



HAL
open science

SUMS OF TWO S -UNITS VIA FREY-HELLEGOUARCH CURVES

Michael A. Bennett, Nicolas Billerey

► **To cite this version:**

Michael A. Bennett, Nicolas Billerey. SUMS OF TWO S -UNITS VIA FREY-HELLEGOUARCH CURVES. 2015. hal-01292805v2

HAL Id: hal-01292805

<https://hal.science/hal-01292805v2>

Preprint submitted on 23 May 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SUMS OF TWO S -UNITS VIA FREY-HELLEGOUARCH CURVES

MICHAEL A. BENNETT AND NICOLAS BILLEREY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we develop a new method for finding all perfect powers which can be expressed as the sum of two rational S -units, where S is a finite set of primes. Our approach is based upon the modularity of Galois representations and, for the most part, does not require lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms. Its main virtue is that it enables to carry out such a program explicitly, at least for certain small sets of primes S ; we do so for $S = \{2, 3\}$ and $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

If $S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$ is a finite set of primes, we define the set of S -units to be those integers of the shape $\pm p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, with exponents α_i nonnegative integers. The arithmetic of such sets has been frequently studied due to its connections to a wide variety of problems in Number Theory and Arithmetic Geometry. In the latter direction, equations of the shape

$$(1) \quad x + y = z^2,$$

where x and y are S -units for certain specific sets S , arise naturally when one wishes to make effective a theorem of Shafarevich on the finiteness of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over a number field K with good reduction outside a given finite set of primes. By way of a simple example, if we wish to find all elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} with nontrivial rational 2-torsion and good reduction outside $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$, we are led to consider curves E of the shape

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx,$$

where a and b are rational integers satisfying

$$b^2(a^2 - 4b) = \pm 2^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k},$$

for nonnegative integers α_i . Writing $|b| = 2^{\beta_0} p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_k^{\beta_k}$, we thus seek to solve equation (1), with $z = a$ and $S = \{2, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\}$.

An algorithm for computing all solutions to equations of the shape (1), over \mathbb{Q} , can be found in Chapter 7 of de Weger [25], where, for instance, one can find a complete characterization of the solutions to equation (1) in case $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. This algorithm combines lower bounds for linear forms in complex and p -adic logarithms with lattice basis reduction for p -adic lattices.

Date: May 23, 2016.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11D61, Secondary 11G05.

The first named author was supported in part by a grant from NSERC. The second named author acknowledges the financial support of CNRS and ANR-14-CE25-0015 Gardio. He also warmly thanks the PIMS and the Mathematics Department of UBC for hospitality and excellent working conditions.

More generally, for a given set of primes S , we may consider equations of the shape

$$(2) \quad x + y = z^n,$$

with $n \geq 2$ an integer, x and y S -units, and z a nonzero integer. We will call such a quadruple (x, y, z, n) a *primitive* solution of (2) if $\gcd(x, y)$ is n th-power free. Such equations are the main topic of discussion in Chapter 9 of Shorey and Tijdeman [20], due to their connections to the problem of characterizing perfect powers in nondegenerate binary recurrence sequences of algebraic numbers. If we write $y = y_0 y_1^n$ in equation (2), with y_0 n th-power free (whereby there are at most $2n^{|S|}$ choices for y_0), then it follows from the theory of Thue-Mahler equations that, for a fixed value of $n \geq 3$, the set of primitive solutions (x, y, z, n) of (2) is finite (see [20, Thm. 7.2]). A stronger statement still is the following (essentially Theorem 9.2 of [20]) :

Theorem 1.1. *There are only finitely many coprime S -units x, y and w for which there exist integers $n \geq 2$ and $z \neq 0$ such that $x + y = wz^n$.*

The aim of this paper is to illustrate the use of Frey-Hellegouarch curves in solving equations like (1) and, more generally, (2). Specifically, we will apply such an approach to provide a new proof of Theorem 1.1 that *a priori* avoids the use of lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms, instead combining Frey-Hellegouarch curves, modularity and level-lowering, with the aforementioned theorem of Shafarevich. In fairness, it must be mentioned, that effective versions of the latter result have typically depended fundamentally on linear forms in logarithms; for recent papers along these lines, see the work of Fuchs, von Känel and Wüstholz [12] and von Känel [14]. The benefit of our approach is it enables us to, in Section 7, explicitly solve equation (2) for a pair of sets S with cardinality $|S| \geq 2$. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time this has been carried out. Indeed, it is unclear whether the classical approach to Theorem 1.1 via only lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms can be made practical with current technology, in any nontrivial situations.

The outline of our paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces our basic notation. In Section 3, we show how to obtain various finiteness results currently proved with techniques from Diophantine approximation, via Frey-Hellegouarch curves over \mathbb{Q} . Philosophically, this bears a strong resemblance to recent work of von Känel [14] and of Murty and Pasten [17]. Section 4 contains explicit details of the connections between Frey-Hellegouarch curves and modular forms. In Sections 5 and 6, we carry out such a “modular” approach quite explicitly for exponents $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ respectively. As an illustration of our methods, we completely solve (2) for $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ and $n \in \{2, 3\}$ (hence recovering de Weger’s aforementioned result), and also for $S = \{2, 3, p\}$ and $n \in \{2, 3\}$, for every prime $p < 100$. It should be emphasized that this is not a “serious” application of our method, but merely meant as an illustration of a partial converse of the connection between solving equations of the shape (2) and computing elliptic curves. The reader may wish to omit these sections at first (and, for that matter, subsequent) readings. A more interesting result along these lines is due to Kim [15], where the connection between more general cubic Thue-Mahler equation and Shafarevich’s theorem is mapped out.

Section 7 contains, as previously mentioned, the main result of the paper, namely an explicit solution of equation (2) for the sets $S = \{2, 3\}$ and $\{3, 5, 7\}$. The

techniques we employ to prove these results, besides the aforementioned use of Frey-Hellegouarch curves and their associated modular forms, are local methods and appeal to computer algebra packages for solving Thue and Thue-Mahler equations; for the last of these, we rely extensively upon the computational number theory packages MAGMA [5], PARI [18] and SAGE [22].

We thank Rafael von Känel and Benjamin Matschke for pointing out to us a missing solution in a previous version of Proposition 5.4.

2. NOTATION

In what follows, we will let p be a prime number and m a nonzero integer. We denote by $\text{rad}(m)$ the radical of $|m|$, i.e. the product of distinct primes dividing m , and by $\text{ord}_p(m)$ the largest nonnegative integer k such that p^k divides m . We write $\text{rad}_p(m)$ for the prime-to- p part of the radical of $|m|$, that is, the largest divisor of $\text{rad}(m)$ that is relatively prime to p .

We will begin by noting some basic results on modular forms and connections between them and elliptic curves. Suppose that the q -expansion

$$(3) \quad f = q + \sum_{i \geq 2} c_i q^i$$

defines a weight 2, level N_0 (cuspidal) newform, with coefficients c_i generating a number field K/\mathbb{Q} . Further, let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve of conductor N and n a rational prime. If l is a prime satisfying $l \nmid N$, we define

$$a_l(E) = l + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_l).$$

We say that E *arises modulo n from the newform f* and write $E \sim_n f$ if there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{N} \mid n$ of K such that, given any prime $l \neq n$, we have either

$$(4) \quad a_l(E) \equiv c_l \pmod{\mathfrak{N}}, \text{ if } l \nmid nNN_0$$

or

$$(5) \quad l + 1 \equiv \pm c_l \pmod{\mathfrak{N}}, \text{ if } l \nmid nN_0 \text{ and } \text{ord}_l(N) = 1.$$

3. FINITENESS RESULTS VIA MODULARITY AND LEVEL-LOWERING

Throughout this section, we will let S denote a finite set of primes and a a positive integer. The second part of the following lemma is a classical and easy application of the theory of linear forms in logarithms (see Corollary 1.2 of [20]). We here give a complete proof of the result below using instead Frey-Hellegouarch curves and Shafarevich's theorem (Theorem IX.6.1 of [21]).

Lemma 3.1. *There are only finitely many S -units x, y, w with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$ for which there exists a nonzero integer z such that $x + y = wz^2$. In particular, if x, y are S -units with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$ such that $x + y$ is again a S -unit, then $\max\{|x|, |y|\}$ is bounded by a constant depending on S and a .*

Proof. Let x, y and w be S -units and let $z \neq 0$ be an integer such that $x + y = wz^2$. Consider the elliptic curve

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 + 2wzX^2 + ywX$$

with discriminant

$$\Delta(E) = 2^6 x^2 y^2 w^3.$$

It follows that E has good reduction outside $S \cup \{2\}$. By Shafarevich's theorem, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of rational elliptic curves having good reduction outside a finite set of primes. This forces

$$j(E) = j(x, y) = 2^6 \cdot \frac{(4x + y)^3}{y^2 x}$$

to take only finitely many values when x and y range over all S -units. But then, since $j(x, y) = j(x/y, 1)$, the quotient x/y also takes finitely many different values and therefore $\max\{|x|, |y|\}$ is bounded whenever $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$. \square

We next prove a version of Theorem 9.1 of [20] through appeal to (various) Frey-Hellegouarch curves and level-lowering.

Theorem 3.2. *Let x, y and w be S -units with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$. Let $n \geq 2$ and $|z| > 1$ be integers. If $x + y = wz^n$, then n is bounded by a constant depending only on S and a .*

Proof. Assume $z \neq \pm 1$. If z is an S -unit, then, by the previous lemma, $\max\{|x|, |y|\}$ and thus n is bounded by a constant depending only on S and a . Therefore, one may assume that z is not a S -unit and, in particular, we may choose a prime $q \notin S$ dividing z . Define an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} as follows. If $q \neq 2$, then consider

$$E : Y^2 = X(X - x)(X + y)$$

whose discriminant and c_4 -coefficient are given by

$$\Delta(E) = 2^4(xywz^n)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad c_4(E) = 2^4(w^2z^{2n} - xy).$$

If however $q = 2$, we take

$$E : Y^2 + 3xXY - x^2yY = X^3$$

with discriminant and c_4 -coefficient given by

$$\Delta(E) = -3^3x^8y^3wz^n \quad \text{and} \quad c_4(E) = 3^2x^3(9wz^n - y).$$

In both cases, E has multiplicative reduction at q with $\text{ord}_q(\Delta(E))$ divisible by n . Let us then further assume that $n \geq 7$, $n \notin S$ and that the mod n representation attached to E is absolutely irreducible. By classical bounds on conductors (see [7] for instance), modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} ([6]) and Ribet's level lowering theorem ([19]), the elliptic curve E arises modulo n from a weight 2 newform $f(z) = \sum_{m \geq 1} c_m e^{2i\pi m z}$ of (trivial Nebentypus and) level $N_0 \mid 2^8 \cdot 3^5 \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \in S \\ p \neq 2, 3}} p^2$ such

that N_0 is coprime to q . Therefore, N_0 is bounded by a constant depending only on S and there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{N} above n in the ring of integers of the coefficient field K of f such that

$$c_q \equiv \pm(q + 1) \pmod{\mathfrak{N}}.$$

By Deligne's bounds, $c_q \pm (q + 1)$ is a nonzero algebraic integer in K whose Galois conjugates are all less than $(1 + \sqrt{q})^2$ in absolute value and whose norm is divisible by n . Therefore we have $n \leq (1 + \sqrt{q})^{2[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$. Since $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ is bounded by the dimension of the space of weight-2 cuspforms of level N_0 , it follows that n is bounded from above by a constant depending only on S , as desired. \square

Combining these results with the finiteness of the number of solutions to Thue-Mahler equations (see, for instance, Theorem 7.1 of [20]) allows us to prove the following.

