

Ratio between mature and immature enzymatic cross-links correlates with post-yield cortical bone behavior: An insight into greenstick fractures of the child fibula

Jean-Philippe Berteau, Evelyne Gineyts, Martine Pithioux, Cécile Baron, Georges Boivin, Philippe Lasaygues, Patrick Chabrand, Hélène Follet

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Philippe Berteau, Evelyne Gineyts, Martine Pithioux, Cécile Baron, Georges Boivin, et al.. Ratio between mature and immature enzymatic cross-links correlates with post-yield cortical bone behavior: An insight into greenstick fractures of the child fibula. BONE, 2015, 79, pp.190-195. 10.1016/j.bone.2015.05.045. hal-01296911

HAL Id: hal-01296911 https://hal.science/hal-01296911v1

Submitted on 27 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Ratio between mature and immature enzymatic cross-links impacts post-yield cortical bone behavior: an insight into *greenstick* fractures of the child fibula

BERTEAU Jean-Philippe PhD¹⁻²⁻³, GINEYTS Evelyne PhD⁴⁻⁵, PITHIOUX Martine PhD¹, BARON Cécile PhD¹, BOIVIN Georges PhD³⁻⁴, LASAYGUES Philippe PhD², CHABRAND Patrick Pr.¹, FOLLET Hélène PhD⁴⁻⁵

1 Institute of Movement Science (ISM) CNRS UMR 7287 Aix-Marseille University, av. de Luminy, F-13288 Marseille France,

2 Laboratory of Mechanics and Acoustics (LMA) CNRS UPR 7051 Aix -Marseille University

Centrale Marseille, 31 chemin Joseph-Aiguier, F-13402 Marseille cedex 20 France

3 Department of Physical Therapy, College of Staten Island, City University of New York, NY

10314, United State of America

4 INSERM, UMR 1033, F-69008 Lyon, France

5 Université de Lyon, F-69008 Lyon, France

Corresponding author and address for reprint requests:

Jean-Philippe Berteau, Department of Physical Therapy, College of Staten Island, City University of New York, 2800 Victory Blvd, New York, NY 10314 United State of America phone : (718) 982-2000

mail : jph.berteau@gmail.com

DISCLOSURE SECTION:

All authors state that they have no conflicts of interest.

1 ABSTRACT

2 As a determinant of skeletal fragility, the organic matrix is responsible for the post-yield and 3 creep behavior of bone and for its toughness, while the mineral apatite acts on stiffness. 4 Specific to the fibula and ulna in children, greenstick fractures show a plastic in vivo 5 mechanical behavior before bone fracture. During growth, the immature form of collagen 6 enzymatic cross-links gradually decreases, to be replaced by the mature form until 7 adolescence, subsequently remaining constant throughout adult life. However, the link 8 between the cortical bone organic matrix and greenstick fractures in children remains to be 9 explored. Here, we sought to determine: 1) whether plastic bending fractures can occur in 10 vitro, by testing cortical bone samples from children's fibula and 2) whether the post-yield 11 behavior (ω_p plastic energy) of cortical bone before fracture depends on the total quantity of 12 the collagen matrix, or on the quantity of mature and immature enzymatic cross-links and the quantity of non-enzymatic cross-links. We used a two-step approach; first, a 3-point 13 14 microbending device tested 22 fibula bone samples from 7 children and 3 elderly adults until 15 fracture. Second, biochemical analysis by HPLC was performed on the sample fragments. Results show a significant power correlation ($R^2 = 0.70$) between the plastic energy dissipated 16 17 before fracture and the ratio of immature/mature cross-links. A collagen matrix with more 18 immature cross-links (i.e. a higher immature/mature cross-link ratio) is more likely to 19 plastically deform before fracture. We conclude that this ratio in the sub-nanostructure of the 20 organic matrix in cortical bone from the fibula may go some way towards explaining the 21 variance in post-yield behavior. From a clinical point of view, therefore, it partially explains 22 the presence of *greenstick* fractures in children.

- 24
- 25

- 1 KEY WORDS:
- 2 Children's Bone; Collagen Cross-Links; Post-Yield Behavior; Mechanical Properties.

1 Highlights

- A collagen matrix with more immature cross-links (i.e. higher immature/mature crosslink ratio) is more likely to plastically deform before fracture.
- Cortical bone plastic energy variance is partially explained by the ratio between the
 mature and the immature enzymatic cross-links.
- Pentosidine content is negatively related to plastic energy dissipated before cortical
 bone fracture.

