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# Modeling of an Unmanned Hybrid Aerial Vehicle 

Guillaume Ducard ${ }^{1}$ and Minh-Duc Hua ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

In this paper, the mathematical modeling of a hybrid aerial vehicle is presented. This vehicle is a combination of a fixed-wing aircraft and a multirotor helicopter. This vehicle is thus capable of vertical take off and landing (VTOL) and of cruising forward flight. The thrust is generated by 4 propellers which can pivot and thus the direction of thrust is controllable. This paper details the nonlinear dynamics of the aircraft, including major aerodynamics effects and thrust-tilting effects, resulting in a realistic simulator for control design and validation.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) have become very popular for military and civil applications, but also for academic research. These vehicles belong to either the rotary-wing or the fixed-wing categories. In the first category, like standard helicopters, weight is compensated by the thrust induced by the propellers. In the second category, like standard airplanes weight is compensated by the lift force induced by the air flux on the wing(s) resulting from the vehicle's airspeed. The main advantage of rotary-wing vehicles is their ability to take-off vertically and perform hovering, which is very useful for many inspection and surveillance applications and when confined environment requires to take-off vertically. A limitation of rotary-wing vehicles, however, is their modest energy efficiency compared to conventional aircrafts. In the last few years, there has been an increased interest in a third category of vehicles, which tries to combine advantages of both rotary-wing and fixed-wing vehicles. These so-called "convertible UAVs" are typically composed of propellers allowing for vertical take-off and wings for energy efficiency in cruising flight [5], [12], [1], [7].

In this paper, a novel "convertible" design constructed by Wingcopter is presented, which is a combination of a fixed-wing aircraft and a quadrotor helicopter. In addition, the thrust generated by the 4 propellers can be rotated two-by-two simultaneously and, thus, the thrust direction can be monitored. This tilting mechanism is different from the one of twin-rotors [6] or other tilting multi-rotors [9], [3], [2], and constitutes the major novelty of this design. This paper aims at modeling the most relevant nonlinear dynamics, including major aerodynamics effects and thrusttilting effects, resulting in a realistic simulator for control design and validation.
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Fig. 1. Wingcopter, coordinates systems and some notations


Fig. 2. Wingcopter side view, with propellers tilted by an angle $\chi=\frac{\pi}{2}$

## II. VEHICLE DESCRIPTION AND DYNAMICS

The vehicle is sketched in Figs. 1 and 2. This hybrid flying machine is designed on the basis of a fixed-wing aircraft. On each wing, a pair of propellers is mounted with a mechanism that enables to tilt simultaneously the propellers of one pair around the $y_{b}$ axis by an angle $\chi \in[0, \pi / 2]$, as shown in Fig. 2. The angle corresponding to the right (resp. left) pair of propellers is denoted $\chi_{R}$ (resp. $\chi_{L}$ ). Therefore, it is possible to control the direction of the total thrust generated by the 4 propellers about the $y_{b}$ axis. In addition, alike classical quadrotor UAVs, it is also possible to monitor the control torque vector by controlling the 4 propellers' speed, in addition to aerodynamic torques from control surfaces. The vehicle is equipped with 4 control surfaces, namely an aileron on each wing with a deflection $\delta_{a 1}$ and $\delta_{a 2}$, respectively, an elevator with a deflection $\delta_{e}$, and two rudders with deflection angles $\delta_{r 1}$ and $\delta_{r 2}$, respectively. The airspeed vector $V_{a}$ makes an angle $\beta$ with the plane $\left(x_{b}, z_{b}\right)$, which is also known as the sideslip angle. The angle of attack is $\alpha$ as shown in Fig. 1.

## A. Attitude Representation

Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\{0 ; x_{I}, y_{I}, z_{I}\right\}$ denotes an inertial frame with $z_{I}$ pointing downward, which is consistent with the common use of NED (North-East-Down) frames in aeronautics. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{G ; x_{b}, y_{b}, z_{b}\right\}$ denotes a body frame, with $G$ the vehicle's center of mass. The orientation of the aircraft bodyfixed frame $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the inertial frame $\mathcal{I}$ can be represented by a rotation matrix $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}}$, which can also be related to a quaternion representation $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}}=\left[q_{0}, \boldsymbol{q}_{v}\right]^{\top}$ using the Rodrigues' rotation formula: $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}}=\boldsymbol{I}_{3}+2 q_{0} \boldsymbol{q}_{v \times}+2\left(\boldsymbol{q}_{v \times}\right)^{2}$, with $\boldsymbol{I}_{3}$ the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix, and $(\cdot)_{\times}$denoting the skew-symmetric matrix associated with the cross product, i.e. $\boldsymbol{u}_{\times} \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{u}_{\times} \boldsymbol{v}, \forall \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## B. Dynamics of the Center of Gravity

