



HAL
open science

Two-sources randomness extractors in finite fields and in elliptic curves

Hortense Boudjou Tchapgnoou, Abdoul A. Ciss, Djiby Sow, D.T. Kolyang

► **To cite this version:**

Hortense Boudjou Tchapgnoou, Abdoul A. Ciss, Djiby Sow, D.T. Kolyang. Two-sources randomness extractors in finite fields and in elliptic curves. 2016. hal-01306642v1

HAL Id: hal-01306642

<https://hal.science/hal-01306642v1>

Preprint submitted on 25 Apr 2016 (v1), last revised 21 Jun 2017 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Two-sources randomness extractors in finite fields and in elliptic curves

Boudjou.T. Hortense* — Abdoul A. Ciss** — Djiby Sow*** — Kolyang****

* Department of Computer and Telecommunications
High Institute of the Sahel
University of Maroua
46 Maroua
Cameroon
hortense_boudjou@yahoo.fr

** Laboratory of Information Processing and Intelligent Systems
Polytechnic School of Thies
A10 Thies
Senegal
aaciss@ept.sn

*** Laboratory of Algebra, Analysis, Cryptography, Algebraic Geometry and Applications
Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar
Senegal
sowdjibab@yahoo.fr

**** Department of Computer Science
Higher Normal School
University of Maroua
46 Maroua
Cameroon
dtaiwe@yahoo.fr

ABSTRACT. We propose two-sources randomness extractors over finite fields and on elliptic curves that can extract from two sources of information without consideration of other assumptions that the starting algorithmic assumptions with a competitive level of security. These functions have several applications. We propose here a description of a version of a Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol and key extraction.

RÉSUMÉ. Nous proposons des extracteurs d'aléas 2-sources sur les corps finis et sur les courbes elliptiques capables d'extraire à partir de plusieurs sources d'informations sans considération d'autres hypothèses que les hypothèses algorithmiques de départ avec un niveau de sécurité compétitif. Ces fonctions possèdent plusieurs applications. Nous proposons ici une version du protocole d'échange de clé Diffie-Hellman incluant la phase d'extraction.

2 **Revue** – Volume 1 – 2003

KEYWORDS : Cryptography, key exchange, random deterministic extractors, finite fields, elliptic curves.

MOTS-CLÉS : Cryptographie, échange de clé, extracteur d'aléa 2-sources, corps finis, courbes elliptiques.

1. Introduction

The shared element after a Diffie-Hellman exchange is $g^{ab} \in G$, where G is a cyclic subgroup of a finite field. g^{ab} is indistinguishable from any other element of G under the decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) assumption [4]. This hypothesis argues that, given two distributions (g^a, g^b, g^{ab}) and (g^a, g^b, g^c) there is no efficient algorithm that can distinguish them. However, the encryption key should be indistinguishable from a random bit string having a uniform distribution. So we could not directly use g^{ab} as an encryption key. It is therefore of adequate arrangements to ensure the indistinguishability of the key such as hash functions, pseudo-random functions or random extractors.

Deterministic random extractors have been introduced in complexity theory by Trevisan and Vadhan [19]. Most of the work on deterministic extractors using exponential sums for their security proof work with simple exponential sums [5, 10–12, 14]. Here, we introduce deterministic random extractors that extract a perfectly random bit string of an element derived from the combination of two separate sources.

More precisely, we propose a deterministic random extractor under the DDH assumption, which maps two multiplicative subgroups of a finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to the set $\{0, 1\}^k$, permitting to extract the k -least significant bits of a random element in the product of the two subgroups. We use the double exponential sums to bound the collision probability and give a security proof of our extractor. The same work is performed over two subgroups G_1 and G_2 of points of an elliptic curve defined over a finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

This work is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce some definitions and results on both the measurement parameters of randomness and exponential sums. In section 3 we present and analyze the security of our randomness extractors. In section 4, we give an application of our results, that is a version of Franklin and Boneh's encryption scheme on identity-based cryptography, in the standard model. Section 5 is our conclusion.

2. Preliminaries

This section recalls some definitions and results on the measurement of randomness and the sums of characters. We rely on them to establish the safety of our results. [17].

