



HAL
open science

Rotation Invariant Features Based on Regional Rank for Texture Classification

Farida Ouslimani, Achour Ouslimani, Zohra Ameer

► **To cite this version:**

Farida Ouslimani, Achour Ouslimani, Zohra Ameer. Rotation Invariant Features Based on Regional Rank for Texture Classification. International Conference on Computational and Experimental Science and Engineering , Oct 2015, Kemer-Antalya, Turkey. hal-01290777

HAL Id: hal-01290777

<https://hal.science/hal-01290777v1>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Rotation Invariant Features Based on Regional Rank for Texture Classification

Farida Ouslimani^{1,2*}, Achour Ouslimani², and Zohra Ameer¹

¹LAMPA Laboratory, University of Mouloud Mammeri, Tiziouzou, Algeria.

²QUARTZ Laboratory, Graduate school in electrical engineering, Cergy, France.

*ouslimani.f@gmail.com

KEYWORDS-Texture descriptor, regional rank, rotation invariance, texture classification.

Abstract: In this paper, we present rotation invariant descriptors using regional rank for texture classification. The regional rank presents the rank of the gray level of each pixel in a region whose size and shape depend on the gray level of the treaty pixel and its neighbors. Rotation invariant features are obtained by combining the rank which is found and the treaty pixel code. This latter is calculated by global thresholding. Eight discriminates and rotation invariant features are then obtained. The features size don't increase with scale and kept constant. Tests are performed on the well known Outex database. Compared to LBP method using different schemes, the proposed method achieves good texture classification performance while enjoying a compact feature representation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Texture analysis is an active research topic in image processing and pattern recognition. However, arbitrary rotation could occur in real-world textures. It will affect the performance of the texture analysis methods. Thus, extracting texture features that are rotation-invariant is an important issue to be addressed.

Many methods were proposed for rotation invariance [1]. Early ones focus on the statistical analysis of texture images. This starts with the co-occurrence matrix method [2]. Later, many model-based methods were proposed for rotation invariance, such as circular autoregressive model [3] and Markov random fields [4-6]. Rotation invariant features obtained through filter bank responses are also used [7-9]. Recently, Ojala et al. [10] proposed a local binary pattern (LBP) histogram for rotation invariant texture classification. LBP is a simple yet efficient operator to describe local image pattern, and it has achieved impressive classification results on representative texture databases [11]. Many extensions of LBP were presented [12-14].

In [15], we introduced regional rank coding method. In order to extract more discriminate texture pattern, some modifications were conducted. First, to acquire more precision, regional rank ratio is portioned in four intervals and not two. Second, the gray level information is used for

coding after global thresholding. Thus, eight rotation invariant patterns are obtained. Third, different neighbourhood search space dimension are exploited. Also, the features histogram has the same size in different scales. So, they can be easily fused to improve the texture classification accuracy.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews briefly LBP. Section 3 presents the proposed features. Section 4 reports the experimental results on large public texture database. Finally, Section 5 concludes the correspondence.

2 BRIEF REVIEW OF LOCAL BINARY PATTERN (LBP)

LBP is initially proposed by Ojala et al. [16] to support the local contrast measure of image. The spatial structure of a local image texture is characterized by thresholding a 3×3 square neighbourhood with the value of the center pixel. To allow multi-resolution analysis and rotation invariance a more general formulation defined on circular symmetric neighborhood was proposed in [10]. The LBP pattern is computed by comparing the value of the center pixel x_c with those of its P neighbors that are evenly distributed on a circle of radius R centred at center x_c , such that the LBP response is calculated as

$$LBP_{R,P} = \sum_{i=0}^{P-1} s(x_i - x_c) 2^i, \quad s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The major problem of LBP is the exponential growth of the number of patterns with respect of the neighborhood size. In order to address this problem, Ojala et al. [10] defined three mapped patterns, which are uniform, rotation invariant and rotation invariant ones, respectively.

The uniform value of an LBP pattern, which is the number of circular spatial transition (bitwise 0/1 or 1/0 changes), can be mathematically computed by

$$U(LBP_{R,P}) = \sum_{i=0}^{P-1} |s(x_i - x_c) - s(x_{i+1} - x_c)| \quad (2)$$

When $U(LBP_{R,P})$ is less than or equal to 2 i.e $U \leq 2$, the pattern is called uniform pattern, denoted as $LBP_{R,P}^u$. Uniform patterns have $P \times (P-1) + 3$ different output values; these patterns are one of the fundamental patterns within image textures [10].

