

Flow-parametric regulation of shear-driven phase separation in two and three dimensions

Lennon O Naraigh, Selma Shun, Aurore Naso

▶ To cite this version:

Lennon O Naraigh, Selma Shun, Aurore Naso. Flow-parametric regulation of shear-driven phase separation in two and three dimensions. Physical Review E: Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics, 2015, 91, pp.062127. 10.1103/PhysRevE.91.062127. hal-01298314

HAL Id: hal-01298314 https://hal.science/hal-01298314

Submitted on 8 Apr 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Flow-parametric regulation of shear-driven phase separation in two and three dimensions

Lennon Ó Náraigh,^{1,2,*} Selma Shun,^{1,2} and Aurore Naso³

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

²Complex and Adaptive Systems Laboratory, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

³Laboratoire de Mécanique des Fluides et d'Acoustique, CNRS, École Centrale de Lyon, Université de Lyon, 69134 Écully Cedex, France

(Received 22 December 2014; published 19 June 2015)

The Cahn-Hilliard equation with an externally prescribed chaotic shear flow is studied in two and three dimensions. The main goal is to compare and contrast the phase separation in two and three dimensions, using high-resolution numerical simulation as the basis for the study. The model flow is parametrized by its amplitudes (thereby admitting the possibility of anisotropy), length scales, and multiple time scales, and the outcome of the phase separation is investigated as a function of these parameters as well as the dimensionality. In this way, a parameter regime is identified wherein the phase separation and the associated coarsening phenomenon are not only arrested but in fact the concentration variance decays, thereby opening up the possibility of describing the dynamics of the concentration field using the theories of advection diffusion. This parameter regime corresponds to long flow correlation times, large flow amplitudes and small diffusivities. The onset of this hyperdiffusive regime is interpreted by introducing Batchelor length scales. A key result is that in the hyperdiffusive regime, the distribution of concentration (in particular, the frequency of extreme values of concentration) depends strongly on the dimensionality. Anisotropic scenarios are also investigated: for scenarios wherein the variance saturates (corresponding to coarsening arrest), the direction in which the domains align depends on the flow correlation time. Thus, for correlation times comparable to the inverse of the mean shear rate, the domains align in the direction of maximum flow amplitude, while for short correlation times, the domains initially align in the opposite direction. However, at very late times (after the passage of thousands of correlation times), the fate of the domains is the same regardless of correlation time, namely alignment in the direction of maximum flow amplitude. A theoretical model to explain these features is proposed. These features and the theoretical model carry over to the three-dimensional case, albeit that an extra degree of freedom pertains, such that the dynamics of the domain alignment in three dimensions warrant a more detailed consideration, also presented herein.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.91.062127

PACS number(s): 64.60.-i, 47.55.-t, 47.51.+a, 64.75.-g

I. INTRODUCTION

When an alloy or a binary mixture in which both components are initially uniformly present undergoes rapid cooling below a critical temperature, both phases separate. In the absence of flow, domains of higher concentration in one or the other phase form and grow algebraically in time, obeying a coarsening process referred to as spinodal decomposition. In fluids, this phase separation is often more complex than in solid alloys because of the interaction between hydrodynamic and coarsening effects [1]. Even when the flow is externally driven, the situation is complicated. In the presence of shear flow [2-5] or of turbulence [6,7], the coarsening can even be arrested [8]. The aim of this communication is to apply the methodology of these previous works to passive shear-driven phase separation in two and three dimensions, with a view to using the parameters inherent in the shear-flow model as a way of regulating the phase separation. Although current supercomputing platforms enable the simulation of such flows at high resolution in three dimensions [6,7,9], it can be noticed that most studies to date on stirred binary mixtures have focused on the two-dimensional (2D) case [2-5,10]. Therefore, a further aim of the present work is to study the effects of dimensionality on the outcome of the phase separation. The model is the Cahn-Hilliard (CH) equation coupled to an externally prescribed velocity field: we first of all review this approach before presenting our results.

Phase separation can be described by the Cahn-Hilliard (CH) equation with constant mobility [11]: a concentration field $C(\mathbf{x},t)$ measures the local concentration of the binary liquid, with $C = \pm 1$ denoting saturation in one or other of the components. Thus, C = 0 denotes a perfectly mixed state. It is assumed that the system is in the spinodal region of the thermodynamic phase space, where the well-mixed state is energetically unfavorable. Consequently, the free energy for the mixture can be modeled as $F[C] = \int d^d x [\frac{1}{4}(C^2 - 1)^2 +$ $\frac{1}{2}\gamma |\nabla C|^2$], where the first term promotes demixing and the second term smooths out sharp gradients in transition zones between demixed regions; also, γ is a positive constant, and d is the dimension of the space. The twin constraints of mass conservation and energy minimization suggest a gradientflow dynamics for the evolution of the concentration: $\partial_t C =$ $\nabla \cdot [D(C)\nabla(\delta F/\delta C)]$, where $\delta F/\delta C$ denotes the functional derivative of the free energy and $D(C) \ge 0$ is the mobility function. In this work, a constant mobility is assumed, such that the basic evolution equation reads

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 (C^3 - C - \gamma \nabla^2 C).$$
(1)

Models with variable mobility abound [4,12,13], but their characteristics are very similar to the constant-mobility case. Owing to the simplicity of the latter, a constant mobility is preferred here.

^{*}onaraigh@maths.ucd.ie

^{1539-3755/2015/91(6)/062127(13)}

Equation (1) is modified in the presence of an incompressible velocity field v(x,t):

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} C = D \nabla^2 (C^3 - C - \gamma \nabla^2 C), \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0.$$
(2)

The velocity can either be externally prescribed (passive advection) or can arise due to coupling between hydrodynamics and phase separation (active advection, modeled using the coupled Navier-Stokes–Cahn-Hilliard equations [5–7]). The focus of the present work is however on the passive case, which can be regarded as an important physical limit of the active case [3]. Consideration is given to symmetric mixtures for which equal amounts of each fluid component are present, such that $\langle C \rangle = 0$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes spatial averaging. In view of the flux-conservative nature of the CH equation, for appropriate boundary conditions on Eqs. (1)–(2), an initially symmetric mixture will stay symmetric for all times: $\langle C \rangle(t) = 0$, for all $t \ge 0$. Since symmetric mixtures exemplify the generic properties of phase separation coupled with flow [4], this specialization results in only a little loss of generality.

The dynamics of the unstirred Eq. (1) admit a constant solution C_0 , with $C_0 = 0$ most relevant for symmetric mixtures; we include here a very brief discussion about the linear stability of the constant solution. Although this is a well-established approach [11], in the present context it provides a useful means of validating the numerical methods used in this work; also, it serves as a starting point for the effective-diffusion theories mentioned later in the paper, valid when the production of concentration variance is suppressed by strong stirring. Because of our focus here on symmetric mixtures it suffices to consider the constant solution $C_0 = 0$. This is linearly unstable: by inserting the trial solution C = $C_0 + \delta C(\mathbf{x}, t)$ into Eq. (1) and omitting nonlinear terms in δC , the equation $(\partial/\partial t)\delta C = -D\nabla^2 \delta C - \gamma D\nabla^4 \delta C$ is obtained, with normal-mode solution $\delta C \propto e^{\nu t + i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$, where \mathbf{k} is the wave vector and ν is the growth rate, connected to the wave vector through the dispersion relation

$$\nu(\boldsymbol{k}) = D(k^2 - \gamma k^4), \quad \boldsymbol{k} = |\boldsymbol{k}|. \tag{3}$$

Thus, the base state $C = C_0 = 0$ is always unstable. The cut-off wave number is $k_c = \gamma^{-1/2}$ and the most dangerous mode is $k_{\text{max}} = k_c/\sqrt{2}$. This instability is intimately connected to coarsening: starting with the initial condition $C = C_0 +$ [random fluctuations], local demixing acts at early times to produce small domains where $C = \pm 1$. These domains grow larger over time; more precisely, the characteristic size ℓ of the domains grows as $\ell \sim t^{1/3}$ (Lifshitz-Slyozov law). In the presence of shear flow, the coarsening is interrupted, leading to coarsening arrest at a particular length scale set by the flow [2–5,7].

