

Submanifolds and differential forms on Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov and M. Rumin

Pierre Pansu

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Pansu. Submanifolds and differential forms on Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov and M. Rumin. 2016. hal-01302803

HAL Id: hal-01302803 https://hal.science/hal-01302803

Preprint submitted on 15 Apr 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Submanifolds and differential forms on Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov and M. Rumin

Pierre Pansu

April 15, 2016

1 Introduction

The purpose of these notes is to explain parts of Gromov's survey [G2], in the light of subsequent results of M. Rumin, [R2]. Among the rich material of [G2], most of which pertains to analysis on metric spaces, we chose to concentrate on the Hölder equivalence problem for Carnot manifolds.

1.1 Carnot manifolds

Definition 1 We shall call Carnot manifold the data of a smooth manifold M and a smooth subbundle H of the tangent bundle TM, satisfying the bracket generating condition : for each $x \in M$, the values at x of iterated Lie brackets of vectorfields tangent to H generate T_xM .

Given a smooth euclidean structure on H, the Carnot-Caratheodory metric is obtained by minimizing the length of horizontal curves, i.e. curves tangent to H. The bracket generating condition implies that this distance is finite.

Question. How far can a Carnot-Caratheodory metric be from a Riemannian metric ?

Example 2 Consider the group Heis³ of real unipotent 3×3 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The differential 1-form $\theta = dz - xdy$ on Heis³ is left invariant. So is its kernel $H = ker(\theta)$.

One can use the left invariant Euclidean structure $dx^2 + dy^2$ to define a Carnot-Caratheodory metric. At small scales, this metric is very different from any Riemannian metric in 3 dimensions. Indeed, its Hausdorff dimension is 4 instead of 3. This follows from the existence of the one parameter group of homothetic diffeomorphisms

$$\delta_{\epsilon} : (x, y, z) \mapsto (\epsilon x, \epsilon y, \epsilon^2 z).$$

Since δ_{ϵ} takes unit balls to balls of radius ϵ and multiplies volumes by ϵ^4 , one needs $\epsilon^{-4} \epsilon$ -balls to cover a bounded open set.

1.2 Carnot groups

The above Hausdorff dimension calculation immediately extends to the following family of examples.

Definition 3 A Carnot group is a simply connected Lie group G equipped with a subspace $V^1 \subset Lie(G)$ such that the subspaces defined inductively by $V^i = [V^1, V^{i-1}]$ constitute a gradation of Lie(G), *i.e.*

$$Lie(G) = V^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V^r$$
, and $[V^i, V^j] \subset V^{i+j}$.

Left translating V^1 yields a subbundle H which satisfies the bracket generating condition. Choose a Euclidean structure on V^1 and left-translate it. The group automorphisms defined on the Lie algebra by

$$\delta_{\epsilon}(v) = \epsilon^i v \quad \text{for} \quad v \in V^i,$$

are homothetic for the Carnot-Caratheodory metric. It follows that the Hausdorff dimension of this metric is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^r i \dim(V^i)$$

1.3 Tangent cones

Carnot groups play, in the family of Carnot manifolds, the role played by Euclidean space among Riemannian manifolds, at least under some restrictive condition.

Definition 4 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. For $x \in M$, define $H^2(x)$ as the linear span of values at x of brackets of vector fields tangent to H. And recursively, let $H^i(x)$ be the linear span of values at x of brackets of sections of H and of H^{i-1} . Say H is equiregular if $x \mapsto \dim(H^i(x))$ is constant for all i.

Example 5 The kernel H of the differential 1-form $dz - x^2 dy$ on \mathbb{R}^3 , known as the Martinet Carnot structure, is not equiregular.

Indeed, when $x \neq 0$, the generating vectorfields ∂_x and $\partial_y + x^2 \partial_z$ and their Lie bracket $2x\partial_z$ are linearly independent, so that $H^2 = \mathbf{R}^3$. At points where x = 0, $H^2 = H$. Still, $H^3 = \mathbf{R}^3$, thus the bracket generating condition is satisfied.

Example 6 In 3 dimensions, equiregular Carnot manifolds coincide with contact manifolds.

Theorem 1 (Nagel-Stein-Wainger [NSW], Mitchell [M]). An equiregular Carnot manifold is asymptotic, at each point x, to a Carnot group G_x called its tangent cone at x. It follows that

Hausdorff dimension =
$$Q =: \sum_{i=1}^{r} i \left(\dim(H^i) - \dim(H^{i-1}) \right).$$

It follows for instance that equiregular Carnot manifolds are never biLipschitz homeomorphic to Riemannian manifolds.

1.4 BiLipschitz equivalence

Theorem 2 (P. Pansu, [P2], see also [Vo]). Two Carnot groups are biLipschitz homeomorphic (resp. quasiconformally homeomorphic) if and only if they are isomorphic.

Theorem 3 (G. Margulis, G. Mostow, [MM1], [MM2], see also [IV]). If $f: M \to M'$ is a quasiconformal homeomorphism of equiregular Carnot manifolds, then for all $x \in M$, $G'_{f(x)}$ is isomorphic to G_x .

Question 1 Assume two equiregular Carnot manifolds M and M' are quasiconformally homeomorphic. Does there exist a diffeomorphism $M \to M'$ mapping H to H'?

Question 2 Assume an equiregular Carnot manifold M is quasiconformally homogeneous, i.e. for every pair of points $x, x' \in M$, there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism of M mapping x to x'. Does there exist a transitive H-preserving action of a finite dimensional Lie group on M?

1.5 Hölder equivalence

Since the biLipschitz equivalence problem seems to be understood to some extent, we turn to a harder problem : when are Carnot manifolds Hölder equivalent ?

Theorem 4 (Rashevski [Ra], Chow [C],...). Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold with $H^r = TM$. Let g be a Riemannian metric on M. Then identity $(M, g) \to (M, H)$ is locally of class $C^{1/r}$ and its inverse is locally Lipschitz.

Remark 7 Let (M, h) be an equiregular Carnot manifold of dimension n and Hausdorff dimension Q. If $\alpha > n/Q$, there are no α -Hölder-continuous homeomorphisms of Riemannian manifolds to M.

Indeed, if M' is *n*-dimensional Riemannian, then $dim_{Hau}M' = n$. If $f(M') \subset M$ is open, $dim_{Hau}f(M') = Q$, thus the following lemma implies that $\alpha \leq n/Q$.

Lemma 8 If $f : M' \to M$ is a homeomorphism which is C^{α} -Hölder continuous, then

$$\alpha \dim_{Hau} f(M') \le \dim_{Hau} M'.$$

Definition 9 Let $\alpha(M, H)$ be the supremum of exponents α such that there (locally) exists a α -Hölder-continuous homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n onto an open subset of M.

Example 10 Theorem 4 and remark 7 imply that $1/2 \le \alpha(Heis^3) \le 3/4$.

Question 3 Find estimates for $\alpha(M, H)$. For instance, is it true that $\alpha(Heis^3) = 1/2$?

Not much is known. For instance, the best known upper bound for $\alpha(Heis^3)$ is 2/3, which, as we shall see, follows from the isoperimetric inequality.

1.6 Results to be covered

Following Gromov, [G2], we shall give two proofs of the isoperimetric inequality in Carnot manifolds.

The first one relies on the wealth of horizontal curves. More generally, again following Gromov, [G1], we shall show that certain Carnot manifolds admit plenty of horizontal k-dimensional manifolds, which can be used to prove that n - kdimensional topological manifolds have Hausdorff dimension $\geq Q - k$. This allows to sharpen the upper bound on $\alpha(M, H)$ given by the isoperimetric inequality.

The second one makes a clever use of differential forms. We shall describe a deformation of the de Rham complex of a Carnot manifold, discovered by M. Rumin, [R2], which gives alternative proofs of upper bounds on $\alpha(M, H)$. In fact, a combination of Gromov's and Rumin's ideas provides bounds in terms of the homology of the tangent cone which are rather easily computable for every given Carnot group, see Corollary 82, and cover all known results. Unfortunately, these bounds are never sharp.

1.7 Acknowledgements

Thanks to Ya. Eliashberg and M. Rumin for sharing their understanding of the subject, and to D. Isangulova for carefully reading the manuscript.

2 Hausdorff dimension of hypersurfaces

2.1 The isoperimetric inequality

Let (M, H) be an equiregular Carnot manifold of Hausdorff dimension Q. For simplicity, we denote by *vol* the Q-dimensional Hausdorff measure, and by *area* the Q-1-dimensional Hausdorff measure. The following inequality is due to N. Varopoulos, [V], in the case of Carnot groups (see also [P1] for the case of *Heis*³), with a rather sophisticated proof.

Theorem 5 Let K be a compact subset in an equiregular Carnot manifold of Hausdorff dimension Q. There exist constants c and C such that for every piecewise smooth domain $D \subset K$,

$$vol(D) \le c \Rightarrow vol(D) \le C \operatorname{area}(\partial D)^{Q/Q-1}.$$

Remark 11 Gromov, [G2] page 166, observes that the proof applies as well to non equiregular Carnot manifolds, provided the definition of area be adapted.

Remark 12 In case (M, H) is a Carnot group, the inequality is valid for arbitrary relatively compact open sets.

Indeed, by dilation homogeneity, the constants do not depend on the compact set K.

Corollary 13 Let (M, H) be an equiregular Carnot manifold of dimension n and Hausdorff dimension Q. Then

$$\alpha(M,H) \le \frac{n-1}{Q-1}.$$

2.2 Flow tube estimate

Gromov's proof ([G2], pages 159-164) relies on pretty general principles.

Given a vectorfield X on M with (locally defined) flow ϕ_t and a subset $S \subset M$, let $Tube(S, \tau)$, the *tube* on S be

$$Tube(S,\tau) = \{\phi_t(s) \, | \, s \in S, \, 0 \le 0 \le \tau\}.$$

Lemma 14 Let X be a horizontal vectorfield on M. Let ϵ and τ be small (depending on K). Let B be an ϵ -ball such that $Tube(B, \tau)$ is contained in K. Then

$$vol(Tube(B,\tau)) \le const. \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} vol(B)$$

It follows that for arbitrary $S \subset K$ such that $Tube(S, \tau) \subset K$,

$$vol(Tube(S, \tau)) \leq const. \tau area(S),$$

where the constant depends only on X and on K.

Proof. According to [NSW], one can choose coordinates such that $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ and B is contained in a box $\{\forall i, |x_i| \leq \epsilon^{w(i)}\}$ of volume $\sim \epsilon^{\sum w(i)} \leq \text{const.} vol(B)$. Then

$$Tube(B,\tau) \subset \{-\epsilon \le x_1 \le \tau + \epsilon \text{ and } \forall i \ge 2, \ |x_i| \le \epsilon^{w(i)}\},\$$

thus

$$vol(Tube(B,\tau)) \leq \text{const.} \tau \, \epsilon^{\sum_{i \geq 2} w(i)} \leq \text{const.} \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} vol(B).$$

Cover set S with small balls B_j with radii r_j . There exists a constant $\eta(K)$ such that $\eta \operatorname{vol}(B_j) \leq r_j^Q$. Then $\operatorname{Tube}(S,\tau) \subset \bigcup_j \operatorname{Tube}(B_j,\tau)$, thus

$$\sum_{j} r_{j}^{Q-1} \geq \eta \sum_{j} r_{j}^{-1} vol(B_{j})$$

$$\geq \text{ const.} \tau^{-1} \sum_{j} vol(Tube(B_{j}, \tau))$$

$$\geq \text{ const.} \tau^{-1} vol(Tube(S, \tau)). \blacksquare$$

2.3 Local isoperimetric inequality

Given smooth vectorfields X_1, \ldots, X_k , denote by $Tube_j(S, \tau)$ the τ -tube generated by X_j and by $MTube(S, \tau)$ the *multitube* i.e. the set of points reached, starting from a point in S, by flowing X_1 during some time $t_1 \leq \tau$, then flowing X_2 for time $t_2 \leq \tau$, and so on.

