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#### Abstract

The aim of this work is to deal with effective problems in the Kobayashi and Debarre conjectures, based on the work by Damian Brotbek and Lionel Darondeau. We first show that if the line bundle $L$ generates $k$-jets, the $k$-th Wronskian ideal sheaf for $L$ is stable. Then we obtain an effective estimate in the "almost" Nakamaye Theorem for the universal Grassmannian. Finally we get explicit effective bounds for the Kobayashi and Debarre conjectures.


## 1 Introduction

The famous Kobayashi conjecture stated that a general hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of sufficient large degree is hyperbolic. In the last 15 years, at least three important techniques were introduced to study this problem: Siu's slanted vector fields on higher order jet spaces [7], Demailly's strongly of general type for studying Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture [5] and Brotbek's construction of families of hypersurfaces which are deformations of Fermat type [3]. In [3] Brotbek has given a formula for the degree bound $d_{0}$, which depends on two constants $M$ and $m_{\infty}$ appearing in using noetherianity. In this paper we will determine these two constants and hence give the effective bound by applying Brotbek's formula directly. Since the problems are more or less independent, we will extact them from the work by Brotbek and suggest the readers who are interested in these connections to refer [3]. Nevertheless we will adopt the same notations in [3] for the reader's convenience.

For the constant $M$ depending on $n$, it arises in the Nakamaye theorem: Let $X$ be a Kähler manifold and $L$ a big and nef line bundle on $X$. Then the null locus $\operatorname{Null}(L)$ of $L$ coincides with the augmented base locus $\mathbb{B}_{+}(L)$. Fix an ample divisor $A$ on $X$, by noetherian property there exists a positive integer $m_{0}$ such that for $m \geq m_{0}$ we have $\operatorname{Bs}(m L-A)=\mathbb{B}_{+}(L)=\operatorname{Null}(L)$. Now we have the following effectivity problem:

Problem 1.1. Can we get an effective bound for $m_{0}$ which depends on some numerical constants related to $L$ and $A$ ?

[^0]In general, this problem is hard to answer. Fortunately, in order to compute $M$, we only need to consider the concrete projective manifold $X$ which is a universal Grassmannian, and $L$ is the pull back of the tautological line bundle. Our first main result is Theorem 3.1, which is an "almost" Nakamaye Theorem. Namely although we could not get the effective bound in the Nakamaye Theorem, we can obtain a bound such that the corresponding base locus is good enough to ensure the arguments in [3] are still valid. The method can also be used to study the effective problem for the ampleness of the cotangent bundles of general complete intersections (ref. [2]).

The constant $m_{\infty}$ appears in the Wronskian ideal sheaf of Demailly-Semple tower. Let $L$ be an very ample line bundle on the Kähler manifold $X$, and for any holomorphic sections $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{k} \in H^{0}(X, L)$, we have the Wronskian for these sections

$$
W\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right) \in H^{0}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) \otimes \pi_{0, k}^{*}\left(L^{k+1}\right)\right)
$$

where $X_{k}$ is the $k$-stage Demailly-Semple tower for the directed variety $\left(X, T_{X}\right)$. We set
$\mathbb{W}\left(X_{k}, L\right):=\operatorname{Span}\left\{W\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \mid s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n} \in H^{0}(X, L)\right\} \subset H^{0}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) \otimes \pi_{0, k}^{*}\left(L^{k+1}\right)\right)$,
to be the sublinear system of $H^{0}\left(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\right) \otimes \pi_{0, k}^{*}\left(L^{k+1}\right)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{w}\left(X_{k}, L\right)$ is denoted to be the base ideal of the linear system $\mathbb{W}\left(X_{k}, L\right)$. By Lemma 2.5 in [3] if $L$ is very ample we have

$$
\mathfrak{w}\left(X_{k}, L\right) \subset \mathfrak{w}\left(X_{k}, L^{2}\right) \subset \ldots \subset \mathfrak{w}\left(X_{k}, L^{m}\right) \subset \ldots
$$

Then the noetherian property shows that this increasing sequence stabilizes for some $m_{0}:=$ $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)$, and the asymptotic ideal sheaf is denoted by $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)$. As was also proved in [3], $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)$ does not depend on the very ample line bundle $L$ and is called asymptotic Wronskian ideal sheaf, denoted by $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Now we have the following problem:

Problem 1.2. Determine the constant $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)$.
We have the following theorem which solves Problem 1.2:
Theorem 1.1. If $L$ separates $k$-jets at each point of $X$, then $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)=1$. In particular, if $L$ is known to be only very ample, we have $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)=k$.

