Simulation of 3D karst conduits with an object-distance based method integrating geological knowledge
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Abstract Karst conduit shapes have a high influence on fluid flows. As these underground hidden systems are partially inaccessible, their stochastic simulation is an essential tool to assess the uncertainties related to these highly exploited water resources. The Object-Distance Simulation Method (ODSIM) is a hybrid dual-scale approach that has been recently proposed to model geological underground structures due to late processes such as dolomitized rocks, mineralized veins or karsts. Using a perturbed Euclidean distance field around a curve representing roughly the conduit centre and called a skeleton, the resulting shapes are globally cylindrical-like 3D envelopes. But at a drain scale, karstic conduits are elongated along weakness planes such as lithostratigraphic horizons, bedding planes, fractures or faults. In addition to those planes the influence of the water table is added. This work presents different improvements of ODSIM methodology for simulating more realistic shapes in the particular case of karst. Firstly, we propose using a custom distance field computed with a fast marching method. Considering the “velocity” field to be proportional to the permeability allows the resulting features to be elongated along the weakness planes. Secondly, to handle specific shapes due to the proximity of the water table, such as trenches or notches, we impose areas of higher velocity between the skeleton and the water table. Finally, we generate a custom random threshold with several variograms and/or distributions depending on the different features integrated in the “velocity” field. Applied on different models, it is shown that the resulting karst conduits have more realistic shapes than those obtained with the previous workflow, while the variability of structures which can be modelled with ODSIM is preserved.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Karstic systems are underground hydrographic networks made of conduits and caves that have grown by dissolution of the surrounding rocks. They cover approximately 20% of the planet’s dry ice-free land [e.g., Ford and Williams, 2007, De Waele et al., 2009] and are therefore important fluid reservoirs, providing water for probably 20 to 25% of the world’s population [Ford and Williams, 2007]. Development of caves is also responsible for substantial human and financial disasters by causing sinkholes in urbanized zones. These karst features can be dramatic as the surface land usually stays intact until there is insufficient support: the soil suddenly collapses, swallowing everything above [e.g., Brinkmann et al., 2008, Frumkin et al., 2009, Parise et al., 2009]. Recent works have shown that karsts may also be a major source of paleoclimate records [e.g., Mongelli, 2002, Horvatinčić et al., 2003, Onac and Constantin, 2008, Kuo et al., 2011]. Nowadays human activities are a major threat for karstic environments [e.g., De Waele et al., 2011], and furthermore climate changes may have a considerable impact on them in the future [e.g., Viles, 2003, Hartmann et al., 2012].

Despite the importance of karstic networks, their location and exact geometry remain poorly known, mainly due to the partial inaccessibility of these underground systems. These networks actually play a major role in the flow regime of most carbonate aquifers and reservoirs [e.g., Lü et al., 2008, Chaojun et al., 2010]: their conduits act as preferential flow paths and concentrate the fluids. As conduit shapes result from a complex dissolution process [e.g., Ford and Williams, 2007], a straightforward modelling approach of fluid flows would represent karstic conduits as equivalent to cylindrical tubes. This approach does not take into account the shape variations like abrupt narrowings or enlargements which also greatly impact fluid flows [e.g., Field and Pinsky, 2000, Hauns et al., 2001, Goldscheider, 2008, Morales et al., 2010]. Thus, knowing and modelling the shapes of these three-dimensional geological objects could be an important improvement for both water and oil and gas reservoir exploitation. The goal of this paper is to propose a tool to realize such three-dimensional modelling of karstic systems.