Theorem 3.3. *There are only finitely many S -units x, y, w with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$ for which there exist integers $n \geq 2$ and $z \neq 0$ such that $x + y = wz^n$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the equation $x + y = \pm w$ has only finitely many solutions in S -units x, y and w , with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$. Further, if x, y and w are S -units with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$ such that there exist integers $n \geq 2$ and z with $|z| > 1$ satisfying $x + y = wz^n$, then, by the previous theorem, n is bounded by a constant depending only on S and a . But, for a fixed value of $n \geq 2$, the finiteness of solutions to equation $x + y = wz^n$ in S -units x, y, w with $\gcd(x, y) \leq a$ and integer z follows from Lemma 3.1 and from the finiteness of the set of solutions to Thue-Mahler equations for $n = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ respectively. \square

Given a primitive solution (x, y, z, n) of (2), let us denote by d the gcd of x and y . Then d divides z^n and, without loss of generality, we may write $z^n/d = wz'^n$ where z' is a nonzero integer and w is a n th-power free positive S -unit. If $x' = x/d$ and $y' = y/d$, then one has

$$(6) \quad x' + y' = wz'^n.$$

Conversely, let x', y', w be pairwise coprime S -units with w positive satisfying the above equation and let w' be a positive S -unit such that $ww' = \text{rad}(w)^n$. Put $x = w'x', y = w'y'$ and $z = \text{rad}(w)z'$. Then, (x, y, z, n) is a primitive solution of (2).

Therefore, all the primitive solutions of equation (2) can be deduced from the finite set of S -units satisfying the condition of Theorem 3.3 with $a = 1$. Moreover, combining this remark with the previous results, we have the following :

Corollary 3.4. *For a fixed value of $n \geq 2$, there are only finitely many triples (x, y, z) such that $x + y = z^n$ with z nonzero integer, x, y S -units and $\gcd(x, y)$ n th-power free. Moreover, there are only finitely many primitive solutions to equation (2) if and only if $2 \notin S$.*

Proof. According to the discussion above, the first part of the corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.3 (with $a = 1$). If, however, $2 \in S$, then $(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 2, n)$ is a primitive solution to (2) for any $n \geq 2$.

Conversely, if $2 \notin S$, consider a primitive solution (x, y, z, n) to (2). Dividing the equation by $\gcd(x, y)$ leads, as explained earlier, to an equation of the shape $x' + y' = wz'^n$ where x', y', w are coprime S -units and $z' \mid z$. By assumption, x', y', w are odd and therefore z' is even. In particular, we have $|z'| > 1$ and by Theorem 3.2, n is bounded independently of x, y and z . The desired finiteness result now follows from the first part of the corollary. \square

In the proof of Lemma 3.1 we have appealed to $(n, n, 2)$ -Frey-Hellegouarch curves and, for Theorem 3.2, to (n, n, n) and $(n, n, 3)$ -Frey-Hellegouarch curves. The main goal of this paper is to make the statements of this section completely explicit in a number of situations. For this purpose, we will have use of refined information on Frey-Hellegouarch curves of the above signatures.

4. BACKGROUND ON FREY-HELLEGOUARCH CURVES AND MODULAR FORMS

We recall some (by now) classical but useful results on Frey-Hellegouarch curves associated with generalized Fermat equations of signature (n, n, n) , $(n, n, 2)$ and $(n, n, 3)$, and the newforms from which they arise.

4.1. Signature (n, n, n) . Let A, B and C be n th-power free pairwise coprime nonzero integers and let a, b and c be pairwise coprime nonzero integers such that

$$(7) \quad Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^n.$$

We make the additional simplifying assumptions (which are not without loss of generality, but will be satisfied in the cases of interest to us) that

$$Aa^n \equiv -1 \pmod{4} \quad \text{and} \quad Bb^n \equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

Define an elliptic curve $E_{n,n,n}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c)$ via

$$E_{n,n,n}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) : Y^2 + XY = X^3 + \frac{Bb^n - Aa^n - 1}{4}X^2 - \frac{AB(ab)^n}{16}X.$$

We summarize the properties of $E_{n,n,n}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c)$ that will be useful to us in the following result (see Kraus [16]).

Proposition 4.1. *If $n \geq 5$ is prime and $n \nmid ABC$, we have that*

$$E = E_{n,n,n}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) \sim_n f,$$

for f a weight 2 cuspidal newform of level

$$N_0 = \begin{cases} 2 \operatorname{rad}_2(ABC) & \text{if } 0 \leq \operatorname{ord}_2(B) \leq 3 \text{ or } \operatorname{ord}_2(B) \geq 5 \\ \operatorname{rad}_2(ABC) & \text{if } \operatorname{ord}_2(B) = 4. \end{cases}$$

Further, if l is prime with $l \nmid ABCabc$, then

$$a_l(E) \equiv l + 1 \pmod{4}.$$

4.2. Signature $(n, n, 2)$. Next let a, b, c, A, B and C be nonzero integers such that

$$(8) \quad Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^2,$$

with aA, bB and cC pairwise coprime, C squarefree and $n \geq 7$ prime. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that A and B are n th-power free and that we are in one of the following situations :

- (1) $abABC \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $b \equiv -BC \pmod{4}$;
- (2) $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and either $\operatorname{ord}_2(C) = 1$ or $\operatorname{ord}_2(B) = 1$;
- (3) $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $\operatorname{ord}_2(B) = 2$ and $c \equiv -bB/4 \pmod{4}$;
- (4) $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $\operatorname{ord}_2(B) \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ and $c \equiv C \pmod{4}$;
- (5) $\operatorname{ord}_2(Bb^n) \geq 6$ and $c \equiv C \pmod{4}$.

In cases (1) and (2), we will consider the curve

$$E_{(1),n,n,2}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) : Y^2 = X^3 + 2cCX^2 + BCb^nX.$$

In cases (3) and (4), we will instead consider

$$E_{(2),n,n,2}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) : Y^2 = X^3 + cCX^2 + \frac{BCb^n}{4}X,$$

and in case (5),

$$E_{(3),n,n,2}^{A,B,C}(a,b,c) : Y^2 + XY = X^3 + \frac{cC-1}{4}X^2 + \frac{BCb^n}{64}X.$$

These are all elliptic curves defined over \mathbb{Q} .

The following lemma summarizes some useful facts about these curves. Apart from its (easy-to-check) assertion (2) and up to some slight differences of notation, this is Lemma 2.1 of [3].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $i = 1, 2$ or 3 and $E = E_{(i),n,n,2}^{A,B,C}(a,b,c)$.*

(1) *The discriminant $\Delta(E)$ of the curve E is given by*

$$\Delta(E) = 2^{\delta_i} C^3 B^2 A (ab^2)^n, \quad \text{where } \delta_i = \begin{cases} 6 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 2 \\ -12 & \text{if } i = 3 \end{cases}.$$

(2) *The j -invariant $j(E)$ of the curve E is given by*

$$j(E) = 2^6 \frac{(4Aa^n + Bb^n)^3}{Aa^n (Bb^n)^2}.$$

(3) *The conductor $N(E)$ of the curve E is given by*

$$N(E) = 2^\alpha \text{rad}_2(C)^2 \text{rad}_2(abAB),$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } i = 1, \text{ case (1)} \\ 8 & \text{if } i = 1, \text{ case (2) and } \text{ord}_2(C) = 1 \\ 7 & \text{if } i = 1, \text{ case (2) and } \text{ord}_2(B) = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } i = 2, \text{ case (3), } \text{ord}_2(B) = 2 \text{ and } b \equiv -BC/4 \pmod{4} \\ 3 & \text{if } i = 2, \text{ case (3), } \text{ord}_2(B) = 2 \text{ and } b \equiv BC/4 \pmod{4} \\ 5 & \text{if } i = 2, \text{ case (4) and } \text{ord}_2(B) = 3 \\ 3 & \text{if } i = 2, \text{ case (4) and } \text{ord}_2(B) \in \{4, 5\} \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 3, \text{ case (5) and } \text{ord}_2(Bb^n) = 6 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 3, \text{ case (5) and } \text{ord}_2(Bb^n) \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

In particular, E has multiplicative reduction at each odd prime p dividing $abAB$. Also, E has multiplicative reduction at 2 if $\text{ord}_2(Bb^n) \geq 7$.

(4) *The curve E has a \mathbb{Q} -rational point of order 2.*

For the purposes of our applications, we will have need of an analog of Proposition 4.1, essentially Lemma 3.3 of [3].

Proposition 4.3. *If $n \geq 7$ is prime and $ab \neq \pm 1$, we have, for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, that*

$$E = E_{(i),n,n,2}^{A,B,C}(a,b,c) \sim_n f,$$

for f a weight 2 cuspidal newform of level $N_0 = 2^{\alpha'} \text{rad}_2(C)^2 \text{rad}_2(AB)$ where

$$\alpha' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } ab \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } AB \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \alpha & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where α is as defined in Lemma 4.2.

4.3. Signature $(n, n, 3)$. Let us suppose that a, b, c, A, B and C are nonzero integers such that

$$(9) \quad Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^3$$

with $n \geq 5$ prime. Assume Aa , Bb , and Cc are pairwise coprime, and, without loss of generality, that $Aa \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $Bb^n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Further, suppose that C is cubefree and that A and B are n th-power free. We consider the elliptic curve

$$E_{n,n,3}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) : Y^2 + 3CcXY + C^2Bb^nY = X^3.$$

With the above assumptions, we have the following result (Lemma 2.1 of [4]).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $E = E_{n,n,3}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c)$.*

(1) *The discriminant $\Delta(E)$ of the curve E is given by*

$$\Delta(E) = 3^3 AB^3 C^8 (ab^3)^n.$$

(2) *The j -invariant of E is given by*

$$j(E) = 3^3 \frac{Cc^3(9Aa^n + Bb^n)^3}{AB^3(ab^3)^n}.$$

(3) *The conductor $N(E)$ of the curve E is*

$$N(E) = 3^\alpha \text{rad}_3(ABab) \text{rad}_3(C)^2$$

where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 9 \mid (2 + C^2Bb^n - 3Cc), \\ 3 & \text{if } 3 \parallel (2 + C^2Bb^n - 3Cc), \\ 4 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(Bb^n) = 1, \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(Bb^n) = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(Bb^n) = 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(Bb^n) > 3, \\ 5 & \text{if } 3 \mid C. \end{cases}$$

In particular, E has split multiplicative reduction at each prime $p \neq 3$ dividing Bb , split multiplicative reduction at each prime dividing Aa congruent to 1, 4, 5, 7, 16, 17 or 20 modulo 21, and non-split multiplicative reduction at all other primes dividing Aa , except 3. Also, E has split multiplicative reduction at 3 if $\text{ord}_3(Bb^n) > 3$, and good reduction if $\text{ord}_3(Bb^n) = 3$.

