

Direct benefits from choosing a virgin male in the European grapevine moth, Lobesia botrana.

Karen Muller, Laura Arenas, Denis Thiéry, Jérôme Moreau

▶ To cite this version:

Karen Muller, Laura Arenas, Denis Thiéry, Jérôme Moreau. Direct benefits from choosing a virgin male in the European grapevine moth, Lobesia botrana.. Animal Behaviour, 2016, 114, pp.165-172. 10.1016/j.anbehav.2016.02.005 . hal-01291254

HAL Id: hal-01291254 https://hal.science/hal-01291254v1

Submitted on 22 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Direct benefits from choosing a virgin male in the European
2	grapevine moth (Lobesia botrana)
3	Karen MULLER [*] ^a , Laura ARENAS ^a , Denis THIERY ^{b, c} Jérôme MOREAU ^a
4	
5	^a Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Equipe Ecologie Evolutive, UMR CNRS 6282
6	Biogéosciences, Dijon, France
7	^b INRA UMR 1065 Santé et Agroecologie du Vignoble, Institut des Science de la Vigne et du
8	Vin, Villenave d'Ornon Cedex, France
9	^c Université de Bordeaux, INRA UMR 1065, Save, Bordeaux Sciences Agro, Villenave
10	d'Ornon Cedex, France
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
·	
18	
19	

In Lepidoptera, male investment in the ejaculate usually declines over consecutive matings, a 21 22 depletion that could have profound consequences for female reproductive output. Since successive matings can affect the ability of males to provide phenotypic benefits, strong 23 selection for females to discriminate among males based on the male mating experience may 24 25 exist. The aim of our study was to determine if monandrous females of the European 26 grapevine moth (Lobesia botrana) are able to discriminate among males of different qualities 27 based on their mating experience in order to maximise direct benefits (by receiving large 28 spermatophores from virgin males) and minimise mating costs (by avoiding low-quality nonvirgin males). Non-virgin males produced spermatophores five times smaller than those of 29 virgin males; consequently, mating with non-virgin males significantly reduced female 30 fecundity (by ~25%) and increased their motivation to remate. In a mate-preference 31 experiment, we found that females were more likely to mate with virgin males and more 32 33 frequently rejected non-virgin mates. Moreover, non-virgin males required more time to achieve mating than virgin males. Our results suggest that females are able to discriminate 34 among males based on male mating experience, and demonstrate female preference for virgin 35

36 males, thereby maximising direct benefits associated with receiving large spermatophores.

37

38 KEY WORDS: direct benefits, female mate choice, *Lobesia botrana*, male mating
39 experience, monandry, spermatophore.

40

41

43 Classical sexual selection theory predicts that males should maximise their reproductive success by mating with several females, whereas females boost their fitness by being selective 44 and choosing the highest quality mate (Andersson & Simmons, 2006; Kokko et al., 2006). 45 Females may choose mates based on direct material benefits obtained in the present 46 generation or on indirect, genetic benefits for their offspring obtained in the subsequent 47 generation. Direct fitness benefits are commonly assumed to be of predominant importance 48 because they have immediate effects and they do not require mechanisms that maintain 49 genetic variance (Møller & Jennions, 2001). Male contribution to these direct benefits is 50 however limited, as reproduction imposed non-trivial costs to males, especially in gift-giving 51 52 species (Edward & Chapman, 2011). Thus, when females vary in quality, males are also 53 expected to be choosy because they have a finite quantity of resources to invest in reproduction (Bonduriansky, 2001). 54

In most insect species, one of the direct benefits that might drive female preference is access 55 to nutritive resources, such as nuptial gifts offered by males before or during mating (Lewis & 56 57 South, 2012; Vahed, 1998). These nuptial gifts include food items or male accessory gland secretions, and generally have a direct positive effect on female fitness through beneficial 58 effects on a variety of fitness-related traits, including fecundity, fertility, and longevity (South 59 & Lewis, 2011). However, in some species, the nuptial gifts apparently provide no 60 significantly nutritional fitness benefits to females (for example, in the bushcricket, 61 Leptophyes laticauda, Vahed & Gilbert, 1997, or in the decorated cricket, Gryllodes 62 sigillatus, Will & Sakaluk, 1994). In Lepidoptera, nuptial gifts consist of a spermatophore 63 containing sperm and accessory gland products rich in nutrients such as proteins (Marshall, 64 65 1982). Several studies using radiolabelled substances (e.g., amino acids, zinc, phosphorus, sodium) support the prediction that females allocate male-derived nutrients from 66 spermatophores to egg production (Boggs & Gilbert, 1979; Rooney & Lewis, 1999), and 67

further indicate that large spermatophores generally enhance female fecundity (South &
Lewis, 2011). Consequently, mated females that receive small spermatophores containing
fewer resources have a reduced fitness compared with females that receive larger
spermatophores (reviewed by South & Lewis, 2011).

Spermatophore quality depends on various factors, such as male mass, age at first mating 72 73 (Oberhauser, 1989; Tigreros, 2013), male larval and adult nutrition (Cahenzli & Erhardt, 74 2013; Delisle & Bouchard, 1995; Muller et al., 2015), and male mating experience (Torres-Vila & Jennions, 2005). Because ejaculate production is costly (Dewsbury, 1982), males 75 usually have to invest in either current or future reproduction (reviewed by Simmons, 2001). 76 77 In many species, spermatophores become smaller with repeated mating and their nutritional and spermatic qualities classically decrease with the number of matings. Indeed, some studies 78 79 have shown that lepidopteran females that copulate with a recently mated male receive a smaller spermatophore with fewer resources and sperm compared to those mating with a 80 virgin male (Lauwers & Van Dyck, 2006; Marcotte et al., 2005; Torres-Vila & Jennions, 81 82 2005). Moreover, male mating experience also affects male mating behavior, for example, mating duration increases with the increasing number of matings (Konopka & McNeil, 2015). 83 84 How male spermatophore size affects female reproductive output greatly depends on the ecological context of the considered species, including feeding habits, timing of reproduction, 85 and mating system. On the one hand, the receipt of spermatophore-derived nutrients at mating 86 87 is particularly important for females, which do not have access to resources as adults. Indeed, for capital breeding species in which resources necessary for somatic maintenance and 88 89 gametic investment are limited because they are accumulated only during larval development, females need to receive larger ejaculates containing a considerable amount of nutrients to 90 maximise their fecundity (Boggs & Freeman, 2005). Since females must rely on reserves 91 stored as larvae to support their reproductive efforts, any additional input from large 92

spermatophores might represent an important resource that could be used for reproduction. On 93 the other hand, the effect of male-derived nutrients on female fitness depends on the species 94 mating system. In monandrous species, in which females restrict themselves to a single 95 opportunity to mate over their lifespan, females would be predicted to preferentially mate 96 with males that produce larger spermatophores in order to acquire sufficient sperm and 97 nutritional resources to fertilize all their eggs, as shown in butterflies (Jones, 2001; Velde et 98 al., 2011). Moreover, monandrous females receiving a small spermatophore from their first 99 100 mating tend to subsequently remate (Elzinga et al., 2011; Foster & Ayers, 1996; McNamara et al., 2009). Thus, monandrous females should select large spermatophores, not only to receive 101 102 more nutrients, but also to reduce time and survival costs associated with remating, which 103 decreases the time available to females for egg-laying. Several studies have also reported that each reproductive event is associated with increased predation risk and increased exposure to 104 105 parasites (Arnqvist & Nilsson, 2000; Harshman & Zera, 2007; Magnhagen, 1991). Since male mating experience can affect the ability of males to provide phenotypic benefits, there may be 106 strong selection for females to discriminate among males based on mating experience and to 107 preferentially mate with males that give large spermatophores (i.e., virgin males) in order to 108 109 boost their reproductive potential.

