



**HAL**  
open science

# Smash Products of Calabi-Yau Algebras by Hopf Algebras

Patrick Le Meur

► **To cite this version:**

| Patrick Le Meur. Smash Products of Calabi-Yau Algebras by Hopf Algebras. 2016. hal-01314228v1

**HAL Id: hal-01314228**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01314228v1>**

Preprint submitted on 11 May 2016 (v1), last revised 14 Nov 2019 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# SMASH PRODUCTS OF CALABI-YAU ALGEBRAS BY HOPF ALGEBRAS

PATRICK LE MEUR

ABSTRACT. Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra and  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. The smash product  $A\#H$  is studied from the viewpoint of Calabi-Yau duality. When  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality it is proved that its antipode is invertible. When both  $A$  and  $H$  have Van den Bergh duality (or, are skew-Calabi-Yau) it is proved that  $A\#H$  has Van den Bergh duality (or, is skew-Calabi-Yau, respectively). In the case of skew-Calabi-Yau algebras, a Nakayama automorphism of  $A\#H$  is described and some sufficient conditions for  $A\#H$  to be Calabi-Yau are derived. These results are based on the study of the inverse dualising object of  $A\#H$  in the more general situation where  $A$  is a dg algebra.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. Basic definitions and conventions	5
1.1. Conventions on notation	5
1.2. The smash product $\Lambda = A\#H$	5
1.3. Duality conditions on dg algebras	5
2. Homological dualities for Hopf algebras	6
2.1. Winding automorphisms	6
2.2. The inverse dualising complex of a Hopf algebra	7
2.3. Invertibility of the antipode	8
2.4. On Van den Bergh duality of Hopf algebras	9
3. Equivariant modules	10
3.1. Algebras which modules are equivariant $A$ -bimodules	10
3.2. $A\#H$ -bimodules arising from equivariant $A$ -bimodules	11
3.3. Equivariant actions on duals over $A$	11
3.4. Tensor product of equivariant bimodules	12
3.5. On invertible $\Lambda$ -bimodules	13
4. The inverse dualising object of $A\#H$	14
4.1. Identities on the involved dg algebras	14
4.2. Useful (bi)module structures on morphism spaces	16
4.3. Preliminaries on homological algebra	18
4.4. Additional structure on the inverse dualising complex of $A$	20
4.5. An expression of an inverse dualising object of $\Lambda$	20
5. Application to constructions of Calabi-Yau algebras	22
5.1. Calabi-Yau completions	22
5.2. Deformed Calabi-Yau completions	23
6. Application to Van den Bergh duality	23
6.1. The inverse dualising bimodule	23
6.2. (Weak) homological determinants	24
6.3. Nakayama automorphisms of $A\#H$	25
6.4. When is $A\#H$ Calabi-Yau?	26

---

*Date:* May 11, 2016.

7. Applications to Artin-Schelter algebras	28
7.1. Useful module structures	28
7.2. A spectral sequence for the cohomology of augmented algebras	29
7.3. The Artin-Schelter property of $A\sharp H$	29
References	31

## INTRODUCTION

Since their introduction in [7], Calabi-Yau algebras have become increasingly important in noncommutative algebra, noncommutative geometry and representation theory. On the one hand, many of these algebras have been studied intensively before the notion was introduced in *loc.cit.*. This is the case of many the regular algebras of dimension 3 [3], note that the Calabi-Yau duality of some of these algebras has been considered many years ago from the point of view of algebraic deformations of unimodular Poisson structures (see [21] for instance). On the other hand, Calabi-Yau algebras are particularly useful to investigate Calabi-Yau triangulated categories. For example, skew-group algebras of polynomial algebras offer many examples of noncommutative resolutions of singularities when the corresponding singularity category is Calabi-Yau (see [1] and [5]), whereas the (Calabi-Yau) Ginzburg dg algebras are an essential tool to understand cluster categories and their generalisations (see [2] and [13]).

Let  $k$  be a field. A differential graded (dg) ( $k$ -)algebra  $A$  is called  $n$ -Calabi-Yau if it is homologically smooth (that is,  $A \in \text{per}(A^e)$ ) and  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)[n] \simeq A$  in the derived category  $\mathcal{D}(A^e)$  of  $A^e = A \otimes A^{\text{op}}$ . When  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra, this means that  $A$  admits a finite resolution by finitely generated projective  $A$ -bimodules and  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e)$  is isomorphic to  $A$  as an  $A$ -bimodule if  $i = n$  and is zero otherwise. One of the main features of Calabi-Yau  $k$ -algebras is the Poincaré duality  $\text{HH}_{n-\bullet}(A) \simeq \text{HH}^n(A)$  between the Hochschild (co)homology spaces (see [22] for a more general statement related to Van den Bergh duality).

In order to determine if  $A$  is Calabi-Yau, a description of the *inverse dualising object* ( $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$ ) is essential. When  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra it is therefore worth wondering whether it lies in larger classes of algebras than that of Calabi-Yau algebras (see Section 1.3):  $A$  may be Artin-Schelter Gorenstein, or Artin-Schelter regular, or  $A$  may have Van den Bergh duality (that is,  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  is invertible as an  $A$ -bimodule, instead of being isomorphic to  $A$ ), or it may be skew-Calabi-Yau (that is, there exists an algebra automorphism  $\sigma: A \rightarrow A$  such that  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  is isomorphic to  $A^\sigma$  as an  $A$ -bimodule). The latter class gives a more precise measure of how far  $A$  is from being Calabi-Yau: Such a  $\sigma$  is called a Nakayama automorphism for  $A$ ; And  $A$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if any Nakayama automorphism is inner. Here  ${}^\tau A^\sigma$ , where  $\tau, \sigma \in \text{Aut}_{k\text{-alg}}(A)$ , stands for the  $A$ -bimodule  $A$  twisted by  $\tau, \sigma$ , meaning that the  $A$ -bimodule structure is given by  $a \cdot x \cdot b = \tau(a)x\sigma(b)$ .

Note that many relevant algebras with Van den Bergh duality yet not Calabi-Yau are skew-Calabi-Yau. These have been studied in a systematic way ([18]) but also because they provide Calabi-Yau algebras after a suitable extension (see [9], or [20] for a more general framework).

The present text investigates the inverse dualising objects and the Nakayama automorphism for the smash products  $A\sharp H$  of dg algebras  $A$  by Hopf algebras  $H$ . It aims at giving tools to determine whether  $A\sharp H$  is Calabi-Yau or - when  $A$  is a

$k$ -algebra - is skew-Calabi-Yau, or has Van den Bergh duality. Recall that skew-group algebras of polynomial algebras and many of the Ore extensions of algebras are particular instances of  $A\sharp H$ .

The object  $A\sharp H$  has been considered by many authors from the point of view of Calabi-Yau duality or of one of the weaker dualities mentioned previously (see [6, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 23, 24] for instance). But the results obtained so far always depend on technical assumptions on the Hopf algebra  $H$  (like being a group algebra, or finite-dimensional, or semi-simple, or cocommutative) and sometimes also on the algebra  $A$  (like being graded connected, or  $p$ -Koszul) whereas the considered property of  $A\sharp H$  (skew-Calabi-Yau or Van den Bergh) seems to be part of a more general phenomenon. Therefore this text aims at giving results that do not depend on such technical assumptions on  $A$  or  $H$ .

Here is an overview of the main results proved in this text.

As explained in [4], many of the known examples of Hopf algebras with Van den Bergh duality have an invertible antipode. This property is convenient for computations. That is why most of the articles dealing with the Van den Bergh duality of  $A\sharp H$  assume that the antipode is invertible. The first main result of this text asserts that making this assumption is unnecessary.

**Proposition 1** (see 2.3). *Any Hopf algebra with Van den Bergh duality has an invertible antipode.*

Combining this with the main results of [4] yields the following theorem that connects precisely the various homological dualities of Hopf algebras considered in this text. Here  $\int_r$  is the right homological integral of  $H$  and  $\Xi_{\int_r}^r$  is the corresponding right winding automorphism of  $H$ .

**Theorem 1** (see [4], 2.4.2, 2.4.3, 2.4.4). *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra with antipode  $S$ . The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i)  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality,
- (ii)  $S$  is invertible,  $k_H \in \text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$ , and  $H$  has the right Artin-Schelter property,
- (iii)  $H$  is skew-Calabi-Yau (and admits  $S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{\int_r}^r$  as a Nakayama automorphism).

*In particular,  $H$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if  $k_H \in \text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$ ,  $S^2$  is an inner automorphism of  $H$  and  $H$  has the Artin-Schelter property with trivial right homological integral.*

As mentioned earlier, the core result of this text is the description of an inverse dualising object of  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  when  $A$  is an  $H$ -module dg algebra. This description makes use of  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodules considered first in [18] (see Section 3 for more details). In full generality, there exists an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule  $D$  such that  $D \simeq \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(A^e)$ . Using such a  $D$  it is possible to give a description of  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$ . It has the following simple formulation when  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality.

**Proposition 2** (see 4.5.2). *Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module dg algebra where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with Van den Bergh duality with dimension  $d$ . Assume that  $A$  is homologically smooth. Then  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \simeq D\sharp^\sigma H[d]$  where  $\sigma = S^2 \circ \Xi_{\int_e}^r$ .*

Here  $D\sharp^\sigma H$  is the dg  $\Lambda$ -bimodule associated with the  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule  $D$  and defined in [18] (see 3.2 for a reminder).

This result permits descriptions of a Nakayama automorphism of  $\Lambda$  when  $\Lambda$  is skew-Calabi-Yau. Note that when  $A$  is a noetherian graded and skew-Calabi-Yau algebra and  $H$  is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, [18, Theorem 0.2] shows that  $A\sharp H$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with Nakayama automorphism and

gives an expression of such an automorphism. This expression involves the homological determinant ( $\text{hdet}: H \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ ) of the action of  $H$  on  $A$  (in the sense of [12, 15]). In general  $\text{hdet}$  may not exist. Here, a *weak* homological determinant  $\text{whdet}: H \rightarrow A$  is used instead (see 6.2). Its definition is identical to that of homological determinants (that is, in terms of the action of  $H$  on a free generator of the rigid dualising bimodule of  $A$ ). But the weaker version is allowed to take its values in  $A$  (instead of in  $\mathbf{k}$ ), and hence always exists. It comes equipped with an algebra homomorphism  $\theta_{\text{whdet}}: H \rightarrow \Lambda$  defined by  $h \mapsto \text{whdet} \circ S^2(h_1)h_2$ ; This replaces  $\Xi_{\text{hdet}}^\ell$  when  $\text{hdet}$  is not properly defined. The following result extends [18, Theorem 0.2] to any skew-Calabi-Yau module algebra over any skew-Calabi-Yau Hopf algebra.

**Theorem 2** (see 6.1 and 6.3). *Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra.*

- (1) *If  $A$  and  $H$  have Van den Bergh duality with dimension  $n$  and  $d$ , respectively, then  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  has Van den Bergh duality with dimension  $n + d$ .*
- (2) *If, moreover,  $A$  and  $H$  are skew-Calabi-Yau, then so is  $\Lambda$  with a Nakayama automorphism  $\mu_\Lambda$  given by*

$$\mu_\Lambda(ah) = \mu_A(a)\theta_{\text{whdet}} \circ \mu_H(h)$$

*where  $\mu_A$  is the Nakayama automorphism of  $A$  and  $\text{whdet}: H \rightarrow A$  is the weak homological determinant associated to any generator of  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$ , and  $\mu_H = S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{\int_\ell}^r$ . If, moreover, the action of  $H$  on  $A$  as a homological determinant 6.2.3, then*

$$\mu_{A\sharp H} = \mu_{A\sharp}(\Xi_{\text{hdet}}^\ell \circ \mu_H) .$$

The last main result of this text gives sufficient conditions for  $\Lambda$  to be Artin-Schelter Gorenstein or Artin-Schelter regular when  $A$  is an augmented  $H$ -module algebra (such that the augmentation ideal is an  $H$ -submodule). Note that for each point of the theorem below, the hypotheses entail that the antipode of  $H$  is invertible.

**Theorem 3** (see 7.3.2, 7.3.5). *Let  $H$  be a noetherian Hopf algebra. Let  $A$  be a noetherian and augmented  $H$ -module algebra. Assume that  $A$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension  $n$ .*

- (1) *If  $\text{gl.dim. } A < \infty$  and  $H$  is Artin-Schelter regular with dimension  $d$ , then  $\Lambda$  is Artin-Schelter regular with dimension  $n + d$ .*
- (2) *If  $H$  is finite-dimensional then  $\Lambda$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension  $n$ .*
- (3) *If  $H$  is Artin-Schelter regular with dimension  $d$ , then  $A\sharp H$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension  $n + d$ .*

The text is therefore organised as follows. Section 1 recalls the definitions of the dualities (Van den Bergh, (skew-)Calabi-Yau, Artin-Schelter regular, Artin-Schelter Gorenstein) that are investigated in this text. Section 2 proves Proposition 1 and derives Theorem 1. Section 3 is devoted to  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodules ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). It recalls this notion and develops related tools that are used in the proofs of some of the main results. Section 4 gives a description of the inverse dualising object of  $\Lambda$  in terms of that of  $A$  and  $H$ . As a corollary it proves Proposition 2. Section 5 applies this result to the major constructions of Calabi-Yau dg algebras, namely Calabi-Yau completions and their deformations (in the sense of [14]). Section 6 concentrates on the case where  $A$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. It applies the main result of Section 4 to prove Theorem 2. As a corollary it gives sufficient conditions of when  $\Lambda$  is

Calabi-Yau using (weak) homological determinants. Finally Section 7 concentrates on the case where  $A$  is an augmented  $k$ -algebra. It proves Theorem 3.

Throughout the text  $H$  denotes a Hopf algebra and  $A$  denotes an  $H$ -module dg algebra.

## 1. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND CONVENTIONS

**1.1. Conventions on notation.** The tensor product over  $k$  is simply denoted by  $\otimes$ .

The antipode of  $H$  is denoted by  $S$ . The counit is denoted by  $\epsilon$ . The Sweedler notation  $h_1 \otimes h_2$  is used for the comultiplication of an element  $h \in H$ . Whenever a left (or right)  $H$ -module is given, the action of  $h \in H$  on an element  $x$  of that module is written as  $h \rightharpoonup x$  (or,  $x \leftarrow h$ , respectively).

If  $B$  is a dg algebra and  $X$  is a dg  $B$ -module, the suspension of  $X$  is denoted by  $X[1]$ . If  $Y$  is an additional dg  $B$ -module, then  $\text{Hom}_B(X, Y)$  denotes the complex of vector spaces such that

- for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , its component of degree  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the vector space of (homogeneous of degree zero) morphisms of graded  $B$ -modules  $X \rightarrow Y[n]$ ,
- if  $f \in \text{Hom}_B(X, Y)^n$  then the differential of  $f$  is  $d_Y \circ f - (-1)^n f \circ d_X : X \rightarrow Y[n+1]$ .

No difference is made between dg  $B$ -bimodules and left dg  $B^e$ -modules. For such dg  $B$ -bimodule  $M$ , the piece of notation  $b_1 m b_2$  therefore means  $(-1)^{\deg(m)\deg(b_2)}(b_1 \otimes b_2) \cdot m$  when  $m$  and  $b_2$  are homogeneous.

Most of the proofs consist of verifying that suitable (complexes of) vector space(s) have a (bi-)module structure over suitable (dg) algebras. The verifications related to the differentials are immediate and always omitted. Moreover, they include checking identities, namely the axioms of (dg) (bi)modules; There, the quantifiers are omitted unless they are absolutely necessary, for the sake of readability. In particular,  $h, k, \ell$  always denote elements of  $H$  whereas  $a, b$  denote elements of  $A$ . Aside from these conventions, lower case letters always denote elements belonging to a module denoted by the corresponding upper case letter ( $x \in X, y \in Y, m \in M, d \in D$ , etc.).