The bracket generating condition allows to choose smooth horizontal vector fields X_1, \ldots, X_k such that the τ -multitube of any point $x \in K$ under them contains $B(x, \tau)$, for all $\tau \leq \text{const.}(K)$. Then there exists a constant $\lambda = \lambda(K) \geq 1$ such that for all $x \in K$,

$$MTube(\{x\}, \tau) \subset B(x, \lambda\tau).$$

Proposition 15 For every ball B of radius $R \leq const.(K)$, such that the concentric ball $\lambda B \subset K$, and for every open subset $D \subset K$ with $vol(D \cap \lambda B) \leq \frac{1}{2}vol(B)$,

$$vol(D \cap B) \leq const.(K) R area((\partial D) \cap \lambda B).$$

Proof. Let $\tau = 2R$. Some significant portion D_0 of $D \cap B$ must be carried out of D by the flow of some vectorfield X_i . Indeed, otherwise, the multitube $MTube(D \cap B, \tau)$ would be almost entirely contained in D. But this multitube contains B which has volume at least twice that of D, contradiction¹. Since the multitube is entirely contained in λB , Lemma 14 applied to X_i then gives

$$vol(D_0) \leq vol(T_i(D \cap B, \tau)) \leq const. Rarea(\partial D \cap \lambda B).$$

2.4 Covering Lemma

Lemma 16 If $vol(D) \leq const.(K)$, there exists a collection of disjoint balls B_j such that

- D is covered by concentric balls $2B_i$.
- $vol(D \cap \lambda^{-1}B_j) \ge \frac{1}{2}vol(\lambda^{-2}B_j).$

¹Here, I merely copy without understanding Gromov's one sentence proof the trivial Measure Moving Lemma

• $vol(D \cap B_j) \leq \frac{1}{2}vol(\lambda^{-1}B_j).$

Proof. Fix a radius R = R(K) such that all *R*-balls contained in *K* have roughly the same volume const. R^Q . Fix const.(*K*) such that if $vol(D) \leq const.(K)$, then

$$\frac{vol(D)}{\operatorname{const.}(\lambda^{-1}R)^Q} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then for all $x \in D$,

$$\frac{vol(D \cap B(x,R))}{vol(\lambda^{-1}B(x,R))} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

Given $x \in D$, consider the sequence of concentric balls $\beta_{\ell} = B(x, \lambda^{-\ell}R)$. Since D is open, the ratio

$$\frac{vol(D \cap \beta_{\ell})}{vol(\lambda^{-1}\beta_{\ell})}$$

tends to $\lambda^Q \geq 1$. Let B(x) be the last ball in the sequence β_{ℓ} such that this ratio is less than $\frac{1}{2}$. By construction, the balls $B(x)_{x\in D}$ cover D and satisfy two of the assumptions of the lemma.

Order the balls B(x) according to their radii, pick a largest one, call it B_0 , then pick a largest one among those which do not intersect B_0 , call it B_1 , and so on. In this way, one obtains a collection of disjoint balls. If $x \in D$ and B(x) has not been selected, then B(x) intersects some selected ball B_j which is larger than B(x). This implies that $x \in 2B_j$. Therefore the concentric balls $2B_j$ cover D.

2.5 From local to global

Let $D \subset K$ have $vol(D) \leq \text{const.}(K)$. Apply Lemma 16 to get disjoint balls B_j such that $vol(D \cap B_j) \leq \frac{1}{2}vol(\lambda^{-1}B_j)$. The local isoperimetric inequality 15 applies in each B_j and yields

$$vol(D \cap \lambda^{-1}B_i) \leq \text{const.} R_i \operatorname{area}((\partial D) \cap B_i).$$

Since

$$R_j^Q \leq \text{const.} vol(\lambda^{-2}B_j) \leq \text{const.} vol(D \cap \lambda^{-1}B_j),$$

$$vol(D \cap \lambda^{-1}B_i) \leq \text{const.} area((\partial D) \cap B_i)^{Q/Q-1}.$$

Finally,

$$vol(D \cap 2B_j) \leq vol(2B_j)$$

$$\leq \text{ const. } vol(\lambda^{-2}B_j)$$

$$\leq \text{ const. } vol(D \cap \lambda^{-1}B_j)$$

$$\leq \text{ const. } area((\partial D) \cap B_j)^{Q/Q-1}.$$

Since $2B_j$ cover and B_j are disjoint, one can sum up,

$$vol(D) \leq \sum_{j} vol(D \cap 2B_{j})$$

$$\leq \text{ const.} \sum_{j} area((\partial D) \cap B_{j})^{Q/Q-1}$$

$$\leq \text{ const.} (\sum_{j} area((\partial D) \cap B_{j}))^{Q/Q-1}$$

$$\leq \text{ const.} area(\partial D)^{Q/Q-1},$$

where a convexity inequality has been used.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \blacksquare

2.6 Link with Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities

It is a classical fact that isoperimetric inequalities are equivalent to Sobolev type inequalities. For a smooth function u on M, let $d^H u$ denote the restriction to H of the differential du.

Proposition 17 The isoperimetric inequality 5 is equivalent to the following Sobolev inequality, with the same constant. For all smooth functions u with support contained in K,

$$||u||_{Q/Q-1} \leq const. ||d^H u||_1.$$

Proof. It relies on the coarea formula : for smooth $u: K \to \mathbf{R}$ and positive f,

$$\int_M f \, d\mathcal{H}^Q = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\int_{\{u=t\}} \frac{f}{|d^H u|} \, d\mathcal{H}^{Q-1} \right) \, dt.$$

Assume isoperimetric inequality. Write

$$|u| = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbf{1}_{\{|u| > t\}} \, dt$$

as a sum of characteristic functions. Then take $L^{Q/Q-1}$ norms,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{Q/Q-1} &\leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \|1_{\{|u|>t\}}\|_{Q/Q-1} \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{+\infty} vol(\{|u|>t\})^{Q-1/Q} \, dt \\ &\leq \text{ const. } \int_{0}^{+\infty} area(\{|u|=t\}) \, dt \\ &= \|d^{H}u\|_{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, apply Sobolev inequality to steep functions of the distance to an open set D. This gives back the isoperimetric inequality.

Proposition 18 A slightly strengthened form of the local isoperimetric inequality 15, namely

$$vol(D \cap B) \leq \frac{1}{2}vol(B) \Rightarrow vol(D \cap B) \leq const.(K) R area((\partial D) \cap \lambda B),$$

is equivalent to the following (1,1)-Poincaré inequality. For a smooth function u defined on a ball λB of radius R,

$$\inf_{c \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{B} |u - c| \le \text{const. } R \int_{\lambda B} |d^{H}u|.$$

Proof. Assume local isoperimetric inequality holds. Up to replacing u with u-c for some constant c, one can assume that

$$vol(\{u > 0\} \cap B) \le \frac{1}{2}vol(B), \quad vol(\{u < 0\} \cap B) \le \frac{1}{2}vol(B).$$

Write $u = u_{+} - u_{-}$ where $u_{+} = \max\{u, 0\}$. Then

$$\int_{B} u_{+} = \int_{B} \left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} 1_{\{u > t\}} dt \right)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{+\infty} vol(\{u > t\} \cap B) dt$$
$$\leq \text{ const. } R \int_{0}^{+\infty} area(\{u = t\} \cap \lambda B) dt$$
$$= \text{ const. } R \int_{\lambda B} |d^{H}u_{+}|,$$

by coarea formula. Then

$$\int_{B} |u| \le \text{const.} R\left(\int_{\lambda B} |d^{H}u_{+}| + \int_{\lambda B} |d^{H}u_{-}|\right) = \text{const.} R \int_{\lambda B} |d^{H}u|.$$

Conversely, apply (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality to steep functions of the distance to an open set $D \subset \lambda B$. This gives back the local isoperimetric inequality.

3 Hausdorff dimension of higher codimensional submanifolds

Let M be a Carnot manifold. According to lemma 8, if we can show that all subsets $V \subset M$ of topological dimension k have Hausdorff dimension at least d, then $\alpha(M) \leq k/d$. In this section, we prove results of this kind, which in some cases improve on the upper bound obtained in the previous section.

3.1 A topological criterion

Proposition 19 Let M be an n-dimensional manifold and $V \subset M$ a subset of topological dimension $\dim_{top}(V) \ge n - k$. Then there exists a k-dimensional polyhedron P and a continuous map $f : P \to M$ such that any map $\tilde{f} : P \to M$ sufficiently C^0 -close to f hits V. Such a map is called a transversal to V.

Proof. This follows from a homological criterion due to Alexandrov, see [Na] page 248.■

3.2 Wealth

We are looking for horizontal immersions in Carnot manifolds (M, H), i.e. immersions whose image is tangent to H. We want enough of them to foliate open sets. In view of Lemma 19, we need to approximate continuous maps from arbitrary polyhedra with immersions. Not every polyhedron is homotopy equivalent to a manifold. Therefore, we enlarge the class of manifolds, by considering spaces, called *branched* manifolds obtained by gluing manifolds along open sets. Given continuous maps $f_0: P \to M$ and $f: W \to M$, we say that f is ϵ -close to f_0 if there exist homotopy equivalences $\phi: W \to P$ and $\phi': P \to W$ such that $\sup |f - f_0 \circ \phi| < \epsilon$, $\sup |\phi' \circ \phi - id_W| < \epsilon$ and $\sup |\phi \circ \phi' - id_P| < \epsilon$.



An immersion of a branched manifold

Definition 20 A foliated horizontal immersion in a Carnot manifold (M, H) is a smooth immersion $f: W \times \mathbf{R}^{\ell} \to M$, where W is a branched manifold, and such that for each $z \in \mathbf{R}^{\ell}$, $f_{|W \times \{z\}}$ is horizontal, i.e. tangent to H.

Definition 21 Say an n-dimensional Carnot manifold (M, H) is k-rich at a point $m \in M$ if there exists a neighborhood U of m such that, given $\epsilon > 0$ and a continuous map from a k-dimensional polyhedron $f_0 : P \to U$, there exists a foliated horizontal immersion $f : W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \to M$ with $\dim(W) = k$ which is ϵ -close to f_0 .

Lemma 22 Let M be an n-dimensional Carnot manifold. Assume M is k-rich at some point $m \in M$. Then for every n - k-dimensional subset $V \subset M$ passing through m,

$$dim_{Hau}(V) - dim_{top}(V) \ge dim_{Hau}(M) - dim_{top}(M).$$

If follows that

(

$$\alpha(M) \le \frac{n-k}{d-k}, \quad d = dim_{Hau}(M).$$

Proof. Given a foliated horizontal immersion $f : W \times \mathbb{R}^q$ which is close to a transversal to V, define the τ -tube on $S \subset M$ as the union of $f(B(w, \tau) \times \{z\})$ for which $f(w, z) \in S$. Since f restricted to W factors is horizontal, the τ -tube on an ϵ -ball has volume at most const. $\tau^k \epsilon^{d-k}$.

Cover V with ϵ_j -balls. The corresponding τ -tubes T_j cover the τ -tube U on V. Since f is close to a transversal to V, U contains an open set. Then

$$\sum_{j} \epsilon_{j}^{d-k} \ge \text{const.} \tau^{-k} vol(\bigcup_{j} T_{j}) \ge \text{const.} vol(U)$$

is bounded from below, which shows that $dim_{Hau}(V) \ge d - k$.

3.3 Main result

Theorem 6 (M. Gromov). A contact structure in dimension n = 2m + 1 is m-rich at all points.

Let $0 \le k \le h \le n$. Assume that

$$h - k \ge (n - h)k.$$

Then a generic h-dimensional distribution on a n-dimensional manifold is k-rich at almost every point.

Corollary 23 If (M, H) is a 2m+1-dimensional contact manifold, then $\alpha(M, H) \leq \frac{m+1}{m+2}$.

If (M, H) is a generic Carnot manifold of dimension n, Hausdorff dimension Q, with $\dim(H) = h$ and $h - k \ge (n - h)k$, then $\alpha(M, H) \le \frac{n-k}{Q-k}$.

Proof. The proof has three steps.

- 1. Linear algebra : analyze the differential of the equation for horizontal immersions.
- 2. Analysis : an implicit function theorem (J. Nash) yields local existence of regular horizontal immersions.
- 3. Topology : passing from local to global existence (S. Smale).

In the next three sections, we outline some of the ideas in this proof, following [G1]. An alternate approach to the third step is described in [G3].

4 Linearizing horizontality

4.1 Isotropic subspaces

Locally, a *h*-dimensional plane distribution H on a *n*-dimensional manifold M can be viewed as the kernel of a \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-form θ .

An immersion $f: V \subset M$ is horizontal iff $f^*\theta = 0$. Observe that this implies that $f^*d\theta = 0$.

Definition 24 Let $m \in M$. A linear subspace $S \subset H_m$ is isotropic if $d\theta_{|S} = 0$.

Example 25 1-dimensional subspaces are always isotropic. If H is a contact structure on M^{2m+1} (resp. quaternionic contact structure on the sphere S^{4m+3}), all isotropic subspaces have dimension $\leq m$.

Here, S^{4m+3} is the unit sphere in the quaternion vector space \mathbf{H}^{m+1} , and for $m \in S^{4m+3}$, H_m is the quaternionic hyperplane orthogonal to m.

In particular, a contact (resp. quaternionic contact) manifold has no horizontal immersions of dimension k > m.

4.2 Regular isotropic subspaces

Our goal is to solve the horizontal immersion equation E(f) = 0, where, for an immersion $f: V \to M$, E(f) is the \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-form on V defined by $E(f) = f^*\theta$.

Let X be a vectorfield along f (i.e. a section of f^*TM on V), viewed as a tangent vector at $f: V \to M$ to the space of immersions. The directional derivative of E at f in the direction X is given by Cartan's formula

$$D_f E(X) = \mathcal{L}_X \theta = d(\iota_X \theta) + f^*(\iota_X(d\theta)).$$

Observe that if X is horizontal, the first term vanishes, and $D_f E(X)$ does not involve any derivatives of X. If the second term is pointwise onto, we have an easy way to (right-)invert the operator $D_f E$.