Two different contexts of application are examined. First, as large parts of cave systems are still unexplored, three-dimensional stochastic simulations offer a way to better assess the associated uncertainty. However, the current methods focus on the global architecture of the conduit networks [e.g., Borgh et al., 2012, Collon-Drouaille et al., 2012, Pardo-Igúzquiza et al., 2012]. They stochastically generate several possible skeletons representing roughly the conduit centre and highlighting the uncertainties related to the conduit location. The proposed methodology is complementary to those works by providing volumetric information around those skeletons consistent with local geological settings, highlighting the uncertainties related to the conduit size and shape. Second, it pro-
vides a solution to reconstruct the three-dimensional geometry of explored and monitored cave systems. Indeed, new technologies like LiDAR have permitted precise mapping of cave conduits [e.g., JaiIlet et al., 2011]. But this type of acquisition is time consuming, needs specific equipment and requires a post-treatment of huge amounts of data. It is thus far more adapted to explore and model karst at the drain scale. For larger scales, there are two categories of data: i) two-dimensional maps (plan and/or profile views) which result of a projection of a three-dimensional network on a two-dimensional plane – this is the oldest and most common type of data; ii) three-dimensional information provided by “modern” cave survey. In the latter case, the underground topographic information is given by a sequence of topographic stations, located in order to fit exploration requirements: access easiness, clear sight along the cave passages, etc. At each station only distances to walls are recovered left, right, up and down (LRUD). The numerical treatment of these data leads to a discretization that represents the conduits with elliptical or rectangular section shapes (figure 1). Dealing with both categories of data, the 3D reconstruction remains a problem that is currently solved in common speleological programs with a linear interpolation between the various two-dimensional sections leading to more or less realistic shapes (e.g., Survex\(^1\), VisualTopo\(^2\) or GHTopo\(^3\)).

In both contexts, few works have been conducted on modelling more realistic 3D karstic conduit shapes [e.g., Labourdette et al., 2007, Henrion et al., 2010, Boggus and Crawfis, 2009]. The object-distance simulation method (ODSIM) proposed by Henrion et al. [2010] generates an envelope along a curve skeleton whose shape is irregular at fine scale but globally cylindrical at the first order. To integrate a geological constraint on the shape, e.g., for the development of hydrothermal dolomites around fractures, they use plane skeletons instead of curves. But the resulting envelope retains a round aspect at the plane extremities. Contrary to geometries generated with this simple approach, karstic shapes are more elongated along given inception features [e.g., Jameson, 1985, Filipponi, 2009] that favour karst conduit development. Thus, depending on the local geological context, the cross-section geometry of karstic conduits varies from circle to lens or “keyhole” (section 2). These particular shapes are not reproduced by ODSIM (section 3). In this paper, we propose a new methodology to integrate various geological features influencing conduit shapes by using a custom distance field generated with a fast marching method instead of a Euclidean distance field (section 4). This involves the creation of a “velocity” field that controls the front propagation of the fast marching method (section 4). This new methodology allows us to simulate specific shapes that are realistic and consistent with geological settings and speleological knowledge of the system (section 5).

2 GEOMORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE KARSTIC CONDUITS

Because karsts result from fluid circulation and dissolution capacity, the vulnerability to dissolution of the surrounding rocks play a major role in their genesis. More particularly, karstic networks tend to develop along weakness features – either lithostratigraphic inception features, such as beds or bedding planes, or tectonic inception features, such as fractures or faults [e.g., Jameson, 1985, Lowe, 1992, Faulknner, 2006, Filipponi, 2009]. These features are characterized by a strong contrast with the surrounding formations in terms of physical, lithological and/or chemical properties, such as the permeability. This contrast has a major influence on karst genesis [Filipponi, 2009, Filipponi et al., 2010]: the inception features favour primary fluid circulations because of it and, thus, primary rock dissolution.

The dissolution process and the path development are not uniform in space due to the three-dimensional nature of the genesis processes and the geometrical anisotropy of the inception features. These features favour a differential dissolution, leading to an elongation of the conduits along them – often with pronounced angles – and explaining their non-cylindrical appearance in cross-section (figure 2). The resulting shapes are consequently more or less elliptical depending, for instance, on the dissolution capacity of the fluids or on the contrast in permeability or in carbonate content between the inception feature and the surrounding formations [Filipponi, 2009].