By Theorem 1.1 we have $m_{\infty}=n-1$ if $k=n-1$. Hence by the formula in [3] we have the following effective bound for degree of generic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ which are hyperbolic:

Main Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a projective manifold of dimension $n$ and $L$ is a very ample line bundle on $X$. Then a generic hypersurface in $\left|H^{0}\left(X, L^{d}\right)\right|$ with $d \geq 3^{n} n(4 n-2)+4 n+2$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. In particular, a generic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ of degree $d \geq 3^{n} n(4 n-2)+$ $4 n+2$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

The technique can also be used to study the effectivity in the Debarre conjecture, and we have the following result:
Main Theorem 2. Set $c_{0}:=\left\llcorner\frac{N+1}{2}\right\lrcorner$. For all $d \geq 2 c_{0} 4^{c_{0}+1}+5$, the complete intersection of general hypersurfaces $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{c}$ with $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{c_{0}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(d)$ and other $H_{i}$ any degree, have ample cotangent bundle.

## 2 Asymptotic Wronskian Ideal Sheaf in the Demailly-Semple Tower

We denote by $\left(X_{k}, V_{k}\right)$ the Demailly-Semple tower for $\left(X, T_{X}\right)$, where $X$ is a complex manifold, and $D_{j}:=P\left(T_{X_{j-1} / X_{j-2}}\right)$ (by an abuse of notation, in our context $D_{j}$ is the divisor in $X_{k}$ given by $\left.\pi_{j, k}^{-1}\left(D_{j}\right)\right)$. Let $U$ be an open set with coordinates $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ such that $\pi_{0, k}^{-1}(U)$ is a trivial product of $U \times \mathcal{R}_{n, k}$, where $\mathcal{R}_{n, k}$ is the universal rational variety $\mathbb{C}^{n k} / \mathbb{G}_{n}[4]$. We denote by $g_{k}: \pi_{0, k}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathcal{R}_{n, k}$ the natural biholomorphism. Then each $D_{i} \cap \pi_{0, k}^{-1}(U)$ is also a trivial product $U \times E_{j}$ under the biholomorphism $g_{k}$, where $E_{j}$ is a smooth prime divisor in $\mathcal{R}_{n, k}$. Let $p \in U$ be the point corresponding to the origin in the coordinate $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$. Therefore, we have the following biholomorphism $q_{k} \circ g_{k}: \pi_{0, k}^{-1}(p) \cap D_{j} \stackrel{\approx}{\rightarrow} E_{j}$, where $q_{k}: U \times \mathcal{R}_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{n, k}$ is the projection to the second factor. In the paper, we will make the convention that any germ of holomorphic curve is defined over $p$, and we will not distinguish the points in $E_{j}$ and $\pi_{0, k}^{-1}(p) \cap D_{j}$.

For any germ of holomorphic curve $\nu(t)$ with $\nu(0)=p$, its lift to the $k-1$-th DemaillySemple tower $\nu_{[k-1]}(t)$ induces a section $\nu_{[k-1]}^{\prime}(t)$ of the line bundle $\nu_{[k]}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}(-1)$. Then by the definition of the Wronskian, for any $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}, W\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)$ can be seen as a section in $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n, k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{n, k}}(m)\right)$ with $m=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$, given by

$$
W\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)\left(\nu_{[k-1]}^{\prime}(0)^{m}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{0} \circ \nu(t) & \ldots & f_{k} \circ \nu(t)  \tag{2.1}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k} f_{\mathrm{o}} \circ \nu(t)}{\mathrm{d} t^{k}} & \ldots & \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{k} f_{\mathrm{k}} \circ \nu(t)}{\mathrm{d} t^{k}}
\end{array}\right|_{t=0}
$$

We set

$$
S:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{W\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \mid f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}\right\} \subset H^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n, k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{n, k}}(m)\right),
$$

and $\mathcal{I}_{n, k} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{n, k}}$ is denoted to be the base ideal of the linear system $S$. By the homogenous property we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}^{*} \circ q_{k}^{*} \mathcal{I}_{n, k}=\mathfrak{w}_{\infty}\left(X_{k}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $\pi_{0, k}^{-1}(U)$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is the asymptotic Wronskian ideal sheaf introduced in Section 1.
Theorem 2.1. Let $f_{0} \in m_{p}^{k+1}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$, then $W\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)=0$ as a section in $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n, k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{n, k}}(m)\right)$.
Proof. For any $w \in \mathcal{R}_{n, k}$, by a theorem in [4], there exists a germ of curve $\nu:(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow(X, p)$ such that $\nu_{[k]}(0)=w$ and $\nu_{[k-1]}^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Since $\left.\nu_{[k-1]}^{\prime}(0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{n, k}}(-1)\right|_{w}$, then if we can show that $W\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)\left(\nu_{[k-1]}^{\prime}(0)^{m}\right)=0$, by the arbitrariness of $w$, we will prove the theorem.