Concerning the numerical simulation of the Cahn-Hilliard equation, several complementary methodologies exist in the literature. For simulations on periodic domains, pseudospectral methods provide for accurate spatial discretization and efficient implementation of the diffusionlike time step—especially when the time evolution is to be treated implicitly [13]. Pseudospectral methods may be extended to mixed boundary conditions by way of an alternative choice of basis functions in the nonperiodic directions [9,14]. Alternatively, the high-order spatial derivatives appearing in the

Cahn-Hilliard equation can be treated accurately using a discontinuous Galerkin method [15]. Finally, for the coupled Navier-Stokes Cahn-Hilliard equations, in addition to the methods described above, a Lattice-Boltzmann approach has also been used successfully in studies of thermocapillary flows [16,17]. For the present study, elements of certain of the above approaches have been combined in a way that aids efficient parallelization of the numerical method, which is vital for large-scale three-dimensional simulations. The precise computational methodology is described in Sec. II below.

Certainly, study of the Cahn-Hilliard equation is a wellworn furrow, with much insight available on the analytical side [18], on the theory of coarsening arrest [19], the influence of externally prescribed flow (references above), and in the area of active mixtures [5]. The aim of the present paper therefore is to investigate some narrow gaps in the existing literature, in particular the effects of dimensionality on the phase separation under the external shear flow, as well as the effects of flow anisotropy on the outcome of the same. A further goal in the paper is to establish a number of strict benchmarks that a numerical method must pass in order to be deemed a reliable way of simulating the Cahn-Hilliard dynamics. The manuscript is organized as follows. The methodology is first described in Sec. II (benchmark tests of the code are presented in Appendix). Section III contains the results of our investigations concerning coarsening arrest and the effects of dimensionality on the outcome of phase separation. Section IV concerns the study of the anisotropic shear flow in two and three dimensions in the coarsening arrest regime. Concluding remarks are presented in Sec. V.

II. METHODOLOGY

Equation (2) is solved on a unit cube in *d* dimensions (d = 2,3) with periodic boundary conditions in each spatial direction, together with a prescribed initial condition for $C(\mathbf{x},t=0)$. The equation is discretized in space and time and high-resolution numerical simulations are used to evolve the initial concentration forward in time. Two complementary numerical methods are used: a two-dimensional or three-dimensional finite-difference code (FDCH), supplemented by a lattice-advection model (2DLA). The 2DLA model is obtained from Ref. [4] and is revisited briefly here as it provides a way of rapidly generating a large parametric study in two dimensions. For each method used, the spatial and temporal discretizations are refined until numerical convergence is achieved.

Finite-difference code (FDCH). In the FDCH code, the concentration field is discretized on a single uniform grid. All spatial derivatives (even convective terms) are discretized using standard central differences. For temporal discretization, both the convective term and the nonlinear term $D\nabla^2(C^3 - C)$ are treated using the third-order Adams-Bashforth scheme. The code is stabilized by treating the hyperdiffusion term $-\gamma D\nabla^4 C$ fully implicitly, such that at each time step, the following linear problem must be solved:

$$(1 + \gamma D\Delta t \nabla^4) C_{ijk}^{n+1} = C_{ijk}^n + \Delta t \Big[\frac{23}{12} C_{ijk}^n - \frac{16}{12} C_{ijk}^{n-1} + \frac{5}{12} C_{ijk}^{n-2} \Big] := \text{RHS}, \quad (4)$$

where $C = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla C + D\nabla^2(C^3 - C)$, the superscript *n* denotes the temporal discretization, and the subscript (i, j, k) denotes a position on the discrete spatial grid.

To determine C_{ijk}^{n+1} and hence to evolve the state of the system forward in time, Eq. (4) requires the inversion of a fourth-order operator. Because of the parallel-computing method used (discussed below), it is more computationally efficient to solve a Helmholtz-like problem:

$$(1 - \alpha \nabla^2)^2 C_{ijk}^{n+1} = \text{RHS} - 2\alpha \nabla^2 C_{ijk}^{n+1}, \quad \alpha = \sqrt{\gamma D \Delta t}.$$
(5)

By reducing the problem (4) to this more canonical form, a large price is paid: the right-hand side of Eq. (5) is indeterminate. However, in practice the term C_{ijk}^{n+1} can be replaced by an interpolation of C_{ijk} obtained from prior times, and optimal interpolation coefficients can be chosen. The expression

$$(1 - \alpha \nabla^2)^2 C_{ijk}^{n+1} = \text{RHS} - 2\alpha \nabla^2 [2C_{ijk}^n - C_{ijk}^{n-1}]$$
(6)

yields a numerical solution that is in excellent agreement with a number of extremely precise benchmarks (See Appendix). Equation (6) can therefore be inverted by solving a pair of Helmholtz equations:

$$(1 - \alpha \nabla^2) C_{ijk}^{n+1} = \omega_{ijk}, \tag{7a}$$

$$(1 - \alpha \nabla^2) \omega_{ijk}^{n+1} = \text{RHS} - 2\alpha \nabla^2 \left[\frac{3}{2} C_{ijk}^n - \frac{1}{2} C_{ijk}^{n-1}\right].$$
 (7b)

In practice, this equation pair was solved using standard successive overrelaxation methods.

The accuracy of the temporal discretization is limited by the choice of backward Euler for the hyperdiffusive term [cf. Eq. (4)], meaning that the local truncation error incurred per time step is $O(\Delta t^2)$, and the truncation error over the course of the simulation is thus $O(\Delta t)$. The choice of backward Euler is unavoidable if the simulations are to be run within an acceptable time frame: the stability of the fully implicit treatment of the hyperdiffusive term mitigates the instability associated with the explicit treatment of the phase-separation terms, and the constraints imposed on the size of the time step by the latter are not therefore severe. Even going over to a Crank-Nicholson treatment of the hyperdiffusion operator disrupts this balance. This is discussed in Ref. [13]. The operator-factorization in Eq. (7) induces an additional temporal discretization error, but this can be shown to be $O(\Delta t^{2+(1/2)})$ per time step, meaning that the local truncation error is still dominated by the choice of backward-Euler for the hyperdiffusion. Also, it should be noted that all spatial derivatives are based on centered differences, and therefore the corresponding discretization errors are at worst $O(\Delta x^2)$, where Δx is the grid size, uniform in all three directions. The convergence of the numerical method with respect to grid refinement is checked for each parameter case studied. Some details of these checks are alluded to in Appendix. Finally, the numerical method was coded in FORTRAN 90. The method was parallelized by domain decomposition using MPI, with a domain-decomposition scheme that was the same in all three spatial dimensions. Validation tests of the FDCH code are presented in Appendix. Because of the technique used to invert

the fourth-order operator [i.e., Eq. (7)], it suffices only to swap a single halo of grid points between neighboring processes, thereby minimizing the computational overhead associated

with the parallel algorithm. Lattice advection model (2DLA). The 2DLA code is an operating-splitting technique wherein an advection half-step is performed first, followed by a Cahn-Hilliard diffusion halfstep. The Cahn-Hilliard equation is discretized on to a uniform grid (the lattice). The model flows suitable for this method have no stagnation points, such that at each time step, the lattice is mapped to itself under a bijective map defined by the flow field. Thus, the advection half step amounts to a Lagrangian scheme with a natural interpolation on to the underlying Eulerian grid (the lattice). Consequently, the combined half steps are a hybrid Eulerian-Lagrangian method, and the time step can be very large (no Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy time-step restriction [20]). In particular, for the model flow in Sec. III the time step can be as large as the flow quasiperiod in the case of small Cahn-Hilliard diffusivities. The details of the 2DLA code are presented elsewhere, together with extensive validation of the method [4].