According to various authors, the groundwater table has a major influence on conduit development [e.g., Jameson, 1985, Ford and Williams, 2007, Farrant and Smart, 2011, JaiIlet et al., 2011]. In the phreatic zone, corresponding to the saturated zone below the water table, the inception features are the most influential factor upon the resulting shapes [Filipponi et al., 2009]. The dissolution acts on whole conduits and in all directions. The shapes are then more or less jagged, depending on the fluids, on the surrounding rocks and on the presence of other inception features (figure 2, 4.1) [e.g., Jameson, 1985, Lauritzen and Lundberg, 2000, Filipponi, 2009]. The vadose zone corresponds to the zone above the current water table. But the observed conduits are usually the result of a long process that has involved a past phreatic development. Keyhole passages are among the most common cross-sectional conduit geometries that are encountered [Field, 2002]. They are characterized by an entrenched, a canyon passage commonly narrower than the original passage, also called the trench. Indeed, a drop of the water table puts the original conduits in phreatic conditions. The fluids circulating in the conduits with high velocities are led by gravity, incising the floor, generating these typical cross-sectional keyhole shapes (figure 3, 4.2) [e.g., Jameson, 1985, Lauritzen and Lundberg, 2000, Filipponi, 2009, JaiIlet et al., 2011]. Horizontal dissolution notches are less common. They develop at the water-table interface when conduits are partially flooded and water level variations are small enough to favour a lateral incision [e.g., Lauritzen and Lundberg, 2000, Ford and Williams, 2007, Farrant and Smart, 2011]. Geometrically speaking, notches have a rounder aspect than shapes linked to inception features (figure 4.3).

In the following, we explain how these different observed geometries can be integrated in the ODSIM method to improve the realism of simulated karst conduits.

3 PRINCIPLE OF THE OBJECT-DISTANCE SIMU-LATION METHOD

Henrion et al. [2010] proposed an object-distance simulation method (ODSIM) that models a three-dimensional envelope around a skeleton. For the explored parts of a karst, that skeleton can be provided by field data, such as two-dimensional maps or LRUD (Left, Right, Up and Down) data. Otherwise,
Figure 1  Classical conduit discretization process and 3D “reconstruction” used in common speleological programs (here with GHTopo).

Figure 2  Examples of karstic conduits showing shapes strongly elongated along inception features (left: Cave O80, Switzerland; right: Grottes aux fées, Switzerland).
stochastic simulations can be used to obtain several skeletons and take into account the uncertainties related to the conduit location [e.g., Pardo-Igúzquiza et al., 2011, Borghi et al., 2012, Collon-Drouaiet al., 2012]. The ODSIM method consists of computing a Euclidean distance field around the skeleton and perturbing it using a random threshold (figure 5). This threshold can be generated using a sequential Gaussian simulation (SGS) or other stochastic simulation methods [e.g., Goovaerts, 1997, Deutsch and Journel, 1997]. As a stochastic simulation method, the SGS can provide an infinity of thresholds. This allows us to build several envelopes for a given skeleton, thus catching the uncertainties around the conduit shape. The perturbation is done using the following indicator function:

\[
I_b(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D(p) \leq \varphi(p) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}
\]  

(1)

\(D(p)\) is the 3D distance field computed at each point \(p = [p_x, p_y, p_z]^T\) of a grid \(G\) and \(\varphi(p)\) is the random threshold. The computed indicator property \(I_b(p)\) is equal to 1 in the geological body, 0 outside. The 3D envelope corresponds to the surface at the interface between the interior and the exterior of the region corresponding to 1, or equivalently to points at a given distance of the network : \(D(p) \leq \varphi(p)\). In this method, the simulated conduits can be complied with hard data conditioning (like well data or LRUD distances to walls) thanks to an iterative Gibbs sampling algorithm [Geman and Geman, 1984] with inequality constraints [Freulon and de Fouquet, 1993].

With this approach, the only way to avoid a globally cylindrical shape is to use plane skeletons instead of curves. However, the resulting envelope keeps a round aspect at the plane extremities because of the Euclidean distance. Furthermore this approach lacks flexibility when dealing with various and complex shapes, such as those seen in section 2.

4 **Integration of geomorphological information in ODSIM**

The proposed methodology keeps the basic principle of ODSIM: the “distance” to an object model controls the first-order features while a random field provides the fine-scale features [Henrion et al., 2010]. Our proposal is to play on these two scales. First, by using a custom distance field generated by a fast marching method and constrained to geomorphological information. This allows us to take into account simultaneously various elements involved in the conduit genesis and so influencing the conduit shapes. Second, we propose to build a random threshold by combining different variograms and/or distributions depending on the elements constraining the custom distance field (figure 6).