However, evidence that females prefer virgin males in insects is equivocal. Whereas some 110 work has shown that females mate preferentially with virgin males in some species, including 111 the common fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Markow et al., 1978), the tobacco budworm 112 Heliothis virescens (Klepetka & Gould, 1996), the black-lyre leafroller moth, Cnephasia 113 jactatana (Jimenez-Perez & Wang, 2004) and the cockroach Schultesia nitor (Monceau & van 114 115 Baaren, 2012), other studies have shown that females mate preferentially with experienced males, e.g., in the stink bug, *Euschistus conspersus* (Krupke et al., 2008), the bella moth, 116 Utetheisa ornatrix (Iyengar, 2009), the almond moth, Cadra cautella (McNamara et al., 2009) 117

and the European corn borer, Ostrinia nubilalis (Milonas et al., 2011). In some of these, 118 species, females prefer to mate with experienced males based on indirect benefits related to 119 genetically superior partners or inherited male attractiveness (Iyengar, 2009; Krupke et al., 120 2008). Moreover, male mating history can have positive direct effects on female fitness 121 through the reduction of male manipulations, especially in species in in which male ejaculates 122 contain manipulative substances that are harmful to females (Edvardsson et al., 2008). The 123 124 lack of overall consensus could reflect the fact that mating patterns greatly depend on the 125 species under consideration (with or without nuptial gifts), feeding habits (capital vs. income breeders) mating system (monandrous vs. polyandrous females), and the effect of male 126 127 mating experience on female reproductive output. To our knowledge, there has never been a 128 conclusive study that explicitly tested predictions about female preferences driven by potential direct benefits in a capital breeding monandrous species in which nuptial gifts 129 represent a crucial advantage for female reproductive output. 130

The European grapevine moth, Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) (Denis and 131 132 Schiffermüller), a very important pest of grapes worldwide, is an ideal candidate for assessing female preferences based on male mating experience. This moth is a capital breeder that 133 acquires most of the resources necessary for its adult life during larval stages in grapes. Adult 134 females can also obtain resources during the adult stage, not by feeding but by receiving 135 spermatophore-derived nutrients from males during mating (Muller et al., 2015; Torres-Vila 136 et al., 1997). This species is considered monandrous, with about 70% of wild females mating 137 138 only once during their lifespan (Torres-Vila et al., 1997). The remnant polyandry (involving less than 30% of the population) is an heritable trait ($h^2 = 0.40 \pm 0.12$, Torres-Vila et al., 139 140 2002) and is strongly associated with physiological factors, such as larval food nutrition (Torres-Vila et al., 2004) and the size of the spermatophore received by females (Torres-Vila 141 et al., 1997). Thus, all the ecological conditions (a capital breeding monandrous species in 142

which there is a strong effect of spermatophore on female fitness) are present in this species todetect female preference related to male mating experience.

Here, we experimentally evaluated the influence of male mating experience on male 145 146 spermatophore size, female reproductive output, and motivation to remate in L. botrana. We first investigated the existence of direct benefits to females from mating with virgin males in 147 terms of fecundity, fertility, and longevity. Secondly, we quantified the motivation to remate 148 in females mated with virgin or non-virgin males. Finally, we assessed if whether L. botrana 149 females preferred to mate with virgin males rather than with recently mated males, with the 150 151 assumption that female should optimise mate choice to obtain male-derived nutrients. We also monitored the premating behaviour of virgin and non-virgin males to characterise behavioural 152 differences of virgin and non-virgin males that might explain female mating preference. We 153 154 predicted that female would be able to discriminate between a non-virgin and a virgin male and, given the choice, would preferentially mate with the virgin. 155

156

157 MATERIAL & METHODS

158 Moth rearing and general procedures

All adults used were obtained from an inbred strain of *L. botrana* (INRA, UMR Save
Bordeaux). The stock colony was maintained without diapause on a semi-artificial diet (1000
mL water, 15 g agar, 84.63 g maize flour, 41.25 g wheat germ, 45.48 g yeast, 6.03 g ascorbic
acid, 3.35 g Wesson salts, 0.32 mL Scala, 5 mL ethanol [95%], 2.65 g benzoic acid, 2.76 g
Nipagin), as described previously (Thiéry & Moreau, 2005), and maintained at 22 ± 1°C, 60 ±

- 164 10% relative humidity, under a 16:8 hour light:dark photoperiod. Males and females were
- placed in a large cage and bands of waxed paper (15×2 cm) were hung for oviposition
- support. Once the paper had received a sufficient number of eggs, it was placed in a plastic
- box containing the semi-artificial larval diet. The larvae were maintained at a density of 100

individuals per 300 ml of diet. Larvae were checked daily until pupation, and then were 168 weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg on a Precisa 262 SMA-FR microbalance and placed 169 individually in glass tubes (70×9 mm diameter) stoppered with cotton plugs. Pupae were 170 checked every morning, and newly emerging virgin adults were used for subsequent 171 172 experiments under the same conditions as rearing. 173 Ethical Note 174 All experiments complied with French laws on animal experimentation. All individuals were 175 reared under controlled laboratory conditions and fed regularly to maintain a healthy 176 population (see below for rearing methods). Moths were treated carefully, and the abiotic 177 conditions (temperature, humidity and photoperiod) they experienced corresponded to the 178 natural conditions in their native habitat. Females submitted to dissection were chilled in a 179 freezer prior to decapitation. 180 181 *Experiment 1: No choice mating trials* 182 183 General procedure At dusk, a randomly selected 2-day-old virgin female was mated with either a virgin or a non-184 virgin 3-day-old male in a glass mating tube (100×15 mm diameter) and observed until the 185 end of copulation. The onset time (time elapsed from the start of the session until genital 186 coupling) and the duration of mating (time during which the pair was observed) were noted. 187 188 Couples were observed for 4 hours, corresponding to the period during which females called males by releasing their pheromone (Muller, personal observations), and couples that failed to 189

190 mate within this period were excluded from the experiment.

191 Non-virgin males were obtained by first pairing 2-day-old virgin males with 2-day-old virgin 192 females in a mating tube one day before the experiment and then returning them to their glass 193 tube after observing copulation. Females were dissected immediately after mating to check for 194 spermatophore transfer. When copulation occurred but no spermatophore was found in the 195 female's genital duct (in less than 5% for virgin or non-virgin males), the male was excluded 196 from the experiment. Virgin males were kept in their glass tubes during 3 days with no mating 197 opportunity until they were used for experiment.