(4) *The curve E has a \mathbb{Q} -rational point of order 3.*

In what follows, we will apply Frey-Hellegouarch curves of signature $(n, n, 3)$ more frequently than other signatures; the following result, essentially Proposition 4.2 of [4] (though it is worth noting that the value $N_n(E)$ as define in Lemma 3.4 of that paper is actually stated incorrectly for the cases where $n \mid ABC$), will be of particular use to us.

Proposition 4.5. *If $n \geq 5$ is prime, $ab \neq \pm 1$ and $E_{n,n,3}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c)$ does not correspond to the identities*

$$1 \cdot 2^5 + 27 \cdot (-1)^5 = 5 \cdot 1^3 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 \cdot 2^7 + 3 \cdot (-1)^7 = 1 \cdot 5^3,$$

then we have that

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) \sim_n f,$$

for $f = \sum_{m \geq 1} c_m q^m$ a weight 2 cuspidal newform of level

$$N_0 = 3^{\alpha'} \text{rad}_3(AB) \text{rad}_3(C)^2,$$

where $\alpha' = \alpha$ with α as defined in Lemma 4.4 unless $\text{ord}_3(Bb^n) \geq 3$ in which case we have

$$\alpha' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(B) = 3, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

More precisely, if l is a prime, coprime to nN_0 , then n divides $\text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(c_l - a_l)$ where K is the number field generated by the Fourier coefficients of f and $a_l \in S_l$, with

$$S_l = \{x: |x| < 2\sqrt{l}, x \equiv l + 1 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{l + 1\},$$

if $l \equiv 1, 4, 5, 7, 16, 17, 20 \pmod{21}$, and

$$S_l = \{x: |x| < 2\sqrt{l}, x \equiv l + 1 \pmod{3}\} \cup \{-l - 1, l + 1\},$$

otherwise.

5. THE CASE $n = 2$

In this section, we consider equation (1) (as treated by de Weger in [25] and [26]), via an $(n, n, 2)$ Frey-Hellegouarch curve approach. According to the discussion of Section 3, the corresponding equations to treat are of the shape

$$(10) \quad x + y = wz^2$$

where x, y and w are pairwise coprime S -units. Define $a = b = 1, c = z, A = x, B = y$ and $C = w$. Then we have $Aa^n + Bb^n = Cc^2$ and may assume, without loss of generality, that we are in one of the situations (1)-(5) of §4.2. Consider the associated elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} of Lemma 4.2. It has good reduction outside $S' = S \cup \{2\}$. Therefore, if we know representatives F/\mathbb{Q} of all (the finitely many) isomorphism classes of rational elliptic curves (with a nontrivial two-torsion subgroup) having good reduction outside S' , all that remains to do to solve (10) is to check for an equality

$$j(E) = j(F), \quad \text{where } j(E) = 2^6 \cdot \frac{(4x + y)^3}{y^2x}$$

and $j(F)$ denote the j -invariants of E and F respectively. Computing such representatives is a classical but challenging problem that has only been achieved for a rather restrictive list of sets, including $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}, \{2, 3, 11\}, \{2, 13\}, \{2, 17\}, \{2, 19\}$ and $\{2, 23\}$ (see [9]).

Nevertheless, the precise information on the conductor $N(E)$ of E provided by Lemma 4.2, namely $N(E) = 2^\alpha \text{rad}_2(w)^2 \text{rad}_2(xy)$ where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } xyw \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } yw \equiv -1 \pmod{4} \\ 8 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(w) = 1 \\ 7 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) = 2 \text{ and } z \equiv w \equiv -y/4 \pmod{4} \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) = 2 \text{ and } z \equiv -w \equiv -y/4 \pmod{4} \\ 5 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) = 3 \text{ and } z \equiv w \pmod{4} \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) \in \{4, 5\} \text{ and } z \equiv w \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) = 6 \text{ and } z \equiv w \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } \text{ord}_2(y) \geq 7 \text{ and } z \equiv w \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

allows us to sometimes show, for some specific sets S , that we have $N(E) \leq 350000$ except for some precisely identified quadruples (x, y, w, z) . In that situation, we can therefore appeal, via the SAGE package `database_cremona_ellcurve` ([22], [8]), to Cremona's tables which, at the time of writing (Spring 2015), contain representatives for all rational elliptic curves of conductor less than 350000.

By way of example, consider $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ or $S = \{2, 3, p\}$ with p prime, $11 \leq p < 100$. We stress the fact that, for most of these latter sets, we presently do not know a complete list of representatives of the isomorphism classes of rational elliptic curves having good reduction outside S . Nevertheless, the strategy outlined above does apply and this allows us to easily recover de Weger's result mentioned in the Introduction and to solve equations that remained unsolved in a recent paper by Terai [24] (see Corollary 5.5). We carry out the details in the next two subsections; the SAGE code used for our computations is available at

http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/Sum_Of_Two_S-units.pdf.

5.1. The case $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Specializing the approach above to the case $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ considered by de Weger, we note that *a priori* we must consider conductors of the shape

$$N(E) = 2^\alpha \cdot 3^{\delta_3} \cdot 5^{\delta_5} \cdot 7^{\delta_7},$$

where $\delta_i = \text{ord}_i(N(E)) \leq 2$, $i = 3, 5, 7$, and $\alpha = \text{ord}_2(N(E)) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}$. However, we have the following easy result.

Lemma 5.1. *In each case $N(E) \leq 350000$. Further, if $(\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) \in \{0, 2\}$, then either*

$$(x, y, w, z) \in \{(-1, 8, 7, -1), (-1, 64, 7, 3), (1, 4, 5, -1), (-1, 16, 15, -1), \\ (1, 2, 3, \pm 1), (-1, 4, 3, -1), (-1, 2, 1, \pm 1), (1, 8, 1, -3), (1, 1, 2, \pm 1)\},$$

or $(\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 2), (2, 2, 0)\}$ and $\alpha = 1$.

Proof. If $\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7 \in \{0, 2\}$, we have a solution to an equation of the shape

$$2^k + \epsilon = wz^2,$$

where k is nonnegative, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $\text{rad}(w) \mid 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. The solutions to this equation for $k \leq 6$ correspond to those listed in the lemma. Moreover, if $k \geq 7$, none of these equations has a solution modulo 840 unless we have $w = 1, 7$ or 15, that is $(\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 2), (2, 2, 0)\}$.

Similarly, it is easy to check that $N(E) \leq 350000$, unless we have

$$\alpha = 8 \text{ and } (\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) = (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 1), (2, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2),$$

$$\alpha = 7 \text{ and } (\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) = (2, 2, 2), (1, 2, 2),$$

or

$$\alpha = 5 \text{ and } (\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) = (2, 2, 2).$$

Of these, only the cases

$$(\alpha, \delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7) = (8, 2, 2, 1), (8, 2, 1, 2), (8, 1, 2, 2), (7, 1, 2, 2)$$

may correspond to solutions to (10), namely to equations of the shape

$$7^k \pm 1 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5z^2, \quad 5^k \pm 1 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7z^2, \quad 3^k \pm 1 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7z^2, \quad 3^k \pm 2 = 5 \cdot 7z^2,$$

and $2 \cdot 3^k \pm 1 = 5 \cdot 7z^2$. Each of these equations, however, is insoluble modulo 840. \square

From Lemma 5.1 and the above discussion, we may thus appeal to Cremona's SAGE package to reproduce de Weger's results (with a slightly faster search provided by the restrictions on the exponents given in the above lemma). In particular, if $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$, as noted in [25, Thm. 7.2], there are precisely 388 solutions (x, y, z) to (1) with $\gcd(x, y)$ squarefree and $x \geq |y| > 0$ and $z > 0$. We list these solutions in the file <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/2-3-5-7.pdf>.

As an obvious byproduct, we also obtain a complete list of solutions to (1) with $S = \{2, 3\}$ and $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$. As we will consider these sets again in Section 7, we state these results here.

Proposition 5.2. *The only primitive solutions to equation (1) with $S = \{2, 3\}$ and with, say, $x \geq |y| > 0$ are given by*

$$(x, y) = (2, -1), (2, 2), (3, -2), (3, 1), (4, -3), (6, -2), (6, 3), (8, 1), (9, -8), (12, -3), (16, 9), (18, -2), (24, 1), (27, -2), (48, 1), (81, -32), (288, 1) \text{ and } (486, -2).$$

Proposition 5.3. *The only primitive solutions to equation (1) with $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$ and with, say, $x \geq |y| > 0$ are given by*

$$(x, y) = (3, 1), (5, -1), (7, -3), (9, -5), (9, 7), (15, 1), (21, -5), (21, 15), (25, -21), (25, -9), (35, 1), (49, -45), (49, 15), (63, 1), (105, -5), (135, -35), (147, -3), (175, 21), (175, 81), (189, -125), (189, 7), (343, -243), (405, -5), (625, -49), (675, 1), (729, -245), (1029, -5), (3375, 2401), (3969, -125), (9375, 1029), (15625, -1701), (59535, 1), (688905, -5) \text{ and } (4782969, 4375).$$

5.2. The case $S = \{2, 3, p\}$. We now turn our attention to the case $S = \{2, 3, p\}$ where p is a prime in the range $11 \leq p < 100$. Once again, we must *a priori* consider conductors of the shape

$$N(E) = 2^\alpha \cdot 3^{\delta_3} \cdot p^{\delta_p},$$

where $\delta_i = \text{ord}_i(N(E)) \leq 2$, $i = 3, p$, and $\alpha = \text{ord}_2(N(E)) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}$; many of these conductors exceed the limits of the current Cremona database. However, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.4. *In each case $N(E) \leq 350000$, unless (x, y, w, z) corresponds to one of the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned} 3^4 + 1 &= 2 \cdot 41, & 2 \cdot 3^3 - 1 &= 53, & 3^{10} - 1 &= 2 \cdot 61 \cdot 22^2, & 3^4 + 2 &= 83, & 3^4 - 2 &= 79, \\ 3^5 + 1 &= 61 \cdot 2^2, & 2^3 \cdot 3^2 + 1 &= 73, & 2^3 \cdot 3^2 - 1 &= 71, & 3^4 + 2^3 &= 89, & 3^4 - 2^3 &= 73. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to check that we have $N(E) \leq 350000$ unless we are in one of the following situations :

$(\alpha, \delta_3, \delta_p)$	$(8, 2, 2)$	$(7, 2, 2)$	$(8, 1, 2)$	$(7, 1, 2)$	$(8, 0, 2)$
p	≥ 13	≥ 19	≥ 23	≥ 31	≥ 37
$(\alpha, \delta_3, \delta_p)$	$(5, 2, 2)$	$(7, 0, 2)$	$(5, 1, 2)$	$(3, 2, 2)$	
p	≥ 37	≥ 53	≥ 61	≥ 71	

Of these, only the cases $(\alpha, \delta_3, \delta_p) \in \{(8, 1, 2), (7, 1, 2), (5, 1, 2)\}$ may actually correspond to our equations. We are therefore led to solve the following equations, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and k is a positive integer :

$$3^k + \epsilon = 2pz^2, \quad \text{for } p \geq 23,$$

$$2 \cdot 3^k + \epsilon = pz^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 3^k + 2\epsilon = pz^2, \quad \text{for } p \geq 31$$

and

$$3^k + \epsilon = pz^2, \quad 2^3 \cdot 3^k + \epsilon = pz^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 3^k + 2^3\epsilon = pz^2, \quad \text{for } p \geq 61.$$

We deal with each of these in turn. Considering first the equation $3^k + \epsilon = 2pz^2$, we readily check that it has no solution modulo $8p$ for odd values of k and $23 \leq p < 100$. Assume therefore that k is even. If moreover $\epsilon = -1$, then by factorization, we end up with an equation of the shape $3^m \pm 1 = z'^2$ or $3^m \pm 1 = 2z'^2$ for some integer z' . According to [3, Thm. 1.1], this leads to a unique solution to our equation, namely $3^{10} - 1 = 2 \cdot 61 \cdot 22^2$.