4.1 **Using of a custom distance field instead of an Euclidean distance field**

The fast marching method [Sethian, 1996, 1999a,b] concerns the propagation of a front knowing its speed. The principle is to solve the Eikonal equation:

\[\nabla T/|F| = 1\]  

(2)

\(T\) is the time field and \(F\) the velocity field. \(1/F\) gives a slowness field.

This method creates a scalar field corresponding to the arrival time \(T(x, y, z)\) at which the propagation front reaches the position \((x, y, z)\), depending on a predetermined velocity field (or equivalently a slowness field) (figure 7). This velocity field characterizes the speed of the front for each position \((x, y, z)\).

In a modelling approach, a convenient aspect is that the choice of the velocity field constrains the evolution of the front and the resulting arrival time field. Putting higher values for inception features in the velocity field constrains the time field in such a way that it contains geomorphological information, providing the custom distance field (figure 7). Using a fast marching method gives thereby a greater flexibility to ODSIM. Nevertheless, the property used to compute the velocity field has to be thoughtfully chosen. As underlined by Borghi et al. [2012], contrasts between the values are more important than values themselves when using the fast marching method. The velocity field has to be linked with a property of the medium that respects this contrast rule.

As the notion of contrast controls both the fast marching and the karst genesis, the velocity field is built by using the permeability (or the hydraulic conductivity). This provides emphasis on the weakness planes, and moreover simplifies the choice of the property values. However, it is not sufficient to model specific structures like those encountered in the vadose zone and specific strategies need to be developed.

4.2 **Building the velocity field for the vadose zone**

Structures developed in vadose conditions are the result of several speleogenetic phases, corresponding to several water table positions. The goal of our method is not to reproduce each of these phases one after the other as a genetic model would do it. Instead, we propose to build a direct final conduit geometries consistent with the speleologist’s observations and knowledge.

For reproducing the keyhole geometry, and more specifically the trenches appearing on the conduit floors, we propose to use an “attraction level” with high velocity values similar to those of the inception features. This attraction level may correspond to the present water table. A vertical plane between the skeleton and the attraction level is then considered as a high velocity zone by extending the attraction level values (figure 8). This modification constrains the front propagation which goes toward that level.
Figure 4  Evolution of a karstic conduit depending on its position relative to the water table: 1. Genesis and growth of two conduits in the phreatic zone following different weakness planes (a lithostratigraphic horizon for the upper one, a fault for the other). 2. Following a fall of the water table, the upper conduit goes through the vadose zone which progressively generates a keyhole passage by cutting a trench. 3. Following a rise of the water table, notches grow at the trench bottom.

To limit the effects of the attraction level on the highest conduits, a parameter representing the maximum allowed distance $d_{\text{max}}$ between the skeleton and the attraction level is added to generate these trenches. Moreover, the distance between the skeleton and the attraction level $d$ is used to obtain shorter depths for trenches as the conduits move away from the water table. To do that, the permeability values of the attraction level in the vertical plane building the trenches are multiplied by a factor integrating $d/d_{\text{max}}$, giving the vertical trench plane velocity values $v$:

$$v(x_s, y_s, z) = m \times (1 - \frac{d(x_s, y_s)}{d_{\text{max}}}) \times p_w(x_s, y_s, z_w)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$(x_s, y_s, z_s)$ is a point of the skeleton, $(x_w, y_w, z_w)$ is a point of the attraction level, $z$ is bounded by $z_s$ and $z_w$, $m$ is a multiplication factor defined by the user, $d_{\text{max}}$ sizes the zone of influence of the attraction level, $v$ is the velocity value of the vertical plane at a given point $(x_s, y_s, z)$, $d$ is the distance between the skeleton and the attraction level at the same horizontal coordinates $(x_s, y_s)$ and $p_w$ is the permeability value at the attraction level at the same horizontal coordinates $(x_s, y_s)$.

Using $d$ causes trench depth to evolve smoothly with the distance between the skeleton and the attraction level and avoids sharp entrenchment endings on the boundaries of the influence zone (figure 9). If the difference between the velocity values of the attraction level and those of the surrounding formation is not significant, the factor $(1-d/d_{\text{max}})$ can invert their contrast, leading to lower velocity values for the vertical plane and so a poor front propagation. The multiplication factor $m$ solves this issue and gives the user a control on the trench depth.