Based on this generic description, some comments can be made about the numerical accuracy of the 2DLA code. Because the lattice advection model is essentially an operator-splitting method, the temporal discretization is associated with (at worst) an $O(\Delta t^2)$ local truncation error per time step, leading to (at worst) a truncation error over the course of the simulation that is $O(\Delta t)$. Unfortunately, this cannot be ameliorated by a clever choice of time marching: the evolution operator is split into two components and this truncation error is associated with the general lack of commutativity between these two component operators [21]. Thus, the local truncation error is limited by the initial choice of the operator-splitting approach. However, the truncation errors associated with the spatial variations are much improved with this method (compared say to FDCH). There are three sources of error here. The first two sources of error are the interpolation error associated with the Lagrangian half step, and truncation error associated with the Cahn-Hilliard half step. Because the sine flow involves a bijection from the lattice to itself, no interpolation is needed, and the advection half step (for this very particular flow) incurs no truncation error. Because the Cahn-Hilliard half step is implemented in a psuedospectral framework, the discretization error here is expected to be exponentially small, i.e., proportional to e^{-qN^r} , where q and r are positive constants and N is the number of grid points in each direction [22]. Finally, because the numerical instabilities are suppressed by the backward-Euler implementation of the hyperdiffusive term and also because the simulation is spatially well resolved, no aliasing errors are observed, and hence the discretization error (i.e., the third source of error) is comparable to the truncation error [22]. Hence, the errors in the spatial dimensions are exponentially small for the 2DLA code.

III. RESULTS: ISOTROPIC FLOWS

The effect of chaotic shear flow via passive advection is modeled with a random-phase sine flow with quasiperiod τ



FIG. 1. (Color online) (Circles) Average value of the maximal fully converged infinite-time Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda(N,k_0,\tau)$ of the flow (8) and (9), with $\tau = 0.01$ and $k_0 = 2\pi$ (box size L = 1). Circles: 3D case; Crosses: 2D case.

such that, in the 3D case, at time t,

 $u = A\sin[k_0(y+z) + \varphi], \quad 0 \le \operatorname{mod}(t,\tau) < \frac{1}{3}\tau, \qquad (8a)$

$$v = A\sin[k_0(x+z) + \psi], \quad \frac{1}{3}\tau \leqslant \operatorname{mod}(t,\tau) < \frac{2}{3}\tau, \quad (8b)$$

$$w = A\sin[k_0(x+y) + \chi], \quad \frac{2}{3}\tau \le \operatorname{mod}(t,\tau) < \tau, \qquad (8c)$$

where the velocity components not listed are zero and where *t* is written uniquely as $t = q\tau + \mu$ for *q* zero or a positive integer, with $0 \le \mu < \tau$, and hence $mod(t,\tau) := \mu$. The random phases (φ, ψ, χ) are renewed after *N* quasiperiods τ . The flow therefore has two timescales, with $\tau_{corr} = N\tau$ being the correlation time. Correspondingly, the 2D simulations are obtained using a flow analogous to Eq. (8):

$$u = A\sin(k_0y + \varphi), \quad 0 \leq \operatorname{mod}(t,\tau) < \frac{1}{2}\tau,$$
 (9a)

$$v = A\sin(k_0x + \psi), \quad \frac{1}{2}\tau \leq \operatorname{mod}(t,\tau) < \tau,$$
 (9b)

where the velocity components not listed are zero and all other symbols have the same meaning as in Eq. (8). Based on the velocity fields (8) and (9), Eq. (2) is solved in units for which the mean velocity

$$U = \sqrt{2} \left(\lim_{T \to \infty} T^{-1} \int_0^T \langle \boldsymbol{v}^2 \rangle \, dt \right)^{1/2} \tag{10}$$

and box size *L* are both unity [hence, A = 1 in Eqs. (8) and (9) also], meaning that there are three independent flow parameters (τ , *N*, k_0). The corresponding nondimensional diffusion parameters are $\mathcal{D} = D/UL$ and $C_n = \gamma/L^2$, which correspond respectively to the inverse Péclet number and the square of the Cahn number.

A preliminary investigation here concerns the derivation of a measure of the mean strain rate associated with the flows (8) and (9), to be denoted by Λ . Not only must Λ take account of the flow amplitude and flow length scale, but also the flow timescales. Thus, the mean rate of strain is identified with the average value of the maximal Lyapunov exponent of the flow, computed in a standard fashion in both two and three dimensions [23]. The results are shown in Fig. 1. Care is needed in interpreting the results reported in this figure: for each parameter set (N, k_0, τ) a finite-time Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda_q(x_0)$ is calculated for a trajectory starting at x_0 and evolved forwards in time through q iterations of the sine-flow map [which in turn is based on Equations (8) or (9)]. The result

TABLE I. Summary of simulations performed in Sec. III. Here $\tau_{\text{corr}} = N\tau$, where τ is the quasiperiod and N is the number of quasiperiods after which the random phases of the chaotic flow are renewed. Also, C_n is the square of the Cahn number.

	Grid size	$ au_{ m corr}$	\mathcal{C}_{n}	
2DLA	512 ²	Various	1.5×10^{-5}	
FDCH2D-long	314 ²	1	10^{-4}	
FDCH2D-short	314 ²	0.01	10^{-4}	
FDCH3D-long	314 ³	1	10^{-4}	
FDCH3D-short	314 ³	0.01	10^{-4}	

is then averaged over a large ensemble of initial points x_0 and an average value $\langle \Lambda_q \rangle$ is obtained. The averaged result is then investigated for convergence in the limit as $q \to \infty$. Only when convergence is achieved is the infinite-time Lyapunov exponent $\Lambda(N, k_0, \tau)$ identified, the results of which are shown in Fig. 1.

The reason we use a sine flow with random phases is because the random phases break the invariant tori that exist in the regular sine flow, a feature of which is the coexistence of regular and chaotic regions in the flow domain. This, in contrast to the regular sine flow, the random-phase sine flow produces a homogeneous mixing of the phase-separating fluid, characterized by a Lyapunov exponent that is positive for all stirring amplitudes and for all initial conditions (the constant-phase sine-flow has a zero Lyapunov exponent for small flow amplitudes [3,24]). Because of the uniform nature of the mixing in the random-phase sine flow stirring, it can be used as a model (albeit very simplified) for turbulent stirring at high Prandtl number, but at much lower computational cost.

A campaign of simulations based on Eq. (2) in the presence of the shear flows (8) and (9) is carried out, the details of which are summarized in Table I. In the FDCH code, the flow quasiperiod is set to $\tau = 0.01$, such that the correlation time is $\tau_{corr} = 1$ for the FDCH-long simulations and $\tau_{corr} = 0.01$



FIG. 2. (Color online) Flow-pattern map showing the results of the different simulations. Filled symbols indicate situations where decay of the concentration variance occurs. Part of the boundary between the regime of variance decay and coarsening arrest has been filled in. Circles: FDCH3D-long; crosses: FDCH3D-short; squares: FDCH2D-long; stars: FDCH2D-short; diamonds: 2DLA.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Snapshot results for FDCH3D-long. Shown are isovolume plots based on $\phi = (C + 1)/2$. Top row: $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-5}$, with t = 1,4,8 reading from left to right; middle row: $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-3}$, with t = 1,4,8 reading from left to right; bottom row: $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-1}$, with t = 1,2,4 reading from left to right.

for the FDCH-short simulations (recall, $\tau_{\rm corr} = N\tau$). For the 2DLA code, a range of flow correlation times is possible, relevant values of which are alluded to in the text. Also in the 2DLA simulations, a lattice method is used, such that the time step can be set equal to the flow quasi-period (as discussed in Sec. II). For all other simulations the time step is $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$ or $\Delta t = 10^{-5}$ depending on the requirements for the numerical method to converge.

A brief review of the results in Table I reveals that coarsening arrest occurs in all simulations. For certain cases drawn from the simulation runs 2DLA, FDCH2D-long and FDCH3D-long, the variance $\sigma(t) = \langle C^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ decays exponentially (Fig. 2).

The full details are presented in the subsections below. The focus in subsections A, B is on the results of the study of passive chaotic shear-driven phase separation, reported in three dimensions. However, these results echo the findings of previous studies in two dimensions. Therefore, a key point of departure is subsection C wherein the differences between the 2D and 3D cases are made manifest in the probability distribution function of the concentration field in the hyperdiffusive regime.