**1.2. The smash product  $\Lambda = A \# H$ .** Recall that “ $A$  is an  $H$ -module dg algebra” means that a morphism of complexes of vector spaces  $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$  is given (with  $H$  in degree 0). The image of an element  $h \otimes a$  under this morphism is denoted by  $h \rightharpoonup a$ . This morphism of complexes is supposed to satisfy the following identities in  $A$

$$\begin{cases} h \rightharpoonup 1 &= \epsilon(h) \\ h \rightharpoonup (ab) &= (h_1 \rightharpoonup a)(h_2 \rightharpoonup b) \\ (hk) \rightharpoonup a &= h \rightharpoonup (k \rightharpoonup a). \end{cases}$$

The dg algebra  $A \# H$  has  $A \otimes H$  as underlying complex of vector spaces. A typical tensor  $a \otimes h$  is written as  $ah$  for the sake of simplicity. The (associative) product of  $A \# H$  is given by

$$(ah) \times (bk) = (a \otimes h) \times (b \otimes k) = a(h_1 \rightharpoonup b) \otimes h_2 k = a(h_1 \rightharpoonup b)h_2 k.$$

**1.3. Duality conditions on dg algebras.** Let  $n$  be a natural integer.

The dg algebra  $A$  is called *homologically smooth* if  $A \in \text{per}(A^e)$  where  $\text{per}(A^e)$  denotes the smallest triangulated subcategory of  $\mathcal{D}(A^e)$  that contains  $A^e$  and is stable under taking direct summands. A  $k$ -algebra is homologically smooth if and only, when viewed as a bimodule over itself, if it has a finite resolution by finitely generated projective bimodules.

The dg algebra  $A$  is called  $(n-)$ Calabi-Yau when

$$(CY) \quad \begin{cases} A \text{ is homologically smooth and} \\ \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e) \simeq A[-n] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(A^e). \end{cases}$$

Note that  $A^e$  is a dg  $A^e$ -bimodule and that its structure of right dg  $A^e$ -module makes of  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  a dg  $A$ -bimodule.

Assume that  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra. It is said to have *Van den Bergh duality* (with dimension  $n$ ) when

$$(VdB) \quad \begin{cases} A \text{ is homologically smooth and} \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{invertible as an } A\text{-bimodule if } i = n \\ \text{zero otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

It is called *skew-Calabi-Yau* when

$$(\text{skew-CY}) \quad \begin{cases} A \text{ has Van den Bergh duality with dimension } n \text{ and} \\ \mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e) \simeq A^{\mu_A} \text{ in } \mathrm{mod}(A^e). \end{cases}$$

for some algebra automorphism  $\mu_A \in \mathrm{Aut}_{k\text{-alg}}(A)$  which is called a *Nakayama automorphism*. In particular,  $A$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if it is skew-Calabi-Yau and any Nakayama automorphism for  $A$  is inner (equivalently, the identity map of  $A$  is a Nakayama automorphism).

When  $A$  is moreover  $(\mathbb{N}-)$ graded connected, it is required in the definition that there exists an integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathrm{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e) \simeq A^{\mu_A}(\ell)$  in order  $A$  to be considered as skew-Calabi-Yau. Here  $-(\ell)$  denotes the degree shift of graded modules.

Assume that  $A$  is an augmented algebra. Then  $A$  is said to satisfy the *left Artin-Schelter condition* with dimension  $n$  if

$$(AS) \quad \dim \mathrm{Ext}_A^i({}_A k, A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The right Artin-Schelter condition is defined analogously using right  $A$ -modules instead of left  $A$ -modules. When  $A$  satisfies both the left and right Artin-Schelter condition then  $A$  is said to satisfy the Artin-Schelter condition.

The algebra  $A$  is called Artin-Schelter Gorenstein when it satisfies the Artin-Schelter condition and the injective dimensions of  ${}_A A$  and  $A_A$  are finite. It is called Artin-Schelter regular when, moreover  $\mathrm{gl.dim.}(A) < \infty$ .

## 2. HOMOLOGICAL DUALITIES FOR HOPF ALGEBRAS

The objective of this section is to prove that the antipode of  $H$  is invertible if  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality (2.3). This is based on a general description 2.2 of an inverse dualising complex of  $H$ . For this purpose a brief reminder on winding automorphisms is given in 2.1. In 2.4, some consequences regarding Calabi-Yau duality and Nakayama automorphisms are given.

**2.1. Winding automorphisms.** See for instance [4, 2.5] for more details. If  $H$  satisfies the Artin-Schelter condition with dimension  $d$ , then  $\mathrm{Ext}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}^d(k_H, H)$  is called the *right homological integral* of  $H$  and sometimes denoted by  $\int_r$ . There exists a unique algebra homomorphism  $\pi: H \rightarrow k$  such that the left  $H$ -module structure of  $\mathrm{Ext}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}^d(k_H, H)$  is given by  $h \rightarrow \alpha = \pi(h)\alpha$ . For simplicity  $\pi$  is also denoted by  $\int_r$ . It is called *trivial* if  $\int_r = \epsilon$  as maps  $H \rightarrow k$ . The *left homological integral*  $\int_\ell$  is defined analogously using  $\mathrm{Ext}_H({}_H k, H)$ .

Let  $\pi: H \rightarrow k$  be any algebra homomorphism. The following mapping

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi_\pi^r & H & \rightarrow H \\ & h & \mapsto h_1 \pi(h_2) \end{array}$$

is known to be an algebra automorphism. It commutes with  $S^2$  and is called the *right winding automorphism* of  $\pi$ . Its inverse is  $\Xi_{\pi \circ S}^r$ . As a consequence  $\pi \circ S^2 = \pi$ . If the antipode is invertible, then  $(\Xi_{\pi}^r)^{-1} = \Xi_{\pi \circ S^{-1}}$ . The *left winding automorphism* of  $\pi$  is denoted by  $\Xi_{\pi}^{\ell}$  and defined by  $h \mapsto \pi(h_1)h_2$ .

**2.2. The inverse dualising complex of a Hopf algebra.** The result below gives the relationship between  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e)$  and  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbf{k}_H, H)$ .

In [4, 4.5] this relationship is established between the corresponding cohomology spaces in the setting of noetherian Artin-Schelter Gorenstein Hopf algebras with invertible antipode (and using [8, Proposition p. 197]). Note that, in the present situation, the antipode of  $H$  is not assumed to be invertible, and hence there is not a natural structure of Hopf algebra on  $H^{\mathrm{op}}$ .

The relationship uses the following construction of  $H$ -bimodules associated with left  $H$ -modules. Given any left  $H$ -module  $N$  denote by  $N \uparrow^{H^e}$  the  $H$ -bimodule with underlying vector space  $H \otimes N$  and with action by  $H^e$  given by  $h(\ell \otimes n)k = S^2(h_1)\ell k \otimes (h_2 \leftarrow n)$ . Note that  $H \uparrow^{H^e} \simeq H^e$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$ ; More precisely, the mapping  $H \uparrow^{H^e} \rightarrow H^e$  defined by  $\ell \otimes n \mapsto n_2 \otimes S(n_1)\ell$  is an isomorphism of  $H$ -bimodules with inverse given by  $h \otimes k \mapsto S^2(h_1)k \otimes h_2$ . This defines an exact functor  $\mathrm{mod}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{mod}(H^e)$  preserving projectives. The resulting total derived functor  $\mathcal{D}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H^e)$  is also denoted by  $N \mapsto N \uparrow^{H^e}$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra. Assume that  $\mathbf{k}_H$  has a resolution in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^{\mathrm{op}})$  by finitely generated projective right  $H$ -modules. Then*

$$\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e) \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbf{k}_H, H) \uparrow^{H^e} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}((H^e)^{\mathrm{op}}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_H$  be a projective resolution in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^{\mathrm{op}})$  consisting of finitely generated projective modules. Hence  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(\mathbf{k}_H, H) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(H)$ . The stated isomorphism is proved in three steps. First by proving that a projective resolution  $\overline{P}$  of  $H$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$  can be deduced from  $P$ . Next by proving that  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(\overline{P}, H \otimes H)$  (with an adequate structure of right  $H$ -module on  $H \otimes H$ ). Finally by proving that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e}$ .

**Step 1** - Given  $X \in \mathrm{mod}(H^{\mathrm{op}})$  denote by  $\overline{X}$  the  $H$ -bimodule equal to  $H \otimes X$  as a vector space and with action of  $H^e$  given by  $h(\ell \otimes x)k = h\ell k_1 \otimes x \leftarrow k_2$ . Note that

- $\overline{H_H} \simeq H^e$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$ ; More precisely, the mapping  $\overline{H_H} \rightarrow H^e$  defined by  $\ell \otimes x \mapsto \ell S(x_1) \otimes x_2$  is an isomorphism of  $H$ -bimodules with inverse given by  $h \otimes k \mapsto h k_1 \otimes k_2$ ,
- $\overline{\mathbf{k}_H} \simeq H$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$ .

Thus,  $\overline{P} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{k}_H} \simeq H$  is a projective resolution in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$ . This construction is functorial, and hence  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(\overline{P}, H^e)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(H^e)$ . Here  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(\overline{P}, H^e)$  is a complex of  $H$ -bimodules in the following sense:  $(hfk)(-) = f(-) \times (h \otimes k) \in H^e$  if  $h \in \mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(\overline{P}, H^e)$ ,  $h, k \in H$ .

**Step 2** - The following mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(\overline{P}, H^e) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H) \\ f &\mapsto f(1 \otimes -) \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined provided that  $H \otimes H$  is considered as a right  $H$ -module for the action such that  $(h \otimes k) \leftarrow \ell = S(\ell_1)h \otimes k\ell_2$ . It is moreover bijective with inverse the mapping  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(\overline{P}, H^e)$  that assigns to any  $g$  the morphism of  $H$ -bimodules  $\overline{P} \rightarrow H^e$  defined by  $\ell \otimes p \mapsto \ell g(p)$ . Finally, it is an isomorphism of  $H$ -bimodules if  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H)$  is endowed with the action of  $H^e$  such that  $(hfk)(-) = f(-) \times (h \otimes k) \in H^e$  (hence  $H \otimes H$  is a right  $H \otimes H^e$ -module). Thus,  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(H^e)$ .



**Step 3** - In order to get the announced description of  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e)$ , it is necessary to transform  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H)$ . Note that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H)$  is a complex of left  $H$ -modules in a natural way. This defines  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e}$ . The following mapping is well-defined

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H) \\ \ell \otimes \theta &\mapsto (p \mapsto S(\theta(p)_1)\ell \otimes \theta(p)_2) \end{aligned}$$

It is moreover a morphism of complexes of  $H$ -bimodules. When  $P$  is replaced by  $H$  then it identifies with the mapping  $H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$  defined by  $\ell \otimes \theta \mapsto S(\theta_1)\ell \otimes \theta_2$ ; This is an isomorphism with inverse given by  $h \otimes k \mapsto S^2(k_1)h \otimes k_2$ . Since  $P$  consists of finitely generated projective  $H$ -modules, this entails that the complexes of  $H$ -bimodules  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e}$  and  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H \otimes H)$  are isomorphic. Thus  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{H^e}(H, H^e) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e}$ .  $\square$

Keep the setting of the previous result. Taking cohomology shows that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an isomorphism of  $H$ -bimodules

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{H^e}^n(H, H^e) \simeq H \otimes \mathrm{Ext}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}^n(\mathbf{k}_H, H)$$

where the right hand-side term is endowed with the bimodule structure such that  $h(\ell \otimes e)k = S^2(h_1)\ell k \otimes h_2 \rightarrow e$ .

**2.3. Invertibility of the antipode.** The following result shows that Hopf algebras with Van den Bergh duality have invertible antipode. Note that part (2) is proved in [4, 4.4] in a slightly different setting namely, for Artin-Schelter Gorenstein noetherian Hopf algebras with invertible antipode.

**Proposition.** *If a Hopf algebra  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality in dimension  $d$  then*

- (1)  *$H$  is right Artin-Schelter regular with dimension  $d$ , and*
- (2)  *$\mathrm{Ext}_{H^e}^d(H, H^e)$  is isomorphic to  $S^2 \circ \Xi_{J,r}^r H$  as an  $H$ -bimodule.*

*As a consequence, the antipode  $S$  is invertible.*

*Proof.* Note that  $H$  has finite global dimension because  $H \in \mathrm{per}(H^e)$ . There exists a projective resolution  $P \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_H$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^{\mathrm{op}})$  having length  $d$  and consisting of finitely generated projective right  $H$ -modules. Consider the resulting complex of  $H$ -bimodules  $\overline{P}$  as introduced in the proof of 2.2. In particular,  $\overline{P}$  is a projective resolution with length  $d$  of  $H$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$ . Note that  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H)$  is a complex of projective left  $H$ -modules concentrated in degrees  $0, \dots, d$ . Similarly,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e}$  is a complex of projective right  $H^e$ -modules (see 2.2).

(1) Denote by  $U$  the right  $H^e$ -module  $\mathrm{Ext}_{H^e}^d(H, H^e)$ . Because of the assumption on the length of  $P$ , there is a quasi-isomorphism in  $\mathrm{mod}(H^e)$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e} \rightarrow U[-d].$$

This is a homotopy equivalence in  $\mathrm{mod}(H)$  because both sides are bounded complexes of projective left  $H$ -modules. Applying  $-\otimes_H \mathbf{k}$  therefore yields a quasi-isomorphism in  $\mathrm{mod}(H)$

$$\left( \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e} \right) \otimes_H \mathbf{k} \rightarrow U[-d] \otimes_H \mathbf{k}.$$

A direct computation shows that  $\left( \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H) \uparrow^{H^e} \right) \otimes_H \mathbf{k} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{H^{\mathrm{op}}}(P, H)$  as complexes of left  $H$ -modules. The following arguments show that  $U \otimes_H \mathbf{k}_H$  is a one-dimensional left  $H$ -module

- applying  $-\otimes_H \mathbf{k}$  to a finitely generated free cover of  $U$  in  $\mathrm{mod}(H)$  shows that  $U \otimes_H \mathbf{k}$  is a finite-dimensional left  $H$ -module,

- since  $U^{-1} \otimes_H U \otimes_H {}_H k \simeq {}_H k$  in  $\text{mod}(H)$ , the dimension of  $U \otimes_H {}_H k$  as a vector space is 1. Recall that  $U$  is an invertible  $H$ -bimodule, here  $U^{-1}$  denotes its inverse.

Therefore  $H$  is right Artin Schelter regular with dimension  $d$ .

(2) Let  $\pi: H \rightarrow k$  be the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism such that the left  $H$ -module structure of  $\text{Ext}_{H^{\text{op}}}^d(k_H, H)$  is given by  $h \rightarrow \alpha = \pi(h)\alpha$ . Following 2.2 and since  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality,  $U \simeq S^2 \circ \Xi_\pi^r H_1$  in  $\text{mod}(H^e)$ . Since  $U$  is an invertible  $H$ -bimodule, this forces  $S^2 \circ \Xi_\pi^r$  to be As as well-known,  $\Xi_\pi^r$  is an automorphism of  $H$  with inverse  $\Xi_{\pi \circ S}^r$ . Since  $U$  is invertible as an  $H$ -bimodule, the algebra homomorphism  $S^2 \circ \Xi_\pi^r: H \rightarrow H$  is invertible. So is  $S$ .  $\square$

## 2.4. On Van den Bergh duality of Hopf algebras.

2.4.1. *Homological smoothness of Hopf algebras.* The following lemma connects homological finiteness conditions on  $H$ -modules and on  $H^e$ -modules.