With only one column of cells representing the vertical trench plane in the grid, the resulting trenches are V-shaped and do not show vertical sides or a flat bottom. Thus, several parameters are added to give more control to the propagation of the front and be sure it gives a satisfying shape to the envelope. The main point is to extend the vertical plane sideways, using a width $w$ and a “beginning distance” $d_b$ to ensure straighter sides of the trenches (figure 10.1).

Notches are often smooth shapes created while the water table is superimposed on the conduits. These features can be easily modelled by thickening the (paleo)water table(s) in the velocity field using a factor $n$ (figure 10.2). The thickening
controls the smoothness and the height of the notch shape. The notch depth can be controlled by applying a multiplication factor on the velocity values around the (paleo)water table(s).

4.3 Management of the different scales of perturbations on the envelope

As said before, the velocity field controls the coarse-scale shape of the conduits, but the focus of the ODSIM approach is to combine this field with a random threshold that perturbs this “perfect” geometry by introducing fine-scale variability and also allows hard data conditioning. In their paper, Henrion et al. [2010] have proposed to introduce a locally variable mean into the sequential Gaussian simulation in order to accommodate a spatial trend. But this kind of modification would equally affect roof, walls and floors of the conduits by a progressive growing or narrowing.

Considering cave conduit geometries, it appears that these fine-scale variabilities are not identically affecting the roof, the walls or the floor. Due to many different factors, like breaking-down, mechanical and chemical erosion, it is common to have more variabilities along a roof than, particularly, on the bottom.

Figure 5  ODSIM workflow (modified from Henrion et al. [2010]) applied to a karstic conduit generation. The distance field $D(p)$ is truncated with the random threshold $\varphi(p)$ giving an indicator property $I_B(p)$ of the karstic conduits and caves.
Figure 6  New workflow for ODSIM. The original method is obtained by using a constant velocity or slowness field and the same parameters for the whole threshold. Slowness field generation and fast marching steps are further detailed in sections 4.1 and 4.2, threshold region management step is detailed in section 4.3.
Figure 7  Comparison between an Euclidean distance field and a field generated by fast marching. Both fields are generated from the central node of the grid, but the time field is constrained by the differences of speed given by the velocity field.

Figure 8  Foundations for trench modelling: a vertical plane between the skeleton and the attraction level is considered. The values of the attraction level crossed by the plane are extended vertically up to the skeleton.

Figure 9  Consequences on the envelope of the variations of the distance $d$ between the skeleton and the attraction level, illustrating the impact of the formula (3). The permeability values $p_w$ are taken from the attraction level and the distance $d_{\text{max}}$ is defined by the user and represents the maximum allowed distance to generate a trench.
Figure 10  Modifications of the slowness field allowing the generation of trenches and notches. The global shape of these elements is clearly visible in the field created by fast marching.

Figure 11  Example of a passage with different scales of perturbation: the top and the floor of the conduit are roughly flat whereas its sides are more wavy (Mammoth Cave, US).

of a trench. Thus, conduit irregularities are not symmetrical and, moreover, their variations do not range between the same extrema (figure 11). Such differences between conduit ceiling, floor and/or sides can be noticed in several conduits, such as in the Abracurrie Main Chamber, Nullarbor, Australia [James et al., 2012] or in the Clearwater Cave, Gunung Mulu, Sarawak [Farrant and Smart, 2011].

To model a smooth trench floor, for instance, we propose to separate the grid in two areas: the first one contains the vertical trench planes – with eventually an extension around the planes to avoid trench side protuberances to develop below the trench floor when using very high perturbations, and the second one the rest of the grid. Then two distinct variograms and/or distributions are defined in each area. The sequential Gaussian simulation generating the random threshold has to preserve a continuous resulting field between those areas (figure 12).

