HAL open science

Inverse heat source problem for a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system

Mourad Bellassoued, Bochra Riahi

To cite this version:

Mourad Bellassoued, Bochra Riahi. Inverse heat source problem for a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system. Revue Africaine de Recherche en Informatique et Mathématiques Appliquées, 2016, Volume 23 - 2016 - Special issue for LEM2I 2016, pp.7 - 18. 10.46298/arima.1487 . hal-01313942v2

HAL Id: hal-01313942

https://hal.science/hal-01313942v2

Submitted on 7 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Rubrique

Inverse heat source problem for a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system

Bellassoued Mourad and Riahi Bochra

LASMIN, University of Tunis El Manar, National engineering school of Tunis, ENIT, Tunisia, mourad.bellassoued@fsb.rnu.tn
Department of Mathematics, University of Carthage, Bizerte, Tunisia
riahi.bochra@gmail.com

RÉSUMÉ. Dans ce papier, on a prouvé une estimation de stabilité de type Höldérienne pour un problème inverse de détermination du terme source de l'équation de la chaleur à l'aide d'une inégalité de Carleman pour un système d'équations hyperbolique-parabolique couplé.

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider a coupled system of mixed hyperbolic-parabolic type which describes the Biot consolidation model in poro-elasticity. Using a local Carleman estimate for a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system, we prove the uniqueness and a Hölder stability in determining the heat source by a single measurement of solution over $\omega \times (0, T)$, where $T > 0$ is a sufficiently large time and a suitable subbdomain $\omega \subset \Omega$ such that $\partial\omega \supset \partial\Omega$.

MOTS-CLÉS : Problème inverse, estimation de Carleman, système couplet

KEYWORDS : Inverse problem, Carleman estimate, coupled system

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ an open and bounded domain with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$, and let t and $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega$ denote the time variable and the spatial variable respectively. Given $T > 0$, we consider a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_{tt} - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{u} - \nabla(\lambda^*(x)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t) + \varrho_1(x)\nabla\theta = 0 & \text{in } Q \equiv \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \theta_t - \Delta\theta + \varrho_2(x)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t = g & \text{in } Q, \\ \mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0, \quad \theta(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma \equiv \Gamma \times (0,T), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where the $._t$ stands for the time derivative, $\nabla = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \partial_3)$, and $\Delta_{\mu,\lambda}$ is the elliptic second order linear differential operator given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{v}(x) &\equiv \mu\Delta\mathbf{v}(x) + (\mu+\lambda)(\nabla(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x))) \\ &+ \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x)\nabla\lambda(x) + (\nabla\mathbf{v} + (\nabla\mathbf{v})^T)\nabla\mu(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)^T$, where $.^T$ denotes the transpose of matrices. Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$ denotes the displacement at the location x and the time t, and $\theta \equiv \theta(x,t)$, the temperature, is a scalar function, $g \in H^1(0,T; L^2(\Omega))$ is a heat source. We will assume that the Lamé parameters $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega})$, satisfy

$$\mu(x) \geq \mu_0 > 0, \quad \lambda(x) + 2\mu(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

and $\lambda^* \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is the consolidation coefficient which satisfy :

$$\lambda^*(x) \geq k_0 > 0, \qquad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

Furthermore, the coupling coefficients ϱ_1, ϱ_2 satisfies :

$$(\varrho_1, \varrho_2) \in (\mathcal{C}^2(\overline{\Omega}))^2; \quad \varrho_1(x) > \varrho_0 > 0 \ \text{ in } \ \text{for all}\, x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

We assume that the heat source is given by

$$g(x,t) = q(x)k(x,t), \tag{3}$$

where $k \in W^{2,\infty}(Q)$ and $q \in H^2(\Omega)$.

We can prove (e.g., [1, 5]) that the system (1) possesses a unique solution

$$(\mathbf{u}, \theta) \equiv \Big(\mathbf{u}_{(\lambda^*,\varrho_1,\varrho_2)}, \theta_{(\lambda^*,\varrho_1,\varrho_2)}\Big),$$

Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be a given arbitrarily subdomain such that $\partial\omega \supset \Gamma$, i.e. $\omega = \Omega \cap V$ where V is a neighbourhood of Γ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let k and $t_0 \in (0,T)$ be appropriately given.