**Lemma.** *The Hopf algebra  $H$  is homologically smooth if and only if  $k_H \in \text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$ .*

*Proof.* On the one hand, the functor  $\text{mod}(H^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{mod}(H^e)$ ,  $Y \mapsto \bar{Y}$  introduced in the proof of 2.2 is exact, it maps  $k_H$  to  ${}_{H^e} H$  and it maps  $H$  to  $H^e$ . Hence it induces a triangle functor  $\mathcal{D}(H^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H^e)$  that maps objects in  $\text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$  to objects in  $\text{per}(H^e)$ . On the other hand, the triangle functor  $k_H \otimes_H -: \mathcal{D}(H^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(H^{\text{op}})$  maps  $H^e$  to  $H$ . Hence it maps any object in  $\text{per}(H^e)$  to an object in  $\text{per}(H)$ . The statement follows from these considerations.  $\square$

It follows from the arguments in the previous proof that  $\text{pd}_{H^e}(H) = \text{pd}_{H^{\text{op}}}(k_H)$ .

2.4.2. *Summary on Van den Bergh duality.* The following characterisation is obtained from 2.3 and from the main results in [4].

**Theorem.** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra. The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i)  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality,
- (ii)  $S$  is invertible,  $k_H \in \text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$ , and  $H$  is right Artin-Schelter Gorenstein,
- (iii)  $H$  is skew-Calabi-Yau.

*If they are satisfied then the dimensions involved in (i), (ii) and (iii) coincide.*

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is proved in 2.3. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is proved in [4, 4.5]. The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from the definition.  $\square$

Note that  $\text{Ext}_{H^{\text{op}}}^d(k_H, H)$  is one-dimensional under condition (ii). Hence there exists an algebra homomorphism  $\pi: H \rightarrow k$  such that the left  $H$ -module structure is given by  $h \rightarrow \alpha = \pi(h)\alpha$ . According to [4, 3.4] (see also 2.2) the  $H$ -bimodule  $\text{Ext}_{H^e}^i(H, H^e)$  is isomorphic to  $S^2 \circ \Xi_\pi^r H$ .

2.4.3. *Nakayama automorphisms.* The considerations in 2.4.2 give the following clear connection between the right homological integral of  $H$  and a distinguished Nakayama automorphism of  $H$ .

**Proposition.** *If a Hopf algebra  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality in dimension  $d$ , then the antipode is invertible and*

- (1) *if  $\mu \in \text{Aut}_{k\text{-alg}}(H)$  is a Nakayama automorphism of  $H$  then  $\epsilon \circ \mu^{-1}$  is the right homological integral of  $H$ ,*
- (2)  $\left(S^2 \circ \Xi_{f_r}^r\right)^{-1} = S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{f_r}^r$  *is a Nakayama automorphism of  $H$ .*

2.4.4. *Calabi-Yau duality.* The following corollary follows from 2.4.2.

**Corollary.** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra. Then  $H$  is Calabi-Yau with dimension  $d$  if and only if the following conditions hold*

- (a)  $k_H \in \text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$ ,
- (b)  $S^2$  is an inner automorphism of  $H$ ,
- (c)  $H$  satisfies the Artin-Schelter condition and its right homological integral is trivial.

### 3. EQUIVARIANT MODULES

This subsection assumes that the antipode of  $H$  is invertible. Its main purpose 3.5 is to construct invertible dg  $A\sharp H$ -bimodules starting from invertible dg  $A$ -bimodules that are moreover  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , in the sense of [18, Definition 2.2]. The results of this section are used in Section 5 for deformed Calabi-Yau completions and also in Section 6 to describe a Nakayama automorphism of  $A\sharp H$  when  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra and  $A, H$  are skew-Calabi-Yau. Thus 3.1 presents the dg algebras whose dg modules correspond to  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodules. The construction of dg  $A\sharp H$ -bimodules from these is recalled in 3.2. In view of invertibility, this construction is considered on  $A$ -duals of equivariant  $A$ -bimodules in 3.3 and on tensor products over  $A$  in 3.4.

**3.1. Algebras which modules are equivariant  $A$ -bimodules.** Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the dg subalgebra of  $\Lambda^e$  generated by  $A^e \cup \{h_1 \otimes S^{2i+1}(h_2) \mid h \in H\}$ . Denote it by  $D_i$ . Note the identity  $(h_1 \otimes S^{2j+1}(h_2)) \times (a \otimes b) = (h_1 \rightharpoonup a \otimes S^{2i}(h_4) \rightharpoonup b) \times (h_2 \otimes S^{2i+1}(h_3))$  in  $D_i$ . It shows that the mapping  $A^e \otimes H \rightarrow \Lambda^e$  defined by  $a \otimes b \otimes h \mapsto (a \otimes b) \times (h_1 \otimes S^{2i+1}(h_2))$  has image equal to  $D_i$ . This mapping is injective for it admits a retraction given by  $ah \otimes bk \mapsto a \otimes b \otimes h\epsilon(k)$ . Transporting the dg algebra structure of  $D_i$  to  $A^e \otimes H$  yields the following definition.

**Definition.** Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\Delta_i$  is the dg algebra whose underlying complex of vector spaces is  $A^e \otimes H$  and whose (associative) product is defined by

- $A^e$  is a dg subalgebra of  $\Delta_i$  via the mapping  $A^e \rightarrow A^e \otimes H$ ,  $a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes b \otimes 1$ ,
- $H$  is dg subalgebra of  $\Delta_i$  via the mapping  $H \rightarrow A^e \otimes H$ ,  $h \mapsto 1 \otimes 1 \otimes h$ ,
- the product satisfies the following identity in  $\Delta_i$

$$(3.1.0.1) \quad h \times (a \otimes b) = (h_1 \rightharpoonup a \otimes S^{2i}(h_3) \rightharpoonup b) \times h_2.$$

The previous considerations show that this does define a dg algebra structure on  $A^e \otimes H$  and that the following mapping is an injective morphism of dg algebras

$$(3.1.0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_i & \rightarrow & \Lambda^e \\ (a \otimes b) \times h & \mapsto & (a \otimes b) \times (h_1 \otimes S^{2i+1}(h_2)). \end{array}$$

Note the following identity in  $\Delta_i$

$$(3.1.0.3) \quad (a \otimes b) \times h = h_2 \times (S^{-1}(h_1) \rightharpoonup a \otimes S^{2i+1}(h_3) \rightharpoonup b).$$

When  $H$  is cocommutative, distinct choices for the integer  $i$  give rise to the same dg algebra; Moreover there is a natural action of  $H$  on  $A^e$  and the corresponding smash product is  $\Delta_i$  (see [17, Remark 1.7]). In general there is no obvious  $H$ -module algebra structure on  $A^e$  and  $\Delta_i$  does not seem to be a smash product algebra in the sense considered in this text.

A left dg  $\Delta_i$ -modules is precisely an  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant left dg  $A$ -bimodules as defined in [18, Definition 2.2]. The latter is the category of left dg  $A$ -bimodules  $M$  endowed with a structure of left  $H$ -module (that preserves the degree and is

compatible with the differential of the complex) in such a way that the following identity holds in  $M$

$$(3.1.0.4) \quad h \rightharpoonup (amb) = (h_1 \rightharpoonup a)(h_2 \rightharpoonup m)(S^{2i}(h_3) \rightharpoonup b).$$

**3.2.  $A\sharp H$ -bimodules arising from equivariant  $A$ -bimodules.** Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $D$  be an  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule (equivalently, a dg left  $\Delta_i$ -module). Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}}(H)$  be such that the following identity holds in  $H$

$$\sigma(h)_1 \otimes \sigma(h)_2 = S^{2i}(h_1) \otimes \sigma(h_2).$$

(for instance,  $\sigma = S^{-2i} \circ \Xi_\pi^r$  for some algebra homomorphism  $\pi: H \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ ). According to [18, Lemma2.5] the following actions endow  $D \otimes H$  with a structure of dg  $\Lambda$ -bimodule

$$(3.2.0.1) \quad \begin{cases} a(m \otimes \ell)b = ad(\ell_1 \rightharpoonup b) \otimes \ell_2 \\ h(m \otimes \ell)k = h_1 \rightharpoonup d \otimes \sigma(h_2)\ell k. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel it is denoted by  $D\sharp^\sigma H$ . Its construction may be interpreted as follows

**Lemma.** *Keep the setting introduced previously. Denote by  $\varphi$  the dg algebra automorphism of  $\Lambda$  defined by  $\varphi(ah) = a\sigma(h)$ . Then  $D\sharp^\sigma H \simeq (\Lambda \otimes_{\varphi} \Lambda) \otimes_{\Delta_i} D$  as dg left  $\Lambda$ -bimodules.*

*Proof.* Denote  $(\Lambda \otimes_{\varphi} \Lambda) \otimes_{\Delta_i} D$  by  $\overline{D}$ . Note the identity in  $\overline{D}$

$$(3.2.0.2) \quad (h \otimes k) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d = (1 \otimes \sigma \circ S^{2i}(h_2)k) \otimes_{\Delta_i} (h_1 \rightharpoonup d).$$

Indeed, the right hand-side term equals  $(S^{2i+1}(h_2)(1 \otimes \sigma \circ S^{2i}(h_3)k)h_1) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d$  (see (3.1.0.2)). Therefore the linear mapping from  $(\Lambda \otimes \Lambda) \otimes D$  to  $D \otimes H$  defined by  $(ha \otimes bk) \otimes d \mapsto (h_1 \rightharpoonup (adb)) \otimes \sigma \circ S^{2i}(h_2)k$  induces a linear mapping

$$\Phi: \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{D} & \rightarrow & D \otimes H \\ (ha \otimes bk) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d & \mapsto & (h_1 \rightharpoonup (adb)) \otimes \sigma \circ S^{2i}(h_2)k. \end{array}$$

In view of (3.2.0.2), it is bijective and its inverse is the mapping  $d \otimes \ell \mapsto (1 \otimes \ell) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d$ .

The conclusion therefore follows from the computations made below in  $\overline{D}$

$$\begin{aligned} a((1 \otimes \ell) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d)b &= (a \otimes \ell b) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d \\ &= (1 \otimes \ell_2) \otimes_{\Delta_i} ad(\ell_1 \rightharpoonup b). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h((1 \otimes \ell) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d)k &= (h \otimes \ell k) \otimes_{\Delta_i} d \\ &= (1 \otimes \sigma \circ S^{2i}(h_2)\ell k) \otimes_{\Delta_i} (h_1 \rightharpoonup d). \end{aligned}$$

□

**3.3. Equivariant actions on duals over  $A$ .** In this subsection,  $A$  is assumed to be a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra.

Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $D$  be an  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule. In view of considering invertible  $\Lambda$ -bimodules arising from  $D$  when it is natural to consider  $\Lambda$ -bimodules arising from  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A)$ .

**Lemma.** *Keep the setting state previously.*

- (1) *There exists a structure of left  $H$ -module on  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  such that  $(h \rightharpoonup f)(d) = S^{-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup f(S^{-1-2i}(h_1) \rightharpoonup d)$ . For this structure  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  is an  $H_{S^{-i}}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule.*

- (2) *There exists a structure of left  $H$ -module on  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A)$  such that  $(h \rightharpoonup f)(d) = h_1 \rightharpoonup f(S^{1-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup d)$ . For this structure  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A)$  is an  $H_{S^{-i}}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule.*

*Proof.* (1) If  $f \in \text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  then the mapping  $h \rightharpoonup f: D \rightarrow A$  introduced in the statement is  $A$ -linear, indeed

$$\begin{aligned} (h \rightharpoonup f)(ad) &= S^{-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup f(S^{-1-2i}(h_1) \rightharpoonup (ad)) \\ &= S^{-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup ((S^{-1-2i}(h_1) \rightharpoonup a)f(S^{-1-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup d)) \\ &= a(h \rightharpoonup f)(d). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the  $A$ -bimodule structure of  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  is such that  $(afb)(d) = f(da)b$ . The following computation shows the second assertion of (1)

$$\begin{aligned} (h \rightharpoonup (afb))(d) &= S^{-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup (f((S^{-1-2i}(h_1) \rightharpoonup d)b)a) \\ &= S^{-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup (f(S^{-1-2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup (db))a) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1.0.3)}{=} (h \rightharpoonup f)(db)a. \end{aligned}$$

(2) is proved similarly.  $\square$

### 3.4. Tensor product of equivariant bimodules.

3.4.1. The following result describes  $(D \#_{\Lambda}^{\sigma} H) \otimes_{\Lambda} (D' \#_{\Lambda}^{\tau} H)$  for equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodules  $D$  and  $D'$ . Here is its setting

- $D$  is an  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- $D'$  is an  $H_{S^{2j}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,
- $\sigma, \tau$  are automorphisms of  $H$  that satisfy the identities  $\sigma(h)_1 \otimes \sigma(h)_2 = S^{2i}(h_1) \otimes \sigma(h_2)$  and  $\tau(h)_1 \otimes \tau(h)_2 = S^{2j}(h_1) \otimes \tau(h_2)$  and such that  $\sigma, \tau$  and  $S^2$  are pairwise commuting (for instance  $\sigma = S^{-2i} \circ \Xi_{\pi}^r$  and  $\mu = S^{-2j} \circ \Xi_{\mu}^r$  for algebra homomorphisms  $\pi, \mu: H \rightarrow k$ ).

**Lemma.** *Keep the setting stated previously.*

- (1) *There is a structure of  $H_{S^{2(i+j)}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule on  $D \otimes_A D'$  such that  $h \rightharpoonup (d \otimes d') = h_1 \rightharpoonup d \otimes S^{2i}(h_2) \rightharpoonup d'$ ,*
- (2)  *$(D \#_{\Lambda}^{\sigma} H) \otimes_{\Lambda} (D' \#_{\Lambda}^{\tau} H) \simeq (D \otimes_A D') \#_{\Lambda}^{\tau \circ \sigma} H$  as dg  $\Lambda$ -bimodules.*

*Proof.* (1) The given action is indeed a structure of left  $H$ -module on  $D \otimes_A D'$  because  $D$  is  $H_{S^i}$ -equivariant. For this structure  $D \otimes_A D'$  is  $H_{S^{2(i+j)}}$ -equivariant because  $D$  is  $H_{S^{2i}}$ -equivariant and  $D'$  is  $H_{S^{2j}}$ -equivariant.

(2) Note the following identity in  $H$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \circ \tau)(h)_1 \otimes (\sigma \circ \tau)(h)_2 &= S^{2i}(\tau(h)_1) \otimes \sigma(\tau(h)_2) \\ &= S^{2i+2j}(h_1) \otimes \sigma \circ \tau(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(D \otimes_A D') \#_{\Lambda}^{\tau \circ \sigma} H$  is well-defined.

Note the following identity in  $(D \otimes_A D') \#_{\Lambda}^{\tau \circ \sigma} H$

$$\begin{aligned} (d \otimes \ell) \otimes_{\Lambda} (d' \otimes \ell') &= (d \otimes 1) \ell \otimes_{\Lambda} (d' \otimes \ell') \\ (3.4.1.1) \quad &= (d \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} \ell(d' \otimes \ell') \\ &= (d \otimes 1) \otimes_{\Lambda} (\ell_1 \rightharpoonup d' \otimes \tau \circ S^{2j}(\ell_2) \ell'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there is a well-defined linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} (D \#_{\Lambda}^{\sigma} H) \otimes_{\Lambda} (D' \#_{\Lambda}^{\tau} H) &\rightarrow (D \otimes_A D') \otimes H \\ (d \otimes \ell) \otimes_{\Lambda} (d' \otimes \ell') &\mapsto (d \otimes_{\Lambda} \ell_1 \rightharpoonup d) \otimes \tau \circ S^{2j}(\ell_2) \ell'. \end{aligned}$$

There is also a well-defined linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} (D \underset{A}{\otimes} D') \otimes H &\rightarrow (D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H) \otimes (D' \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\tau} H) \\ (d \underset{A}{\otimes} d') \otimes \ell' &\mapsto (d \otimes 1) \underset{\Lambda}{\otimes} (d' \otimes \ell') \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.4.1.1) these linear mappings are inverse to each other. The conclusion therefore follows from the computations below (in  $(D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H) \otimes (D' \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\tau} H)$ )

$$a((d \otimes 1) \underset{\Lambda}{\otimes} (d' \otimes \ell'))b = (ad \otimes 1) \underset{\Lambda}{\otimes} (d'(\ell'_1 \rightarrow b) \otimes \ell'_2)$$

and (using (3.4.1.1))

$$\begin{aligned} h((d \otimes 1) \underset{\Lambda}{\otimes} (d' \otimes \ell'))k &= (h_1 \rightarrow d \otimes S^{2i} \circ \sigma(h_2)) \underset{\Lambda}{\otimes} (d' \otimes \ell'k) \\ &= (h_1 \rightarrow d \otimes 1) \underset{\Lambda}{\otimes} \\ &\quad (S^{2i}(h_2) \rightarrow d' \otimes S^{2j} \circ \tau \circ S^{2i} \circ \mu(h_3)\ell'k) \end{aligned}$$

(recall that  $S^2$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  commute to each other).  $\square$

3.4.2. Let  $D$  be an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule and let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{k}\text{-alg}}(H)$  satisfying the identity  $\sigma(h)_1 \otimes \sigma(h)_2 = S^2(h_1) \otimes \sigma(h_2)$ . The preceding result provides a description of  $T_{A \sharp H}(D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H)$  that is used later when discussing on Calabi-Yau completions.