Since $f_{0} \in m_{p}^{k+1}$, then the multiplicity of $f_{0} \circ \nu(t)$ at 0 must be no less than $k+1$, and thus $\frac{\left(f_{0} \circ \nu(t)\right)^{(i)}}{t}$ is still holomorphic for $i=0, \ldots, k$. Thus

$$
W\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{k}\right)\left(\nu_{[k-1]}^{\prime}(0)^{m}\right)=t \cdot\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{f_{0} \circ \nu(t)}{t} & \ldots & f_{k} \circ \nu(t) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{t} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{k} f_{0} \circ \nu(t)}{\mathrm{d} t^{k}} & \ldots & \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{k} f_{k} \circ \nu(t)}{\mathrm{d} t^{k}}
\end{array}\right|_{t=0}=0 .
$$

Since the Wronskian operator $W: \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}_{X, p} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{R}_{n, k}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{n, k}}(m)\right)$ is bilinear, hence we have

Theorem 1.1bis. If $L$ separates $k$-jets at each point of $X$, then $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)=1$, where $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)=1$ is the positive integer defined in Section 1. In particular, if $L$ is known to be only very ample, we have $m_{\infty}\left(X_{k}, L\right)=k$.

## 3 Effectivity of "Good" Base Locus for Universal Grassmannian

We denote by $V:=H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(\delta)\right)$, which is the set of homogenous polynomials of degree $\delta$ in $\mathbb{C}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]$, and for any $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$, set

$$
\mathbb{P}_{J}:=\left\{\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{N} \mid z_{j}=0 \text { if } j \in J\right\}
$$

Given any $\Delta \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{k}+1}(\mathrm{~V})$ and $[z] \in \mathbb{P}^{N}$ we write $\Delta([z])=0$ if $P(z)=0$ for all $P \in \Delta \subset V$. We then define the family

$$
\mathscr{Y}:=\left\{(\Delta,[z]) \in \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V) \times \mathbb{P}^{N} \mid \Delta([z])=0\right\},
$$

and for any $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$, set

$$
\mathscr{Y}_{J}:=\mathscr{Y} \cap\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V) \times \mathbb{P}_{J}\right) \subset \mathscr{Y} \cap \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V) \times \mathbb{P}^{N}
$$

Let $k+1 \geq N$, then $q_{1}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{k}+1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is a generic finite to one morphism. We also define $q_{2}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ to be the projection on the second factor. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the very ample line bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$ which is the pull back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ under the Plücker embedding. Then $\left.q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right|_{\mathscr{Y}_{J}}$ is a big and nef line bundle on $\mathscr{Y}_{J}$ for any $J$. For any $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$ we denote by $p_{J}: \mathscr{Y}_{J} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$, and $\hat{p}_{J}: \mathscr{Y}_{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{J}$ the second projection. Similarly we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{J}:=\left\{y \in \mathscr{Y} \mid \operatorname{dim}_{y}\left(p_{J}^{-1}\left(p_{J}(y)\right)\right)>0\right\} \\
G_{J}^{\infty}:=p_{J}\left(E_{J}\right) \subset \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V),
\end{gathered}
$$

then $E_{J}=\operatorname{Null}\left(\left.\mathrm{q}_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right|_{\mathscr{Q}_{J}}\right)$. For $J=\emptyset$ we have $\mathscr{Y}_{J}=\mathscr{Y}$ and denote by $E:=E_{\emptyset}$ and $G^{\infty}:=G_{\emptyset}^{\infty}$. By the theorem of Nakamaye we have

$$
\operatorname{Null}\left(\left.q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right|_{\mathscr{Y}_{J}}\right)=\mathbb{B}_{+}\left(\left.q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right|_{\mathscr{Y}_{J}}\right)
$$

Since $q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1) \mid \mathscr{\mathscr { V }}_{J}$ is an ample line bundle on $\mathscr{Y}_{J}$, by noetherianity there exists $m_{J} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
E_{J}=\mathbb{B}_{+}\left(\left.q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right|_{\mathscr{Y}_{J}}\right)=\operatorname{Bs}\left(\left.q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{m} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{J}}(-1)\right|_{\mathscr{Y}_{J}}\right)
$$

for every $m \geq m_{J}$.
From now on we assume that $k+1=N$ (since this is enough in Brotbek's work), and thus $q_{1}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{k}+1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is a generically finite-to-one surjective morphism. First we will work on $J=\emptyset$.

We first find a smooth rational curve $C$ in $\operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$ of degree 1 , which is given by

$$
\Delta\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]\right):=\operatorname{Span}\left(z_{1}^{\delta}, z_{2}^{\delta}, \ldots z_{N-1}^{\delta}, t_{0} z_{N}^{\delta}+t_{1} z_{0}^{\delta}\right),
$$

where $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. We are going to show that $\Delta\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]\right): \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$ is a smooth embedding from $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ to $\operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$, and satisfies the degree condition $\mathscr{L} \cdot C=1$.