The differential equations of the nonlinear six degree-offreedom aircraft model are summarized as below. First, the position vector $\boldsymbol{p}$ between a reference point in the inertial frame (attached to ground) and the center of mass $G$ of the aircraft, has the coordinates $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathcal{I}}$ in the inertial frame, and the coordinates $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathcal{B}}$ in the aircraft body-fixed frame. The relationship between these coordinates is $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathcal{I}}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \boldsymbol{p}^{\mathcal{B}}$. Second, the coordinates of the inertial velocity vector are $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{I}}$, and in the body frame they are $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{B}}$, with the relationship $\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{I}}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{B}}$. The vehicle dynamics in the body frame are expressed as: $\ddot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{B}}=-\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{B}}+g \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{3}}+\frac{1}{m}\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{F}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)$, with $m$ the vehicle's mass, $\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{3}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\top}, \boldsymbol{F}_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}$ the total force induced by the 4 propellers/rotors systems, $\boldsymbol{F}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}$ the total aerodynamic forces, and $\boldsymbol{\omega}:=\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathcal{B} / \mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}}=[p, q, r]^{\top}$ the body-rotation rates vector.

## C. Attitude Dynamics

The attitude of the aircraft is described by either

1) the direction cosine matrix $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{I}}$, whose dynamics are $\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\times}$.
2) or the quaternion $\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}}$, whose dynamics are given by

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\top} \\
\boldsymbol{\omega} & -\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\times}
\end{array}\right] \boldsymbol{q}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}} .
$$

## D. Turn-rates Dynamics

The dynamics of the aircraft turn-rates, expressed in the body frame, are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{I}^{\mathcal{B}} \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}}=-\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\times} \boldsymbol{I}^{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{\omega}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $I^{\mathcal{B}}$ the vehicle's inertial matrix, $\Gamma_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}$ the total torque generated by the 4 propellers/rotors systems, and $\Gamma_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}$ the total aerodynamic torque.

## III. Tilting Mechanism and Propellers/Rotors Systems

A. Propeller Forces and torques modelling for simulation

Each propeller $i=1, \cdots, 4$ generates a thrust force vector expressed in the body frame

$$
\boldsymbol{T}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}=T_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}=-T_{i} \mathbf{R}_{\chi_{i}} \mathbf{e}_{3}=T_{i}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sin \chi_{i}  \tag{2}\\
0 \\
-\cos \chi_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with the rotor-tilting angle $\chi_{i}$ belonging to the range $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and increasing when turning about the axis $\left(-\boldsymbol{y}_{b}\right)$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \chi_{i} & 0 & -\sin \chi_{i} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin \chi_{i} & 0 & \cos \chi_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

One notes that $\chi_{1}=\chi_{2}=\chi_{R}$ and $\chi_{3}=\chi_{4}=\chi_{L}$.
The relative position of the four rotors' center with respect to the vehicle's CoM, expressed in the body frame, are given by $\boldsymbol{d}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}=\boldsymbol{d}_{i}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}_{e i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, 4$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{d}_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-l_{0} & L_{0} & -h_{0}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}, & \boldsymbol{d}_{e 1} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-l_{1} & 0 & -h_{1}
\end{array}\right]^{\top} \\
\boldsymbol{d}_{2} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l_{0} & L_{0} & -h_{0}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}, & \boldsymbol{d}_{e 2} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l_{1} & 0 & -h_{1}
\end{array}\right]^{\top} \\
\boldsymbol{d}_{3} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l_{0} & -L_{0} & -h_{0}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}, & \boldsymbol{d}_{e 3} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l_{1} & 0 & -h_{1}
\end{array}\right]^{\top} \\
\boldsymbol{d}_{4} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
-l_{0} & -L_{0} & -h_{0}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}, & \boldsymbol{d}_{e 4} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
-l_{1} & 0 & -h_{1}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the position of the i-th rotor's center, expressed in the inertial frame, satisfies $\boldsymbol{p}_{r i}^{\mathcal{I}}=\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathcal{I}}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \boldsymbol{d}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, 4$ the differentiation of which yields

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}_{r i}^{\mathcal{I}}=\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{I}}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\times} \boldsymbol{d}_{i}+\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{I}}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}+\dot{\chi}_{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}\right)_{\times} \boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}_{e i}
$$

