



HAL
open science

The Fourier expansion of $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$

Christian Kassel, Christophe Reutenauer

► **To cite this version:**

Christian Kassel, Christophe Reutenauer. The Fourier expansion of $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 2017, 108, pp.453-463. 10.1007/s00013-017-1033-4 . hal-01291833

HAL Id: hal-01291833

<https://hal.science/hal-01291833>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE FOURIER EXPANSION OF $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$

CHRISTIAN KASSEL AND CHRISTOPHE REUTENAUER

ABSTRACT. We compute the Fourier coefficients of the weight one modular form $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$ in terms of the number of representations of an integer as a sum of two squares. We deduce a relation between this modular form and translates of the modular form $\eta(z)^4/\eta(2z)^2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we consider the η -product

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)}{\eta(6z)} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - q^n)^2}{1 - q^n + q^{2n}}.$$

where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$. Recall that $\eta(z)$ is Dedekind's eta function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n).$$

The η -product $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$ is a modular form of weight 1 and level 6. Since it is invariant under the transformation $z \mapsto z + 1$, it has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)}{\eta(6z)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_6(n) q^n,$$

where the Fourier coefficients $a_6(n)$ are integers. For general information on η -products, see [4, Sect. 2.1].

Our first result expresses $a_6(n)$ in terms of the number $r(n)$ of representations of n as the sum of two squares, i.e the number of elements $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $x^2 + y^2 = n$. Observe that $r(n)$ is divisible by 4 for all $n \geq 1$ (for $n = 0$ we have $r(0) = 1$). The sequence $r(n)$ appears as Sequence A004018 in [5].

Theorem 1.1. *For all non-negative integers m we have*

$$\begin{aligned} a_6(3m) &= (-1)^m r(3m), \\ a_6(3m + 1) &= (-1)^{m+1} \frac{r(3m + 1)}{4}, \\ a_6(3m + 2) &= (-1)^{m+1} \frac{r(3m + 2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We next relate $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$ to the weight one modular form $\eta(z)^4/\eta(2z)^2$ and two of its translates.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* (Primary) 11F11, 11F20, 14C05, 14G15, 14N10.

Key words and phrases. Dedekind eta function, eta products, Fourier coefficient, punctual Hilbert scheme.

Theorem 1.2. *Set $j = e^{2\pi i/3}$. We have the following linear relation between weight one modular forms:*

$$\frac{\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)}{\eta(6z)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\eta(z)^4}{\eta(2z)^2} + \frac{1-j}{4} \frac{\eta(z+1/3)^4}{\eta(2z+2/3)^2} + \frac{1-j^2}{4} \frac{\eta(z+2/3)^4}{\eta(2z+1/3)^2}.$$

Both modular forms $\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z)$ and $\eta(z)^4/\eta(2z)^2$ came up naturally in [3], where we computed the number $C_n(q)$ of ideals of codimension n of the algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials in two variables over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of cardinality q . Equivalently, $C_n(q)$ is the number of \mathbb{F}_q -points of the Hilbert scheme of n points on a two-dimensional torus. We proved that $C_n(q)$ is the value at q of a palindromic one-variable polynomial $C_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with integer coefficients, which we computed completely (see [3, Th. 1.3]).

We also showed (see [3, Cor. 6.2]) that the generating function of the polynomials $C_n(x)$ can be expressed as the following infinite product:

$$(1.3) \quad 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{C_n(x)}{x^n} q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - q^n)^2}{1 - (x + x^{-1})q^n + q^{2n}}.$$

It follows from the previous equality that $C_n(1) = 0$. Actually, we proved (see [3, Th. 1.3 and 1.4]) that there exists a polynomial $P_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $C_n(x) = (x - 1)^2 P_n(x)$. Moreover, $P_n(x)$ is palindromic, has non-negative coefficients and its value at $x = 1$ is equal to the sum of divisors of n : $P_n(1) = \sum_{d|n} d$.

When $x = e^{2i\pi/k}$ with $k = 2, 3, 4$, or 6 , then $x + x^{-1} = 2 \cos(2\pi/k)$ is an integer. For such an integer k , we define the sequence $a_k(n)$ by

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} a_k(n) q^n = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1 - q^n)^2}{1 - 2 \cos(2\pi/k) q^n + q^{2n}}.$$

Since $2 \cos(2\pi/k)$ is an integer, so is each $a_k(n)$. It follows from (1.3) that these integers are related to the polynomials $C_n(x)$ by

$$C_n(e^{2i\pi/k}) = a_k(n) e^{2ni\pi/k}.$$

In [3] we computed $a_2(n)$, $a_3(n)$, and $a_4(n)$ explicitly in terms of well-known arithmetical functions. In particular, we established the equality

$$(1.5) \quad a_2(n) = (-1)^n r(n),$$

where $r(n)$ is the number of representations of n as the sum of two squares.