1 1. INTRODUCTION

2 Cortical bone is a highly specialized connective tissue composed of an organic matrix 3 of type I collagen with mineral hydroxyapatite and water. To reach its mature form, bone is 4 modeled by a process involving several steps. First, precursor cells turn into osteoblasts which 5 secrete collagen in a haphazard pattern called woven bone. Second, osteoclasts resorb the 6 woven bone and then osteoblasts form the lamellar cortical bone [1]. In this cortical bone, 7 type I collagen fibrils are assembled in a lamellar structure similar to plywood, where mineral 8 crystals (biological apatite) are deposited into the hole zones of collagen fibrils and between 9 collagen fibrils [2]. During modeling, a pediatric-specific orthopedic trauma called greenstick 10 fracture can occur on cortical bone. Clinically, greenstick fractures are in vivo plastic bending 11 fractures affecting the cortical part of children's long bones such as the fibula or the ulna [3]; 12 they are bow fractures in which the bone becomes curved along its longitudinal axis. Often, 13 the fracture line does not propagate to the concave side of the bone, therefore showing 14 evidence of plastic deformation. The mechanical interpretation of these plastic bending 15 fractures is high toughness, meaning high plastic energy dissipated (ω_p) before fracture. 16 However, it is still unclear why children's bones foster this particular mechanical behavior.

17 The organic matrix is responsible for the post-yield and creep behavior of bone and for 18 its toughness, while the mineral apatite acts on stiffness [4,5]. In a previous study, our team 19 compared children's and elderly adults' acoustical velocities[6]; the dynamic modulus of 20 elasticity and Poisson's ratio were evaluated via an ultrasonic method and the static modulus of elasticity was estimated from a 3-point microbending test. Neither elastic properties nor 21 22 density were statistically different between cortical bone samples from children and elderly 23 adults. Since numerous studies [5,7–11] show that human cortical bone elastic parameters are 24 linked to density, mineral content, porosity and more generally to its mineral component, we therefore decided here to examine how cross-links affect the post-yield behavior of cortical
 bone samples and their collagen matrix structure.

3 In human bone, type I collagen represents 90% of the organic phase, with collagen 4 molecules consisting of three polypeptide strands. Stabilization of newly-formed collagen 5 fibers is initially achieved by the formation of covalent cross-links between neighboring 6 collagen molecules. The cross-links are formed via two different pathways. One pathway 7 involves the oxidative deamination of the e-amino group on lysyl or hydroxylysyl side chains 8 of telopeptides, resulting in the formation of two aldehydes, allysine and hydroxyallysine and 9 controlled by the enzyme lysyl-oxidase. It leads to the formation of divalent cross-links which 10 stabilize the immature collagen fibers, such as dihydroxylysinonorleucine (DHLNL) and 11 hydroxylysinonorleucine (HLNL). These then react with another telopeptide aldehyde group 12 to form mature trivalent pyridinium cross-links, such as pyridinoline (PYD) and 13 deoxypyridinoline (DPD) and pyrrole, which stabilize the collagen fibrils with age. The other 14 pathway operates at a higher level, via the non-enzymatic glycation mechanism which forms 15 advanced glycation products (AGEs) such as pentosidine (PEN) following tissue maturation. 16 Some *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies suggest that PEN may influence bone fracture [12] [13]; 17 however the impact of non-enzymatic cross-links is still unclear.

18 We chose to study the lower extremity of a long bone, the fibula, where plastic 19 bending fractures typically occur. Our objective was to determine: 1) whether plastic bending 20 fractures occur when samples are tested in vitro; 2) whether the mechanical properties of 21 cortical bone depend on the quantity of the collagen matrix or on the quantity of mature or 22 immature enzymatic or non-enzymatic cross-links. We used a two-step method: first, 23 mechanical testing until fracture with a 3-point microbending device and second, biochemical 24 analysis by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on 1g of the bone samples 25 reduced to fragments.

1 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Bone samples

2

3 Child cortical bone samples from auto transplants were obtained from children of 4 Western European descent requiring surgery (Timone Hospital, Marseille, France) and all (or 5 their legal guardians) gave informed written consent in accordance with the French Code of 6 Public Health (Code de la Santé Publique Français) and approved by the Ethics Committee 7 for the Protection of Persons. All the auto-transplants were excised from a non-pathological 8 area at the bottom of the fibula, 5 cm above the ankle and unused fragments (waste) were 9 kept. Adult bone samples from elderly adult donors were extracted from the same location 10 (INSERM UMR 1033 and IFSTTAR UMR-T 9406, University of Lyon, France) and all 11 cortical bone samples were cut using a low-speed diamond saw (Isomet 1000, Buehler, Lake 12 Bluff, IL, USA) to obtain parallelepiped samples (plane and parallel surfaces). A total of 22 13 cortical bone samples from 10 subjects (min. 5 years, max. 99 years) were studied, 14 from 7 14 children (mean age 10.14±4.56 years old) and 8 from 3 elderly adults (mean age 79±15.39 15 years old). All samples were measured with a digital caliper (Absolute digimatik solar, 16 Mitutoyo, Kanagawa, Japan, measurement error of 0.03 mm.) and were weighed on a 17 micrometer weighing scale with a density kit (Voyager 610 GX, Ohaus Corporation, Florham Park, NJ, USA, measurement uncertainty of 0.001 g/cm³) [6]. Specimens were vacuum 18 19 packed and stored at -20°C in a tissue with phosphate buffered saline solution.