Inverse Problem : Determine $q(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, by measurements

$$\mathbf{u}\,|_{\omega\times(0,T)}, \quad \mathbf{u}(x,t_0), \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(x,t_0) \qquad x \in \Omega.$$

The main subject of this paper is the inverse problem of determining of g, in the Biot consolidation model in poro-elasticity, uniquely from observed data of displacement vector $\mathbf{u}$ on a suitable subdomain $\omega \subset \Omega$ and the observation data of $\mathbf{u}$ and θ at given a

suitable time t_0. Such kinds of observation data are similar to those considered in (e.g. [2], [3], [4]).

The key ingredient in our argument is an L^2-weighted inequality of Carleman type for coupled mixed hyperbolic-parabolic system. We prove a Hölder stability estimate in our inverse problem. We note that the uniqueness in the inverse problem follows directly from the Hölder stability.

1.1. Statement of main result

Let $t_0 \in (0,T)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_0}} \max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} |x - x_0| < \min\{t_0, T - t_0\}, \tag{4}$$

where $r_0 \in (0, \mu_0)$.

We denote $(\mathbf{u}, \theta)$ the solution of (1) corresponding to $(\varrho_1, \varrho, \lambda^*)$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and $t_0 \in (0,T)$ satisfies (4).*
Let $k \in W^{2,\infty}(Q)$ such that $\|k\|_{W^{2,\infty}} \leq M$ and

$$k(x, t_0) \neq 0, \qquad x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

We assume that the solution $(\mathbf{u}, \theta)$ satisfies the a priori boundedeness :

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|\theta\|_{H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq M_0, \tag{5}$$

for some given positive constant M_0.
Then there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that the following stability estimate hold

$$\|q(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\theta(.,t_0)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}_t(.,t_0)\|_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{u}_{tt}(.,t_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)})^{\delta}.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we can readily derive the uniqueness in the inverse problem

Corollary 1.2 *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1, we have the uniqueness.*
Let $(\mathbf{u}, \theta)$ satisfy the Biot system (1) such that

$$\mathbf{u}(x,t) = 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \omega \times (0,T)$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}(x,t_0) = 0, \quad \theta(x,t_0) = 0, \qquad x \in \Omega.$$

Then

$$q(x) = 0 \ \textit{ for all } x \in \Omega.$$

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a Carleman estimate for a coupled hyperbolic-parabolic system. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Carleman estimate

Here we present a Carleman estimate, which was proved in [3]. For formulating our Carleman estimate, we introduce some notations. Let $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$\psi(x,t) = \vartheta(x) - \beta((t-t_0)^2 - M) = |x-x_0|^2 - \beta((t-t_0)^2 - M), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{6}$$

for $M > 0$ large, where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and $t_0 \in (0,T)$ such that

$$\min\{t_0^2, (T-t_0)^2\} > r_0^{-1}\left(\max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} \vartheta(x)\right). \tag{7}$$

We fix $\delta > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ satisfying

$$\beta \min\{t_0^2, (T-t_0)^2\} > \max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} \vartheta(x) + \delta, \quad 0 < \beta < r_0. \tag{8}$$

Therefore, by (6) and (8) the function $\psi(x,t)$ verifies the following properties

$$\psi(x,0) \le \beta M - \delta, \quad \psi(x,T) \le \beta M - \delta, \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \tag{9}$$

there exists $\epsilon \in (0, T/4)$ such that

$$\max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} \psi(x,t) \le \beta M - \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0,2\epsilon) \cup (T-2\epsilon, T), \tag{10}$$

and

$$\min_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} \psi(x,t_0) \ge \beta M. \tag{11}$$

We next introduce a function $\varphi : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi(x,t) = e^{\gamma\psi(x,t)} := \rho(x)\alpha(t), \quad \gamma > 0, \tag{12}$$

where γ is a large parameter, $\rho(x)$ and $\alpha(t)$ are defined by

$$\rho(x) = e^{\gamma(|x-x_0|^2+\beta M)} \ge e^{\gamma\beta M} \equiv d_1, \forall x \in \Omega \text{ and } \alpha(t) = e^{-\beta\gamma(t-t_0)^2} \le 1, \forall t \in (0,T) \tag{13}$$

