



Lower Bounds for the Height in Galois Extensions

F Amoroso, D Masser

► To cite this version:

| F Amoroso, D Masser. Lower Bounds for the Height in Galois Extensions. 2016. <hal-01311299>

HAL Id: hal-01311299

<https://hal.science/hal-01311299v1>

Preprint submitted on 4 May 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE HEIGHT IN GALOIS EXTENSIONS

F. AMOROSO AND D. MASSER

1. INTRODUCTION

For an algebraic number α denote by $h(\alpha) \geq 0$ the absolute logarithmic Weil height; recall that $h(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 0$ or α is a root of unity. The well-known Lehmer Problem from 1933 asks if there is a positive constant c such that

$$h(\alpha) \geq cd^{-1}$$

whenever $\alpha \neq 0$ has degree d and is not a root of unity. This is still unknown, but the celebrated result of Dobrowolski [8] implies that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $h(\alpha) \geq c(\varepsilon)d^{-1-\varepsilon}$ (we will not worry about logarithmic refinements in this note).

The inequality in the Lehmer Problem has been established for various classes of α . Thus Smyth [14] proved it for non-reciprocal α (in particular whenever d is odd), and David with the first author [1] (see *Corollaire 1.7*) proved it when $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension. See also their *Corollaire 1.8* for a generalization to extensions that are “almost Galois”.

In this note we improve the result in the Galois case, and we even show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $c(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$h(\alpha) \geq c(\varepsilon)d^{-\varepsilon}$$

when $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension. This is related to a problem posed by Smyth during a recent BIRS workshop (see [12], problem (21) at page 17), who asks for small positive values of $h(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ Galois.

2. A LEMMA

We start with a result whose proof is implicit in *Corollaire 6.1* of [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Let ρ be the multiplicative rank of the conjugates $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ of α over \mathbb{Q} , and suppose $\rho \geq 1$. Then there exists a subfield $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ which is Galois over \mathbb{Q} of degree $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}] = n \leq n(\rho)$ and an integer $e \geq 1$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ (for a primitive e th root of unity ζ_e) and $\alpha^e \in \mathbb{L}$.*

Proof. Let e be the order of the group of roots of unity in \mathbb{F} , so that \mathbb{F} contains $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$. Define $\beta_i = \alpha_i^e$ and $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Q}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$. The \mathbb{Z} -module

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\beta_1^{a_1} \cdots \beta_d^{a_d}, \mid a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is free (by the choice of e) and of rank ρ . This shows that the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{Q})$ over \mathcal{M} defines an injective representation $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{Q})$

identifies to a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$. But, by well-known results (see Remark 2.2 below), the cardinality of the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$ is uniformly bounded by, say, $n = n(\rho)$. □

Remark 2.2. To quickly see that the order of a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$ is uniformly bounded by some $n(\rho) < \infty$, apply Serre's result [13] which asserts that the reduction mod 3 is injective on the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_\rho(\mathbb{Z})$. This gives the bound $n(\rho) \leq 3^{\rho^2}$. More precise results are known. Feit [9] (unpublished) shows that the orthogonal group (of order $2^\rho \rho!$) has maximal order for $\rho = 1, 3, 5$ and for $\rho > 10$. For the seven remaining values of ρ , Feit characterizes the corresponding maximal groups. See [10] for more details and for a proof of the weaker statement $n(\rho) \leq 2^\rho \rho!$ for large ρ .

3. MAIN RESULTS

We now state two results about α which merely lie in Galois extensions, so are not necessarily generators.

Theorem 3.1. *For any integer $r \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive effective constant $c(r, \varepsilon)$ with the following property. Let \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension of degree D and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$. We assume that there are r conjugates of α over \mathbb{Q} which are multiplicatively independent¹. Then*

$$h(\alpha) \geq c(r, \varepsilon) D^{-1/(r+1)-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. The new ingredient with respect to *Corollaire 1.7* of [1] is the main result of Delsinne [7], which was not available at that time. We use standard abbreviations like $\ll_\varepsilon, \gg_{r, \varepsilon}$.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ (with $d \leq D$) be the conjugates of α over \mathbb{Q} (so lie in \mathbb{F}). Their multiplicative rank is at least r . If it is strictly bigger, then the main result *Théorème 1.6* of [1] applied to $r+1$ independent conjugates gives

$$h(\alpha) \gg_{r, \varepsilon} D^{-1/(r+1)-\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we may assume that the rank is exactly r .

By Lemma 2.1 there exists a number field $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ of degree $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}] = n \leq n(r)$ and an integer $e \geq 1$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ and $\alpha^e \in \mathbb{L}$.

Let now $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\alpha^e \in \mathbb{L}$ and $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq n$,

$$(3.1) \quad h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{e} h(\alpha^e) \gg_r \frac{1}{e}.$$

On the other hand, the degree of \mathbb{F} over the cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ is $D/\phi(e)$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}$ are multiplicatively independent. By the main result *Théorème 1.6* of [7] (for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ and recalling that B has positive codimension) we have

$$(3.2) \quad h(\alpha) \gg_{r, \varepsilon} (D/\phi(e))^{-1/r-\varepsilon} \gg_{r, \varepsilon} e^{1/r} D^{-1/r-\varepsilon}.$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$h(\alpha)^{r+1} = h(\alpha) h(\alpha)^r \gg_{r, \varepsilon} D^{-1-r\varepsilon}.$$

□

¹which implies that α is not a root of unity.