A. Characterization of the different regimes

Snapshots of the concentration for the case FDCH3D-long are shown in Fig. 3. For $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-5}$, the concentration field is well mixed and the domain structure is not discernible. For $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-1}$ a clear domain structure emerges, albeit that the shape of the domains is modified by the flow. The case with $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-3}$ is intermediate between these extremes.

The variance $\sigma^2(t)$ as a function of \mathcal{D} is examined in Fig. 4(a). For $\mathcal{D} \leq 10^{-4}$ the variance decays exponentially in time, at a \mathcal{D} -dependent rate. For $\mathcal{D} \geq 10^{-2}$ the variance grows rapidly and then saturates at a value close to unity. The case $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-3}$ is intermediate: the fate of the variance is saturation, but this is a slow process, and the saturated value is much less than unity. Also, if the variance saturates, it can be used to measure the typical size of the binary-fluid domains, through the formula $\ell \propto (1 - \sigma^2)^{-1}$ [Ref. [5] and



FIG. 4. (Color online) Results from the simulation FDCH3Dlong: (a) the concentration variance; (b) the length scale $\ell \propto 1/(1 - \sigma^2)$, shown as a function of time for various values of the inverse Péclet number \mathcal{D} . The saturation of ℓ at late times reveals itself more convincingly in a plot wherein a linear scale is used on both axes. This can be seen (albeit indirectly) by comparing (a) and (b): the variance clearly saturates for $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}$ in (a) (linear scales) and hence it can be concluded that $1/(1 - \sigma^2)$ saturates for the same values of \mathcal{D} in (b).

Fig. 4(b)]. For $D \ge 10^{-3}$, the length scale grows initially as $t^{1/3}$ according to the LS growth law, until the onset of coarsening arrest induced by the shear flow. A similar picture emerges for the other simulations in Table I, albeit that only in the cases FDCH3D-long, FDCH2D-long and 2DLA is genuine variance decay observed (in the other simulations the variance saturates at a very low level). The correlation time of the chaotic flow therefore seems to play a crucial role in the outcome of phase separation. To summarize, three regimes can be distinguished:

(i) For small values of diffusivity ($D \lesssim 10^{-4}$), the concentration variance decays exponentially, thereby leading to a well-mixed state without any discernible structure [Fig. 3(c)]. This hyperdiffusive regime has already been observed numerically in the presence of forced hydrodynamic turbulence, in the limit of high forcing amplitude [5]. It was earlier proposed analytically, in the same context [25], wherein it was suggested that a strong enough turbulence level might cause the system to become well mixed through an enhancement of eddy diffusivity.

(ii) For high values of diffusivity ($D \gtrsim 10^{-2}$), the characteristic length scale of the flow initially grows according to the LS law, then eventually saturates at a finite value, reflecting a clear domain structure [see Fig. 3(i)]. This coarsening arrest was first discussed by Ref. [8], and first evidenced numerically in Ref. [2] for a chaotic flow. It was then observed and quantified in numerous works, in the presence of shear [3,4] or turbulent [5,7] flows.

(iii) For intermediate values of diffusivity ($\mathcal{D} \approx 10^{-3}$), the concentration variance saturates more slowly, at a value much smaller than in case (ii) [Fig. 3(f)].

To place these results in a more quantitative context, a comparative study based on all simulation results in Table I is performed. The saturated value of $(1 - \sigma^2)^{-1}$ (where it exists) is plotted for the various simulations (Fig. 5). The quantity of data available for this particular plot is relatively meagre for the non-lattice-based simulations. However, for 2DLA a large quantity of data is available. All of the evidence suggests a dependence $\ell \propto (D/\Lambda)^{0.28}$ for the arrested length scale, both in two and three dimensions.



FIG. 5. (Color online) The time-average of $(1 - \sigma^2)^{-1}$ corresponding to coarsening arrest.

The coarsening arrest can be explained as a dynamic balance between the advection term $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla C$ with typical magnitude Λ and the phase-separation term $\mathcal{D}\nabla^2(C^3 - C - C_n\nabla^2 C)$, with typical magnitude $C_n^{1/2}\mathcal{D}/\ell^3$ (Ref. [19]), leading to a balance $\ell \sim (\mathcal{D}/\Lambda)^{1/3}$ [3,5]. The measured exponent (common to all simulations in both two and three dimensions) is 0.28 and is therefore close to the theoretical value. In Ref. [5] scaling exponents between 0.28 and 0.29 are reported for flow fields completely distinct from the configuration in Eqs. (8) and (9), thereby highlighting the applicability of the universal theory for which the exponent is precisely 1/3. The deviation from the theoretical exponent of 1/3 may arise due to the presence of additional length scales in the flow-driven simulations (e.g., hyperdiffusive length scale, velocity-field correlation, etc.).

B. Onset of the diffusive regime: interpretation in terms of Batchelor length scales

The chosen measure of length scale loses its relevance when the variance decays, coinciding with a regime wherein hyperdiffusion is important in its own right, thereby leading to a collection of disparate scales that enter the balance between advection and the other terms in Eq. (2). For this reason, consideration is given also to the Batchelor length scales $k_2 = (\overline{\|\nabla C\|_2^2 / \|C\|_2^2})^{1/2}$ and $k_4 = (\overline{\|\nabla^2 C\|_2^2 / \|C\|_2^2})^{1/4}$ time averaged over (quasisteady) late times. The results are shown in Fig. 6. For the Batchelor scale k_2 , it is possible to fit the curve $k_2 \sim (\mathcal{D}/\Lambda)^{-0.17}$ through all the data in Table I, where the exponent is obtained by taking the average over all the simulation families (average: -0.17, standard deviation 0.02). Similarly, for the scale k_4 a clear trend is visible among all the simulation families wherein the dependence on (\mathcal{D}/Λ) changes as one moves from coarsening arrest to a more diffusive regime accompanied by a decay in the concentration variance. The trend is clearest in the data-rich 2DLA simulation runs and for that reason, a power-law fit for k_4 is presented in that context only, with $k_4 \sim (\mathcal{D}/\Lambda)^{-0.09}$ for coarsening arrest and $k_4 \sim (\mathcal{D}/\Lambda)^{-0.16}$ for diffusion. Fits for the other simulation cases yielded a similar result albeit that some variation exists between each simulation family. This is not surprising: there is a larger quantity of data in the 2DLA simulations and the rapidity of the simulations performed resulted in averages being taken over long intervals.



FIG. 6. (Color online) The time average of the Batchelor scales k_2 (a) and k_4 (b). Plot key: (circles) 2DLA; (squares) FDCH2D-long; (diamonds) FDCH2D-short; (stars) FDCH3D-long. The case FDCH3D-short is not shown because convergence to a statistically steady state was not reached by t = 10.

Nevertheless, a clear similarity between all the simulation runs is evidenced by Fig. 6. Again focusing on 2DLA, a further simulation run was performed wherein it was found that $k_{2,4} \sim C_n^{-0.34}$, thus, variations in the parameter C_n affect the outcome of the phase separation.