Definition 26 Let $H = ker(\theta)$ where θ is \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued. Let $m \in M$. Say a linear subspace $S \subset H_m$ is regular if the linear map

$$H_m \to Hom(S, \mathbf{R}^{n-h}), \quad X \mapsto (\iota_X d\theta)_{|S|}$$

is onto.

Example 27 In contact manifolds (resp. on the quaternionic contact 4m + 3-sphere), all isotropic subspaces of H are regular.

A distribution H is sometimes said to satisfy the strong bracket generating hypothesis if all 1-dimensional subspaces are regular. Such distributions are very rare.

Note that if H admits a regular k-dimensional subspace, then $h \ge (n-h)k$. In other words, the codimension of H is very small, $n-h \le \frac{n}{k+1}$, which is already somewhat restrictive.

4.3 Genericity of regularity

The existence of regular isotropic subspaces imposes an even stronger restriction on dimensions. This dimensional condition is genericly sufficient.

Proposition 28 Let H be a h-dimensional distribution on a n-dimensional manifold. If H admits a regular k-dimensional isotropic subspace at some point, then $h-k \ge (n-h)k$.

Conversely, if $h - k \ge (n - h)k$, a generic h-dimensional distribution admits regular isotropic k-planes at almost every point.

Proof. If $S \subset H_m$ is isotropic, then S is contained in the kernel of the map $X \mapsto \iota_X(d\theta)_{|S}$. If S is regular, this map is onto with a $\geq k$ -dimensional kernel. This implies $h - k \geq (n - h)k$.

Conversely, observe that regular isotropic k-planes are the smooth points of the variety of isotropic k-planes. Their existence is a Zariski open condition on a 2-form ω .

The dimension condition guarantees that this open set $U \subset (\Lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^h) \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ is non empty. Indeed, pick any surjective linear map $L : \mathbf{R}^{h-k} \to Hom(\mathbf{R}^k, \mathbf{R}^{n-h})$, viewed as a $h - k \times k$ matrix with entries in \mathbf{R}^{n-h} , and let ω be the 2-form on $\mathbf{R}^k \oplus \mathbf{R}^{h-k}$ with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & L \\ -L^\top & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By construction, \mathbf{R}^k is isotropic and the associated map $\mathbf{R}^h \to Hom(\mathbf{R}^k, \mathbf{R}^{n-h})$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & L \end{pmatrix}$, so that \mathbf{R}^k is regular.

Let $Z \subset (T^*M \oplus \Lambda^2 T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ denote the set of triples (m, α, ω) with $\alpha \in T^*_m M \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ and $\omega \in \Lambda^2 T^*_m M \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ such that either $\alpha \in Hom(T_m M, \mathbf{R}^{n-h})$ is not surjective or $\omega_{|ker(\alpha)}$ does not admit any regular isotropic k-subspaces. Then Z is a finite union of proper submanifolds.

Let Ω denote the space of smooth \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued differential 1-forms θ on M. The map

$$\Omega \times M \to (T^*M \oplus \Lambda^2 T^*M) \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}, \quad (\theta, m) \mapsto (\theta(m), d\theta(m))$$

is transverse to Z. In fact, in case $M = \mathbf{R}^n$, the restriction of this (linear) map to the finite dimensional space of differential forms of the form

$$\theta_{a,b,y} = \sum_{i} a_i dx_i + \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j} (x_i - y_i) dx_j$$

is already onto at each point, i.e. a submersion. As a consequence, for a generic choice of θ , the section $(\theta, d\theta)$ is transverse to Z. The corresponding $H = ker(\theta)$ admits k-dimensional regular isotropic subspaces at each point except those of $(\theta, d\theta)^{-1}(Z)$, a union of proper submanifolds, which has measure zero.

4.4 Infinitesimal existence of regular horizontal immersions

If a smooth germ of immersion $f : (\mathbf{R}^k, 0) \to (M, m)$ satisfies the horizontality equation up to order 1, i.e. $E(f)(v) = o(|v|^1)$, then $S = im(d_0 f)$ is isotropic. If S turns out to be regular, there is no other algebraic obstruction to deforming f to a horizontal immersion, at least at the level of finite jets.

Proposition 29 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. Let $m \in M$ and let $S \subset H_m$ be a k-dimensional regular isotropic subspace. Then there exists a germ of immersion $f: (\mathbf{R}^k, 0) \to (M, m)$ which satisfies the horizontality equation to infinite order, i.e.

 $E(f)(v) = o(|v|^N)$ for all integers N,

and such that im(df) = S at the origin.

Proof. Choose coordinates on $V = \mathbf{R}^k$ and $M = \mathbf{R}^n$. Let us prove, by induction on N, that there exists a polynomial f_N of degree N + 1 such that f(0) = 0, $im(d_0 f) = S$ and

$$f_N^*\theta(v) = o(|v|^N), \quad f_N^*d\theta(v) = o(|v|^N).$$

Any linear immersion f_0 such that $im(f_0) = S$ satisfies

$$f_0^*\theta(v) = o(|v|^0), \quad f_0^*d\theta(v) = o(|v|^0).$$

Let $N \ge 1$. Assume f_{N-1} exists. Since for arbitrary f, $f^*\theta(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}) = \theta(f)(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})$ is a product, when differentiating $f^*\theta N$ times, all terms but one involve less than N+1 derivatives of f. Therefore, if $f = f_{N-1} + o(|v|^{N+1})$, then, at the origin,

$$\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N}}}(f^{*}\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}}))=\theta(f)(\frac{\partial^{N+1}f}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N+1}}})+\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N}}}(f^{*}_{N-1}\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}})),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N}}}(f^{*}d\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}},\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+2}}})) = (d\theta)(f)(\frac{\partial^{N+1}f}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N+1}}},\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i_{N+2}}}) + d\theta(f)(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}},\frac{\partial^{N+1}f}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N}}\partial x_{i_{N+2}}}) + \frac{\partial^{N}}{\partial x_{i_{1}}\cdots\partial x_{i_{N}}}(f^{*}_{N-1}d\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}},\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+2}}})).$$

We seek f_N in the form

$$f_N(v) = f_{N-1}(v) + X(v, \dots, v),$$

where $X \in S^{N+1}V^* \otimes TM$ is an unknown $TM = \mathbb{R}^n$ -valued symmetric tensor. The equations to be solved are of the form

$$\theta \circ X = -a, \quad \mathcal{A}(\alpha \circ X) = -b,$$

with the following notation.

• θ , evaluated at the origin, belongs to $Hom(TM, \mathbb{R}^{n-h})$, thus can be composed with X to yield $\theta \circ X \in S^{N+1}V^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n-h}$.

- $a = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{N+1}} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_N}} (f_{N-1}^* \theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}})) dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_N} \otimes dx_{N+1} \in S^N V^* \otimes V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}.$
- $\alpha \in Hom(TM, V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h})$ is defined by $\alpha(w) = \iota_w d\theta \circ f_0$. It can be composed with X to yield $\alpha \circ X \in S^{N+1}V^* \otimes V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$.
- $\mathcal{A}: S^{N+1}V^* \otimes V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h} \to S^N V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ denotes skew-symmetrization with respect to the last two variables. It maps an \mathbf{R}^{n-h} -valued tensor T to $\mathcal{A}(T): (v_1, \ldots, v_{N+2}) \mapsto -T(v_1, \ldots, v_{N+1}, v_{N+2}) + T(v_1, \ldots, v_N, v_{N+2}, v_{N+1}).$

•
$$b = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{N+2}} \frac{\partial^N}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_N}} (f_{N-1}^* d\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+1}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{N+2}}})) dx_{i_1} \cdots dx_{i_N} \otimes dx_{N+1} \wedge dx_{N+2} \in S^N V^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}.$$

For these linear equations to admit solutions, there are two necessary conditions : a should be fully symmetric (for this, $\mathcal{A}(a) = 0$ suffices) and b should satisfy $\mathcal{C}(b) = 0$, where $\mathcal{C}(b) \in S^{N-1}V^* \otimes \Lambda^3 V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(b)(v_1,\ldots,v_{N+2}) = b(v_1,\ldots,v_{N+2}) + b(v_1,\ldots,v_{N-1},v_{N+1},v_{N+2},v_N) + b(v_1,\ldots,v_{N-1},v_{N+2},v_N,v_{N+1}).$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{A} = 0$.

By definition, $a(v_1, ..., v_{N+1}) = v_1 \cdots v_N(f_{N-1}^* \theta(v_{N+1})),$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(a)(v_1, \dots, v_{N+1}) &= a(v_1, \dots, v_{N-1}, v_N, v_{N+1}) - a(v_1, \dots, v_{N-1}, v_{N+1}, v_N) \\ &= v_1 \cdots v_{N-1}(v_N(f_{N-1}^*\theta(v_{N+1})) - v_{N+1}(f_{N-1}^*\theta(v_N))) \\ &= v_1 \cdots v_{N-1}(f_{N-1}^*d\theta(v_N, v_{N+1})) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $f_{N-1}^* d\theta = o(|v|^N)$.

In the same way, $b(v_1, \ldots, v_{N+2}) = v_1 \cdots v_N(f_{N-1}^* d\theta(v_{N+1}, v_{N+2})),$

$$\mathcal{C}(b)(v_1, \dots, v_{N+2}) = v_1 \cdots v_{N-1}(v_N(f_{N-1}^* d\theta(v_{N+1}, v_{N+2})) \\ + v_{N+1}(f_{N-1}^* d\theta(v_{N+2}, v_N)) + v_{N+2}(f_{N-1}^* d\theta(v_N, v_{N+1}))) \\ = v_1 \cdots v_{N-1}(f_{N-1}^* dd\theta(v_N, v_{N+1}, v_{N+2})) \\ = 0,$$

since $dd\theta = 0$.

Since $\theta \in Hom(TM, \mathbf{R}^{n-h})$ is onto, it admits a right inverse $\theta^{-1}, \theta \circ \theta^{-1} = id_{\mathbf{R}^{n-h}}$. Then $Z = -\theta^{-1} \circ a$ satisfies $\theta \circ Z = -a$. In order to solve simultaneously the second equation $\mathcal{A}(\alpha \circ X) = -b$, we look for $Y \in S^{N+1}V^* \otimes \ker(\theta)$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha \circ Y) = -b - \mathcal{A}(\alpha \circ Z).$$

Thanks to the regularity assumption, the restriction of α to $\ker(\theta) \to V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$ is surjective. Pick a right inverse $\alpha^{-1} : V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h} \to \ker(\theta), \ \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} = id_{V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}}.$ Look for Y in the form $Y = \alpha^{-1} \circ Y'$ for $Y' \in S^{N+1}V^* \otimes V^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}$, which must satisfy

$$\mathcal{A}(Y') = -b - \mathcal{A}(\alpha \circ Z).$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}(-b - \mathcal{A}(\alpha \circ Z)) = 0$. According to Lemma 30, this is a sufficient condition for the existence of a solution Y'. This completes the inductive proof.

Once the infinite jet f_{∞} is found, any germ $(\mathbf{R}^k, 0) \to (M, m)$ having this power series as Taylor expansion satisfies $E(f) = o(|v|^N)$ for all $N.\blacksquare$

Lemma 30 The sequence

 $0 \to S^{N+2}V^* \hookrightarrow S^{N+1}V^* \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} S^NV^* \otimes \Lambda^2 V^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} S^{N-1}V^* \otimes \Lambda^3 V^*$

is exact.

Proof. It is a subcomplex of the de Rham complex of $V = \mathbf{R}^k$. Indeed, elements of $\bigoplus_{\ell} S^{N-\ell}V^* \otimes \Lambda^{\ell}V^*$, viewed as differential forms with polynomial coefficients, are exactly the smooth differential forms on V which are homogeneous of degree N. Therefore, they form a subcomplex. The Poincaré homotopy formula for solving d is homogeneous, thus the subcomplex is acyclic. Finally, up to a factor of 2, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} coincide with exterior differentials.

4.5 Algebraic inverses

Passing from an infinite power series to a true locally converging solution requires some analysis. We shall use an implicit function theorem. As usual, invertibility of the differential of the equation is needed.

Proposition 31 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. If $f : V \to M$ is a regular horizontal immersion (i.e. $D_v f(T_v V)$ is a regular subspace of $H_{f(v)}$ for all $v \in V$), then $D_f E$ admits an algebraic right inverse.

Proof. It suffices to right invert the map $X \mapsto f^*(\iota_X d\theta)$ pointwise.

To show that such an inverse can be chosen smoothly, consider the set RegIso of pairs $(m, S), m \in M, S$ a regular isotropic subspace of H_m . This is a submanifold in the bundle of Grassmannians Gr(k, H). This implies that the set RegIsoImmof triples (v, m, L) where $v \in V, m \in M$ and $L : T_vV \to H_m$ is an injective linear map with regular isotropic image is a submanifold in the bundle Hom(TV, TM)over $V \times M$. For $(v, m, L) \in RegIsoImm$, the set $Right_{(v,m,L)}$ of right inverses of the map

$$H_m \to Hom(T_vV, \mathbf{R}^{n-h}) = T_v^*V \otimes \mathbf{R}^{n-h}, \quad X \mapsto L^*(\iota_X d\theta),$$

is an affine space of constant dimension (n-h)k(h-(n-h)k). The spaces $Right_{(v,m,L)}$ form a smooth bundle with contractible fibers, therefore it admits a smooth section $(v, m, L) \mapsto right(v, m, L)$ defined on RegIso.