Using this procedure, we performed two distinct experiments under the same conditions as moth maintenance (see above). The first experiment was conducted to assess mating success and the volume of the spermatophore transferred to the female during mating for virgin and non-virgin males. The second experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of the male mating experience on the oviposition dynamics of females and their refractory period and motivation to remate.

204 *Experiment 1a: Effect of mating experience on spermatophore volume*

Immediately after the end of mating with a virgin (n = 76) or a non-virgin (n = 118) male, 205 females were frozen at -25 °C for ten minutes and then dissected on a glass slide. The bursa 206 207 copulatrix containing the male spermatophore was removed in order to estimate its size. Estimating spermatophore size by extrapolating its volume is the method classically used in 208 moths, including L. botrana (Milonas et al., 2011; Muller et al., 2015; Torres-Vila et al., 209 1999). To assess spermatophore size, we measured its dimensions (length [1], width [w], and 210 thickness [t]) under a stereomicroscope (NIKON SMZ1500) with a magnification of 20X. The 211 volume of the spermatophore was estimated as an ellipsoid balloon $[V = \pi/6 (1 \times w \times t)]$, as 212 described previously (Torres-Vila et al., 1999). 213

214 *Experiment 1b: Effect of mating experience on both female oviposition and recalling*

215 *dynamics and on female reproductive output*

216 After the end of copulation with virgin (n = 34) or non-virgin (n = 36) males, males were removed and females were maintained in their mating tube until death to assess reproductive 217 output and motivation to remate. These females were allowed to oviposit freely on the inside 218 surface of the glass tub until their death. Every day, newly laid eggs were counted daily 219 220 through the tube. Female survival was also checked daily; after death, the eggs were 221 incubated for 7 days under the same conditions as used for moth maintenance. With this procedure, we recorded (i) time spent ovipositing (number of days a female oviposited), (ii) 222 223 daily fecundity (number of eggs laid per day), (iii) achieved fecundity (total number of eggs 224 laid during a female's lifespan), (iv) female fertility (proportion of hatched eggs), and (v) longevity. 225

To assess motivation to remate, each day until their death we simultaneously monitored the 226 behaviour of females that had previously copulated with either a virgin or non-virgin male. In 227 228 this species, a female that is ready to remate signals her readiness by releasing sex pheromone at dusk, an action that represents a fitness cost to her (Harari et al., 2011). The female does 229 this by assuming a calling position with wings raised and pheromone gland exposed. To 230 obtain an index of female motivation, we recorded the occurrence of this calling behaviour 231 every 3 minutes during a 1-hour period at dusk (each day, females that did not recall at all 232 were excluded from the analysis) – the longer the recalling period, the higher the motivation 233 to mate (Torres-Vila et al., 2002). With this procedure, we also obtained the refractory period 234 of females, corresponding to the number of days elapsed between the mating and the first day 235 236 we observed the recalling behaviour. The female motivation to mate was measured by (i) the percentage of females that were motivated to remate during their remaining lifespan and (ii) 237

the proportion of time a female was observed in calling position during 1 hour. We alsomeasured the number of days a female exhibited the recalling behaviour.

240

241 *Experiment 2: Female choice trials and precopulatory mating behaviours of virgin and non-*242 virgin males

To explore whether females preferred to mate with virgin males over recently mated males, 243 we performed a choice triad test in which two males (one 3-day-old-virgin and one 3-day-old-244 non-virgin) were simultaneously presented to one virgin female in a mating tube, as described 245 above (n = 44 triad mating trials). Each triad was observed until copulation started. When 246 presenting simultaneous, males directly try to copulate with the female, and accidentally 247 encounter each other, meaning that there is virtually no direct interactions between males. To 248 249 control for the effect of male mass, males with equal pupal masses were selected and were marked similarly by removing the scales on one of their wings. Marking did not influence 250 female choice ($\chi^2_1 = 0.41$, p = 0.522). Precopulatory behaviours of the three individuals were 251 252 videotaped (SONY HDR CX220E) until mating occurred. We recorded three behaviours reflecting female and male sexual motivations (Muller et al., 2015). To evaluate the female 253 254 motivation to mate, we assessed the percentage of time in calling position, expressed as the time spent calling divided by the onset time of mating \times 100. To evaluate the male motivation 255 to mate, we recorded two different proxies: (i) the latency to the first male mating attempt, 256 corresponding to curving their abdomen and touching the female abdomen without successful 257 258 copulation, and (ii) the percentage of male activity, expressed by the time spent in movement by the male divided by the onset time of mating \times 100. In L. botrana species like in other 259 butterflies species, female have the opportunity to reject males after an intromission attempt 260 by kicking them or walking away (Jones, 2001; Jones & Elgar, 2004). L. botrana female 261 rejection behaviour is clearly observable and is mostly successful (when a female walks away 262

from a male during courtship, the male could not mate with her), suggesting that mating is to
a large degree under female control. Preliminary tests suggested that *L. botrana* females
rejected their mate several times before copulation (Muller, unpublished results); thus, we
scored these female rejection behaviours against virgin and non-virgin males.

267

268 Statistical analysis

All statistical tests were performed using R Software version 3.2.0 (R Core Team, 2015). 269 Each model includes all the main effects, deleting non-significant interactions. For each 270 271 analysis, we first tested the full model with the main effects and their interactions and then, we deleted the interactions when they were not significant. However, all main effects were 272 always included in the model, following the recommendations of Forstmeier & Schielzeth 273 (2011). To assess the mating success of males (percentage of successful mating) according to 274 male mating experience (virgin vs. non-virgin) in no-choice mating trials, we used Pearson's 275 276 χ^2 tests. The effects of mating experience on spermatophore volume and mating duration were analysed with ANCOVAs, with the pupal mass of males and females as covariates. 277 We used generalised linear modeling to estimate the sources of variation in fecundity. 278 279 Because lifetime fecundity was best approximated by an over-dispersed Poisson distribution, we fitted the model with a negative binomial error structure (Bolker et al., 2009). We also 280 improved the model by specifying that the data were zero inflated. This analysis was 281 performed using the glmmADMB library (Skaug et al., 2013), including time, male mating 282 experience and their interactions as fixed effects, male and female mass as covariates, and 283 female identity as a random factor. A generalised linear model (GLM) using a quasi-binomial 284 error structure and a logit link function was used to analyse the proportion of eggs hatched by 285 females mated with virgin and non-virgin males. Finally, a Cox regression analysis was 286

applied to assess the influence of male mating experience, female pupal mass, and fecundityon female longevity.

