighborhood of $F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)$ in the space of linear maps from
$R^{n}$ to $R^{n}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{M}$ denote a matrix norm and let $a>0$ be such that $\|\cdot\| \leqq a\|\cdot\|_{M}$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a matrix norm subordinate to the given vector norm on $R^{n}$. Suppose that two positive constants, $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, exist such that the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{B}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M} & \leqq\left(1+a_{1} \cdot \max \left\{\left\|\bar{x}-x^{*}\right\|,\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|\right\}\right)\left\|B-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M} \\
& +a_{2} \cdot \max \left\{\left\|\bar{x}-x^{*}\right\|,\left\|x-x^{*}\right\|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds whenever the following conditions are valid:
$(x, B) \varepsilon D \times W, \bar{B} \varepsilon U(x, B)$, where $U$ is an update function, and $\bar{x}$, the vector closest to $x$ in the set

$$
\left(F(x)+B(\cdot-x)+N_{C}\right)^{-1}(0), \text { exists. }
$$

Let $b, e, r$ and $R$ be the positive constants associated with the strongly regular solution $\mathrm{x}^{*}$, as given in Corollary 1 . Fix $p$ positive and less than 1. Suppose the following relations hold, with $e$ and $b$ reduced, if necessary, from those guaranteed by Corollary 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|B_{0}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}<\frac{1}{2} b a^{-1} \text { and }\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\|<e . \\
& \left(\frac{3}{2} K e+b\right) e<R \quad \text { and } \quad d(1-d b)^{-1}\left(\frac{3}{2} K e+b\right)<p . \\
& 2 a\left(a^{-1} b a_{1}+a_{2}\right) e(1-p)^{-1}<b \text { and } \bar{B}\left(x^{*}, e\right) \subset D .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then a sequence of iterates $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and a sequence of matrices $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ exist and satisfy the following relations, for all $n \geqq 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \varepsilon F\left(x_{n}\right)+B_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)+N_{C}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& B_{n+1} \varepsilon U\left(x_{n}, B_{n}\right) \\
& \left\|B_{n}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}<b a^{-1} \\
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leqq p\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We will use the following notation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}:=\bar{B}\left(x^{*}, r\right) \cap\left(F\left(x^{*}\right)+B_{n}\left(\cdot-x^{*}\right)+N_{C}\right)^{-1} . \\
& T_{n}:=\bar{B}\left(x^{*}, r\right) \cap\left(F\left(x_{n}\right)+B_{n}\left(\cdot-x_{n}\right)+N_{C}\right)^{-1} . \\
& J_{n}:=F\left(x^{*}\right)-F\left(x_{n}\right)-B_{n}\left(x^{*}-x_{n}\right) . \\
& d:=d(1-d b)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By hypothesis, $\left\|\mathrm{B}_{0}-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}<\frac{1}{2} b a^{-1}$ and $\left\|x_{0}-\mathrm{x}^{\star}\right\|<\mathrm{e}$. Thus, $\left\|\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}^{*}\right)\right\|<\mathrm{b}$. By Corollary $1, \mathrm{~T}_{0}$ restricted to $\overline{\mathrm{B}}(0, \mathrm{R})$ is single-valued and Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\mathrm{d}\left(1-\mathrm{d}\left\|\mathrm{B}_{0}-\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\star}\right)\right\|\right)^{-1}$, which is less than d . Similarly, $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ restricted to $\overline{\mathrm{B}}(0, \mathrm{R})$ is single-valued and Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\bar{d}$, and $S_{0}(0)=x^{*}$. Define $x_{1}:=T_{0}(0)$. In order to estimate the distance from $x_{1}$ to $x^{*}$, we need to estimate the norm of $\mathrm{J}_{0}$. It follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{0}: & =F\left(x^{*}\right)-F\left(x_{0}\right)-B_{0}\left(x^{*}-x_{0}\right) \\
& =F\left(x^{*}\right)-L F_{x_{0}}\left(x^{*}\right)+\left(F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)-B_{0}\right)\left(x^{*}-x_{0}\right)+ \\
& +F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x^{*}-x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that the norm of $\mathrm{J}_{0}$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J_{0}\right\| & \leqq\left\|F\left(x^{*}\right)-L F_{x_{0}}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|+\left\|F{ }^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)-B_{0}\right\| \cdot\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|+ \\
& +\left\|F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \cdot\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\| \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{2} K\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|+K\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqq\left(\frac{3}{2} K e+b\right)\left\|x^{*}-x_{0}\right\|<R .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $T_{0}, x_{1} \in \bar{B}\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \varepsilon F\left(x_{0}\right)+B_{0}\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)+N_{C}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =F\left(x^{*}\right)+B_{0}\left(x_{1}-x^{*}\right)-J_{0}+N_{C}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $J_{0} \in F\left(x^{*}\right)+B_{0}\left(x_{1}-x^{*}\right)+N_{C}\left(x_{1}\right)$, so that $x_{1} \in S_{0}\left(J_{0}\right)$. But
$\left\|J_{0}\right\|<R$, implying $S_{0}\left(J_{0}\right)$ is a singleton and $x_{1}=S_{0}\left(J_{0}\right)$. We can now estimate the distance between $x_{1}$ and $x^{*}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{1}-x^{\star}\right\| & =\left\|S_{0}\left(J_{0}\right)-S_{0}(0)\right\| \leqq d\left\|J_{0}\right\| \\
& \leqq d\left(\frac{3}{2} K e+b\right)\left\|x^{\star}-x_{0}\right\|<p\left\|x_{0}-x^{\star}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