For $g \in \mathbb{R}^{n-h}$ -valued 1-form on V, consider the vector field along f defined by $X(v) = right(v, f(v), d_v f)(g(v))$. The map $M_f : g \mapsto X$ is a right inverse of $D_f E$.

Remark 32 The existence of an algebraic right inverse for a differential operator is not unusual. In fact, it is generic for underdetermined operators, i.e., with more unknown functions than equations, see [G1], page 156.

Example 33 (J. Nash). A specific example is the linearization at a free map of the isometric immersion operator which to a map f between Riemannian manifolds associates the pulled back metric.

A map $\mathbf{R}^k \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is *free* if all its first and second derivatives are linearly independent. This notion was introduced by E. Cartan and M. Janet, see [J].

Remark 34 Generic overdetermined operators admit differential left inverses, see [G1], page 166.

5 Implicit function theorem

5.1 Nash's implicit function theorem

In his solution of the isometric embedding problem, J. Nash discovered that differential operators whose linearization admits a differential right inverse can be right inverted. This implicit function theorem has an unusual feature: the inverse map is a *local* operator.

Theorem 7 (J. Nash. This version is taken from [G1], page 117). Let V be a Riemannian manifold. Let F, G be bundles over V. Let $E: C^{\infty}(F) \to C^{\infty}(G)$ be a differential operator whose linearization $D_f E$ admits a differential right inverse M_f , which is defined for f in a subset \mathcal{A} of $C^{\infty}(F)$ defined by strict differential inequalities. Fix a real number $\rho > 0$. Then there exist an integer s such that the following holds.

For each $f \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a right inverse E_f^{-1} of E, defined on a C^s neighborhood of E(f) in $C^{\infty}(G)$, such that $E_f^{-1}(E(f)) = f$ and whose differential at E(f) is M_f . Furthermore, E_f^{-1} depends smoothly on parameters, and is local: given $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $g \in C^{\infty}(G)$ and $v \in V$, $E_f^{-1}(g)(v)$ depends only on the values of f and g in a ball of radius ρ centered at v.

Proof. It can be found in textbooks like [G1] or [AG].■

5.2 Local existence

Corollary 35 ([G1] page 119). Same assumptions as in Theorem 7. Any germ f_0 that solves

$$E(f_0)(v) = o(|v - v_0|^s)$$

can be deformed to a true local solution f_1 : $E(f_1) = 0$.

Indeed, choose $g \in C^{\infty}(G)$ such that $g = -E(f_0)$ near v_0 , but g is C^s -small. For $t \in [0,1]$, set $f_t = E_{f_0}^{-1}(E(f_0) + tg)$.

In other words, it suffices to construct solutions up to order s (s = 2 is enough for the horizontal immersion problem). With Proposition 29, this completes the proof of the existence of local regular horizontal immersions. It even gives a more precise information on the topology of the space of germs of solutions at a point.

Proposition 36 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. Let $m \in M$. Consider the space Sol_m of germs of regular horizontal immersions $(\mathbf{R}^k, 0) \to (M, m)$. Map a germ to its derivative at the origin. This gives a homotopy equivalence of Sol_m to the space $InjRegIso_m$ of injective linear maps $\mathbf{R}^k \to H_m$ with regular isotropic image.

Proof. The proof of Proposition 29 shows that to construct a solution to order s from an injective linear map $\mathbf{R}^k \to H_m$ with regular isotropic image, one merely needs solve linear equations, i.e. pick points in nonempty affine spaces of constant dimension. Therefore, the space $Jet_m = \{\text{germs } f \mid f(0) = m, E(f) = o(|v|^s)\}$ is homotopy equivalent to $InjRegIso_m$. The map $[0,1] \times Jet_m \to Jet_m, (f_0,t) \mapsto (f_t)$ provided by Corollary 35 is a deformation retraction of Jet_m to Sol_m .

5.3 Microflexibility

Here is a second application of Nash's implicit function theorem. When it applies, solutions can evolve more or less independently on disjoint parts of their domains. This vague statement is made precise in the following definition.

Definition 37 ([G1] page 41). Say an equation is flexible (resp. microflexible) if, given compact sets $K' \subset K \subset V$, a solution f defined on a neighborhood of K and a deformation f_t , $t \in [0, 1]$, of its restriction to a neighborhood of K', the deformation extends to a neighborhood of K (resp. for a little while, i.e. for $t \in [0, \epsilon]$). We also require a parametrized version of this property: it should apply to continuous families of solutions f_p and of deformations $f_{p,t}$ parametrized by an arbitrary polyhedron P.

Example 38 Strict inequations are trivially microflexible, but need not be flexible.

Apart from this trivial example, microflexibility is hard to establish. The following result gives all examples I know.

Corollary 39 ([G1] page 120). Let E be a differential operator such that $D_f E$ admits a differential right inverse for $f \in A$. Then the system $A \cap \{E = 0\}$ is microflexible.

Proof. Given solutions f near K and f_t near K', construct a family of sections f'_t defined near K which coincides with f_t on the ρ -neighborhood of K' for some $\rho > 0$. For t small, one can set $e_t = E_{f'_t}^{-1}(0)$. It is a solution defined near K. Furthermore, near K', $e_t = f_t$ by locality.

Example 40 In the underdetermined case $h - k \ge (n - h)k$, for a generic distribution (resp. for a contact distribution), k-dimensional horizontal immersions are microflexible.

Remark 41 Flexibility means that the restriction map $f \mapsto f_{|neigh(K')}$ between spaces of solutions near K (resp. near K') is a Serre fibration (path lifting property). Microflexibility sounds like this map being a submersion.

As we shall see in the next section, this opens the way to topological methods for the study of homotopy properties of spaces of solutions.

5.4 Calculus of variations

Before we proceed, let us mention our last consequence of Nash's implicit function theorem.

Lemma 42 ([G2] page 254). Same assumptions as in Theorem 7. There is an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{A} \cap \{E = 0\}$ in \mathcal{A} and a smooth retraction $r : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{A} \cap \{E = 0\}$ with differential

$$D_f r = 1 - M_f \circ D_f E.$$

Proof. The domain \mathcal{V} of $E^{-1}: (f,g) \mapsto E_f^{-1}(g)$ is an open C^s -neighborhood of $\{(f,g) \mid E(f) = g\}$ in $\mathcal{A} \times C^{\infty}(G)$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid (f,0) \in \mathcal{V}\}$. For $f \in \mathcal{U}$, let $r(f) = E^{-1}(f,0)$. Then E(r(f)) = 0. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and E(f) = 0, then $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and r(f) = f.

By construction, $\frac{\partial E^{-1}}{\partial g}(f, E(f)) = M_f$. Since $E^{-1}(f, E(f)) = f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\frac{\partial E^{-1}}{\partial f}(f, E(f)) + \frac{\partial E^{-1}}{\partial g}(f, E(f)) \circ D_f E = id,$$

and the formula for $D_f r$ follows.

It follows that given a smooth functional Φ on \mathcal{A} , one can assert that

f critical point of $\Phi_{|\mathcal{A}\cap\{E=0\}}$ \Leftrightarrow $D_f \Phi$ vanishes on $im(D_f r)$,

and derive Euler-Lagrange equations for the restriction of Φ to $\mathcal{A} \cap \{E = 0\}$ in the usual manner.

This applies to the area functional for regular horizontal immersions f in a Carnot manifold. With the algebraic inverse M_f constructed in Proposition 31, $D_f r$ maps a vector field X along f to an X' = X + Y where Y is horizontal and is algebraicly chosen to satisfy $f^*(\iota_{X'}d\theta) = -d(\iota_X\theta)$. In other words, $\delta = D_f r$ is a first order linear differential operator. Since X can be chosen with arbitrarily small support, the usual integration by parts yields the third order equation $\delta^*h = 0$ where δ^* is the adjoint of δ and h the Riemannian mean curvature of the immersion.

The case of contact 5-manifolds is of particular interest, see for example [SW], [HR].

6 From local to global horizontal immersions

6.1 Sketch of proof of Theorem 6

Let us sketch a proof of Theorem 6 for k = 1.

Start with a continuous loop $l_0: P = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \to M$. Assume it admits a continuous lift F_0 which chooses for each $p \in P$ a regular isotropic line in $H_{f_0(p)}$. Proposition 36 allows a continuous choice of a germ \bar{f}_p of regular horizontal immersion $(\mathbf{R}, 0) \to (M, f_0(p))$, tangent to $F_0(p)$ at $f_0(p)$ for each $p \in P$.



A curve of germs of regular horizontal curves

Say \bar{f}_p is defined on (p-1, p+1). Fix some p. Let $K' = K'_+ \cup K'_-$ where $K'_+ = [p+.5, p+.9]$ and $K'_+ = [p-.9, p-.5]$, and K = [p-.9, p+.9]. Apply microflexibility to the parametric deformation $f_{p,t}$ such that $f_{p,0} = \bar{f}_p$, $f_{p,t} = \bar{f}_{p+t}$ near K'_+ and $f_{p,t} = \bar{f}_p$ near K'_- .



A deformation of solutions provided by microflexibility

This gives an $\epsilon > 0$ and a family of solutions $f_{p,t}$, $t \in [0, \epsilon]$. $f_{p+\epsilon}$ interpolates between \bar{f}_p and $\bar{f}_{p+\epsilon}$ (see figure). Divide \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} in $1/\epsilon$ intervals, glue them together along the pattern shown on the figure. One gets a 1-dimensional branched manifold, together with a regular horizontal immersion to M which is ϵ -close to l_0 .



A branched horizontal immersion

The higher dimensional case requires more topological formalism, some of it is explained below.

In fact, the technique comes very close to producing global (unbranched) horizontal immersions from honest manifolds. Although this is not needed for our application to dimensions of submanifolds, we shall collect enough material to prove a density property of horizontal immersions when the domain is an open manifold.

6.2 Nonholonomic solutions

Definition 43 Let $F \to V$ be a smooth bundle. A differential equation of order r with unknowns in $C^{\infty}(F)$ should be viewed as a subset \mathcal{R} in the bundle of r-jets of sections of F. Sections of \mathcal{R} are called nonholonomic solutions of the equation.

Example 44 Horizontal immersions $V \to (M, H)$.

This is a first order equation. A 1-jet of map $V \to M$ at $v \in V$ is a pair (m, L)where $m \in M$ and $L: T_v V \to T_m M$ is linear. Then \mathcal{R} is the subset of pairs (m, L)where L is injective with its image contained in H_m .

A nonholonomic solution consists of a continuous map $f : V \to M$ and an injective bundle map $F : TV \to H$ over f, i.e. F maps injectively T_vV to $H_{f(v)}$.

6.3 *h*-principle

We want to study a refinement of the existence problem for solutions of an equation: can one approximate nonholonomic solutions with solutions? Since solutions form a C^r closed set, one cannot require a C^r approximation, but one can still hope for a C^0 approximation. For instance, in the case of horizontal immersions, the data is a bundle map (f_0, F_0) , and one wants a horizontal immersion $f_1: V \to M$ such that f_1 is C^0 close to f_0 .

It turns out to be very fruitful to investigate simultaneously the homotopy type of the space of solutions, i.e. to require the r-jet of the approximating solution to be homotopic to the given nonholonomic solution among nonholonomic solutions.

Definition 45 ([G1] pages 3, 17, 18). Say an equation satisfies the parametric C^{0} dense h-principle (h-principle, for short) if for every nonholonomic solution, there is a C^{0} -small homotopy to a solution (and also familywise).

The h-principle localizes near a compact subset K, and in particular near points.

Proposition 46 Regular horizontal immersions satisfy the h-principle near points.

Proof. This is precisely what Proposition 36 means.■

6.4 *h*-principle as a homotopy theory

The *h*-principle has a relative version for a pair (K, K') ([G1] page 39): say that *h*-principle holds for the pair if for every nonholonomic solution defined near K, which is a solution near K', there is a C^0 -small homotopy to a solution defined near K, where the homotopy is constant near K' (and again one wants this to hold familywise).

Proposition 47 ([G1] pages 40, 42). If the h-principle holds near K' and for the pair (K, K'), then it holds for K.

If the h-principle holds near $K_1 \cap K_2$ and for the pairs $(K_1, K_1 \cap K_2)$ and $(K_2, K_1 \cap K_2)$, then it holds for $K_1 \cup K_2$.

Proof. Start with a nonholonomic solution F_0 defined on a neighborhood U of K. The *h*-principle near K' provides a C^0 -small homotopy F_t defined on a neighborhood U' of K', with $F_1 = j^r f_1$ a solution. Extend F_1 into a nonholonomic solution \tilde{F}_1 defined on U as follows: using a tubular neighborhood of $\partial U'$, write

$$U = U' \cup (\partial U' \times [0,1]) \cup U \setminus U',$$

and set

$$\tilde{F}_1 = F_1 \quad \text{on} \quad U', \\
\tilde{F}_1(u,t) = F_{1-t}(u) \quad \text{on} \quad \partial U' \times [0,1], \\
\tilde{F}_1 = F_0 \quad \text{on} \quad U \setminus U'.$$

The relative *h*-principle finally provides a C^0 -small homotopy of \tilde{F}_1 to a solution defined near K. This works for families as well, thus the *h*-principle holds near K.