Finally, if k is even and $\epsilon = +1$, the equation $3^k + 1 = 2pz^2$ has no solution modulo $24p$ unless $p = 29, 41, 53$ or 89 . However, for $p = 29, 53$ and 89 , it has no solution modulo pq where $q = 43, 313$ and 23 respectively. In the remaining case, namely $p = 41$, write $3^k = 3^\beta x^3$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $(X, Y) = (2 \cdot 3^\beta \cdot 41x, 2^2 3^\beta \cdot 41^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 2^3 \cdot 3^{3\beta} \cdot 41^3.$$

Computing its integral points using the aforementioned SAGE command thus leads to the unique solution $3^4 + 1 = 2 \cdot 41$.

We now turn our attention to the equation $2 \cdot 3^k + \epsilon = pz^2$. We easily check that for p in the range $31 \leq p < 100$, this equation has no solution modulo $24p$ unless we have

$$(\epsilon, p) = (1, 31), (1, 43), (1, 79), (-1, 53) \text{ or } (-1, 89).$$

For $p = 31, 43, 79$ and 89 , the corresponding equation has no solution modulo pq where $q = 13, 7, 13$ and 23 respectively. For the remaining case, that is $(\epsilon, p) = (-1, 53)$, reducing modulo 53 , we find that $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Writing $k = 3k_0$, $(X, Y) = (2 \cdot 3^{k_0} \cdot 53, 2 \cdot 53^2 z)$ is thus an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 2^2 \cdot 53^3.$$

As before, we compute its integral points on SAGE and deduce the unique solution $2 \cdot 3^3 - 1 = 53$.

Consider now equation $3^k + 2\epsilon = pz^2$ for p in the range $31 \leq p \leq 100$. We check that there is no solution modulo $24p$ unless we have

$$(\epsilon, p) = (1, 53), (1, 59), (1, 83), (-1, 31), (-1, 79) \text{ and } (-1, 97).$$

For $p = 53, 59, 31$ and 97 , we however have that the corresponding equation has no solution modulo pq where $q = 2887, 523, 13$ and 7 respectively. It remains to deal

with the cases $(\epsilon, p) = (1, 83)$ and $(-1, 79)$. In the latter case, we find that $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ by reducing modulo 79. Writing $k = 3k_0 + 1$, $(X, Y) = (3^{k_0+1} \cdot 79, 3^1 \cdot 79^2 z)$ is necessarily an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 79^3.$$

This again leads to a unique solution, namely $3^4 - 2 = 79$. In the former case, that is $(\epsilon, p) = (1, 83)$, write $3^k = 3^\beta x^3$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $(X, Y) = (3^\beta \cdot 83x, 3^\beta \cdot 83^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 2 \cdot 3^{2\beta} \cdot 83^3.$$

Computing its integral points using the command `IntegralPoints` in MAGMA ([5]) leads to a unique solution which is $3^4 + 2 = 83$. We note here that the corresponding routine in SAGE has marked difficulty with this equation.

We now consider equation $3^k + \epsilon = pz^2$. If $\epsilon = +1$, then we have no solution modulo $24p$ unless $p = 61, 67$ or 79 . For $p = 67$ or 79 , however, we have that the corresponding equation has no solution modulo pq where $q = 23$ or 2341 respectively. If $p = 61$, write $3^k = 3^\beta x^3$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $(X, Y) = (3^\beta \cdot 61x, 3^\beta \cdot 61^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 3^{2\beta} \cdot 61^3.$$

Computing its integral points using SAGE leads to the unique solution $3^5 + 1 = 61 \cdot 2^2$. If now $\epsilon = -1$, then reducing $3^k - 1 = pz^2$ modulo 8 shows that k is necessarily even. Write $k = 2k_0$ and $3^{k_0} = 3^\beta x^3$ with $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for some divisor z_1 of z , either $(X, Y) = (2 \cdot 3^\beta x, 2^2 \cdot 3^\beta z_1)$ or $(X, Y) = (2 \cdot 3^\beta x, 2 \cdot 3^\beta z_1)$ is an integral point on one of the elliptic curves

$$Y^2 = X^3 \pm 2^3 \cdot 3^{2\beta}.$$

However none of their integral points (which we have already computed) corresponds to a solution of our equation.

Let us now consider the equation $2^3 \cdot 3^k + \epsilon = pz^2$. If $\epsilon = +1$, then the corresponding equation has no solution modulo $24p$ unless $p = 73$ or 97 . Moreover in the former case, we have $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. If $p = 97$, we check that $2^3 \cdot 3^k + 1 = 97z^2$ has no solution modulo $13 \cdot 97$. Assume thus that $p = 73$ and write $k = 3k_0 + 2$. Then $(X, Y) = (2 \cdot 3^{2+k_0} \cdot 73, 3^2 \cdot 73^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 3^4 \cdot 73^3.$$

We therefore find that there is only one solution corresponding to $2^3 \cdot 3^2 + 1 = 73$. Similarly, if $\epsilon = -1$, then the corresponding equation has no solution modulo $24p$ unless $p = 71$. Write $3^k = 3^\beta x^3$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$. Then, $(X, Y) = (2 \cdot 3^\beta \cdot 71x, 3^\beta \cdot 71^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 3^{2\beta} \cdot 71^3.$$

This gives rise to the unique solution $2^3 \cdot 3^2 - 1 = 71$.

We finally deal with the last equation, namely $3^k + 2^3 \epsilon = pz^2$. If $\epsilon = +1$, then the corresponding equation has no solution modulo $24p$ unless $p = 83$ or 89 . If $p = 83$, we find a local obstruction modulo $2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$. If $p = 89$, we write $3^k = 3^\beta x^3$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$, whereby $(X, Y) = (3^\beta \cdot 89x, 3^\beta \cdot 89^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 + 2^3 \cdot 3^{2\beta} \cdot 89^3.$$

We therefore conclude that there is only one solution corresponding to $3^4 + 2^3 = 89$. Similarly, if $\epsilon = -1$, then the corresponding equation has no solution modulo $24p$ unless $p = 67$ or $p = 73$. Moreover, in that latter case, we have $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and it is easy to check that $3^k - 2^3 = 67z^2$ has no solution modulo $23 \cdot 67$. Assume therefore that $p = 73$ and write $k = 3k_0 + 1$. Then $(X, Y) = (3^{k_0+1} \cdot 73, 3 \cdot 73^2 z)$ is an integral point on the elliptic curve

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 2^3 3^2 \cdot 73^3.$$

This gives rise to the unique solution $3^4 - 2^3 = 73$, which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Utilizing Proposition 5.4, we can again appeal to Cremona's SAGE package to compute primitive solutions to equation (1). For each set $S = \{2, 3, p\}$, we have tabulated these solutions in the file <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/2-3-p.pdf>. By quick examination of this table for $p = 23$ and $p = 47$, we immediately deduce the following result about equations that remained unsolved in Proposition 3.3 of Terai [24] (but have been recently solved via rather different methods by Deng [11]).

Proposition 5.5. *The only solutions to $x^2 + 23^m = 12^n$ and $x^2 + 47^m = 24^n$ are $(x, m, n) = (\pm 11, 1, 2)$ and $(x, m, n) = (\pm 23, 1, 2)$ respectively.*

6. THE CASE $n = 3$

We now deal with equation (2) when $n = 3$ using a $(p, p, 3)$ Frey-Hellegouarch curve approach. The corresponding equations to treat are of the shape

$$(11) \quad x + y = wz^3$$

where x, y and w are pairwise coprime S -units. We may assume, without loss of generality, that w is cubefree and positive and that we have $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $y \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. With the notation of §4.3, we attach to such a solution the elliptic curve $E = E_{n,n,3}^{x,y,w}(1, 1, z)$:

$$E : Y^2 + 3wzXY + w^2yY = X^3.$$

As in Section 5, all that remains to do to solve equation (11) is to check for an equality

$$j(E) = j(F), \quad \text{where } j(E) = 3^3 \frac{(x+y)(9x+y)^3}{xy^3}$$

and $j(F)$ denote the j -invariants of E and F respectively, with F ranging over all representatives of the isomorphism classes of elliptic having good reduction outside $S \cup \{3\}$ (and a nontrivial 3-torsion subgroup). To circumvent the difficulty of computing representatives and make this approach work for a broader list of sets S (including some for which we do not know a complete list of such representatives), we also make use of the precise formula for the conductor of E given by Lemma 4.4,

namely $N(E) = 3^\alpha \text{rad}_3(xy)(\text{rad}_3(w))^2$ where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } w^2y - 3wz \equiv -2 \pmod{9} \\ 3 & \text{if } w^2y - 3wz \equiv 1 \text{ or } 4 \pmod{9} \\ 4 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(y) = 1 \\ 3 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(y) = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(y) = 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } \text{ord}_3(y) > 3 \\ 5 & \text{if } 3 \mid w. \end{cases}$$

As in the previous section, we now apply this approach to the sets $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ and $S = \{2, 3, p\}$ with p prime, $11 \leq p \leq 100$.

6.1. The case $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Specializing to $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$, we *a priori* need to consider all conductors of the shape

$$N(E) = 2^{\delta_2} \cdot 3^\alpha \cdot 5^{\delta_5} \cdot 7^{\delta_7}$$

with $\delta_i(N) = \text{ord}_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $i = 2, 5, 7$ and $\alpha = \text{ord}_3(N) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. However, we have, as before, the following result.