2.1. Measures of randomness

Definition 2.1. *Collision probability.*

Let \mathcal{X} be a finite set and X an \mathcal{X} -valued random variable. The collision probability of X , denoted by $Col(X)$, is the probability $Col(X) = Pr[X = X'] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Pr[X = x]^2$.

Definition 2.2. *Statistical distance.*

Let \mathcal{X} be a finite set. If X and Y are \mathcal{X} -valued random variables, then the statistical distance $SD(X, Y)$ between X and Y is defined as

$$SD(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |Pr[X = x] - Pr[Y = x]|.$$

Let $U_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a random variable uniformly distributed on \mathcal{X} and $\delta \leq 1$ a positive real number. Then a random variable X on \mathcal{X} is said to be δ -uniform if $SD(X, U_{\mathcal{X}}) \leq \delta$.

Lemma 2.1. *Relation between SD and $Col(X)$.*

Let X be a random variable over a finite set \mathcal{X} of size $|\mathcal{X}|$ and $\Delta = SD(X, U_{\mathcal{X}})$ be the statistical distance between X and $U_{\mathcal{X}}$, where $U_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a uniformly distributed random variable over \mathcal{X} . Then,

$$Col(X) \geq \frac{1 + 4\Delta^2}{|\mathcal{X}|}$$

To establish this result, we use the following one:

Lemma 2.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a finite set and $(\alpha_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$ a sequence of real numbers. Then

$$\frac{(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\alpha_x|)^2}{|\mathcal{X}|} \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \alpha_x^2 \quad (1)$$

Proof. This inequality is a direct consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality below:

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\alpha_x| = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |\alpha_x| \cdot 1 \leq \sqrt{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \alpha_x^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} 1^2} \leq \sqrt{|\mathcal{X}|} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \alpha_x^2}. \quad (2)$$

Hence the result. \square

If X is a random variable with values in \mathcal{X} , laying $\alpha_x = Pr[X = x]$, since the sum of the probabilities is equal to 1 and as $Col(X) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Pr[X = x]^2$ we get:

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \leq Col(X). \quad (3)$$

Now we can establish the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. If $\Delta = 0$, then the result is immediate.

Assuming $\Delta \neq 0$. Let us define $q_x = |Pr[X = x] - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}|/2\Delta$, then $\sum_x q_x = 1$. According to Equation 1, we get:

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q_x^2 = \frac{1}{4\Delta^2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left(Pr[X = x] - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4\Delta^2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Pr[X = x]^2 - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \right) \leq \frac{1}{4\Delta^2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} col(X) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \right)$$

Hence the expected result. \square

Definition 2.3. *Deterministic (\mathcal{Y}, δ) -extractor.*

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two finite sets. Let Ext be a function $Ext : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. We say that Ext is a deterministic (\mathcal{Y}, δ) -extractor for \mathcal{X} if $Ext(U_{\mathcal{X}})$ is δ -uniform on \mathcal{Y} . That is $SD(Ext(U_{\mathcal{X}}), U_{\mathcal{Y}}) \leq \delta$.

Definition 2.4. *Two-sources extractor.*

Let \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} be finite sets. The function $F : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is a two-sources extractor if the distribution $F(X, Y)$ is δ -close to the uniform distribution $U_{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathcal{Z}$ for every uniformly distributed random variables $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

2.2. Exponential sums

In this section, we introduce some definitions and results on exponential sums over finite fields and over elliptic curves (see [1, 16, 20]).

2.2.1. Exponential sums over finite fields

Definition 2.5. *Character.*

Let G be an abelian group. A character of G is a homomorphism from $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. A character is trivial if it is identically 1. We denote the trivial character by χ_0 or ψ_0 .

Definition 2.6. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a given finite field. An additive character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character ψ with \mathbb{F}_q considered as an additive group. A multiplicative character $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character with $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q - \{0\}$ considered as a multiplicative group. We extend χ to \mathbb{F}_q by defining $\chi(0) = 1$ if χ is trivial, and $\chi(0) = 0$ otherwise. Note that the extended χ still preserves multiplication.