Set $\mathbb{I}:=\left\{I=\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{N}\right)| | I \mid=\delta\right\}$. Then $\left\{z^{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathbb{I}}$ is a basis for $V$, and $w_{\left(I_{0}, \ldots, I_{k}\right)}$ with $I_{0}<I_{1}<\ldots<I_{k}$ is a basis for $\Lambda^{k+1} V$, where $I<J$ is defined by lexicographical order in $\mathbb{I}$. Now we set $J_{i} \in \mathbb{I}$ to be $z^{J_{i}}:=z_{i}^{\delta}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Then the curve $\tilde{C}$ defined in $\mathbb{P}\left(\Lambda^{k+1} V\right)$ given by the equations $w_{\left(I_{0}, \ldots, I_{k}\right)}=0$ for all $\left(I_{0}, \ldots, I_{k}\right) \neq\left(J_{1}, \ldots, J_{N}\right)$ and $\left(J_{0}, \ldots, J_{N-1}\right)$, is of degree 1 with respect to $\mathscr{L} . \tilde{C}$ is totally contained in the image of the Plücker embedding of $\operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$, whose inverse image is $C$.

Now choose a hyperplane $D$ which is given by $\left\{\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N}\right] \mid z_{0}+z_{N}=0\right\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. Then we have

Lemma 3.1. The intersection number of the curve $q_{1}^{*} C$ and the divisor $q_{2}^{*} D$ in $\mathscr{Y}$ is $\delta^{N-1}$. Moreover, $q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D \equiv \delta^{N-1} \mathscr{L}$, where " $\equiv$ " stands for linear equivalent.
Proof. An easy computation shows that $q_{1}^{*} C$ and $q_{2}^{*} D$ intersect only at the point $\operatorname{Span}\left(z_{1}^{\delta}, z_{2}^{\delta}, \ldots z_{N-1}^{\delta}\right.$, $\left.z_{N}^{\delta}+(-1)^{\delta+1} z_{0}^{\delta}\right) \times[1,0 \ldots, 0,-1] \in \mathscr{Y}$ with multiplicity $\delta^{N-1}$. The first statement follows.

By projection formula we have

$$
q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D \cdot C=q_{1 *}\left(q_{2}^{*} D \cdot q_{1}^{*} C\right)=\delta^{N-1} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathrm{k}+1}(\mathrm{~V})\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}$ with the generator $\mathscr{L}$, then $q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D \equiv \delta^{N-1} \mathscr{L}$ by the fact that $\mathscr{L} \cdot C=$ 1.

We first observe that $q_{1}^{*} q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D-q_{2}^{*} D$ is an effective divisor of $\mathscr{Y}$, and from Lemma 3.1 we know that $q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{\delta^{N-1}} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)$ is effective. We also have a good control of the base locus as follows:
Claim:

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{m} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)\right) \subset q_{1}^{-1}\left(G^{\infty}\right) \quad \text { for any } m \geq \delta^{N-1}
$$

Proof: Indeed, for any $y_{0} \notin q_{1}^{-1}\left(G^{\infty}\right), q_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)$ is a finite set, and one can choose a hyperplane
 the divisor $q_{1}^{*} q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D^{\prime}-q_{2}^{*} D^{\prime}$ is effective and lies in the linear system $\left|q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{\delta^{N-1}} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)\right|$ of $\mathscr{Y}$. Now we show that $y_{0} \notin \operatorname{Supp}\left(q_{1}^{*} q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D^{\prime}-q_{2}^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed, for any $\Delta \in \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$, if we denote by $\operatorname{Int}(\Delta):=\left\{[z] \in \mathbb{P}_{N} \mid \Delta([z])=0\right\}$, then $q_{2}\left(q_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Int}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)$, where $\Delta_{0}:=q_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)$, hence the condition $D^{\prime} \cap q_{2}\left(q_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{1}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)\right)=\emptyset$ is equivalent to that $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Delta_{0}\right) \cap D^{\prime}=\emptyset$. However, for any $\Delta \in q_{1}\left(q_{2}^{-1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$, we must have $\operatorname{Int}(\Delta) \cap D^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, therefore $\Delta_{0} \notin q_{1}\left(q_{2}^{-1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and thus $y_{0} \notin q_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{1}\left(q_{2}^{-1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \supset \operatorname{Supp}\left(q_{1}^{*} q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D^{\prime}-q_{2}^{*} D^{\prime}\right)$. Thus from the arbitrariness of $y_{0}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{\delta^{N-1}} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)\right) \subset q_{1}^{-1}\left(G^{\infty}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathscr{L}$ is very ample on $\operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$, and $q_{1}$ is finite to one morphism outside $q_{1}^{-1}\left(G^{\infty}\right)$, we conclude that for any $m \geq \delta^{N-1}$,

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{m} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)\right) \subset q_{1}^{-1}\left(G^{\infty}\right)
$$