These scaling results can be used to obtain a more quantitative criterion for the onset of variance decay. A variance budget is derived by multiplying Eq. (2) by *C* and averaging the result over the spatial domain $[0, L]^n$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt} = -\mathcal{D}\langle (3C^2 - 1)|\nabla C|^2 \rangle - \mathcal{C}_{\rm n}\mathcal{D}\langle |\nabla^2 C|^2 \rangle, \quad (11)$$

where the second term of the right-hand side is negative definite and represents dissipation; the first term is sign indefinite and can correspond either to variance production or dissipation. The focus herein is on the decaying case: assuming a decaying concentration variance, quartic terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (11) are neglected compared to quadratic terms, yielding $d\sigma^2/dt \approx 2D\langle |\nabla C|^2 \rangle - 2C_n D\langle |\nabla^2 C|^2 \rangle$, which is further approximated as

$$\frac{d\sigma^2}{dt} \approx 2\mathcal{D}(k_2^2 - \mathcal{C}_{\rm n}k_4^4)\sigma^2, \qquad (12)$$

and the variance growth rate is estimated as $\rho = 2\mathcal{D}(k_2^2 - C_n k_4^4)$, with $\sigma^2 \propto e^{\rho t}$. The remaining terms in Eq. (12) are estimated using the scaling laws for the Batchelor scales: $k_2 = c_2(\Lambda/\mathcal{D})^p C_n^{-r}$ and $k_4 = c_4(\Lambda/\mathcal{D})^q C_n^{-r}$, where

 c_2 and c_4 are O(1) positive constants independent of the physical parameters. The onset of variance decay is therefore given by $\rho = 0$, hence $k_2^2 = C_n k_4^4$. Using the scaling rules for k_2 and k_4 , this condition can be reexpressed as $\Lambda/\mathcal{D} = (c_4^4/c_2^2)^{1/(2p-4q)} C_n^{(1-2r)/(2p-4q)}$. A momentary reversion to dimensional physical parameters suggests that the natural balance for the onset of hyperdiffusion is $\Lambda/\mathcal{D} \propto$ C_n^{-1} , further suggesting 2r - 1 = 2p - 4q. For the simulation database given by 2DLA, we have 2p - 4q = 2(0.17) - 4(0.17)4(0.16) = -0.30 and 2r - 1 = -0.32. Allowing for some spread between these measured exponents and the true values, the theory can be regarded as self-consistent, and furthermore demonstrates the manner in which each of the parameters $(\Lambda, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}_n)$ affects the outcome of the phase separation. The theory is also consistent with the scaling argument given in Ref. [4] for the concentration spatial structure to contain diffusive filaments rather than the droplets more characteristic of phase separation, albeit that the decay of the concentration variance was not observed in that earlier work owing to the authors' not having probed the full range of flow amplitudes and diffusivities.

C. Probability distribution function of the concentration in the hyperdiffusive regime

The difference between the two- and three-dimensional cases is clearest in the hyperdiffusive regime. For this reason, we examine the probability distribution function (PDF) of the concentration, taken at late times, as a function of the parameter \mathcal{D} . Consideration is given to the cases FDCH3D-long, FDCH2D-long, and 2DLA wherein genuine variance decay observed. Each simulation family produces qualitatively similar results: the PDF is unimodal and centered at the origin in the hyperdiffusive regime, while for the coarsening-arrest regime the distribution is bimodal, with phase separation $C = \pm 1$ being favored (see, e.g., Ref. [4]). Between these extremes there exists a crossover distribution that is unimodal and centered at the origin but having a width that is much larger than the earlier purely diffusive cases.

Further examination of the PDF for hyperdiffusive regimes and at late times is presented in Fig. 7. The variable along the horizontal axis is $C/\sigma(t)$. The two-dimensional studies attain a self-similar distribution during the course of the simulations, such that the kurtosis defect of the distribution is constant on



FIG. 7. (Color online) PDF of concentration for decaying cases: (a) a particular long-correlation-time realization of 2DLA, with $\tau_{corr} = 0.5$ and $\mathcal{D} = 2 \times 10^{-3}$, (b) FDCH2D-long, $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-5}$, $t \in [4,10]$; (c) FDCH3D-long, $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-5}$, $t \in [4,8]$. The inset in each panel shows the kurtosis defect (flatness) of the PDF as a function of time.

average [Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)]. The PDF possesses a Gaussian core, followed by an exponentially decaying region just outside the core. The tails of the PDF decay more slowly however, corresponding to a very flat distribution and the large kurtosis defect seen in the inset panels in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). In other words, the normalized PDF possesses fat tails whereby extreme events are relatively common (compared to random extreme events associated with Gaussian processes). Thus, pockets of unmixed binary fluid where the variance is still quite high are likely.

The simulation FDCH3D-long also demonstrates a statistically steady Gaussian core followed by an exponentially decaying region just outside the core [Fig. 7(c)]. The kurtosis defect increases over the course of the simulation, meaning that further flattening of the distribution is expected as time goes by, indicating also that a completely statistically steady state is not attained. Nevertheless, the core and exponentially decaying regions of the distribution exhibit self-similarity out to five standard deviations. From these results it is possible to draw a contrast between the two- and three-dimensional cases: in the two-dimensional case, a fully self-similar PDF is attained relatively rapidly, characterized by an extremely fat-tailed distribution. The tendency towards equilibrium is slower in three dimensions and the distribution (while still far from normal) has narrower tails.

Mathematically, this result makes sense, at least under the supposition that the effects of the advection can be parametrized at very late times by a linear theory involving an effective-diffusion operator. Certainly, in the regime of interest the amplitude of the concentration is maintained at small values and linearized dynamics pertain. Although effectivediffusion theory may not apply exactly (even accounting for the presence of the Cahn-Hilliard hyperdiffusion term), such an approach gives an insight into the effect of dimensionality. Thus, we use here a diffusive-type process to model the late-stage linearized dynamics. The correlation function $\operatorname{Corr}(\boldsymbol{r},t) = L^{-d} \int_{[0,L]^d} d^d x C(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r})C(\boldsymbol{x})$ for such linearized dynamics on a periodic domain is readily calculated, and such calculations (along standard lines) reveal that Corr(r,t)decays more rapidly in three dimensions rather than two as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$: correlations persist over longer scales in two dimensions compared to three dimensions, leading to fatter tails in the concentration PDF in two dimensions compared to three dimensions [26]. More precise work along these lines is a subject for future work (cf. Refs. [27,28] for pertinent calculations going beyond effective-diffusion theories but relating only to pure advection-diffusion processes). Indeed, the stationarity of the normalized PDF is reminiscent of the strange eigenmode for advection diffusion [29], wherein the spatial distribution of the tracer concentration in a chaotic flow (with correlation times comparable to the shear timescale) becomes statistically self-similar, modulo the constraint that the variance decays exponentially. In contrast to advectiondiffusion, however, the variance budget and the associated heuristic arguments advanced herein indicate a nontrivial dependence of the variance decay rate on the diffusivity. These distinctions nevertheless open up the possibility that a nontrivial extension of the spectral theory of advection diffusion [27] might apply to the present (linearized) largeflow-amplitude advective Cahn-Hilliard dynamics.

TABLE II. Simulations with anisotropic flow and the code FDCH, with $\mathcal{D} = 10^{-2}$ and $C_n = 10^{-4}$. For the 3D cases, $A_x = A_y = \sqrt{3/2} \sin(\pi/12)$ and $A_z^2 = 3 - A_x^2 - A_y^2$ corresponding to a larger flow amplitude in the *z* direction. For the 2D cases, $A_x = \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi/12)$ and $A_y^2 = 2 - A_x^2$, corresponding to a larger flow amplitude in the *y* direction.

	Grid Size	Ν	$ au_{ m corr} = N au$	Δt
2DAniso1, 3DAniso1	314 ⁿ	100	1	10^{-4}
2DAniso2, 3DAniso2	314 ⁿ	1	0.01	10^{-4}

IV. FLOW ANISOTROPY IN THE COARSENING ARREST REGIME

The random-phase sine flow (8) is reparametrized such that the flow amplitudes in the three spatial dimensions are possibly distinct and equal to A_x , A_y , and A_z [an analogous procedure is carried out with respect to the two-dimensional random-phase sine flow (9)]. The aim again is to compare and contrast the phase separation in two and three dimensions. Four simulations are performed, the details of which are summarized in Table II. The results are analyzed in what follows. The 2D results are discussed first. The Batchelor scales

$$k_{x,y}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k} k_{x,y} |C_{k}(t)|^{2}}{\sum_{k} |C_{k}(t)|^{2}}, \quad \ell_{x} = 2\pi/k_{x}, \quad \ell_{y} = 2\pi/k_{y}$$
(13)

are obtained as a measure of the typical length scales in each spatial direction, and indicate that for long correlation times, the domains align rapidly in the direction of greatest flow



FIG. 8. (Color online) Results for the simulation 2DAniso1 (N = 100). (a) Batchelor length scales as a function of time (the inset is the same plot, only shown over a much longer time interval). (b), (c) Snapshots of concentration: (b) just before saturation of ℓ_x , with t = 0.5 (c) after saturation of ℓ_x , with t = 5.