The main interests of exponential sums is that they allows to construct some characteristic functions and in some cases we know good bounds for them. The use of these characteristic functions can permit to evaluate the size of these sets. We focus on certain character sums, those involving the character e_p define as it follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Multiplicative characters of \mathbb{F}_p .*

The multiplicative characters of \mathbb{F}_p , where p is a prime, are given by: $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $e_p(x) = e^{\frac{2i\pi x}{p}} \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Theorem 2.2. *Additive characters of \mathbb{F}_q .*

Suppose $q = p^r$ where p is prime. The additive characters of \mathbb{F}_q are given by $\psi(x) = e_p(\text{Tr}(x))$ where $\text{Tr}(x) = x + x^p + \dots + x^{p^{r-1}}$ is the trace of x .

Definition 2.7. *Single character sums.*

Let p be a prime number, G a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{F}_p^* . For all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, let introduce the following notation: $S(a, G) = \sum_{x \in G} e_p(ax)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let p be a prime number, G a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{F}_p^* .

- 1) if $a = 0$, $\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} e_p(ax) = p$
- 2) For all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, $\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} e_p(ax) = 0$
- 3) For all $x_0 \in G$ and all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, $S(ax_0, G) = S(a, G)$

Proof. See [22] pp 69 □

Theorem 2.3. *Polya-Vinogradov bound.*

Let p be a prime number, G a multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{F}_p^* . For all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$:

$$\left| \sum_{x \in G} e_p(ax) \right| \leq \sqrt{p}$$

Proof. See [22] pp 70 □

Theorem 2.4. *Winterhof bound.*

Let V be an additive subgroup of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and let ψ be an additive character of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . Then,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \left| \sum_{x \in V} \psi(ax) \right| \leq p^n$$

Proof. See [21] □

Definition 2.8. *Bilinear character sums.*

Let p be a prime number, G and H be two multiplicative subgroups of \mathbb{F}_p^* . For all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$, let introduce the following notation: $S(a, (G, H)) = \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{y \in H} e_p(axy)$

Lemma 2.4. *Let p be prime and, G and H two subsets of \mathbb{F}_p^* . Then*

$$\max_{(n,p)=1} \left| \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{y \in H} (e_p(nxy)) \right| \leq (p|G||H|)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. See [6] (bound (1.4)), [20] pp 142. □

Lemma 2.5. *For any subsets G, H of \mathbb{F}_p^* and for any complex coefficients α_x, β_y with $|\alpha_x| \leq 1, |\beta_y| \leq 1$, the following bound holds, $\left| \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{y \in H} \alpha_x \beta_y \psi(xy) \right| \leq (p^n |G||H|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Proof. See [20] pp 142. □

2.2.2. Exponential sums over points of elliptic curves

Definition 2.9. *Elliptic curves.*

Let \mathcal{E} be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p with $p \geq 3$ defined by an affine Weierstrass equation of the form $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with coefficients $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$. It is known that the set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of \mathcal{E} , with the point at infinity \mathcal{O} as the neutral element, forms an abelian group. The group law operation is denoted by \oplus . Every point $P \neq \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is denote by $P = (x(P), y(P))$. Given an integer n and a point $P \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we write nP for the sum of n copies of P :

$$nP = P \oplus P \oplus \dots \oplus P.$$

Definition 2.10. *Bilinear sums over additive character.*

Given two subsets \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and arbitrary complex functions σ, ν supported on \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} we consider the bilinear sums of additive characters.

$$V_{\sigma, \nu}(\psi, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sigma(P) \nu(Q) \psi(x(P \oplus Q)).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let \mathcal{E} be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q where $q = p^n$, with $n \geq 1$ and let*

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} |\sigma(P)|^2 \leq R \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} |\nu(Q)|^2 \leq T. \text{ Then, uniformly over all nontrivial additive character } \psi \text{ of } \mathbb{F}_q,$$

$$|V_{\sigma, \nu}(\psi, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})| \ll \sqrt{qRT}$$

Proof. See [1] □

3. Our Contribution

3.1. Randomness extractors in finite fields

We propose and prove the security of a simple deterministic randomness extractor for two subgroups G_1 and G_2 of \mathbb{F}_q^* where $q = p^n$, with p prime and $n \geq 1$. The main

theorem of this section states that the k -least significant bits of $x_1 \cdot x_2$, where (x_1, x_2) is a random element in (G_1, G_2) , are close to a truly random element in $\{0, 1\}^k$. Our approach is from the model based on character sums.