As a consequence, one can verify that the velocity of the i-th rotor's center, expressed in the i-th rotor frame, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}_{r i}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}}^{\top}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\times} \boldsymbol{d}_{i}\right)+\boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}}^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}+\dot{\chi}_{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}\right)_{\times} \boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}} \boldsymbol{d}_{e i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From here, the freestream velocity acting on the i-th rotor, expressed in the i-th rotor frame, can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}_{a i}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{v}_{w}^{\mathcal{I}}-\boldsymbol{v}_{r i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\boldsymbol{v}_{w}^{\mathcal{I}}$ the wind velocity expressed in the inertial frame.
We continue to compute the thrust intensity $T_{i}$ and the induced velocity $v_{r i}^{i n d}$ for the i-th rotor. The well-known Glauert's formula yields the following relation between $v_{r i}^{i n d}$ and the thrust intensity $T_{i}$ of the rotor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{r i}^{i n d} \sqrt{\left(v_{a i, 1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{a i, 2}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{a i, 3}+v_{r i}^{i n d}\right)^{2}}=\frac{T_{i}}{2 \rho A} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\rho$ the air density, $A=\pi R^{2}$ the rotor's area, and $R$ the propeller radius. We assume that the rotor speed is high w.r.t. the freestream velocity $(\varpi \in[3000 ; 6000] R P M$ at normal operating conditions) so that the reverse flow region can be neglected and the angle of attack of the blade remains small.Based on classical blade element theory, the thrust intensity can be proximately obtained as follows (see, e.g., [4, Ch.5],[7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i} \approx c_{T}\left(1+\frac{3}{2} \bar{\nu}_{1,2}^{2}-a_{T} \bar{\nu}_{3, i n d}\right) \varpi_{i}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\bar{\nu}_{1,2}:=\frac{\sqrt{\left(v_{a i, 1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{a i, 2}\right)^{2}}}{\varpi_{i} R}, \bar{\nu}_{3, \text { ind }}:=\frac{v_{a i, 3}+v_{r i}^{i n d}}{\varpi_{i} R}$, and $c_{T}$ and $a_{T}$ some constant parameters which depend on the geometry of the propeller. Then, Eqs. (5) and (6) can be used to solve (numerically) the two unknown variables $T_{i}$ and $v_{r i}^{i n d}$. In the present study, we further simplify Eq. (6) by neglecting the term $v_{r i}^{i n d}$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i} \approx c_{T}\left(1+\frac{3}{2} \bar{\nu}_{1,2}^{2}-a_{T} \frac{v_{a i, 3}}{\varpi_{i} R}\right) \varpi_{i}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\varpi_{i}$ the speed of the i-th rotor. With $T_{i}$ now (approximately) known, one can solve Eq. (5) to obtain the induced velocity $v_{r i}^{i n d}$ using, for example, the Newton-Raphson numerical method with the initial condition $v_{r i}^{i n d}=\sqrt{\frac{T_{i}}{2 \rho A}}$.

The resisting torque $\boldsymbol{Q}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}$ and the induced drag $\boldsymbol{D}_{\text {ind,ri }}^{\mathcal{B}}$ acting on the i-th rotor, expressed in the body frame, can be approximately given by (see, e.g., [4, Ch.5],[7])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{Q}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}} \approx \lambda_{i} c_{Q}\left(1+\bar{\nu}_{1,2}^{2}+a_{Q} \bar{\nu}_{3, i n d}\right) \varpi_{i}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}  \tag{8}\\
\boldsymbol{D}_{i n d, r i}^{\mathcal{B}} \approx \frac{2 c_{Q}}{R^{2}}\left(1+\frac{3 a_{Q}}{4} \bar{\nu}_{3, i n d}\right) \varpi_{i} \boldsymbol{R}_{\chi_{i}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
v_{a i, 1} \\
v_{a i, 2} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $c_{Q}$ and $a_{Q}$ some constant parameters dependent on the geometry of the propeller, $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{3}=1, \lambda_{2}=\lambda_{4}=-1$.

Gyroscopic effects due to the rotation of the propellers and the rotor-tilting motion are also important to be modeled. Assume that for each mobile arm the inertia is mainly due to the propeller/rotor group. The angular velocity of the i-th propeller expressed in the body frame is

$$
\boldsymbol{\omega}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}=\lambda_{i} \varpi_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}-\dot{\chi}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{2} \approx \lambda_{i} \varpi_{i} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}
$$

where the latter approximation is justified by the fact that $\dot{\chi}_{i} \ll \varpi_{i}$. Then, the gyroscopic precession torque of the i-th propeller is approximately given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{G, r i}^{\mathcal{B}} \approx \lambda_{i} \varpi_{i} I_{P} \boldsymbol{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}} \times \boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the scalar $I_{P}$ the inertia of the propeller/rotor group about the rotor axis.

