

Prevalence and predictive factors for renouncing medical care in poor populations of Cayenne, French Guiana

Larissa Valmy, Barbara Gontier, Marie Claire Parriault, Astrid van Melle, Célia Basurko, Thomas Pavlovsky, Claire Grenier, Maylis Douine, Antoine Adenis, Mathieu Nacher

▶ To cite this version:

Larissa Valmy, Barbara Gontier, Marie Claire Parriault, Astrid van Melle, Célia Basurko, et al.. Prevalence and predictive factors for renouncing medical care in poor populations of Cayenne, French Guiana. BMC Health Services Research, 2016, 16, pp.34. 10.1186/s12913-016-1284-y. hal-01288656

HAL Id: hal-01288656 https://hal.science/hal-01288656v1

Submitted on 19 Jul 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

care in poor populations of Cayenne, French Guiana 2 3 Larissa Valmy^{1§}, Barbara Gontier¹, Marie Claire Parriault¹, Astrid Van Melle¹, Thomas 4 Pavlovsky², Célia Basurko¹, Claire Grenier³, Maylis Douine¹, Antoine Adenis¹, Mathieu 5 Nacher¹ 6 7 ¹ Centre d'Investigation Clinique Antilles-Guyane, Inserm 1424, Centre Hospitalier Andrée 8 Rosemon, Av. des Flamboyants, 97306 Cayenne cedex 9 ² Emergency Department, Centre Hospitalier Andrée Rosemon, Av. des Flamboyants, 97306 10 Cayenne cedex 11 ³ Croix Rouge Française, Centre de Prévention Santé, 25 rue Docteur Roland Barrat, 97300 12 13 Cayenne 14 §Corresponding author 15 16 Email addresses: 17 LV: larissa.valmy@ch-cayenne.fr 18 BG:gontierbarbara@gmail.com 19 MCP:marie-claire.parriault@ch-cayenne.fr 20 21 AVM: astrid.van-melle@ch-cayenne.fr TP: thomas.pavlovsky@ch-cayenne.fr 22 CB: c.basurko@free.fr 23 24 CG:claire.grenier@croix-rouge.fr

Prevalence and predictive factors for renouncing medical

1

25

MD:maylis.douine@ch-cayenne.fr

- 26 AA :antoine.adenis@ch-cayenne.fr
- 27 MN :mathieu.nacher@ch-cayenne.fr

Abstract

29 Background

28

- 30 Access to health care is a global public problem. In French Guiana, there exists social
- 31 inequalities which are specially marked amongst immigrants who make up a third of the
- 32 population. Health care inequalities are prevalent. The objective of this study was to
- determine factors associated with why health care amongst the poor population of Cayenne
- was renounced.

35 Study design

- 36 The study was cross sectional. It focused on knowledge, attitudes, practices and beliefs of the
- population living in poor neighborhoods of the Cayenne area.

38 **Methods**

- Populations coming at the Red Cross mobile screening unit in poor urban areas of Cayenne
- were surveyed from July 2013 to June 2014. Structured questionnaires consisted of 93
- 41 questions. Written informed consent was requested at the beginning of the questionnaire. The
- 42 predictors for renouncing medical care were determined using logistic regression models and
- 43 tree analysis.

44 Results

- 45 Twenty percent of persons had renounced care. Logistic regression showed that renouncement
- of health care wasnegatively associated with having no regular physician Adjusted Odds Ratio
- 47 (AOR)=0.43 (95%CI=0.24-0.79) and positively associated withbeing embarrassed to ask
- 48 certain questions AOR=6.81 (95%CI=3.98-11.65) and having been previously refused health
- 49 care by a doctor AOR=3.08 (95%CI=1.43-6.65). Tree analysis also showed that three of
- 50 these variables were linked to renouncement, with feeling shy to ask certain questions as the
- 51 first branching.

Conclusion

- Although most people felt it was easy to see a doctor, one in five had renounced health care.
- The variables identified by the models suggest vulnerable persons generally had previous
- 55 negative encounters with the health system and felt unwanted or non eligible for healthcare.
- Healthcare mediation and welcoming staff may be simple solutions to the above problems
- which were underscored in our observations.

58 **Keywords**

- Health care; renouncement; poor populations; logistic regression; Tree analysis; French
- 60 Guiana.