Taking $r = 1$ we get

Corollary 3.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive effective constant $c(\varepsilon)$ with the following property. Let \mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension of degree D . Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ which is not a root of unity we have*

$$h(\alpha) \geq c(\varepsilon)D^{-1/2-\varepsilon}.$$

For a direct proof of this corollary, which uses [5] instead of the more deep result of [7], see [11] exercise 16.23.

We remark that Corollary 3.2 is optimal: take for \mathbb{F} the splitting field of $x^d - 2$, with $D = d\phi(d)$, and $\alpha = 2^{1/d}$. Nevertheless, as mentioned above, this result can be strengthened for a generator α of a Galois extension.

Theorem 3.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a positive effective constant $c(\varepsilon)$ with the following property. Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ be of degree d , not a root of unity, such that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois. Then we have*

$$h(\alpha) \geq c(\varepsilon)d^{-\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Let r be the smallest integer $> 1/\varepsilon$. If $r \geq d$ then $d < 1/\varepsilon$ and $h(\alpha) \gg_\varepsilon 1$. So we can assume $r < d$. If r among the conjugates of α are multiplicatively independent, by [1] we have

$$h(\alpha) \gg_\varepsilon D^{-1/r-\varepsilon} \gg_\varepsilon D^{-2\varepsilon}.$$

Otherwise, the multiplicative rank $\rho \geq 1$ of the conjugates of α is at most $r - 1 \leq 1/\varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists a number field $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ of degree $[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}] = n \leq n(\varepsilon)$ and an integer $e \geq 1$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\alpha^e \in \mathbb{L}$. As a consequence $\mathbb{L}(\alpha)/\mathbb{L}$ is of degree $e' \leq e$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{L}(\alpha) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{L}(\zeta_e) \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathbb{L} \quad \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ k := \mathbb{L} \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

shows that the degree of α over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)$ is

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{L}(\zeta_e)] \cdot [\mathbb{L}(\zeta_e) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)] = e' \frac{[\mathbb{L}(\zeta_e) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e)]}{[\mathbb{L}(\zeta_e) : \mathbb{L}]}$$

which is

$$e' \frac{[\mathbb{L} : k]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) : k]} = e' \frac{[\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_e) : \mathbb{Q}]} = \frac{e'}{\phi(e)} n \leq \frac{e}{\phi(e)} n \ll_\varepsilon d^\varepsilon.$$

By the relative Dobrowolski lower bound of [5] we get

$$h(\alpha) \gg_{\varepsilon} d^{-2\varepsilon}.$$

□

Remark 3.4. *The proof above may be made completely explicit using [4] and [2] respectively instead of [1] and [5]. This would of course lead to a lower bound depending only on d .*

We note that Theorem 3.3 is best possible in the sense that an inequality $h(\alpha) \gg d^{\delta}$ would be false for any fixed $\delta > 0$. For example $\alpha = 1 + \zeta_e$ with $d = \phi(e)$ has $h(\alpha) \leq \log 2$. Or $\alpha = 2^{1/e} + \zeta_e$, whose degree is easily seen to be $e\phi(e)$, with $h(\alpha) \leq 2 \log 2$. But Smyth in [12] quoted above asked if even $h(\alpha) \gg 1$ is true, a kind of “Galois-Lehmer Problem”. We do not know, but it would imply the main result of Amoroso-Dvornicich [3] on abelian, and a slightly weaker result of Amoroso-Zannier [6] (Corollary 1.3) on dihedral.

REFERENCES

1. F. Amoroso and S. David, “Le problème de Lehmer en dimension supérieure”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **513** (1999), 145–179.
2. F. Amoroso and E. Delsinne, “Une minoration relative explicite pour la hauteur dans une extension d’une extension abélienne”, dans *Diophantine Geometry*, CRM, vol. 4, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2007, p. 1–24.
3. F. Amoroso and R. Dvornicich, “A Lower Bound for the Height in Abelian Extensions.” *J. Number Theory* **80** (2000), no 2, 260–272.
4. F. Amoroso and E. Viada, “Small points on rational subvarieties of tori”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **87** (2012), 355–383.
5. F. Amoroso and U. Zannier, “A relative Dobrowolski’s lower bound over abelian extensions”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **29** (2000), no. 3, 711–727.
6. F. Amoroso and U. Zannier, “A uniform relative Dobrowolski’s lower bound over abelian extensions”. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **42** (2010), no. 3, 489–498.
7. E. Delsinne, “Le problème de Lehmer relatif en dimension supérieure”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **42**, fascicule 6 (2009), 981–1028.
8. E. Dobrowolski, “On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial”, *Acta Arith.*, **34** (1979), 391–401.
9. W. Feit, “The orders of finite linear groups”. Preprint 1995.
10. S. Friedland, “The maximal orders of finite subgroups in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ ”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 3519–3526.
11. D. Masser, “Auxiliary Polynomials in Number Theory”. In Press.
12. F. Amoroso, I. Pritsker, C. Smyth and J. Vaaler, “Appendix to Report on BIRS workshop 15w5054 on The Geometry, Algebra and Analysis of Algebraic Numbers: Problems proposed by participants”. Available at <http://www.birs.ca/workshops/2015/15w5054/report15w5054.pdf>
13. J-P. Serre. “Rigidité du foncteur de Jacobi d’échelon $n \geq 3$ ”. Appendice à l’exposé 17 du séminaire Cartan, 1960-1961.
14. C. J. Smyth, “On the product of the conjugates outside the unit circle of an algebraic number”, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **3** (1971), 169–175.