Lemma 6.1. *In each case $N(E) < 350000$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that we have the desired inequality for $N(E)$, unless

$$(\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_5, \delta_7) \in \{(5, 1, 2, 2), (5, 2, 2, 2), (4, 2, 2, 2)\}.$$

Among these possibilities, only the case $(\alpha, \delta_2, \delta_5, \delta_7) = (5, 1, 2, 2)$ may correspond to solutions. Since each of the equations

$$2^k \pm 1 = 3^{\delta_3} 5^{\delta_5} 7^{\delta_7} z^3, \quad \text{with each } \delta_i \in \{1, 2\}$$

is insoluble modulo 840, we obtain the stated result. \square

As explained previously, we can therefore appeal to Cremona's table of elliptic curves to solve equation (11) and thus (2) with $n = 3$ and $S = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. It turns out that there are exactly 207 triples (x, y, z) such that $x + y = z^3$ with x and y $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ -units, with $\text{gcd}(x, y)$ cubefree and, say, $x \geq |y| > 0$, and z a positive integer. They are listed in the file <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/2-3-5-7.pdf>. From there we easily extract the latter solutions to this equation for $S = \{2, 3\}$ and $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$. We list them here for later use.

Proposition 6.2. *The only primitive solutions to equation (2) with $n = 3$, $S = \{2, 3\}$ and, say, $x \geq |y| > 0$ are given by*

$$(x, y) = (2, -1), (3, -2), (4, -3), (4, 4), (6, 2), (9, -8), (9, -1), \\ (12, -4), (18, 9), (24, 3), (36, -9) \quad \text{and} \quad (128, -3).$$

Proposition 6.3. *The only primitive solutions to equation (2) with $n = 3$, $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$ and, say, $x \geq |y| > 0$ are given by*

$$(x, y) = (5, 3), (7, 1), (9, -1), (15, -7), (35, -27), (49, 15), (63, 1), (189, -125), \\ (225, -9), (441, -225), (1225, -225), (1875, -147) \quad \text{and} \quad (3969, -1225).$$

6.2. **The case $S = \{2, 3, p\}$.** We now turn our attention to the case $S = \{2, 3, p\}$ where $11 \leq p < 100$ is prime. Once again, we *a priori* need to consider all conductors of the shape

$$N(E) = 2^{\delta_2} \cdot 3^\alpha \cdot p^{\delta_p}$$

with $\delta_i = \text{ord}_i(N) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $i = 2, p$ and $\alpha = \text{ord}_3(N) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. However, we have the following result, the proof of which, it being quite similar to that of Proposition 5.4, we omit for the sake of concision.

Proposition 6.4. *We have $N(E) \leq 350000$ unless (x, y, w, z) corresponds to a one of the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^7 + 1 = 3 \cdot 43, \quad 3 \cdot 2^4 - 1 = 47, \quad 3 \cdot 2^5 + 1 = 97, \\ 2^6 + 3 = 67 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^6 - 3 = 61. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this proposition with Cremona's tables of elliptic curves then allows us to compute all the solutions to (2) with $n = 3$, $S = \{2, 3, p\}$ and p as above. We list them in the file <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/2-3-p.pdf>.

7. THE GENERAL EQUATION

In this last section, we completely solve equation (2) for two specific sets of primes, namely $S = \{2, 3\}$ and $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$, using a variety of Frey-Hellegouarch curves, level-lowering and heavy computations involving modular forms and Thue-Mahler equations. It is only through careful combination of a variety of Frey-Hellegouarch curves, in conjunction with local arguments, that we are able to reduce these problems to a feasible collection of Thue-Mahler equations (in our case, all of degree 5). This is, in essence, the main feature of our approach that distinguishes it from one purely reliant upon lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms. This latter method is, in our opinion, at least with current technology, impractical for explicitly solving equation (2) for any set S with at least two elements.

7.1. **The case $S = \{2, 3\}$.** We prove the following result.

Theorem 7.1. *The only primitive solutions to equation (2) with $S = \{2, 3\}$ and, say, $x \geq |y| > 0$ and $z > 0$ are given by the following infinite families*

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z, n) = & (2, -1, 1, n), (3, -2, 1, n), (4, -3, 1, n), (9, -8, 1, n), (2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}, 2, n), \\ & (3 \cdot 2^{n-2}, 2^{n-2}, 2, n), (3 \cdot 2^{n-1}, -2^{n-1}, 2, n), (2 \cdot 3^{n-1}, 3^{n-1}, 3, n), \\ & (2^2 \cdot 3^{n-1}, -3^{n-1}, 3, n), (2^3 \cdot 3^{n-2}, 3^{n-2}, 3, n), \quad \text{all with } n \geq 2, \\ (x, y, z, n) = & (3^2 \cdot 2^{n-3}, -2^{n-3}, 2, n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

and by

$$(x, y, z, n) = (16, 9, 5, 2), (18, -2, 4, 2), (24, 1, 5, 2), (27, -2, 5, 2), (81, -32, 7, 2), (48, 1, 7, 2), (128, -3, 5, 3), (288, 1, 17, 2) \text{ and } (486, -2, 22, 2).$$

The cases where $n \leq 4$ were covered in the previous two sections. We may therefore assume, without loss of generality, that $n \geq 5$ is prime. The corresponding equation to treat is

$$(12) \quad x + y = wz^n$$

with x, y and w coprime $\{2, 3\}$ -units and w n th-power free.

Assume first that $\text{ord}_2(xyw) \geq 4$. Since precisely one of x, y and wz is even, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $x \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and that

$$\max\{\text{ord}_2(y), \text{ord}_2(w)\} \geq 4.$$

We write $(Aa^n, Bb^n) = (x, y)$ or $(x, -wz^n)$ if y or w is even, respectively, and set $Cc^n = Aa^n + Bb^n$, for A, B and C n th-power free integers. With the notation of §4.1, considering the elliptic curve $E = E_{n,n,n}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c)$, we thus have that n fails to divide ABC and $\text{ord}_2(ABC) \geq 4$, and hence, in the notation of Proposition 4.1, $E \sim_n f$ where f is a weight 2 newform of level $N_0 \mid 6$. The resulting contradiction implies that necessarily $\text{ord}_2(xyw) \leq 3$.

Assume next that $\text{ord}_3(xyw) \geq 4$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $y \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and that

$$\max\{\text{ord}_3(y), \text{ord}_3(w)\} \geq 4.$$

We define

$$(Aa^n, Bb^n, Cc^3) = (-wz^n, y, -x) \text{ or } (-x, wz^n, y),$$

depending on whether $3 \mid y$ or w , respectively, where, in either case, A and B are chosen to be n th-power free and C is cubefree. In both cases, we have $n \nmid ABC$ and $|ab| \geq |z|$.

If $|z| \geq 2$, then with the notation of Proposition 4.5, we have $E_{n,n,3}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c) \sim_n f$ where f is a weight 2 newform of level $N_0 \mid 12$ and hence a contradiction. We therefore have $z = \pm 1$ and we are led to solve $3^k - 2^l = \pm 1$ with $k \geq 4$. Both equations have no solution for $l \leq 2$ and, by reducing modulo 8, we see that the equation $3^k - 2^l = -1$ has no solution for $l \geq 3$ as well. If $3^k - 2^l = +1$ and $l \geq 3$, then k is necessarily even, whereby both $3^{k/2} - 1$ and $3^{k/2} + 1$ are powers of 2. Hence $k < 4$ and this is again a contradiction.

We are left, then, to consider equation (12) with

$$\max\{\text{ord}_2(xyw), \text{ord}_3(xyw)\} \leq 3.$$

A short calculation leads to the families indicated in Theorem 7.1.

7.2. The case $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$. The following result is the main theorem of the paper. As we shall observe, despite the apparently small size of S and its elements, the computations involved here are really approaching the limits of current ‘‘off the shelf’’ technology (though coming refinements in computational tools for modular forms will alleviate this somewhat).

Theorem 7.2. *The only primitive solutions to equation (2) with $S = \{3, 5, 7\}$ and $x > |y| > 0$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) = & (3, 1), (5, -1), (5, 3), (7, -3), (7, 1), (9, -5), (9, -1), (9, 7), (15, -7), (15, 1), \\ & (21, -5), (21, 15), (25, -21), (25, -9), (25, 7), (27, 5), (35, -27), (35, -3), (35, 1), \\ & (49, -45), (49, 15), (63, 1), (81, -49), (105, -5), (125, 3), (135, -35), (135, -7), \\ & (147, -3), (175, 21), (175, 81), (189, -125), (189, 7), (225, -9), (343, -243), \\ & (375, -343), (405, -5), (441, -225), (625, -49), (675, 1), (729, -245), (1029, -5), \\ & (1225, -225), (1323, -27), (1875, -147), (3375, 2401), (3969, -1225), (3969, -125), \\ & (9375, 1029), (10125, -125), (15625, -1701), (50625, -3969), (59535, 1), \\ & (540225, -2401), (688905, -5), (4782969, 4375) \text{ and } (24310125, -10125). \end{aligned}$$

Before proving our main theorem, we first state some useful preliminary results starting with a standard factorization lemma.

Lemma 7.3. *Let x and y be coprime integers and n an odd prime number. If we write*

$$\phi_n(x, y) = \frac{x^n + y^n}{x + y},$$

then we have that

$$\gcd(x + y, \phi_n(x, y)) \in \{1, n\}$$

and, moreover,

$$\gcd(x + y, \phi_n(x, y)) = n \Leftrightarrow n \mid x^n + y^n \Leftrightarrow n \mid \phi_n(x, y) \Leftrightarrow n \mid x + y.$$

Further, $n^2 \nmid \phi_n(x, y)$ and if ℓ is a prime dividing $x^n + y^n$, then either $\ell \mid x + y$ or $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Apart from its very last assertion, this lemma is proved in [10, Lemma 2.1]. Suppose that ℓ is a prime dividing $x^n + y^n$. Then, by coprimality, ℓ does not divide y . We may thus find an integer y' such that $yy' \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$, whence $(xy')^n \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. If $xy' \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$, it follows that

$$xy' + yy' = y'(x + y) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell},$$

so that $\ell \mid x + y$. Otherwise, since n is prime, xy' has order n modulo ℓ , so that $n \mid \ell - 1$, i.e. $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. \square

This lemma is a key tool in the proof of the following result.

Lemma 7.4. *Let x, y be coprime nonzero integers and $n \geq 5$ be a prime number. Then*

$$P(x^n + y^n) \geq 11,$$

unless $|x| = |y| = 1$.

Proof. Assume $P(x^n + y^n) \leq 7$ and $|x| \neq |y|$. Then, with the above notation, we have that $|\phi_n(x, y)| > 1$, since, if $x^n + y^n = \pm(x + y)$, then necessarily $|x| = |y|$. It follows that there exists a prime $l \in \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ such that $l \mid \phi_n(x, y)$ and hence, by Lemma 7.3, $l \mid x + y$, whereby $l = n \in \{5, 7\}$. We thus have

$$x^n + y^n = \pm n(x + y), \quad \text{for } n = 5 \text{ or } 7,$$

which again has no solutions with $|x| \neq |y|$. This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The next result will be of use in proving a special case of Theorem 7.2 (see Proposition 7.6).