Because percent calling could not be normalised, a nonparametric factorial repeated measures 289 analysis of longitudinal data was performed using the package nparLD in R (Noguchi et al., 290 291 2012) to determine how time, male mating experience, and their interaction affected the intensity of female motivation to remate. Repeated measures were analysed using the F1-LD-292 F1 model of the nparLD function to calculate an ANOVA-type statistic. Due to 293 294 heteroscedasticity, female motivation to remate (percent of time spent in recalling position) and male activity (percent of time spent in movement) were arcsin square root-transformed 295 296 prior to analysis. The effect of male mating experience on male and female precopulatory 297 behaviours were analysed with ANCOVAs, with the pupal mass of males and females as covariates, respectively. Because data on male mating attempts were counted and were over-298 dispersed, a GLM with a negative binomial distribution (NBGLM) was used to assess the 299 effect of male mating experience on mating attempts. 300

301

302 **RESULTS**

303 *Experiment 1a: No choice trials – Effect of mating experience on spermatophore volume*

304 In no-choice mating trials, no effect of male mating experience (virgin vs. non-virgin) was detected on mating success, with approximately 85% of males successfully copulating 305 $(\chi^2_1 = 0.001, P = 0.973; Table 1)$. The onset time of mating did not vary with male mating 306 experience (W = 0.3, P = 0.586; Table 1) or male mass (W = 1.4, P = 0.237). Mating duration 307 was affected by male mating experience; copulation with a non-virgin male lasted 33% longer 308 309 than that with a virgin male ($F_{1,192} = 128.1$, P < 0.0001, corrected for male mass; Table 1). Spermatophores transferred by virgin males were on average more than five times larger than 310 those transferred by non-virgin males (Table 1). In addition, heavier males produced larger 311

spermatophores when they were virgin, but male mass did not affect spermatophore volume of non-virgin males (main model: $F_{3,190} = 338.4$, P < 0.0001; interaction male mating experience × male mass: P = 0.010).

315

316 *Experiment 1b: No choice trials – Effect of mating experience on female oviposition*317 *dynamics, on female reproductive output and on female recalling dynamics*

Females that mated with virgin males had higher achieved fecundity (corresponding to the 318 total number of eggs laid during a female's lifespan) than those mated with males that had 319 previously mated ($F_{1,67} = 10.03$, P = 0.002, corrected for male and female mass; Table 1). As 320 321 shown in Figure 1, daily fecundity, corresponding to the number of eggs laid by females per day, greatly depended on time elapsed since mating (F = 191.56, df = 14, P < 0.0001), male 322 mating experience (F = 8.78, df = 1, P = 0.003) and their interaction (F = 49.94, df = 14, P < 323 0.0001), and was positively correlated with female mass (F = 11.52, df = 1, p = 0.0007). The 324 number of eggs laid was the highest 2 days after mating and decreased daily until the death of 325 the female, regardless of the experience of males (Fig. 1). However, females mated with 326 virgin males laid more eggs at the end of their life than females mated with non-virgin males 327 328 (Figs 1 and 2). This increase in eggs laid by females mated with virgin males at the end of their life was confirmed by dividing oviposition period into two sequences: eggs laid in the 329 330 first half of the oviposition period versus eggs laid in the second half of the oviposition period 331 for a given female (Fig. 2). Females mated with virgin males laid more eggs than females mated with non-virgin males in the second half of the oviposition sequence, whereas there 332 was no difference during the first half of the oviposition sequence (first sequence: $F_{1,67} = 2.0$, 333 P = 0.163; second sequence: $F_{1,67} = 23.7$, P < 0.0001; corrected for male and female mass, Fig. 334 2). In addition, females mated with virgin males spent more time ovipositing ($F_{1,67} = 14.41$, P 335 = 0.0003, corrected for male and female mass; Table 1). Male mating experience affected 336

neither female fertility (corresponding to the proportion of hatched eggs) ($F_{1,67} = 9.67$, P = 0.447, corrected for male and female mass) nor female longevity (Cox regression, W = 2.46, P = 0.29, Table 2). However, we found a trade-off between fecundity and longevity: females with higher fecundity had diminished longevity regardless of the experience of their mate (Cox regression: W = 8.91, P = 0.012).

A higher proportion of females were motivated to remate during their lifetime after mating 342 with a non-virgin male (n = 32/36, 88.9%) compared to females mated with a virgin male 343 (n = 22/34, 64.7%) ($\chi^2_1 = 4.51$, P = 0.034). The mean length of the refractory period was 344 longer in females mated with virgin males $(4.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ days})$ than for those mated with non-345 346 virgin males $(2.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ days})$ (Cox regression: W = 12.42, P = 0.0004). Females mated with 347 non-virgin males resumed calling longer $(5.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ days})$ than females mated with virgin males $(3.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ days})$ (F_{1.52} = 7.54, P = 0.008, corrected for female mass). In addition, 348 females mated with non-virgin males were more motivated to remate than females mated with 349 virgin males and their motivation increased over time (time effect: F = 3.29, df = 4.92, P =350 0.006; male mating experience effect: F = 48.4, df = 1, P < 0.0001; Fig. 3). 351 Experiment 2: Female choice trials – Mating success and precopulatory mating behaviours of 352 virgin and non-virgin males 353

354 When females could choose between two males, they were more likely to mate with a virgin than a non-virgin male (respectively 68.18% of virgin vs. 31.82% of non-virgin males mated 355 with females, $\chi^{2}_{1} = 10.23$, P = 0.001; Table 2). Non-virgin males required more time to 356 successfully mate than virgin males (Cox regression, W = 5.31, P = 0.021; Table 2). As in no-357 choice tests, mating duration was affected by male mating experience and was 50% longer for 358 359 non-virgin than for virgin males ($F_{1,42} = 14.43$, P = 0.0004, corrected for male mass; Table 2). Female motivation to mate was comparable among all females regardless of the mating status 360 of the male with which they mated ($F_{1,42} = 0.75$, P = 0.392; Table 2). In addition, male mating 361

experience did not affect the latency to the first mating attempt (W = 1.86, P = 0.394, corrected for male mass) or the percentage of time spent in activity (F_{1,86} = 0.98, P = 0.326, corrected for male mass; Table 2). Females did not mate preferentially with a more active male, whether virgin (χ^{2}_{1} = 0.83, P = 0.361) or non-virgin (χ^{2}_{1} = 0.07, P = 0.789). Non-virgin males attempted copulation significantly more frequently than virgin males, suggesting that females were more likely to reject a non-virgin male (number of female rejections, F_{1,86} = 8.45, P = 0.003, corrected for male mass; Table 2).

369 **DISCUSSION**

370 The aim of our study was to determine if monandrous females of L. botrana species are able to discriminate among males of different qualities based on their mating experience so as to 371 maximise direct benefits (by receiving large spermatophores from virgin males) and minimise 372 373 mating costs (by avoiding low-quality non-virgin males). Our data revealed that, in a nochoice test, females mated equally with a virgin or a non-virgin male, despite a fitness cost in 374 terms of reduced fecundity to mate with a non-virgin male. However, when virgin and non-375 376 virgin males were presented simultaneously, the virgin male was accepted twice as often by the female. This difference in mating success has apparently arisen as a result of female 377 preference for virgin males, as there were no observable behavioural differences between 378 virgin and non-virgin males in their motivation to mate (latency to first mating attempt and 379 general activity levels). Moreover, females more frequently rejected non-virgin over virgin 380 males, strengthening the existence of female preference for virgin males. Females mated with 381 virgin males were less motivated to remate, suggesting that only one mating with a virgin 382 male is sufficient to boost their reproductive output. These results suggest that females derive 383 384 immediate fitness benefits through increased fecundity from their choice.