Now we work on general $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$. First recall that $p_{J}: \mathscr{Y}_{J} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{k+1}(V)$, and $\hat{p}_{J}: \mathscr{Y}_{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{J}$ the second projection. The method is repeating the arguments above. For any $y_{0} \notin p_{J}^{-1}\left(G_{J}^{\infty}\right)$, the set $p_{J}^{-1}\left(p_{J}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)$ is a finite one, and thus one can choose a generic hyperplane $D \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)\right)$ such that $D \cap \mathbb{P}_{J} \cap \hat{p}_{J}\left(p_{J}^{-1}\left(p_{J}\left(y_{0}\right)\right)\right)=\emptyset$, which means that $\operatorname{Int}\left(\Delta_{0}\right) \cap D \cap \mathbb{P}_{J}=\emptyset$, where $\Delta_{0}:=p_{J}\left(y_{0}\right)$. However, for any $\Delta \in p_{J}\left(\hat{p}_{J}^{-1}\left(D \cap \mathbb{P}_{J}\right)\right)$ we must have $\operatorname{Int}(\Delta) \cap D \cap \mathbb{P}_{J} \neq \emptyset$, and thus $\Delta_{0} \notin p_{J}\left(\hat{p}_{J}^{-1}\left(D \cap \mathbb{P}_{J}\right)\right)$, a fortiori $y_{0} \notin p_{J}^{-1}\left(p_{J}\left(\hat{p}_{J}^{-1}\left(D \cap \mathbb{P}_{J}\right)\right)\right)=$ $q_{1}^{-1}\left(q_{1}\left(q_{2}^{-1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \cap \mathscr{Y}_{J}$. Thus the restriction of the effective divisor $q_{1}^{*} q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D-q_{2}^{*} D$ to $\mathscr{Y}_{J}$ is well-defined and $y_{0} \notin q_{1}^{*} q_{1 *} q_{2}^{*} D-q_{2}^{*} D \mathscr{\mathscr { Y }}_{J}$. From the arbitrariness of $y_{0}$ we know that the base locus of the linear system $\left|q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{\delta^{N-1}} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)\right| \mathscr{Y}_{J} \mid$ is contained in $p_{J}^{-1}\left(G_{J}^{\infty}\right)$. Thus by the same argument we have

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{m} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \mid \mathscr{Y}_{J}\right) \subset p_{J}^{-1}\left(G_{J}^{\infty}\right)
$$

for $m \geq \delta^{N-1}$.
In conclusion, we have the following theorem:
Theorem 3.1. For any $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$, and $k+1=N$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{m} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \mid \mathscr{Y}_{J}\right) \subset p_{J}^{-1}\left(G_{J}^{\infty}\right)
$$

for any $m \geq \delta^{N-1}$.
Since $p_{J}^{-1}\left(G_{J}^{\infty}\right)$ may strictly contain the null locus $\operatorname{Null}\left(\left.q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right|_{\mathscr{Y}_{J}}\right)=E_{J}$, Theorem 3.1 does not imply the Nakamaye Theorem needed in [3]. However, as was pointed out to us by Brotbek, this theorem is enough to obtain the effective bound appearing in Theorem 3.1 in [3]. Indeed, his proof is still valid under the weaker condition

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(q_{1}^{*} \mathscr{L}^{m} \otimes q_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1) \mid \mathscr{Y}_{J}\right) \subset p_{J}^{-1}\left(G_{J}^{\infty}\right)
$$

for any $m \geq M$ and any $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$. By Theorem 3.1 we can take the constant $M$ to be $\delta^{N-1}$.

The above theorem can be generalized to the cases for products of Grassmannians. Now set $N=c(k+1)$, and denote by $\mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{i}\right):=\mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\left|H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right)\right|\right)$ and $\mathbf{G}:=\prod_{i=0}^{k+1} \mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$ for simplicity. Set $\mathscr{Y}$ to be the universal Grassmannian defined by

$$
\mathscr{Y}:=\left\{\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{c}, z\right) \in \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{P}^{N} \mid \forall i, \Delta_{i}([z])=0\right\} .
$$

Let $p_{1}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}, p_{2}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ and $q_{i}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$ be the canonical projections to each factor, then $p_{1}$ is a generically finite to one morphism. Set $\mathcal{L}$ to be the tautological ample line bundle

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{1}\right)}(1) \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{c}\right)}(1)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}):=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{1}\right)}\left(a_{1}\right) \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{k+1}\left(\delta_{c}\right)}\left(a_{c}\right)
$$

for any $c$-tuple of positive integers $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right)$.
We then define a smooth rational curve $C_{i}$ in $\mathbf{G}$ of degree 1 , which is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]\right):=\operatorname{Span}\left(z_{1}^{\delta_{1}}, z_{c+1}^{\delta_{1}} \ldots,\right.\left.z_{k c+1}^{\delta_{1}}\right) \times \operatorname{Span}\left(z_{2}^{\delta_{2}}, z_{c+2}^{\delta_{2}} \ldots, z_{k c+2}^{\delta_{2}}\right) \times \ldots \\
& \times \operatorname{Span}\left(t_{1} z_{i}^{\delta_{i}}+\right. \\
&\left.+t_{2} z_{0}^{\delta_{i}}, z_{c+i}^{\delta_{i}}, \ldots, z_{k c+i}^{\delta_{2}}\right) \times \ldots \\
& \times \operatorname{Span}\left(z_{c}^{\delta_{c}}, z_{2 c}^{\delta_{c}}, \ldots, z_{(k+1) c}^{\delta_{c}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. It is easy to check that $\Delta\left(\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right)$ is an smooth embedding from $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ to $\mathbf{G}$, and satisfies the degree condition $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot C_{i}=a_{i}$ for each $i$. Choose a hyperplane $D_{i}$ which is given by $\left\{\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N}\right] \mid z_{i}+z_{0}=0\right\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. Then we have