and let

$$\sigma \equiv \sigma(x,t) = s\gamma\varphi(x,t). \tag{14}$$

We use usual function spaces, $H^k(Q)$, and

$$H^{2,1}(Q) = H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega)).$$

Let $(\mathbf{v}, y)$ a solution of the linear Biot consolidation system

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{tt}(x,t) - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{v}(x,t) - \nabla(\lambda^* \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t(x,t)) + \varrho_1 \nabla y(x,t) = \mathbf{f}(x,t) & \text{in } Q, \\ y_t(x,t) - \Delta y(x,t) + \varrho_2 \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t(x,t) = h(x,t) & \text{in } Q, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t)) \subset \Omega, \quad \mathrm{Supp}(y(\cdot,t)) \subset \Omega, && \text{for all} \quad t \in (0,T). \\ &\partial_t^j \mathbf{v}(x,0) = \partial_t^j \mathbf{v}(x,T) = 0, \quad y(x,0) = y(x,T) = 0 && \text{for all} \quad x \in \Omega,\ j = 0,1. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The following theorem is a weighted Carleman estimate with second large parameter for Biot's consolidation system (15) with assumption (16).

Theorem 2.1 (Carleman estimate) *There exist two constants $\gamma_* > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $\gamma > \gamma_*$, there exists $s_* = s_*(\gamma) > 0$ such that the following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & C\int_Q \Big(\sigma\left|\nabla_{x,t}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^3\left|\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^3\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 \\ & \quad + \left|\Delta y\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|y\right|^2\Big)e^{2s\varphi}dx\,dt \\ \leq & \int_Q (\left|\mathbf{f}\right|^2 + \left|\nabla\mathbf{f}\right|^2 + \gamma^{-1}\sigma\left|h\right|^2)e^{2s\varphi}dx\,dt \\ & + \int_Q \Big(\gamma^{-1}\left|\Delta\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0)\right|^2 + \gamma^{-1}\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t(x,t_0)\right|^2 \\ & \quad + \sigma^4\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0)\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0)\right|^2\Big)e^{2s\varphi}dxdt, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for any solution $(\mathbf{v}, y) \in H^2(Q) \times H^{2,1}(Q)$ to problem (15) which satisfy (16) and any $s \geq s_*$.

The proof is given by Bellassoued and Riahi [3].

3. Proof of the main result

This section is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 1.1. The idea of the proof is based on the Carleman estimate method in [3]. A usual methodology by [2, 3].

3.1. Preliminaries estimate

Lemma 3.1 *Let ω be an open subdomain of Ω with regular boundary $\partial\omega \supset \Gamma$. There exists constants γ_*, s_* and $C > 0$ such that for any $s \geq s_*$ and any $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$ the following estimate holds :*

$$\int_{\omega\times(0,T)} \sigma^4\left|v\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \leq C\int_{\omega\times(0,T)} \sigma^2\left|\nabla v\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \tag{18}$$

for any $v \in H^1(\omega \times (0,T))$ such that $v(x,t) = 0$ on $\partial\omega \times (0,T)$.

Proof We multiply ∇v by $(\nabla\varphi)ve^{2s\varphi}$ and using the divergence theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\omega \nabla v\cdot(\nabla\varphi)v\, e^{2s\varphi}dx &= -\int_\omega v\,\mathrm{div}((\nabla\varphi)\,v\,e^{2s\varphi})dx \\ &= -\int_\omega \left|v\right|^2\Delta\varphi\, e^{2s\varphi}dx - 2s\int_\omega \left|v\right|^2\left|\nabla\varphi\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dx \\ &\quad -\int_\omega \nabla v\cdot(\nabla\varphi)\,v\,e^{2s\varphi}dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\int_\omega \sigma\nabla v\cdot(\nabla\vartheta)\,v\,e^{2s\varphi}dx &= -2\int_\omega \sigma^2\left|v\right|^2\left|\nabla\vartheta\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dx \\ &\quad -\int_\omega \sigma\left|v\right|^2\Delta\vartheta\, e^{2s\varphi}dx - \gamma\int_\omega \sigma\left|v\right|^2\left|\nabla\vartheta\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$ and $s \geq s_*$ sufficiently large, we obtain for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$C\int_\omega \sigma^2\left|v\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dx \leq C_\varepsilon\int_\omega \left|\nabla v\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dx + \varepsilon\int_\omega \sigma^2\left|v\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dx. \tag{19}$$

Integrating in $(0,T)$ and taking ε small we obtain

$$C\int_{\omega\times(0,T)}\sigma^2\left|v\right|^2e^{2s\varphi}dx\leq C\int_{\omega\times(0,T)}\left|\nabla v\right|^2e^{2s\varphi}dx. \tag{20}$$