For every  $n \geq 1$  consider  $D^{\otimes n}$  as an  $H_{S^{2n}}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule for the following action of  $H$  (3.4.1)

$$(3.4.2.1) \quad h \rightarrow (d_1 \underset{A}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{A}{\otimes} d_n) = h_1 \rightarrow d_1 \underset{A}{\otimes} S^2(h_2) \rightarrow d_2 \underset{A}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{A}{\otimes} S^{2(n-1)}(h_n) \rightarrow d_n.$$

According to 3.4.1 there exists an isomorphism of algebras

$$T_{A \sharp H}(D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H) \simeq T_A(D) \otimes H$$

where the right hand-side term is endowed with the product such that  $T_A(D)$  and  $A \sharp H$  are subalgebras in the natural way and

$$(3.4.2.2) \quad h \times (d_1 \underset{A}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{A}{\otimes} d_n) = h_1 \rightarrow (d_1 \underset{A}{\otimes} \cdots \underset{A}{\otimes} d_n) \otimes S^{2n} \circ \sigma^n(h_2).$$

**3.5. On invertible  $\Lambda$ -bimodules.** In this subsection, it is assumed that  $A$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra. The following result relates equivariant  $A$ -bimodules that are invertible as  $A$ -bimodules to invertible  $\Lambda$ -bimodules. Recall that an  $A$ -bimodule  $D$  is called *invertible* if there exists an  $A$ -bimodule  $D'$  such that  $D \underset{A}{\otimes} D' \simeq D' \underset{A}{\otimes} D \simeq A$  as  $A$ -bimodules; When  $D$  is invertible, it is projective as a left  $A$ -module and also as a right  $A$ -module; Consequently, its inverse  $D'$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  and also to  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A)$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $D$  be an  $H_{S^i}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule (for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) that is invertible as a  $A$ -bimodule. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $H$  that commutes with  $S^2$  and satisfies the identity  $\sigma(h)_1 \otimes \sigma(h)_2 = S^{2i}(h_1) \otimes \sigma(h_2)$ . Then  $D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H$  is an invertible  $\Lambda$ -module.*

*Proof.* The conclusion follows from the assertion proved below:  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A) \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma^{-1}} H$  and  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma^{-1}} H$  are right and left inverses of  $D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H$ , respectively. Note that  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A)$  are  $H_{S^{-2i}}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodules (see 3.3) and  $\sigma^{-1}$  satisfies the identity  $\sigma^{-1}(h)_1 \otimes \sigma^{-1}(h)_2 = S^{-2i}(h_1) \otimes \sigma^{-1}(h_2)$ .

Using 3.4 (part (2)) yields isomorphisms of  $\Lambda$ -bimodules

$$\begin{aligned} (D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H) \otimes (\text{Hom}_A(D, A) \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma^{-1}} H) &\simeq (D \underset{A}{\otimes} \text{Hom}_A(D, A)) \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp} H \\ (\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma^{-1}} H) \otimes (D \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp}^{\sigma} H) &\simeq (\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \underset{A}{\otimes} D) \underset{\Lambda}{\sharp} H. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the following mappings are isomorphisms of  $A$ -bimodules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(D, A) & \rightarrow & A & \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \otimes_A D & \rightarrow & A \\ d \otimes f & \mapsto & f(d) & f \otimes d & \mapsto & f(d). \end{array}$$

These are actually  $H$ -linear as proved by the two following computations made in  $D \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(D, A)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \otimes_A D$ , respectively

$$\begin{aligned} ((S^{2i}(h_1) \rightarrow f)(h_1 \rightarrow d) &= h_3 \rightarrow f(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightarrow (h_1 \rightarrow d)) \\ &= h \rightarrow f(d) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1 \rightarrow f)(S^{-2i}(h_2) \rightarrow d) &= h_1 \rightarrow f(S^{1-2i}(h_2) \rightarrow (S^{-2i}(h_3) \rightarrow d)) \\ &= h \rightarrow f(d). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there are isomorphisms of  $\Lambda$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} (D \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(D, A)) \sharp H &\simeq \Lambda \\ (\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \otimes_A D) \sharp H &\simeq \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\text{Hom}_A(D, A) \sharp^{\sigma^{-1}} H$  and  $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(D, A) \sharp^{\sigma^{-1}} H$  are right inverse and left inverse of  $D \sharp^{\sigma} H$ , respectively.  $\square$

#### 4. THE INVERSE DUALISING OBJECT OF $A \sharp H$

In this section the antipode of  $H$  is assumed to be invertible.

The objective is to give an expression of an inverse dualising object of  $\Lambda = A \sharp H$ . This is done in 4.5 and the preceding subsections prepare the needed material for this purpose. The basic identities satisfied by the dg algebras used in this section are collected in 4.1. Next, 4.2 presents the various dg module structures used to describe an inverse dualising object of  $\Lambda$ . To ensure that the constructed object is indeed an inverse dualising object of  $\Lambda$ , some technical results of homological algebra are gathered in 4.3. Finally, 4.4 sets the structure of  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule that is needed on the inverse dualising object of  $A$ .

For simplicity,  $A$  and  $H$  are considered as subcomplexes of  $A \otimes H$ . Also  $A^e$  and  $H^e$  are considered as subcomplexes of  $\Lambda^e$ .

##### 4.1. Identities on the involved dg algebras.

4.1.1. *Useful identities in  $A^e$  and  $\Lambda^e$ .* The following identity holds in  $\Lambda$

$$(4.1.1.1) \quad a \times h = h_2 \times (S^{-1}(h_1) \rightarrow a).$$

The following identities hold in  $\Lambda^e$

$$(4.1.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (h \otimes k) \times (a \otimes b) &= ((h_1 \rightarrow a) \otimes (S^{-1}(k_1) \rightarrow b)) \times (h_2 \otimes k_2) \\ (a \otimes b) \times (h \otimes k) &= (h_2 \otimes k_2) \times ((S^{-1}(h_1) \rightarrow a) \otimes (k_1 \rightarrow b)). \end{aligned}$$

The algebra  $H^{\text{op}}$  is a Hopf algebra with coproduct given by  $h \mapsto h_2 \otimes h_1$  and antipode  $S^{-1}$ . Also  $H^e$  is a Hopf algebra with coproduct given by  $(h \otimes k)_1 \otimes (h \otimes k)_2 = (h_1 \otimes k_1) \otimes (h_2 \otimes k_2)$  and antipode  $S \otimes S^{-1}$ .

There is a structure of  $H^e$ -module algebra on  $A^e$  such that

$$(h \otimes k) \rightarrow (a \otimes b) = (h \rightarrow a) \otimes (S^{-1}(k) \rightarrow b).$$

The resulting smash product algebra  $A^e \sharp H^e$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda^e$  via the mapping  $A^e \otimes H^e \rightarrow \Lambda^e$ ,  $a \otimes b \otimes h \otimes k \mapsto (a \otimes b) \times (h \otimes k)$ . In other words, the following identity holds true in  $\Lambda^e$

$$(4.1.1.3) \quad (h \otimes k) \times (a \otimes b) = (h \otimes k)_1 \rightarrow (a \otimes b) \times (h \otimes k)_2.$$

4.1.2. The description of an inverse dualising object of  $A\sharp H$  makes use of the dg algebras  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_1$  (see 3.1). Recall that dg left  $\Delta_0$  modules are precisely  $H$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodules. Also dg left  $\Delta_1$ -modules are precisely  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodules. For the convenience of the reader the specificity of  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_1$  are collected below.

Recall that  $\Delta_0 = A^e \otimes H$  as complex of vector spaces,  $A^e$  and  $H$  are dg subalgebras of  $\Delta_0$  in the natural way and the following identities hold true in  $\Delta_0$

$$(4.1.2.1) \quad h \times (a \otimes b) = (h_1 \rightarrow a) \otimes (h_3 \rightarrow b) \otimes h_2.$$

$$(4.1.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (a \otimes b) \times h &= h_2 \times ((S^{-1}(h_1) \rightarrow a) \otimes (S(h_3) \rightarrow b)) \\ &= h_2 \times ((S^{-1}(h_1) \otimes S^2(h_3)) \rightarrow (a \otimes b)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $h \times (a \otimes b) = ((h_1 \otimes S(h_3)) \rightarrow (a \otimes b)) \otimes h_2$ .

Recall that  $\Delta_1 = A^e \otimes H$  as complexes of vector spaces,  $A^e$  and  $H$  are dg subalgebras of  $\Delta_1$  in the natural way and the following identities hold true in  $\Delta_1$

$$(4.1.2.3) \quad h \times (a \otimes b) = (S^2(h_1) \rightarrow a \otimes S^{-2}(h_3) \rightarrow b) \times h_2.$$

$$(4.1.2.4) \quad (a \otimes b) \times h = h_2 \times ((S(h_1) \rightarrow a) \otimes (S^{-1}(h_3) \rightarrow b)).$$

4.1.3. *Module structures over  $A$  and  $A^e$ .* There is a natural  $\Lambda$ -module structure on  $A$  defined by  $(a \otimes h) \rightarrow x = a(h \rightarrow x)$ . Also there is a natural  $\Delta_0$ -module structure on  $A$  defined by  $(a \otimes b \otimes h) \rightarrow x = a(h \rightarrow x)b$ .

**Lemma.** *There is an isomorphism  $\Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} A \rightarrow \Lambda$  in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda^e)$  that maps  $(u \otimes v) \otimes a$  to  $uav$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu: \Lambda^e \otimes A \rightarrow \Lambda$  be defined by  $\mu((u \otimes v) \otimes a) = uav$ . Then

$$\mu(((u \otimes v) \times (h_1 \otimes S(h_2))) \otimes a) = \underbrace{uh_1aS(h_2)v}_{h \rightarrow a} = \mu((u \otimes v) \otimes (h \rightarrow a))$$

and  $\mu(((u \otimes v) \times (b \otimes c)) \otimes a) = ubacv = \mu((u \otimes v) \otimes ((c \otimes d) \rightarrow a))$ . Whence the existence of the mapping in the statement of the lemma. Denote it by  $\nu$ .

Let  $\lambda: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} A$  be defined by  $ah \mapsto (a \otimes h) \otimes 1$ ; then  $\lambda$  is a right inverse for  $\mu$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \circ \mu((ah \otimes bk) \otimes c) &= \lambda(ahbck) = (a(h_1 \rightarrow c)(h_2 \rightarrow b) \otimes h_3k) \otimes 1 \\ &= (a \otimes h_3k) \otimes (h_1 \rightarrow c)(h_2 \rightarrow b) \\ &= a \otimes (h_2 \rightarrow b)h_3k \otimes (h_1 \rightarrow c) \\ &= ((a \otimes (h_3 \rightarrow b)h_4k) \times (h_1 \otimes S(h_2))) \otimes c \\ &= (ah_1 \otimes S(h_2)(h_3 \rightarrow b)h_4k) \otimes c \\ &= (ah \otimes bk) \otimes c. \end{aligned}$$

□

There is a natural  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure on  $A^e$  ([17, (1.4)]) defined by  $(ah \otimes bk) \rightarrow (x \otimes y) = (a(h \rightarrow x)) \otimes (S^{-1}(k) \rightarrow (yb))$ .

The natural  $A^e$ -bimodule structure of  $A^e$  does not extend to a  $\Lambda^e - A^e$ -bimodule structure yet the module structures of  $A^e$  over  $\Lambda^e$  on the left and over  $A^e$  on the right are compatible in the following sense

$$(4.1.3.1) \quad (h \otimes k) \rightarrow ((x \otimes y) \leftarrow (a \otimes b)) = ((h \otimes k)_1 \rightarrow (x \otimes y)) \leftarrow ((h \otimes k)_2 \rightarrow (a \otimes b))$$

(which is part of the identities defining the  $H^e$ -module algebra structure on  $A^e$ ).



**4.2. Useful (bi)module structures on morphism spaces.** When transforming  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$  into a simple expression, the right  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure must be transported to the final expression of a dualising object of  $\Lambda$ . This involves new dg module structures that are defined and studied in this subsection.

4.2.1. The following result introduces and give properties of  $H$ -module structures on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  and  $U \otimes_{A^e} M$  when  $U$  is an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule,  $X$  is an  $H$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule and  $M$  is a dg  $\Lambda$ -bimodule. Note that the former structure is introduced in [17, (1.2)] up to a torsion by  $S^2$ . Also the latter structure is considered in [17, (1.3)] when  $U$  is an  $H$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule.

**Lemma.** *Let  $X \in \mathrm{mod}(\Delta_0)$ ,  $U \in \mathrm{mod}(\Delta_1)$ ,  $M \in \mathrm{mod}(\Lambda^e)$  and  $N \in \mathrm{mod}(H)$ . Then*

(1) *There exists a structure of dg right  $H$ -module on  $U \otimes_{A^e} M$  such that*

$$h \rightharpoonup (u \otimes m) = (h_2 \rightharpoonup u) \otimes S^2(h_3)mS(h_1).$$

(2) *There is a structure of dg left  $H$ -module on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  such that*

$$(h \rightharpoonup f)(x) = S^2(h_3)f(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x)S(h_1).$$

(3) *Given  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  the following are equivalent*

(i)  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(X, M)$ ,

(ii)  $(\forall h \in H) \ h \rightharpoonup f = \epsilon(h)f$ ,

(iii)  $(\forall h \in H) (\forall x \in X) \ f(h \rightharpoonup x) = h_1f(x)S(h_2)$ .

(4) *There is a functorial isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_H({}_H k, \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(X, M) \\ \lambda & \mapsto & \lambda(1). \end{array}$$

(5) *Assume, moreover, that  $M$  has a structure of dg right  $A^e$ -module that is compatible with the structure of dg left  $\Lambda^e$ -module in the sense of (4.1.3.1) (e.g.  $M = A^e$ ). Consider  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  as dg  $A$ -bimodule using the action of  $A^e$  on  $M$ . Then (2) makes of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule. In other words,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  is a dg left  $\Delta_1$ -module.*

(6) *The canonical mapping  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} M \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  is  $H$ -linear.*

*Proof.* (1) The identity given in the statement endows  $U \otimes M$  with a structure of dg right  $H$ -module. Using that  $U$  is an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule a simple computation shows that this structure factors through  $U \otimes_{A^e} M \rightarrow U \otimes_{A^e} U$ .

(2) What is denoted by  $h \rightharpoonup f$  here equals what is defined as  $S^2(h) \rightharpoonup f$  in [17, (1.2)]. The claim is precisely [17, Lemma 1.8].

(3) The equivalence “(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii)” follows from the definition of  $\Delta_0$ . The implication “(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)” follows from the definition of the action of  $H$  on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$ . If (ii) holds true then

$$\begin{aligned} f(h \rightharpoonup x) &= \epsilon(h_1)f(h_2 \rightharpoonup x)\epsilon(h_3) \\ &= h_1S(h_2)f(h_3 \rightharpoonup x)h_4S(h_5) \\ &= h_1(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup f)(x)S(h_3) \\ &= h_1f(x)S(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii).

