



HAL
open science

The class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring: an improvement

Nicolas Martin

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Martin. The class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring: an improvement. 2016. hal-01301285v1

HAL Id: hal-01301285

<https://hal.science/hal-01301285v1>

Preprint submitted on 17 Apr 2016 (v1), last revised 20 Jul 2016 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring: an improvement

Nicolas Martin,

Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz, École polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau cedex, France

Abstract

Lev A. Borisov has shown that the class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring of algebraic varieties over complex numbers. We improve the final formula by removing a factor.

Résumé

Lev A. Borisov a prouvé que la classe de la droite affine est un diviseur de zéro dans l'anneau de Grothendieck des variétés algébriques complexes. Nous améliorons la formule finale en supprimant un facteur.

1. Introduction

The Grothendieck ring $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of complex algebraic varieties is defined as the quotient of the free abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[X]$ of complex algebraic varieties modulo the relations

$$[X] = [Y] + [X \setminus Y]$$

for all closed subvarieties $Y \subset X$. The cartesian product of varieties gives the product structure.

Email address: nicolas.martin@polytechnique.edu (Nicolas Martin).

The class $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})]$ of the affine line has a major role in the study of the Grothendieck ring. It has been proved in [LL03] that X and Y are stably birational if and only if their classes $[X]$ and $[Y]$ are equal modulo \mathbb{L} . After Bjorn Poonen had shown in [Poo02] that $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is not a domain, Lev A. Borisov has made precise this result in [Bor14] by showing that \mathbb{L} is a zero divisor. He has compared the two sides $[X_W]$ and $[Y_W]$ of the Pfaffian-Grassmannian double mirror correspondence, and obtained the following formula:

$$([X_W] - [Y_W]) \cdot (\mathbb{L}^2 - 1) \cdot (\mathbb{L} - 1) \cdot \mathbb{L}^7 = 0.$$

This result is not only an improvement of that of Poonen: it is crucial in motivic integration to understand the kernel of the localization morphism $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$, since we consider classes in the localized ring. In this paper, we improve this formula as follows.

Theorem 1.1 $([X_W] - [Y_W]) \cdot \mathbb{L}^6 = 0$

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to Antoine Chambert-Loir, Johannes Nicaise and Claude Sabbah for their careful reading and constructive comments on the preliminary version of the note.

2. The class of Grassmannians

Proposition 2.1 *For $2 \leq k < n$, we have the relation*

$$[G(k, n)] = [G(k, n-1)] + \mathbb{L}^{n-k} \cdot [G(k-1, n-1)].$$

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n , F the hyperplane orthogonal to e_n , $U \subset G(k, n)$ the open subset defined by $\{T \in G(k, n) \mid \dim(T \cap F) = k-1\}$ and $\pi : U \rightarrow G(k-1, F)$ the regular mapping which sends T on $T \cap F$. For $S \in G(k-1, F)$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(S)$ can be identified to

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n/S) \setminus \mathbb{P}(F/A) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{n-k}.$$

Let H be a complementary subspace of S in F and the open subset $V = \{S' \in G(k-1, F) \mid S' \oplus H = F\}$. For all $S' \in V$, we have the identification $\mathbb{C}^n/S' \simeq H \oplus \mathbb{C}e_n$, hence π is a trivial fibration over V . Consequently, π is a locally trivial fibration, therefore $[U] = \mathbb{L}^{n-k} \cdot [G(k-1, n-1)]$. We have $[G(k, n)] = [Z] + [U]$ with $Z = G(k, n) \setminus U = \{T \in G(k, n) \mid T \subset F\} = G(k, F)$, which shows the announced formula. \square

A simple induction gives the following formulas for $n \geq 4$:

$$[G(2, n)] = \begin{cases} [\mathbb{P}^{n-2}] \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} \mathbb{L}^{2k} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ [\mathbb{P}^{n-1}] \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} \mathbb{L}^{2k} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For example, $[G(2, 5)] = [\mathbb{P}^4] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1)$ and $[G(2, 7)] = [\mathbb{P}^6] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1)$.

3. Improvement of Borisov's formula

3.1. Pfaffian and Grassmannian double mirror varieties

Let V be a 7-dimensional complex vector space and W a generic 7-dimensional space of skew forms on V . We define X_W as a subvariety of the Grassmannian $G(2, V)$ which is the locus of all $T \in G(2, V)$ with $\omega|_T = 0$ for all $\omega \in W$, and Y_W as a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}W$ of skew forms whose rank is less than 6. Smoothness of these two varieties has been shown by E. Rødland in [Rød00]. Furthermore, we know that all forms in Y_W have rank 4 and all forms in $\mathbb{P}W \setminus Y_W$ have rank 6.