Applying the last inequality to $\sigma^2 v$ we obtain

$$\int_{\omega\times(0,T)}\sigma^4\left|v\right|^2e^{2s\varphi}dx\leq C\int_{\omega\times(0,T)}\sigma^2\left|\nabla v\right|^2e^{2s\varphi}dx, \tag{21}$$

for any $\gamma\geq\gamma_*$ and $s\geq s_*$. This completes the proof. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $(\mathbf{v},y)\in H^2(Q)\times H^{2,1}(Q)$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases}\mathbf{v}_{tt}-\Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{v}-\nabla(\lambda^*(x)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t)+\varrho_1(x)\,\nabla y=\mathbf{f} & (x,t)\in Q,\\ y_t-\Delta y+\varrho_2(x)\,\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t=h & (x,t)\in Q,\\ \mathbf{v}=0,\qquad y=0 & (x,t)\in\Sigma,\end{cases} \tag{22}$$

and

$$\partial_t^j\mathbf{v}(x,0)=\partial_t^j\mathbf{v}(x,T)=0,\quad y(x,0)=y(x,T)=0\qquad \textit{for all}\quad x\in\Omega,\ j=0,1. \tag{23}$$

There exist positive constants γ_* and $C>0$ such that, for any $\gamma\geq\gamma_*$ we can find s_* and D, the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\Big(\sigma\left|\nabla_{x,t}\mathbf{v}\right|^2+\sigma^3\left|\mathbf{v}\right|^2+\sigma^4\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2+\sigma^3\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2+\sigma^2\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2\\ &+\sigma\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2+\left|\Delta y\right|^2+\sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2+\sigma^4\left|y\right|^2\Big)dxdt\leq C\int_Q\Big(\gamma^{-1}\sigma\left|h\right|^2\\ &\quad+\sigma^2\left|\mathbf{f}\right|^2+\left|\nabla\mathbf{f}\right|^2\Big)dxdt+Ce^{Ds}\Big(\left\|\mathbf{v}\right\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))}\\ &\qquad+\left\|\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}+\left\|\mathbf{v}_t(\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

for any $s\geq s_*$.

Proof Let $\omega'\subset\omega$ such that $\partial\omega'\supset\Gamma$. In order to apply Carleman estimate, we introduce a cut-off function χ satisfying $0\leq\chi\leq 1$, $\chi\in\mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\chi=1$ in $\overline{\Omega\backslash\omega'}$ and supp$\chi\subset\Omega$. Put

$$\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(x,t)=\chi(x)\mathbf{v}(x,t),\qquad \widehat{y}(x,t)=\chi(x)y(x,t).$$

Noting that $(\widehat{\mathbf{v}},\widehat{y})\in H^2(Q)\times H^{2,1}(Q)$, and satisfying

$$\begin{cases}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_{tt}-\Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}-\nabla(\lambda^*\mathrm{div}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_t)+\varrho_1\nabla\widehat{y}=\widehat{\mathbf{f}} & (x,t)\in Q,\\ \widehat{y}_t-\Delta\widehat{y}+\varrho_2\mathrm{div}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_t=\widehat{g} & (x,t)\in Q,\end{cases} \tag{25}$$

with

$$\mathrm{Supp}(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(\cdot,t))\subset\Omega\quad \mathrm{Supp}(\widehat{y}(\cdot,t))\subset\Omega,\quad \forall\, t\in(0,T).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{f}}&=\chi(x)\mathbf{f}(x,t)-[\Delta_{\mu,\lambda},\chi]\mathbf{v}-\nabla(\lambda^*\nabla\chi.\mathbf{v}_t)-\lambda^*(\nabla\chi)\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t+\varrho_1 y\nabla\chi,\\ &\equiv\chi(x)\mathbf{f}(x,t)+P_1\mathbf{v}+Q_1\mathbf{v}_t+A_0y\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{g} &= \chi(x)h(x,t) - 2\nabla\chi\cdot\nabla y - y\Delta\chi + \varrho_2\nabla\chi\cdot\mathbf{v}_t \\ &\equiv \chi(x)h(x,t) + A_1 y + Q_0\mathbf{v}_t\end{aligned}$$