3.1.1. Randomness extraction in \mathbb{F}_p

Let \mathbb{F}_p be a finite prime field such that $|p| = m$. Let G_1 and G_2 be two multiplicative subgroups of \mathbb{F}_p^* of order q_1 and q_2 respectively, with $|q_1| = l_1$, $|q_2| = l_2$, the bit-length of q_1 and q_2 respectively. Let U_{G_1} (resp. U_{G_2}) be a random variable uniformly distributed on G_1 (resp. G_2), and k a positive integer less than m .

Definition 3.1. *Extractor f_k on \mathbb{F}_p .*

The extractor f_k is defined as the function $f_k : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$, $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \text{lsb}_k(x_1 x_2)$

The following theorem shows that f_k is a good randomness extractor.

Theorem 3.1. *Let U_k be a random variable uniformly distributed on $\{0, 1\}^k$. If $\Delta = SD(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2}), U_k)$ then,*

$$2\Delta \leq 2^{\frac{k+m+\log_2(m)-(l_1+l_2)}{2}}$$

Proof. We introduce the following notation $S(a, (G_1, G_2)) = \sum_{x_1 \in G_1} \sum_{x_2 \in G_2} e_p(ax_1 x_2)$.

Let us define $K = 2^k$, and $u_0 = \text{msb}_{m-k}(p-1)$. Let us construct the characteristic function, $\mathbf{1}((x_1, x_2), (x'_1, x'_2), u) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} e_p(a(x_1 x_2 - x'_1 x'_2 - Ku))$ using properties (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.3. Its equal to 1 if $x_1 x_2 - x'_1 x'_2 = Ku \pmod{p}$ and 0 otherwise. Therefore, we can evaluate $Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2}))$ where U_{G_1} (resp. U_{G_2}) is uniformly distributed in G_1 (resp. in G_2):

$$\begin{aligned} Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2} |\{((x_1, x_2), (x'_1, x'_2)) \in (G_1, G_2)^2 \exists u \leq u_0, x_1 x_2 - \\ &x'_1 x'_2 = Ku \pmod{p}\}| = \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{(x'_1, x'_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} e_p(a(x_1 x_2 - \\ &x'_1 x'_2 - Ku)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we manipulate the sums, separate some terms ($a = 0$) with the rest.

That is, for $a = 0$,

$$Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) = \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{(x'_1, x'_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(0) = \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} \quad (*)$$

For $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{(x'_1, x'_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(a(x_1 x_2 - \\ &x'_1 x'_2 - Ku)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} e_p(ax_1 x_2) \sum_{(x'_1, x'_2) \in (G_1, G_2)} e_p(-ax'_1 x'_2) \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(-aKu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} S(a, (G_1, G_2)) S(-a, (G_1, G_2)) \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(-aKu) \\
&= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} |S(a, (G_1, G_2))|^2 \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(-aKu).
\end{aligned}$$

We inject the result of (*) in the above result, the collision probability is there equal to:

$$Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) = \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} |S(a, (G_1, G_2))|^2 \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(-aKu)$$

According to the change of variable ($a' = Ka = 2^k a \pmod{p}$), with $\gcd(2, p) = 1$

and the fact that $[0, u_0]$ is an interval, giving a geometric sum on it, We have: $\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(-aKu) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{u_0} e_p(-au) &= \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \frac{1 - e_p(-a(u_0 + 1))}{1 - e_p(-a)} = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi a(u_0 + 1)}{p})}{\sin(\frac{\pi a}{p})} = 2 \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi a(u_0 + 1)}{p})}{\sin(\frac{\pi a}{p})} \leq \\
2 \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{\pi a}{p})} &\leq 2 \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left| \frac{p}{a} \right| \leq p \log_2(p)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Therefore } Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) &\leq \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} |S(a, (G_1, G_2))|^2 p \log_2(p) \leq \\
\frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} (pq_1 q_2 p \log_2(p)) &\leq \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{p \log_2(p)}{q_1 q_2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 which gives a relation between the statistical distance Δ , of $f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})$ with the uniform distribution, and the collision probability: $Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) = \frac{1+4\Delta^2}{2^k}$, the previous upper bound combined with some manipulations gives:

$$2\Delta \leq \sqrt{2^k \cdot Col(f_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) - 1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2^k}{p}} + \sqrt{\frac{2^k p (\log_2(p))}{q_1 q_2}} \leq 2^{\frac{k+m+\log_2(m)-(l_1+l_2)}{2}}$$