61

62

Introduction

Background

- Health inequalities between countries and within countries remain salient[1]. Both absolute
- and relative material standards matter, with the later having a greater importance [2,3].
- 65 Inequalities in income are paralleled by inequalities in health [4]. Richer countries generally
- have better indicators than poorer countries, however, there is great heterogeneity[5]. French
- Guiana has the highest GDP per capita on the South American continent, attracting numerous
- 68 immigrants in search of a better life. Thus, a third of the population are immigrants, a great
- 69 proportion of whom have no residence permit[6]. The health system of French Guiana is
- 70 French with modern facilities and the possibility of obtaining universal health insurance,
- 71 including for illegal foreign citizens residing for more than 3 months on the territory. As for
- 72 all French territories, mitigating health inequalities remains a major public health goal. There
- are great socio-sanitary differences between the various French regions, notably for French
- Guiana [7]. Previous studies in French Guiana have shown that undocumented immigrants
- 75 reported a poorer health status[8]. Studies have also shown that immigrants were tested later
- for HIV[9], were more likely to interrupt follow up[10], and, for cancer, had more advanced
- stages upon diagnosis[11]. The complexities of a poor immigrants' life, of the health care

- system and of the administrative requirements to access to rights, the lack of transport, and the
- 79 refusal by some private practitioners to see patients with universal health insurance are all
- potential determinants of a sick patient to renounce toseea doctor[12].

81 Objectives

- We thus conducted a study in patients consulting the Red Cross screening truck in
- 83 impoverished neighborhoods in Cayenne to determine how frequently persons had renounced
- healthcare and the variables that were most frequently associated with this.

Methods

85

86 Ethical aspects

- 87 The study protocol was approved by the Comitéd'Evaluation Ethique de l'Inserm (CEEI/IRB)
- 88 (n°13-107) and the Commission Nationale Informatique et Liberté (CNIL) (authorisation
- 89 n°1680353v0).

90 Study design

- The study was cross-sectional. It was a descriptive and comparative knowledge, attitudes,
- 92 practices, and beliefs study.

93 **Setting**

- The study was conducted from from July 1st, 2013 through June 30th, 2014in poor
- 95 neighborhoods of the Cayenne area. Neighborhoods were defined as « poor » if one of the
- 96 following criteria were verified: neighborhood figuring in the City Hall list of « Contrats
- 97 Urbains de Cohésion Sociale » (CUCS, urban contracts of social cohesion); spontaneous
- habitat zone (housing without building permits); neighborhoods without running water and/or
- 99 electricity and/or municipal handling of waste and garbage.

Participants

The study population was poor populations. The surveyed population was adults, seeking free 101 102 screening services at the Red Cross mobile screening unit (truck offering testing for HIV, diabetes, high blood pressure). 103 104 The surveyed areas are sensibly different from the rest of Cayenne and selected for this. They are mostly, but not exclusively inhabited, by immigrants. Given the small size of Cayenne, the 105 106 surveyed areas are not very far from the health structures. However they are devoid of health 107 structures, social facilities, and public transportation is often not available. The estimated population living in the surveyed areas was 46 000 relative to the 101 412 persons living in 108 109 the greater Cayenne area.

Outcome criteria

110

- The renouncement of care which was defined by answering yes to the question "Have you been ill or had pains and renounced care?". The answer to this question had no time framebut referred to French Guiana and thus represents a prevalence during the stay in French Guiana.
- 114 Inclusion criteria
- 115 Adults, over 18 years of age.

116 Non inclusion criteria

- Person belonging to the study population refusing to participate, having previously
- participated, <18 years of age.

119 Sampling method

- Samples were stratified by inclusion centers, with an equivalent proportion of persons relative
- to the estimated source population seen at each site.
- The Red Cross mobile unit exists since 2012. It allows going towards populations in the
- poorest neighborhoods. Nurses are accompanied by health mediators from the local
- 124 communities (DAAC, development, accompaniment, animation, cooperation). Twice a month
- a doctor is there to check vaccinations.