Increasing the range of the variogram used on the trench planes smoothes their bottom while preserving small scale perturbation on the trench sides. Reducing the amplitude of the values used for the probability density function (PDF) on the trench planes avoids obtaining a large range of variation for the conduits at the bottom (figure 13). The same method can be applied to reduce the roughness along weakness planes. Eventually, the use of several variograms models and/or distributions for each element controlling the shape gives differential perturbations of the envelope.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

These extensions of ODSIM have been implemented as a plugin of the GOCAD geomodelling software⁴ and written in C++. It should be noted that this work can be developed in an other modelling software, in a numerical computing environment such as Matlab or Scilab as well as in a stand-alone application. The methodology has been tested on two synthetic cases. By using synthetic cases, we can easily demonstrate the new possibilities (cylinder, lens, keyholes, ...) offered by the method in a few examples, without falling into a controversial context. To better illustrate the independence between the skeleton simulation and the three-dimensional envelop modelling, the same karstic skeleton is used in the following examples. Inception features, water table and attraction level are modelled with

⁴http://www.pdgm.com/products/GOCAD
Figure 12  Threshold generation example: the principle is to separate the structures with different perturbation types using their values in the slowness field. Here only two areas are created: the last screenshot shows the region 1 together with a section along the whole x axis, showing elements of the region 2. The enlargement on the right shows the continuity between the features of the two areas despite the two different distributions and variograms. This continuity is essential for obtaining good quality envelopes.
Figure 13  Impact of the variogram and distribution used to generate the threshold: side view of the resulting envelopes. The given parameters are those of the weakness planes and the trench plane, the rest of the grid having a variogram range of 11 m and a uniform PDF of [4-9]. The velocity field values are 5 for the previous planes and 1 in the rest of the grid.

triangulated surfaces. For the threshold simulations, a regular structural grid has been used. Both examples are supposed to be the expression of two genetic phases: i) an initial phreatic phase that is visible on the final shapes through an elongation along inception features ; ii) and a second phase that results from a lowering of the water table. In the first example, to illustrate trench modelling capacities, an attraction level has been introduced at the water table level. The distance $d_{max}$ has been chosen to limit entrenchments to the lowest conduit (figure 14). The various parameters used in this simulation are presented in table 1 (Example 1). In the second example, we wanted to test the capacity of the method to reproduce notches (figure 15). A water table is thus introduced at the same altitude as the lowest conduits. It is duplicated into an attraction level to simultaneously develop trenches on the upper conduit, superposed to the inception elongation features. Detailed parameters are presented in table 1 (Example 2).

The resulting envelopes reflect the various coarse-scale karsitic shapes that are now possible to model with this new methodology: i) lengthening and sharp incision along inception features (stratigraphical and tectonic) ; ii) realistic symmetric round shapes for the vertical conduits ; iii) trenches superposed on a cylindrical or lens shape for keyhole passages ; iv) longitudinal notches. All these features can be combined. What trenches concern, depth variations follow the position of the conduits relatively to the attraction level: the further from the attraction level the conduits are, the lower is the trench depth. Their bottom is smoother than the shapes linked to the inception features thanks to the combined use of different variograms and distributions in the random threshold generation. Notch representations are more like a rounding of the lateral carving although they can be more “marked” in the caves. The result remains useful in representing large notches. Generally, the proposed methodology offers an interesting list of solutions to simulate or reconstruct realistic three-dimensional karstic conduits. In this dual-scale geostatistical simulation method, the coarse-scale features can be modelled by an adapted and modular combination of a skeleton representation of the studied object and geological/speleological constraints defined by the user. This results in a custom velocity field representing the coarse-scale geometries. A solution is proposed for managing the different fine-scale features depending on the same geological/speleological constraints. The Gibbs sampler with inequality constraints algorithm – which is already available in the method [Henrion et al., 2010] – complements this toolbox by allowing hard data conditioning. Some further work is required to quantitatively validate the method capacity to reproduce realistic shapes. Several solutions could be explored:

- Compare a cave already modelled using a LiDAR survey or 2D sections and maps with several simulated conduits. Due to the stochastic nature of the process, the direct assessment of the real and simulated passage fit is worthless: a simulated conduit can be geomorphologically consistent even if it does not fit the real conduit.
Figure 14 Simulated envelope obtained by using two stratigraphic inception features ($H_1$ and $H_2$), one tectonic inception feature (fault $F_1$) and an attraction level. Complex three-dimensional karst features such as trenches or elongated shapes are successfully reproduced.