and P_1, Q_1, A_1 are a first order partial differential operators with the coefficients are supported in ω' and A_0, Q_0 are zeroth order partial diffrential operators supported also in ω'. Noting that $(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}, \widehat{y})$ satisfies (25), then we can apply the Carleman estimate for Biot's system (17) to $(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}, \widehat{y})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&C\int_{(\Omega\setminus\omega')\times(0,T)} e^{2s\varphi}\Big(\sigma\left|\nabla_{x,t}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^3\left|\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^3\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 \\ &+\sigma^2\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 + \left|\Delta y\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|y\right|^2\Big)dxdt \\ &\leq \int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\Big(\gamma^{-1}\sigma\left|\widehat{g}\right|^2 + \left|\widehat{\mathbf{f}}\right|^2 + \left|\nabla\widehat{\mathbf{f}}\right|^2\Big)dxdt + \int_Q\Big(\gamma^{-1}\left|\Delta\mathrm{div}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(x,t_0)\right|^2 \\ &+\gamma^{-1}\left|\mathrm{div}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}_t(x,t_0)\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|\mathrm{div}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(x,t_0)\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\widehat{\mathbf{v}}(x,t_0)\right|^2\Big)e^{2s\varphi}dxdt. \quad (26)\end{aligned}$$

Using the first equation of system (22) after taking divergence, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\omega'\times(0,T)}\left|\Delta y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt &\leq Ce^{Ds}\left\|\mathbf{v}\right\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} \\ &\quad + \int_{\omega'\times(0,T)}\left|\nabla y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt + \int_Q e^{2s\varphi}|\nabla\mathbf{f}|^2 dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

By the last inequality and (26), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&C\int_{\Omega\times(0,T)} e^{2s\varphi}\Big(\sigma\left|\nabla_{x,t}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^3\left|\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + \sigma^3\left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 \\ &\quad +\sigma\left|\nabla\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 + \left|\Delta y\right|^2 + \sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2 + \sigma^4\left|y\right|^2\Big)dxdt \\ &\leq C\int_Q\Big(\gamma^{-1}\sigma\left|h\right|^2 + \left|\mathbf{f}\right|^2 + \left|\nabla\mathbf{f}\right|^2\Big)dxdt \\ &\quad +C\Big(\int_{\omega'\times(0,T)}\sigma^4\left|y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt + \int_{\omega'\times(0,T)}\sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt\Big) \\ &\quad +e^{Ds}\left(\left\|\mathbf{v}\right\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \left\|\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \left\|\mathbf{v}_t(\cdot,t_0)\right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}\right). \quad (27)\end{aligned}$$

Let χ_1 be a cut-off function satisfying $0 \leq \chi_1 \leq 1$, $\chi_1 \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\chi_1 = 1$ in $\overline{\omega'}$ and $\mathrm{Supp}(\chi_1) \subset \omega$. Let us consider $z(x,t) = \chi_1(x)y(x,t) \in H^1(\omega\times(0,T))$ and $z(x,t) = 0$ for all $(x,t) \in \partial\omega\times(0,T)$, so that by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\omega'\times(0,T)}\sigma^4\left|y\right|^2\sigma e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \leq \int_{\omega\times(0,T)}\sigma^4\left|z\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \\ &\leq C\int_{\omega\times(0,T)}\sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt + C\int_Q\sigma^2\left|y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt. \quad (28)\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore by the first equation of (22), we have

$$\int_{\omega'\times(0,T)}\sigma^2\left|\nabla y\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \leq Ce^{Ds}\left\|\mathbf{v}\right\|^2_{H^3(\omega\times(0,T))}$$

$$+\int_{\Omega\times(0,T)} \sigma^2 \left|\mathbf{f}\right|^2 e^{2s\varphi} dxdt. \tag{29}$$

Inserting (28) and (29) in (27), we obtain (24). This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Let $(\mathbf{v}, y) \in H^2(Q) \times H^{2,1}(Q)$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{v}_{tt} - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{v} - \nabla(\lambda^* \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t) + \varrho_1 \nabla y = \mathbf{f} & (x,t) \in Q, \\ y_t - \Delta y + \varrho_2 \,\mathrm{div}\, \mathbf{v}_t = h & (x,t) \in Q, \\ \mathbf{v} = 0,\ y = 0 & (x,t) \in \Sigma. \end{array}$$

Put

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}(x,t) = \eta(t)\mathbf{v}(x,t), \qquad \widetilde{y}(x,t) = \eta(t) y(x,t).$$

Noting that $(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{y}) \in H^2(Q) \times H^{2,1}(Q)$ satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ll} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{tt} - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} - \nabla(\lambda^* \mathrm{div}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t) + \varrho_1 \nabla \widetilde{y} = \eta \mathbf{f} + \eta_{tt}\mathbf{v} + 2\eta_t \mathbf{v}_t - \eta_t \nabla(\lambda^* \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}) & (x,t) \in Q, \\ \widetilde{y}_t - \Delta \widetilde{y} + \varrho_2 \,\mathrm{div}\, \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t = \eta h + \eta_t (y - \varrho_2 \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}) & (x,t) \in Q, \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{v}} = 0,\ \widetilde{y} = 0 & (x,t) \in \Sigma, \end{array} \tag{30}$$