(4) In view of (3), the given mapping is well-defined and surjective. This mapping is injective, and hence it is an isomorphism.

(5) follows from the computation below

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \rightharpoonup (afb))(x) &= (S^2(h_3) \otimes S(h_1)) \rightharpoonup ((afb)(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x)) \\
&= \pm(S^2(h_3) \otimes S(h_1)) \rightharpoonup (f(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x) \leftarrow (b \otimes a)) \\
&= \pm((S^2(h_4) \otimes S(h_2)) \rightharpoonup f(S(h_3) \rightharpoonup x)) \\
(4.1.3.1) \quad &\leftarrow (S^2(h_5) \rightharpoonup b \otimes h_1 \rightharpoonup a) \\
&= ((h_1 \rightharpoonup a)(h_2 \rightharpoonup f)(S^2(h_3) \rightharpoonup b))(x).
\end{aligned}$$

(6) Following (5),  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, A^e)$  is a dg left  $\Delta_1$ -module. Hence (1) provides the left dg  $H$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} M$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, A^e)$ ,  $m \in M$  and  $h \in H$ ; Denote by  $f$  the image of  $\varphi \otimes m$  in  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  thus defined by  $f(x) = \varphi(x) \rightharpoonup m$ ; Denote by  $g$  the image of  $h \rightharpoonup (\varphi \otimes m)$  in  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  thus defined by  $g(x) = (h_2 \rightharpoonup f)(x) \rightharpoonup (S^2(h_3)mS(h_1))$ . Then  $g = h \rightharpoonup f$  according to the following computation where  $M$  is considered alternatively as a left dg  $\Lambda^e$ -module and as a dg  $\Lambda$ -bimodule

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \rightharpoonup f)(x) &= (S^2(h_3) \otimes S(h_1)) \rightharpoonup f(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x) \\
&= (S^2(h_3) \otimes S(h_1)) \rightharpoonup (\varphi(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x) \rightharpoonup m) \\
&= ((S^2(h_4) \otimes S(h_2)) \rightharpoonup \varphi(S(h_3) \rightharpoonup x)) \\
(4.1.1.3) \quad &\rightharpoonup ((S^2(h_5) \otimes S(h_1)) \rightharpoonup m) \\
&= (h_2 \rightharpoonup \varphi)(x) \rightharpoonup (S^2(h_3)mS(h_1)) \\
&= g(x).
\end{aligned}$$

□

4.2.2. The following lemma collects actions of  $H$  and  $\Lambda^e$  on objects such as  $U \otimes H^e$  and  $U \otimes H \otimes Y$  where  $U \in \text{mod}(\Delta_1)$  and  $Y \in \text{mod}(H^{\text{op}})$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $U \in \text{mod}(\Delta_1)$  and  $Y \in \text{mod}(H^{\text{op}})$ .*

- (1)  $U \otimes H^e$  has a structure of dg left  $H \otimes (\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}}$ -module where the action of  $H$  is given by  $\ell \rightharpoonup (u \otimes h \otimes k) = \ell_2 \rightharpoonup u \otimes S^2(\ell_3)h \otimes kS(\ell_1)$  and the action of  $\Lambda^e$  is given (in bimodule notation) by

$$\begin{aligned}
a(u \otimes h \otimes k)b &= ((S^{-1}(k_1) \rightharpoonup a)u(h_1 \rightharpoonup b)) \otimes h_2 \otimes k_2 \\
h'(u \otimes h \otimes k)k' &= u \otimes hk' \otimes h'k;
\end{aligned}$$

- (2) the canonical mapping  $U \otimes H^e \rightarrow U \otimes_{A^e} \Lambda^e$  is both  $H$ -linear and  $\Lambda^e$ -linear,  
(3)  $U \otimes H \otimes Y$  has a structure of dg right  $\Lambda^e$ -module such that (in bimodule notation)  $a(u \otimes \ell \otimes y)b = au(\ell_1 \rightharpoonup b) \otimes \ell_2 \otimes y$  and  $h(u \otimes \ell \otimes y)k = (h_1 \rightharpoonup u) \otimes S^2(h_2)\ell k \otimes y \leftarrow S^{-1}(h_3)$ ,  
(4) the mapping  $U \otimes H \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes_{\frac{H}{H}}(U \otimes H^e)$  defined by  $u \otimes \ell \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes (u \otimes \ell \otimes 1)$  is a  $\Lambda^e$ -linear isomorphism.

*Proof.* (1) By construction the given actions define a structure of dg left  $H \otimes (H^e)^{\text{op}}$ -module. The action of  $A^e$  may be rewritten as the following composite map

$$(U \otimes H^e) \otimes A^e \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes \tau} U \otimes A^e \otimes H^e \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes \text{Id}} U \otimes H^e$$

where  $\tau: H^e \otimes A^e \rightarrow A^e \otimes H^e$  is defined by  $\tau(h \otimes k \otimes a \otimes b) = (h_1 \rightharpoonup a \otimes S^{-1}(k_1) \rightharpoonup b) \times (h_2 \otimes k_2)$  and  $\alpha: U \otimes A^e \rightarrow U$  is induced by the right  $\Delta_1$ -module structure on  $U$ . This and (4.1.1.2) explain that the given actions in the statement of the lemma define a structure of dg right  $\Lambda^e$ -module on  $U \otimes H^e$ . Hence there only remains to prove that the given actions of  $H$  and  $A^e$  commute to prove the existence of the

announced structure. To this end, adopt the  $A$ -bimodule notation to show that  $a(\ell \rightharpoonup (u \otimes h \otimes k))b$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & a(\ell_2 \rightharpoonup u \otimes S^2(\ell_3)h \otimes kS(\ell_1))b \\ = & (((\ell_2 S^{-1}(k_1)) \rightharpoonup b)(\ell_3 \rightharpoonup u)((S^2(\ell_4)h_1) \rightharpoonup a)) \otimes S^2(\ell_5)h_2 \otimes k_2 S(\ell_1) \\ = & (\ell_2 \rightharpoonup ((S^{-1}(k_1) \rightharpoonup b)u(h_1 \rightharpoonup a))) \otimes S^2(\ell_3)h_2 \otimes k_2 S(\ell_1) \\ = & \ell \rightharpoonup (a(u \otimes h \otimes k)b). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The given mapping is  $H$ -linear (see 4.2.1). Note that the right  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure of  $U \otimes \Lambda^e$  is inherited from that of  $\Lambda^e$  itself (see the description in (1) using (4.1.1.2)). Hence the given mapping is  $\Lambda^e$ -linear.

(3) The given actions define structures of dg right  $H^e$ -module and dg right  $A^e$ -module on  $U \otimes H \otimes Y$ . They form a structure of dg right  $\Lambda^e$ -module in view of the following computations (with bimodule notation)

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1 \rightharpoonup a)(h_2(u \otimes \ell \otimes y)) &= (h_1 \rightharpoonup a)(h_2 \rightharpoonup u) \otimes S^2(h_3)\ell \otimes y \leftarrow (S^{-1}(h_3)) \\ &= h(a(u \otimes h \otimes y)) \\ ((u \otimes \ell \otimes y)(k_1 \rightharpoonup b))k_2 &= u(\ell_1 \rightharpoonup (k_1 \rightharpoonup b)) \otimes \ell_2 k_2 \otimes y \\ &= ((u \otimes \ell \otimes y)k)b. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Note the following identity in  $U \otimes H^e$

$$(4.2.2.1) \quad (u \otimes h \otimes k) = S^{-1}(k_3) \rightharpoonup (k_1 \rightharpoonup u \otimes S^2(k_2)h \otimes 1),$$

The structure of dg right  $\Lambda^e$ -module of  $Y \otimes (U \otimes H^e)$  is inherited from that of  $U \otimes H^e$ . The given mapping is readily  $A^e$ -linear and it is  $H^e$ -linear because of (4.2.2.1). Thus  $U \otimes H \otimes Y \rightarrow (U \otimes H^e) \otimes Y$  is  $\Lambda^e$ -linear. Also it is bijective with inverse the mapping  $Y \otimes (U \otimes H^e) \rightarrow U \otimes H \otimes Y$  defined by  $y \otimes (u \otimes h \otimes k) \mapsto k_1 \rightharpoonup u \otimes S^2(k_2)h \otimes y \leftarrow S^{-1}(k_3)$  (see (4.2.2.1)).  $\square$

**4.3. Preliminaries on homological algebra.** This subsection gives some needed material to manipulate  $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$  and transform it with adjunctions. It also proves that  $\Lambda$  is homologically smooth if  $A$  and  $H$  are so.

4.3.1. The following result gives sufficient conditions for  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  to be homotopically injective in  $\mathrm{mod}(H)$  given  $M \in \mathrm{mod}(\Lambda^e)$  and  $X \in \mathrm{mod}(\Delta_0)$ . Note that the right  $H$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  is taken from 4.2.1 (part (2)).

**Lemma.** *Let  $X \in \mathrm{mod}(\Delta_0)$  and  $M \in \mathrm{mod}(\Lambda^e)$ . For every  $N \in \mathrm{mod}(H)$  there is a natural structure of dg left  $\Delta_0$ -module on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(Y, M)$  such that (functorially)*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(Y, M)).$$

*As a consequence,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$  is homotopically injective in  $\mathrm{mod}(H)$  if  $X$  is homotopically projective in  $\mathrm{mod}(\Delta_0)$ .*

*Proof.* On the one hand, the following action of  $H$  on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, M)$  is a dg left  $H$ -module structure

$$(h \rightharpoonup f)(n) = h_1 f(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup n) S(h_3).$$

On the other hand, the dg left  $A^e$ -module structure of  $M$  induces a dg left  $A^e$ -module structure on  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(N, M)$ . These structures form a dg left  $\Delta_0$ -module structure. This follows from the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} (h \rightharpoonup ((a \otimes b) \rightharpoonup f))(n) &= h_1 a f(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup n) b S(h_3) \\ &= (h_1 \rightharpoonup a) h_2 f(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup n) S(h_4) (h_5 \rightharpoonup b) \\ &= ((h_1 \rightharpoonup a)(h_2 \rightharpoonup f)(h_3 \rightharpoonup b))(n). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_H(N, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M))$  and define  $\mu \in \text{Hom}_k(X, \text{Hom}_k(N, M))$  by  $\mu(x)(y) = \lambda(y)(x)$ . Then  $\mu$  is  $\Delta_0$ -linear. Indeed  $\mu(axb)(n) = \lambda(n)(axb) = a\lambda(n)(x)b = a\mu(x)(n)b = (a\mu(x)b)(n)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (h \rightharpoonup \mu(x))(n) &= h_1\mu(x)(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup n)S(h_3) \\ &= h_1\lambda(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup n)(x)S(h_3) \\ &= h_1(S^{-1}(h_2) \rightharpoonup \lambda(n))(x)S(h_3) \\ &= h_1S(h_2)\lambda(n)(h_3 \rightharpoonup x)h_3S(h_4) \\ &= \mu(h \rightharpoonup x)(n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus letting  $F(\lambda)(x)(n) = \lambda(n)(x)$  defines an injective mapping

$$F: \text{Hom}_H(N, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(X, \text{Hom}_k(N, M)).$$

Let  $\mu \in \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(X, \text{Hom}_k(N, M))$ . For every  $n$  define  $\lambda(n) \in \text{Hom}_k(X, M)$  by  $\lambda(n)(x) = \mu(x)(n)$ , then  $\lambda(n)$  is  $A^e$ -linear because  $\mu$  is  $\Delta_0$  linear; Moreover the mapping  $N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M)$ ,  $n \mapsto \lambda(n)$  is  $H$ -linear:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \rightharpoonup \lambda(n))(x) &= S^2(h_3)\lambda(n)(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x)S(h_1) \\ &= S^2(h_3)\mu(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup x)(n)S(h_1) \\ &= S^2(h_3)(S(h_2) \rightharpoonup \mu(x))(n)S(h_1) \\ &= S^2(h_5)S(h_4)\mu(x)(h_3 \rightharpoonup n)S^2(h_2)S(h_1) \\ &= \lambda(h \rightharpoonup n)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_H(N, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, M))$  and  $F(\lambda) = \mu$ . The functorial isomorphism in the statement of the lemma is induced by  $F$ . The last assertion of the lemma follows from standard arguments of homological algebra.  $\square$

4.3.2. The following result asserts that  $\Lambda$  is homologically smooth if  $A$  and  $H$  are so. For ordinary algebras this was already proved in [17, Proposition 2.11].

**Lemma.** *If  ${}_Hk \in \text{per}(H)$  and  $A \in \text{per}(A^e)$  then  $\Lambda \in \text{per}(\Lambda^e)$*

*Proof.* Let  $P \rightarrow A$  be a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(\Delta_0)$ . This is also a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(A^e)$  because  $\Delta_0 \simeq A^e \otimes H$  as left dg  $A^e$ -modules. Moreover the induced morphism  $\Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} P \rightarrow \Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} A \simeq \Lambda$  is a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda^e)$  (see 4.1.3). Let  $Q \rightarrow {}_Hk$  be a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(H^{\text{op}})$ . To prove the statement it suffices to prove that  $\Lambda$  is compact in  $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^e)$ , that is, for any given family  $(M_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda^e)$  with direct sum denoted by  $M$ , the canonical mapping  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} P, M_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} P, M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism. By classical adjunction this reduces to proving that the canonical mapping  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

Note that if  $N$  is either  $M$  or one of the  $M_i$  then  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, N)$  has a structure of left dg  $H$ -module that is functorial in  $N$  (see 4.2.1, part (2)) such that there is a functorial isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_H({}_Hk, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, N))$ .

Since  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M)$  is homotopically injective in  $\text{mod}(H)$  (see 4.3.1) the functorial isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_H({}_Hk, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M))$  induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M)).$$

Since  $P \rightarrow A$  is a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(A^e)$  and since  $A \in \text{per}(A^e)$  the canonical mapping  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Therefore it induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_H(Q, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M_i)) \xrightarrow{\mu_1} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M)).$$

Now using that  $Q \rightarrow {}_Hk$  is a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(H)$  and that  ${}_Hk \in \text{per}(H)$  entails that the following canonical mapping is a quasi-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M_i)) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M_i)).$$

The analogues of  $\lambda$  for the  $M_i$  (instead of for  $M$ ) give rise to a quasi-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M_i) \xrightarrow{\nu} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M_i)).$$

Therefore the canonical mapping  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M)$  is a quasi-isomorphism because it fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M_i) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, M) \\ \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \lambda \\ \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M_i)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_1 \circ \mu_2} & \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, M)). \end{array}$$

As explained earlier this entails that  $\Lambda$  is compact in  $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^e)$ , and hence that  $\Lambda \in \text{per}(\Lambda^e)$ .  $\square$

**4.4. Additional structure on the inverse dualising complex of  $A$ .** The following is a direct consequence of 4.2.1 and 4.3.1. Recall that if  $X \in \text{Diff}(\Delta_0)$  then  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(X, A^e)$  is a left dg  $\Delta_1$ -module by combining its natural structure of dg  $A$ -bimodule structure the structure of left dg  $H$ -module defined by  $(h \rightarrow f)(x) = (S^2(h_3) \otimes S(h_1)) \rightarrow f(S(h_2) \rightarrow x)$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be a differential graded algebra acted on by a Hopf algebra  $H$  with invertible antipode  $S$ .*

- (1) *There is  $D_A \in \text{Diff}(\Delta_1)$  such that  $D_A \simeq \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  in  $\mathcal{D}((A^e)^{\text{op}})$ .*
- (2)  *$D_A$  may be assumed to be cofibrant over  $A^e$  if  $A$  is homologically smooth.*

*More precisely if  $P \rightarrow A$  is a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(\Delta_0)$  then  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e)$  satisfies (1) and it is cofibrant over  $A^e$  if  $A$  is homologically smooth.*

#### 4.5. An expression of an inverse dualising object of $\Lambda$ .

4.5.1. Here is a description of an inverse dualising object of  $A\sharp H$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  an  $H$ -module dg algebra where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode.. Assume that both  $A$  and  $H$  are homologically smooth. Then  $\Lambda$  is homologically smooth and  $D_A \otimes H \otimes E_H$  is a cofibrant replacement of  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$  where*

- $D_A$  is as in 4.4,
- $E_H \in \text{Diff}(H^{\text{op}})$  is any cofibrant replacement of  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_H({}_Hk, H)$ ,
- the right dg  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure is given (with bimodule notation) by

$$(4.5.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a(d \otimes \ell \otimes e)b &= ad(\ell_1 \rightarrow b) \otimes \ell_2 \otimes e \\ h(d \otimes \ell \otimes e)k &= h_1 \rightarrow d \otimes S^2(h_2)\ell k \otimes e \leftarrow S^{-1}(h_3). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $P \rightarrow A$  be a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(\Delta_0)$ . Let  $Q \rightarrow {}_Hk$  be a cofibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}(H)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e)$  may be used for  $D_A$  (see 4.4) and  $\text{Hom}_{H^{\text{op}}}(Q, H)$  may be used for  $E_H$ . Let  $\Lambda^e \rightarrow I$  be a fibrant replacement in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^e)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, I)$  is an inverse dualising complex for  $\Lambda$  (with right  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure inherited by that of  $I$ ).