Lemma 3.2. The intersection number of the curve $p_{1}^{*} C_{i}$ and the divisor $p_{2}^{*} D_{i}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ is $b_{i}:=$

Proof. It is easy to show that $p_{1}^{*} C_{i}$ and $p_{2}^{*} D_{i}$ intersect only at one point with multiplicity $b_{i}$.
By projection formula we have

$$
p_{1 *} p_{2}^{*} D_{i} \cdot C_{i}=p_{1 *}\left(p_{2}^{*} D_{i} \cdot p_{1}^{*} C_{i}\right)=b_{i} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}): \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbf{G})$ is an isomorphism, then $p_{1 *} p_{2}^{*} D_{i} \equiv p_{1 *} p_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1) \equiv \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{b})$, due to the fact that $\mathcal{L} \cdot C_{i}=1$.

Thus the line bundle $p_{1}^{*} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{b}) \otimes p_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)$ is effective, and by the similar arguments in the Section 3 we also have

$$
\operatorname{Bs}\left(p_{1}^{*} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{b}) \otimes p_{2}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(-1)\right) \subset G^{\infty},
$$

where $G^{\infty}$ to be the set of points in $\mathbf{G}$ such that is fiber of $p_{1}$ is not a finite set. We run the same methods above to show that the same estimate also holds for all the stratams $\mathscr{Y}_{I}$ of $\mathscr{Y}$.

## 4 The Codimension of the Exceptional Locus

In this section we will give a better estimate for the codimension of the exceptional locus, appearing in Section 3 of [2]. First we state the following lemma due to Olivier Benoist [1].

Lemma 4.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ be a (irreducible) subvariety of dimension $n$. Let $G$ be the set of all hypersurfaces of degree $\delta$ containing $X$. Then

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(G,\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(\delta)\right|\right) \geq\binom{ n+\delta}{\delta}
$$

For $k+1 \geq N$ and a $k+1$-tuple of positive integers $\boldsymbol{\delta}:=\left(\delta_{0}, \ldots, \delta_{k}\right)$ with each $\delta_{i} \geq \delta$ for some $\delta$, if we denote by $T_{\boldsymbol{\delta}, k+1, N}:=\prod_{i=0}^{k}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right|$ and $T_{\delta, k+1, N}^{\infty}$ the subvariety of $T_{\delta, k+1, N}$ parametrizing equations that do not define a 0 -dimensional scheme. Then we have

Theorem 4.1. With the above notation,

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(T_{\delta, k+1, N}^{\infty}, T_{\delta, k+1, N}\right) \geq\binom{ N+\delta}{\delta}^{k} \cdot(\delta+1)
$$

In particular, if $N$ varies in $1, \ldots, k+1$, then we have a rough uniform estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim}\left(T_{\delta, k+1, N}^{\infty}, T_{\delta, k+1, N}\right) \geq(\delta+1)^{k+1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we will assume that $k+1=N$. For any elements $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{N}\right) \in \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right|$, if the subscheme defined by $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{N}$ fails to be a 0 -dimensional subscheme, there exist a unique $1 \leq i<N-1$ such that the subschemes defined by $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}$ and $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i+1}$ are both of codimension $i$, and these elements form a subvariety of $T_{\delta, N, N}^{\infty}$ denoted by $Z_{i}$. Thus we have a decomposition $T_{\delta, N, N}^{\infty}=\cup_{i=1}^{N-1} Z_{i}$. If we denote by $p_{i}: \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right| \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|$ and $q_{i}: \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right| \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$ the projection map respectively, then the image $p_{i}\left(Z_{i}\right)$ is Zariski dense in $\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|$. We set $U_{i}$ to te the Zariski open set in $\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|$ parametrizing smooth irreducible varieties, and $U_{i}$ is contained in $p_{i}\left(Z_{i}\right)$. Take any $\boldsymbol{P}_{i}:=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}\right) \in U_{i}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim}\left(q_{i+1}\left(Z_{i} \cap p_{i}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{i}\right)\right),\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{i+1}\right)\right|\right) \geq\binom{\delta_{i+1}+N-i}{N-i} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if we denote by $X_{i}$ the smooth variety of codimension $i$ defined by $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}$, then by the definition of $Z_{i}, P_{i+1}$ must contain $X_{i}$. By Lemma 4.1, $P_{i+1}$ must be contained in a subvariety of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{i+1}\right)\right|$ of codimension $\binom{\delta_{i+1}+N-i}{N-i}$. From (4.2) we conclude that, when $p_{i}$ restricts to $Z_{i}$, the generic fiber has codimension more than $\binom{\delta_{i+1}+N-i}{N-i} \cdot \prod_{j=i+2}^{N}\binom{N+\delta_{j}}{N}$ in $\prod_{j=i+1}^{N}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|$, and thus by (4.2) we have
$\operatorname{codim}\left(Z_{i}, \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|\right) \geq\binom{\delta_{i+1}+N-i}{N-i} \cdot \prod_{j \neq i+1}\binom{N+\delta_{j}}{N} \geq\binom{ N+\delta}{\delta}^{N-1} \cdot\binom{\delta_{i+1}+N-i}{N-i}$,
and by $T_{\delta, N, N}^{\infty}=\cup_{i=1}^{N-1} Z_{i}$ we have
$\operatorname{codim}\left(T_{\delta, N, N}^{\infty}, T_{\delta, N, N}\right) \geq \min _{1 \leq i<N-1}\binom{N+\delta}{\delta}^{N-1} \cdot\binom{\delta_{i+1}+N-i}{N-i} \geq\binom{ N+\delta}{\delta}^{N-1} \cdot(\delta+1)$,
here we use the assumptions that $\delta_{i} \geq \delta$ for any $i$.
Now we turn to the case $k+1>N$. Set $p_{N}: T_{\boldsymbol{\delta}, k+1, N} \rightarrow \prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|=: T_{\boldsymbol{\delta}, N, N}$ be the projection map, with the fibers $\prod_{j=N}^{k}\left|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}\left(\delta_{j}\right)\right|$. Then we can easily find that $p_{N}\left(T_{\delta, k+1, N}^{\infty}\right) \subset$ $T_{\delta, N, N}^{\infty}$, and thus