Finally, the total force and torque, expressed in the body frame, due to the four propeller/rotor groups are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{F}_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}=\sum_{i}\left(T_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{D}_{i n d, r i}^{\mathcal{B}}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{r}^{\mathcal{B}}=\sum_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{Q}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{G, r i}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{d}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}} \times\left(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}+\boldsymbol{D}_{\text {ind,ri}}^{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

## B. Simplified model for control allocation Near Hover

The control allocation considered in this section is the following: "Given a desired thrust intensity $T$ and control torque $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}$, determine the rotors' speed $\varpi_{i} "$. This control allocation problem is mainly used when the vehicle is near hovering, with $\chi_{R}$ and $\chi_{L}$ small (i.e., fuselage and wing horizontal) in which case the control surfaces are less efficient.

Assume, for instance, that the left and right tilting-arms rotate with the same angle, i.e. $\chi_{L}=\chi_{R}=\chi$. In this case, all the four rotors tilt in the same direction, that is $\mathbf{u}_{i}^{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{B}}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\sin \chi & 0 & -\cos \chi\end{array}\right]^{\top}=-\mathbf{R}_{\chi} \mathbf{e}_{3}$, for all $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. The expressions of $T_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}$, given by Eqs. (7) and (8), are further simplified as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=c_{T} \varpi_{i}^{2}, \boldsymbol{Q}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}}=\lambda_{i} c_{Q} \varpi_{i}^{2} \mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{B}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, the total control thrust intensity can be deduced as $T=c_{T} \sum_{i} \varpi_{i}^{2}$, and the total control torque
vector verifies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}}= & c_{Q} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \varpi_{i}^{2} \mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{B}}+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} c_{T} \varpi_{i}^{2} \boldsymbol{d}_{r i}^{\mathcal{B}} \times \mathbf{u}^{\mathcal{B}} \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\chi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}}= & -\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} c_{Q} \varpi_{i}^{2} \mathbf{e}_{3} \\
& -\sum_{i} c_{T} \varpi_{i}^{2}\left(\left(\mathbf{R}_{\chi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{d}_{i}\right) \times \mathbf{e}_{3}+\boldsymbol{d}_{e i} \times \mathbf{e}_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One then deduces then following relation between the rotors' speed $\varpi_{i}$ and the vector composed of $T$ and $\mathbf{R}_{\chi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T  \tag{13}\\
\mathbf{R}_{\chi}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{A}_{c}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\varpi_{1}^{2} & \varpi_{2}^{2} & \varpi_{3}^{2} & \varpi_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}
$$

with the allocation matrix

$$
\mathbf{A}_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{T} & c_{T} & c_{T} & c_{T} \\
-L_{0} c_{T} & -L_{0} c_{T} & L_{0} c_{T} & L_{0} c_{T} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\
-c_{Q} & c_{Q} & -c_{Q} & c_{Q}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with the terms $a_{31}=a_{34}=\left(-l_{1}-l_{0} \cos \chi-h_{0} \sin \chi\right) c_{T}$ and the terms $a_{32}=a_{33}=\left(l_{1}+l_{0} \cos \chi-h_{0} \sin \chi\right) c_{T}$. One verifies that $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{A}_{c}\right)=-16 c_{Q} c_{T}^{3} L_{0}\left(l_{0}+l_{1} \cos \chi\right) \neq 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{A}_{c}$ is invertible. Thus, $T$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ can be given any desired values -modulo the constraint of positivity of $\varpi_{i}^{2}$ and the limited range of velocities imposed by power limitations of the rotors.

## C. Propeller Rate Model

The propeller rate dynamics are modeled as a first order system with time constant $\tau_{n}$, which corresponds to the dynamics of a brushless motor as used on the Wingcopter :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\varpi}_{i}=-\frac{1}{\tau_{n}} \varpi_{i}+\frac{1}{\tau_{n}} \varpi_{c} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. AERODYNAMIC FORCES: $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{a}}{ }^{\mathcal{B}}$

The air flow acting on the airframe is responsible for the aerodynamic forces. The air flow is described by the airspeed vector $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Its norm is $V_{a}$ and its direction relative to the airframe is defined by two angles, namely the angle of attack $\alpha$ and the sideslip angle $\beta$.