In case $K' = K_1 \cap K_2$, the same construction gives a C^0 -small homotopy to a solution defined near $K_1 \cup K_2$, thanks to the extension character of the relative *h*-principle.

Theorem 8 (S. Smale. Taken from [G1] page 42). Assume that

- the h-principle holds for K and K',
- the equation is flexible.

Then the h-principle holds for the pair (K, K').

Proof. Consider the diagram

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \{ {\rm solutions \ near \ K} \} & \rightarrow & \{ {\rm nonholonomic \ solutions \ near \ K} \} \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & , \\ \{ {\rm solutions \ near \ K'} \} & \rightarrow & \{ {\rm nonholonomic \ solutions \ near \ K'} \} \end{array},$

where horizontal arrows are inclusions and vertical arrows restriction maps. Since the *h*-principle holds near K and near K', horizontal arrows are weak homotopy equivalences. Flexibility makes vertical arrows Serre fibrations. From the five lemma applied to the long homotopy exact sequences of these fibrations, we know that the fibers are weakly homotopic. In particular, if a solution near K' admits an extension as a noholonomic solution, it also extends as a solution, and this works for families as well. This is the relative h-principle.

Corollary 48 ([G1] page 42). Assume that

- the h-principle holds near points,
- the equation is invariant under diffeomorphisms of the domain,
- the equation is flexible.

Then the h-principle holds in V.

Proof. Triangulate V. By assumption, the *h*-principle holds in balls embedded in V. Since each simplex has a basis of neighborhoods diffeomorphic to a ball, the *h*-principle holds near simplices. Flexibility and Theorem 8 imply that *h*-principle holds for all pairs. Proposition 47 implies that it passes to unions, i.e. to all of V.

6.5 From microflexibility to flexibility

There remains to establish flexibility for certain equations. It turns out that, for equations which are invariant on reparametrization of the domain, microflexibility implies flexibility in one dimension less.

Theorem 9 ([G1] page 78). Consider an equation on V which is Diff(V)-invariant and microflexible. Then flexibility holds for germs of solutions along any proper sub-manifold.

The proof is sketched in the next paragraph.

Corollary 49 ([G1] page 79). A microflexible Diff(V)-invariant equation which satisfies the h-principle near points satisfies the h-principle on open manifolds.

Indeed, if V is open, there exists a codimension 1 polyhedron V_0 in V and an isotopy which maps every compact subset of V into arbitrarily small neighborhoods of V_0 . According to Theorem 9, microflexibility on V implies flexibility for solutions defined near V_0 , which implies flexibility for all solutions. One concludes with corollary 48.

6.6 Compressibility

For the proof of theorem 9, it is convenient to replace flexibility by the equivalent notion on compressibility.

Definition 50 ([G1] page 80). Given an equation on a manifold V and a compact set $K \subset V$, call deformation on K any curve of solutions f_t , $t \in [a, b]$, defined in a neighborhood of K. Say a deformation f_t on K is compressible if for every sufficiently small neighborhood U of K, it can be extended to a deformation \tilde{f}_t defined on U for $t \in [a, b]$, which is constant (i.e. independent on t) in a neighborhood of ∂U . One also needs a parametric version of this definition, for parametric families of solutions $f_{t,p}$, $p \in P$.



Lemma 51 An equation is flexible if and only if all deformations on all compact sets are compressible.

Proof. Given $K' \subset K$ and a solution near K, a compressible deformation of it on K' trivially extends to K by making it constant outside a neighborhood of K'. Conversely, given a deformation on K and a compact neighborhood U of K, apply flexibility to the pair $K \subset K \cup \partial U$ to obtain an extension which is constant in a neighborhood of ∂U .

In the same way, microflexibility implies that every deformation on K can be compressed into an arbitrary neighborhood U of for some time ϵ depending on the deformation and on U. For equations that are invariant under reparametrizations of the domain, and when enough space is available, one can arrange that ϵ depends on the deformation but not on U.

Lemma 52 ([G1] page 82). Consider an equation on V which is Diff(V)-invariant and microflexible. Let $V_0 \subset V$ be a submanifold of positive codimension. Let $K \subset V_0$ be compact. Let f_t be a deformation on K. There exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(\{f_t\})$ such that for every neighborhood U_0 of K in V_0 , there exists an extension \tilde{f}_t defined on U_0 for $t \in [0, \epsilon]$, which is constant near ∂U_0 .

Proof. Microflexibility applied to $K \subset K \cup \partial U$ yields an extension \overline{f}_t defined on U for $t \in [0, \epsilon]$, $\epsilon = \epsilon(\{f_t\})$, which is constant near ∂U . Here, U is a neighborhood of K in V.

Given a compact neighborhood U_0 of K in V_0 , one can assume that f_t is constant on $V_0 \setminus U_0$ for $t \in [0, \epsilon']$, for some $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ which unfortunately depends on U_0 .

Choose a compact neighborhood L of U_0 in $U \cap V_0$, with smooth boundary. There exists an isotopy δ_t of $V, t \in [0, \epsilon]$, which is constant on a neighborhood of ∂L , such that δ_{ϵ} moves ∂L into the neighborhood of ∂U where \bar{f}_t is always constant. For $t \in [0, \epsilon]$, let



Exploiting an extra dimension to improve compression

This \tilde{f}_t is smooth along ∂L . By Diff(V)-invariance, \tilde{f}_t is a solution. This works more generally for parametric deformations $f_{t,p}, p \in P$.

6.7 Proof of theorem 9

We must show that every deformation $f_t, t \in [0, 1]$, on a compact set K is compressible.

Note that all restrictions of $\{f_t\}$ to smaller intervals [p, 1] belong to a unique deformation, the parametric deformation $f_{t,p}$, $p \in P = [0, 1]$, defined by

$$f_{t,p} = f_{\min\{1,t+p\}}.$$

Apply Lemma 52 to this parametric deformation. This provides a compression time ϵ for all deformations $\{f_t \mid t \in [p, 1]\}$ which depends neither on p nor on a compression neighborhood.

Fix a neighborhood U of K. Compress $\{f_t | t \in [0, \epsilon]\}$ to $\{\tilde{f}_t | t \in [0, \epsilon]\}$ within U. There exists a neighborhood $U' \subset U$ on which \tilde{f}_t coincides with f_t for $t \in [0, \epsilon]$. Compress $\{f_t | t \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon]\}$ to $\{\tilde{f}_t | t \in [\epsilon, 2\epsilon]\}$ within U'. This gives a compression of $\{f_t | t \in [0, 2\epsilon]\}$ within U'. Continue.

6.8 Proof of theorem 6

According to Proposition 28, in case the polyhedron P is an open manifold, Theorem 6 follows from the following statement.

Proposition 53 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. Assume that at some point $m \in M$, there exists a regular isotropic linear subspace $S \subset H_m$ with $\dim(S) = k$. Let f_0 be continuous map of an open k-dimensional manifold W to a sufficiently small neighborhood of m. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a local diffeomorphism $f: W \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k} \to M$ such that all $f_{|W \times \{*\}}$ are horizontal and uniformly ϵ -close to f_0 .

Proof. Consider the equation E whose solutions are foliated regular horizontal immersions, i.e. maps $f: V = W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \to M$ such that $d_{(w,z)}f$ maps T_wW to a regular isotropic subspace in $H_{f(w,z)}$.

The set BijRegIso of bijective linear maps of $\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$ to TM mapping \mathbf{R}^k to a regular isotropic subspace in some H_m is a submanifold, with $(m', S) \to m'$ a submersion. In some neighborhood U of m, one can choose such a bijection $m' \to L(m')$ depending smoothly on m'. Then $F(w, z) = (f_0(w), L \circ f_0(w))$ is a nonholonomic solution of E.

Corollary 39 implies that E is microflexible. Corollary 49 does not apply directly since the equation is not fully Diff(V)-invariant. Nevertheless, all we need is a germ of a solution along the leaf $W \times \{0\}$, so Diff(W)-invariance suffices. This allows to C^0 approximate F with a foliated horizontal immersion.

If P is a closed n-manifold, then the same procedure yields h-principle along the n-1-skeleton of some triangulation. View each n-simplex as a 1-parameter family of n-1-spheres, and apply the strategy described in paragraph 6.1, to produce a global solution branched along finitely many spheres.

In fact, the *h*-principle is not needed for the construction of branched solutions, microflexibility is sufficient. We refer to [G2] page 262 and [G1], page 112.

7 From submanifolds to differential forms

7.1 Horizontal forms

Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. Let $p: M \to \mathbb{R}^q$ be a submersion with horizontal fibers. Let *vol* denote some volume form on \mathbb{R}^q . Then the differential form $\eta = p^* vol$ has the following property : if θ is a 1-form that vanishes on H, then $\theta \wedge \eta = 0$. This suggests the following definition.

Definition 54 Let Ω^* denote the space of differential forms on M. Let $\Theta^* \subset \Omega^*$ denote the ideal of differential forms whose restriction to H vanishes, and A^* its annihilator,

$$A^* = \{ \eta \mid \eta \land \theta = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \theta \in \Theta^* \}.$$

Elements of A^* are called horizontal forms.

Choose locally (globally if H admits a transverse orientation) a smooth n - h-form ϕ which is locally the wedge product of 1-forms from a basis of Θ^1 . Then A^* consists of forms which are multiples of ϕ .

7.2 Existence of closed horizontal forms

k-wealth, which subsumes existence of (at least local) submersions with horizontal fibers, implies abundance of closed horizontal n - k-forms, n = dim(M).

The abundance of closed horizontal n - 1-forms can be seen in a direct manner too.

Proposition 55 ([G2] page 156). On an n-dimensional Carnot manifold, every closed n - 1-form is cohomologous to a (closed) horizontal form.

Proof. The filtration of $H \subset H^2 \subset \cdots \subset TM$ induces a filtration $A^{n-1} = \mathcal{F}^1 \subset \mathcal{F}^2 \subset \cdots \subset \Omega^{n-1}$ as follows : $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^j$ if and only if there exist an *n*-form ω and a vectorfield $Z \subset H^j$ such that $\alpha = \iota_Z \omega$. We show that for all $j \geq 1$, $\mathcal{F}^{j+1} \subset \mathcal{F}^j + im(d)$.

Let ω be an *n*-form and X, Y be vectorfields such that $X \in H, Y \in H^{j}$. Then, using Lie derivatives,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\iota_Y\omega) = \iota_{\mathcal{L}_X(Y)}\omega + \iota_Y(\mathcal{L}_X\omega)$$

= $\iota_{[X,Y]}\omega \mod \mathcal{F}^j.$

Thanks to Cartan's formula,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\iota_Y\omega) = d(\iota_X\iota_Y\omega) + \iota_X d(\iota_Y\omega) \in im(d) + \mathcal{F}^j.$$

Therefore $\iota_{[X,Y]}\omega \in im(d) + \mathcal{F}^j$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^{j+1}$, $\alpha = \iota_Z \omega$ with $Z \in H^{j+1}$. Write $Z = \sum_{\ell} a_{\ell}[X_{\ell}, Y_{\ell}]$ where a_{ℓ} are functions, X_{ℓ} , Y_{ℓ} are vectorfields, $X_{\ell} \in H$, $Y_{\ell} \in H^j$. Then $\alpha = \sum_{\ell} \iota_{[X_{\ell}, Y_{\ell}]} \omega_{\ell}$ (where $\omega_{\ell} = a_{\ell} \omega$), therefore $\alpha \in im(d) + \mathcal{F}^j$. This shows that $\mathcal{F}^{j+1} \subset \mathcal{F}^j + im(d)$.

The bracket generating assumption, $H^r = TM$, implies that $\Omega^{n-1} = \mathcal{F}^r \subset im(d) + \mathcal{F}^1 = im(d) + A^{n-1}$. Given a closed n - 1-form α , the equation

$$d\beta = -\alpha \mod A^{n-1}$$

admits a smooth solution $\beta \in \Omega^{n-2}$. Then $\alpha + d\beta \in A^{n-1}$ is a horizontal form.

7.3 A second proof of the isoperimetric inequality

We prove once more that, for a Carnot group G with Hausdorff dimension Q, bounded domains D with piecewise smooth boundary satisfy

$$vol(D) \leq \text{const.} area(\partial D)^{Q/Q-1}$$

where *volume* (resp. *area*) denotes Q-dimensional (resp. Q - 1-dimensional) spherical Hausdorff measure.

Remark 56 This implies Theorem 5. Indeed, the isoperimetric inequality for piecewise smooth domains always extends (possibly with a loss on constants) to arbitrary open sets.