20 2.2. Mechanical measurements

A 3-point microbending testing system appropriate for such small samples was designed and mounted on a Universal Testing Machine (Instron 5566A, Norwood, MA, USA). To test our cortical bone samples, the 3-point microbending testing system was customized to adapt its dimensions to the sample dimensions and to respect a span-to-depth ratio of 8:1 and an average width-to-thickness ratio of about 4, which corresponds to a shear

1 factor of 0.833 [14]. A pre-force of 5 N was applied on the sample, then the test started with a 2 displacement speed of 0.1 mm/min (close to static testing conditions) until fracture. The test 3 provided a force/displacement curve for each sample, which we transformed into a 4 strain/stress curve by dividing force and displacement by cross-sectional area and length to 5 deduce the elastic and plastic properties (Figure 1). The modulus of elasticity was previously 6 calculated [6]. Toughness was assessed by calculating the integration of area under stress/strain curve leading to mechanical energies dissipated before fracture: ω_e , ω_p and ω_{tot} 7 8 for elastic, plastic and total energies respectively. The yield point was the intersection 9 between the power law curve, fitted to the stress-strain data using a 0.2% offset as the cutoff 10 for linearity in the elastic domain, and the stress/strain curve. The measurement error for the 11 cell force was estimated at 0.23%.

12 2.3. Biochemical measurements

13 To quantify the major cross-links found in type I collagen, after the 3-point microbending 14 test, any sample weighing more than 1g was subjected to a complete biochemical analysis. 15 These samples were ground in liquid nitrogen, decalcified in 0.5M EDTA solution for 96 16 hours and reduced with NaBH4 to stabilize the labile divalent immature cross-links and to 17 form acid-resistant dihydroxylysinonorleucine (DHLNL) and hydroxylysinonorleucine 18 (HLNL) before acid hydrolyzing with 6M hydrochloric acid. The cross-links were extracted 19 from the acid hydrolysate using a solid phase extraction column (Chromabond cross-links®, 20 Macherey Nagel, Düren, Germany). Then, they were separated on a C18 Atlantis® T3 reversed-phase column, with heptafluorobutyric acid as volatile ion-pairing reagent in an 21 22 acetonitrile-water mobile phase on an HPLC system equipped with an Alliance 2695 23 separation module, a Waters Micromass® ZQ[™] Single Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer, a 24 2647 Multi l fluorescence detector and Empower2 chromatography data software (Waters 25 Corp. Milford, MA, USA). The detection of DHLNL, HLNL, PYD and DPD was performed 1 by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry in a positive ion mode with selected ion 2 recording [15]. The ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL)/ (PYD+DPD) represents the state of cross-link 3 maturation and thus the maturation of the collagen matrix. Pentosidine (PEN) was quantified 4 by fluorescence at an emission of 385 nm and an excitation of 334 nm [16], the evaluation of 5 this AGE representing the glycation state of the collagen matrix. Then, the proportion of bone 6 collagen was analyzed by measuring hydroxyproline (OHP); unfortunately, however, the 7 quantity of cortical bone samples for three children and one adult was insufficient to allow 8 measurement (missing data (MD) in table 1).

9 2.4. Statistical analysis

22 samples were tested and values for multiple samples from the same donor were averaged, yielding one value per donor (n=10). Normal distribution was tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test, Pearson correlation was performed in the event of normal distribution and Spearman correlation in the event of non-normal distribution. In addition, indicative comparisons were performed between the children (n=7) and the adults (n=3). All statistical tests were performed with SPSS (IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

17

18 3. RESULTS

19 3.1. Mechanical measurements

All the stress/strain curves from the microbending test are shown in Figure 2 and toughness data (elastic, plastic and total energies) are listed per sample and per subject (mean) in table 1. The ω_e , ω_p and ω_{tot} were respectively 2.8, 4.7 and 4.4 times higher in children than in elderly adults. The ω_p was lower and more clustered in adults compared to children (values more dispersed and higher); a non-parametric negative significant correlation was found between ω_{tot} and Age (Spearman: -0.70, p=0.02; plotted on Figure 3).

1 3.2. Biochemical measurements

2 The experimental results of the biochemical evaluation of DHLNL, HLNL, PYD, 3 DPD, PEN, ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL)/ (PYD+DPD) and % of collagen are listed per sample 4 and per subject (mean) in Table 1. The proportion of collagen does not vary across age groups 5 (roughly 22 %). PEN content and PYD+DPD content were higher in the elderly adults than in the children (7 and 1.3 times, respectively). Conversely, the concentration of 6 7 DHLNL+HLNL and the ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL) / (PYD+DPD) were higher in the children 8 than in the elderly adults (3.1 and 3.8 times, respectively). A non-significant correlation but a 9 negative trend between ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL)/ (PYD+DPD) and age was observed 10 (Spearman: -0.62 p=0.053).