We now proceed by induction. We will show that for all $k \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|B_{k}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}<b a^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leqq p\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\| \leqq p^{k}\left\|x_{0}-x^{*}\right\| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have already established (3) and (4) when $k=0$. We thus assume that (3) and (4) hold for all $k \leqq m-1$, where $m=1$, and will establish
(3) and (4) for $k=m$. We first note that $p<1$ and (4) imply

$$
m_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|\right\}=\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|
$$

for all $k \leqq m-1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{k+1}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M} & -\left\|B_{k}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{\star}\right)\right\|_{M} \leqq a_{1} m_{k}\left\|B_{k}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{\star}\right)\right\|_{M}+a_{2} m_{k} \\
& \leqq a_{1} b a^{-1} p^{k}\left\|x_{0}-x^{\star}\right\|+a_{2} p^{k}\left\|x_{0}-x^{\star}\right\| \\
& \leqq\left(a_{1} b a^{-1}+a_{2}\right) p^{k} e .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $k$ between 1 and $m-1$ yields

$$
\left\|B_{m}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M} \leqq\left\|_{0}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}+\left(a_{1} b a^{-1}+a_{2}\right)(1-p)^{-1} e
$$

By hypothesis, $\left\|B_{0}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}<\frac{1}{2} b a^{-1}$ and $\left(a_{1} b^{-1}+a_{2}\right)(1-p)^{-1} e<\frac{1}{2} b a^{-1}$, hence $\left\|B_{m}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|_{M}<b a^{-1}$. Thus (3) is established. To prove (4), we note that $\left\|x_{m}-x^{\star}\right\| \leqq p^{m}\left\|x_{0}-x^{\star}\right\| \leqq p^{m} e<e$. We can now apply Corollary 1 to $T_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{m}}$ and conclude that, when restricted to $\bar{B}(0, R)$, both are single-valued and Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $d\left(1-d\left\|B_{m}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{\star}\right)\right\|\right)^{-1}$. Let $x_{m+1}:=T_{m}(0)$ and note that $x^{*}=S_{m}(0)$. It remains to bound the distance between $x_{m+1}$ and $x^{*}$. We will first obtain a bound on the norm of $J_{m}$, and then use that bound to estimate $\| x_{m+1^{-x *} \|}$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{m}: & =F\left(x^{*}\right)-F\left(x_{m}\right)-B_{m}\left(x^{*}-x_{m}\right) \\
= & \left(F\left(x^{*}\right)-L F_{x_{m}}\left(x^{*}\right)\right)+\left(F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)-B_{m}\right)\left(x^{*}-x_{m}\right)+ \\
& +\left(F^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x^{*}-x_{m}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 1 to bound the first term, (3) to bound the second term, and Lipschitz continuity of $F$ ' to bound the third term, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|J_{m}\right\| & \leqq \frac{1}{2} K\left\|x_{m}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+b\left\|x_{m}-x^{\star}\right\|+K\left\|x^{*}-x_{m}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqq\left(\frac{3}{2} K e+b\right)\left\|x_{m}-x^{\star}\right\|<R .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition of $T_{m}, x_{m+1} \in \bar{B}\left(x^{*}, r\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \varepsilon F\left(x_{m}\right)+B_{m}\left(x_{m+1}-x_{m}\right)+N_{C}\left(x_{m+1}\right) \\
& =F\left(x^{*}\right)+B_{m}\left(x_{m+1}-x^{*}\right)-J_{m}+N_{C}\left(x_{m+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $x_{m+1} \in S_{m}\left(J_{m}\right)$ and $\left\|J_{m}\right\|<R$, from which we can conclude that $x_{m+1}=S_{m}\left(J_{m}\right)$. We finish the induction proof by noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{m+1}-x^{*}\right\| & =\left\|S_{m}\left(J_{m}\right)-S_{m}(0)\right\| \\
& <d\left(1-d\left\|B_{m}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|\right)^{-1}\left\|J_{m}\right\| \\
& \equiv d\left(\frac{3}{2} K e+b\right)\left\|x_{m}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& <p\left\|x_{m}-x^{*}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the induction and the proof of the theorem.
4. Q-Superlinear Convergence