FIG. 9. (Color online) Results for the simulation 2DAniso2 (N = 1).

amplitude (Fig. 8). In contrast, for short correlation times, the domains align transiently in the direction of least flow amplitude before slowly realigning in the opposite direction such that the asymptotic state ends up the same regardless of correlation time (Figs. 9 and 10).

Further simulations on larger domains (not shown) reveal that the saturation is not due to finite-size effects, although such effects do alter the time of saturation.

These results are explained as follows. In the case of long correlation times, the transport is advective. Power counting on the equation of motion gives $1/T = A_x/\ell_x$ in the first half period of the random-phase sine flow and $1/T = A_y/\ell_y$ in the second half period of the flow. The timescale is assumed to be the same in each half period: the domains adjust to the flow such that the time scale of the Cahn-Hilliard dynamics can be equated with *T* in the above equations, giving $A_x/\ell_x = A_y/\ell_y$, hence $\ell_y/\ell_x = A_y/A_x$, hence $\ell_y > \ell_x$. This prediction agrees qualitatively with Figs. 8–10 (the latter at late time), although the precise quantitative agreement is absent. This is not surprising, as the estimates for the timescale in the above equations are only heuristic: better estimates might be obtained by the kind of Lagrangian calculations performed at

the beginning of Sec. III for the computation of the (isotropic) Lyapunov exponent Λ .

In the case of short correlation times, the constant renovation of the phases disrupts the coherence of the advective transport, meaning the effect of the flow is more diffusive in nature [30]. Additionally, at short times, the amplitude of the concentration field is small, meaning that a linearized dynamics is appropriate, wherein the advective term is parametrized by an effective diffusivity, with $\partial_t \langle \langle C \rangle \rangle =$ $\partial_i (\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff},ij} \partial_j \langle \langle C \rangle) - \mathcal{D} \nabla^2 \langle \langle C \rangle \rangle - \mathcal{D} C_n \nabla^4 \langle \langle C \rangle \rangle$, where $\langle \langle C \rangle \rangle$ denotes the homogenized concentration field in the regime of the linearized dynamics, and where $\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff},ij}$ is the effective diffusivity tensor. Due to the choice $A_y > A_x$ in the flow field, in a first approximation we take $\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff},ij} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} \delta_{i2} \delta_{j2}$, to give

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle\!\langle C \rangle\!\rangle = \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \langle\!\langle C \rangle\!\rangle - \mathcal{D} \nabla^2 \langle\!\langle C \rangle\!\rangle - \mathcal{C}_{\text{n}} \mathcal{D} \nabla^4 \langle\!\langle C \rangle\!\rangle, \quad (14)$$

for which the dispersion relation is

$$\nu(k_x,k_y) = \mathcal{D}(k_x^2 + k_y^2) - \mathcal{C}_n \mathcal{D}(k_x^2 + k_y^2)^2 - \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}k_y^2, \quad (15)$$

with a maximum along the x axis. Thus, the modes selected by the transient linearized dynamics are oriented strictly along the x direction. This description agrees qualitatively with the picture of the early-time dynamics in Fig. 10: here, the earlytime dynamics of the domain formation are frozen in to the concentration field at early times up to t = 5 (such that the domains align in the x direction), until the nonlinear dynamics take over and lead to the eventual alignment of the domains in the y direction.

The validity of this description has been confirmed by a number of numerical experiments: we first of all carried out a numerical simulation similar to 2DAniso2, but with the nonlinear term C^3 in the chemical potential set to zero (i.e., linearized dynamics for all time). In this scenario, the domains (to the extent that such structures exist within the linearized dynamics) align in the *x* direction for all time. A second experiment was performed identical to 2DAniso2 but



FIG. 10. (Color online) Results for the simulation 2DAniso2 (N = 1), with t = 5,10,15,20,25 [reading from (a) to (e)].



FIG. 11. (Color online) Typical length scales $\ell_x = 2\pi/k_x$, $\ell_y = 2\pi/k_y$ and $\ell_z = 2\pi/k_z$ corresponding to the simulations 3DAniso1 and 3DAniso2 respectively. (a) N = 100; (b) N = 1.

with highly nonlinear initial conditions (a disk-shaped domain of C = 1 in a sea of C = -1). In this scenario, the domain is stretched in the y direction from the very beginning of the simulation: no alignment (no matter how brief) in the x direction is seen, confirming the importance of the linearized dynamics in the creation of transient structures aligned in the x direction.

These results are consistent with our knowledge of phase separation in passive shear flows [3,31]. There, consideration is given to unidirectional shear flow in two dimensions (Ref. [31] also contains some discussion about the three-dimensional case), with $v(x) = Sy\hat{x}$, where S is the shear rate. Simple power counting on the equation of motion gives $\ell_x = St \ell_y$ in two dimensions, meaning that the system coarsens more rapidly in the flow direction. Clearly, the present application does not correspond exactly to unidirectional shear flow but the analogy persists: the domains in the present application align in the direction of greatest flow amplitude, as opposed to the direction of the velocity gradient. Of concern in the work on unidirectional shear flows in two dimensions is the ultimate fate of a binary fluid under passive unidirectional shear flow [3,31]. These works reveal that $\ell_x \sim t$ and $\ell_y \sim \text{Const.}$ as $t \to \infty$ (i.e., no quasisteady state exists). Obviously, this particular aspect is distinct from the present work, wherein the chaoticity of the flow arrests the coarsening (the coarsening arrest in Figs. 8 and 10 is confirmed to be independent of finite-size effects, in the sense that such arrest also occurs on domains of much larger size for which $\lim_{t\to\infty} (\ell_x, \ell_y) \ll L$, where *L* denotes the box size in the simulation).

In three dimensions, for long correlation times, the picture is similar to the one in two dimensions: rapid alignment of the domains in the direction of greatest flow amplitude [Fig. 11(a)], and eventual saturation of the domain size.

For the case of short correlation times [Fig. 11(b)], asymptotic switching of the domain alignment occurs by $t \approx 4$: for $t \leq 4$ we have $\ell_x \approx \ell_y$ and $\ell_z < (\ell_x, \ell_y)$, while for $t \geq 4$ the trend reverses, with $\ell_x \approx \ell_y$ persisting, but $\ell_z > (\ell_x, \ell_y)$. This trend is obscured by $t \approx 8$ where analysis breaks down. However, by this point, finite-size effects spoil the scaling laws, since ℓ_x, ℓ_y, ℓ_z are all comparable to half the box size. The initial phase of the evolution up to $t \approx 4$ can be explained again with respect to Eq. (15), which now reads

$$\nu(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}, k_z) = \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^2 + k_z^2) - C_n \mathcal{D}(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^2 + k_z^2)^2 - \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} k_z^2,$$

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} = (k_x, k_y).$$
(16)

From Eq. (16) it therefore follows that any mode $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, 0)$ will be favored by the linearized dynamics, meaning that dominant growth of the domains in the *x* and *y* directions is equally likely. Thus, the dynamical model constructed in the two-dimensional case for the short correlation times persists in the 3D case also, albeit that an extra degree of freedom pertains, such that domain alignment in the *x* and *y* directions is equally likely in three dimensions.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing, we have performed a unified and detailed analysis of the phase separation in the presence of chaotic shear flow, for different values of the diffusivity and of the correlation time, in two and three dimensions. It is shown that the correlation time of the random-phase sine flow (itself a simple model of turbulence) can be used to tune the outcome of phase separation: for long correlation times, large flow amplitudes and sufficiently small diffusivities not only is the phase separation arrested and a filamentary concentration field produced, but the concentration variance decays exponentially, reminiscent of advection diffusion. Flow time scales therefore play an important role, and not just flow amplitudes and length scales. In earlier studies (e.g., Ref. [5]), results were presented as a function of these latter parameters, but the simulations were carried out with a dynamical model of flow (Navier-Stokes equations). As a consequence, the correlation times obeyed the dynamics and might also vary from one case to the other.