11 3.3. Dependence between mechanical and biological parameters

12 Values of (DHLNL+HLNL), PEN, % of collagen, ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL)/ 13 (PYD+DPD), ω_e , ω_p and ω_{tot} were normally distributed; values of (PYD+DPD) and age (in 14 years) were non-normally distributed. Parametric positive significant correlation was found 15 both between ω_{tot} and ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL)/ (PYD+DPD) (Pearson: 0.66, p=0.04) and 16 between ω_p and ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL)/ (PYD+DPD) (Pearson: 0.71, p=0.02, with a 17 power correlation: $\omega_p = 0.81$ (ratio (DHLNL+HLNL) / (PYD+DPD) ^1.2, R² = 0.77 plotted 18 on Figure 4a). Parametric negative significant correlation was found both between ω_{tot} and 19 PEN (Pearson: -0.77, p=0.008) and between ω_p and PEN (Pearson: -0.80, p=0.005 and with a 20 negative logarithm correlation: $\omega_p = -1.99 \ln (\text{PEN}) + 3.98 \text{ plotted on Figure 4b}$.

21

4. DISCUSSION

The main goal of the present study was to determine *in vitro* whether plastic bending fractures occur on samples of child bone and whether the post-yield behavior of cortical bone depends on the quantity or the quality of its collagen content, or on the quantity of enzymatic (DHLNL, HLNL, PYD, DPD) and non-enzymatic cross-links (PEN) in the collagen matrix,
 or on the ratio of immature/ mature ((DHLNL+HLNL) / (PYD+DPD)) enzymatic cross-links.

3 To the authors' knowledge, the mechanical behavior of physiological child bone has 4 been quantitatively investigated by very few *in vitro* mechanical studies, all using destructive 5 tests on dry samples [17,18]. One [17], using samples extracted from the mid-shaft of the 6 femur (age range: 2 to 48 years old), observed that the bone specimens taken from children 7 were weaker and less stiff than those taken from adults, and also that they deflected more and 8 absorbed more energy, without statistical evaluation. This is in agreement with clinical 9 observations showing that young bone absorbs more energy before breaking, which can lead 10 to plastic deformation in greenstick form. The load-deformation curves yielded by our 11 mechanical tests also show that there is greater energy absorption by the young bone, no 12 doubt as a result of the greater ability of such bone to undergo plastic as opposed to elastic 13 deformation[19]. Thus far, to our knowledge, no attempt has been made to explore the 14 biological reasons for the plastic bending of human cortical bone. Another study [18], using 15 samples extracted from the top part of the femur diaphysis (children aged from 4 to 15 and 16 adults from 22 to 61), showed a difference in cortical strength and stiffness depending on ash 17 density, although the compressive yield strain was the same. However, no parameters were 18 related to post-yield behavior.

19 The present study, using fibula samples (children aged from 5 to 16 and adults from 66 20 to 99), mechanically evaluated post-yield behavior and its organic matrix via HPLC analysis. 21 When the mechanical measurements and the biochemical measurements are compared, it 22 appears that both plastic strain energy (ω_p) and ratio of (DHLNL + HLNL)/ (PYD + DPD) are 23 influenced by the ageing process and are significantly correlated (R² = 0.70), meaning that 24 70% of the variance in bone–tissue ω_p is explained by the ratio of enzymatic to non-25 enzymatic cross-links alone. The ratio of (DHLNL + HLNL)/ (PYD + DPD) represents the

1 state of cross-link maturation, and the relationship we found here between this ratio and ω_p 2 shows that a collagen with more immature cross-links (i.e. a higher immature/mature cross-3 link ratio) is more likely to plastically deform before fracture. Moreover, pentosidine (PEN) 4 content is negatively correlated to ω_p before cortical bone fracture explaining 89% of its 5 variance in bone-tissue, which shows that the presence of PEN reduces the bone's ability to 6 plastically deform. A correlation between two variables originally assessed at different levels 7 might lead to underestimation of this correlation, and a major contribution here consists in the 8 values being separated into two groups: the children, with ω_p above 2 MPa and with a ratio 9 below 2, and the adults, with ω_p below 2 MPa and with a ratio above 2. Our results suggest 10 that cortical bone samples with a ratio of (DHLNL + HLNL) / (PYD + DPD) above 2 have a 11 greater capacity for plastic deformation (greenstick fractures) and that conversely, cortical 12 bone samples with a ratio lower than 2 are unable to plastically deform (brittle fractures).

13 For all the cross-links of collagen analyzed (PEN, DHLNL + HLNL, PYD + DPD), as 14 well as for the ratio of (DHLNL + HLNL)/ (PYD + DPD), our results are in agreement with 15 Saito and al. [20]. Enzymatic cross-links (DHLNL+HLNL) gradually decrease from 16 childhood to adolescence, to be replaced in part by (PYD+DPD); our results on both children 17 and adults for human fibula bone are consistent with the literature [20]. This may indicate that 18 the structure of collagen becomes increasingly hierarchized with increasing maturity of 19 collagen, reflecting the replacement of woven bone (children) by Haversian lamellar bone 20 (adults). Being non-enzymatic, PEN cross-links reflect relative tissue age and matrix turnover 21 rate. The low quantity of PEN observed in early years may be due to the rapid increase in 22 collagen content from the kinetics of bone modeling that is not subject to modification. 23 However, we did not quantify the reducible cross-links which may contribute to skeletal 24 fragility and we only analyzed PEN, which explained 40% of the variance in bulk fluorescent 25 AGE, only a portion of the total fluorescent AGEs that accumulate with tissue age in bone