Data collection

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

The trained multilingual surveyors from the targeted communities asked all persons consulting the mobile unit. Written and oral information was given to all eligible persons. Written informed consent was requested at the beginning of the questionnaire. Persons accepting to participate to the study were interviewed face to face in their native language using a structured questionnaire that had been translated in local languages (Haitian Creole, Spanish, Portuguese, English, SrananTongo). The study was proposed to the first person arriving on site and then the second questionnaire was proposed when the surveyor had finished with the first person, and so on until the work day was finished. Questionnaires were anonymous and numbered for each study site and according to their rank in passage that day. The questionnaires had 93 questions divided in 5 parts:sociodemographic profile, health profile, health practices, attitudes, practices and knowledge on health matters and health insurance. The EPICES score was calculated as described elsewhere [13]. The interview lasted up to 60 minutes. The initial questionnaire was devised by the Clinical Investigation Center and was reviewed and modified by the partners (Health insurance, municipalities NGOs Red Cross, Médecins du Monde, DAAC). It was pretested on 10 persons of the target population to optimize its comprehension and the perception. Patients were compensated for the time they gave the interviewer and were given a 5 euro voucher plus prevention material on diabetes, high blood pressure, HIV, and information about access to health insurance. Questionnaires were reviewed by the study coordinator, who then validated them if they were coherent.

Statistical methods

Data analysis was performed using R. Univariate analysis was performed then frequency distributions for each covariate were compared between those who had renounced health care and those that had not. Thematic logistic regression models considering significant variables (p<0.05) were computed for different set of questions: sociodemographic profile, health

profile, medical practices, attitudes, attitudes, practices and knowledge on health matters and health insurance. Finally, the variables selected from these thematic multivariate models were added to a global logistic regression model. The goodness of fit test was used. The most parsimonious models were selected using Akaike's information criterion.

In addition CART analyses were performed using R [14,15]. Classification And RegressionTree analysis is a non parametric multidimensional exploratory method. It is a segmentation method based on the construction of a decision tree. It aims to partition the sample using explanatory variables so that the obtained segments are as homogenous as possible regarding the dependent variable. It proceeds by successive iterations, the sample first being partitioned in homogenous sub-samples, each of which is then partitioned in homogenous sub samples, iteratively. In some cases, the trees obtained being too intricate, pruning is used to obtain a more parsimonious model.

Results

Between July 2013 and June 2014, a total of 546 questionnaires were filled. The refusal rate was zero. Table 1 provides a description of the study population stratified into 2 groups according healthcare renouncement. This table considers significant variables in the different sets of questions and other variables as age, gender, social vulnerability, income and perception ofhow easy it is to see a doctor. Table 2 presents the variables associated with healthcare renouncement and the p values. There were 51.8% of women. The average age was 37.0 (sd=12.9) in women and 35.6 (sd=13.7) in men. According to the EPICES score using the 30 threshold, 477 (87.4%) were socially precarious. Most of these persons came from foreign countries, 47% were foreign having some form of health insurance and additional 27% were foreign without insurance but were eligible to it as shown in Figure 1. Overall, 5% were foreigners not eligible for health insurance.

A significant proportion of persons had very low incomes for the standard of life of French 176 Guiana (medical consultation costs: 23 Euro; net minimum wage: 1 137 Euros): 20% of 177 patients earned less than 300 Euros per month, 35.2% less than 500 Euros, and 55% less than 178 179 800 Euros per month. Overall, 113 persons (20.7%) had previously renounced health care whereas 433 had never 180 181 done so (79.3%). Renouncement of care was more frequent in the precarious group 182 (p=0.005).Four hundred and three persons (73.8%) thought it was easy to see a doctor in Cayenne. 183 Among those that had never renounced care 75.8% though it was easy to see a doctor. 184 185 Among those that had previously renounced for care still 66.4% thought it was easy to see a doctor. 186 For those having arrived in French Guiana for less than a year, 20 (18%) had 187 188 renouncedwhereas 78 (69%) of those having arrived before 2013 had renounced,p=0.02 (see Table 2). 189 190 Table3summarizes the differences between the two groups for different variables and shows 191 adjusted odds ratios for thematic logistic regression models. For the sociodemographic variables, financial difficulties and being shy to ask certain questions or to go through 192 193 medical or administrative appointments were significantly associated with the outcome. For the health profile, only the fact of having received dental care in the past 3 years was 194 significant. For the medical practice and attitudes variables, having consulted the emergency 195 196 ward in the past year, having a family physician and having been refused care by a doctor were significant predictors. For the variables pertaining to health insurance, having health 197 198 insurance and understanding mail from health insurance were predictors. 199 Table 4shows the global model using the significant variables from each thematic logistic regression model. Among all the variables cited below, only being embarrassed to ask 200

certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments, having a family physician and having been refused care by a doctorwere predictive of renouncement of care. Figure 2shows that CART analysis identified feeling shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments. CART analysis showed the 2 paths associated withrenouncement of health care. The most salient one was feeling shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments. The number shows the probability of healthcare renouncement and the one in brackets the proportion of observations in the leaf.

Discussion

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

Over 70% of the surveyed population, a vulnerable subset of the general population in Cayenne, declared it was easy to see a doctor in Cayenne. This was somewhat at odds with perceptions based on anecdotal reports. The context of health care setting at the time of the survey may have inflated the perception of the ease of contacting a doctor. In addition, the population showing up at the red cross bus may have represented a biased selection of persons least likely to renounce care thus leading to an underestimation of the main outcome. However, one in five persons had previously renounced care. In comparison, in France 15.4% of persons declared having renounced to care in 2008, and among those earning less than 870 Euros per month this proportion reached 24% [16]. The association between low socio economic status and access to care is often observed but the proximal forces operating are not always explicit. The present study may offer some insights on perceptions or behaviors that may be of operational interest. A number of variables were independent predictors of renouncing care. In addition, the use of CART analysis was, to our knowledge, an original way to partition the population in homogenous groups regarding the main outcome. The most salient predictor was declaring feeling shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments. Having previously been refused

medical care was associated with increased likelihood of having renounced care. Having a family physician was associated with a lower probability of having renounced care. The above findings suggest that factors such as self esteem or knowledge of one's right to healthcare are possible determinants to target in order to access to care. Some persons being denied care may have generalized this experience to the health system as a whole either as a reluctance to be rejected again or as a belief that they were not entitled for health care [17]. Most of these persons were immigrants from countries where the authority and status of health professionals or administrative officers may have required the patients to be more submissive to authority. It may thus have taken some adjustment to be aware of one's rights and to learn how to navigate the health system [18], as suggested by the fact that the most recent immigrants tended to renounce more frequently. A recent public health law suppressed the mandatory fee that patients without full coverage had to disburse. The future impact of this measure on renouncement of care is debatable but the present results suggest it may improve access. Although, prior to the study variables such as fluency in French, transport means, employment, health insurance, residence permits were suspected determinants reported in the literature [19,20], multivariate analyses did not retain them as significantly associated with the outcome. Perhaps in this urban area, there are a number of geographically accessible alternative offers of health care that buffer some of the difficulties of vulnerable patients. However, beyond a simple contact with a physician, follow up may have been a more difficult problem than acute care for vulnerable patients, but we did not investigate this question. Given the broad cultural diversity in French Guiana, we predicted to find that some cultural differences would arise. Although Haitian nationals seemed to renounce more often, after multivariate analyses, nationality, a proxy to culture, was not retained, suggesting that

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

socioeconomic determinants were the confounding variable behind specific "cultural" determinants. Caution should be exercised when making inference from the results to all poor populations of Cayenne because thosethat never showed up at the Red Cross truck despite the health mediators' efforts may have represented a different subgroup less concerned by its health or unable to be present when the surveyors were on site. In addition, the surveyed areas were particularly known as poverty pockets but a number of other vulnerable persons live in other parts of town where the mix of determinants of renouncement may be different. However, and this was a deliberate choice, the surveyed population was globally very vulnerable and thus its attitudes, behaviors and practices are precious knowledge for health care actors in order to improve access to care in this population. These results concern vulnerable populations living in a country with a universal health care system that is often presented as a model, but still struggles to achieve one of its priorities: to ensure that everyone has equal access to care. Therefore the present results may be very different from, and not applicable to, situations where persons do not have these access to health rights. As observed elsewhere, financial difficulties were linked to poverty [1]. However, here it seemed "shyness" to interact with the system and prior refusal of care stressed that, beyond the perception of one's right to health care and perhaps one's status in society may be even more important psychosocial determinants [2] that presumably apply beyond the particular context of French Guiana.