To achieve rotation invariance, a locally rotation invariance and pattern is defined as follows:

$$LBP_{R,P}^i = \min_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,P-1\}} \text{ROR}(LBP_{R,P}, i) \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, P-1 \quad (3)$$

Where $(ROR(x, i))$ denotes bit-wise right shift on the number x i times. By introducing the definition of rotation invariance, LBP not only has prominent performance for image rotation, but also has fewer patterns[10].

In order to obtain improved rotation invariance and to further reduce the dimensionality of the LBP histogram feature, building on $LBP_{R,P}^i$, Ojala et al [10] proposed the rotation invariant uniform patterns $LBP_{R,P}^{riu2}$, the collection of those rotation invariance patterns having a U value of at most 2.

$$LBP_{R,P}^{riu2} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} s(x_i - x_c), & \text{if } U(LBP_{R,P}) \leq 2 \\ P + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

If P and R are respectively set to 8 and 1 the histogram dimensions of uniform pattern, rotation invariant pattern and rotation invariant uniform pattern are 59, 36 and 10 respectively.

3 REGIONAL TEXTURE DESCRIPTORS

The regional rank coding is based on the rank of pixel grayscale defined on regional neighborhood. The size and shape of each region depend on the gray level of the treaty pixel.

For each pixel x of the image, we determine the set of pixels forming the tray or plateau containing x noted $Pt(x)$. A plateau is a set of pixels of the same gray level and which are 8 connected (Fig.1). The regional rank coding assigns the same value to all the pixels of the plateau $Pt(x)$, based on gray levels of its neighbors. The set of neighbors of a plateau $Pt(x)$ be the set $V(Pt(x))$ defined as follows:

$$V(Pt(x)) = \{y \in (Pt(x))^c / B8(y) \cap ((Pt(x)) \neq \emptyset)\} \quad (5)$$

Fig.1 represents a partition of an image, we can see two plateau whose gray level equal to 7 (graycolor) surrounded by all relevant neighbors (blue color). One of the plateau is composed of five pixels surrounded by sixteen neighbors, while the other plateau is composed of three pixels surrounded by nine neighboring pixels.

12	11	5	4	7	4	4	12
8	4	7	2	2	1	0	11
2	7	7	7	4	2	7	7
11	6	7	4	6	6	7	1
4	6	4	5	7	6	5	8

Fig .1. Representation of plateau and its neighbors

The gray level of the plateau $Pt(x)$ and all its neighbors are classed in ascending order . If $||V||$ is the number of neighbors, the values of possible rank be included in the closed discrete interval $[0, \dots, ||V||]$. The rank is found according to aquation 5.

Considers $V(pt(x)) = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_V]$ a set of neighbors of $Pt(x)$. Let I be the image gray level.

$$rank(x) = \sum_{v=1}^V F(x, x_v) \quad (5)$$

$$F(x, x_v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } I(x) \geq I(x_v) \\ 0 & \text{if } I(x) < I(x_v) \end{cases}$$

The found rank will not be used directly to encode the texture because it varies depending on the number of neighbors, then we consider the ratio $P0 = rank / ||V||$.

Noting that the plateau $Pt(x)$ and the the set of neighbors $V(Pt(x))$ remains the same even if image is rotated, so ratio $P0$ is invariant to rotation.

$P0$ has a continuous value ranging between 0 and 1, hence a quantization is needed; this was done by adding to gether $P0$ which belonging to the same intervall $: [0; 0.25], [0.25; 0.5], [0.5; 0.75]$ and $[0.75; 1]$. $P0$ aquire an important information , for example, if $P0 \in [0; 0.25]$, the $Pt(x)$ posseds the minima gray level but if $P0 \in [0.75; 1]$, the corresponded plateau $Pt(x)$ gray level is the maxima among its neighbors.

The image gray level has discriminate information. So, to calculate the final coding, a value corresponding to global thresholding of the gray level of the treaty pixel is combined with $P0$ according to Table I . Thus, eight rotation invariant features are extracted.

M represents the average gray level of the whole image I .

$I(x)$ is the gray level of the treaty pixel.

To acquire more information, other scales can be used: features are extracted by extending the search space around each pixel in square neighbourhood of $S \times S$ pixel size . The case explained in this section corresponds to $S=3$.

Fig.2 represents a partition of an image using a square neighborhood of 5×5 pixel size ($S=5$). We can see plateau whose gray level equal to 7 (graycolor) surrounded by all relevant neighbors (blue color).

TABLE I.
REGIONAL RANK PATTERN IN FUNCTION OF GRAY LEVEL AND RATIO P0

	P0 values			
	[0- 0.25[[0.25 -0.5[[0.5- 0.75[[0.75- 1]
I(x)<M	0	1	2	3
I(x)>=M	4	5	6	7

The features sizes don't increase with scale and kept constant and equaling to 8. RRC_s corresponds to the features extracted using $S \times S$ neighborhood size.

0	1	0	1	6	7	0	4	2
1	2	3	3	6	1	4	2	5
4	3	5	5	4	8	4	6	7
4	7	8	5	6	4	2	3	6
4	2	0	0	6	5	1	3	4
1	4	6	7	7	5	7	4	5
4	3	3	4	6	6	7	7	3
2	1	0	0	1	4	7	1	5
7	3	8	0	1	6	5	5	1

Fig.2. Representation of plateau (green) and its neighbors (blue) using 5×5 search space .

The use of different search space dimension can improve the discriminative power of texture descriptors. So, features extracted from each scale are concatenated in a single feature histogram with $n*8$ feature dimension where n is number of scales.

4 EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The classification performance of the proposed descriptor is compared with state LBP methods on the well known texture databases Outex[11].The Outex database include two test suites: Outex_TC_00010(TC10) and Outex_TC_00012(TC12).The two suites contain the same 24 classes of textures as shown in Fig.3.Each texture class was collected under three different illuminants(“horizon”, ”inca”, and “t184”) and nine different rotation angles (0° , 5° , 10° , 15° , 30° , 45° , 60° , 75° , 90°),there are 20non-overlapping $128*128$ texture samples for each class under each situation .The experiment setups are as follows:for TC10, samples of illuminant “inca” and angle 0° in each class were used for classifier training and the other eight rotation angles with the same illuminant were used for testing.Hence, there are 480($24*20$) models and 3840($24*8*20$) validation

samples. For TC12, the classifier was trained with the same training samples as TC10, and it was tested with all samples captured under illuminant “t184” or “horizon”. Hence, there are 480(24*20) models and 4320(24*20*9) validation samples for each illuminant.

These databases are challenging due to the large number of texture classes, significant rotation and illumination changes. Each texture image is converted into gray scale and normalized to zero mean and unit standard deviation. The nearest-neighborhood classifier χ^2 distance is adopted for the classification task[14].

The proposed method is compared to rotation invariant uniform patterns $LBP_{R,P}^{riu2}$ using different combination. $LBP_{R,P}^{riu2}$ feature size are 10, 18 and 26 for R= 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Table II lists the experimental results by different schemes. Under TC12, “t” represents the test setup of illuminant “t184” and “h” represents “horizon”. The best results acquired by the proposed methods and LBP are in bold. From the produced results, we can make the following remarks:

TABLE II
CLASSIFICATION RATE (%) ON TC10 AND TC12 USING DIFFERENT SCHEMES

Methods	Classification Accuracy (%)		
	TC10	TC12 “t”	TC12 “h”
$LBP_{1,8}^{riu2}$	84.81	65.46	63.68
$LBP_{2,16}^{riu2}$	89.40	82.26	75.20
$LBP_{3,24}^{riu2}$	95.07	85.04	80.78
$LBP_{8,1}^{riu2} / LBP_{2,16}^{riu2}$	93.20	84.32	79.35
$LBP_{8,1}^{riu2} / LBP_{3,24}^{riu2}$	97.65	90.76	85.25
$LBP_{2,16}^{riu2} / LBP_{3,24}^{riu2}$	96.40	87.82	82.89
$LBP_{8,1}^{riu2} / LBP_{2,16}^{riu2} / LBP_{3,24}^{riu2}$	97.21	89.21	84.32
RRC ₃	92.79	84.42	84.14
RRC ₅	86.38	76.97	76.55
RRC ₇	79.95	71.06	70.28
RRC ₃ / RRC ₅	93.91	87.94	87.52
RRC ₃ / RRC ₇	90.81	81.78	83.43
RRC ₃ / RRC ₇	94.14	88.77	88.94
RRC ₃ / RRC ₅ / RRC ₇	94.77	88.96	89.17

First, The RRC₃ classification rate exceeds $LBP_{1,8}^{riu2}$ considerably.

Second, histograms concatenated over different scales increase the performance of the methods. So, the best classification rate is obtained by concatenated features histograms extracted from the three scales. We can observe that LBP exceeds slightly the proposed method for TC10 and TC12 “t”. But our method exceeds LBP for TC12 “h” in different cases. Knowing that the fuzzed LBP feature size 54(10+ 18+26) is about two times the feature size of the fuzzed RRC₃ 24(3*8).

Third, RRC_S performance decrease when S increase because the information patterns acquired is more global but less accurate.

5. CONCLUSION

This paper introduced new rotation invariant texture descriptors based on regional rank coding.

The features are extracted by combining the regional rank order and the pixel gray level information. Eight rotation invariant features are then extracted. Different neighborhood search space dimension are exploited. Also, the features histogram has the same size in different scales. So, features extracted from each scale are concatenated in a single feature histogram with $n \times 8$ feature dimension where n is number of scales.

As demonstrated in the experimental results performed on the Outex database. RRC_3 outperforms $LBP_{1,8}^{riu2}$ for TC10 and TC12. RRC_S features produces the best classification rate for TC12 "h" in different cases.

The concatenated histogram features extracted over different scales performs results. The best rate is obtained by using the tree scales exceeding 94% for TC10 and reaching respectively 88.96% and 89.17% for TC 12 "t" and TC 12 "h".

We think that RRC_3 features has a large area of perspectives to be exploited. Especially to make features more discriminates and eventually robust to noise.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jianguo Zhang, TieniuTan, Brief review of invariant texture analysis methods, pattern recognition 35(2002), 735-747. DOI:10.1016/S0031-3203(01)0074-7.
- [2] Haralik RM, Shanmugam K, Dinstein I, Texture features for image classification, IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern 3, (1973), 610–621. DOI:10.1109/TSMC.1973.4309314.
- [3] RL. Kashyap, A. Khotanized, A model-based method for rotation invariant texture classification, IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 8(1986), 472–481. DOI:10.1109/TPAMI.1986.4767811.
- [4] F.S.Cohen, Z.Fan, M.A.Patel, classification of rotated and scaled textured image using Gaussian markov random field models, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. 13(1991)192-202. DOI:10.1109/34.67648.
- [5] JL .Chen, A. Kundu, Rotation and gray scale transform invariant texture identification using wavelet decomposition and hidden Markov model, IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 16, (1994) 208–214. DOI:10.1109/34.273730.
- [6] H.Deng and D.A.Clausi, Gaussian MRF rotation –invariant features for image classification, IEEE Tran. Image Process 26(2004)951-955. DOI: 10.1109/TPAMI.2004.30.

- [7] T.Randen and J.Husoy, Filtering for texture classification: A comparative study, *IEEE Trans.Pattern Anal.Mach.Intell.*21(1999) 291-310.DOI:10.1109/34.761261.
- [8] G.M.Haley and B.S.Manjunath, Rotation-invariant texture classification using a complete space-frequency model, *IEEE Tran.Image Process.*8(1999)255-269.DOI: 10.1109/83.743859.
- [9] M. Varma and A. Zisserman, A statistical approach to texture classification from single images, *Int. J. Comput. Vision* 62, (2005)61–81.DOI:11.1007/S11263-005-4635-4.
- [10] T.Ojala ,M.Pietikainen ,T.Maenpaa, Multiresolution Gray-Scale and Rotation Invariant Texture Classification with Local Binary Patterns, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*24(2002) 971–987.DOI: 10.1109/TPAMI.2002.1017623.
- [11]T. Ojala, T. Mäenpää, M. Pietikainen, J. Viertola, J. Kyllönen, and S. Huovinen, Outex–New framework for empirical evaluation of texture analysis algorithms, in: *Proc. 16th Int. Conf. Pattern Recognit.*1(2002) 701–706.DOI:11.1109/ICPR.2002.1044854.
- [12]S.Lio, Max W.K.Law and Albert C.S.Chung ,Dominant Local Binary Patterns for Texture Classification, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*18(2009)1107-1117.DOI:10.1109/TIP.2009.2015682.
- [13]Z.Guo,L.Zhang and D.Zhang , A completed modeling of local binary pattern operator for texture classification, *IEEE Tran. Image Process.*9(2010)1657-1663.DOI:101109/TIP2010.2044957.
- [14] F.M.Khella, Texture Classification Using Dominant Neighborhood Structure, *IEEE Tran. Image Process.*20(2011)3270-3279.DOI:10/1109/TIP2011.2143422.
- [15] F. Ouslimani, Z. Ameer , A. Ouslimani,Texture analysis method using regional rank coding, *CNDSP 2014*, pp. 353-356, 2014
- [16] T.Ojala ,M.Pietikainen ,D.Harwood ,A comparative study of texture measures with classification based on features distributions , *Pattern Recognition*29(1996)51-59.DOI: 10.2016/0031-3203(95)00067-4.