1 [21]. This is supported by findings on animals (rabbits and mice) as shown by Isaksson [22]. 2 However, since differences in PEN content have been found between adult and elderly bones 3 [13,19], inclusion of more individuals and possibly middle-aged (30-50 years old) adult bones 4 would strengthen our results. Nevertheless, these findings based on 14 samples consider the 5 growing process and are the first to show the ratio of (DHLNL + HLNL) / (PYD + DPD) and 6 the dissipated mechanical energies, analyzed and correlated on the same samples. Similar 7 collagen content results are obtained here for children and adults, while Saito and Marumo 8 [23] reported an increase in collagen content during growth (new-born infants to 20-year-9 olds) for bones from many different locations in the body. These authors studied only one 10 long bone from the lower extremity (middle of the diaphysis of the tibia) and their results 11 ranged from 20% to 22% collagen in bone incised during growth. This is similar to our 12 findings, showing both the importance of the location of the bone sample and the potential 13 effect of mechanical stress acting on the whole bone. Saito et Muramo [23] reported between 14 15% and 17% collagen in the bone incised from adults up to 60 years old, which differs from 15 our results (roughly 22%) for elderly adults.

16 Although all samples were prepared identically, one limitation in the preparation 17 process should be pointed out: the children's samples were taken in vivo, whereas the elderly 18 adults' samples were obtained from cadavers. The data in this report is difficult to correlate, 19 as gender is not a component of the analyses; it is hard to address this component because of 20 the difficulty of obtaining bone samples. It should be noted that the cross-links studied here 21 are merely some of the numerous collagen cross-links in bone tissue. The main issue is the 22 freezing period, which is difficult to establish when bone is from multiple sources. But frozen 23 bone can safely be used for mechanical testing, at least for storage periods of up to one year 24 [24] (the case here), during which it can be assumed that no major changes occur in the 25 molecular structure of the collagen. However, there are limitations to our mechanical tests due

1 to the size of the samples. First, Spatz et al. [25] established that the minimum span-to-depth 2 ratio in 3-point microbending should be around 20:1 (preferably 25:1) to estimate the 3 longitudinal modulus of elasticity of bone material and, consequently, the mechanical 4 energies dissipated before fracture. The ratio applied in the present study (8:1) is imposed by 5 the very small dimensions of the bone and of the surgical waste we used. Second, we 6 characterized the longitudinal axis of the samples; elderly cortical and trabecular bone are 7 both orthotropic [2], so it might be assumed that child cortical bone is also an anisotropic 8 tissue. A study might therefore be expected to test a transverse direction (radial or 9 circumferential) so as to conclude on the total dissimilarity of bending behavior in children's 10 and adults' samples. However, the fracture mechanism of "greenstick fractures" does not act 11 in a transversal direction. To investigate the clinical differences between bone from children 12 and from elderly adults, we therefore tested the bone samples in the longitudinal direction 13 only, because mimicking clinical fracture in our mechanical tests appeared to us the best way 14 to reveal true bending behavior. Moreover, since fracture in human cortical bone was found 15 by Spatz et al. [25] to be consistent with strain-controlled failure, and the influence of 16 microstructure can be described in terms of several toughening mechanisms, we tested all our 17 samples in quasi-static conditions in order to be able to compare data for growing and for 18 mature bones.

The toughness of a material is determined not only by its composition, but also by the ability of its microstructure to dissipate deformation energy without propagation of the crack [26]. Mature bone is a brittle micro-cracking material that derives its fracture resistance (toughness) from its ability to form microcracks that absorb energy and delay the propagation of a major crack [26][27]. Nalla et al. [28] compared young adult and old adult cortical bone samples and found that these latter may have reduced mechanical properties due to the presence of more microcracks, older bone being more susceptible to developing microcracks at a given strain level. Since broken inter-fibrillar cross-links help dissipate energy, it is
tempting to speculate from our results that the non-mature cross-links broke later than the
mature cross-links, as has recently been suggested by numerical simulations [29].

4 From a fracture-resistance point of view, the hierarchized organization of the tissue 5 according to the plywood model and the associated variation in fibril angles across bone 6 tissue may lead to a more ductile, and thus fracture-inducing, behavior of bone tissue [30]. 7 From this point of view, it would be interesting to compare different mechanical actions 8 impacting different fibril angles in conjunction with a cross-link study [31]. Furthermore, 9 although an improved understanding of static mechanical properties is very useful, 10 considering that the majority of bone fractures occur under dynamic conditions such as 11 accidents or sporting activities for the young and falls for the elderly, and in view of the 12 dynamic nature of physiotherapy [32], it would seem desirable to explore the dynamic 13 mechanical properties of children's bone. Although it was not investigated in the present 14 study, hydration could be of importance. We do not yet know the exact mechanism of 15 hydration within an osteon, but this should not prevent us from addressing the question of the 16 effect of pore water on bone viscoelasticity. Interactions among collagen, moisture, and 17 minerals may be key to bone viscoelasticity, and future work could usefully investigate this, 18 using a different kind of mechanical test, such as the Fatigue test. It has also been shown that 19 the brittle failure of various hydroxyapatite biomaterials characterized by different porosities 20 could be explained by the failure characteristics of individual crystals and by the 21 microstructure these crystals build up [33]. Consequently, assessing the effects of water and 22 of crystal organization constitute valuable future research goals.