Henceforth we fix $\gamma > 0$ sufficiently large. By $N_{s,\varphi}$ we denote the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} N_{s,\varphi}(\mathbf{v}, y) = \int_Q e^{2s\varphi} \Big(& s\left|\nabla_{x,t}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + s^3 \left|\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + s^4 \left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + s^3 \left|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 \\ & + s^2 \left|\nabla \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}\right|^2 + s \left|\nabla \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t\right|^2 + \left|\Delta y\right|^2 + s^2 \left|\nabla y\right|^2 + s^4 \left|y\right|^2 \Big) dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

We introduce a cut-off function η satisfying $0 \le \eta \le 1$, $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\eta = 1$ in $(2\varepsilon, T - 2\varepsilon)$ and
Supp$(\eta) \subset (\varepsilon, T - \varepsilon)$. Finally we denote

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} = \eta \mathbf{v}, \qquad \widetilde{y} = \eta y.$$

Setting $d_0 = e^{(\beta M - \delta/2)\gamma}$, by (9), we have

$$\max_{x\in\Omega} \varphi(x,t) \le d_0, \quad t \in (0, 2\varepsilon) \cup (T - 2\varepsilon, T). \tag{32}$$

We have the following lemma :

Lemma 3.3 *There exist three positive constants s_*, $C > 0$ and D such that the following inequality holds :*

$$\begin{aligned} & C N_{s,\varphi}(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{y}) \le \int_Q \left(s\left|h\right|^2 + s^2 \left|\mathbf{f}\right|^2 + \left|\nabla \mathbf{f}\right|^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} dxdt \\ & + e^{Ds} \left(\left\|\mathbf{v}\right\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \left\|\mathbf{v}(\cdot, t_0)\right\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \left\|\mathbf{v}_t(\cdot, t_0)\right\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \\ & + C s^2 e^{2d_0 s} \left(\left\|\mathbf{v}\right\|^2_{H^1(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \left\|y\right\|^2_{L^2(Q)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for any $s \ge s_*$ and any $(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{y}) \in H^2(Q) \times H^{2,1}(Q)$ satisfying (30).

Proof Applying Carleman estimate (24) to $(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}, \widetilde{y})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
CN_{s,\varphi}(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}},\widetilde{y}) \leq & \int_Q \left(s\,|h|^2 + s^2\,|\mathbf{f}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{f}|^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} dxdt \\
& + e^{Ds} \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{v}_t(\cdot,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \\
+ \int_Q & (s^2(|\eta_{tt}|^2+|\eta_t|^2)(|y|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2+|\mathbf{v}_t|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}_t|^2+|\nabla(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v})|^2)e^{2s\varphi}dxdt,
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\gamma \geq \gamma_*$ and $s \geq s_*$. Since $\mathrm{Supp}(\eta_{tt}),\ \mathrm{Supp}(\eta_t) \subset (0,2\epsilon)\cup(T-2\epsilon,T)$, we obtain from (32)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_Q (s^2(|\eta_{tt}|^2+|\eta_t|^2)(|y|^2+|\mathbf{v}|^2+|\mathbf{v}_t|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}|^2+|\nabla\mathbf{v}_t|^2+|\nabla(\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v})|^2)e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \\
& \leq Cs^2e^{2d_0s}\left(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^1(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(Q)} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

3.2. Completion of the proof of the main result

Consider now the following system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{u}_{tt} - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{u} - \nabla(\lambda^*\mathrm{div}\mathbf{u}_t) + \varrho_1\nabla\theta = 0 & (x,t)\in Q, \\
\theta_t - \Delta\theta + \varrho_2\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{u}_t = g & (x,t)\in Q, \\
\mathbf{u} = 0,\ \theta = 0 & (x,t)\in \Sigma,
\end{array} \tag{33}
$$

where the heat source term g is given by

$$g(x,t) = q(x)k(x,t).$$

Let $\mathbf{v} = u_t$ and $y = \theta_t$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{v}_{tt} - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\mathbf{v} - \nabla(\lambda^*\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}_t) + \varrho_1\nabla y = 0 & (x,t)\in Q, \\
y_t - \Delta y + \varrho_2\,\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{v}_t = g_t & (x,t)\in Q, \\
\mathbf{v} = 0,\ y = 0 & (x,t)\in \Sigma.
\end{array} \tag{34}
$$