Conclusion

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

Although these vulnerable populations reported that access to a physician was easy, 21% of the population declared having previously renounced health care. Shyness to ask and prior refusal of care were negatively associated with access to care whereas having a regular physician seemed like facilitating access to care after adjustment for confounding. Ensuring

that all public servants remain cordial to make the health system more welcoming and
familiar to vulnerable groups thus seems to be important to improve access to care in
Cayenne. Health mediation, may also be an important response to reduce renouncement of
health care[21].

Competing interests

279

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

292

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LV performed data analysis and interpretation, and prepared the manuscript.BGwas responsible for data collection.BG, MCP, AVM, TP, CB, CG and AA participated in the research design. CG and MD were involved in the final manuscript draft.MN initiated the study, provided guidance on data analyses, and was involved in the interpretation of data, manuscript writing and manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment

The Project was funded by the Health Regional Agency (ARS). The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper.

References

- Marmot M:Social determinants of health inequalities.Lancet 2005, 365(9464):1099 104
- Wilkinson RG:Socioeconomic determinants of health. Health inequalities: relative
 or absolute material standards?BMJ 1997, 314(7080):591-595
- Wagstaff A:Poverty and health sector inequalities.Bulletin of the World Health
 Organization 2002, 80(2):97-105

- 4. van Doorslaer E, Wagstaff A, Bleichrodt H, Calonge S, Gerdtham UG, Gerfin M,
- Geurts J, Gross L, Häkkinen U, Leu RE, O'Donnell O, Propper C, Puffer F, Rodríguez
- M, Sundberg G, Winkelhake O:**Income-related inequalities in health: some**
- international comparisons. *Journal of Health Economics* 1997, **16**(1):93-112
- 5. Richar Wilkinson, Marmot M:Social determinants of health: The solid facts. 2nd
- *edition.* World Health Organization 2003.
- 6. Horatius-Clovis H:**Projections de Population 2015**. *Insee Report*[cited 2015 14/04]
- 7. Thomas N, Trugeon A, Michelot F, Ochoa A, Castor-Newton MJ, Cornely V, Merle S,
- Rachou E:Inégalités sociosanitaires dans les départements d'outre-mer: Analyses
- infra-régionales et comparaisons avec le niveau national. Paris: FNORS2014
- 8. Jolivet A, Cadot E, Florence S, Lesieur S, Lebas J, Chauvin P:Migrant health in
- French Guiana: are undocumented immigrants more vulnerable? BMC public
- 311 *health* 2012, **12**:53
- 9. Nacher M, El Guedj M, Vaz T, Nasser V, Randrianjohany A, Alvarez F, Sobesky M,
- Magnien C, Couppié P:Risk factors for late HIV diagnosis in French Guiana. Aids
- 314 2005, **19**(7):727-9
- 10. Nacher M, El Guedj M, Vaz T, Nasser V, Randrianjohany A, Alvarez F, Sobesky M,
- Magnien C, Couppié P:Risk factors for follow-up interruption of HIV patients in
- French Guiana. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2006,
- **74**(5):915-7
- 319 11. Roue T, Nacher M, Fior A, Plenet J, Belliardo S, Gandolfo N, Deshayes JL, Laborde
- O, Carles G, Thomas N, Seve B, Patient G:Cervical cancer incidence in French
- Guiana: South American. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer 2012,
- **22**(5):850-3