This second proof, which occupies the 4 next paragraphs, is borrowed from [G2] pages 167-168. It transposes a Euclidean argument which can be found for instance in [S]. It relies on homogeneity, scale invariance, an integration by parts and rearrangement. Horizontal differential forms, which have the right scale invariance under homothetic automorphisms, play a crucial role.

7.4 Fundamental solution of the exterior differential

Lemma 57 Let G be a Carnot group of dimension n and Hausdorff dimension Q, equipped with a left-invariant Carnot-Caratheodory metric. Let $p \in G$. There exists a smooth closed horizontal n - 1-form ω_p on $G \setminus \{p\}$ such that

• If D is a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary and $p \in D$,

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega_p = 1.$$

• Write $\omega_p = \iota_X vol$ for some horizontal vectorfield X. Then

$$|X(q)| \le const. |p-q|^{1-Q}$$

where |p-q| is the Carnot-Caratheodory distance from p to q.

Proof. Let δ_{ϵ} denote the 1-parameter group of homothetic automorphisms of $(G, H = V^1)$ (see Definition 3). Consider the discrete group $Z = \{\delta_{2^n} | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It acts properly discontinuously and cocompactly on $G \setminus \{e\}$, and preserves H. Therefore the quotient space $M = (G \setminus \{e\})/Z$ is a compact Carnot manifold without boundary.

Let $S \subset G$ be a small (Euclidean) sphere centered at e. The map

$$S \times (0, +\infty) \to G \setminus \{e\}, \quad (q, \epsilon) \mapsto \delta_{\epsilon}(q)$$

is a Z-equivariant diffeomorphism, where Z acts trivially on S and multiplicatively on $(0, +\infty)$. Therefore M is diffeomorphic to $S \times S^{n-1}$. The cohomology class c, Poincaré-dual to the homology class of the S^1 factor, is represented by closed differential forms whose integral on the S factor is equal to one. According to Proposition 55, one can choose a horizontal representative α . Our ω_e is the pullback of α under the covering map $\pi : G \setminus \{e\} \to M, \ \omega = \pi^* \alpha$, and ω_p is obtained from ω_e by left translation.

If D is a domain that contains the ball β bounded by S, then, by Stokes theorem,

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega_e - \int_S \omega_e = \int_{D \setminus \beta} d\omega_e = 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\partial D} \omega_e = \int_S \pi^* \alpha$$
$$= \int_{\pi(S)} \alpha$$
$$= 1,$$

by construction.

For $\epsilon = 2^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\delta_{\epsilon}^* \omega_e = \omega_e$. From $\delta_{\epsilon}^* vol = \epsilon^Q vol$, we get $(\delta_{\epsilon})_* X = \epsilon^Q X$, i.e. $X(\delta_{\epsilon}(q)) = \epsilon^{Q-1} X(q)$, since X is horizontal. In particular, the function

$$q \mapsto |q - e|^{Q-1} |X(q)|$$

is invariant under the group Z, descends to a continuous function on the compact manifold M, so is bounded.

7.5 Integration versus area

Lemma 58 Let $\alpha = \iota_X vol$ be a horizontal n - 1-form on G. Let $W \subset G$ be a hypersurface. Then

$$\int_{W} \alpha \leq const. \ \int_{W} |X(q)| \, dq$$

where dq denotes area, i.e. Q - 1-dimensional spherical Hausdorff measure.

Proof. It suffices to verify the inequality for very small pieces of W, like intersections with small balls $B(q, \epsilon)$ centered on W. To save notation, let q = e. By definition of Hausdorff measure,

$$\epsilon^{1-Q} \int_{W \cap B(e,\epsilon)} dq \to 1$$

as ϵ tends to 0. On the other hand,

$$\epsilon^{1-Q} \int_{W \cap B(e,\epsilon)} \alpha = \int_{\delta_{1/\epsilon}(W) \cap B(e,1)} \epsilon^{1-Q} \delta_{\epsilon}^* \alpha$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{V \cap \exp^{-1} B(e,1)} \iota_{X(e)} vol$$

$$= |X(e)| F(V, \frac{X(e)}{|X(e)|}),$$

where V is a hyperplane in the Lie algebra of G that contains $V^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V^r$. Since F is a continuous function on a product of two projective spaces, it is bounded.

7.6 Integration by parts

Let $D \subset G$ be a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary. Then

$$vol(D) = \int_{D} (\int_{\partial D} \omega_{p}) dp$$

$$\leq \int_{D \times \partial D} \text{const.} |p - q|^{1 - Q} dq dp$$

$$= \text{const.} \int_{\partial D} (\int_{D} |p - q|^{1 - Q} dp) dq.$$

7.7 Rearrangement

Let B = B(q, R) be the Carnot ball centered at q such that vol(B) = vol(D). Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{D} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp &= \int_{D \cap B} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp + \int_{D \setminus B} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp \\ &\leq \int_{D \cap B} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp + R^{1-Q} vol(D \setminus B) \\ &= \int_{D \cap B} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp + R^{1-Q} vol(B \setminus D) \\ &\leq \int_{D \cap B} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp + \int_{B \setminus D} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp \\ &= \int_{B} |p-q|^{1-Q} \, dp \\ &= const. \, R \\ &= const. \, vol(D)^{1/Q}. \end{split}$$

Putting things together yields

$$vol(D) \leq \text{const.} vol(D)^{1/Q} area(\partial D),$$

as expected.∎

8 The weight filtration of differential forms

Gromov's integral geometric proof of the isoperimetric inequality in the previous section shows that horizontal n - 1-forms can usefully replace sprays of horizontal curves. In this section, lower degree forms will be used as well in a Hölder exponent estimate as a replacement for foliations by higher dimensional horizontal submanifolds. Horizontal differential forms on a Carnot group are those which are contracted the most under homothetic automorphisms. This leads to the notion of weight. Gromov extracts a metric invariant from such weights. This yields upper bounds for the Hölder exponent $\alpha(M, H)$.

8.1 Weights of differential forms

Let G be a Carnot group with Lie algebra \mathcal{G} . Left-invariant differential forms on G split into homogeneous components under the homothetic automorphisms δ_{ϵ} ,

$$\Lambda^* \mathcal{G}^* = \bigoplus_{w} \Lambda^{*,w} \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda^{*,w} = \{ \alpha \, | \, \delta^*_{\epsilon} \alpha = \epsilon^w \alpha \}.$$

Example 59 If $G = Heis^{2m+1}$ is the Heisenberg group, for each degree $q \neq 0$, 2m+1,

$$\Lambda^q \mathcal{G}^* = \Lambda^{q,q} \oplus \Lambda^{q,q+1},$$

where $\Lambda^{q,q} = \Lambda^q(V^1)^*$ and $\Lambda^{q,q+1} = \Lambda^{q-1}(V^1)^* \otimes (V^2)^*$.

This gradation by weight depends on the group structure. What remains for general Carnot manifolds is a filtration.

Definition 60 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold, $m \in M$. Say a q-form α on T_mM has weight $\geq w$ if it vanishes on q-vectors of $H^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{i_q}$ whenever $i_1 + \cdots + i_q < w$. If (M, H) is equiregular, such forms constitute a subbundle $\Lambda^{q, \geq w}T^*M$. The space of its smooth sections is denoted by $\Omega^{*, \geq w}$.

Note that each $\Omega^{*,\geq w}$ is a differential ideal in Ω^* .

Example 61 Assume (M, H) is equiregular of dimension n and Hausdorff dimension Q. Then a differential q-form on M is horizontal if and only if it has maximal weight, i.e. weight $\geq Q - n + q$.

Lemma 62 Assume (M, H) is equiregular. The graded algebra $\bigoplus_w \Lambda^{*,\geq w}/\Lambda^{*,\geq w+1}$ identifies with the space $\Lambda^* \mathcal{G}_m$ of left invariant differential forms on the tangent Carnot group G_m .

Proof. According to [NSW], the Lie algebra \mathcal{G}_m is the graded space

$$\mathcal{G}_m = gr(H_m^{\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_m^i / H_m^{i-1}$$

associated to the filtration $(H_m^i)_{1 \le i \le r}$ of the tangent space $T_m M$, equipped with a bracket induced by the Lie bracket on vectorfields. Since the filtration of differential forms is defined by duality,

$$gr(\Lambda^{*,\bullet}) = gr(\Lambda^*(H^{\bullet}_m)^*)) = \Lambda^*(gr(H^{\bullet}))^* = \Lambda^*(\mathcal{G}_m)^*.\blacksquare$$

Corollary 63 The values taken by weights for an equiregular Carnot manifold are those of Carnot groups, i.e. integers between 1 and Q.

Definition 64 Let (M, H) be a Carnot manifold. Define the weight invariant $W_q(M, H)$ as the largest w such that there exists arbitrarily small open sets with smooth boundary $U \subset M$ and nonzero classes in $H^q(U, \mathbf{R})$ which can be represented by closed differential forms of weight $\geq w$.

Example 65 By Proposition 55, all Carnot manifolds of dimension n and Hausdorff dimension Q have $W_{n-1} \ge Q - 1$.

8.2 Straight cochains

Following Gromov ([G2], pages 247-249), we define a metric invariant whose behaviour is similar to W_q . The starting point is the following characterization of weight on Carnot groups.

Remark 66 A differential form ω on a Carnot group has weight $\geq w$ if and only if

$$\|\delta^*_{\epsilon}\omega\|_{\infty} \leq \text{const. } \epsilon^w \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon \leq 1.$$

The next idea is to replace differential forms, as a tool for cohomology calculations, by Alexander-Spanier straight cochains, which have the advantage of being functorial under homeomorphisms.

Definition 67 (Alexander-Spanier). Let X be a metric space, and t > 0. A straight q-cochain of size t on X is a bounded function on q + 1-tuples of points of X of diameter less than t. The ϵ -absolute value a straight q-cochain c is its ℓ^{∞} norm as a cochain of size ϵ , i.e.

 $|c|_{\epsilon} = \sup\{|c(\sigma)| | q + 1 - tuples \ \sigma \ of \ diameter \ < \epsilon\}.$

Straight cochains of size t form a complex, since they coincide with simplicial cochains on the simplicial complex X_t with vertex set X, such that q + 1 vertices span a q-simplex if and only if their mutual distances in X are less than t. The simplicial chains on X_t are called straight chains of size t.

If X is a compact manifold with boundary, or biHölder homeomorphic to such, then, for t small enough, straight chains (resp. cochains) of size t compute homology (resp. cohomology). Given a cohomology class κ and a number $\nu > 0$, one can define the ν -norm

 $\|\kappa\|_{\nu} = \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{-\nu} \inf\{|c|_{\epsilon} \mid \text{ cochains } c \text{ of size } \epsilon \text{ representing } \kappa\}.$

The next two propositions provide opposite estimates on norms.

Proposition 68 In a Riemannian manifold with boundary, all straight cocycles c representing a nonzero class κ of degree q satisfy

$$|c|_{\epsilon} \geq const.(\kappa) \epsilon^{q}$$
.

In other words, $\|\kappa\|_q > 0$.

Proof. Fix a cycle c' such that $\kappa(c') > 0$. Subdivide it as follows : fill simplices with geodesic singular simplices, subdivide them and keep only their vertices. This does not change the homology class. The number of simplices of size ϵ thus generated is $\leq \text{const.}(c') \epsilon^{-q}$. For any representative c of size ϵ of κ ,

$$\kappa(c') = c(c') \leq \text{const.} \ \epsilon^{-q} |c|_{\epsilon}.$$

Proposition 69 Let (M, H) be an equiregular Carnot manifold. Let $U \subset M$ be a bounded open set with smooth boundary. Let ω be a closed differential form on U'of weight $\geq w$. Then, for every ϵ small enough, the cohomology class $\kappa \in H^q(U, \mathbf{R})$ of ω can be represented by a straight cocycle c_{ϵ} (maybe defined on a slightly smaller homotopy equivalent open set) such that

$$|c_{\epsilon}|_{\epsilon} \leq const. \epsilon^{w}.$$

In other words, $\|\kappa\|_w < +\infty$.

Proof. In the case of a Carnot group G. Use simultaneously left-invariant Riemannian and Carnot-Caratheodory metrics. Use the exponential map to push affine simplices in the Lie algebra to the group. Fill in all straight simplices in G of unit Carnot-Caratheodory size with such affine singular simplices. Note that the Carnot-Caratheodory diameters and the Riemannian volumes of these singular simplices (C^1 maps of the standard simplex to G) are bounded by some constant V. Then forget th Riemannian metric, apply δ_{ϵ} and obtain a filling σ_{ϵ} for each straight simplex σ in G of Carnot-Caratheodory size ϵ . Let U' be an open set whose closure is contained in U and which is a deformation retract of U. For ϵ small enough, the filling of a straight simplex of size ϵ in U' is contained in U. Define a straight cochain c_{ϵ} of size ϵ on U' by

$$c_{\epsilon}(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma_{\epsilon}} \omega.$$

Since ω is closed, Stokes theorem shows that c_{ϵ} is a cocycle. Its cohomology class in $H^q(U', \mathbf{R}) \simeq H^q(U, \mathbf{R})$ is the same as ω 's. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{\epsilon}(\sigma)| &= \int_{\sigma_{1}} \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \omega \\ &\leq V \|\delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \omega\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \text{ const.}(\omega) \, \epsilon^{w}. \end{aligned}$$

8.3 The metric weight invariant

Here is the promised metric analogue for W_q .