The preceding theorem establishes local linear convergence of the quasi-Newton iterates $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to a strongly regular solution $x^{*}$ of the generalized equation, for any update function which satisfies the given norm inequality. The results of Broyden, Dennis and Moré [1] show that the traditional update functions satisfy this inequality. Dennis and llore [4] show that for the traditional update functions, the limit appearing in the next theorem is zero. Theorem 2 proves that this suffices for the quasi-Newton iterates to converge Q-superlinearly.

Theorem 2. Let $D$ be an open, nonempty convex subset of $R^{n}$, and let $C$ be a closed, nonempty convex subset of $R^{n}$. Let $F$ have a Lipschitz continuous derivative $F^{\prime}$ with Lipschitz constant K. Suppose that the generalized equation $0 \varepsilon F(x)+N_{C}(x)$ has a strongly regular solution $x^{*}$ with associated Lipschitz constant $d$. Let $\left\{B_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of $n \times n$ matrices. Assume that the set of norms $\left\{\left\|B_{k}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded. Let $x_{0} \in D$ and suppose that the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}, k \geqslant 0$ satisfies the relation $0 \in F\left(x_{k}\right)+B_{k}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)+N_{C}\left(x_{k+1}\right)$.

Also assume that $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges to $x^{*}$. Define $E_{k}:=B_{k}-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right), s_{k}:=x_{k+1}-x_{k}$, and $v_{k+1}:=-F\left(x_{k}\right)-B_{k} s_{k}$.

Then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|E_{k} s_{k}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|}=0$ implies that the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges

Q-superlinearly to $\mathrm{x}^{*}$.

Proof. By definition and some algebra, we have

$$
E_{k} s_{k}=F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right) s_{k}-\left(v_{k+1}+F\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right) .
$$

Letting $p_{k+1}:=F\left(x_{k+1}\right)+v_{k+1}$, we can solve for $p_{k+1}$ and take norms to obtain

$$
\frac{\left\|p_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|} \leqq \frac{\|\Delta F\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|}+\frac{\left\|E_{k} s_{k}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|},
$$

where $\Delta F:=F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-F\left(x_{k}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)$. But the Lipschit $z$ continuity of F ' implies (see Ortega and Rheinboldt [12] ) $\|\Delta F\| \leqq K \cdot \max \left\{\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\|\right\} \cdot\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\|$. Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|P_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|} \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} K \cdot \max \left\{\left\|x_{k+1}-x *\right\|,\left\|x_{k}-x *\right\|\right\} \\
+\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|E_{k} s_{k}\right\|}{\prod s_{k} \|} \\
\quad=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

To obtain the next result, we use a special case of the Implicit Function Theorem of Robinson ( 16 . Theorem 2.1). Specifically, we take as the function $f(p, x)$ in that theorem the function $-p+F(x)$. The conclusions of that theorem give us the following results. Fixing e $>0$, there exist neighborhoods $U_{e}$ of 0 and $W_{e}$ of $x^{*}$, and a singlevalued map $\bar{x}: U_{0} \rightarrow W_{e}$ such that $\bar{x}(p)$ is the unique solution in $W_{e}$
of the generalized equation $0 \varepsilon-p+F(x)+N_{C}(x)$. Also, for any $p, q \varepsilon U_{e},\|\bar{x}(p)-\bar{x}(q)\| \leqq(d+e)\|p+q\|$. We now show that this result can be applied to the generalized equation $0 \varepsilon F\left(x_{k}\right)+B_{k} s_{k}+$ $N_{C}\left(x_{k+1}\right)$, where $x_{k+1}$ is the unknown variable, to obtain a bound on $\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|$. Note that the assumptions that $\left\{\left\|B_{k}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded and $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges to $x^{*}$ imply the convergence of $\left\{v_{k+1}\right\}$ to $-F\left(x^{*}\right)$. Thus, $\left\{p_{k+1}\right\}$ converges to zero, and will be in $U_{e}$ for all sufficiently large $k$. Also, $\bar{x}(0)=x^{*}$. Thus, $x_{k+1} \varepsilon W_{e}$, $0 \varepsilon F\left(x_{k}\right)+B_{k} s_{k}+N_{C}\left(x_{k+1}\right)=F\left(x_{k+1}\right)-p_{k+1}+N_{C}\left(x_{k+1}\right) \quad$ and $p_{k+1} \in U_{e}$ for all sufficiently large $k$, which implies $\bar{x}\left(P_{k+1}\right)=x_{k+1}$ for all sufficiently large $k$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\|=\left\|x(0)-\bar{x}\left(p_{k+1}\right)\right\| \leqq(d+e)\left\|_{\|} p_{k+1}\right\| \text {, and } \\
& \left\|s_{k}\right\|:=\left\|x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right\| \leqq\left\|x_{k+1}-x^{*}\right\|+\left\|x_{k}-x^{*}\right\| \text { yield } \\
& \frac{\left\|p_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|} \geqslant(d+e)^{-1} \frac{\left\|x^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|} \geqq(d+e)^{-1} \frac{\left\|x^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|x^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\|+\left\|x^{*}-x_{k}\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining $\quad r_{k}:=\frac{\left\|x^{*}-x_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|x^{*}-x_{k}\right\|}$, we have