As shown in Fig. 1, the angle of attack $\alpha$ is the angle between the projection of the airspeed vector $V_{a}$ onto the $\left(x_{b}, z_{b}\right)$ plane and the $x_{b}$ axis. The sideslip angle $\beta$ is the angle between the projection of the airspeed vector $\boldsymbol{V}_{a}$ onto the $\left(x_{b}, z_{b}\right)$ plane and the airspeed vector itself. The wind axes coordinate system is such that the $x_{w}$ axis points along the airspeed vector $V_{a}$.

The rotation matrix $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ is necessary to transform vectors and point coordinates from the aircraft body-fixed frame $(\mathcal{B})$ to the wind frame $(\mathcal{W})$ and vice versa according to the following formulae:

$$
\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{W}}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{W}} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{B}} \quad \text { or } \quad \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{B}}=\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{W}}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{W}}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathcal{W}}
$$

with

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{W}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \alpha \cos \beta & \sin \beta & \sin \alpha \cos \beta \\
-\sin \beta \cos \alpha & \cos \beta & -\sin \alpha \sin \beta \\
-\sin \alpha & 0 & \cos \alpha
\end{array}\right]
$$

As an example, the airspeed vector is expressed in the bodyfixed frame as $\boldsymbol{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{W}}$ or as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
u_{a} & v_{a} & w_{a}
\end{array}\right]^{\top}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{B}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
V_{a} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]^{\top}
$$

The subscript ()$_{a}$ is used to distinguish the coordinates of the airspeed vector $V_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}$ from the coordinates of the aircraft's inertial velocity vector $\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathcal{B}}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}u & v & w\end{array}\right]^{\top}$.

## A. Wind Effects

The aircraft's inertial velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ is the sum of the airspeed $\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ and the wind velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_{w}, \boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{a}}+\boldsymbol{v}_{w}$.

For a wind disturbance given by its coordinates in the inertial frame $\boldsymbol{v}_{w}^{\mathcal{I}}$, the airspeed vector in body frame is given by $\boldsymbol{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}=\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathcal{B}}-\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{B}} \boldsymbol{v}_{w}^{\mathcal{I}}$. For the nonlinear simulations of the aircraft, the aerodynamic forces and torques are functions of the angle of attack $\alpha$, the sideslip angle $\beta$, the airspeed $V_{a}$, and the dynamic pressure $\bar{q}$. They are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{a} & =\sqrt{u_{a}^{2}+v_{a}^{2}+w_{a}^{2}}, \alpha=\arctan \left(\frac{w_{a}}{u_{a}}\right)  \tag{15}\\
\beta & =\arcsin \left(\frac{v_{a}}{V_{a}}\right), \bar{q}=\frac{\rho V_{a}^{2}}{2} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

There are several aerodynamic forces acting on this vehicle. The aerodynamic forces $\boldsymbol{F}_{a}$ acting on a surface in relative motion with the air are composed of the lift force $\boldsymbol{F}_{L}$ and the drag force $\boldsymbol{F}_{D}$ as $\boldsymbol{F}_{a}=\boldsymbol{F}_{D}+\boldsymbol{F}_{L}$. When the aerodynamic forces are projected in the body frame, they are decomposed as follows:
$\boldsymbol{F}_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}X^{\mathcal{B}} & Y^{\mathcal{B}} & Z^{\mathcal{B}}\end{array}\right)^{\top}=\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathcal{B}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}X^{\mathcal{W}} & Y^{\mathcal{W}} & Z^{\mathcal{W}}\end{array}\right)^{\top}$

## B. The Wing

The objective of this section is to model and characterize the behavior of the wing, in terms of lift and drag forces, over a large range of the angle of attack since this type of vehicle is capable of vertical-wing flight, as well as horizontal-wing flight. However, we consider that the sideslip angle $\beta$ remains rather small, i.e. within the range $[-20,+20]$, which is a reasonable assumption since the vehicle is equipped with a vertical tail naturally aligning the airframe with the airflow. When aerodynamics forces and moments are concerned, a key aerodynamic parameter to consider is the Reynolds number $R_{e}$. This dimensionless parameter is defined as follows [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{e}=\frac{\rho \bar{c} V_{a}}{\mu}=\frac{\bar{c} V_{a}}{\nu} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a characteristic length of the system is $\bar{c}$ chosen to be the wing mean chord, the airspeed is $V_{a}$, the air density is $\rho$, the air dynamic viscosity is $\mu$, and the air kinematic viscosity is $\nu$ as defined in the Appendix. With a speed range from $[0 \ldots 20] \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$, and a characteristic length (mean wing chord $\bar{c}=0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the Reynolds number for this plane is within the range of $R_{e}=[0 \ldots 260000]$ approximatively.