□

3.1.2. Randomness extraction in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}

Consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , where p is a m -bits prime and n is a positive integer greater than 1. \mathbb{F}_{p^n} is a n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p . Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} over \mathbb{F}_p . That means, every element x in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} can be represented in the form $x = x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + x_n \alpha_n$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let G_1 and G_2 be two multiplicative subgroups of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$ of order q_1 and q_2 respectively, with $|q_1| = l_1$, $|q_2| = l_2$. Let U_{G_1} (resp. U_{G_2}) be a random variable uniformly distributed on G_1 (resp. G_2), and k be a positive integer less than n .

Definition 3.2. Extractor F_k on \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

We define the function $F_k : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^k$, $(x, x') \mapsto (x_1 x'_1, x_2 x'_2, \dots, x_k x'_k)$

The theorem below shows that F_k is a good randomness extractor.

Theorem 3.2. Let U_k be a random variable uniformly distributed on \mathbb{F}_p^k . In the terms of the above consideration, if $\Delta = SD(F_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2}), U_k)$ then,

$$\Delta \leq 2^{\frac{km+nm-(l_1+l_2+2)}{2}}$$

Proof. Let us introduce the notation $T(a, (G_1, G_2)) = \sum_{x \in G_1} \sum_{x' \in G_2} \psi(axx')$. Let $(x, x'), (y, z) \in (G_1, G_2)^2$.

Let us define the following sets:

$$R = \{x_{k+1}x'_{k+1}\alpha_{k+1} + x_{k+2}x'_{k+2}\alpha_{k+2} \dots + x_n x'_n \alpha_n\}, \text{ a subgroup of } \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$$

$$C = \{((x, x'), (y, z)) \in (G_1, G_2)^2 / \exists r \in R, xx' - yz = r\}$$

$$|C| = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in G_1, x' \in G_2} \sum_{y \in G_1, z \in G_2} \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \psi(a(xx' - yz - r)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We can evaluate the collision probability: } \text{Col}(F_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) &= \frac{|C|}{|G_1 \times G_2|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p^n} \sum_{(x, x') \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{(y, z) \in (G_1, G_2)} \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \psi(a(xx' - yz - r)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \sum_{(x, x') \in (G_1, G_2)} \psi(axx') \sum_{(y, z) \in (G_1, G_2)} \psi(-ayz) \sum_{r \in R} \psi(-ar). \end{aligned}$$

Then we manipulate the sums, separate some terms ($a = 0$) which gives $\frac{1}{p^k}$ with the rest. So for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$

$$\text{Col}(F_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) = \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \sum_{(x, x') \in (G_1, G_2)} \psi(axx') \sum_{(y, z) \in (G_1, G_2)} \psi(-ayz) \sum_{r \in R} \psi(-ar)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \text{ } \text{Col}(F_k(U_{G_1}, U_{G_2})) &= \frac{1}{p^k} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} \sum_{(x, x') \in (G_1, G_2)} \psi(axx') \sum_{(y, z) \in (G_1, G_2)} \psi(-ayz) \sum_{r \in R} \psi(-ar) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^k} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*} |T(a, (G_1, G_2))|^2 \sum_{r \in R} \psi(-ar) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^k} + \frac{p^n (q_1 q_2) p^n}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p^n}, \text{ by Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^k} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.1 with some manipulations, we obtain the expected result:

$$\Delta \leq \sqrt{\frac{p^{n+k-2}}{q_1 q_2}} \leq 2^{\frac{km+n-m-(l_1+l_2+2)}{2}}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.1. *Corollary 1.*

Let G_1 and G_2 be two multiplicative subgroups of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ of order q_1 (resp. q_2), with $|q_1| = l_1, |q_2| = l_2$.

If $e > 1$ and $k > 1$ are two integers such as $k \leq (l_1 + l_2) - 2e - n + 2$ then, F_k is a $((U_{G_1}, U_{G_2}), \frac{1}{2^e})$ -deterministic extractor.