As in several other studies, we found that spermatophore size decreased and copulation
duration increased if the male had recently mated before (Konopka & McNeil 2015; Torres-

Vila & Jennions, 2005). Mating with a non-virgin male increased mating costs by increasing 387 the time in copula (33% longer with non-virgin males). This prolonged copulation could be a 388 non-virgin male strategy to reduce sperm competition with future ejaculates if the male itself 389 acts as a mating plug that prevents the female from remating before oviposition ("in copula" 390 guarding hypothesis, reviewed by Alcock, 1994). Indeed, this post-copulatory strategy could 391 be particularly effective because in *L. botrana* species, the mating period occurs each day at 392 dusk during a few hours and we observed that females receiving small spermatophores tended 393 to recall sooner after mating, sometimes only 1 hour after copulation (Muller, personal 394 observations). Remaining in copula for more than 1 hour may be sufficient to prevent female 395 396 remating on the same day, ensuring sperm transfer from the non-virgin male. Indeed, in 397 several butterfly and moth species, sperm generally reaches the spermatheca between 2 and 5 hours after mating (Marcotte et al., 2003, Seth et al., 2002). Spermatophore size was 398 positively correlated with pupal mass for virgin males, but not for non-virgin males, as 399 observed in several other lepidopteran species (Watanabe et al., 1998; Wedell & Cook, 1999). 400 In our study, spermatophores delivered by non-virgin males were about five times smaller 401 than those transferred by virgin males, and probably contained low amounts of accessory 402 403 gland secretions, as observed in a wide range of species (Blanco et al., 2009; Marcotte et al., 404 2005; Wedell & Cook, 1999). In capital breeders, the production of spermatophores is limited 405 by reserves stored as larvae. Therefore, the first mating of a capital breeder male is certainly the most quantitatively important because a large proportion of his stored reserves is directly 406 407 used in the production of its first spermatophores. Further work is needed to determine how 408 larval nutrition affects energy reserves of adult males and how these stored reserves are reallocated into reproductive traits of males (reproductive capacity, spermatophore quality). 409 Females mated to non-virgin males suffered a 25% reduction in lifetime fecundity, a finding 410 in agreement with reports on the majority of Lepidoptera species (reviewed by Torres-Vila & 411

Jennions, 2005). At the beginning of the oviposition period, females mated with non-virgin 412 males laid as many eggs as females mated with virgin males. These eggs probably correspond 413 to the initial egg load of the female (i.e., the number of mature eggs a female has available to 414 lay at emergence). However, in the second half of the oviposition period, females that had 415 416 mated with non-virgin males almost stopped laying compared with females that had received a large spermatophore from virgin males. Thus, females mated with virgin males produced 417 more eggs at the end of their oviposition period, corresponding to the time the female needs to 418 incorporate male-derived nutrients into their eggs; these laid eggs probably correspond to the 419 male contribution. Several studies have demonstrated that the time required to incorporate 420 spermatophore-derived nutrients into maturing oocytes is highly variable and depends on the 421 422 species and its reproductive effort throughout life, for example, occurring within 2 days after mating for a lampyrid species (Photinus ignitus, Rooney & Lewis, 1999) and not before 15-423 20 days for a lepidopteran species (Heliconius charitonius, Boggs, 1990). Despite these 424 benefits in fecundity, females mated with non-virgin males that had received a small 425 spermatophore did not exhibit reduced fertility (85.8% of hatched eggs vs. 87.9% for females 426 mated with virgin males), suggesting that a large spermatophore is not a condition for 427 428 functional sperm transfer. This absence of effect on female fertility is certainly attributable to 429 the fact that the male usually transfers considerably more sperm than is necessary to fertilize 430 all female eggs, even if it has recently mated (Bezzerides et al., 2008; Curril & LaMunyon, 2006). A further experiment should be performed to evaluate the number of fertilizing 431 432 eupyrene sperm contained in spermatophores transferred by virgin and non-virgin males. Females that mate with previously mated males may not receive enough male-derived 433 nutrients to boost their reproductive potential and should compensate by being more likely to 434 remate or by remating sooner than females mated to virgin males (Foster & Ayers, 1996; 435 Marcotte et al., 2007). We found that, after mating with a non-virgin male, 88.9% of females 436

recalled at dusk and were motivated to remate, whereas only 64.7% of those mated with 437 virgin males did. Moreover, females mated with non-virgin males recalled males sooner (2 438 days) than females mated with non-virgin males (4 days), a finding consistent with the 439 literature on Lepidoptera species (Marcotte et al., 2005; Torres-Vila et al., 1997; Wedell & 440 Cook, 1999). Moreover, the length of the refractory period in moths can be affected by 441 several factors, including spermatophore size (McNamara et al., 2009) and the quality and 442 quantity of viable sperm and secretions of the male accessory gland transferred to the female 443 during mating (Simmons, 2001; Wedell, 2005). In our experiment, it is possible that larger 444 spermatophores produced by virgin males activate stretch receptors in the female reproductive 445 446 tract (Sugarawa, 1979), inducing a long refractory period. In addition to this proximate 447 mechanism for post-mating non-receptivity, the presence of sperm in the spermatheca may be required to elicit pheromonostasis in L. botrana, similar to other Lepidoptera species in which 448 females only become unreceptive if their spermatheca is full of sperm (Giebultowicz et al., 449 1991; Karube & Kobayashi, 1999). However, female receptivity in butterflies is not only 450 affected by the presence of sperm; some males transfer anti-aphrodisiacs at mating that 451 temporarily reduce female attractiveness (Andersson et al., 2003). Further investigations on 452 453 the composition of the male spermatophore (sperm and anti-aphrodisiac substances) in L. 454 botrana are needed to better understand the effect of male mating experience on female 455 motivation to remate.

Because of the beneficial effect of mating with a virgin male, one might expect that virgin females would avoid mating with non-virgin males and would prefer virgin males so as to acquire more direct benefits (Wedell et al., 2002). Consistent with these predictions, our study revealed that virgin males were twice as likely to mate with females compared to non-virgin males in choice trials. Some studies have shown that the level of activity of males may play a role in their probability of mating (Kotiaho, 2002; Scharf et al., 2013), and non-virgin males

could be physically unable to produce the same level of courtship as sexually vigorous virgin 462 males (Janowitz & Fischer, 2010). However, in our study, both virgin and non-virgin males 463 were equally active during courtship, and virgin males did not attempt to copulate earlier with 464 females than non-virgin males, suggesting that virgin males have the same motivation to mate 465 as non-virgin males. Thus, we did not find that males changed their mating behaviour as they 466 gained experience, unlike others have reported (Kaitala & Wiklund, 1994). These behavioural 467 observations allow us to rule out the possibility that virgin males are superior competitors 468 because female preference for virgin males are not likely driven by differences in male 469 courtship. However, we observed female behaviour consistent with discrimination against 470 471 non-virgin males. The greater reproductive success of virgin males certainly reflects an active 472 female preference for these males, because females more frequently actively rejected nonvirgin males (7.5 times) than virgin males (4.4 times) before accepting mating, and non-virgin 473 males required more than twice as much time to successfully mate with females than virgin 474 males. These results strongly suggest that L. botrana females can evaluate male mating 475 experience using different visual and/or olfactory cues (Costanzo & Monteiro, 2007; Harris & 476 Moore, 2005). Even if our study was not designed to establish the proximal causes of female 477 discrimination, our results allow us to formulate some hypotheses. Among these cues, 478 479 chemical cues are important in mate choice in a variety of species and may be used by one or 480 both sexes to acquire information about potential mates (Carazo et al., 2004). In insect species, pheromone or cuticular hydrocarbon substances produced by females and acquired by 481 482 males during copulation may advertise their previous mating experience (Harris & Moore, 2005; Scott et al., 1988). It is possible that non-virgin males are imbued with female 483 substances from their first mating. Females may use chemical cues left behind during 484 previous male-female interactions to gain information about the mating experiences of males. 485

Such "perfumed" non-virgin males could be recognised and avoided by virgin females inorder to minimise the mating costs associated with sperm-depleted males.

In conclusion, the European grapevine moth provides evidence that, in a usually monandrous 488 489 capital breeder species (see Torres-Vila, 2014 for more details), male mating experience greatly influences female fitness, and that female mate choice may evolve to maximise 490 benefits by preferentially mating with virgin males. These results were expected since all the 491 492 required conditions for the evolution of these female preferences for virgin males have been fulfilled in this capital breeding monandrous species. In such a system, males should also 493 discriminate between females of different qualities, such as body mass or mating experience 494 (Bonduriansky, 2001; Friberg, 2006), because nutritious ejaculates are costly to produce and 495 should be invested prudently. In studies that did not confirm these findings or even 496 497 demonstrated the reverse (Ivengar, 2009; Krupke et al., 2008; McNamara et al., 2009; Milonas et al., 2011), it is likely that the effect of male mating experience on female 498 499 reproductive output on the considered species may not be as high as that for L. botrana 500 species. Thus, some caution should be exercised in exploring the reproductive strategies of a 501 species, because its mating patterns greatly depend on the combined effects of its mating system, its feeding habits, and the importance of male-donated nuptial gifts. 502

504 **REFERENCES**

- Alcock, J. (1994). Postinsemination associations between males and females in insects: the
 mate-guarding hypothesis. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *39*, 1-21.
- 507 Andersson, J., Borg-Karlson, A. K., & Wiklund, C. (2003). Antiaphrodisiacs in pierid
- 508 butterflies: a theme with variation!. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 29, 1489-1499.
- 509 Andersson, M., & Simmons, L. W. (2006). Sexual selection and mate choice. Trends in
- 510 *Ecology & Evolution, 21, 296-302.*
- 511 Arnqvist, G., & Nilsson, T. (2000). The evolution of polyandry: multiple mating and female
- 512 fitness in insects. *Animal Behaviour*, 60, 145-164.
- 513 Bezzerides, A. L., Iyengar, V. K., & Eisner, T. (2008). Female promiscuity does not lead to
- 514 increased fertility or fecundity in an arctiid moth (Utetheisa ornatrix). Journal of Insect
- 515 *Behavior*, 21, 213-221.
- 516 Blanco, C. A., Rojas, M. G., Groot, A. T., Morales-Ramos, J., & Abel, C. A. (2009). Size and
- 517 chemical composition of *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) spermatophores.
- 518 Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 102, 629-637.
- 519 Boggs, C. L. (1990). A general model of the role of male-donated nutrients in female insects'
- 520 reproduction. *American Naturalist*, *136*, 598-617.
- 521 Boggs, C. L., & Freeman, K. D. (2005). Larval food limitation in butterflies: effects on adult
- resource allocation and fitness. *Oecologia*. 144, 353-361.
- 523 Boggs, C. L., & Gilbert, L. E. (1979). Male contribution to egg production in butterflies:
- 524 evidence for transfer of nutrients at mating. *Science*, *206*, 83-84.
- 525 Bolker, B. M., Brooks, M. E., Clark, C. J., Geange, S. W., Poulsen, J. R., Stevens, M. H. H.,
- 526 & White, J. S. S. (2009). Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and
- 527 evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 127-135.*

- 528 Bonduriansky, R. (2001). The evolution of male mate choice in insects: a synthesis of ideas
- 529 and evidence. *Biological Reviews*, 76, 305-339.
- 530 Cahenzli, F., & Erhardt, A. (2013). Nectar amino acids enhance reproduction in male
- 531 butterflies. *Oecologia*. *171*, 197-205.
- 532 Carazo, P., Sanchez, E., Font, E., & Desfilis, E. (2004). Chemosensory cues allow male
- 533 *Tenebrio molitor* beetles to assess the reproductive status of potential mates. *Animal*
- 534 Behaviour, 68, 123-129.
- 535 Costanzo, K., & Monteiro, A. (2007). The use of chemical and visual cues in female choice in
- the butterfly *Bicyclus anynana*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274,
- 537 845-851.
- Curril, I. M., & LaMunyon, C. W. (2006). Sperm storage and arrangement within females of
 the arctiid moth *Utetheisa ornatrix*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *52*, 1182-1188.
- 540 Delisle, J., & Bouchard, A. (1995). Male larval nutrition in *Choristoneura rosaceana*
- 541 (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae): an important factor in reproductive success. *Oecologia*, *104*, 508542 517.
- 543 Dewsbury, D. A. (1982). Ejaculate cost and male choice. *American Naturalist, 119*, 601-610.
- Edvardsson, M., Hunt, J., Moore, P. J., Moore, A. J. (2008). Female agreement over male
- attractiveness is not affected by cost of mating with experienced males. *Behavioral Ecology*, *19*, 854-859.
- Edward, D. A., & Chapman, T. (2011). The evolution and significance of male mate choice. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *26*, 647-654.
- 549 Elzinga, J. A., Chevasco, V., Grapputo, A., & Mappes, J. (2011). Influence of male mating
- 550 history on female reproductive success among monandrous Naryciinae (Lepidoptera:
- 551 Psychidae). *Ecological Entomology*, *36*, 170-180.

- 552 Forstmeier, W., & Schielzeth, H. (2011). Cryptic multiple hypotheses testing in linear models:
- overestimated effect sizes and the winner's curse. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 65,
 47-55.
- 555 Foster, S. P., & Ayers, R.H. (1996). Multiple mating and its effects in the lightbrown apple
- moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 42, 657-667.
- 557 Friberg, U. (2006). Male perception of female mating status: its effect on copulation duration,
- sperm defence and female fitness. *Animal Behaviour*, 72, 1259-1268.
- 559 Giebultowicz, J. M., Raina, A. K., Uebel, E. C., & Ridgway, R. L. (1991). Two-step
- 560 regulation of sex-pheromone decline in mated gypsy moth females. Archives of Insect
- 561 *Biochemistry and Physiology, 16,* 95-105.
- 562 Harari, A. R., Zahavi, T., & Thiéry, D. (2011). Fitness cost of pheromone production in
- signaling female moths. *Evolution*, 65, 1572-1582.
- 564 Harris, W. E., & Moore, P. J. (2005). Female mate preference and sexual conflict: females
- prefer males that have had fewer consorts. *American Naturalist*, *165*, 64-71.
- Harshman, L. G., & Zera, A. J. (2007). The cost of reproduction: the devil in the details.
- 567 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 22, 80-86.*
- 568 Iyengar, V. K. (2009). Experience counts: females favor multiply mated males over
- 569 chemically endowed virgins in a moth (Utetheisa ornatrix). Behavioral Ecology and
- 570 *Sociobiology*, *63*, 847-855.
- Janowitz, S. A., & Fischer, K. (2010). Costing reproduction: effects of mating opportunity on
- 572 mating success in male *Bicyclus anynana* butterflies. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,
- 573 *64*, 1999-2006.
- Jiménez-Pérez, A., & Wang, Q. (2004). Male remating behavior and its effect on female
- 575 reproductive fitness in *Cnephasia jactatana* Walker (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Journal of
- 576 Insect Behavior, 17, 685-694.

- 577 Jones, T. M. (2001). A potential cost of monandry in the lekking sandfly *Lutzomyia*
- 578 longipalpis. Journal of Insect Behavior, 14, 385-399.
- Jones, T. M., & Elgar, M. A. (2004). The role of male age, sperm age and mating history on
- 580 fecundity and fertilization success in the hide beetle. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
- 581 *Biological Sciences*, 271, 1311-1318.
- 582 Kaitala, A., & Wiklund, C. (1994). Female mate choice and mating costs in the polyandrous
- 583 butterfly *Pieris napi* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 8, 355-363.
- 584 Karube, F., & Kobayashi, M. (1999). Presence of eupyrene spermatozoa in vestibulum
- accelerates oviposition in the silkworm moth, *Bombyx mori. Journal of Insect Phsyiology*, 45,
 947-957.
- 587 Klepetka, B., & Gould, F. (1996). Effects of age and size on mating in Heliothis virescens
- 588 (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): implications for resistance management. *Environmental*
- 589 *Entomology*, 25, 993-1001.
- 590 Kokko, H., Jennions, M. D., & Brooks, R. (2006). Unifying and testing models of sexual
- selection. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 37, 43-66.
- 592 Konopka, J. K., & McNeil, J. N. (2015). Mating status regulates post-mating refractory period
- in Striacosta albicosta females. Entomologia Experimental et Applicata, 155, 80-85.
- 594 Kotiaho, J. S. (2002). Sexual selection and condition dependence of courtship display in three
- species of horned dung beetles. *Behavioral Ecology*, *13*, 791-799.
- 596 Krupke, C. H., Brunner, J. F., & Jones, V. P. (2008). Factors influencing mate choice in
- 597 Euschistus conspersus Uhler (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). Environmental Entomology, 37,
- 598 192-197.
- Lauwers, K., & Van Dyck, H. (2006). The cost of mating with a non-virgin male in a
- 600 monandrous butterfly: experimental evidence from the speckled wood, *Pararge aegeria*.
- 601 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 60, 69-76.

- Lewis, S. M., & South, A. (2012). The evolution of animal nuptial gifts. *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, *44*, 53-97.
- Magnhagen, C. (1991). Predation as a cost of reproduction. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *6*,
 183-186.
- Marcotte, M., Delisle, J., & McNeil, J. N. (2003). Pheromonostasis is not directly associated
- 607 with post-mating sperm dynamics in *Choristoneura fumiferana* and *C. rosaceana* females.
- 608 *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 49, 81-90.
- Marcotte, M., Delisle, J., & McNeil, J. N. (2005). Impact of male mating history on the
- 610 temporal sperm dynamics of *Choristoneura rosaceana* and *C. fumiferana* females. *Journal of*
- 611 *Insect Physiology*, *51*, 537-544.
- Marcotte, M., Delisle, J., & McNeil, J. N. (2007). Effects of different male remating intervals
- on the reproductive success of *Choristoneura rosaceana* males and females. *Journal of Insect*
- 614 *Physiology*, *53*, 139-145.
- Markow, T. A., Quaid, M., & Kerr, S. (1978). Male mating experience and competitive
- 616 courtship success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nature*, 276, 821-822.
- 617 Marshall, L. D. (1982). Male nutrient investment in the Lepidoptera: what nutrients should
- 618 males invest? *American Naturalist, 120, 273-279.*
- 619 McNamara, K. B., Elgar, M. A., & Jones, T. M. (2009). Large spermatophores reduce female
- 620 receptivity and increase male paternity success in the almond moth, *Cadra cautella*. *Animal*
- 621 *Behaviour*, 77, 931-936.
- Milonas, P. G., Farrell, S. L., & Andow, D. A. (2011). Experienced males have higher mating
- 623 success than virgin males despite fitness costs to females. *Behavioral Ecology and*
- 624 *Sociobiology*, 65, 1249-1256.
- 625 Møller, A., & Jennions, M. (2001). How important are direct fitness benefits of sexual
- 626 selection? *Naturwissenschaften*, 88, 401-415.

- 627 Monceau, K., & van Baaren, J. 2012. Female teneral mating in a monandrous species.
- 628 *Ecology and Evolution.* 2, 1426-1436.
- 629 Muller, K., Thiéry, D., Moret, Y., & Moreau, J. (2015). Male larval nutrition affects adult
- 630 reproductive success in wild European grapevine moth (Lobesia botrana). Behavioral
- 631 *Ecology and Sociobiology*, 69, 39-47.
- 632 Noguchi, K., Latif, M., Thangavelu, K., Konietschke, F., Gel Y. R., & Brunner, E. (2012).
- 633 Package nparLD: Nonparametric Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Factorial Experiments,
- 634 2012. R package version 2.0. <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nparLD</u>.
- 635 Oberhauser, K. S. (1989). Effects of spermatophores on male and female monarch butterfly
- 636 reproductive success. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 25, 237-246.
- 637 R Development Core Team. (2015). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- 638 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. (<u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>).
- 639 Rooney, J., & Lewis, S. M. (1999). Differential allocation of male-derived nutrients in two
- 640 lampyrid beetles with contrasting life-history characteristics. *Behavioral Ecology*, *10*, 97-104.
- 641 Scharf, I., Peter, F., & Martin, O. Y. (2013). Reproductive trade-offs and direct costs for
- males in arthropods. *Evolutionary Biology*, 40, 169-184.
- 643 Scott, D., Richmond, R. C., & Carlson, D. A. (1988). Pheromones exchanged during mating:
- a mechanism for mate assessment in Drosophila. *Animal Behaviour, 36*, 1164-1173.
- 645 Seth, R. K., Kaur, J. J., Rao, D. K., & Reynolds, S. E. (2002). Sperm transfer during mating,
- 646 movement of sperm in the female reproductive tract, and sperm precedence in the common
- 647 cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Physiological Entomology*, 27, 1-14.
- 648 Simmons, L. W. (2001). Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects.
- 649 Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- 650 Skaug, H. F. D., Nielsen, A., Magnusson, A., & Bolker, B. (2013). GlmmADMB package.
- 651 (http://glmmadmb.r-forge.r-project.org/)