We apply Lemma 3.3 to $(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}},\widetilde{y})$ solution of the following system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{tt} - \Delta_{\mu,\lambda}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} - \nabla(\lambda^*\mathrm{div}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t) + \varrho_1\nabla\widetilde{y} = \eta_{tt}\mathbf{v} + 2\eta_t\mathbf{v}_t - \eta_t\nabla(\lambda^*\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}) & (x,t)\in Q, \\
\widetilde{y}_t - \Delta\widetilde{y} + \varrho_2\,\mathrm{div}\,\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t = \eta g_t + \eta_t(y - \varrho_2\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}) & (x,t)\in Q, \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{v}} = 0,\ \widetilde{y} = 0 & (x,t)\in \Sigma,
\end{array} \tag{35}
$$

we obtain the following estimate :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& CN_{s,\varphi}(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}},\widetilde{y}) \leq \int_Q s\,|g_t|^2\,e^{2s\varphi}dxdt \\
& + e^{Ds}\left(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(\cdot,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{v}_t(\cdot,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \right) \\
& + Cs^2e^{2d_0s}\left(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^1(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(Q)} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{36}
$$

for sufficiently large $s > 0$.
We have the following Lemma

Lemma 3.4 *There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that the following estimate*

$$\int_\Omega |z(x,t_0)|^2\,dx \le C\int_Q (s\,|z(x,t)|^2 + s^{-1}\,|z_t(x,t)|^2)dxdt,$$

for any $z \in H^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$.

Proof By direct computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \eta^2(t_0)\,|z(x,t_0)|^2\,dx &= \int_0^{t_0}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\int_\Omega \eta^2(t)\,|z(x,t)|^2\,dx\Big)dt\\ &= 2\int_0^{t_0}\int_\Omega \eta^2(t)z(x,t)z_t(x,t)dxdt + 2\int_0^{t_0}\int_\Omega \eta_t(t)\eta(t)\,|z(x,t)|^2\,dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\int_\Omega |z(x,t_0)|^2\,dx \le C\int_Q (s\,|z(x,t)|^2 + s^{-1}\,|z_t(x,t)|^2)dxdt.$$

This complete the proof of the lemma.

Second, we apply lemma 3.4 to $y_1(x,t) = \eta(t)e^{2s\varphi(x,t)}y(x,t) = e^{2s\varphi(x,t)}\widetilde{y}(x,t)$ and by the second equation of (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|y(x,t_0)|^2\,dx \le \int_Q \sigma e^{2s\varphi}\,|\widetilde{y}(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|\widetilde{y}_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt\\ &\le \int_Q \sigma e^{2s\varphi}\,|\widetilde{y}(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt\\ &+ \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|\Delta\widetilde{y}(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|\mathrm{div}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t|^2\,dxdt\\ &+ \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|\eta_t|^2\,(|y|^2 + |\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}|^2)dxdt\\ &\le \int_Q \sigma e^{2s\varphi}\,|\widetilde{y}(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt\\ &+ \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|\Delta\widetilde{y}(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + \int_Q \sigma^{-1}e^{2s\varphi}\,|\mathrm{div}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t|^2\,dxdt\\ &+ e^{2sd_0}\Big(\,\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^1(Q)} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(Q)}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}&Cs\int_\Omega e^{s\rho(x)}\,|y(x,t_0)|^2\,dx \le \int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt\\ &+ \int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\Big(\,|\Delta\widetilde{y}|^2 + \sigma^2\,|\widetilde{y}|^2 + |\mathrm{div}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_t|^2\Big)dxdt + \ s\ e^{2sd_0}\ (\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^1(Q)} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(Q)})\\ &\le \int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + C\mathcal{N}_{s,\varphi}(\widetilde{\mathbf{v}},\widetilde{y}) + se^{2sd_0}(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^1(Q)} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(Q)}).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Moreover, using (36), we get

$$s\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|y(x,t_0)|^2\,dx \le Cs\int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt$$

$$+e^{Ds}\Big(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{v}_t(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \Big)$$
$$+Cs^2e^{2sd_0}\Big(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^1(0,T;H^2(\Omega))} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(Q)} \Big). \tag{39}$$

On the one hand, by the second equation in (33), we have

$$y(x,t_0) = \Delta\theta(x,t_0) - \varrho_2 \mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0) + q(x)k(x,t_0). \tag{40}$$