We also observed in [3, (1.8)] that

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} a_2(n) q^n = \frac{\eta(z)^4}{\eta(2z)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} a_6(n) q^n = \frac{\eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)}{\eta(6z)}.$$

The question of finding an explicit expression for $a_6(n)$ had been left open in [3]. This is now solved with Theorem 1.1 of this note. In view of this theorem, of (1.5), and of (1.6), for all $m \geq 0$ we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} a_6(3m) = a_2(3m), \\ a_6(3m+1) = \frac{a_2(3m+1)}{4}, \\ a_6(3m+2) = -\frac{a_2(3m+2)}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We had experimentally observed (see [3, Note 7]) that $a_6(n) = 0$ whenever $a_2(n) = 0$. As a consequence of (1.7) we can now state that $a_6(n) = 0$ if and only if $a_2(n) = 0$, i.e. if and only if n is not the sum of two squares.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be proved in the next two sections.

Remarks 1.3. (a) The sequence $a_6(n)$ is Sequence A258210 in [5]. The sequence $a_6(3n + 1)$ is probably the opposite of Sequence A258277 in *loc. cit.*

(b) It can be seen from Table 1 that $a_6(n)$ is not a multiplicative function. Indeed, $a_6(10) \neq a_6(2)a_6(5)$ or $a_6(18) \neq a_6(2)a_6(9)$ or $a_6(20) \neq a_6(4)a_6(5)$.

TABLE 1. First values of $a_6(n)$

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
$a_6(n)$	-1	-2	0	1	4	0	0	-2	-4	2	0	0	-2	0	0	1	4	4	0	-4

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

2.1. For any odd integer m we set $\xi(m) = -2 \sin(m\pi/6)$. Because of the well-known properties of the sine function, $\xi(m)$ depends only on the class of m modulo 12 and we have the following equalities for all odd m :

$$(2.1) \quad \xi(-m) = -\xi(m) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi(m+6) = -\xi(m),$$

which is equivalent to $\xi(-m) = -\xi(m)$ and $\xi(6-m) = \xi(m)$.

We have

$$(2.2) \quad \xi(m) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } m \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{12}, \\ -2 & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{12}, \\ 1 & \text{if } m \equiv 7, 11 \pmod{12}, \\ 2 & \text{if } m \equiv 9 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Next consider the excess function $E_1(n; 4)$ defined by

$$E_1(n; 4) = \sum_{d|n, d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} 1 - \sum_{d|n, d \equiv -1 \pmod{4}} 1.$$

It is a multiplicative function, i.e., $E_1(mn; 4) = E_1(m; 4)E_1(n; 4)$ whenever m and n are coprime. It is well known that the excess function can be computed in terms of the prime decomposition of n . Write $n = 2^c p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots q_1^{b_1} q_2^{b_2} \cdots$, where p_i, q_i 's are distinct prime numbers such that $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ et $q_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $E_1(n; 4) = 0$ if and only if one of the exponents b_i is odd. If all b_i 's are even, then

$$(2.3) \quad E_1(n; 4) = (1 + a_1)(1 + a_2) \cdots$$

In the sequel we will need the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let n be a positive integer which is not divisible by 3. We have*

$$\sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi(d) = -E_1(n; 4) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi(3d) = -2E_1(n; 4).$$

Proof. Let d be an odd divisor of n ; it is not divisible by 3 since n is not. Therefore, $d \equiv 1, 5, 7$ or $11 \pmod{12}$. Observe that $d \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{12}$ if and only if $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since $d \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$ is excluded. Similarly, $d \equiv 7, 11 \pmod{12}$ if and only if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Now, $\xi(d) = -1$ if $d \equiv 1, 5$ and $\xi(d) = 1$ if $d \equiv 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi(d) = \sum_{d|n, d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} 1 - \sum_{d|n, d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} 1 = -E_1(n; 4).$$

Similarly, $\xi(3d) = \xi(3) = -2$ if $d \equiv 1, 5$ and $\xi(3d) = \xi(9) = 2$ if $d \equiv 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi(3d) = \sum_{d|n, d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} 2 - \sum_{d|n, d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} -2 = -2E_1(n; 4).$$

□

2.2. We now express $a_6(n)$ in terms of the function ξ introduced above.

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$(2.4) \quad a_6(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right).$$

Note that $2n/d - d$ is an odd integer since d is an odd divisor of n .