323	12.	Carde E:[Access to health care and racial discrimination]. Sante publique 2007,
324		19 (2):99-109
325	13.	Labbe E, Blanquet M, Gerbaud L, Poirier G, Sass C, Vendittelli F, Moulin JJ:A new
326		reliable index to measure individual deprivation: the EPICES score. European
327		Journal of Public Health 2015, 25 (4):604-9
328	14.	Faraway JJ: Extending the linear model with R: generalized linear, mixed effects and
329		nonparametric regression models. Chapman & Hall/CRC 2006
330	15.	De'Ath G, Fabricius KE:Classification and regression trees: a powerful yet simple
331		technique for ecological data analysis. <i>Ecololy</i> 2000, 81 (11):3178-3192
332	16.	Després C, Dourgnona P, Fantin R, Jusot F:Le renoncement aux soins pour raisons
333		financières: une approche économétrique. Questions d'Economie de la
334		Santé2011. 170 :1-6
335	17.	Eshiett MU, Parry EH: Migrants and health: a cultural dilemma. Clinical
336		Medicine2003, 3 (3):229-31
337	18.	Paasche-Orlow MK, Wolf MS:The causal pathways linking health literacy to
338		health outcomes. American Journal of Health Behavior 2007, 31 Suppl 1:S19-26
339	19.	Bowen S: Language Barriers in Access to Health Care for Health Canada 2001,
340		ISBN: 0-662-30538-8.
341	20.	Legros M, Bauer D, Goyaux N: [Health and access to care : for a more equal and
342		easier access to health and care]. National Conference of fight against poverty and for
343		social inclusion2012.
344	21.	Paskett ED, Harrop JP, Wells KJ:Patient navigation: an update on the state of the
345		science.CA: a Cancer Journal forClinicians 2011, 61(4):237-249
346	Figu	ıre

Figure

347

Figure 1 – Descriptive analysis

- Description of the study population according to nationalities, access, eligibility and no eligibility to healthcare system - Results expressed as percentage -Others : Guinea Bissau,
- 350 Dominica and Peru

351 Figure 2–CART analysis

CART analysis for variables significantly associated with healthcare renouncement

Tables

352

353

354

Table 1 - Descriptive analysis

- 355 Description of the study population stratified according to renouncement Results expressed
- as numbers (%) or medians and interquartile ranges (25%-75%).

	Renouncement (n)	No renouncement (n)
Sociodemographic profile		
Age	33 (26-42)	35 (25-45)
Gender		
Female	52 (46)	231 (53)
Male	61 (54)	202 (47)
Being Haitian		
No	57 (50)	280 (65)
Yes	56 (50)	153 (35)
Being in France, less than a year:		· · ·
No	78 (69)	298 (69)
Yes	20 (18)	42 (10)
Non applicable	15 (13)	93 (21)
Marital status		, ,
In a couple/family/roomate	38 (34)	196 (45)
Single	75 (66)	237 (55)
Social vulnerability	,	,
No	5 (4)	64 (15)
Yes	108 (96)	369 (85)
Income level	,	
Less than netminimum wage	89 (79)	294 (68)
Around net minimum wage	12 (10)	90 (21)
More than net minimum wage	11 (10)	46 (10)
No response	1 (1)	3 (1)
Having financial difficulties	,	
No	28 (25)	202 (47)
Yes	82 (73)	229 (53)
Having financial help		
No	53 (47)	253 (58)
Yes	59 (52)	178 (41)
Taking Holidays	` /	, ,
No	92 (81)	310 (72)
Yes	21 (19)	123 (28)
Reason for coming		, , ,
Health insurance	94 (83)	408 (94)
Other	19 (17)	25 (6)
Being shy to ask certain questions or to go through		, ,
medical or administrative appointments		
No	50 (44)	381 (88)

Yes	63 (56)	49 (11)
Health profile	•	
Health status 3 years ago:		
The same	43 (38)	127 (29)
Better	52 (46)	268 (62)
Worse	18 (16)	37 (9)
Having received dental care in the past 3 years		
No	27 (24)	181 (42)
Yes	86 (76)	250 (58)
Having good sight		
No	28 (25)	70 (16)
Yes	85 (75)	363 (84)
Medical practice, attitudes		
Having consulted the emergency ward in the past		
year		
No	47 (42)	95 (22)
Yes	66 (58)	338 (78)
Having a family physician		
No	64 (57)	133 (31)
Yes	49 (43)	300 (69)
Thinking it is easy to see a doctor		
No	11 (10)	22 (5)
Yes	75 (66)	328 (76)
No response	27 (24)	83 (19)
Having time to explain problems when you see a		
doctor		
Yes	90 (80)	379 (88)
No	19 (17)	32 (7)
Never consulted	4 (3)	22 (5)
Having been refused health care by a doctor in		
French Guiana		
No	87 (77)	387 (89)
Yes	24 (21)	25 (5)
Never consulted	1 (2)	20 (6)
Having been refused health care by the PASS		
No	48 (42)	164 (38)
Yes	11 (10)	15 (3)
Never consulted	53 (47)	254 (59)
Attitudes, practices and knowledge on health matte	rs	
Knowing about complementary health insurance		
Yes	51 (45)	264 (61)
No	61 (54)	160 (37)
Knowing the difference between CMU and AME	40 (40)	222 (74)
Yes	48 (42)	233 (54)
No	64 (57)	191 (44)
Thinking need to feel pain or malaise to be sick		
No	71 (32)	325 (75)
Yes	36 (63)	93 (22)
Knowing infrastructures or associations which help		
people in administrative process	50 (52)	225 (75)
Yes	70 (62)	326 (75)
No	43 (38)	106 (25)
HIV-knowledge Quizz		225 (52)
<7 true responses	74 (65)	226 (52)
7true responses	39 (35)	207 (48)
Health insurance	1	
Having documentation proving health insurance		
No	53 (47)	137 (32)
Yes	60 (53)	296 (68)