The onset of the hyperdiffusive regime (itself characterized by a decay of the concentration variance) is interpreted herein in terms of Batchelor length scales, a theory shown to be self-consistent. In the hyperdiffusive regime, the importance of dimensionality is illustrated by investigating the concentration PDF in the ultimate state of phase separation: the tail of the distribution is fatter in two dimensions compared to three. This has been explained by analogy with a theory of effective diffusion: the Green's function for such an operator decays more slowly in two dimensions compared to three, leading to a concentration distribution with fat tails in two dimensions. Nevertheless, we have very carefully outlined the two criteria that are strictly necessary for such an effective theory to hold: namely, the suppression and ultimate decay of the concentration variance, and a velocity field that varies on either short temporal or spatial scales [30]. In this precise limit, the effects of the flow can be thought of as equivalent to those of an effective diffusion, while in all other cases, this analogy is only loose (and fails completely when the nonlinear dynamics takes over, i.e., in cases when the concentration variance is no longer suppressed).

Turning to anisotropic flows, we have found that the correlation time and the diffusivity can again be used as switches, this time to tune the direction in which the binary-fluid domains align. It will be useful to investigate the universality of these results with respect to active Cahn-Hilliard fluids forced in a turbulent manner according to various protocols in two and three dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L.Ó.N. acknowledges the DJEI/DES/SFI/HEA Irish Centre for High-End Computing (ICHEC) for the provision of computational facilities and support. L.Ó.N. also acknowledges Hendrik Hoffmann's maintenance of the Orr computer cluster in the School of Mathematical Sciences in UCD and support for users of the same. S.S. was supported by the School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin, through a Research Demonstratorship.

APPENDIX: BENCHMARK TESTS OF THE NUMERICAL METHOD FDCH

In this section the Cahn-Hilliard equation without flow is solved numerically, using the FDCH, in three distinct contexts. The aim is not only to validate the FDCH numerical method described in Sec. II, but also to describe more generally three benchmark problems that can be used to stress test any numerical method for the Cahn-Hilliard equation.

1. Linear stability analysis

The FDCH code is configured as a one-dimensional model, and the initial condition $C(x,t=0) = \epsilon \cos[n(2\pi/L)x]$ is prescribed, where the size of the domain in the x direction is L = 1, where $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$, and where n is a positive integer. The code is executed for a range of n values. For each nvalue, a time series $||C||_2(t) := \left[\int dx C(x,t)^2\right]^{1/2}$ is obtained. The L^2 norm $||C||_2(t)$ is seen to grow exponentially at the growth rate $v[k = n(2\pi/L)]$, consistent with the linear theory in Eq. (3). A comparison between the linear theory and the numerical growth rates is shown in Fig. 12, and excellent agreement is obtained. The agreement between the theory and the model is due not only to the fidelity of the FDCH algorithm to the underlying equation [Eq. (1)], but also due to the well-resolved simulation in Fig. 12 where we have ensured that $\Delta x \ll 2\pi/k_c = 2\pi \gamma^{1/2}$ (otherwise there would be additional discrete effects due to the numerical approximations of ∇^2 via finite differences).

2. Weakly nonlinear analysis

The linear theory exemplified by Eq. (3) represents a dramatic simplification of the original problem (1), and is obviously limited in its applicability to short times where the quantity $||C||_2$ remains small, such that nonlinear terms



FIG. 12. (Color online) Comparison between linear theory and direct numerical simulation. Model parameters: D = 1 and $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-4}$. Simulation parameters: $\Delta x = 1/304$, $\Delta t = 10^{-6}$.

in Eq. (1) are negligible. However, (again in one spatial dimension) the applicability of the theory can be extended in a barely supercritical regime wherein only a single unstable mode fits inside the periodic domain [0, L]. Parameters appropriate for this regime are L = 1 and $\gamma = 1/8\pi^2$. The most dangerous mode therefore occurs at $k_1 = 2\pi/L$ and the cutoff is at $k_c = \sqrt{2}k_1$, with $k_1 < k_c < 2k_1$, such that precisely one unstable mode fits inside the box.

The complete solution of Eq. (1) is expanded as a Fourier series,

$$C(x,t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(t) e^{ik_n x}, \quad k_n = (2\pi/L)n, \quad A_{-n} = A_n^*,$$
(A1)

with $A_0 = 0$ for symmetric mixtures. The solution (A1) is substituted into Eq. (1). One obtains the following amplitude equations:

$$\frac{dA_n}{dt} = \nu(k_n)A_n - Dk_n^2 \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} A_p A_q A_{n-p-q}.$$
 (A2)

For a barely supercritical system, the fundamental mode $(n = \pm 1)$ has a positive linear growth rate, while all other modes have a negative linear growth rate. Initially therefore, the fundamental dominates the evolution. Overtones will only be relevant if they couple to the fundamental. We therefore simplify Eq. (A2) by considering the dominant modes. These will be the fundamental and a handful of overtones. In view of the cubic nature of the nonlinearity (and neglecting the roundoff error that is present in an actual simulation), an initial condition containing only the fundamental mode will evolve into a disturbance containing only odd multiples of the fundamental. We therefore reduce the equations down to a triple by considering the fundamental and the n = 3.5overtones, and by neglecting all other modes. A further simplification occurs in the overtone equations, wherein one considers the most-dominant interaction terms only; i.e., those that involve powers of A_1 . Thus, we arrive at the following set of equations

$$\frac{dA_1}{dt} = \nu(k_1)A_1 - Dk_1^2A_1(6|A_5|^2 + 6|A_3|^2 + 3|A_1|^2) - 3Dk_1^2A_3(A_3A_5^* + A_1^*A_1^*) - 6Dk_1^2A_1^*A_3^*A_5,$$
(A3a)

$$\frac{dA_3}{dt} = \nu(k_3)A_3 - Dk_3^2 A_1^3,$$
 (A3b)

$$\frac{dA_5}{dt} = \nu(k_5)A_5 - 3Dk_5^2A_1^2A_3.$$
 (A3c)

The slow-manifold approximation is made [30], whereby the left-hand side of the overtone equations is set to zero, giving

$$A_3 = \frac{9Dk_1^2}{\nu(k_3)}A_1^3, \quad A_5 = \frac{675D^2k_1^4}{\nu(k_3)\nu(k_5)}A_1^5.$$
(A4)

Of crucial relevance here is the fact that $A_n \propto A_1^n$ valid at least for n = 3,5. This is a particular case of the celebrated Stuart-Landau theory [32]. The Stuart-Landau law (A4) is substituted back into Eq. (A3a). One obtains a nonlinear



FIG. 13. (Color online) Comparison between weakly linear theory and direct numerical simulation. Model parameters: D = 1, L = 1, and $\gamma = 1/8\pi^2$. Simulation parameters: $\Delta x = 1/304$, $\Delta t = 10^{-8}$. The simulation results are shown in thick lines: solid line: $|A_1|$, dotted line: $|A_3|$, dashed line: $|A_5|$. The predictions from weakly nonlinear theory are shown in thin lines with symbols. Squares and circles: predictions based on Eq. (A4) for $|A_3|$ and $|A_5|$ respectively. Diamonds: prediction based on Eq. (A5) for $|A_1|$. The main figure is presented again in the inset using a log-log scale to show the initial layer of the dynamics before the onset of slaving.

evolution equation for the fundamental:

$$\frac{dA_1}{dt} = \nu(k_1)A_1 - Dk_1^2 A_1 P(|A_1|^2),$$
(A5)

where $P(\cdot)$ is a fifth-order polynomial. For the relevant case $\gamma = 1/8\pi^2$, the coefficients of P(x) are readily computed explicitly as rational numbers (e.g., using a symbolic algebra package), and again using a symbolic algebra package, it is readily shown that $P(x) \ge 0$; hence, the nonlinearity in Eq. (A5) is saturating.