Proof. Proof of corollary 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } k &\leq (l_1 + l_2) - 2e - n + 2, \\ \frac{k+n}{2} &\leq \frac{l_1+l_2+2}{2} - e \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\frac{k+n}{2}} &\leq 2^{\frac{l_1+l_2+2}{2}} 2^{-e} \\ \sqrt{\frac{p^{n+k}}{4q_1 q_2}} &\leq 2^{-e} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.2. *Corollary 2.*

Let $p > 2$ a prime such as $|p| = m$.

If $e > 1$ and $k > 1$ are two integers such as $k \leq \frac{(l_1+l_2)-2e-mn+2}{m}$ then, F_k is a $((U_{G_1}, U_{G_2}), 2^{-e})$ -deterministic extractor .

3.2. Randomness extraction in elliptic curves

Let p be a prime greater than 5. Let \mathcal{E} be an elliptic curve over the finite field \mathbb{F}_p and let \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be two subgroups of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Let denote $|\mathcal{P}| = q_1$ and $|\mathcal{Q}| = q_2$. Let $U_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $U_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be two random variables uniformly distributed in \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} respectively.

3.2.1. Randomness extractor in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)$

Definition 3.3. We define the function $extrac_k : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k, (P, Q) \mapsto lsb_k(x(P) \cdot x(Q))$

The following theorem shows that $extrac_k$ is a good randomness extractor.

Theorem 3.3. Let U_k be the uniform distribution in $\{0, 1\}^k$. Then,

$$\Delta(extrac_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}}), U_k) \ll 2^{\frac{k+n+\log_2(n)-(l_1+l_2+2)}{2}}$$

Proof. Let us define $K = 2^k$, $u_0 = \text{msb}_{m-k}(p-1)$. Let us define the characteristic function $\mathbf{1}((P, Q), (A, B), u) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(x(P)x(Q) - x(A)x(B) - Ku)$ which is equal to 1 if $\psi = \psi_0$ and to 0, otherwise.

Let us compute the collision probability:

$$Col(extrac_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}})) = \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(x(P)x(Q) - x(A)x(B) - Ku).$$

Then we manipulate the sums, separate some terms ($\psi = \psi_0$) with the rest.

So for ($\psi = \psi_0$),

$$\begin{aligned} Col(extrac_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}})) &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi = \psi_0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi_0(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi = \psi_0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{u \leq u_0} e_p(\text{Tr}(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi = \psi_0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{u \leq u_0} 1 = \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And for } (\psi \neq \psi_0), Col(extrac_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}})) = \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(x(P)x(Q) -$$

$x(A)x(B) - Ku)$. Then for all ψ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Col(extrac_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}})) &= \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(x(P)x(Q) - \\ & x(A)x(B) - Ku) \\ &= \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi(x(P)x(Q)) \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi(-x(A)x(B)) \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(-Ku) \\ &= \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} \left| \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi(x(P)x(Q)) \right| \left| \sum_{A \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{Q}} \psi(-x(A)x(B)) \right| \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(-Ku) \\ &= \frac{u_0 + 1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} |V(\psi, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})|^2 \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(-Ku) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} q_1 q_2 p \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(-Ku), \quad \text{by Lemma 2.6} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)^2 p} p q_1 q_2 p \log_2(p), \quad \text{since it is shown that } \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_0} \sum_{u \leq u_0} \psi(-Ku) \leq \\
&\quad p \log_2(p) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{(q_1 q_2)} p \log_2(p).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.1 with some manipulations, we obtain the expected result:

$$\Delta(\text{extrac}_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}}), U_k) \ll \sqrt{\frac{2^{k-2} p \log_2(p)}{q_1 q_2}} = 2^{\frac{k+n+\log_2(n)-(l_1+l_2+2)}{2}} \quad \square$$

3.2.2. Randomness extractor in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$

Definition 3.4. Let us define the function $\text{Extrac}_k : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^k$, $(P, Q) \mapsto \text{lsb}_k(x(P) \cdot x(Q))$, where $x(P) \cdot x(Q) = t_1 \alpha_1 + t_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + t_n \alpha_n$

The theorem below shows that Extrac_k is a good randomness extractor over $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$.