Figure 15 Simulated envelope obtained by using one stratigraphic inception feature ($H_1$), one tectonic inception feature (fault $F_1$) and an attraction level superimposed on a water table at the same level as the lowest conduits. Complex three-dimensional karst features such as notches, trenches or elongated shapes are successfully reproduced.
Table 1 Summary of parameters used to create the two synthetic models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grid parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid size (in cell)</td>
<td>250 × 150 × 150</td>
<td>250 × 150 × 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell size (in m)</td>
<td>1 × 1 × 1</td>
<td>1 × 1 × 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fast marching parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial slowness field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_1$ slowness value</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2$ slowness value</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$ slowness value</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water table slowness value</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix slowness value</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trench parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{max}}$ (in m)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_b$ (in cell)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$ (in cell)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notch parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$ (in cell)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threshold parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low slowness region ($\text{Slowness} &lt; 1$)</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variogram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (in m)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min - Max</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
<td>4 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High slowness region ($\text{Slowness} = 1$)</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variogram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (in m)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min - Max</td>
<td>4 - 7</td>
<td>4 - 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some indicators have to be developed to capture the entire three-dimensional characteristics of a conduit, such as, for instance, the conduit volume or the ratio of conduit surface area to conduit volume. Those indicators are then used to compare the real conduit and the simulated ones.

- Compare the simulated shapes for the unknown parts of a network with the conditioned shapes in the known parts based on statistical or fractal-based principles such as introduced by Curl [1986] [e.g., Pardo-Igúzquiza et al., 2011].

Despite these encouraging results, some limitations appear. First, the grid resolution can have a significant impact on the results. Indeed the introduction of the inception features in a velocity property corresponds to their rasterization in the grid. Stratigraphic inception horizons have a thickness of some centimetres to some decimetres [Filipponi, 2009], and conduits may be very stretched along them. Moreover, tectonic inception features induce very thin shapes at the intersection with the conduit. This means that shape modelling requires high grid resolutions, at least to represent the global shape of the conduits in an acceptable way (figure 16). Another solution would be to have a non-regular grid organized along inception features. Second, the increase of the degrees of freedom in the process has a direct consequence on the increase of user choices and parameters, even if many features can be integrated directly in the custom distance field. But this last limitation is directly linked to the complexity of the studied case and difficult to avoid. Nevertheless, perhaps a new alternative could be found that avoids the use of the $d_b$ and $w$ parameters in the trench modelling process.

As for perspectives, a modelling method for other shapes could be studied. For instance paragenetic caves are made of conduits developed in the phreatic zone shaped like upside-down trenches, displaying an upward entrenchment of conduits (figure 17) [Renault, 1958, 1968, Pasini, 1967]. They could probably be modelled with the same principle as trenches, but upturned. Another common geometry, partially linked to breakdowns, is strike-oriented passages [e.g., Palmer, 2012] that have a polygonal shape following horizons, with many perpendicular angles (figure 18). Even if various scales of variability are introduced in the simulated conduits through the threshold field, small-scale features such as scallops can still not be clearly and deliberately represented. On the other hand, larger scale features, such as conduit loops, are not linked to the conduit shape but to the network and should be introduced by the skeleton.

In a broader perspective, tests are required to assess the impact of these shapes on flow simulations. Modelling sediments could also be interesting considering their impact on fluid flows and on speleogenesis [Farrant and Smart, 2011]. Finally, the entire methodology could be adapted to other underground processes, such as hydrothermal alteration, or be used to study the usefulness and applicability of the method in sinkhole prevention.

**CONCLUSIONS**

These improvements of ODSIM allow the stochastic generation of karst conduit envelopes around a skeleton by taking
into account local geological information, especially inception features and (paleo)water table. It consequently contributes to the global workflow of stochastic karst generation, complementing the methods that stochastically generate skeletons representing the general network by introducing geomorphologically consistent conduit shapes. Various classic conduit shapes can be simulated, such as elongated or keyhole passages, while preserving the original capacities of ODSIM. Some other particular shapes still need to be taken into account to allow their simulation. Applying this method on synthetic cases gives quite satisfying results. The simulated shapes finely reproduce the most common conduit geometries while controlling the different scales and areas of shape perturbations. However, a confrontation to real data still needs to be carried out; first to validate the application to the reconstruction of explored and monitored conduits, then to validate more objectively the method’s capacity to generate realistic conduits.
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