Understanding mail from the health insurance		
Yes	74 (65)	337 (78)
No	9 (8)	11 (2)
Never received	30 (27)	85 (20)

Table 2 - Bivariate analysis

Variables associated with a history of healthcare renouncement

	p			
Sociodemographic profile				
Being Haitian	0.01			
Being in France, less than a year	0.02			
Social vulnerability	0.005			
Marital status	0.03			
Having financial difficulties	< 0.001			
Having financial help	0.04			
Taking Holidays	0.05			
Reason for coming	< 0.001			
Being shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments	< 0.001			
Health profile				
Health status 3years ago	0.004			
Having received dental care in the past 3 years	< 0.001			
Having good sight	0.05			
Medical practice, attitudes				
Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year	< 0.001			
Having a family physician	< 0.001			
Having time to explain problems when you see a doctor	0.01			
Having been refused health care by a doctor in French Guiana	< 0.001			
Having been refused health care by the PASS	0.008			
Attitudes, practices and knowledge on health matters				
Knowing about complementary insurance	0.004			
Knowing about the difference between CMU and AME	0.05			
Thinking one needs to feel pain or malaise to be sick	0.04			
Knowing about infrastructures or associations which help people in administrative process	0.01			
HIV-knowledge Quizz	0.02			
Health insurance				
Having documentation proving health insurance	0.003			
Understanding mail from the health insurance	0.004			

Table 3 – Thematic multivariate analysis

Variables associated with a history of healthcare renouncement

	OR	95%CI	p
Sociodemographic profile			
Being Haitian			
No	1		
Yes	1.25	0.72-2.17	0.42
Being in France, less than a year:			
No	1		
Yes	1.32	0.62-2.81	0.47
Non applicable	1.46	0.49-4.33	0.50
Social vulnerability			
No	1		
Yes	1.55	0.47-5.14	0.48
Marital status			