Proposition 7.5. *The only solutions to $C^4 - 1 = p^\alpha q^\beta z^n$ and $D^2 - 1 = p^\alpha z^n$ for C, D and z positive integers with z even, $n \geq 5$ prime, and $\{p, q\} \subset \{3, 5, 7\}$ are with*

$$n = 5, \quad C = 7 \quad \text{and} \quad D \in \{15, 17\}.$$

Proof. We first deal with $C^4 - 1 = p^\alpha q^\beta z^n$. Since z is even, we have that C is odd and by factorization (up to permutation of p and q) that either

$$C^2 + 1 = 2z_1^n, \quad C^2 + 1 = 2p^\alpha z_1^n \quad \text{or} \quad C^2 + 1 = 2p^\alpha q^\beta z_1^n,$$

for some odd integer z_1 . By a classical result of Störmer ([23, p. 168]), the first case holds only for $z_1 = C = 1$. Similarly in the third case, we deduce that

$C^2 - 1 = 2^{n-1}z_2^n$ for some nonzero integer z_2 which in turn contradicts Corollary 1.4 of [1]. We therefore end up in the situation where

$$C^2 + 1 = 2p^\alpha z_1^n \quad \text{and} \quad C^2 - 1 = 2^{n-1}q^\beta z_2^n,$$

for some positive integer z_2 . By factorization, $C \pm 1 = 2q^\beta w_1^n$ and $C \mp 1 = 2^{n-2}w_2^n$ for some positive integers w_1 and w_2 , whereby we have

$$q^\beta w_1^n - 2^{n-3}w_2^n = \pm 1.$$

We may thus appeal to Theorem 1.1 of [2] with (in the notation of that paper) $S = \{2, q\}$ to conclude that $n = 5$ and $w_1 = w_2 = 1$, whence $C = 7$ as claimed.

We now turn our attention to the equation $D^2 - 1 = p^\alpha z^n$. By factorization, for some positive integers w_1 and w_2 , we have either

$$w_1^n - 2^{n-2}p^\alpha w_2^n = \pm 1 \quad \text{or} \quad p^\alpha w_1^n - 2^{n-2}w_2^n = \pm 1.$$

According to *loc. cit.* applied to $S = \{2, p\}$, the former equation has no such solutions, whereas the only solutions to the latter are with $n = 5$, $p^\alpha \in \{7, 3^2\}$ and $w_1 = w_2 = 1$. This gives rise to $D \in \{15, 17\}$, as claimed. \square

With those preliminary results in hands, we now turn to the actual proof of Theorem 7.2. Once again, recall that we have to solve

$$(13) \quad x + y = wz^n,$$

where x, y, w are coprime $\{3, 5, 7\}$ -units with x, w positive, $n \geq 5$ prime and z nonzero.

Our first result is concerned with the case $y = \pm 1$ in equation (13) above.

Proposition 7.6. *Let x and w be coprime positive $\{3, 5, 7\}$ -units, $y = \pm 1$ and let $n \geq 5$ be a prime number such that $x + y = wz^n$ for some positive integer z . Then, $y = -1$, $n = 5$, $z = 2$ and*

$$(x, w) = (7^4, 3 \cdot 5^2) \quad \text{or} \quad (3^2 \cdot 5^2, 7).$$

Proof. According to Lemma 7.4, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $w \neq 1$. Similarly by applying [2, Thm. 1.1] to each subset of $\{3, 5, 7\}$ of cardinality 2, we may also assume that $\text{rad}(xw) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. Besides, sieving modulo $2^4 \text{rad}(w)$ shows that we necessarily have $y = -1$ and that either x is a 4th power and w has two distinct prime factors (in $\{3, 5, 7\}$), or that x is a square and w has only one prime factor. We finally conclude using Proposition 7.5. \square

According to Lemma 7.4 and Proposition 7.6 above, in order to prove Theorem 7.2, it remains to solve each equation of the shape

$$(14) \quad 3^\alpha 5^\beta + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma = z^n, \quad \text{with } (\alpha, \beta) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod{n} \text{ and } \gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

$$(15) \quad 3^\alpha 7^\gamma + (-1)^\delta 5^\beta = z^n, \quad \text{with } (\alpha, \gamma) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod{n} \text{ and } \beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

$$(16) \quad 5^\beta 7^\gamma + (-1)^\delta 3^\alpha = z^n, \quad \text{with } (\beta, \gamma) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod{n} \text{ and } \alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

$$(17) \quad 3^\alpha + (-1)^\delta 5^\beta = 7^\gamma z^n, \quad \text{with } \alpha, \beta > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \gamma \leq n - 1$$

$$(18) \quad 3^\alpha + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma = 5^\beta z^n, \quad \text{with } \alpha, \gamma > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \beta \leq n - 1$$

$$(19) \quad 5^\beta + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma = 3^\alpha z^n, \quad \text{with } \beta, \gamma > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \alpha \leq n - 1$$

where α, β and γ are nonnegative integers, $n \geq 5$ is prime and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$.

In the remainder of this section, we prove the following precise result on these equations. Combining it with Proposition 7.6 and results from Sections 5 and 6 completes the proof of Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 7.7. *The solutions to equations (14) – (19) in nonnegative integers α, β and γ , prime $n \geq 5$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ correspond to the identities*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^5 &= 3 \cdot 5^3 - 7^3 = 3^4 - 7^2 = 5 \cdot 7 - 3 = 3^3 + 5 = 5^2 + 7, \\ 2^{10} &= 3 \cdot 7^3 - 5 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^7 = 5^3 + 3 = 3^3 \cdot 5 - 7. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we have a solution to one of equations (14) – (19) in nonnegative integers α, β and γ , prime $n \geq 5$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, and rewrite this in the shape (9) for suitable choices of A, B, C, a, b and c . Applying Proposition 4.5, we thus have that $E \sim_n f$ for some weight 2 cuspidal newform of level N_0 where, crudely, we have that $N_0 \mid 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$. Since $2 \mid z$ and hence necessarily $2 \mid ab$, we may apply congruence (5) with $l = 2$ to conclude that

$$n \leq \left(3 + 2\sqrt{2}\right)^{g_0(N_0)} \leq \left(3 + 2\sqrt{2}\right)^{(N_0+1)/12} < 10^{18991},$$

where $g_0(N_0)$ denotes the number of cuspidal weight-2 newforms of level N_0 .

In what follows, we will in fact show that this upper bound can, through somewhat refined arguments using various Frey-Hellegouarch curves, local computations and Thue(-Mahler) solvers, be replaced by the assertion that $n \in \{5, 7\}$, corresponding to the solutions noted in Theorem 7.7. In the case $n = 5$, an approach via Frey-Hellegouarch curves, while theoretically of value, in practice appears to work poorly. Instead, we will use MAGMA code for solving Thue-Mahler equations due to K. Hambrook [13]; documentation for this may be found at

<http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/hambrook-thesis-2011.pdf>.

The result we deduce by appealing to this code is the following :

Proposition 7.8. *The solutions to equations (14) – (19) in nonnegative integers α, β, γ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, with $n = 5$ correspond to the identities*

$$2^5 = 3 \cdot 5^3 - 7^3 = 3^4 - 7^2 = 5 \cdot 7 - 3 = 3^3 + 5 = 5^2 + 7 \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{10} = 3 \cdot 7^3 - 5.$$

Proof. According to the shapes of the equations and the noted restrictions on α, β and γ , it suffices to solve the following Thue-Mahler equations (some of which may be treated locally)

$$\begin{aligned} z^5 - 3^a 5^b y^5 &= 7^c, & \text{with } 0 \leq a, b \leq 4 \text{ and } (a, b) \neq (0, 0) \\ z^5 - 3^a 7^c y^5 &= 5^b, & \text{with } 0 \leq a, c \leq 4 \text{ and } (a, c) \neq (0, 0) \\ z^5 - 5^b 7^c y^5 &= 3^a, & \text{with } 0 \leq b, c \leq 4 \text{ and } (b, c) \neq (0, 0) \\ 7^c z^5 - 5^b y^5 &= 3^a, & \text{with } 0 < b, c \leq 4 \\ 3^a z^5 - 5^b y^5 &= 7^c, & \text{with } 0 < a, b \leq 4. \end{aligned}$$

This is easily achieved using Hambrook's code and leads to the solutions mentioned. \square

It is worth noting, at this point in the proceedings, that, at least as currently implemented, the Thue-Mahler solver we are using has severe difficulties with equations of degree 7 and higher. In particular, we will need to work very carefully in order to avoid its use for the remaining cases under consideration.

We will now deal with each of equations (14)–(19) in turn, assuming $n \geq 7$ and that we have a solution satisfying the conditions mentioned. We will make repeated use of Proposition 4.5, explicitly using MAGMA to calculate newforms of all relevant levels N_0 .

The results are as follows. We list in Table 1 the triples $(\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7)$ of interest to us for which the space of weight 2 cuspidal newforms of level

$$(20) \quad N_0 = 3^{\delta_3} \cdot 5^{\delta_5} \cdot 7^{\delta_7}$$

is nontrivial, together with the list of all primes $n \geq 7$ for which there exists at least one form f of level N_0 satisfying both (5) with $l = 2$, and the congruences of Proposition 4.5 for all primes $3 \leq l < 50$. The MAGMA code used for this computation (when $N_0 < 10000$) is available at <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/nn3.m>.

For the larger levels $N_0 = 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 = 14175$ and $N_0 = 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 = 19845$, we have first used Wiese’s MAGMA function `Decomposition` (from his package `ArtinAlgebras` available on his homepage) to compute the characteristic polynomials of the first few Fourier coefficients. The output can be found in

<http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/14175.m>

and in

<http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/19845.m>,

respectively.

$(\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7)$	n
$(0, 0, 2), (0, 2, 1), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (1, 2, 0), (1, 2, 1), (3, 0, 0), (3, 0, 1), (3, 0, 2), (4, 0, 0), (4, 1, 0), (5, 0, 1)$	none
$(0, 1, 2), (0, 2, 2), (1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 2), (4, 0, 2), (4, 2, 1), (5, 1, 0)$	7
$(1, 2, 2), (3, 2, 1), (4, 1, 2)$	7, 11
$(5, 0, 0)$	17
$(5, 1, 1)$	7, 17

TABLE 1. Triples $(\delta_3, \delta_5, \delta_7)$ and corresponding values of n

In the next six subsections we deal with each equation in turn. Full details on the computations can be found in the file

http://www.math.ubc.ca/~bennett/Last_Equations.pdf

7.2.1. *The equation $3^\alpha 5^\beta + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma = z^n$.* By reducing the equation modulo 8, we see that α and β have the same parity, and that α is odd if and only if we have $\delta \equiv \gamma \pmod{2}$. We begin by assuming that α and β are even, and $n \geq 7$. If, further, $\beta > 0$, we consider the curve

$$E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{(-1)^{\delta+1}7^{\gamma_0},1,1}(7^{\gamma_1}, z, (-1)^{\alpha/2}3^{\alpha/2} \cdot 5^{\beta/2}),$$

where $\gamma = n\gamma_1 + \gamma_0$, with $0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq n - 1$. It has good reduction at 5 and is of the shape

$$E : Y^2 + XY = X^3 + AX^2 + BX$$

where $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $A \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $B \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, whereby $a_5(E) \in \{\pm 2, \pm 4\}$. On the other hand, Proposition 4.3, $E \sim_n f$ where f is a weight-2 newform of level 14. Since there is a unique such newform and it satisfies $c_5 = 0$, we obtain a contradiction from (5) for $l = 5$. Assume next that α is even, $\beta = 0$ and $n = 7$. If $\gamma \geq 2$, then we have $3^\alpha \equiv z^7 \pmod{49}$ and, since $z \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, $3^{6\alpha} \equiv 1 \pmod{49}$. This leads to the conclusion that $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ and hence a contradiction. If $\gamma = 1$, then we have $\delta = 0$ and the equation to solve is $3^\alpha + 7 = z^7$. However we check that there is no value of $\alpha \pmod{42}$ such that

$$(3^\alpha + 7)^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{49} \quad \text{and} \quad (3^\alpha + 7)^6 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{43},$$

and hence obtain a contradiction in this case as well. We therefore may assume that α is even, $\beta = 0$ and $n \geq 11$, and consider the curve

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{1,-3^{\alpha_0},(-1)^{\delta}7^{\gamma_0}}(z, 3^{\alpha_1}, 7^{\gamma_1}),$$

where $\alpha = n\alpha_1 + \alpha_0$, with $0 < \alpha_0 \leq n - 1$ and $\gamma = 3\gamma_1 + \gamma_0$, with $0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq 2$. Then, by Proposition 4.5, $E \sim_n f$ where f is a weight 2 newform of level N_0 with $N_0 \mid 3 \cdot 7^2$ or $3^3 \mid N_0 \mid 3^3 \cdot 7^2$ if $\alpha \geq 3$ or $\alpha = 2$ respectively. Since $n \geq 11$, we therefore reach a contradiction upon appealing to Table 1.