23

24 5. CONCLUSION

1 The aim of this study was to assess both the post-yield mechanical behavior of child 2 and elderly adult cortical bone and the characteristics of the collagen matrix. We find a link 3 between the plastic behavior and the sub-nanostructural organization of the organic matrix in 4 cortical bone. Under our experimental conditions, a proportion of the variance in cortical bone 5 plastic energy was explained by the ratio between the mature and the immature enzymatic 6 cross-links, suggesting that these variables are one determinant of the plastic properties of 7 cortical bone tissue. In conclusion, our findings indicate that the ratio of (DHLNL+HLNL) / 8 (PYD+DPD) at the sub-nanostructural level of the organic matrix increases with age and 9 impacts the macroscopic mechanical behavior of cortical bone.

10

11 AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

12 This study was based on research supported by the French National Research Agency 13 (BioGMID Program ANR under Grant n°183692 and MALICE Program ANR under Grant 14 n°BS09-032). We thank the Timone Hospital surgery team and the donors or their legal 15 guardians. The authors are gratefully thankful to Dr. Frédéric Rongieras (Hôpital Desgenettes, 16 Lyon) for the dissection of adult bones. Our thanks also to Marjorie Sweetko for English 17 language revision.

18

19

20 REFERENCES

[1] Marks SC, Popoff SN. Bone cell biology: the regulation of development, structure,
and function in the skeleton. American Journal of Anatomy 2005;183:1–44.

[2] Rho JY, Kuhn-Spearing L, Zioupos P. Mechanical properties and the hierarchical
structure of bone. Medical Engineering & Physics 1998;20:92–102.

Salter R, Harris R. Injuries Involving the Epiphyseal Plate. J Bone Joint Surg Am
 1963;45:587–622.

John D. Currey. Role of collagen and other organics in the mechanical properties of
bone. Osteoporos Int 2003;14 Suppl 5:S29–36. doi:10.1007/s00198-003-1470-8.

5 [5] Bala Y, Depalle B, Douillard T, Meille S, Clément P, Follet H, et al. Respective roles
6 of organic and mineral components of human cortical bone matrix in micromechanical
7 behavior: An instrumented indentation study. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of
8 Biomedical Materials 2011;4:1473–82. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2011.05.017.

9 [6] Berteau J-P, Baron C, Pithioux M, Launay F, Chabrand P, Lasaygues P. In vitro
10 ultrasonic and mechanic characterization of the modulus of elasticity of children cortical
11 bone. Ultrasonics 2013. doi:10.1016/j.ultras.2013.09.014.

McCalden RW, McGeough JA, Barker MB, Court-Brown CM. Age-related changes
in the tensile properties of cortical bone. The relative importance of changes in porosity,
mineralization, and microstructure. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1993;75:1193–205.

[8] Zhu M, Keller TS, Spengler DM. Effects of specimen load-bearing and free surface
layers on the compressive mechanical properties of cellular materials. Journal of
Biomechanics 1994;27:57–66. doi:10.1016/0021-9290(94)90032-9.

18 [9] Keller TS. Predicting the compressive mechanical behavior of bone. Journal of
19 Biomechanics 1994;27:1159–68. doi:10.1016/0021-9290(94)90056-6.

[10] Burr DR. The mechanical adaptations of bones. By John Currey. Princeton, New
Jersey: Princeton University Press. 1984. ix + 294 pp., figures, tables, references, index.
\$37.50. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 1985;68:141–2.
doi:10.1002/ajpa.1330680117.

[11] Currey JD, Butler G. The mechanical properties of bone tissue in children. J Bone
Joint Surg Am 1975;57:810–4.

[12] SY T, Vashishth D. The relative contributions of non-enzymatic glycation and
 cortical porosity on the fracture toughness of aging bone. Journal of Biomechanics
 2011;44:330–6.

4 [13] Wang X, Shen X, Li X, Mauli Agrawal C. Age-related changes in the collagen
5 network and toughness of bone. Bone 2002;31:1–7.

6 [14] Brancheriau L. Influence of cross section dimensions on Timoshenko's shear factor –
7 Application to wooden beams in free-free flexural vibration. Annals of Forest Science
8 2006;63:319–21. doi:10.1051/forest:2006011.