Since  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda^e \otimes_{\Delta_0} A$  in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda^e)$  (see 4.1.3) there is an isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, I) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(A, I).$$

Since  $(\Lambda^e)^e \simeq \Delta_0 \otimes A^e \otimes H^{\otimes 3}$  as left dg  $\Delta_0$ -modules,  $I$  is cofibrant in  $\text{mod}(\Delta_0)$ . Hence the quasi-isomorphism  $P \rightarrow A$  induces a quasi-isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(A, I) \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} \text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, I).$$

Using the functorial construction in 4.2.1 (part (2)), the structure of dg right  $\Lambda^e$ -module on  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)$  may be extended to a structure of dg left  $H \otimes (\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}}$ -module structure such that there is an isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_{\Delta_0}(P, I) \simeq \text{Hom}_H({}_H k, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)).$$

It follows from 4.3.1 that  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)$  is homotopically injective in  $\text{mod}(H)$ . Therefore  $Q \rightarrow {}_H k$  induces a quasi-isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_H({}_H k, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)) \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)).$$

Like for  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)$ , the structure of dg right  $\Lambda^e$ -module of  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, \Lambda^e)$  extends to a structure of left  $H \otimes (\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}}$ -module and the  $\Lambda^e - \Lambda^e$ -linear quasi-isomorphism  $\Lambda^e \rightarrow I$  induces a quasi-isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, \Lambda^e)) \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, I)).$$

Thanks to 4.2.1 there is a structure of dg left  $H$ -module on  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} \Lambda^e$  such that the canonical mapping  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} \Lambda^e \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, \Lambda^e)$  is  $H$ -linear. This is a quasi-isomorphism because  $A \in \text{per}(A^e)$ . And it is  $\Lambda^e$ -linear (to the right) by functoriality of the involved constructions. It therefore induces a quasi-isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} \Lambda^e) \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, \Lambda^e)).$$

Using the structure of dg left  $\Delta_1$ -module on  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e)$  introduced in 4.2.1, it follows from 4.2.2 that  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H^e$  has a structure of dg left  $H \otimes (\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}}$ -module such that the canonical mapping  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H^e \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} \Lambda^e$  is both  $H$ -linear and  $\Lambda^e$ -linear. It is an isomorphism because  $\Lambda^e \simeq A^e \otimes H^e$  as dg left  $A^e$ -modules. Therefore it induces an isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$\text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H^e) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes_{A^e} \Lambda^e).$$

Since  ${}_H k \in \text{per}(H)$  the following canonical mapping is a quasi-isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$

$$(\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H^e) \otimes_H \text{Hom}_H(Q, H) \xrightarrow{\text{qis}} \text{Hom}_H(Q, \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H^e).$$

Finally using the structure of dg left  $\Delta_1$ -module on  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e)$  introduced in 4.2.1 there is an associated right  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure on  $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H \otimes \text{Hom}_H(Q, H)$  introduced in 4.2.2 (part (3)). For this structure there is an isomorphism in  $\text{mod}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$  (see 4.2.2, part (4))

$$\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H \otimes \text{Hom}_H(Q, H) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_H(Q, H) \otimes_H (\text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H^e).$$

Therefore  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \simeq \text{Hom}_{A^e}(P, A^e) \otimes H \otimes \text{Hom}_H(Q, H)$  in  $\mathcal{D}((\Lambda^e)^{\text{op}})$  for the structure given in 4.2.2, part (3).  $\square$

4.5.2. The description of an inverse dualising complex of  $\Lambda^e$  made in 4.5.1 gets simpler when  $H$  satisfies the left Artin-Schelter condition. Indeed, keep the setting of 4.5.1 and assume that there exists a natural integer  $d$  such that  $\dim \text{Ext}_H^i({}_H k, H)$  equals 1 if  $i = d$  and 0 otherwise. The left homological integral is  $\int_\ell = \text{Ext}_H^d({}_H k, H)$ . Also denote by  $\int_\ell: H \rightarrow k$  the algebra homomorphism such that the right  $H$ -module structure of  $\text{Ext}_H^d({}_H k, H)$  is given by  $\alpha \leftarrow h = \int_\ell(h)\alpha$ . Let  $D_A \in \text{mod}(\Delta_1)$  be such that  $D_A \simeq \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  in  $\mathcal{D}((A^e)^{\text{op}})$ . Given that  $\Xi_{\int_\ell \circ S^{-1}}^r = (\Xi_{\int_\ell}^r)^{-1}$  the conclusion of 4.5.1 entails that  $\Lambda$  admits as inverse dualising complex  $D_A \otimes H[-d]$  with right  $\Lambda^e$ -module structure given by

$$(4.5.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a(d \otimes \ell)b &= (ad(\ell_1 \rightarrow b)) \otimes \ell_2 \\ h(d \otimes \ell)k &= (h_1 \rightarrow d) \otimes (S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{\int_\ell}^r)^{-1}(h_2)\ell k. \end{aligned}$$

## 5. APPLICATION TO CONSTRUCTIONS OF CALABI-YAU ALGEBRAS

This section applies the main result of Section 4 to examine the behaviour of (deformed) Calabi-Yau completions ([14]) under smash products with cocommutative Hopf algebras.

Consider the following construction where  $A$  is a homologically smooth dg algebra and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $D$  be a cofibrant replacement of  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(A^e)$ . Following [14, Theorem 4.8] the dg algebra  $T_A(D[n-1])$  is  $n$ -Calabi-Yau. Up to a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras it does not depend on the choice of  $D$ . It is called the  $n$ -Calabi-Yau *completion* of  $A$  and denoted by  $\Pi_n(A)$ .

This construction allows deformations. If, in addition, an element  $c \in \text{HH}_{n-2}(A)$  is given then the *deformed* Calabi-Yau completion  $\Pi_n(A, c)$  is defined as follows [14, 5.1]. Since  $A$  is homologically smooth, there is an isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A^e)}(D[n-1], A[1]) \rightarrow \text{HH}_{n-2}(A)$ . Since  $D$  is cofibrant in  $\mathcal{D}(A^e)$  the element  $c$  may therefore be represented by a morphism of dg  $A$ -bimodules  $\delta: D[n-1] \rightarrow A[1]$ . Denote by  $d_A$  and  $d_D$  the differentials of  $A$  and  $D$ , respectively. Then there exists a unique square-zero derivation of degree 1 on the graded algebra  $T_A(D[n-1])$  such that its restrictions to  $A$  and to  $D[n-1]$  equal  $d_A$  and  $d_D + \delta$ , respectively. By definition the deformed Calabi-Yau completion  $\Pi_n(A, c)$  is the graded algebra  $T_A(D[n-1])$  with differential that square-zero derivation. Following [14, Theorem 5.2] it is  $n$ -Calabi-Yau.

In this section  $H$  denotes a cocommutative and Calabi-Yau Hopf algebra with dimension  $d$ , and  $A$  is a homologically smooth  $H$ -module dg algebra. Denote  $A\sharp H$  by  $\Lambda$ . Following 4.4, let  $D$  be an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant dg  $A$ -bimodule which is a cofibrant replacement of  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  (note that  $S^2 = \text{Id}$ ). Accordingly,  $T_A(D[n-1])$  is identified with  $n$ -Calabi-Yau completion  $\Pi_n(A)$  and  $D\sharp H[d]$  is a cofibrant replacement of  $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$  (see 2.4.4 and 4.5.2).

### 5.1. Calabi-Yau completions.

**Proposition.** *Under the setting introduced previously, there exists a structure of  $H$ -module dg algebra on  $\Pi_n(A) = T_A(D[n-1])$  which extends the one of  $A$  and which is such that*

$$h \rightarrow (d_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A d_N) = h_1 \rightarrow d_1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A h_N \rightarrow d_N$$

for every  $h \in H$  and  $h_1, \dots, h_N \in D$ . For this structure there is an isomorphism of dg algebras

$$\Pi_n(A)\sharp H \simeq \Pi_{n+d}(A\sharp H).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the considerations in 3.4.2. □

**5.2. Deformed Calabi-Yau completions.** Recall that semi-simple algebras are Calabi-Yau of dimension 0.

**Proposition.** *Keep the setting introduced previously. Assume moreover that  $H$  is semi-simple and let  $c \in \text{HH}_{n-2}(A)^H$ . Then there exists  $\delta \in \text{Hom}_{A^e}(D[n-1], A[1])$  that represents  $c$  and is moreover  $H$ -linear. Let  $\Pi_n(A, c)$  be the resulting deformed Calabi-Yau completion. Then the action of  $H$  on  $\Pi_n(A)$  introduced in 5.1 defines a structure of  $H$ -module dg algebra on  $\Pi_n(A, c)$  and there is an isomorphism of dg algebras*

$$\Pi_n(A, c)\sharp H \simeq \Pi_n(A\sharp H, \bar{c})$$

where  $\bar{c}$  is the image of  $c$  under the mapping  $\text{HH}_{n-2}(A)^H \rightarrow \text{HH}_{n-2}(A\sharp H)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is semi-simple and  $c \in \text{HH}_{n-2}(A)^H$  there exists  $\delta \in \text{Hom}_{A^e}(D[n-1], A[1])$  that represents  $c$  and is such that  $h \rightarrow \delta = \epsilon(h)\delta$  for every  $h \in H$ . Accordingly,  $\delta$  is  $H$ -linear. Therefore so is  $d_D[n-1] + \delta: D[n-1] \rightarrow A[1] + D[n]$ . Hence, the induced square-zero derivation on  $T_A(D[n-1])$  is  $H$ -linear. This shows that the action given in 5.1 on  $T_A(D[n-1])$  makes of  $\Pi_n(A, c)$  an  $H$ -module dg algebra. According to 5.1,  $\Pi_n(\Lambda, \bar{c})$  and  $\Pi_n(A, c)\sharp H$  are isomorphic as graded algebras.

The space  $\text{HH}_{n-2}(\Lambda)$  identifies with  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}((\Lambda)^e)}(D\sharp H[n-1], A\sharp H[1])$ . Under this identification  $\bar{c}$  is represented by the morphism of dg  $\Lambda^e$ -modules  $D\sharp H[n-1] \rightarrow A\sharp H[1]$  defined by  $d \otimes h \mapsto \delta(d) \otimes h$  (recall that  $D\sharp H$  is a cofibrant in  $\mathcal{D}((\Lambda)^e)$ ). In view of the definition of the differentials of  $\Pi_n(A, c)$  and  $\Pi_n(A, \bar{c})$ , this shows that  $\Pi_n(A, c)\sharp H \simeq \Pi_n(\Lambda, \bar{c})$  as dg algebras.  $\square$

## 6. APPLICATION TO VAN DEN BERGH DUALITY

Assume that  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra. This section studies when  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  has Van den Bergh duality (in 6.1) or is skew-Calabi-Yau (in 6.3). In the latter case a Nakayama automorphism is given for  $\Lambda$ . This is based on the notion of weak homological determinant given in 6.2 and that extends the definition of homological determinant when the latter may not be defined properly. Finally a characterisation of when  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau is given in 6.4.

**6.1. The inverse dualising bimodule.** Following 4.4, when the antipode of  $H$  is invertible the cohomology space  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^i(A, A^e)$  is an  $H_{S^2}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule (equivalently a left  $\Delta_1$ -module). Recall 2.4.2 that a Hopf algebra with Van den Bergh duality has an invertible antipode. The following is a consequence of 4.5.2 and 3.5. Note that in the particular case where  $H$  is Calabi-Yau, it is proved in [6, Theorem 17] that  $\Lambda$  has Van den Bergh duality.

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra. Assume that  $A$  and  $H$  have Van den Bergh duality with dimension  $n$  and  $d$ , respectively. Then  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  has Van den Bergh duality with dimension  $n + d$  and there is an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -bimodules*

$$\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^{n+d}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \simeq \text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)\sharp^{(S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{f_e}^r)^{-1}} H$$

To be more precise, in the setting of the previous proposition,  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}^{n+d}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e)$  is isomorphic to the vector space  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e) \otimes H$  endowed with the following structure of  $\Lambda$ -bimodule.

$$(6.1.0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} a(d \otimes \ell)b &= (ad(\ell_1 \rightarrow b)) \otimes \ell_2 \\ h(d \otimes \ell)k &= (h_1 \rightarrow d) \otimes (S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{f_e}^r)^{-1}(h_2)\ell k. \end{aligned}$$



**6.2. (Weak) homological determinants.** Assume that the antipode of  $H$  is invertible and that  $A$  is skew-Calabi-Yau with dimension  $n$ . Weak homological determinants are introduced here to express a Nakayama automorphism for  $\Lambda$ . They are always defined unlike homological determinants. Also they coincide with the homological determinant of the Hopf-module algebras investigated in [15, 12, 18].

Fix a free generator  $e_A$  of the left  $A$ -module  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$ . Denote by  $f_A$  the morphism of left  $A$ -modules  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e) \rightarrow A$  defined by  $f_A(e_A) = 1$ . Note that the inverse of the invertible  $A$ -bimodule  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e), A)$ . In what follows both are identified and considered with the structure of  $H_{S^{-2}}$ -equivariant  $A$ -bimodule introduced in 3.3, part (1).

Note that the generator  $e_A$  determines a unique Nakayama automorphism of  $A$ , say  $\mu_A$ , such that the following identity holds:  $e_A a = \mu_A(a) e_A$ .

6.2.1. The definition of the weak homological determinant is made possible by the following technical result.

**Lemma.** *In the setting introduced previously*

- (1)  $f_A$  is a free generator of the right  $A$ -module  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e), A)$ ,
- (2) if  $\lambda, w: H \rightarrow A$  are the mappings such that the following identities hold in  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  and  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e), A)$ , respectively

$$\begin{cases} h \rightharpoonup e_A &= \lambda(h) e_A \\ h \rightharpoonup f_A &= f_A w(h). \end{cases}$$

then they are connected by the following relations

$$\begin{cases} w(h) &= S^{-2}(h_2) \rightharpoonup \lambda(S^{-3}(h_1)) \\ \lambda(h) &= h_1 \rightharpoonup w(S^3(h_2)). \end{cases}$$

- (3)  $w$  satisfies the identity  $w(hk) = w(h_1)(h_2 \rightharpoonup w(k))$  in  $A$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from the fact that  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  is an invertible  $A$ -bimodule.

(2) Note that  $\lambda$  and  $w$  do exist and are unique because  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  is free as a left  $A$ -module. Computing  $(h \rightharpoonup f)(e_A)$  using, on the one hand, the definition of  $w$  and, on the other hand, the definition of  $h \rightharpoonup f_A$  (3.3, part (1)) yields the first one of the two stated identities. The second identity follows from the first one.