Definition 70 Let X be a metric space. Define $MW_q(X)$ as the supremum of numbers ν such that there exist arbitrarily small open sets $U \subset M$ and nonzero straight cohomology classes $\kappa \in H^q(U, \mathbf{R})$ with finite ν -norm $\|\kappa\|_{\nu} < +\infty$.

Example 71 Proposition 68 shows that Euclidean space has $MW_q \leq q$. Proposition 69 shows that equiregular Carnot manifolds satisfy $MW_q \geq W_q$.

Proposition 72 Let $f : X \to Y$ be a C^{α} -Hölder continuous homeomorphism. Let $\kappa \in H^q(Y, \mathbf{R})$. Then

$$\|\kappa\|_{\nu} < +\infty \Rightarrow \|f^*\kappa\|_{\nu\alpha} < +\infty.$$

In particular, $MW_q(X) \ge \alpha MW_q(Y)$.

Proof. If σ is a straight simplex of size ϵ in X, $f(\sigma)$ has size $\epsilon' \leq ||f||_{C^{\alpha}} \epsilon^{\alpha}$ in Y. If c is a representative of κ , f^*c is a representative of $f^*\kappa$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon'^{-\nu} |c|_{\epsilon'} &\geq \epsilon'^{-\nu} |c(f(\sigma))| \\ &= \epsilon'^{-\nu} |f^* c(\sigma)| \\ &\geq \|f\|_{C^{\alpha}}^{-\nu} \epsilon^{-\nu\alpha} |f^* c(\sigma)| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\epsilon^{-\nu\alpha} |f^*c|_{\epsilon} \le ||f||_{C^{\alpha}}^{\nu} \epsilon'^{-\nu} |c|_{\epsilon'}.$$

This leads to

$$\|f^*\kappa\|_{\nu\alpha} \le \|f\|_{C^{\alpha}}^{\nu} \|\kappa\|_{\nu}.$$

Corollary 73 Let (M, H) be an equiregular Carnot manifold. Then for all $q = 1, \ldots, n-1$,

$$\alpha(M,H) \le \frac{q}{W_q(M,H)}$$

9 Complexes of differential forms

We want that every closed form be cohomologous to another one of high weight. In other words, we need to compute cohomology with a subcomplex of differential forms of rather high weights. Such complexes have been discovered by M. Rumin, first for Heisenberg groups, [R1], later on for general Carnot groups, [R2], and equihomological equiregular Carnot manifolds, [R5].

9.1 Rumin's contact complex

We begin with the special case of contact manifolds.

Definition 74 Let (M, H) be a contact manifold, i.e. $H = ker(\theta)$ where θ is an ordinary 1-form, and $d\theta_{|H}$ is symplectic. Let

$$I^* = \{ \alpha \land \theta + \beta \land d\theta \, | \, \alpha, \, \beta \in \Omega^* \}$$

denote the differential ideal generated by θ . Let

$$J^* = \{ \eta \in \Omega^* \mid \eta \land \gamma = 0 \quad for \ all \quad \gamma \in I^* \}$$

denote its annihilator.

Let us denote by $d^H: I^* \to I^*$ (resp. $d_H: J^* \to J^*$) the operators induced by the exterior differential.

Theorem 10 (M. Rumin, [R1]). Let (M, H) be a 2m+1-dimensional contact manifold. There exists a second order differential operator $D: \Omega^m/I^m \to J^{m+1}$ such that the complex

$$0 \to \Omega^1 / I^1 \xrightarrow{d^H} \cdots \xrightarrow{d^H} \Omega^m / I^m \xrightarrow{D} J^{m+1} \xrightarrow{d_H} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_H} J^{2m+1} \to 0$$

is homotopy equivalent to the de Rham complex. In particular, it computes the cohomology of M.

Proof. Start with the 3-dimensional case. View elements η of the quotient space Ω^1/I^1 as partially defined 1-form (i.e. sections of the dual bundle H^*). When is such a partial form the restriction of the differential of a function? There should exist an extension α of η in the missing direction Z which is a closed 1-form. Write Z = [X, Y] where X and Y are horizontal vectorfields. Since we want in particular that $d\alpha(X, Y) = 0$, there is only one choice for $\alpha(Z)$,

$$\alpha(Z) = \alpha([X, Y]) = X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X).$$

Thus the necessary condition for η to be a $d^H u$ is $d\alpha = 0$. Since α depends on first derivatives of η , $D\eta = d\alpha$ depends on second derivatives. By construction, $D\eta(X,Y) = 0$, i.e. the 2-form $D\eta$ is horizontal, $D\eta \in J^2$.

Locally, a closed form is exact, so $D\eta = 0$ implies $\eta = d^H u$ locally. If γ is a closed horizontal 2-form, then locally $\gamma = d\alpha = D(\alpha_{|H})$. In other words, the sequence of differential operators

$$\Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d^H} \Omega^1 / I^1 \xrightarrow{D} J^2 \xrightarrow{d_H} J^3,$$

called the *Rumin complex*, is locally exact. Therefore, globally, it is as good as the full de Rham complex for cohomology calculations. A homotopy equivalence is a sharper way to express this. A homotopy equivalence of complexes C^* and D^* is a pair of maps $h: C^* \to D^*$ and $h': D^* \to C^*$ satisfying of course dh = hd, dh' = h'd, such that there exist $B: C^* \to C^*$ and $B': D^* \to D^*$ such that h'h = 1 - dB - Bd, hh' = 1 - dB' - B'd. The Rumin complex trivially injects into the de Rham complex, except in degree 1. In that degree, we set $h(\eta) = \alpha$, the unique extension just described. Conversely, the de Rham complex trivially maps to our complex except in degree 2. If α is a 1-form, $(hh'-1)(\alpha)(Z) = X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X) - \alpha([X,Y]) = d\alpha(X,Y)$. Thus, assuming that $\theta(Z) = 1$, we define $B': \Omega^2 \to \Omega^1$ by $B'(\gamma) = -\gamma(X,Y)\theta$, and decide that B' = 0 in other degrees. If γ is a 2-form, the wished identity $(hh' - 1)(\gamma) = -dB'(\gamma)$ suggests to define $h'(\gamma) = \gamma + d(\gamma(X,Y)\theta)$, which indeed belongs to J^2 . With this choice, hh' = 1 - dB' - B'd. On the Rumin complex, one simply takes B = 0. Since h'h = 1, everything fits nicely.

The higher dimensional case requires more care, and we only explain the construction of D, referring to Rumin's original paper for the homotopy equivalence. Let $\eta \in \Omega^m$. What is the condition for $\eta \mod I^m$ to be in the image of d^H : $\Omega^{m-1}/I^{m-1} \to \Omega^m/I^m$? There should exist $\gamma = \alpha \land \theta + \beta \land d\theta \in I^m$ such that $d(\eta + \gamma) = 0$. Note that

$$d\gamma = d(\alpha \wedge \theta) + d\beta \wedge d\theta = d((\alpha + (-1)^m d\beta) \wedge \theta),$$

so that β does not bring anything new. Compute

$$d(\eta + \alpha \wedge \theta) = d\eta + d\alpha \wedge \theta + (-1)^{m-1}\alpha \wedge d\theta.$$

Since $d\theta_{|H}$ is symplectic, wedging with $d\theta_{|H} : \Lambda^{m-1}H^* \to \Lambda^{m+1}H^*$ is a bijection. Therefore there is a unique $\alpha_{|H}$ (and therefore a unique $\alpha \land \theta$) such that

$$\alpha_{|H} \wedge d\theta_{|H} = -d\eta_{|H}.$$

Let us denote $d(\eta + \alpha \wedge \theta)$ by $D\eta$. By construction, $D\eta_{|H} = 0$, thus $D\eta \wedge \theta = 0$. Furthermore, there exists a form κ such that $D\eta = \kappa \wedge \theta$. Then $0 = d(D\eta) = d\kappa \wedge \theta + (-1)^m \kappa \wedge d\theta$, showing that $\kappa_{|H} \wedge d\theta_{|H} = 0$. This implies that $D\eta \wedge d\theta = 0$, i.e. $D\eta \in J^{m+1}$. Thus D connects the complexes $d^H : I^* \to I^*$ and $d_H : J^* \to J^*$ into a single complex.

Corollary 75 If (M, H) is a 2m+1-dimensional contact manifold, then $W_q(M, H) \ge q+1$ for all $q \ge m+1$.

Remark 76 Rumin's contact complex does not involve any arbitrary choices, it is invariant under all contactomorphisms. However, the maps h' and B' depend on the choice of a contact form θ or a complement $Z, Z \oplus H = TM$.

9.2 The weight preserving part of d

The fact that B = 0 in Rumin's contact complex suggests to view it as the subcomplex im(h) in the de Rham complex, and h' as a retraction. In spite of its cost (loss of invariance), this point of view opens the way to generalization.

We are looking for a retraction r = 1 - dB' - B'd of the de Rham complex, onto forms of high weight. In other words, we want r to kill low weight components of forms. But B' inverts the exterior differential, inasmuch as possible. Thus B' should especially invert d on low weights. How does d behave with weights ?

Lemma 77 Each $\Omega^{*,\geq w}$ is a differential ideal in Ω^* . The operator d^0 induced on

$$C^{\infty}(\bigoplus_{w} \Lambda^{*,\geq w}/\Lambda^{*,\geq w+1}) = \bigoplus_{w} \Omega^{*,\geq w}/\Omega^{*,\geq w+1}$$

from the exterior differential on Ω^* is algebraic (it does not depend on derivatives), and acts fiberwise as the exterior differential on left-invariant forms.

Proof. If ω is a left invariant form of weight $\geq w$ and f a function, $df \wedge \omega$ has weight $\geq w + 1$, so $d^0(f\omega) = fd^0\omega$, and d^0 is algebraic. The pointwise computation of d^0 is done in the Carnot group case. There, since d commutes with pull-back under homothetic automorphisms, if ϕ is a left-invariant homogeneous differential form of weight w, so is $d\phi$. This shows that $d^0 = d$ on left invariant forms.

Definition 78 On the tangent Carnot Lie algebra \mathcal{G}_m , d^0 commutes with the homothetic automorphisms δ_{ϵ} , thus its cohomology is graded by degree and weight,

$$H^*(\mathcal{G}_m, \mathbf{R}) = \bigoplus_{q, w} H^{q, w}(\mathcal{G}_m, \mathbf{R})$$

Example 79 Cohomology of the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H}^{2m+1} .

Choose θ in $(V^2)^*$. Every $\alpha \in \Lambda^* \mathcal{G}^*$ can be uniquely written $\alpha = \eta + \theta \wedge \beta$ with η , $\beta \in \Lambda^* (V^1)^*$. Then

$$d^0\alpha = d\theta \wedge \beta =: L\beta,$$

where $d\theta$ is a symplectic form on V^1 . Thus cohomology splits into $H^{q,q+1} = \theta \wedge ker(L)$ and $H^{q,q} = \Lambda^q(V^1)^*/im(L)$.

9.3 Rumin's complex for equihomological Carnot manifolds

Lemma 77 suggests that B' should be an inverse of d^0 . This operator is defined on a quotient $\bigoplus_w \Omega^{*,\geq w}/\Omega^{*,\geq w+1}$. If (M, H) is equiregular, choices of complements V^k of H^{k-1} in H^k allow a lift of d^0 to an operator on differential forms.

Example 80 Let (M, H) be a contact manifold. A choice of contact form θ determines a complement $V^2 = \ker(d\theta)$. Every differential form can be uniquely written $\alpha = \eta + \theta \wedge \beta$ with $\iota_{V^2}\eta = \iota_{V^2}\beta = 0$. Then

$$d^0 \alpha = d\theta \wedge \beta.$$

Definition 81 Say an equiregular Carnot manifold is equihomological if the dimensions of the cohomology spaces of tangent Lie algebras and of their weight filtrations are constant.

When this is the case (for instance, for Carnot groups), one can smoothly choose complements

- V_j of H^{j-1} in H^j ,
- F of $ker(d^0)$ in Λ^*T^*M ,
- E of $im(d^0)$ in ker (d_0) .

The choice of V_j allows to view d^0 as acting on forms (and not on some quotient space) and so the other choices make sense in turn.

This determines an inverse $(d^0)^{-1}$, with kernel E + F and image F. Set

$$r = 1 - d(d^0)^{-1} - (d^0)^{-1}d.$$

r is a first order differential operator, compatible with weight filtrations.

Theorem 11 (M. Rumin, [R2]). Let (M, H) be an equihomological equiregular Carnot manifold. The iterates r^j stabilize to a projector p of Ω^* , with image the subcomplex

$$\mathcal{E} = ker((d^0)^{-1}) \cap ker((d^0)^{-1}d) = \{\eta \in C^{\infty}(E \oplus F) \,|\, d\eta \in C^{\infty}(E \oplus F)\}.$$

Furthermore, if π denotes the projector onto E with kernel $im(d^0) \oplus F$, then, on \mathcal{E} , $p \circ \pi = identity$.