9 [15] Gineyts E, Borel O, Chapurlat R, Garnero P. Quantification of immature and mature
10 collagen crosslinks by liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization mass spectrometry in
11 connective tissues. Journal of Chromatography B 2010;878:1449–54.
12 doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2010.03.039.

13 [16] Viguet-Carrin S, Gineyts E, Bertholon C, Delmas PD. Simple and sensitive method
14 for quantification of fluorescent enzymatic mature and senescent crosslinks of collagen in
15 bone hydrolysate using single-column high performance liquid chromatography. Journal of
16 Chromatography B 2009;877:1–7. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.10.043.

17 [17] Currey JD. Changes in the impact energy absorption of bone with age. Journal of18 Biomechanics 1979;12:459–69.

19 [18] Öhman C, Baleani M, Pani C, Taddei F, Alberghini M, Viceconti M, et al.
20 Compressive behaviour of child and adult cortical bone. Bone 2011;49:769–76.

[19] Viguet-Carrin S, Roux JP, Arlot ME, Merabet Z, Leeming D., Byrjalsen I, et al.
Contribution of the advanced glycation end product pentosidine and of maturation of type I
collagen to compressive biomechanical properties of human lumbar vertebrae. Bone
2006;39:1073–9. doi:10.1016/j.bone.2006.05.013.

[20] Saito M, Fujii K, Marumo K. Degree of mineralization-related collagen crosslinking
 in the femoral neck cancellous bone in cases of hip fracture and controls. Calcified Tissue
 International 2006;79:160–8.

4 [21] Karim L, Vashishth D. Heterogeneous Glycation of Cancellous Bone and Its
5 Association with Bone Quality and Fragility. PLoS ONE 2012;7:e35047.
6 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035047.

[22] Isaksson H, Harjula T, Koistinen A, Iivarinen J, Seppänen K, Arokoski J, et al.
Collagen and mineral deposition in rabbit cortical bone during maturation and growth: effects
on tissue properties. Journal of Orthopaedic Research 2010;28:1626–33.

[23] Saito M, Marumo K. Collagen cross-links as a determinant of bone quality: a possible
explanation for bone fragility in aging, osteoporosis, and diabetes mellitus. Osteoporosis
International 2010;21:195–214.

[24] Van Haaren EH, van der Zwaard BC, van der Veen AJ, Heyligers IC, Wuisman PI,
Smit TH. Effect of long-term preservation on the mechanical properties of cortical bone in
goats. Acta Orthopaedica 2008;79:708–16. doi:10.1080/17453670810016759.

16 [25] Spatz H-C, O'Leary EJ, Vincent JFV. Young's Moduli and Shear Moduli in Cortical
17 Bone. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 1996;263:287–94.
18 doi:10.1098/rspb.1996.0044.

19 [26] Zioupos P, Hansen U, Currey JD. Microcracking damage and the fracture process in
20 relation to strain rate in human cortical bone tensile failure. Journal of Biomechanics
21 2008;41:2932–9.

[27] Follet H, Farlay D, Bala Y, Viguet-Carrin S, Gineyts E, Burt-Pichat B, et al.
Determinants of Microdamage in Elderly Human Vertebral Trabecular Bone. PLoS ONE
2013;8:e55232. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0055232.

[28] Nalla RK, Kinney JH, Ritchie RO. Mechanistic fracture criteria for the failure of
human cortical bone. Elastic 2003;11:2–0.

3 Depalle B, Qin Z, Shefelbine SJ, Buehler MJ. Influence of cross-link structure, [29] 4 density and mechanical properties in the mesoscale deformation mechanisms of collagen 5 fibrils. of Behavior of **Biomedical** Journal the Mechanical Materials 2014. 6 doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2014.07.008.

7 [30] Peterlik H, Roschger P, Klaushofer K, Fratzl P. From brittle to ductile fracture of
8 bone. Nature Materials 2005;5:52–5.

9 [31] Siegmund T, Allen MR, Burr DB. Failure of mineralized collagen fibrils: Modeling
10 the role of collagen cross-linking. Journal of Biomechanics 2008;41:1427–35.

11 [32] Berteau J-P. La biomécanique de l'os de l'enfant en croissance, une aide à la prise en
12 charge kinésithérapique. Kinésithérapie, La Revue 2013;13:16–21.
13 doi:10.1016/j.kine.2013.06.014.

Fritsch A, Hellmich C, Dormieux L. Ductile sliding between mineral crystals
followed by rupture of collagen crosslinks: Experimentally supported micromechanical
explanation of bone strength. Journal of Theoretical Biology 2009;260:230–52.
doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.05.021.

18

19

20

- 21
- 22

23

24

25

1 Figure Legends

2 Figure 1: Microbending test curve (strain/stress) and calculation of energies

- 3 Figure 2: Microbending test curves (strain/stress) for all children's and elderly adults' cortical
- 4 bone samples. Graphs are separate into age, for each range of age. For example, upper left
- 5 graph represents 3 tests: child number1 has one sample, and children number two has two
- 6 samples (indicated in the legend, by number 2 & 3).
- 7 Figure 3: ω_{tot} as a function of age for elderly adults, for children.
- 8 Figure 4: Dependence between ω_p and ratio of (DHLNL + HLNL)/ (PYD + DPD) (a) and
- 9 PEN (b) for elderly adults' group values (white dots) and children's group values (black dots)
- 10
- 11 Table legends
- 12 Table 1: Age, sex, dimensions, toughness and biochemical measurements of all samples.
- 13 Mean of toughness and biochemical measurements for each elderly adult and each child (MD
- 14 means missing data).