Next, suppose that α and β are odd. If $n = 7$ and $\gamma \geq 2$, we simply note that there is no solution modulo $2^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 43 \cdot 71$. If $n = 7$ and $\gamma = 1$, then $\delta = 1$ and modulo $2^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 43 \cdot 113$, we have that $\alpha \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ and $\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$. We are therefore led to solve the Thue equation

$$z^7 - 3^3 5 y^7 = 7$$

using PARI/GP. This gives rise to a unique solution to our equation, namely $3^3 \cdot 5 - 7 = 2^7$. If, however, we assume that $n \geq 11$, we begin by considering the following $(n, n, 3)$ Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{1,-3^{\alpha_0}5^{\beta_0},(-1)^{\delta}7^{\gamma_0}}(z, 3^{\alpha_1}5^{\beta_1}, 7^{\gamma_1})$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = n\alpha_1 + \alpha_0, & 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq n - 1 \\ \beta = n\beta_1 + \beta_0, & 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq n - 1 \\ \gamma = 3\gamma_1 + \gamma_0, & 0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Proposition 4.5, $E \sim_n f$ where f has level N_0 with $N_0 \mid 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$ or $N_0 = 3^4 \cdot N_1$, where $N_1 \mid 5 \cdot 7^2$, if $\alpha \geq 3$ or $\alpha = 1$ respectively. According to Table 1, since $n \geq 11$, we necessarily have level $N_0 = 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$, whence

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad n = 11 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

To treat this remaining case, we now consider the following (n, n, n) Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E = E_{11,11,11}^{3 \cdot 5^{\beta_0}, -1, (-1)^{\delta+1}7^{\gamma_0}}(5^{\beta_1}, z, 7^{\gamma_1}),$$

where $\beta = 11\beta_1 + \beta_0$ and $\gamma = 11\gamma_1 + \gamma_0$ with $0 < \beta_0, \gamma_0 \leq 10$ (recall that $\beta, \gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{11}$). According to Proposition 4.1, E arises from a weight-2 newform f of level 210 and f corresponds to an elliptic curve F/\mathbb{Q} such that

$$a_q(F) \not\equiv \pm 2 \pmod{11}, \quad \text{for } q = 23 \text{ and } 67.$$

This implies that F has good reduction at 23 and 67, or, in other words, that neither 23 nor 67 divides z . We may thus sieve locally at these primes to show that there is no triple (β, δ, γ) (with β odd and $\delta \equiv \gamma \pmod{2}$) such that

$$3 \cdot 5^\beta + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^{11}$$

simultaneously for $q = 23$ and 67 . This leads to the desired contradiction, whereby we may conclude that the only solution to (14) for $n \geq 7$ prime corresponds to $3^3 \cdot 5 - 7 = 2^7$.

7.2.2. *The equation $3^\alpha 7^\gamma + (-1)^\delta 5^\beta = z^n$.* By reducing modulo 8, we see that α and β necessarily have the same parity, and that α is odd precisely when we have $\delta \equiv \gamma \pmod{2}$. Assume first that α and β are even, and $n \geq 7$. Let us consider

$$E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{-3^{\alpha_0} 7^{\gamma_0}, 1, (-1)^\delta} (3^{\alpha_1} 7^{\gamma_1}, z, (-1)^\delta 5^{\beta/2}),$$

where $\alpha = n\alpha_1 + \alpha_0$, $\gamma = n\gamma_1 + \gamma_0$, with $0 \leq \alpha_0, \gamma_0 \leq n - 1$. Since $\beta > 0$, E has good reduction at 5. Moreover, if $\delta = 0$, then it satisfies $a_5(E) = \pm 4$. From Proposition 4.3, E arises modulo n from a weight 2 newform of level 42 (recall that $\alpha > 0$). But there is a unique newform at level 42 corresponding to an elliptic curve F/\mathbb{Q} with $a_5(F) = -2$, a contradiction. It follows that we have $\delta = 1$, which in turn implies γ even. We may thus consider instead

$$E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{5^{\beta_0}, 1, 1} (5^{\beta_1}, z, (-1)^{(\alpha+\gamma)/2} 3^{\alpha/2} 7^{\gamma/2}),$$

where $\beta = n\beta_1 + \beta_0$ with $0 < \beta_0 \leq n - 1$. Then, by Proposition 4.3, E arises from a weight 2 newform of level 10. This is an obvious contradiction.

Next, assume that α and β are odd, and $n \geq 7$. We consider the elliptic curve $E = E_{n,n,3}^{A,B,C}(a, b, c)$ with

$$A = 1, \quad B = -3^{\alpha_0} 7^{\gamma_0}, \quad C = (-1)^\delta 5^{\beta_0}, \quad a = z, \quad b = 3^{\alpha_1} 7^{\gamma_1} \quad \text{and} \quad c = 5^{\beta_1},$$

where $\alpha = n\alpha_1 + \alpha_0$, $\gamma = n\gamma_1 + \gamma_0$ with $0 \leq \alpha_0, \gamma_0 \leq n - 1$ and $\beta = 3\beta_1 + \beta_0$ with $0 \leq \beta_0 \leq 2$. Then $E \sim_n f$ where f is a weight 2 newform of level, say, N_0 which we may compute using Proposition 4.5. If $\alpha \geq 3$, we find that $N_0 \mid 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$ and hence reach a contradiction from consideration of Table 1. Assume therefore that $\alpha = 1$ and that (A, B, C, a, b, c) does not correspond to the solution $3 + 5^3 = 2^7$. Then, necessarily, $n = 7$ and $N_0 = 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$. In particular, we have $\gamma_0 \neq 0$, i.e., $\gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. We now use local arguments to conclude. Indeed, if $\gamma \geq 2$, then by reducing mod 49, we obtain that $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, a contradiction. If, however, $\gamma = 1$, then $\delta = 1$ and we check that there is no value of β (odd) such that $\beta \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ and

$$(3 \cdot 7 - 5^\beta)^{(p-1)/7} \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{p}$$

holds for $p = 29$ and 71 simultaneously.

This gives the desired contradiction and hence proves that the only solution to (15) for $n \geq 7$ prime corresponds to $3 + 5^3 = 2^7$.

7.2.3. *The equation $5^\beta 7^\gamma + (-1)^\delta 3^\alpha = z^n$.* By reducing modulo 8, we see that α and β have the same parity and that α is odd if and only if we have $\delta \equiv \gamma \pmod{2}$. From the preceding two subsections, we may suppose that $\beta\gamma \neq 0$. If $n = 7$ and $\gamma \geq 2$, then considering the equation modulo 7^2 , we conclude that $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, a contradiction. If, however, $n = 7$ and $\gamma = 1$, we can easily check that there is

no solution modulo $2^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 43 \cdot 127 \cdot 379$. We may thus suppose that $n \geq 11$. Further, if α, β and γ are all even (so that $\delta = 1$), we can consider the elliptic curve

$$E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{3^{\alpha_0},1,1}(3^{\alpha_1}, z, (-1)^{\gamma/2} 5^{\beta/2} 7^{\gamma/2})$$

where $\alpha = n\alpha_1 + \alpha_0$ with $0 < \alpha_0 \leq n - 1$. By Proposition 4.3, it arises at level 6, a contradiction. We may thus suppose that at least one of α, β or γ is odd.

If $\alpha \geq 3$, then we consider

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{1,(-1)^{\delta+1}3^{\alpha_0},5^{\beta_0}7^{\gamma_0}}(z, 3^{\alpha_1}, 5^{\beta_1}7^{\gamma_1})$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = n\alpha_1 + \alpha_0, & 0 < \alpha_0 \leq n - 1 \\ \beta = 3\beta_1 + \beta_0, & 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq 2 \\ \gamma = 3\gamma_1 + \gamma_0, & 0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 4.5, we have $E \sim_n f$ where f has level $N_0 \mid 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$; from Table 1, we necessarily have $n = 11$.

We now use an (n, n, n) Frey-Hellegouarch curve argument to treat this remaining case. Consider either the elliptic curve

$$E = E_{11,11,11}^{-5^{\beta_0}7^{\gamma_0},1,(-1)^{\delta}3^{\alpha_0}}(5^{\beta_1}7^{\gamma_1}, z, 3^{\alpha_1})$$

or

$$E = E_{11,11,11}^{5^{\beta_0}7^{\gamma_0},-1,(-1)^{\delta+1}3^{\alpha_0}}(5^{\beta_1}7^{\gamma_1}, z, 3^{\alpha_1})$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = 11\alpha_1 + \alpha_0 & 0 < \alpha_0 \leq 10, \\ \beta = 11\beta_1 + \beta_0 & 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq 10 \\ \gamma = 11\gamma_1 + \gamma_0 & 0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq 10, \end{cases}$$

if γ is even or odd, respectively. Then, by Proposition 4.1, $E \sim_n f$ where f has level $N_0 \in \{30, 42, 210\}$. It follows that the newform f corresponds to an elliptic curve F/\mathbb{Q} for which there are unique isogeny classes at level $N_0 \in \{30, 42\}$ and five isogeny classes at level 210. For $q \in \{23, 67, 89, 199\}$, we compute $a_q(F)$ to show that E has good reduction at q (i.e., $q \nmid z$) unless, perhaps, if either $q = 89$ and f corresponds to the isogeny class 210c (in Cremona's notation), or $q = 199$ and f corresponds to the isogeny class 210d. We finally sieve over α, β and γ (not all even) to show that we do not have

$$5^\beta 7^\gamma + (-1)^\delta 3^\alpha \in ((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times)^{11} \quad \text{and} \quad a_q(E) \equiv a_q(F) \pmod{11},$$

for $q = 23, 67$ and 89 simultaneously if the isogeny class of F is not 210c and for $q = 23, 67$ and 199 simultaneously otherwise.