3.2. The formula

Let us define H as a subvariety of $G(2, V) \times \mathbb{P}W$ which consists of pairs $(T, \mathbb{C}\omega)$ with $\omega|_T = 0$. In order to obtain the explicit equations which define H , let us set $T_0 \in G(2, V)$ with basis e_1, e_2 and H a complementary subspace with basis e_3, \dots, e_7 . The neighborhood $U = \{T \in G(2, V) \mid T \oplus H = V\}$ of T_0 can be identified to $\mathcal{L}(T_0, H)$ by considering the map $f \in \mathcal{L}(T_0, H) \mapsto \{x + f(x) \mid x \in T_0\} \in U$. If we set $(f_{i,j})_{(i,j) \in \{1,2\} \times \{3,\dots,7\}}$ the basis of $\mathcal{L}(T_0, H)$ adapted to the two bases previously considered, we can identify $T \in U$ to $\{x + \sum \alpha_{i,j} f_{i,j}(x) \mid x \in T_0\}$. Now, for $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^7 \beta_i \omega_i \in W$, the condition $\omega|_T = 0$ can be expressed as

$$\sum_{i=1}^7 \beta_i \omega_i \left(e_1 + \sum_{j=3}^7 \alpha_{1,j} e_j, e_2 + \sum_{j=3}^7 \alpha_{2,j} e_j \right) = 0$$

Looking at the projections onto the two factors $G(2, V)$ and $\mathbb{P}W$ will give us two ways to express $[H]$. Theorem 1.1 will be a direct consequence of the two next propositions.

Proposition 3.1 $[H] = [\mathbb{P}^6] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1) \cdot [\mathbb{P}^5] + [X_W] \cdot \mathbb{L}^6$

Proof. Considering the projection $p : H \rightarrow G(2, V)$ onto the first factor, which is a trivial fibration in restriction to $p^{-1}(X_W)$ and a locally trivial fibration in restriction to $G(2, V) \setminus p^{-1}(X_W)$, Proposition 2.4 of [Bor14] proves that

$$[H] = [G(2, 7)] \cdot [\mathbb{P}^5] + [X_W] \cdot \mathbb{L}^6.$$

The expression $[G(2, 7)] = [\mathbb{P}^6] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1)$ gives the result. \square

Proposition 3.2 $[H] = [Y_W] \cdot \mathbb{L}^6 + [\mathbb{P}^6] \cdot [\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1)$

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\pi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{P}W$ be the projection onto the second factor. Its restrictions to $\pi^{-1}(Y_W)$ and $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}W \setminus Y_W)$ are piecewise trivial fibrations (see 4.2.1 in [Seb04]).*

Proof of the lemma. The reasoning is the same for rank 4 ($Y_4 = Y_W$) and rank 6 ($Y_6 = \mathbb{P}W \setminus Y_W$). For $i \in \{4, 6\}$, let us set

$$Z_i = \pi^{-1}(Y_i) = H \cap (G(2, V) \times Y_i)$$

In order to have piecewise triviality of π on Z_i , it suffices, according to Theorem 4.2.3 in [Seb04], to prove that there exists a uniform fiber F_i such that for all $x \in Y_i$,

$$Z_i \times_{Y_i} \{x\} \simeq F_i \times_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Spec}(\kappa(x)).$$

To achieve this, it suffices to note that a skew form of rank 4 or 6 with coefficients in a field $K \supset \mathbb{C}$ is congruent to the skew form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 & 0 \\ -I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_3 & 0 \\ -I_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with a base change having coefficients in K , an action that spreads on fibers. □

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\mathbb{C}\omega \in Y_W$ a closed point. The class of its fiber is*

$$[\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega)] = [\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1) + \mathbb{L}^6.$$

Proof. As $\text{rk}(\omega) = 4$, there exists a basis e_1, \dots, e_7 of V in which the matrix of ω is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 & 0 \\ -I_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let be $F = \text{Vect}\{e_3, \dots, e_7\}$ and $H = F \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2$. We have

$$[\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega)] = [\{T \in G(2, V) \mid \omega|_T = 0\}] = [\{T \in G(2, H) \mid \omega|_T = 0\}] + [U]$$

where U is the open subset $\{T \in G(2, H) \mid \dim(T \cap H) = 1, \omega|_T = 0\}$, with the locally trivial fibration $\pi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{P}H = \mathbb{P}^5$. Note that $\ker(\omega) = \text{Vect}\{e_5, e_6, e_7\} \subset H$ and $\ker(\omega|_H) = \ker(\omega) \oplus \mathbb{C}e_3 \subset H$.