Moreover, we have

$$|q(x)| \leq |q(x)|\,|k(x,t_0)| \leq |y(x,t_0)| + |\Delta\theta(x,t_0)| + |\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0)|\,. \tag{41}$$

Then,

$$\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|q(x)|^2\,dx \leq \int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|y(x,t_0)|^2\,dx$$
$$+\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0)|^2\,dx + \int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|\Delta\theta(x,t_0)|^2\,dx. \tag{42}$$

We deduce that

$$s\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|q(x)|^2\,dx \leq s\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|y(x,t_0)|^2\,dx$$
$$+s\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|\mathrm{div}\mathbf{v}(x,t_0)|^2\,dx + Ce^{sD}\,\|\Delta\theta(x,t_0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,. \tag{43}$$

Inserting (39) into (43), we get

$$s\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|q(x)|^2\,dx \leq Cs\int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + Cs^2e^{2sd_0}M_0^2$$
$$+Ce^{Ds}\Big(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}$$
$$+\|\mathbf{v}_t(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\Delta\theta(x,t_0)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \Big). \tag{44}$$

Selecting $\kappa \in (d_0, d_1)$ such that $Cs^2e^{2sd_0} \leq e^{2\kappa s}$ for any s large, we get

$$s\int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|q(x)|^2\,dx \leq Cs\int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\,|g_t(x,t)|^2\,dxdt + e^{2\kappa s}M_0^2$$
$$+Ce^{Ds}\Big(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)}$$
$$+\|\mathbf{v}_t(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \Big). \tag{45}$$

Now we return to the first integral term in Q on the right-hand side term of (45).

$$\int_Q e^{2s\varphi}\,|q(x)k_t|^2\,dxdt \leq \int_\Omega e^{2s\rho(x)}\,|q(x)|^2\,\Big(\int_0^T e^{-2s(\rho-\varphi)}\,\|k_t(.,t)\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\,dt\Big)dx. \tag{46}$$

By the Lebesgue theorem, we obtain

$$\int_0^T e^{-2s(\rho-\varphi)}\,\|k_t(.,t)\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\,dt = \int_0^T e^{-2s\rho(x)(1-\alpha(t))}\,\|k_t(.,t)\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\,dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^T e^{-2s(1-\alpha(t))} \|k_t(.,t)\|^2_{L^\infty} dt = o(1), \tag{47}$$

as $s \to \infty$. By (45), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & s \|e^{s\rho} q\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq o(1) \int_Q s e^{2s\rho} |q(x)|^2 dxdt + e^{2\kappa s} M_0^2 \\ & + C e^{Ds} \Big(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \\ & + \|\mathbf{v}_t(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

for all $s \geq s_*$.
On the other hand, using the fact that $\rho(x) \geq d_1$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Then for sufficiently large $s > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|q\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C e^{\gamma_1 s} (\|\mathbf{v}\|^2_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\mathbf{v}(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^3(\Omega)} \\ & + \|\mathbf{v}_t(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta(.,t_0)\|^2_{H^2(\Omega)}) + C e^{-\gamma_2 s} M_0^2. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Finally, minimizing the right hand side with respect to s, we obtain

$$\|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^4(\omega\times(0,T))} + \|\theta(.,t_0)\|_{H^2(Q)} + \|\mathbf{v}(.,t_0)\|_{H^3(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{v}_t(.,t_0)\|_{H^1(\Omega)})^\delta.$$

Thus proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

4. Bibliographie

[1] H. BARUCQ, M.MADAUNE-TORT AND P. SAINT-MACARY, « Theoretical aspects of wave propagation for Biot's consolidation problem », *Monografías del Seminario Mathemático García de Galdeano*, vol. 31, 2004.

[2] M.BELLASSOUED, M. YAMAMOTO, « Carleman estimates and inverse heat source problem for thermoelasticity system », *Inverse problems*, vol. 27, 2011.

[3] M.BELLASSOUED, B. RIAHI « Carleman estimate for Biot consolidation system in poro-elasticity and application to inverse problem », *Mathematical Method in the Applied Sciences*, 2016.

[4] B. WU, J. LIU, « Conditional stability and uniqueness for determining two coefficients in a hyperbolic-parabolic system », *Journal*, vol. 27, 2011.

[5] J-L.LIONS, E.MAGENES, « Non-homogenous boundary value problems and applications », *Springer-Verlag*, vol. I and II, 1972.