(3) follows from the definition of  $w$ . □

6.2.2. Call the *weak homological determinant* associated with  $e_A$  the linear mapping  $\text{whdet}: H \rightarrow A$  that satisfies the following identity in  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e), A)$

$$h \rightharpoonup f_A = f_A \text{whdet}(h).$$

Hence the identity

$$(6.2.2.1) \quad h \rightharpoonup e_A = (h_1 \rightharpoonup \text{whdet}(S^3(h_2))) e_A$$

holds in  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$ . Note that the weak homological determinant is compatible with the multiplicative structure of  $H$  and  $A$  in the following sense

$$(6.2.2.2) \quad \text{whdet}(hk) = \text{whdet}(h_1)(h_2 \rightharpoonup \text{whdet}(k)).$$

In view of expressing a Nakayama automorphism of  $\Lambda$ , denote by  $\theta_{\text{whdet}}$  the mapping  $H \rightarrow \Lambda$  defined by

$$(6.2.2.3) \quad \theta_{\text{whdet}}(h) = \text{hdet} \circ S^2(h_1) h_2.$$

A weak homological determinant is uniquely determined by the choice of a generator  $e_A \in \text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  and distinct choices of generators may yield distinct weak homological determinants.

**Lemma.** *Let  $e'_A$  be free generator of the left  $A$ -module  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  and let  $\text{whdet}'$  be the associated weak homological determinant. Let  $a_0 \in A^\times$  be such that  $e'_A = a_0 e_A$ . Then  $\text{whdet}$  and  $\text{whdet}'$  are connected to each other by the identity*

$$(6.2.2.4) \quad \text{whdet}'(h) = a_0^{-1} \text{whdet}(h_1)(h_2 \rightarrow a_0).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f'_A$  be the morphism of left  $A$ -modules  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e) \rightarrow A$  such that  $f'_A(e'_A) = 1$ . Then  $f'_A = f_A a_0$ . In view of the definition of  $\text{whdet}$  and  $\text{whdet}'$  the following identity holds:  $a_0 \text{whdet}'(h) = \text{whdet}(h_1)(h_2 \rightarrow a_0)$ . Whence the conclusion.  $\square$

6.2.3. Assume as a particular case that  $k \cdot e_A$  is an  $H$ -submodule of  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$ . Then  $k \cdot f_A$  is an  $H$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_A(\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e), A)$ . As a consequence,  $\text{whdet}$  takes its values in  $k$  (and not only in  $A$ ). Then the action of  $H$  on  $A$  will be said to *have a homological determinant* (and, of course,  $\text{whdet}$  is denoted by  $\text{hdet}$ ).

In the setting of [12], [15] or [18] the considered  $H$ -module algebras have a homological determinant in the sense defined previously and the definition of the homological determinant given here coincides with the definition used in these texts.

When the action of  $H$  on  $A$  has a homological determinant,  $\text{hdet}: H \rightarrow k$  is an algebra homomorphism (see (6.2.2.2)), the action of  $H$  on  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$  satisfies the identity  $h \rightarrow e_A = \text{hdet}(S(h))$  and  $\theta_{\text{hdet}} = \Xi_{\text{hdet}}^\ell$ .

The following result is a direct consequence of the one stated in 6.2.2. It describes the possible shapes of the weak homological determinants when the action of  $H$  on  $A$  admits  $\epsilon$  as a homological determinant. This is used in the sequel to determine when  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau.

**Lemma.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\epsilon$  is a homological determinant of the action of  $H$  on  $A$ ,
- (2) there exists  $a_0 \in A^\times$  such that  $\text{whdet}$  satisfies the identity  $\text{whdet}(h) = a_0(h \rightarrow a_0^{-1})$ .

6.3. **Nakayama automorphisms of  $A\sharp H$ .** This subsection gives sufficient conditions for  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  to be skew-Calabi-Yau and gives a Nakayama automorphism for  $\Lambda$ . Recall that the antipode is invertible as soon as  $H$  has Van den Bergh duality 2.4.2. Note that the given Nakayama automorphism gets simpler when there exists a homological determinant. This simpler description was first established for generalised  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded Artin-Schelter Gorenstein algebras in [18, Theorem 4.1].

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra where  $H$  is a Hopf algebra. Assume that  $A$  and  $H$  are skew-Calabi-Yau with dimension  $n$  and  $d$ , respectively. Then  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  is skew-Calabi-Yau with dimension  $n + d$  and admits a Nakayama automorphism  $\mu_\Lambda$  given by*

$$(6.3.0.1) \quad \mu_\Lambda(ah) = \mu_A(a)\theta_{\text{whdet}} \circ \mu_H(h)$$

where  $\mu_A$  is the Nakayama automorphism of  $A$  and  $\text{whdet}: H \rightarrow A$  is the weak homological determinant associated to any generator of  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}^n(A, A^e)$ , and  $\mu_H = S^{-2} \circ \Xi_{\epsilon}^r$ .

If, moreover, the action of  $H$  on  $A$  as a homological determinant 6.2.3, then

$$(6.3.0.2) \quad \mu_{A\sharp H} = \mu_A\sharp \Xi_{\text{hdet}}^\ell \circ \mu_H.$$

*Proof.* First note (6.1) that  $\Lambda$  has Van den Bergh duality with dimension  $n + d$  and  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda^e}(\Lambda, \Lambda^e) \simeq \text{Ext}_{A^e}(A, A^e)\sharp^{\mu_H^{-1}}H$ . Let  $e_A \in \text{Ext}_{A^e}(A, A^e)$  be a right  $A$ -module generator satisfying the identity  $e_A a = \mu_A(a)e_A$ . The element  $e_A \otimes 1$  is a free generator of  $\text{Ext}_{A^e}(A, A^e)\sharp^{\mu_H^{-1}}H$  as a right  $\Lambda$ -module. Hence, to prove the

result it suffices to show that the mapping  $\mu_\Lambda$  satisfies the following identity in  $\text{Ext}_{A^\epsilon}(A, A^\epsilon) \sharp^{\mu_H^{-1}} H$

$$(e_A \otimes 1)(ah) = \mu_\Lambda(ah)(e_A \otimes 1).$$

This is done in the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} (e_A \otimes 1)(ah) &= (e_A a \otimes h) \\ &= \mu_A(a)(e_A \otimes h) \\ &= \mu_A(a) \\ &\quad ((\mu_H(h)_2 \rightarrow (S^{-1}(\mu_H(h)_1) \rightarrow e_A)) \otimes \mu_H^{-1}(\mu_H(h)_3)) \\ &= \mu_A(a) \mu_H(h)_2 (S^{-1}(\mu_H(h)_1) \rightarrow e_A \otimes 1) \\ &\stackrel{(6.2.2.1)}{=} \mu_A(a) \mu_H(h)_3 (S^{-1}(\mu_H(h)_2) \rightarrow \text{whdet} \circ S^2(\mu_H(h)_1)) \\ &\quad (e_A \otimes 1) \\ &= \mu_\Lambda(ah)(e_A \otimes 1). \end{aligned}$$

The description of  $\mu_{A \sharp H}$  when the action of  $H$  on  $A$  has a homological determinant follows from the discussion in 6.3.  $\square$

**6.4. When is  $A \sharp H$  Calabi-Yau?** The algebra  $A$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if it is skew-Calabi-Yau and any Nakayama automorphism is inner (equivalently, the identity map is a Nakayama automorphism). Using 6.3 it is possible to characterise when  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau assuming that  $A$  is skew-Calabi-Yau and  $H$  is Calabi-Yau.

6.4.1.

**Lemma.** *Keep the hypotheses made in 6.3 as well as the notation. Assume moreover that the action of  $H$  on  $A$  has a homological determinant  $\text{hdet}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ , and that  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau.*

- (1)  $\text{hdet} \circ \mu_H = \epsilon$ ,
- (2) if, moreover,  $A$  is connected graded, then

$$(6.4.1.1) \quad (\exists h_A \in H^\times) \quad (\forall a \in A) \quad \mu_A(a) = h_A \rightarrow a.$$

*Proof.* (1) The Nakayama automorphism  $(\mu_\Lambda)$  of  $\Lambda$  given in 6.3 is inner because  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau. Hence there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda^\times$  such that the following identities hold in  $\Lambda$

$$(6.4.1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mu_\Lambda(h) = \Xi_{\text{hdet}}^\ell \circ \mu_H(h) = \lambda h \lambda^{-1} \\ \mu_\Lambda(a) = \mu_A(a) = \lambda a \lambda^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $p: A \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$  the augmentation of  $A$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{M}$  its kernel. Since  $\mathfrak{M} \otimes H$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\Lambda$  and since  $\lambda$  is invertible there exists  $k, k' \in H$  such that  $\lambda \in k + \mathfrak{M} \otimes H$  and  $\lambda^{-1} \in k' + \mathfrak{M} \otimes H$ . For the same reason,  $k$  is invertible in  $H$  and  $k'$  is its inverse. Let  $h \in H$  then  $\lambda h \lambda^{-1} \in k h k^{-1} + \mathfrak{M} \otimes H$ ; Consequently  $p \otimes \epsilon(\lambda h \lambda^{-1}) = \epsilon(h)$ ; Since (6.4.1.2) entails that  $p \otimes \epsilon(\lambda h \lambda^{-1}) = \text{hdet} \circ \mu_H(h)$  it follows that  $\Xi_{\text{hdet}}^\ell \circ \mu_H(h) = \epsilon(h)$ .

(2) Assume that  $A$  is connected graded. Let  $a \in A$  be homogeneous, then  $\mu_A(a) = k a k^{-1} = (k_1 \rightarrow a) k_2 k^{-1}$  in  $\Lambda$  because  $\mu_A: A \rightarrow A$  is homogeneous of degree 0; Applying  $\text{Id}_A \otimes \epsilon: \Lambda \rightarrow A$  yields the equality  $\mu_A(a) = \epsilon(k^{-1})k \rightarrow a$ . Thus (6.4.1.1) holds true taking  $h_A = \epsilon(k^{-1})k$ .  $\square$

Keep the setting of part (2) in the previous result. Since  $\mu_A$  is homogeneous of degree 0 then  $\mu_\Lambda(A_n \otimes H) = A_n \otimes H$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore one may assume in the previous proof that  $\lambda = h_A$  when  $A$  is connected graded.

6.4.2. The following result relates the fact that  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau to properties on the homological determinant when it exists. Note that part (2) is proved in [17, Theorem 2.2] in the more particular case where  $H$  is cocommutative and  $A$  is  $p$ -Koszul. Note also that the main result of [10] asserts that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra (over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero) and if  $G$  is a finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\sharp kG$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is Calabi-Yau and  $G$  is contained in  $\mathrm{SL}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  be an augmented  $H$ -module algebra. Assume that  $A$  is skew-Calabi-Yau and  $H$  is Calabi-Yau. Assume also that the action of  $H$  on  $A$  admits a homological determinant. Let  $\mu_A$  be a Nakayama automorphism and  $\mathrm{hdet}$  be an associated homological determinant. Let  $h_0 \in H^\times$  be such that  $S^{-2}$  is the inner automorphism of  $h_0$  (2.4.4).*

- (1) *If  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau then  $\mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon$ .*  
(2) *If  $A$  is connected graded then*

$$\Lambda \text{ is Calabi-Yau} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon \\ (\exists k_A \in Z(H^\times))(\forall a \in A) \mu_A(a) = (h_0 k_A) \rightharpoonup a. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (1) If  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau then  $\mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon$  because  $\mathrm{hdet} \circ \mu_H = \epsilon$  (see 6.4.1),  $\mu_H$  is an inner automorphism and  $\mathrm{hdet}$  is an algebra homomorphism (see 6.2.3).

(2) Assume that  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau. Following 6.4.1 and the the final remark made there, there exists  $h_A \in H^\times$  such that the following identities hold in  $H$  and  $A$ , respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\mathrm{hdet}}^\ell \circ \mu_H(h) &= h_A h h_A^{-1} \\ \mu_A(a) &= h_A \rightharpoonup a. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon$  and  $\mu_H$  is the inner automorphism of  $H$  associated with  $h_0$ , the first identity implies that  $h_0^{-1} h_A \in Z(H^\times)$ . Set  $k_A = h_0^{-1} h_A$ . Then  $k_A \in Z(H^\times)$  and satisfies the identity  $\mu_A(a) = (h_0 k_A) \rightharpoonup a$  in  $A$ .

Conversely assume that  $\mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon$  and that there exists  $k_A \in Z(H^\times)$  such that the identity  $\mu_A(a) = (h_0 k_A) \rightharpoonup a$  holds in  $A$ . Then the Nakayama automorphism of  $\Lambda$  given in 6.3 is inner (and associated to  $h_0 k_A \in \Lambda^\times$ ). Therefore  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau.  $\square$

6.4.3. When the  $H$  is cocommutative and Calabi-Yau and  $A$  is connected graded, the formulation of the previous result simplifies so that it is worth mentioning it.

The following result was proved previously by several authors with additional assumptions

- in [24] assuming that  $A$  is  $p$ -Koszul Calabi-Yau and that  $H = kG$  for any finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathrm{Aut}_{k\text{-alg}}(A)$
- in [17] assuming that  $A$  is  $p$ -Koszul and Calabi-Yau,
- in [11, Corollary 3.4] assuming that  $A$  is  $p$ -Koszul and Calabi-Yau and  $H = (kG)^*$  for any finite group  $G$ .

Besides, it is worth mentioning [10, Theorem 3.4] which proves a similar characterisation when  $A = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  for any finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $H = kG$  for any finite subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$  (such that  $kG$  is semi-simple); Note that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  need not be connected graded.

**Corollary.** *Let  $H$  be Calabi-Yau cocommutative Hopf algebra. Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module graded connected skew-Calabi-Yau algebra. Let  $\mathrm{hdet}$  be a homological determinant for the action of  $H$  on  $A$ . The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i)  *$A\sharp H$  is Calabi-Yau*  
(ii)  *$\mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon$  and  $\mu_A$  is given by  $\mu_A(a) = k_A \rightharpoonup a$  for some  $k_A \in Z(H^\times)$ .*

*In particular, if  $A$  is Calabi-Yau, then  $\Lambda$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if  $\mathrm{hdet} = \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Note that since  $A$  is connected graded any weak homological determinant of the action of  $H$  on  $A$  is actually a homological determinant (that is, with values in  $k$ ). For the same reason,  $A$  is Calabi-Yau if and only if any Nakayama automorphism is the identity map  $A \rightarrow A$ . The conclusion therefore follows from these considerations and 6.4.2.  $\square$

## 7. APPLICATIONS TO ARTIN-SCHELTER ALGEBRAS

Assume that  $A$  is an augmented  $k$ -algebra and that the antipode of  $H$  is bijective. This section investigates when  $A$  has (left or right) Artin-Schelter property and derives corresponding results regarding Artin-Schelter regular or Artin-Schelter Gorenstein algebras. It is not possible to use the results of Section 4. Hence a spectral sequence relating the cohomology spaces of  $H$ ,  $A$  and  $\Lambda$  is introduced in 7.2 using preparatory module structures given in 7.1. The results on the Artin-Schelter property of  $\Lambda$  are finally given in 7.3.

**7.1. Useful module structures.** In the rest of the text, whenever  $M, N \in \text{mod}(H)$  the following structure of left  $H$ -module is considered on  $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$

$$(7.1.0.1) \quad (h \rightharpoonup f)(m) = h_2 \rightharpoonup f(S^{-1}(h_1) \rightharpoonup m).$$

Also  $M \otimes N$  is considered as a left  $H$ -module for the following action

$$(7.1.0.2) \quad h \rightharpoonup (m \otimes n) = h_1 \rightharpoonup m \otimes h_2 \rightharpoonup n.$$

Assume that  $M, N \in \text{mod}(\Lambda)$ .