Theorem 3.4. Let U_k be the uniform distribution in \mathbb{F}_p^k . Then,

$$\Delta(\text{Extrac}_k(U_{\mathcal{P}}, U_{\mathcal{Q}}), U_k) \ll 2^{\frac{km+nm-(l_1+l_2+2)}{2}}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 2.4, the sketch of the proof is the same as those of Theorem 3.2 □

3.3. Generalization of results

More generally, one can define a randomness extractor over two-sources of information as the function Extract_k as follow:

1) Over \mathbb{F}_{p^n}

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Extract}_k : G_1 \times G_2 &\longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^k \\
(X, Y) &\longmapsto \text{lsb}_k(X * Y).
\end{aligned}$$

2) Over $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Extract}_k : G_1 \times G_2 &\longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^k \\
(P, Q) &\longmapsto \text{lsb}_k(x(P) * y(Q))
\end{aligned}$$

Where the operation $*$ can be the one of the set $\{+, \times, \oplus\}$

4. Applications

The ideas behind a randomness extractors is the following one: suppose one got a random variable X with some entropy but which is not uniform. For many areas of computer science, typically for many cryptographic applications, it is required an uniformly random

variable for example to use as a secret key. Therefore, one needs to somehow extract the randomness from X to get a uniformly distributed output.

Extractors for multiple sources. Sometimes, extraction from one source is impossible.

There are some solutions in the probabilistic method namely "seeded extractors". These are extractors that receive one source (with min-entropy at least k , for some parameter k) and an independent short input Y , called "seed", that is uniformly distributed. Since the assumption over Y is strong, that is having perfectly random bits is difficult in practice, an alternative is the use of two or more sources. In this setup, a more natural setting is to consider Y with the same length and min-entropy threshold as X .

Moreover, cryptographic protocols require to work on sufficient large sub-groups. The high level of considering multiple sources is to show that if the given l -sources with *min-entropy* $\delta n, \delta > 0$ are over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q that has no large sub-fields (which holds in the case that \mathbb{F}_q is a prime field), then the cumulative distribution will have more *min-entropy*.

Generating keys for cryptographic protocols The interest of studying randomness extractors has several cryptographic applications. Specially, it can apply for the key extraction phase of a key exchange protocol, but also for identity encryption schemes.

The security of cryptographic protocols depends on the ability of honest parties to generate uniformly distributed and private random key. More generally, honest parties work in a non-secure environment set up by an adversary trying to steal the shared secret.

Thus multi-source extractors enable an honest party to sample a string that is (close to) uniform, given multiple sources, the main requirement from each source being to contain some min-entropy.

Example: key exchange protocol and key extraction

1) Parameters: $G = \langle P \rangle$; $Extract_k : G \times G \mapsto \{0, 1\}^k$

2) Key exchange:

- Alice chooses $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$, sends aP to Bob;
- Bob chooses $b \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$, sends bP to Alice;
- Alice computes abP and Bob computes baP ;
- The shared secret is abP

3) Key extraction: $k = Extract_k(abP, abP) = lsb_k(abx(P) + aby(P)) = lsb_k(ab(x(P) + y(P)))$.

5. Conclusion

The problem is: how to ensure the indistinguishability of a key session which is a string of bits issue to a shared element after a Diffie-Hellman exchange protocol. Even if the commonly use solution is one of a hash function, the solutions in the standard model are more reliable. We have constructed some two-sources deterministic randomness extractors which perform extraction of random bits string close to the uniform distribution over more than one source of information. These extractors can be used in any finite field or any elliptic curve based protocols. We have also proposed some applications, for example a version of Boneh and Franklin's encryption scheme using extractors.

As future work, we intend to generalize the proposed extractors to n -sources, find analogous results for hyperelliptic curves and propose cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generators based on these extractors. Most identity-based protocols cal-

culates the current key of a user from its identity view as a point of an (hyper)elliptic curve . This is, an implementation of a platform of session keys generation using our extractors, and of calculation of a point of a curve using new encoding functions is underway. The goal here is to provide a practical tool for key generation phases of these encryption primitive .