With the knowledge of the Reynolds number and the type of wing profile (in this case, the Clark Y profile), it is possible to check on recorded data the corresponding curves for the lift, drag and moment coefficients as a function of the angle


Fig. 3. Dimensionless lift coefficient $C_{Z}$ as a function of $\alpha$
of attack. Figures 3 and 4 shows the dimensionless lift and drag coefficients $C_{Z}$ and $C_{D}$ as a function of the angle of attack. These plots have been obtained based on data generated by XFoil ${ }^{1}$ for different Reynolds numbers and a Crit number $N_{\text {Crit }}=9$ corresponding to nominal turbulence conditions of a wind tunnel.

The wing dimensionless lift and drag coefficients: $C_{Z}$ and $C_{D}$, can be approximated by two sets of functions. The first set is used up to the stall angle of attack $\alpha_{s+}$ (resp. $\alpha_{s-}$ ) for positive (resp. negative) angle of attack, and the second set for the post-stall region.

In the pre-stall region, the wing aerodynamic coefficients are modeled by [10]

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{Z, l}\left(\alpha, V_{a}\right) & =C_{Z, 0}\left(V_{a}\right)+C_{Z, \alpha}\left(V_{a}\right) \alpha  \tag{18}\\
C_{D, l}(\alpha) & =C_{D, 0}\left(V_{a}\right)+C_{D, \alpha}\left(V_{a}\right) \alpha^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the post stall region, the wing lift and drag coefficients are modeled as [8]

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{Z, s}(\alpha) & =c_{1} \sin (2 \alpha) \\
C_{D, s}(\alpha) & =c_{0}+2 c_{1} \sin ^{2}(\alpha) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

with the constant terms $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$. Remarks:

- We note that lift data are mostly independent of the Reynolds number when the angle of attack is beyond the stall angle $\alpha_{s \pm}$.
- For small angle of attack and small Reynolds number up to $R_{e}=10^{5}$, the offset lift $C_{Z, 0}$ is increasing as a function of the airspeed, whereas the slope $C_{Z, \alpha}$ decreases as the speed increases, up until an asymptotic value $\bar{C}_{Z, \alpha}$, see Table I.
The pre-stall and post-stall regions described by Eqs. (18) and (19) can be blended (as proposed by [8]) using a pseudosigmoid function $\sigma\left(\alpha_{+}, \alpha_{-}, \alpha\right)$ defined by [8]
$\sigma\left(\alpha_{+}, \alpha_{-}, \alpha\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1+\tanh \left(k_{+}\left(\alpha_{+}^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)\right)}{1+\tanh \left(k_{+} \alpha_{+}^{2}\right)}, & \alpha \in[0, \pi / 2] \\ \frac{1+\tanh \left(k_{-}\left(\alpha_{-}^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)\right)}{1+\tanh \left(k_{-} \alpha_{-}^{2}\right)}, & \alpha \in[-\pi / 2,0)\end{cases}$
where the parameters $\alpha_{+}$and $\alpha_{-}$correspond to the switching point of the pseudo-sigmoid function, and can be chosen around the stall angles $\alpha_{s+}$ for positive angle $\alpha$ and $\alpha_{s-}$ for
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Fig. 4. Dimensionless lift coefficient $C_{D}$ as a function of $\alpha$


Fig. 5. Wing lift dimensionless coefficient $C_{Z}$ : reconstructed superposed with $C_{Z}$ from XFoil data for $R e=\left\{10^{5}, 210^{5}\right\}$
negative angle $\alpha$, whereas the parameter $k_{+}$and $k_{-}$set the rate of transition and should be chosen larger than 1.

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{Z}(\alpha)=\sigma_{\left(\alpha_{+}, \alpha_{-}, \alpha\right)} C_{Z, l}\left(\alpha, V_{a}\right)+\left(1-\sigma_{\left.\left(\alpha_{+}, \alpha_{-}, \alpha\right)\right)} C_{Z, s}\right. \\
C_{D}(\alpha)=\sigma_{\left(\alpha_{+}, \alpha_{-}, \alpha\right)} C_{D, l}\left(\alpha, V_{a}\right)+\left(1-\sigma_{\left.\left(\alpha_{+}, \alpha_{-}, \alpha\right)\right)} C_{D, s}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Figures 5 and 6 (and 7) show the construction of the lift and drag coefficients $C_{Z}$ and $C_{D}$ over a large range of angle of attack and compared to the data provided by XFoil for $R e=\left\{10^{5}, 210^{5}\right\}$ using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{+}=\frac{15 \pi}{180}, \alpha_{-}=\frac{9 \pi}{180}, k_{+}=20, k_{-}=800 \\
& C_{Z, 0}=0.35, C_{Z, \alpha}=0.11, C_{D, 0}=0.01, C_{D, \alpha}=0.2 \\
& c_{1}=1, c_{0}=0.025
\end{aligned}
$$