The foregoing theory was compared to the results of a direct numerical simulation seeded with the initial condition

$$C_{\text{init}} = \epsilon \cos(k_1 x), \quad \epsilon = 10^{-4}.$$
 (A6)

A spectral analysis of the numerical solution was obtained and the results plotted in Fig. 13. An additional log-log plot shown in the inset of the same figure reveals the presence of odd-numbered harmonics even at t = 0. This is due to roundoff error in the simulation (the simulations used the IEEE double precision format). A combination of roundoff error and numerical error also leads to the presence of some transient noise in the amplitude $|A_5|$. However, these effects are rapidly dissipated, such that the eventual outcome of the simulation demonstrates excellent agreement between the theory based on Eq. (A3) and the FDCH code.



FIG. 14. (Color online) Results for a simulation on a 505³ grid with $\Delta t = 10^{-5}$. Time dependence of k_1 , with fitted power-law exponent 0.324 close to the theoretical Lifshitz-Slyozov exponent 1/3.

3. Lifshitz-Slyozov scaling law

A final benchmark case concerns the simulation of phase separation without flow in three dimensions. The Cahn-Hilliard equation (1) was simulated using the FDCH on a unit cube with periodic boundary conditions in each dimension. The initial condition was random, with $C_{\text{init}}(\mathbf{x})$ assigned a different random value (drawn from the uniform distribution) in the range [-0.1, 0.1] at each point x. Three distinct spatial resolutions were investigated: 313³ (low), 505³ (medium), and 707^3 (high). The high-resolution case corresponds to a simulation with over 300×10^6 grid points. The model parameters are D = L = 1 and $\gamma = 10^{-5}$, corresponding to a broad spectrum of linearly unstable modes. A time step $\Delta t =$ 10^{-5} was used in each case. Snapshots of the three-dimensional concentration field at various times (not shown) exhibit clearly the phenomenon of domain coarsening. We have measured the typical domain size as $\ell = 1/k_1$, where k_1 is a typical wave number obtained from structure-function calculations. Calculations based on $\ell \propto (1 - \langle C^2 \rangle)^{-1}$ yielded very similar results. A sample result of the foregoing analysis is presented in Fig. 14: the results were found to be the same for the small, medium, and large runs. Moreover, a further run with the small spatial resolution wherein the time step was halved also gave the same results. Thus, it suffices to present a representative sample result, and the scaling law for the medium-scale run is therefore presented in the figure. Based on Fig. 14, a power law $\ell \sim t^{0.324}$ is fitted to the data, close to the predicted scaling behavior $\ell \sim t^{1/3}$, based on Lifshitz-Slyozov theory. Thus, we are satisfied not only with the correctness of the code, but also with the similarity of the coarsening dynamics (without flow) in two and three dimensions.

- E. D. Siggia, Late stages of spinodal decomposition in binary mixtures, Phys. Rev. A 20, 595 (1979).
- [2] L. Berthier, J.-L. Barrat, and J. Kurchan, Phase separation in a chaotic flow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2014 (2001).
- [3] L. Berthier, Phase separation in a homogeneous shear flow: Morphology, growth laws, and dynamic scaling, Phys. Rev. E 63, 051503 (2001).
- [4] L. Ó Náraigh and J.-L. Thiffeault, Bubbles and filaments: Stirring a Cahn-Hilliard fluid, Phys. Rev. E 75, 016216 (2007).
- [5] S. Berti, G. Boffetta, M. Cencini, and A. Vulpiani, Turbulence and coarsening in active and passive binary mixtures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 224501 (2005).
- [6] V. M. Kendon, M. E. Cates, I. Pagonabarraga, J-C. Desplat, and P. Bladon, Inertial effects in three-dimensional spinodal

decomposition of a symmetric binary fluid mixture: a lattice Boltzmann study, J. Fluid Mech. **440**, 147 (2001).

- [7] P. Perlekar, R. Benzi, H. J. H. Clercx, D. R. Nelson, and F. Toschi, Spinodal decomposition in homogeneous and isotropic turbulence, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 014502 (2014).
- [8] R. Ruiz and D. R. Nelson, Turbulence in binary fluid mixtures, Phys. Rev. A 23, 3224 (1981).
- [9] V. E. Badalassi, H. D. Ceniceros, and S. Banerjee, Computation of multiphase systems with phase field models, J. Comput. Phys. 190, 371 (2003).
- [10] H. Furukawa, Spinodal decomposition of two-dimensional fluid mixtures: A spectral analysis of droplet growth, Phys. Rev. E 61, 1423 (2000).
- [11] J. W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, Free energy of a nonuniform system. I. Interfacial energy, J. Chem. Phys 28, 258 (1957).
- [12] A. J. Bray and C. L. Emmott, Lifshitz-Slyozov scaling for latestage coarsening with an order-parameter dependent mobility, Phys. Rev. B 52, R685 (1995).
- [13] J. Zhu, L. Q. Shen, J. Shen, V. Tikare, and A. Onuki, Coarsening kinetics from a variable mobility Cahn-Hilliard equation: Application of a semi-implicit Fourier spectral method, Phys. Rev. E 60, 3564 (1999).
- [14] Pengtao Yue, James J. Feng, Chun Liu, and Jie Shen, A. diffuseinterface method for simulating two-phase flows of complex fluids, J. Fluid Mech. 515, 293 (2004).
- [15] Garth N. Wells, Ellen Kuhl, and Krishna Garikipati, A. discontinuous Galerkin method for the Cahn-Hilliard equation, J. Comput. Phys. 218, 860 (2006).
- [16] Haihu Liu, Albert J. Valocchi, Yonghao Zhang, and Qinjun Kang, Phase-field-based lattice Boltzmann finitedifference model for simulating thermocapillary flows, Phys. Rev. E 87, 013010 (2013).
- [17] Haihu Liu, Albert J. Valocchi, Yonghao Zhang, and Qinjun Kang, Lattice Boltzmann phase-field modeling of thermocapillary flows in a confined microchannel, J. Comput. Phys. 256, 334 (2014).

- [18] C. M. Elliott and S. Zheng, On the Cahn-Hilliard equation, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 96, 339 (1986).
- [19] A. J. Bray, Theory of phase-ordering kinetics, Adv. Phys. 43, 357 (1994).
- [20] Eugenia Kalnay, Atmospheric Modeling, Data Assimilation, and Predictability (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [21] D. Lanser and Jan G. Verwer, Analysis of operator splitting for advection–diffusion–reaction problems from air pollution modelling, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 111, 201 (1999).
- [22] John P. Boyd, *Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods* (Courier Corporation, North Chelmsford, 2001).
- [23] L. Ó. Náraigh, The role of advection in phase-separating binary liquids, Ph.D. thesis, Imperial College London, 2008.
- [24] A. Babiano, G. Boffetta, A. Provenzale, and A. Vulpiani, Chaotic advection in point vortex models and two-dimensional turbulence, Phys. Fluids 6, 2465 (1994).
- [25] J. A. Aronovitz and D. R. Nelson, Turbulence in phaseseparating binary mixtures, Phys. Rev. A 29, 2012 (1984).
- [26] Peter J. Brockwell and Richard A. Davis, *Time Series: Theory and Methods* (Springer, Berlin, 2009).
- [27] P. H. Haynes and J. J. Vanneste, What controls the decay of passive scalars in smooth flows? Phys. Fluids 17, 097103 (2005).
- [28] E. Balkovsky and A. Fouxon, Universal long-time properties of Lagrangian statistics in the Batchelor regime and their application to the passive scalar problem, Phys. Rev. E 60, 4164 (1999).
- [29] R. T. Pierrehumbert, Tracer microstructure in the large-eddy dominated regime, Chaos Solitons Fractals 4, 1091 (1994).
- [30] G. Pavliotis and A. Stuart, *Multiscale Methods: Averaging and Homogenization*, Vol. 53 (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- [31] A. J. Bray, Coarsening dynamics of phase-separating systems, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. 361, 781 (2003).
- [32] P. J. Schmid and D. S. Henningson, *Stability and Transition in Shear Flows*, Vol. 142 (Springer, Berlin, 2001).