6. References

- [1] O. AHMADI, I. E. SHPARLINSKI, “Exponential Sums over Points of Elliptic Curves”, *arXiv preprint* num. arXiv:1302.4210, 2013.
- [2] A. BALOG , K. A. BROUGHAN, I. E. SHPARLINSKI, “Sum-Products Estimates with Several Sets and Applications”, *Integers*, vol. 12, num. 5, p. 895-906, 2012.
- [3] M. BELLARE, P. ROGAWAY, “Random oracles are practical : A Paradigm for designing efficient protocols”, In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM conference on Computer and communications security*, pages 62-73. ACM Press, Nov. 1993.
- [4] D. BONEH, “The decision Diffie-Hellman problem”, In *Third Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium (ANTS)*, vol. 1423 of LNCS. Springer, 1998.
- [5] D. BONEH , R. VENKATESAN, “Hardness of computing the most significant bits of secret keys in Diffie-Helman and related schemes”, In *Advances in CryptologyCRYPTO’96*, vol. 1109 of LNCS, pages 129-142. Springer, Aug. 1996.
- [6] J. BOURGAIN , M. Z. GARAEV, “On a variant of sum-product estimate and explicit exponential sum bounds in prime field”, *Math.Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc*, 146, p. 1-21, 2008.
- [7] J. BOURGAIN, S. V. KONYAGIN. “Estimates for the Number of Sums and Products and for Exponential Sums Over Subgroups in Fields of Prime Order”, *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, vol. 337, num. 2, p. 75-80, 2003.
- [8] R. CARNETI, J. FRIEDLANDER, S. KOYAGIN, M. LARSEN, D. LIEMAN, I. SHPARLINSKI, “On the Statistical Properties of Diffie-Hellman Distributions”, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vvol. 120, pages 23-46, 2000.
- [9] R. CARNETTI, J. FRIEDLANDER, I. SHPARLINSKI, “On Certain Exponential Sums and the Distribution of Diffie-Hellman Triples”, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 59, num. 03, p. 799-812, 1999.
- [10] C. CHEVALIER, P. FOUQUE, D. POINTCHEVAL , S. ZIMMER, “Optimal Randomness Extraction from a Diffie-Hellman Element”, *Advances in Cryptology- Eurocrypt’09*, vol. 5479 of LNCS, pages 572-589, Springer-Verlag, 2009
- [11] A. A. CISS , D. SOW, “On Randomness Extraction in Elliptic Curves”, In *Progress in CryptologyAFRICACRYPT 2011*, vol. 6737 of LNCS, pages 290-297. Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [12] W. DIFFIE, M. HELLMAN, “New Directions in Cryptography”, *IEEE Transactions On Information Theory*, vol. 22, num. 6, 644-654, 1976.
- [13] R. R. FARASHAHI, I. E. SHPARLINSKI, J. F. VOLOCH. “On hashing into elliptic curves”, *J.Math.Cryptology*, vol. 3, num. 4, p. 353-360, 2009
- [14] P. A. FOUQUE, D. POINTCHEVAL, J. STERN, S. ZIMMER, “Hardness of distinguishing the MSB or the LSB of secret keys in Diffie-Hellman schemes”, In *Automata, Languages and Programming*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, p. 240-251, 2006.
- [15] J. HASTAD, R. IMPAGLIAZZO, L. LEVIN, M. LUBY, “A pseudorandom generator from any one-way function”, *SIAM Journal on Computing*, vol. 28, num. 4, p. 1364-1396, 1999.
- [16] S. V. KOYAGIN , I. SHPARLINSKI, “Character Sums With Exponential Functions and Their Applications”, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge, 1999.

- [17] V. SHOUP, "A Computational Introduction to Number Theory and Algebra", *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge 2005.
- [18] L. TREVISAN, "Extractors and pseudorandom generators", *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 48, num. 4, p. 860-879, 2001.
- [19] L. TREVISAN, S. VADHAN, "Extracting Randomness from Samplable Distributions", *IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, p. 32-42, 2000.
- [20] I. M. VINOGRADOV, "An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers", *Pergamon Press*, 1955.
- [21] A. WINTERHOF, "Incomplete Additive Character Sums and Applications", In *Finite fields and applications*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, p. 462-474, 2001.
- [22] S. ZIMMER, "Mécanismes cryptographiques pour la génération de clefs et l'authentification", 2008. Thèse de doctorat. école normale supérieure.