$$
\frac{\left\|p_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|} \geq(d+e)^{-1} \frac{r_{k}}{1+r_{k}}
$$

Hence $\quad 0=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|p_{k+1}\right\|}{\left\|s_{k}\right\|} \geqq(d+e)^{-1} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } \frac{r_{k}}{1+r_{k}}$, which implies $\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\lim } r_{k}$. Hence, $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges $Q$-superifnearly, as was to be shown. This completes the proof of the theorem.
5. Computational Results.

The following $4 \times 4$ nonlinear complementarity problem is given in Kojima [11].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x):=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}+3 x_{4}-6 \\
2 x_{1}^{2}+x_{1}+x_{2}^{2}+3 x_{3}+2 x_{4}-2 \\
3 x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{3}+3 x_{4}-1 \\
x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{3}+3 x_{4}-3
\end{array}\right] \geq 0, \\
& x:=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \geqq 0,\langle x, f(x)\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The unique solution is given as

$$
\dot{x}_{1}=\sqrt{6} / 2=1.2247449, \hat{x}_{2}=0, \hat{x}_{3}=0, \hat{x}_{4}=0.5
$$

The generalized equation representing this nonlinear complementarity problem is $0 \& f(x)+N_{4}(x)$. This generalized equation has the linearization at $\bar{x}$ given by

$$
0 r f(\bar{x})+f^{\prime}(\bar{x})(x-\bar{x})+N_{R_{+}}(x)
$$

A quasi-Newton method replaces $f^{\prime}(\bar{x})$ with an approximation $B$, resulting in the peneralized equation

$$
0 \in f(\bar{x})+B \cdot(x-\bar{x})+N_{R_{+}}^{4}(x)
$$

which corresponds to the linear complementarity problem

$$
x=0, f(\bar{x})-B \bar{x}+B x \geqslant 0,\langle x, f(\bar{x})-B \bar{x}+B x\rangle=0
$$

The update function used for this test case is Broyden's rank-one update, that is, given iterates $x_{n}$ and $x_{n+1}$, and current approximation $B_{n}$, the new approximation $B_{n+1}$ to $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)$ is given by

and $\quad y_{n}=f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)$.
We note that if $S_{n}=0$, then $x_{n+1}=x_{n}$. Since, by definition, $0 \varepsilon f\left(x_{n}\right)+B_{n}\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right)+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}^{4}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)$, replacing $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}$ by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ yields 0 e $f\left(x_{n}\right)+\mathbb{R}_{R^{4}}\left(x_{n}\right)$, so that $x_{n}$ is a solution to the original nonlinear complementarity problem if $S_{n}=0$, and the iterative procedure is terminated.

The results of applying Lemke's algorithm to the linear complementarity problems with Broyden rank one approximations to the derivative $f^{\prime}$ are given in Table 1.

Table 1 Kojima Example

Final f
1.
3.
5.

6
11
12

| Final x | Finalf |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(1.2247449,0,0, .49999993)$ | $\left(.2 .10^{-6}, 3.224745,5.0000002,-.9 .1 i^{i} ;\right.$ |
| $(1.2247449,0,0, .49999995)$ | $(0$, |
| $(1.2247449,0,0, .49999999)$ | $\left(-.2 .10^{-6}, 3.224745,4.9999998,-.9 .10^{-i}\right)$ |

Three starting points, with each component of $x$ initialized to the entry in column 1, all lead to a convergent sequence of iterates. The number of iterations is listed in column 2, with the final value of $x$ and $f(x)$ given in columns 3 and 4 , respectively. Each iteration consists of Lemke's algorithm applied to a $4 \times 4$ linear complementarity problem, each of which required two pivot operations of Lemke's algorithm to solve.
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