Assume now $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$. We basically follow the same strategy we used for the case $\alpha \geq 3$ applying first an $(n, n, 3)$ and then an (n, n, n) argument, though the details are somewhat simpler. Indeed, we first consider

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{5^{\beta_0}7^{\gamma_0},-1,(-1)^{\delta+1}3}(5^{\beta_1}7^{\gamma_1}, z, 1)$$

or

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{-5^{\beta_0}7^{\gamma_0},1,(-1)^{\delta}3^2}(5^{\beta_1}7^{\gamma_1}, z, 1)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \beta = n\beta_1 + \beta_0, & 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq n - 1 \\ \gamma = n\gamma_1 + \gamma_0, & 0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq n - 1 \end{cases}$$

if $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$ respectively. Then, by Proposition 4.5, $E \sim_n f$ where f has level $3^5 \cdot N_1$, where $N_1 \mid 5 \cdot 7$. From appeal to Table 1, we conclude that $n = 17$ (and $\beta, \gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{17}$).

We now use an (n, n, n) Frey-Hellegouarch curve argument to deal with the case $n = 17$. As before, we consider the elliptic curve

$$E = E_{17,17,17}^{-5^{\beta_0} 7^{\gamma_0}, 1, (-1)^{\delta} 3^{\alpha}}(5^{\beta_1} 7^{\gamma_1}, z, 1)$$

or

$$E = E_{17,17,17}^{5^{\beta_0} 7^{\gamma_0}, -1, (-1)^{\delta+1} 3^{\alpha}}(5^{\beta_1} 7^{\gamma_1}, z, 1)$$

with $\beta_0, \beta_1, \gamma_0, \gamma_1$ as above, if γ is even or odd, respectively. Then E arises mod 17 from an elliptic curve F of conductor $N_0 \in \{30, 42, 210\}$ and for $q \in \{103, 137\}$, we check, by computing $a_q(E) \pmod{17}$ that E has good reduction at q (i.e., that $q \nmid z$). For $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$, we finally sieve over β and γ to show that

$$5^{\beta} 7^{\gamma} + (-1)^{\delta} 3^{\alpha} \in ((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times})^{17} \quad \text{and} \quad a_q(E) \equiv a_q(F) \pmod{17},$$

does not hold for $q = 103$ and 137 simultaneously. This finishes the proof that equation (16) has no solution for $n \geq 7$.

7.2.4. The equation $3^{\alpha} + (-1)^{\delta} 5^{\beta} = 7^{\gamma} z^n$. Considering the equation 8, we conclude that α and β have the same parity and that α is odd if and only if we have $\delta = 0$. If α and β are odd, then $\delta = 0$ and, since $\gamma > 0$, we have $3^{\alpha} + 5^{\beta} \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ and hence a contradiction. Next, assume that α and β are even and $n \geq 7$. The equation to treat is now

$$3^{\alpha} - 5^{\beta} = 7^{\gamma} z^n.$$

We thus consider the elliptic curve

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{-7^{\gamma}, 3^{\alpha_0}, 5^{\beta_0}}(z, 3^{\alpha_1}, 5^{\beta_1})$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = n\beta_1 + \alpha_0, & 0 \leq \beta_0 \leq n-1 \\ \beta = 3\beta_1 + \beta_0, & 0 \leq \gamma_0 \leq 2 \end{cases}.$$

By Proposition 4.5, we have $E \sim_n f$ where f has level $N_0 = 3^k \cdot 5^{\delta_5} \cdot 7$ with $\delta_5 \in \{0, 2\}$ and

$$k = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \alpha = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \equiv 3 \pmod{n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha \geq 3 \text{ and } \alpha \not\equiv 3 \pmod{n} \end{cases}.$$

Using Table 1, it then follows that $\alpha = 2$ and $n \in \{7, 11\}$. We first consider the $(n, n, 3)$ Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E = E_{n,n,3}^{7^{\gamma}, 5^{\beta_0}, 3^2}(z, 5^{\beta_1}, 1),$$

where $\beta = n\beta_1 + \beta_0$ with $0 \leq \beta_0 \leq n-1$. By Proposition 4.5, the curve E arises modulo n from a newform f of level $N_0 = 3^5 \cdot N_1$, where $N_1 \mid 5 \cdot 7$. Hence, using Table 1, we deduce that $n \in \{7, 17\}$ and, in particular, that $n \neq 11$.

If, however, $n = 7$, then we use local arguments to get a contradiction. If $\gamma \geq 2$, we check that there is no solution modulo $2^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 43$. Similarly, if $\gamma = 1$, then there is no solution modulo $2^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 127$. This shows that equation (17) has no solution for $n \geq 7$ prime.

7.2.5. *The equation* $3^\alpha + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma = 5^\beta z^n$. By reducing mod $2^4 \cdot 5$, we conclude that $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $\delta = 1$. Write $\alpha = 2\alpha_0$ and $\gamma = 2\gamma_0$. Then, there exist nonzero integers z_1, z_2 with z_2 odd such that either

$$\begin{cases} 3^{\alpha_0} - 7^{\gamma_0} = 2^{n-1} 5^\beta z_1^n \\ 3^{\alpha_0} + 7^{\gamma_0} = 2z_2^n \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} 3^{\alpha_0} - 7^{\gamma_0} = 2^{n-1} z_1^n \\ 3^{\alpha_0} + 7^{\gamma_0} = 2 \cdot 5^\beta z_2^n \end{cases}.$$

Adding these equations and recalling that α_0 is even yields either

$$\left(3^{\alpha_0/2}\right)^2 = 2^{n-2} 5^\beta z_1^n + z_2^n \quad \text{or} \quad \left(3^{\alpha_0/2}\right)^2 = 2^{n-2} z_1^n + 5^\beta z_2^n.$$

If $n \geq 11$, we consider the $(n, n, 2)$ Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{1,2^{n-2}5^\beta,1}(z_2, z_1, (-3)^{\alpha_0/2}) \quad \text{or} \quad E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{5^\beta,2^{n-2},1}(z_2, z_1, (-3)^{\alpha_0/2})$$

according to whether we are in the first or second case above, respectively. Then, by Proposition 4.3, the curve E arises at level 10, an immediate contradiction. We may therefore assume that $n = 7$ and sieve over α, β and γ to show that there is no solution modulo $2^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 43 \cdot 71 \cdot 113 \cdot 127 \cdot 211 \cdot 337 \cdot 421$. This shows that equation (18) has no solution for $n \geq 7$ prime.

7.2.6. *The equation* $5^\beta + (-1)^\delta 7^\gamma = 3^\alpha z^n$. By reducing mod $2^4 \cdot 3$, we find that $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\gamma \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\delta = 1$. We then consider the $(n, n, 2)$ Frey-Hellegouarch curve

$$E = E_{(3),n,n,2}^{5^{\beta_0}, -3^\alpha, 1}(5^{\beta_1}, z, (-7)^{\gamma/2}),$$

where $\beta = n\beta_1 + \beta_0$ with $0 \leq \beta_0 \leq n - 1$. It has good reduction at 7 and satisfies $a_7(E) = 0$. On the other hand, the curve E arises from the (unique) newform f at level 30, which satisfies $c_7(f) = -4$. This gives us the desired contradiction and hence proves that equation (19) has no solution for $n \geq 7$ prime.

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Bennett. Products of consecutive integers, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 36 (2004), 683–694.
2. M. A. Bennett, K. Györy, M. Mignotte, and Á. Pintér. Binomial Thue equations and polynomial powers, *Compos. Math.* 142 (2006), 1103–1121.
3. M. A. Bennett and C. M. Skinner. Ternary Diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms, *Canad. J. Math.*, 56 (2004), 23–54.
4. M. A. Bennett, V. Vatsal, and S. Yazdani. Ternary Diophantine equations of signature $(p, p, 3)$, *Compos. Math.*, 140 (2004), 1399–1416.
5. W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24 (1997), 235–265. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
6. C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor. On the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbf{Q} : wild 3-adic exercises, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 14 (2001), 843–939.
7. A. Brumer and K. Kramer. The conductor of an abelian variety, *Compositio Math.* 92 (1994), 227–248.
8. J. E. Cremona. *Database of elliptic curves*, 2014. SAGE package available at <http://www.sagemath.org/packages/>.
9. J. E. Cremona and M. P. Lingham. Finding all elliptic curves with good reduction outside a given set of primes, *Experiment. Math.*, 16 (2007), 303–312.
10. S. R. Dahmen and S. Siksek. Perfect powers expressible as sums of two fifth or seventh powers, *Acta Arith.* 164 (2014), 65–100.
11. M. J. Deng. A note on the Diophantine equation $x^2 + q^m = c^{2n}$, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* 91 (2015), 15–18.

12. C. Fuchs, R. von Känel and G. Wüstholz. An effective Shafarevich theorem for elliptic curves, *Acta Arith.* 148 (2011), 189–203.
13. K. Hambrook. Implementation of a Thue-Mahler solver, M.Sc. thesis, University of British Columbia, 2011.
14. R. von Känel. Modularity and integral points on moduli schemes, preprint.
15. D. Kim. A modular approach to Thue-Mahler equations, preprint.
16. A. Kraus. Majorations effectives pour l'équation de Fermat généralisée, *Canad. J. Math.* 49 (1997), 1139–1161.
17. M. R. Murty and H. Pasten, Modular forms and effective diophantine approximation, *J. Number Theory* 133 (2013), 3739–3754.
18. The PARI Group, Bordeaux. *PARI/GP version 2.7.1*, 2014. available at <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.
19. K. A. Ribet. On modular representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ arising from modular forms, *Invent. Math.* 100 (1990), 431–476.
20. T. N. Shorey and R. Tijdeman. *Exponential Diophantine equations*, volume 87 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
21. J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.
22. W. A. Stein et al. *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 5.13)*. The Sage Development Team, 2013. <http://www.sagemath.org>.
23. C. Störmer. Solution complète en nombres entiers de l'équation $m \arctan \frac{1}{x} + n \arctan \frac{1}{y} = k \frac{\pi}{4}$, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 27 (1899), 160–170.
24. N. Terai. A note on the Diophantine equation $x^2 + q^m = c^n$, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 90 (2014), 20–27.
25. B. M. M. de Weger. Algorithms for Diophantine equations, CWI Tract 65, Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1989.
26. B. M. M. de Weger. The weighted sum of two S -units being a square, *Indag. Mathem., N.S.*, 1 (1990), 243–262.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER B.C., CANADA
E-mail address: bennett@math.ubc.edu

(1) UNIVERSITÉ CLERMONT AUVERGNE, UNIVERSITÉ BLAISE PASCAL, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES,
 BP 10448, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND, FRANCE. (2) CNRS, UMR 6620, LM, F-63171 AUBIÈRE,
 FRANCE

E-mail address: Nicolas.Billerey@math.univ-bpclermont.fr