It is proved in [11, (1)] that  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is an  $H$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ . Moreover ([11, Lemma 2.2]) the following canonical mapping is well-defined and it is bijective.

$$(7.1.0.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_H({}_H k, \text{Hom}_A(M, N)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, N) \\ \phi & \mapsto & \phi(1). \end{array}$$

In view of the spectral sequence mentioned earlier, it is necessary to compare objects such as  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \otimes H$  and  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \Lambda)$ .

On the one hand, the natural action of  $\Lambda$  on the right of  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \Lambda)$  commutes with the action of  $H$  on the left. Thus  $\text{Hom}_A(M, \Lambda)$  is an  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodule.

On the other hand,  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \otimes H$  is both a left  $H$ -module and a right  $\Lambda$ -module for the action given by  $(f \otimes \ell)ah = f(\ell_1 \rightharpoonup a) \otimes \ell_2 h$  (here  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A)$  is naturally a right  $A$ -module). The structures of left  $H$ -module and right  $A$ -module of  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A)$  are compatible in the following sense:  $h \rightharpoonup (fa) = (h_1 \rightharpoonup f)(h_2 \rightharpoonup a)$ . Therefore  $\text{Hom}_A(M, A) \otimes H$  is also an  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodule.

For these structures of  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodules the canonical mapping is  $H \otimes \Lambda^{\text{op}}$ -linear

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_A(M, A) \otimes H & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_A(M, \Lambda) \\ \varphi \otimes h & \mapsto & (m \mapsto f(m)\ell). \end{array}$$

Note that it is a functorial isomorphism if  $M$  is finitely generated as a left  $A$ -module.

Using projective resolutions in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda)$  to construct Ext-spaces, the previous considerations entail the following result.

**Lemma.** *Let  $M, N \in \text{mod}(\Lambda)$  and let  $p$  be a natural integer.*

- (1) *There is a functorial structure of left  $H$ -module on  $\text{Ext}_A^p(M, N)$  that coincides with the one introduced previously when  $p = 0$ .*
- (2) *Taking into account the natural structure of right  $\Lambda$ -module,  $\text{Ext}_A^p(M, \Lambda)$  is then an  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodule.*

(3) Taking into account the natural structure of right  $\Lambda$ -module such that

$$(e \otimes \ell)ah = e(\ell_1 \rightharpoonup a) \otimes \ell_2 h,$$

$\text{Ext}_A^p(M, A) \otimes H$  is then an  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodule.

(4) There is a functorial morphism of  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodules  $\text{Ext}_A^p(M, A) \otimes H \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^p(M, N)$ . If  $M$  has a resolution in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda)$  made of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules then it is an isomorphism.

## 7.2. A spectral sequence for the cohomology of augmented algebras.

7.2.1. The following is an analogue of the spectral sequence constructed by Stefan ([19, Theorem 3.3]) for the Hochschild cohomology on Hopf-Galois extension. The proof is also analogous. A sketch of it is given for the convenience of the reader.

**Proposition.** *Let  $M, N \in \text{mod}(\Lambda)$ . There is a Grothendieck spectral sequence*

$$\text{Ext}_H^p({}_H k, \text{Ext}_A^q(M, N)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{p+q}(M, N).$$

*Proof.* In order to derive (7.1.0.3), it is sufficient to show that  $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda, N)$  is injective in  $\text{mod}(H)$ . Since  $\Lambda = A \otimes H$  as a left  $A$ -module it follows that  $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda, N) \simeq \text{Hom}_k(H, N)$  in  $\text{mod}(H)$ . The left  $H$ -module  $\text{Hom}_k(H, N)$  is isomorphic to the injective left  $H$ -module  $I$  with underlying vector space  $\text{Hom}_k(H, N)$  and with action of  $H$  given by  $(h \rightharpoonup \varphi)(\ell) = \varphi(\ell h)$ . Indeed, the mapping  $\theta: \text{Hom}_k(H, N) \rightarrow I$  defined by  $(\theta(f))(\ell) = \ell_2 \rightharpoonup f(S^{-1}(\ell_1))$  is an isomorphism in  $\text{mod}(H)$  with inverse given by  $(\theta^{-1}(\varphi))(\ell) = \ell_1 \rightharpoonup \varphi(S(\ell_2))$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda, N)$  is injective.  $\square$

Note that this spectral sequence is functorial in  $M$  and  $N$ .

7.2.2. In view of determining when  $\Lambda$  has the Artin-Schelter property it is useful to simplify the general term of the spectral sequence.

**Lemma.** *Assume that  ${}_H k$  has a resolution in  $\text{mod}(H)$  by finitely generated projective  $H$ -modules. Let  $M \in \text{mod}(\Lambda)$  admit a resolution in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda)$  by finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules. Then for every natural integers  $p, q$  there is an isomorphism in  $\text{mod}(\Lambda^{\text{op}})$*

$$\text{Ext}_H^p({}_H k, \text{Ext}_A^q(M, \Lambda)) \simeq \text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \otimes \text{Ext}_H^p({}_H k, H)$$

where the right-hand side term is a right  $\Lambda$ -module for the action

$$(e_M \otimes e_H)ah = (S^{-1}(h_1) \rightharpoonup (e_M a)) \otimes e_H h_2.$$

*Proof.* Following 7.1, the  $H - \Lambda$ -bimodules  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, \Lambda)$  and  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \otimes H$  are isomorphic. Let  $P$  be a projective resolution of  ${}_H k$  in  $\text{mod}(H)$  consisting of finitely generated projective  $H$ -modules. Consider the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \theta: \quad \text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \otimes \text{Hom}_H(P, H) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_H(P, \text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \otimes H) \\ e_M \otimes \varphi &\mapsto (p \mapsto (\varphi(p)_1 \rightharpoonup e_M) \otimes \varphi(p)_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\theta(e_M \otimes \varphi)$  is indeed  $H$ -linear because  $\varphi$  is  $H$ -linear and because of the definition of the action of  $H$  on  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \otimes H$  on the left. In view of the relationship between the actions on  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A)$  by  $H$  on the right and by  $A$  on the left (see 7.1), the following action endows  $\text{Ext}_A^q(M, A) \otimes \text{Hom}_H(P, H)$  with a structure of right  $\Lambda$ -module

$$(e_M \otimes \varphi)ah = S^{-1}(h_1) \rightharpoonup (e_M a) \otimes \varphi h_2.$$

For this action,  $\theta$  is linear over  $\Lambda$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that  $\theta$  is an isomorphism of complexes. This is indeed the case if  $P$  is replaced by (the stalk complex)  $H$ . Hence  $\theta$  is an isomorphism because  $P$  consists of finitely generated projective left  $H$ -modules.  $\square$

## 7.3. The Artin-Schelter property of $A\sharp H$ .

7.3.1. The following result gives a sufficient condition for  $A\sharp H$  to have the left Artin-Schelter property. Note that dual considerations yield an analog result for the right Artin-Schelter property.

**Proposition.** *Let  $H$  be a noetherian Hopf algebra with invertible antipode. Let  $A$  be a noetherian and augmented  $H$ -module algebra. If  $A$  and  $H$  have the left Artin-Schelter property in dimension  $n$  and  $d$ , respectively, then so does  $A\sharp H$  in dimension  $n + d$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Ext}_A^q(\mathbf{k}, A)$  is one dimensional if  $q = n$  and 0 otherwise and since  $\text{Ext}_H^p({}_H\mathbf{k}, H)$  is one dimensional if  $p = d$  and 0 otherwise the conclusion follows from 7.2.1 and 7.2.2.  $\square$

7.3.2. Whenever  $B$  is an augmented  $\mathbf{k}$ -algebra with left Artin-Schelter property in dimension  $t$ , there exists a unique algebra homomorphism  $\lambda_B: B \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  such that the structure of right  $B$ -module of  $\text{Ext}_B^t(\mathbf{k}, B)$  is given by  $e_B b = \lambda_B(b)e_B$ .

In the setting of the result in 7.3.1, the algebra homomorphism  $\lambda_{A\sharp H}$  may be described in terms of  $\lambda_A$  and  $\lambda_H$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_A^n(\mathbf{k}, A)$  is one dimensional, there exists an algebra homomorphism  $\delta: H \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  such that the structure of left  $H$ -module of  $\text{Ext}_A^n(\mathbf{k}, A)$  is given by  $h \rightarrow e_A = \delta(h)e_A$ . Note that when  $H$  is finite dimensional and  $A$  is connected graded and Artin-Schelter Gorenstein, then  $\delta \circ S = \delta \circ S^{-1}$  is the homological determinant defined in [15, Definition 3.3]. According to 7.2.2 the algebra homomorphism  $\lambda_{A\sharp H}$  is given by

$$\lambda_{A\sharp H}(ah) = \lambda_A(a)\delta \circ S^{-1}(h_1)\lambda_H(h_2).$$

7.3.3. The following result asserts that  $\Lambda$  is AS-regular as soon as  $A$  and  $H$  are so.

**Theorem.** *Let  $H$  be a noetherian Hopf algebra with invertible antipode. Let  $A$  be an augmented and noetherian  $H$ -module algebra. Assume that  $H$  and  $A$  are Artin-Schelter regular with dimension  $n$  and  $d$ , respectively. Then  $A\sharp H$  is Artin-Schelter regular with dimension  $n + d$ .*

*Proof.* According to 7.3.1 it suffices to prove that  $\text{gl.dim } \Lambda \leq n + d$ . This follows from 7.2.1 and from the hypotheses on the global dimensions of  $A$  and  $H$ .  $\square$

7.3.4. In order to present an analog of the previous result for Artin-Schelter Gorenstein algebras it is necessary to deal with the finiteness of injective dimensions. This is taken care of by the following result.

**Lemma.** *Let  $H$  be a Hopf algebra. Let  $A$  be an  $H$ -module algebra. Assume that  $A$  is left noetherian. Then  $\text{id}_\Lambda(\Lambda) < \infty$  under any of the two following conditions*

- (a)  $H$  is finite-dimensional and  $\text{id}_A(A) < \infty$ ,
- (b)  $\text{pd}_H({}_H\mathbf{k}) < \infty$  and  $\text{id}_A(A) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* First assume (a). Therefore 7.2.2 applies to any  $M$  that is a finitely generated left  $\Lambda$ -module and yields  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^m(M, \Lambda) = 0$  for  $m > \text{id}_A(A)$ . Taking direct limits entails that  $\text{id}_\Lambda(\Lambda) < \infty$ .

Next assume (b). The following properties imply that  $\text{id}_A(\Lambda) < \infty$

- since  $A$  is left noetherian any direct sum of injective left  $A$ -modules is an injective  $A$ -module (see [16, Proposition 3.46, p. 80]),
- $\text{id}_A(A) < \infty$ ,
- $\Lambda \simeq A \otimes H$  in  $\text{mod}(A)$ .

The conclusion of the lemma then follows from 7.2.1 applied to  $N = \Lambda$ .  $\square$

7.3.5. The following result expresses sufficient conditions under which the class of Artin-Schelter Gorenstein algebras is preserved under taking the smash product with a Hopf algebra with Artin-Schelter property. Note that part (1) was proved in [18, Theorem 4.1] when  $A$  is connected graded (or more generally, when  $A$  is a generalised Artin-Schelter Gorenstein algebra).

**Proposition.** *Let  $H$  be a noetherian Hopf algebra with invertible antipode. Let  $A$  be a noetherian and augmented  $H$ -module algebra that is moreover Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension  $n$ .*

- (1) *If  $H$  is finite-dimensional then  $\Lambda = A\sharp H$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension  $n$ .*
- (2) *If  $H$  satisfies the Artin-Schelter property with dimension  $d$  and  $k_H \in \text{per}(H^{\text{op}})$  then  $\Lambda$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension  $n + d$ .*

*Proof.* Note that when  $\dim_k H < \infty$  the Hopf algebra  $H$  is Artin-Schelter Gorenstein with dimension 0; This follows for instance from the fact that  $H$  is selfinjective or may be obtained directly applying [18, Theorem 4.1, part (b)] to the trivial action of  $H$  on  $k$  (with resulting smash product equal to  $H$ ). Therefore (1) and (2) follow from 7.3.1 (applied to  $M = {}_{\Lambda}k$ ) and 7.3.4.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Amiot, O. Iyama, and I. Reiten. Stable categories of cohen-macaulay modules and cluster categories. arXiv:1104.3658v1 [math.RT], 2011.
- [2] Claire Amiot. Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 59(6):2525–2590, 2009.
- [3] Michael Artin and William F. Schelter. Graded algebras of global dimension 3. *Adv. in Math.*, 66(2):171–216, 1987.
- [4] K. A. Brown and J. J. Zhang. Dualising complexes and twisted Hochschild (co)homology for Noetherian Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 320(5):1814–1850, 2008.
- [5] L. de Thanhoffer de Völcsey and M. Van den Bergh. Explicit models for some stable categories of maximal cohen-macaulay modules. arXiv:1006.2021v1 [math.RA], 2010.
- [6] Marco Farinati. Hochschild duality, localization, and smash products. *J. Algebra*, 284(1):415–434, 2005.
- [7] V. Ginzburg. Calabi-Yau algebras. arXiv:math/0612139 v3, 2006.
- [8] Victor Ginzburg and Shrawan Kumar. Cohomology of quantum groups at roots of unity. *Duke Math. J.*, 69(1):179–198, 1993.
- [9] Jake Goodman and Ulrich Krähmer. Untwisting a twisted Calabi-Yau algebra. *J. Algebra*, 406:272–289, 2014.
- [10] Ji-Wei He, Fred Van Oystaeyen, and Yinhuo Zhang. Cocommutative Calabi-Yau Hopf algebras and deformations. *J. Algebra*, 324(8):1921–1939, 2010.
- [11] Ji-Wei He, Fred Van Oystaeyen, and Yinhuo Zhang. Hopf algebra actions on differential graded algebras and applications. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 18(1):99–111, 2011.
- [12] Peter Jørgensen and James J. Zhang. Gourmet’s guide to Gorensteinness. *Adv. Math.*, 151(2):313–345, 2000.
- [13] B. Keller and D. Yang. Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential. *Adv. Math.*, 226(3):2118–2168, 2011.
- [14] Bernhard Keller. Deformed Calabi-Yau completions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 654:125–180, 2011. With an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh.
- [15] E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J. J. Zhang. Gorenstein subrings of invariants under Hopf algebra actions. *J. Algebra*, 322(10):3640–3669, 2009.
- [16] T. Y. Lam. *Lectures on modules and rings*, volume 189 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [17] L.-Y. Liu, Q.-S. Wu, and C. Zhu. Hopf action on Calabi-Yau algebras. In *New trends in noncommutative algebra*, volume 562 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 189–209. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [18] Manuel Reyes, Daniel Rogalski, and James J. Zhang. Skew Calabi-Yau algebras and homological identities. *Adv. Math.*, 264:308–354, 2014.
- [19] Dragoş Ştefan. Hochschild cohomology on Hopf Galois extensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 103(2):221–233, 1995.



- [20] Mariano Suárez-Alvarez. Untwisting algebras with van den Bergh duality into Calabi-Yau algebras. arXiv:1311.3339 [math.KT].
- [21] Michel Van den Bergh. Non-commutative homology of some three-dimensional quantum spaces. *K-Theory*, 8:213–220, 1994.
- [22] Michel van den Bergh. A relation between Hochschild homology and cohomology for Gorenstein rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(5):1345–1348, 1998.
- [23] Dingguo Wang and Yuanyuan Ke. The Calabi-Yau property of twisted smash products. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 13(3):1350118, 19, 2014.
- [24] Q.-S. Wu and C. Zhu. Skew group algebras of Calabi-Yau algebras. *J. Algebra*, 340:53–76, 2011.

(Patrick Le Meur) LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ BLAISE PASCAL & CNRS,  
COMPLEXE SCIENTIFIQUE LES CÉZEAUX, BP 80026, 63171 AUBIÈRE CEDEX, FRANCE

*Current address:* Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Institut de Mathématiques  
de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, UMR 7586, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06,  
F-75013, Paris, France

*E-mail address:* `patrick.le-meur@imj-prg.fr`