## C. Lift Force

The lift force is calculated by multiplying the lift coefficient by the wing surface $S$ and the dynamic pressure $\bar{q}$ as: $Z^{\mathcal{W}}=\bar{q} S C_{Z}(\alpha) .{ }^{2}$ The lift and drag forces of the wing are typically applied at a point located at $25 \%$ distance from the front-edge of the wing, and is known as the aerodynamic center.

## D. Lateral Force

The lateral force acting on the aircraft is mainly due to the fuselage, which is considered to be an inefficient wing

[^2]

Fig. 6. Wing drag dimensionless coefficient $C_{D}$ : reconstructed superposed with $C_{D}$ from XFoil data.


Fig. 7. (Zoom) Wing drag dimensionless coefficient $C_{D}$ : reconstructed superposed with $C_{D}$ from XFoil data.
with zero offset due to the symmetry of the airplane in the $\left(x_{b}, z_{b}\right)$ plane, yielding (we consider that the sideslip angle $\beta$ remains rather small, i.e. within the range $[-20,+20]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{Y}(\beta)=C_{Y 1} \beta, \quad Y^{\mathcal{W}}=\bar{q} S C_{Y}(\beta) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## E. Drag Force

Due to the symmetry of the fuselage, minimum drag is obtained when the sideslip angle $\beta$ is zero. The wing is not symmetric, therefore, minimum drag is obtained for an angle of attack different from zero. The dimensionless drag coefficient is approximated by a quadratic function in $\alpha$ and $\beta$ according to $C_{X}(\alpha, \beta)=C_{D}(\alpha)+C_{X \beta 2} \beta^{2}$. The drag force is obtained as $X^{\mathcal{W}}=\bar{q} S C_{X}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Remark: Each wing can be divided into two parts (one piece along $y_{b}$ and one piece for the swept part), and the above modeling can be applied to each part, creating a total force and moment due to the wing.

## V. AERODYNAMICS MOMENTS

The torques are denoted $\left[\begin{array}{lll}L & M & N\end{array}\right]^{T}$ on each body axis respectively. They are generated by control surfaces such as ailerons, elevators, and rudders. The control surface deflections are scaled such that the range of $\delta_{a}, \delta_{e}$, and $\delta_{r}$ are the same: $\delta_{a}, \delta_{e}, \delta_{r} \in[-1,1]$. The total torque $\Gamma_{a}$ applied to the airframe containing only aerodynamics effects and is expressed in the body-fixed frame as $\Gamma_{a}^{\mathcal{B}}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}L^{\mathcal{B}} & M^{\mathcal{B}} & N^{\mathcal{B}}\end{array}\right]^{T}$.

## A. Roll Torque $L^{\mathcal{B}}$

The generation of the roll torque is modeled by a linear function of the aileron deflection $\delta_{a}$, the sideslip angle $\beta$, and the dimensionless angular rates $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{r}$. The dimensionless angular rates are $\tilde{p}=\frac{b p}{2 V_{a}}, \tilde{q}=\frac{\bar{c} q}{2 V_{a}}, \tilde{r}=\frac{b r}{2 V_{a}}$, where the wingspan is $b$ and the mean aerodynamic chord is $\bar{c}$. The dimensionless roll torque is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L}\left(\delta_{a}, \beta, \tilde{p}, \tilde{r}\right)=C_{L a} \delta_{a}+C_{L \beta} \beta+C_{L \tilde{p}} \tilde{p}+C_{L \tilde{r}} \tilde{r} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effectiveness of the ailerons to produce some roll torque is modeled through the coefficient $C_{L a}$ and depends mainly on the size of the ailerons. The term $C_{L \tilde{p}}$ is the damping factor related to the dimensionless roll rate $\tilde{p}$. The term $C_{L \tilde{r}}$ is useful to model the effect of unequal left and right wing speeds due to the rotation around the $z_{b}$ axis, resulting in a difference in the lift forces, and thus modifying the roll torque generation. The roll torque is then obtained by multiplying $C_{L}\left(\delta_{a}, \beta, \tilde{p}, \tilde{r}\right)$ by the dynamic pressure $\bar{q}$ and the wing surface $S$ as $L^{\mathcal{B}}=\bar{q} S b C_{L}\left(\delta_{a}, \beta, \tilde{p}, \tilde{r}\right)$.