In a couple/family/roomate 1
Having financial difficulties 1
No Yes 1.81 1.01-3.23 0.05 Having financial help No 1
Yes
Having financial help No
No Yes
Yes 0.94 0.56-1.57 0.81 Taking Holidays 1 1 7 8 1 1 7 9 0.21 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.001 1 2 2 0.003 1 2 2 <
Taking Holidays 1
No Yes
Yes 1.56 0.78-3.09 0.21 Reason for coming 1.74 0.80-3.79 0.17 Other 1 0.80-3.79 0.17 Being shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments 1 8.72 5.15-14.76 <0.001
Reason for coming Health insurance 1.74 0.80-3.79 0.17
Health insurance
Other 1 Being shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments 1 No 1 Yes 8.72 5.15-14.76 <0.001
Being shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or administrative appointments 1
administrative appointments 1 8.72 5.15-14.76 <0.001
No Yes 1 8.72 5.15-14.76 <0.001 Health profile health status 3years ago: 1 1 0.009 </td
Yes 8.72 5.15-14.76 <0.001 Health profile health status 3 years ago: 1 0.67 0.42-1.06 0.09 Worse 1.52 0.76-3.04 0.23 Having received dental care in the past 3 years 1 0.47 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.47 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes 1 0.42-1.20 0.21 Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 1 0.02 No 1 1 1 0.02 Having a family physician? 1 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Health profile health status 3 years ago: 1 The same 1 Better 0.67 0.42-1.06 0.09 Worse 1.52 0.76-3.04 0.23 Having received dental care in the past 3 years 1 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes 1 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes 1 1 0.02 Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 0.02 0.02 Having a family physician? 1 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
health status 3 years ago: The same
The same Better Better Worse 1.52 0.42-1.06 0.09 Worse 1.52 0.76-3.04 0.23 Having received dental care in the past 3 years No Yes 0.47 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight No Yes 1 1 2 4 4 4 5 4 5 6 6 7 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Better 0.67 0.42-1.06 0.09 Worse 1.52 0.76-3.04 0.23
Worse
Having received dental care in the past 3 years 1
No 1 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.29-0.77 0.003 Hoo 1 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes 0.21 0.21 Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 0.02 No 1 0.02 Having a family physician? 1 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Yes 0.47 0.29-0.77 0.003 Having good sight 1 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Yes 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes 1 0.21 Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 0.22 Yes 1.83 1.12-2.97 0.02 Having a family physician? 1 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Having good sight 1 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 0.21 No 1 0.02 Having a family physician? 1 0.02 No 1 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
No 1 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 </td
No 1 0.71 0.42-1.20 0.21 Medical practice, attitudes Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 </td
Medical practice, attitudes Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year 1 No 1 Yes 1.83 Having a family physician? 1 No 1 Yes 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year No
Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year No
No 1 Yes 1.83 Having a family physician? No 1 Yes 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Yes 1.83 1.12-2.97 0.02 Having a family physician? 1 No 1 1 Yes 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Having a family physician? No 1 Yes 0.33 0.20-0.53 0.001
No 1 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Yes 0.33 0.20-0.53 <0.001
Yes 1
No 1.72 0.87-3.40 0.30
Never consulted 2.52 0.43-14.73 0.12
Having been refused health care by a doctor in French Guiana
No 1
Yes 2.60 1.29-5.23 0.01
Never consulted 2.00 1.29-3.25 0.01 0.14 0.02-1.22 0.08
Having been refused health care by the PASS
Yes 1.60 0.61-4.24 0.12
Never consulted 0.68 0.42-1.10 0.34
Attitudes, practices and knowledge on health matters
Knowing about complementary insurance
Yes 1 1 1 57 0.05 2.57 0.00
No 1.57 0.95-2.57 0.08
Knowing aboutabout the difference between CMU and AME
Yes 1
No 1.17 0.70-1.95 0.54
Thinking need to feel pain or malaise to be sick
No 1
Yes 1.48 0.89-2.45 0.13
Knowing about infrastructures or associations which help

people in administrative process			
Yes	1		
No	1.51	0.91-2.51	0.11
HIV-knowledge Quizz			
<7 true responses	1		
7true responses	0.78	0.47-1.28	0.32
Health insurance			
Having documentation proving health insurance			
No	1		
Yes	0.49	0.23-0.65	0.02
Understanding mail from the health insurance			
Yes	1		
No	3.33	1.31-8.45	0.01
Never received	0.91	0.47-1.75	0.77

Table 4 – Logistic regression

Logistic regression model using the significant variables from each thematic logistic regression model.

regression model.			
Variables	OR	95%CI	p
Having financial difficulties			
No	1		
Yes	1.66	0.97-2.86	0.07
Being shy to ask certain questions or to go through medical or			
administrative appointments			
No	1		
Yes	6.81	3.98-11.65	< 0.001
Having received dental care in the past 3 years			
No	1		
Yes	0.87	0.49- 1.56	0.64
Having consulted the emergency ward in the past year			
No	1		
Yes	1.38	0.80- 2.40	0.25
Having a family physician			
No	1		
Yes	0.43	0.24-0.79	0.006
Having been refused health care by a doctor in French Guiana			
No	1		
Yes	3.08	1.43- 6.65	0.004
Never consulted	0.23	0.05- 1.19	0.08
Having documentation proving health insurance			
No	1		
Yes	0.61	0.30- 1.23	0.17
Understanding mail from the health insurance			
Yes	1		
No	2.05	0.60- 6.95	0.25
Never received	0.54	0.23- 1.25	0.15

Additional file

File 1 – STROBE Statement

Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies.