Activity patterns of three piscivorous species in a reservoir studied with the Vemco Positioning System

<u>Romain ROY<sup>1</sup>, Samuel WESTRELIN<sup>2</sup>, Laurence TISSOT<sup>1</sup>, Eric DE OLIVEIRA<sup>1</sup>, Christine ARGILLIER<sup>2</sup></u>

1 - EDF R&D LNHE – HYNES (Irstea - EDF R&D) - France 2- Irstea – HYNES (Irstea - EDF R&D) – France







#### General: influence of environmental variables on fish behavior

- In a French hydroelectric reservoir with water level fluctuations

#### Pikeperch, Pike and Perch are common in reservoir (Dorner et al. 2007)









Behavior describe with activity and spatial distribution



seasonal changes and individual variability

Data set collected in 2012 and 2013 showed:



Presentation focus on perch:



seasonal changes and individual variability

**Conclusion/Perspectives** 

**40** receivers (Vemco VR2W) distributed in the entire reservoir

<u>Area</u>: 81 ha <u>Mean depth</u>: 7 m <u>Maximal depth</u>: 19 m







#### Methods

Results

## 27 perchs:

- captured by gill-nets and fishermen
- anesthetized
- tagged (tag inserted into the body cavity)

Fish length: 240 - 486 mm



Detections post-processed with the Vemco Positioning System (VPS) (Vemco. 2008 ; Smith. 2013)







- Data analysis (specific study of the VPS accuracy, Roy et al. 2014)
  - Filtering the data set with the **HPE** (Horizontal Positioning Error **estimated** by VEMCO)

HPE<15 was a good compromise between the accuracy (mean calculated error of 3.3 m) and the sample size (79 % positions had HPE<15)</p>

Season	Period	Nb. day	Nb. ind	Nb. pos	Temp (°C)
Summer 2012	26 June – 07 August	40	10	53 577	21
Autumn 2012	05 October – 17 November	44	6	44 901	13
Winter 2013	11 February 20 March	38	12	113 264	4
Spring 2013	21 March – 30 April	40	11	113 372	8

Data analysis: metrics to describe activity and spatial distribution





Home range (and core area) decrease with temperature between summer and winter
High variability between individuals in summer



	Summer	winter
Number of positions	3 038	14 453
Home range (ha)	60.4	4.5
Core area (ha)	9.5	0.5

Activity during a 24 H period: seasonal changes



- A specific diel activity pattern for each season
- Activity **decrease** (in intensity and duration) between summer and winter

Objective

Results

**Conclusion/Perspectives** 

#### Activity and spatial distribution during a 24 H period

#### Individual variability in summer



Higher activity level and deeper areas used during the day compared to the night 11
Some similar patterns of activity and spatial distribution between individuals

#### Activity and spatial distribution during a 24 H period

#### Individual variability in Winter



Higher activity level but no pattern concerning water depth used during the day 12
Some similar patterns of activity and spatial distribution between individuals

#### Activity and spatial distribution: relationship with water temperature



Activity level increased and water column depth used by perchs decreased with water temperature

## Conclusion about the deployed methodology:

- New positioning systems by acoustic telemetry (ex : VPS) allow to monitor individuals at very high frequency, over long period, in a large area
  - to describe animal behavior at an hourly basis or less
  - to provide very rich dataset which need to be analyzed rigorously



## with activity metrics :

- minimum number of position to estimate a realistic hourly distance

- methods which take into account the high auto-correlation between positions to estimate home range

Conclusion about biological results:

#### Results

**Conclusion/Perspectives** 

 With activity analysis: isolate periods of the daily cycle dedicated to rest and feed (complete observations of Jacobsen *et al.* 2002)



 With spatial distribution analysis: In summer, resting took place in a shallower area (more complex) than the feeding area (pelagic area)

(complete observations of Zamora et al. 2002)

#### Methods

**Results** 

**Conclusion/Perspectives** 



Conclusion about biological results:
Similar pattern of activity and spatial distribution between individuals but differences in intensity (activity) and water depth used

**High** dependence between activity/spatial distribution and **temperature** 



Be careful with the **duration of the study period** but also with **the number of individuals tagged** 

## Perspectives :

- To study the causes of inter-individual variability
- To connect fish positions (3 species, perch, pikeperch and pike) with a habitat map (substrate, vegetation, slope, water depth etc.) to get fish habitat preferences in this reservoir
- To evaluate switches in habitat preferences with season, temperature, water level, …

# Thank you to the partners and staff







# Thanks for your attention

**Romain ROY<sup>1</sup>, Samuel WESTRELIN<sup>2</sup>, Laurence TISSOT<sup>1</sup>,** Eric DE OLIVEIRA<sup>1</sup>, Christine ARGILLIER<sup>2</sup>

# romain.roy@irstea.fr

1 - EDF R&D LNHE – HYNES (Irstea - EDF R&D) - France 2- Irstea – HYNES (Irstea - EDF R&D) – France