Proof. See [R2].■

Corollary 82 Let (M, H) be an equihomological equiregular Carnot manifold. Assume that there exists a point $m \in M$ such that, in the cohomology of the tangent Lie algebra \mathcal{G}_m , $H^{q,w'}(\mathcal{G}_m, \mathbf{R}) = 0$ for all w' < w. Then $W_q(M, H) \ge w$, and, as a consequence, $\alpha(M, H) \le q/w$.

Proof. The vanishing of $H^{q,w'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{R})$ is an open condition on a Lie algebra. Therefore the assumptions are satisfied in a neighborhood of m. On this neighborhood, by equihomologicality, $\dim(\bigoplus_{w'\geq w} H^{q,w'}(\mathcal{G}_{m'}, \mathbf{R}))$ is constant, thus one can choose a smooth complement E^q of $im(d^0) \cap \Lambda^{q,\geq w}$ in $ker(d^0) \cap \Lambda^{q,\geq w}$, complete it into a complement E of $im(d^0)$ in $ker(d^0)$ and pick a smooth complement F of $ker(d^0)$ in Λ^*TM . Let U be some smaller neighborhood of m with smooth boundary, such that $H^q(U, \mathbf{R}) \neq 0$. Let κ be a nonzero class in $H^q(U, \mathbf{R})$. By Theorem 11, κ contains a closed form ω which belongs pointwise to $E \oplus F$. Thus $\pi\omega \in E^q$ has weight $\geq w$. Since p is weight-preserving, $\omega = p \circ \pi(\omega)$ has weight $\geq w$ too. This shows that $W_q(M, H) \geq w$. The conclusion $\alpha(M, H) \leq q/w$ then follows from Corollary 73.

9.4 Duality

The weight gradation of Lie algebra cohomology is invariant under Poincaré duality. This is useful for calculating examples.

Proposition 83 Let G be a Carnot group with dimension n and Hausdorff dimension Q. Then, in the cohomology of its Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , $H^{q,w}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to $H^{n-q,Q-w}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{R})$.

Proof. Choose a Euclidean structure on \mathcal{G} which makes all V^j orthogonal. Observe that the corresponding Hodge *-operator maps $\Lambda^{q,w}$ to $\Lambda^{n-q,Q-w}$. The adjoint δ^0 of d^0 is given by $\delta^0 = \pm * d^0 *$. Choose

E = orthogonal complement of $im(d^0)$ in $ker(d^0) = ker(\delta^0) \cap ker(d^0)$.

Then E is graded, and $E^{q,w}$ maps isomorphicly to $H^{q,w}$ in cohomology. Since $*E^{q,w} = E^{n-q,Q-w}$, the conclusion follows.

9.5 Examples

Degree n-1. On any Carnot group, the space of closed invariant 1-forms is $(V^1)^* = \Lambda^{1,1}$, thus $H^{1,w}(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ for w > 1. Proposition 83 implies that $H^{n-1,w}(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ for w < Q - 1, and Corollary 82 gives $W_{n-1}(G) \ge Q - 1$. We already knew this from Proposition 55.

Contact case. On a symplectic 2*m*-space, *L* is injective in degrees $\leq m - 1$ and surjective onto degrees $\geq m + 1$. Therefore, following Example 79, for 2m + 1dimensional contact manifolds, $H^{q,q} = 0$ for $q \geq m + 1$. This implies $W_q(M, H) \geq$ q + 1 for $q \geq m + 1$, a fact we already knew from Corollary 75.

Quaternionic contact case. The quaternionic Heisenberg Lie algebra is $\mathcal{G} = V^1 \oplus V^2$ where $V^1 = \mathbf{H}^m$, $V^2 = \Im m(\mathbf{H})$ and for $X, Y \in V^1$, $[X, Y] = \Im m\langle X, Y \rangle$. The group Sp(n)Sp(1) acts by automorphisms on \mathcal{G} , and

$$\Lambda^{2,*} = \Lambda^{2,2} \oplus \Lambda^{2,3} \oplus \Lambda^{2,4}$$

is a decomposition into irreducible summands. d^0 does not vanish identicly on $\Lambda^{2,3}$ or on $\Lambda^{2,4}$. Therefore d^0 is injective on these subspaces, and $H^{2,3}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{R}) = H^{2,4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{R}) = 0$. This implies $W_{n-2}(G) \ge Q - 2 = 4m + 4$.

Rank 2 distributions. If \mathcal{G} is a Carnot Lie algebra with $dim(V^1) = 2$, then $V^2 = [V^1, V^1]$ is 1-dimensional and $[,] : \Lambda^2(V^1) \to V^2$ is injective. Its adjoint $d^0 : \Lambda^{1,2} = (V^2)^* = \Lambda^2(V^1)^* = \Lambda^{2,2}$ is onto, and $H^{2,2} = 0$. Furthermore, if $dim(V^3) \neq 1, [,] : V^1 \otimes V^2 \to V^3$ is injective. Its adjoint $d^0 : \Lambda^{1,3} = (V^3)^* \to (V^1)^* \otimes (V^2)^* = \Lambda^{2,3}$ is onto, and $H^{2,3} = 0$. Hence, for any equihomological equiregular Carnot manifold (M, H) with $dim(H) = 2, W_2(M, H) \geq 3, \alpha(M, H) \leq 2/3$. If furthermore $dim(H^3) \geq 5$, then $W_2(M, H) \geq 4, \alpha(M, H) \leq 1/2$. Note that this bound is always worse that what is obtained when considering n - 1-forms.

9.6 Back to regular isotropic subspaces

Proposition 84 Let (M, H) be an equiregular Carnot manifold. If H contains a regular isotropic horizontal k-plane at some point m, then $H^{k,w}(\mathcal{G}_m, \mathbf{R}) = 0$ for all $w \ge k+1$. If (M, H) is furthermore equihomological, it follows that $W_{n-k}(M, H) \ge Q - k$ and $\alpha(M, H) \le \frac{n-k}{Q-k}$.

Proof. If $S \subset H_m$ is regular isotropic, then S viewed as a subspace in $V^1 \subset \mathcal{G}_m$ is regular isotropic for G_m as well. Thus is the sequel, $M = G_m$ is a Carnot group, $H = V^1$ is defined by a left invariant \mathbf{R}^{n-h} -valued 1-form $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{n-h})$.

Let $w \ge k+1$ and $\omega \in ker(d^0) \cap \Lambda^{k,w}$. Then there exists an \mathbb{R}^{n-h} -valued k-1-form $\eta = (\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n-h})$ such that

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n-h} \theta_i \wedge \eta_i = \theta \wedge \eta.$$

Then

$$(d^0\omega)_{|V^1} = (d^0\theta)_{|V^1} \wedge \eta_{|V^1}.$$

Since S is isotropic, for $X \in V^1$,

$$(\iota_X d^0 \omega)_{|S} = (\iota_X d^0 \theta)_{|S} \wedge \eta_{|S}.$$

Choose a Euclidean structure on S and use its Hodge * operator. By regularity, one can choose a vector $X \in V^1$ such that $(\iota_X d^0 \theta)_{|S} = *(\eta_{|S})$. Then

$$(\iota_X d^0 \omega)_{|S} = *(\eta_{|S}) \wedge \eta_{|S}$$

is nonnegative. Since $d^0\omega = 0$, this implies $\eta_{|S|} = 0$. Since the variety of isotropic k-1-subspaces is smooth, the linear span of the set of decomposable k-1-vectors associated to isotropic subspaces is all of $\Lambda^{k-1}V^1$, so $\eta_{|V^1|} = 0$ and $\omega = 0$. One concludes that $ker(d^0) \cap \Lambda^{k,w} = 0$. In particular, $H^{k,w}(\mathcal{G}_m, \mathbf{R}) = 0$.

By Poincaré duality (Proposition 83), $H^{n-k,w}(\mathcal{G}_m, \mathbf{R}) = 0$ for all w < Q-k. The conclusion follows from Corollary 82.

Remark 85 According to Proposition 28, Proposition 84 applies to generic h-dimensional distributions on n-dimensional manifolds, provided $h - k \ge (n - h)k$.

10 Conclusion

Concerning the Hölder equivalence problem, the direct approach using differential forms seems to cover all results obtained by the horizontal submanifold method, and has a wider scope (see [R5]). Nevertheless, the bounds obtained are never sharp, even in the case of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. New ideas are needed.

References

- [AG] S. ALINHAC, P. GERARD, Oprateurs pseudodiffrentiels et thorme de Nash-Moser. Savoirs actuels. Interditions/Editions du CNRS, Paris (1991).
- [C] W.L. CHOW, Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. Math. Annalen 117, 98 – 105 (1939).
- [G1] M. GROMOV, Partial differential relations. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge, Bd. 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin. (1986).
- [G2] M. GROMOV, Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within. A. Bellaïche (ed.) et al., Sub-Riemannian geometry. Proceedings of the satellite meeting of the 1st European congress of mathematics 'Journées nonholonomes: géométrie sous-riemannienne, théorie du contrôle, robotique', Paris, France, June 30–July 1, 1992. Prog. Math. 144, Birkhäuser, Basel 79 – 323 (1996).

- [G3] M. GROMOV, Oka's principle for holonomic sections of elliptic bundles. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 2, 851 – 897 (1989).
- [HR] F. HELEIN, M. ROMON, Hamiltonian stationary tori in the complex projective plane. Proc. London Math. Soc. 90 472 – 496 (2005).
- [IV] D. ISANGULOVA, S. VODOPYANOV, Differentiability of mappings of Carnot-Caratheodory spaces in the Sobolev and BV topologies. Doklady Akad. Nauk 401, 295 – 300 (2005).
- [J] M. JANET, Sur la possibilité de plonger un espace riemannien donné dans un espace euclidien. Ann. Soc. Pol. Math. 5, 38 – 43 (1926).
- [M] J. MITCHELL, On Carnot-Caratheodory metrics. J. Differen. Geom. 21, 35–45 (1985).
- [MM1] G.A. MARGULIS, G.D. MOSTOW, The differential of a quasi-conformal mapping of a Carnot-Carathéodory space. Geom. Funct. Anal. 5, 402–433 (1995).
- [MM2] G.A. MARGULIS, G.D. MOSTOW, Some remarks on the definition of tangent cones in a Carnot-Carathéodory space. J. Anal. Math. 80, 299 – 317 (2000).
- [N] J. NASH, The embedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. Ann. of Math. 84, 20-63 (1956).
- [Na] J.-I. NAGATA, Modern dimension theory. Biblioteca Mathematica. Vol. 6. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1965).
- [NSW] A. NAGEL, E. STEIN, S. WAINGER, Balls and metrics defined by vectorfields I : basic properties. Acta Math. 155, 103 – 147 (1985).
- [P1] P. PANSU, Une inégalité isopérimétrique sur le groupe d'Heisenberg. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 295, 127 – 131 (1982).
- [P2] P. PANSU, Métriques de Carnot-Caratheodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un. Annals of Math. 129, 1 – 61 (1989).
- [Ra] P.K. RASHEVSKI, About connecting two points of complete nonholonomic space by admissible curve. Uch. Zapiski ped. Inst. Libknexta 2, 83 – 94 (1938).
- [R1] M. RUMIN, Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact. J. Differ. Geom. 39, 281 – 330 (1994).
- [R2] M. RUMIN, Differential geometry on C-C spaces and application to the Novikov-Shubin numbers of nilpotent Lie groups. C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I, Math. **329**, 985 – 990 (1999).
- [R3] M. RUMIN, Sub-Riemannian limit of the differential form spectrum of contact manifolds. Geom. Funct. Anal. 10, 407 – 452 (2000).

- [R4] M. RUMIN, Around heat decay on forms and relations of nilpotent Lie groups. Seminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie 2000-2001. Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier. 19, 123 – 164 (2001).
- [R5] M. RUMIN, An introduction to spectral and differential geometry in Carnot-Caratheodory spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II, Suppl. 75, 139 – 196 (2005).
- [S] L. SANTALÒ, Integral geometry and geometric probability. 2nd edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [SW] R. SCHOEN, J. WOLFSON, Minimizing area among Lagrangian surfaces: the mapping problem. J. Differen. Geom. 58, 1-86 (2001).
- [V] N. VAROPOULOS, Théorie du potentiel sur les groupes nilpotents. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I 301, 143 – 144 (1985).
- [Vo] S. VODOPYANOV, *P*-Differentiability on Carnot groups in different topologies and related topics. S. Vodop'yanov (ed.), Proceedings on analysis and geometry. International conference in honor of the 70th birthday of Professor Yu. G. Reshetnyak, Novosibirsk, Russia, August 30-September 3, 1999. Izdatel'stvo Instituta Matematiki Im. S. L. Soboleva SO RAN, Novosibirsk. 603 670 (2000).

Pierre Pansu Laboratoire de Mathématique d'Orsay UMR 8628 du C.N.R.S. Bâtiment 425 Université Paris-Sud - 91405 Orsay (France) Pierre.Pansu@math.u-psud.fr http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~pansu