## B. Pitch Torque $M^{\mathcal{B}}$

The generation of the pitch torque $M^{\mathcal{B}}$ expressed in the aircraft body-fixed frame ( $b$ ) is modeled by a linear function of the elevator deflection $\delta_{e}$, of the angle of attack $\alpha$, and of the dimensionless pitch rate $\tilde{q}$. The dimensionless pitch torque is modeled as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{M}\left(\delta_{e}, \alpha, \tilde{q}\right)=C_{M 1}+C_{M_{e}} \delta_{e}+C_{M \tilde{q}} \tilde{q}+C_{M \alpha} \alpha \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effectiveness of the elevator to produce some pitch torque is accounted for through the coefficient $C_{M e}$ and is dependent mainly on the size of the elevator. The derivative term $C_{M \alpha}$ is negative if the airplane is stable on its longitudinal axis. The damping factor $C_{M \tilde{q}}$ depends mainly on the length of the fuselage and the surface of the horizontal tail. The pitch torque is finally $M^{\mathcal{B}}=\bar{q} S \bar{c} C_{M}\left(\delta_{e}, \alpha, \tilde{q}\right)$.

## C. Yaw Torque $N^{\mathcal{B}}$

The generation of the yaw torque $N^{\mathcal{B}}$ is modeled by a linear function of the rudder deflection $\delta_{r}$, of the sideslip angle $\beta$, and of the dimensionless yaw rate $\tilde{r}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{N}\left(\delta_{r}, \tilde{r}, \beta\right) & =C_{N_{\delta_{r}}} \delta_{r}+C_{N \tilde{r}} \tilde{r}+C_{N \beta} \beta \\
N^{\mathcal{B}} & =\bar{q} S b C_{N}\left(\delta_{r}, \tilde{r}, \beta\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The effectiveness of the rudder to produce some yaw torque is modeled through the coefficient $C_{N_{\delta r}}$ and is dependent mainly on the size of the rudder. The damping factor $C_{N \tilde{r}}$ is affected by primarily the lever arm and the size of the vertical tail.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper describes a novel type of flying machine, which combines the four rotor of a quadrotor and the wing and fuselage of a conventional fixed-wing airplane. The orientation of the propellers can be changed thanks to a tilting mechanism. The paper aims at modeling the major forces and moments acting on the hybrid vehicle, also at
high angle of attack. This model serves as a basis for a simulator, to first test and validate flight controllers on such a convertible vehicle.
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## APPENDIX

TABLE I
Some Physical Parameters of the Aircraft

| Weight m | $2.7[\mathrm{~kg}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wingspan $b$ | $2[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| wing mean chord $\bar{c}$ | $0.2[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| Length L | $1.16[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| Maximum thrust per rotor | $1[\mathrm{~kg}]$ |
| Propeller type | APC MR 10x5.5 |
| Max propeller speed | $8700[\mathrm{rpm}]$ |
| CoM location | 11 cm from the <br> front edge of the wing |
| $L_{0}, l_{0}$ | $0.29[\mathrm{~m}], 0.215[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| $l_{1} h_{1}$ | $0.16[\mathrm{~m}], 0.05[\mathrm{~m}]$ |
| air viscosity $\mu\left(18^{\circ} C\right)$ | $18.2710^{-6}[\mathrm{~Pa} \mathrm{~s}]$ |
| air density $\left(18^{\circ} C\right) \rho$ | $=1.2150\left[\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$ |
| $C_{Z, 0}\left(R e \geq 10^{5}\right)$ | 0.35 |
| $\bar{C}_{Z, \alpha}$ | 0.11 |
| $C_{D, 0} C_{D, \alpha}$ | 0.010 .2 |
| positive stall angle of attack: $\alpha_{s+}$, <br> $R_{e}=\left\{5.10^{4}, 10^{5}, 2.10^{5}, 5.10^{5}\right\}$ | $\{12.5,13,13.5,13.5\} \mathrm{deg}$ |
| negative stall angle of attack: $\alpha_{s-}$, <br> $R_{e}=\left\{5.10^{4}, 10^{5}, 2.10^{5}, 5.10^{5}\right\}$ | $\{-5,-6,-8,-8.5\} \mathrm{deg}$ |
| $c_{0} c_{1}$ | $0.025 \quad 1$ |
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