Let $D = \mathbb{C}e \in \mathbb{P}H$. There are three cases.

- First case: $D \subset \ker(\omega)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi^{-1}(D)] &= [\{\mathbb{C}f \in \mathbb{P}(V/D) \mid \omega(f, e) = 0\}] - [\{\mathbb{C}f \in \mathbb{P}(H/D) \mid \omega|_H(f, e) = 0\}] \\ &= [\mathbb{P}^5] - [\mathbb{P}^4] = \mathbb{L}^5. \end{aligned}$$

- Second case: $D \not\subset \ker(\omega)$ and $D \subset \ker(\omega|_H)$. In this case $\pi^{-1}(D) = \emptyset$, because

$$\{\mathbb{C}f \in \mathbb{P}(V/D) \mid \omega(f, e) = 0\} = \{\mathbb{C}f \in \mathbb{P}(H/D) \mid \omega|_H(f, e) = 0\}.$$

- Third case: $D \not\subset \ker(\omega|_H)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi^{-1}(D)] &= [\{\mathbb{C}f \in \mathbb{P}(V/D) \mid \omega(f, e) = 0\}] - [\{\mathbb{C}f \in \mathbb{P}(H/D) \mid \omega|_H(f, e) = 0\}] \\ &= [\mathbb{P}^4] - [\mathbb{P}^3] = \mathbb{L}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} [U] &= [\mathbb{P} \ker(\omega)] \cdot \mathbb{L}^5 + ([\mathbb{P}H] - [\mathbb{P} \ker(\omega|_H)]) \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 \\ &= [\mathbb{P}^2] \cdot \mathbb{L}^5 + ([\mathbb{P}^5] - [\mathbb{P}^3]) \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 \\ &= ([\mathbb{P}^5] - 1) \cdot \mathbb{L}^4. \end{aligned}$$

We can repeat the argument with F . As $\omega|_F = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\{T \in G(2, H) \mid \omega|_T = 0\}] &= [\{T \in G(2, F) \mid \omega|_T = 0\}] + [\mathbb{P} \ker(\omega|_H)] \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 \\ &= [G(2, 5)] + [\mathbb{P}^3] \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 \\ &= [\mathbb{P}^4] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1) + [\mathbb{P}^3] \cdot \mathbb{L}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega)] &= ([\mathbb{P}^5] - 1) \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 + [\mathbb{P}^4] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1) + [\mathbb{P}^3] \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 \\ &= ([\mathbb{P}^5] - 1) \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 + ([\mathbb{P}^5] - \mathbb{L}^5) \cdot (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1) + (\mathbb{L}^3 + \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1) \cdot \mathbb{L}^4 \\ &= [\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1) + \mathbb{L}^6. \end{aligned}$$

□

A similar calculation gives the following result.

Lemma 3.5 *Let $\mathbb{C}\omega \in \mathbb{P}W \setminus Y_W$ a closed point. The class of its fiber is*

$$[\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega)] = [\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathbb{C}\omega_1 \in Y_W$ and $\mathbb{C}\omega_2 \in \mathbb{P}W \setminus Y_W$ be two closed points. Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\begin{cases} [\pi^{-1}(Y_W)] = [Y_W] \cdot [\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega_1)] \\ [\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}W \setminus Y_W)] = ([\mathbb{P}W] - [Y_W]) \cdot [\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega_2)], \end{cases}$$

and consequently

$$[H] = [Y_W] \cdot [\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega_1)] + ([\mathbb{P}W] - [Y_W]) \cdot [\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\omega_2)].$$

Using Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H] &= [Y_W] \cdot ([\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1) + \mathbb{L}^6) + ([\mathbb{P}^6] - [Y_W]) \cdot [\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1) \\ &= [Y_W] \cdot \mathbb{L}^6 + [\mathbb{P}^6] \cdot [\mathbb{P}^5] \cdot (\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^2 + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [Bor14] L. Borisov, *The class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring*, arXiv : 1412.6194 (2014).
- [LL03] M. Larsen and V. Lunts, *Motivic measures and stable birational geometry*, Moscow Mathematical Journal 3 (2003), no. 1, 85–95.
- [Poo02] B. Poonen, *The Grothendieck ring of varieties is not a domain*, Math. Res. Lett. 9 (2002), no. 4, 493–497.
- [Rød00] E. Rødland, *The Pfaffian Calabi-Yau, its mirror, and their link to the Grassmannian $G(2,7)$* , Compositio Math. 122 (2000), no. 2, 135–149.
- [Seb04] J. Sebag, *Intégration motivique sur les schémas formels*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 132 (2004), no. 1, 1–54.