- 652 South, A., & Lewis, S. M. (2011). The influence of male ejaculate quantity on female fitness:
- a meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews*, *86*, 299-309.
- 654 Sugawara, T. (1979). Stretch reception in the bursa copulatrix of the butterfly, *Pieris rapae*
- 655 *crucivora*, and its role in behaviour. *Journal of Comparative Physiology*, *130*, 191-199.
- 656 Thiéry, D., & Moreau, J. (2005). Relative performance of European grapevine moth (Lobesia
- *botrana*) on grapes and other hosts. *Oecologia*, *143*, 548-557.
- Tigreros, N. (2013). Linking nutrition and sexual selection across life stages in a model
- 659 butterfly system. *Functional Ecology*, 27, 145-154.
- Torres-Vila, L. M. (2014). Polyandry-fecundity relationship in insects: methodological and
 conceptual problems. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *26*, 325-334.
- 662 Torres-Vila, L. M., Gragera, J., Rodríguez-Molina, M. C., & Stockel, J. (2002). Heritable
- variation for female remating in *Lobesia botrana*, a usually monandrous moth. *Animal Behaviour*, 64, 899-907.
- ⁶⁶⁵ Torres-Vila, L. M., & Jennions, M. D. (2005). Male mating history and female fecundity in
- the Lepidoptera: do male virgins make better partners? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,
- **667** *57*, 318-326.
- 668 Torres-Vila, L. M., Rodríguez-Molina, M. C., & Jennions, M. D. (2004). Polyandry and
- 669 fecundity in the Lepidoptera: can methodological and conceptual approaches bias outcomes?
- 670 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 55, 315-324.*
- 671 Torres-Vila, L. M., Rodriguez-Molina, M. C., Roehrich, R., & Stockel, J. (1999). Vine
- 672 phenological stage during larval feeding affects male and female reproductive output of
- 673 Lobesia botrana (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research, 89, 549-556.
- 674 Torres-Vila, L. M., Stockel, J., & Rodriguez-Molina, M. C. (1997). Physiological factors
- 675 regulating polyandry in *Lobesia botrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Physiological*
- 676 *Entomology*, 22, 387-393.

- Vahed, K., & Gilbert, F. (1997). No effect of nuptial gift consumption on female reproductive
- output in the bushcricket *Leptophyes laticauda* Friv. *Ecological Entomology*, 22, 479-482.
- 679 Vahed, K. (1998). The function of nuptial feeding in insects: a review of empirical studies.
- 680 *Biological Reviews*, *73*, 43-78.
- Velde, L. V., Damiens, D., & Van Dyck, H. (2011). Spermatophore and sperm allocation in
- males of the monandrous butterfly *Pararge aegeria*: the female's perspective. *Ethology*, *117*,
 683 645-654.
- 684 Watanabe, M., Wiklund, C., & Bon'no, M. (1998). The effect of repeated matings on sperm
- numbers in successive ejaculates of the cabbage white butterfly *Pieris rapae* (Lepidoptera:
- 686 Pieridae). Journal of Insect Behavior, 11, 559-570.
- Wedell, N. (2005). Female receptivity in butterflies and moths. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 208, 3433-3440.
- 689 Wedell, N., & Cook, P. A. (1999). Butterflies tailor their ejaculate in response to sperm
- 690 competition risk and intensity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 266,
- **691** 1033-1039.
- 692 Wedell, N., Gage, M. J., & Parker, G. A. (2002). Sperm competition, male prudence and
- 693 sperm-limited females. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 17,* 313-320.
- Will, M. W., & Sakaluk, S. K. (1994). Courtship feeding in decorated crickets: is the
- 695 spermatophylax a sham?. *Animal Behaviour, 48,* 1309-1315.
- 696

Figure 1. Number of eggs laid daily by females mated with virgin males (black circles and
line) or non-virgin males (white circles and dashed line). Results are presented as means ±
SEM. Day 0 corresponds to the day of copulation.

700

Figure 2. Number of eggs laid by females mated with virgin (black bars) or non-virgin (white bars) males in the first and the second half of their oviposition period (oviposition period was separated in two sequences by the median for a given female). Results are presented as means $\pm SEM$ (*** P < 0.0001; NS, non-significant difference [P > 0.05]).

705

Figure 3. Daily motivation to remate in females mated with virgin males (black circles and

⁷⁰⁷ line) or non-virgin males (white circles and dashed line), expressed as the proportion of time ⁷⁰⁸ observed in calling position during 1 hour. Results are presented as means \pm SEM, excluding ⁷⁰⁹ females that did not call at all.

Table 1. Summary results from no-choice trials showing mating success, onset time, duration of mating and spermatophore volume (Experiment

1), and reproductive traits and longevity of L. botrana females (Experiment 2) according to the mating experience of males (virgin vs. non-

virgin). Values are expressed as a percentage (mating success) or as means \pm SEM (all other parameters). Values in each column denoted by

different lowercase letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

_	No-choice trials	Experiment 1				Experiment 2			
	- Male mating experience	Mating success ¹ (%)	Onset time of mating ² (min)	Duration of mating ³ (min)	Spermatophore volume (×10 ⁻⁶ mm ³) ³	Fecundity (eggs/female) ⁴	Time spent ovipositing (days) ³	Fertility (% of eggs hatched) ⁵	Longevity (days) ²
_	Virgin	84.89	4.6 ± 0.3	62.8 ± 1.3 (a)	133.3 ± 2.8 (a)	103.2 ± 7.9 (a)	7.1 ± 0.4 (a)	85.8 ± 2.6	11.5 ± 0.4
	Non-virgin	87.36	5.0 ± 0.6	83.7 ± 1.2 (b)	26.7 ± 1.1 (b)	77.9 ± 7.7 (b)	5.3 ± 0.3 (b)	87.9 ± 2.9	11.7 ± 0.5
714 715 716 717 718 719 720	¹ Pearson χ ² ² Cox regressior ³ ANCOVA ⁴ GLMMADME ⁵ GLM with qua	a 3 si-binomial erro	or						

Table 2. Summary results from choice trials (n=44) of *L. botrana* females successfully mated with virgin (n=30) or non-virgin (n=14) males

showing mating parameters and precopulatory behaviours. Values are expressed as a ratio (successful matings) or as means \pm SEM (all other

728	parameters).	Values in each colum	nn denoted by different l	owercase letters are significa	ntly different ($P < 0.05$).
-----	--------------	----------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

Choice trials	Mating parameters			Precopulatory behaviours of males and females				
Male mating experience	Number of successful matings ¹	Onset time of mating ² (s)	Duration of mating ³ (min)	Percentage of time spent in calling position ³	Latency to the first mating attempt (s) ²	Percentage of male activity ³	Number of female rejections ⁴	
Virgin	30/44 (a)	182.6 ± 22.6 (a)	60.9 ± 2.1 (a)	27.2 ± 3.6	72.4 ± 17.1	52.0 ± 4.2	4.4 ± 0.5 (a)	
Non-virgin	14/44 (b)	309.0 ± 57.1 (b)	91.9 ± 9.7 (b)	32.9 ± 5.3	90.6 ± 17.1	47.5 ± 3.6	7.5 ± 0.9 (b)	
² /29 ¹ Pearson χ^2	2							

 $730 \quad {}^{2}Cox regression$

731 ³ANCOVA

⁴NBGLM











Muller et al., 2015