$$
\operatorname{codim}\left(T_{\boldsymbol{\delta}, k+1, N}^{\infty}, T_{\delta, k+1, N}\right) \geq\binom{ N+\delta}{\delta}^{k} \cdot(\delta+1)
$$

## 5 Effectivity in the Kobayashi Conjecture

We still use the notations in [3]. Let $X$ be a projective manifold of dimension $n$ and $L$ a very ample line bundle on $X$. First we will give a better estimate for $\delta$. Set $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ to be a $k+1$-tuple of the form $(\delta, \ldots, \delta)$. In Lemma 3.8 of [3], in order to avoid the exceptional locus, $\delta$ needs to satisfy the following condition:

$$
\operatorname{codim}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{I}} J\right)^{k+1}} \mathbb{V}_{2, J}^{\infty}=\operatorname{codim}\left(T_{\delta, k+1, \# J-1}^{\infty}, T_{\boldsymbol{\delta}, k+1, \# J-1}\right)>\operatorname{dim}\left(\hat{X}_{k}\right)=n+(n-1) k
$$

for any $\mathbb{P}^{J}:=\left\{[z] \in \mathbb{P}^{N} \mid z_{j}=0\right.$ for any $\left.j \notin J\right\}$ with $J \subset\{0, \ldots, N\}$. By [3] we can fix $N=n, k=n-1$. We replace the estimates for $\operatorname{codim}_{\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{I} J}\right)^{k+1}} \mathbb{V}_{2, J}^{\infty}$ in (34) of [3] by (4.1) in Theorem 4.1, $\delta$ only needs to satisfy

$$
(\delta+1)^{n} \geq(n-1) n
$$

and thus if $n \geq 3, \delta \geq 1$ is enough to verify this condition.
In order to control the indeterminacy, from Proposition 3.8 in [3] the condition for $\delta$ is

$$
\operatorname{dim} \hat{X}_{k}+k<\# \mathbb{I}_{x}
$$

for generic $x$ in $X$. Since for generic $x$ we have $\# \mathbb{I}_{x}=\binom{N+\delta}{\delta}$, then

$$
(k+1) n<\binom{N+\delta}{\delta}
$$

Since we have fixed $N=n$ and $k=n-1$, then $\delta \geq 3$ when $n \geq 2$. In conclusion, if $n \geq 3$, any $\delta \geq 3$ is valid in controling the indeterminacy and avoiding the exceptional locus.

Let $X_{n-1}$ be the $n-1$-th Demailly-Semple tower for $X$. By Theorem 1.1 we have

$$
\mathfrak{w}_{\infty}\left(X_{n-1}, L\right)=\mathfrak{w}\left(X_{n-1}, L^{n-1}\right)
$$

which implies that $m_{\infty}=n-1$. By Theorem 3.1 we can take $M:=\delta^{N-1}=3^{n-1}$, if we fix $\delta=3$. Thus by the definition in [3] we have

$$
r(u, v, M, N, k, \epsilon, \delta)=3^{n-1} n(\epsilon+3(n-1))+1
$$

Since $R:=\max \left\{r(u, v, M, N, k, \epsilon, \delta) \mid m_{\infty} \leq \epsilon<m_{\infty}+\delta\right\}$, thus we have

$$
R=3^{n-1} n(4 n-2)+1
$$

and the degree bound should be

$$
d_{0}:=3^{n} n(4 n-2)+4 n+2
$$

Thus we prove the Main Theorem 1.