Proof. Set $u = \pi/k$ and $\omega = d$ in Formula (9.3) of [2, p. 10]. It becomes

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} a_k(n) q^n = 1 - 4 \sin(\pi/k) \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \sin\left(\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) \frac{\pi}{k}\right) \right) q^n.$$

Consider the special case $k = 6$ of (2.5). Since $\sin(\pi/6) = 1/2$, Equality (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_6(n) q^n &= 1 - 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \sin\left(\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) \frac{\pi}{6}\right) \right) q^n \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) \right) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The formula for $a_6(n)$ follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us first mention the following well-known fact (see [1, § 51, Th. 65]): the number $r(n)$ of representations of n as a sum of two squares is related to the excess function $E_1(n; 4)$ by

$$(2.6) \quad r(n) = 4 E_1(n; 4)$$

for all $n \geq 0$. It follows from this fact and from (1.5) that

$$(2.7) \quad a_2(n) = (-1)^n 4 E_1(n; 4).$$

We now distinguish three cases according to the residue of n modulo 3.

(a) We start with the case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We have $n = 3\ell + 1$ for some non-negative integer ℓ . Since the odd divisors d of n are not divisible by 3, they must

satisfy $d \equiv 1, 5, 7$ or $11 \pmod{12}$. Such divisors are invertible $\pmod{12}$ et we have $d^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Consequently,

$$\frac{2n}{d} - d = \frac{2nd^2}{d} - d = 2nd - d \pmod{12}.$$

Hence,

$$\xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \xi(2nd - d) = \xi(6d\ell + d) = ((-1)^d)^\ell \xi(d) = (-1)^\ell \xi(d)$$

in view of (2.1). Therefore, by Proposition 2.2,

$$a_6(n) = (-1)^\ell \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi(d).$$

Together with Lemma 2.1 and (2.7), this implies

$$a_6(n) = (-1)^{\ell+1} E_1(n; 4) = (-1)^{n+\ell+1} a_2(n)/4.$$

Finally observe that n is odd (resp. even) if ℓ is even (resp. odd). Therefore, $a_6(n) = a_2(n)/4$.

(b) Now consider the case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We have $n = 3\ell + 2$ for some non-negative integer ℓ . Again the odd divisors d of n must satisfy $d \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$ since they are not divisible by 3. Consequently, as above,

$$\xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \xi(2nd - d) = \xi(6d\ell + 3d) = (-1)^\ell \xi(3d).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_6(n) &= (-1)^\ell \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi(3d) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell+1} 2E_1(n; 4) = (-1)^{n+\ell+1} a_2(n)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since n and ℓ are of the same parity, we have $a_6(n) = -a_2(n)/2$.

(c) Finally we consider the case when n is divisible by 3. We write $n = 3^N m$, where m is coprime to 3 and $N \geq 1$. Any odd divisor d of n is of the form $d = 3^r s$ for some odd divisor s of m and $0 \leq r \leq N$. Since m and its divisors s are not divisible by 3 and since s is odd, we again have $s \equiv 1, 5, 7$ or $11 \pmod{12}$. Recall that for such s we have $s^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$. Thus, for $d = 3^r s$, we obtain

$$\frac{2n}{d} - d \equiv (2 \cdot 3^{N-r} m - 3^r) s \pmod{12}.$$

If $r = 0$, then $2n/d - d \equiv (6 \cdot 3^{N-1} m - 1) s \pmod{12}$. Therefore,

$$\xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \xi((6 \cdot 3^{N-1} m - 1) s) = (-1)^m \xi(-s) = (-1)^{m-1} \xi(s).$$

in view of (2.1).

If $0 < r < N$, then $2n/d - d \equiv (6 \cdot 3^{N-r-1} m - 3^r) s \pmod{12}$. Therefore,

$$\xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \xi((6 \cdot 3^{N-r-1} m - 3^r) s) = (-1)^m \xi(-3^r s) = (-1)^{m-1} \xi(3^r s).$$

Now, $3^r \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$ if r is odd, and $3^r \equiv -3$ if $r > 0$ is even. Then by (2.1),

$$\xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = (-1)^{m-r} \xi(3s).$$

Now consider the case $r = N$. If N is odd, then $3^N \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$ and

$$\xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \xi((2m - 3^N)s) = \xi((2m - 3)s).$$

Now, if m is odd, then $m \equiv 1, 5, 7$ or $11 \pmod{12}$. We have $2m - 3 \equiv 7$ or $11 \pmod{12}$ and the multiplication by 7 or by 11 exchanges the sets $\{1, 5\}$ and $\{7, 11\}$. Since by (2.2) ξ takes opposite values on such sets, we have $\xi((2m - 3)s) = -\xi(s)$. Consequently, $\xi(2n/d - d) = -\xi(s)$ when m is odd.