DHLNL+HLNL (mmol PYD+DPD (mmol ω elastic (GPa) ω plastic (GPa) ω total (GPa) Category Age (years) Samples /mol Coll) /mol Coll) 2049.139 354.304 Child 1 5.0 1 0.05 2.64 2.69 2 0.25 3.25 3.50 2274.243 487.584 0.15 2.95 3.10 2161.691 420.944 mean standard deviation 0.14 0.43 0.57 159.173 94.243 Child 2 5.0 0.59 7.18 1261.934 319.747 6.59 3

cilia 5	7.0	4	1.25	4.70	5.95	870.101	404.439	2.151	1.738	22.8
		5	1.55	4.81	6.36	903.339	277.637	3.254	1.538	21.0
mean			1.40	4.75	6.15	886.720	341.038	2.703	1.638	21.9
standard deviation			0.21	0.08	0.29	23.503	89.662	0.779	0.142	1.2
Child 4	11.0	6	0.56	3.34	3.90	1038.780	327.892	3.168	1.100	MD
		7	1.02	10.00	11.02	2280.797	373.143	6.112	0.502	24.4
mean			0.79	6.67	7.46	1659.789	350.517	4.640	0.801	24.4
standard deviation			0.32	4.71	5.04	878.239	31.997	2.082	0.423	
Child 5	12.0	8	0.13	1.98	2.11	2041.027	420.192	4.857	0.147	24.4
		9	0.42	6.75	7.17	2089.348	292.843	7.135	0.110	23.7
mean			0.28	4.36	4.64	2065.188	356.518	5.996	0.129	24.1
standard deviation			0.20	3.38	3.58	34.168	90.050	1.610	0.027	0.5
Child 6	15.0	10	0.33	4.78	5.11	1557.199	397.145	3.921	0.526	MD
		11	0.96	6.18	7.14	2533.497	488.774	5.183	0.178	23.9
mean			0.65	5.48	6.12	2045.348	442.959	4.552	0.352	23.9
standard deviation			0.44	0.99	1.43	690.346	64.792	0.893	0.246	
Child 7	16.0	12	0.42	2.88	3.30	1382.744	306.032	4.518	0.264	23.9
		13	0.67	7.60	8.27	1639.077	322.639	5.080	0.577	22.1
		14	0.53	5.64	6.17	1574.876	407.505	3.865	0.446	22.1
mean			0.54	5.37	5.92	1532.232	345.392	4.488	0.429	22.7
standard deviation			0.13	2.37	2.49	133.381	54.428	0.608	0.157	1.1
mean of mean children	10.1		0.63	4.93	5.57	1725.161	376.228	4.600	0.628	23.7
standard deviation	4.6		0.40	1.30	1.50	472.070	45.508	1.026	0.532	1.3
Elderly adult 1	66.0	15	0.50	2.65	3.16	466.915	274.388	1.702	3.642	25.0
		16	0.23	1.68	1.92	468.605	361.943	1.295	1.904	22.0
		17	0.23	0.88	1.12	562.029	405.288	1.387	2.640	23.1
mean			0.32	1.74	2.06	499.183	347.206	1.461	2.729	23.3
standard deviation			0.16	0.89	1.03	54.433	66.683	0.213	0.872	1.6
Elderly adult 2	75.0	18	0.54	0.85	1.39	578.254	563.182	1.027	6.795	21.7
		19	0.17	1.49	1.66	638.717	643.757	0.992	1.936	21.4
		20	0.28	0.82	1.10	812.017	649.386	1.250	4.671	21.4
		21	0.21	0.67	0.88	580.476	433.933	1.338	3.923	21.4
mean			0.30	0.96	1.26	652.366	572.565	1.152	4.331	21.5
standard deviation			0.17	0.37	0.34	110.054	100.460	0.169	2.008	0.1
Elderly adult 3	96.0	22	0.04	0.43	0.48	512.534	526.430	0.974	6.031	MD
mean of mean elderly adults	79.0		0.22	1.04	1.27	554.694	482.067	1.195	4.364	22.4
standard deviation	15.4		0.16	0.66	0.79	84.849	119.049	0.247	1.651	1.3

ratio

(DHLNL+HLNL) /

(PYD+DPD)

5.784

4.664

5.224

0.791

3.947

PEN (mmol

/mol Coll)

0.392

0.444

0.418

0.037

1.159

% collagen

MD

25.2

25.2

21.8



Figure(s) Click here to download high resolution image



Figure(s) Click here to download high resolution image



Figure(s) Click here to download high resolution image