## 6 Effectivity for the Ampleness of the Complete Intersection

Let $M$ be a projective manifold of dimension $N$ and $L$ a very ample line bundle on it. First we will assume that $N=2 c$. In order to obtain the effective estimate needed in [2], by arguments for the universal Grassmannian $\mathscr{Y}$ defined by $\prod_{i=1}^{c} \mathbf{G}_{2}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$ in Section 3, we replace $Q^{\otimes m(\delta)}$ in Formula $\left(*_{2}\right)$ in [2] by

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{b}):=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{2}\left(\delta_{1}\right)}\left(b_{1}\right) \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_{2}\left(\delta_{c}\right)}\left(b_{c}\right),
$$

where $b_{i}:=\frac{\prod_{i=j}^{c} \delta_{j}^{2 c}}{\delta_{i}}$, then we get the required condition in the Corollary 2.8 in [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{p=1}^{c}\left(\epsilon_{p}+\delta_{p}\right) b_{p}-r<0 . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $2 c>N$, we can not apply the results in [2] to get the effectivity directly. However, compared to their construction of the morphism from universal families of $c$ complete intersections to the universal Grassmannian, we can fix $2 c+1$ general sections $\zeta_{0}, \ldots, \zeta_{2 c}$ in the linear system $|L|$, where $L$ is a very ample line bundle, in place of their $N+1$ sections $\zeta_{0}, \ldots, \zeta_{N}$. Then the universal Grassmannian is replaced the following one

$$
\mathcal{Y}:=\left\{\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{c}, z\right) \in \mathbf{G}_{2}\left(\delta_{1}\right) \times \ldots \mathbf{G}_{2}\left(\delta_{c}\right) \times \mathbb{P}^{2 c} \mid \forall i, \Delta_{i}([z])=0\right\},
$$

which enables us to apply our methods to get the effectivity. Luckily, although we add more general sections, as the details of the proofs in [2] show, we still have the same estimates for $\boldsymbol{\delta}:=\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{c}\right)$ if we would like to also avoid the indeterminacy locus of the rational map and the exceptional locus which are the union of the positive dimensional fibers in the universal Grassmannian (i.e. determine the lower bound for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ as that in Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 in [2]). Furthermore, in order to avoid the exceptional locus, $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ needs to satisfy

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)\right)<\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathbf{A}_{I}^{\infty}, \mathbf{A}_{I}\right)=\operatorname{codim}\left(T_{\delta, 2 c, \# I-1}^{\infty}, T_{\delta, 2 c, \# I-1}\right),
$$

for any $\mathbb{P}^{I}:=\left\{[z] \in \mathbb{P}^{N} \mid z_{i}=0\right.$ for any $\left.i \notin I\right\}$ with $I \subset\{0, \ldots, 2 c\}$. If we use our estimates for codimension of the exceptional locus Theorem 4.1 instead, the condition for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is

$$
N(N-1)<\left(\min \delta_{j}+1\right)^{2 c},
$$

and thus $\delta_{j} \geq 1$. In conclusion, we have a refined results for the Corollary 2.8 in [2]:
Corollary 6.1. On a $N$-dimensional smooth projective variety $M$, equipped with a very ample line bundle $L$, for any degrees $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{c}\right) \in(\mathbb{N})^{c}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\exists \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\left(\delta_{p} \geq 2\right)}, \exists \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\left(\epsilon_{p} \geq 1\right)}, \exists r>2 c \prod_{i=1}^{c} \delta_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{\epsilon_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{c} \delta_{j}^{2}}{\delta_{i}}, \text { s.t. } \\
d_{p}=\delta_{p}(r+1)+\epsilon_{p} \quad(p=1, \ldots, c),
\end{array}
$$

the complete intersection $X:=H_{1} \cap \ldots H_{c}$ of general hypersurfaces $H_{1} \in\left|L^{d_{1}}\right|, \ldots, H_{c} \in\left|L^{d_{c}}\right|$ has ample cotangent bundle.

Since any subvariety of a variety with ample cotangent bundle also has ample cotangent bundle, we only need to deal with the case $c_{0}:=\left\llcorner\frac{N+1}{2}\right\lrcorner$. If we take $\delta_{1}=\ldots=\delta_{c_{0}}=2$, then any $d \geq 2 c_{0} 4^{c_{0}+1}+5$ can be decomposed as

$$
d=2(r+1)+\epsilon
$$

with $1 \leq \epsilon \leq 2$ and $r>c_{0} 4^{c^{0+1}}$, satisfying the conditions in Corollary 6.1. In particular, if $M$ is taken to be $\mathbb{P}^{N}$, then we can take $L$ to be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)$, and thus for all $d \geq 2 c_{0} 4^{c_{0}+1}+5$, the complete intersection of general hypersurfaces $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{c}$ with $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{c_{0}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(d)$ and other $H_{i}$ any degree have ample cotangent bundle, and we prove the Main Theorem 2.
Remark 6.1. It is worth to mention that in [8] Songyan Xie first gave an effective bound $N^{N^{2}}$ by using different methods.
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