If m is even, then $m \equiv 2, 4, 8$ or $10 \pmod{12}$. Then $2m - 3 \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{12}$. The multiplication by 1 or by 5 preserves each set $\{1, 5\}$ and $\{7, 11\}$, so that by (2.2) we have $\xi((2m - 3)s) = \xi(s)$. In conclusion,

$$\xi(2n/d - d) = (-1)^m \xi(s)$$

when $r = N$ is odd.

If $r = N$ is even, then $3^N \equiv -3 \pmod{12}$ and $\xi(2n/d - d) = \xi((2m - 3^N)s) = \xi((2m + 3)s)$. A reasoning as in the odd N case shows that when N is even we have

$$\xi(2n/d - d) = (-1)^{m-1} \xi(s).$$

We can now compute $a_6(n)$. We start with the case of odd N . Putting the above information together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_6(n) &= \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \sum_{r=0}^N \xi\left(\frac{2 \cdot 3^N m}{3^r s} - d\right) \\ &= \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \left((-1)^{m-1} \xi(s) + \left(\sum_{r=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{m-r} \right) \xi(3s) + (-1)^m \xi(s) \right) \\ &= \left((-1)^{m-1} + (-1)^m \right) \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \xi(s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the power of 3 in n is odd, then by (2.3) we have $a_2(n) = (-1)^n 4 E_1(n, 4) = 0$. Therefore, $a_6(n) = a_2(n)$ in this case.

If N is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_6(n) &= \sum_{d|n, d \text{ odd}} \xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) = \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \sum_{r=0}^N \xi\left(\frac{2n}{d} - d\right) \\ &= \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \left((-1)^{m-1} \xi(s) + \left(\sum_{r=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{m-r} \right) \xi(3s) + (-1)^{m-1} \xi(s) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \left((-1)^{m-1} 2 \xi(s) + (-1)^{m-1} \xi(3s) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} \left(2 \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \xi(s) + \sum_{s|m, s \text{ odd}} \xi(3s) \right) \\ &= (-1)^m 4 E_1(m; 4) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Now, by multiplicativity of the excess fonction,

$$E_1(n; 4) = E_1(3^N; 4) E_1(m; 4) = E_1(m; 4)$$

since $E_1(3^N; 4) = 1$ for even N . Finally, m and n being of the same parity, we have

$$a_6(n) = (-1)^m 4 E_1(m; 4) = (-1)^n 4 E_1(n; 4) = a_2(n).$$

Q.e.d. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Set $f(q) = \eta(z)\eta(2z)\eta(3z)/\eta(6z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_6(n) q^n$ and $g(q) = \eta(z)^4/\eta(2z)^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_2(n) q^n$; see (1.6). To prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to check that

$$f(q) = ag(q) + bg(jq) + cg(j^2q),$$

where $a = 1/4$, $b = (1 - j)/4$, and $c = (1 - j^2)/4$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} ag(q) + bg(jq) + cg(j^2q) &= a \sum_{n \geq 0} a_2(n) q^n + b \sum_{n \geq 0} a_2(n) j^n q^n \\ &\quad + c \sum_{n \geq 0} a_2(n) j^{2n} q^n \\ &= (a + b + c) \sum_{m \geq 0} a_2(3m) q^{3m} \\ &\quad + (a + jb + j^2c) \sum_{m \geq 0} a_2(3m + 1) q^{3m+1} \\ &\quad + (a + j^2b + jc) \sum_{m \geq 0} a_2(3m + 2) q^{3m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} ag(q) + bg(jq) + cg(j^2q) &= (a + b + c) \sum_{m \geq 0} a_6(3m) q^{3m} \\ &\quad + 4(a + jb + j^2c) \sum_{m \geq 0} a_6(3m + 1) q^{3m+1} \\ &\quad - 2(a + j^2b + jc) \sum_{m \geq 0} a_6(3m + 2) q^{3m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is equal to $f(q)$ since $a + b + c = 1$, $a + jb + j^2c = 1/4$, and $a + j^2b + jc = -1/2$. Q.e.d.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. Dickson, *Introduction to the theory of numbers*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, Sixth impression, 1946.
- [2] N. J. Fine, *Basic hypergeometric series and applications*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 27, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [3] C. Kassel, C. Reutenauer, *On the zeta function of a punctual Hilbert scheme of the two-dimensional torus*, arXiv:1505.07229.
- [4] G. Köhler, *Eta products and theta series identities* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [5] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>.

CHRISTIAN KASSEL: INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, CNRS & UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG, FRANCE

E-mail address: kassel@math.unistra.fr

URL: www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/~kassel/

CHRISTOPHE REUTENAUER: MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À MONTRÉAL, MONTRÉAL, CP 8888, SUCC. CENTRE VILLE, CANADA H3C 3P8

E-mail address: reutenauer.christophe@uqam.ca

URL: www.lacim.uqam.ca/~christo/