



HAL
open science

Degeneracy of entire curves into higher dimensional complex manifolds

Ya Deng

► **To cite this version:**

| Ya Deng. Degeneracy of entire curves into higher dimensional complex manifolds. 2016. hal-01283719

HAL Id: hal-01283719

<https://hal.science/hal-01283719v1>

Preprint submitted on 6 Mar 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Degeneracy of entire curves into higher dimensional complex manifolds

YA DENG

Abstract

Pursuing McQuillan’s philosophy in proving the Green-Griffiths conjecture for certain surfaces of general type, we deal with the algebraic degeneracy of entire curves tangent to holomorphic foliations by curves. Inspired by the recent work [PS14], we study the intersection of Ahlfors current $T[f]$ with tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} , and derive some consequences. In particular, we introduce the definition of weakly reduced singularities for foliations by curves, which requires less work than the exact classification for foliations. Finally we discuss the strategy to prove the Green-Griffiths conjecture for complex surfaces.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Proof of the Main Theorems	3
2.1	Notions and definitions	3
2.2	Basic results about Ahlfors currents	5
2.3	Intersection with the tangent bundle	9
2.4	Siu’s refined tautological inequality	14
2.5	Intersection with the normal bundle	17
2.6	A generalization of McQuillan’s theorem	20
3	Towards the Green-Griffiths conjecture	26

1 Introduction

In [McQ98], McQuillan proved the following striking theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a surface of general type and \mathcal{F} a holomorphic foliation on X . Then any entire curve $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ tangent to \mathcal{F} can not be Zariski dense.*

The original proof of Theorem 1.1 is rather involved. Later on, several simplified proofs appeared, cf. [Bru99] and [PS14]. The idea in proving Theorem (1.1) is to argue by contradiction. One assumes that there exists a Zariski dense entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ which is tangent to \mathcal{F} . Then, one studies the intersection of the Ahlfors current $T[f]$, which can be treated as a $(1, 1)$ -cohomology class in X , with the tangent bundle and the normal bundle of the foliation \mathcal{F} . The

above works proved that both of the intersections numbers are positive. However, since K_X is big, then $T[f] \cdot K_X > 0$, and by the equality $K_X^{-1} = T_{\mathcal{F}} + N_{\mathcal{F}}$, a contradiction is obtained.

In our paper, we give a generalization of McQuillan’s result dealing with entire curves on higher dimensional complex manifolds, by pursuing the same philosophy. Firstly we obtain a formula for $T[f] \cdot T_{\mathcal{F}}$, which is an improvement of that of [PS14]. Our first result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a Kähler 1-foliated pair. If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is a transcendental entire curve (see Definition 2.2 below) tangent to \mathcal{F} whose image is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, then*

$$\langle T[f], c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle + T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \langle T[f], \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1) \rangle \geq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a coherent ideal sheaf determined by the singularity of \mathcal{F} , and $T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a non-negative real number representing the “intersection” of $T[f]$ with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$; this number will be defined later.

If X is a complex surface, as is proved by McQuillan, Theorem 1.2 can be improved to the extent that

$$T[f] \cdot T_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 0. \tag{1.1}$$

On the one hand, by pursuing his philosophy of “diaphantine approximation”, one can generalize (1.1) to higher dimensional manifolds, under some assumptions on the foliation:

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation by curves on the n -dimensional complex manifold X , such that the singular set $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ of the foliation \mathcal{F} is a set of absolutely isolated singularities (this will be defined later). If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an Zariski dense entire curve which is tangent to \mathcal{F} , then one can blow-up X a finite number of times to get a new birational model $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that*

$$T[\tilde{f}] \cdot T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = 0.$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{F} is a foliation by curves with absolutely isolated singularities that are simple (see Definition 2.6), and whose canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is big, then every entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ that is tangent to \mathcal{F} is algebraically degenerate.

On the other hand, Theorem 1.2 leads us to the fact that the error term $T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is controllable, if the singularities of \mathcal{F} are not too “bad” (they are called *weakly reduced singularities* in Section 2.5). The theorem is as follows:

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a projective manifold of dimension n endowed with a 1-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} with weakly reduced singularities. If f is a Zariski dense entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} , satisfying $\langle T[f], K_X \rangle > 0$ (e.g. K_X is big), then we have*

$$\langle T[\hat{f}], c_1(N_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}) \rangle < 0$$

for some birational pair $(\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$.

Remark 1.1. Our definition of “weakly reduced singularities” is actually weaker than the usual concept of reduced singularities, which always requires a lot of checking (e.g. a classification of singularities). We only need to focus on the multiplier ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$, instead of trying to understand the exact behavior of singularities.

It is notable that the following strong result due to M. Brunella implies a conclusive contradiction in combination with Theorem 1.4, in the case of dimension 2.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a complex surface endowed with a foliation \mathcal{F} (no assumption is made for singularities of \mathcal{F} here). If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is a Zariski dense entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} , then we have*

$$\langle T[f], N_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we get another proof of Theorem 1.1 without using the refined formula (1.1) immediately. This leads us to observe that if one can resolve any singularities of the 1-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} into weakly reduced ones, and generalize the previous Brunella Theorem to higher dimensional manifolds, one could infer the Green-Griffiths conjecture for surfaces of general type.

Theorem 1.6. *Assume that Theorem 1.5 holds for a directed variety (X, \mathcal{F}) where X is a base of arbitrary dimension and \mathcal{F} has rank 1, and that one can resolve the singularities of \mathcal{F} into weakly reduced ones. Then every entire curve drawn in a projective surface of general type must be algebraically degenerate.*

2 Proof of the Main Theorems

2.1 Notions and definitions

For any coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, one can construct a global quasi-plurisubharmonic function $\varphi_{\mathcal{J}}$ on X such that

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{J}} = \log\left(\sum_i |g_i|^2\right) + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

where (g_i) are local holomorphic functions that generate the ideal \mathcal{J} . We call $\varphi_{\mathcal{J}}$ the *characteristic function associated to the coherent sheaf*. For any entire curve f which is not contained in the subscheme $Z(\mathcal{J})$, we can write

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ f(t)|_{B(r)} = \sum_{|t_j| < r} \nu_j \log |t - t_j|^2 + \mathcal{O}(1),$$

and here we call ν_j the *multiplicity of f along \mathcal{J}* .

In a related way, we define the *proximity function* of f with respect to \mathcal{J} by

$$m_{f, \mathcal{J}}(r) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_{\mathcal{J}} \circ f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta,$$

and the *counting function* of f with respect to \mathcal{J} by

$$N_{f, \mathcal{J}}(r) := \sum_{|t_j| < r} \nu_j \log \frac{r}{|t_j|}.$$

Let us take a log resolution of \mathcal{F} with a birational morphism $p : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $p^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-D)$, let \hat{f} denote the lift of f to \hat{X} (so that $p \circ \hat{f} = f$), and let Θ_D be the curvature form of D .

Now we recall the following formula, which will be very useful in what follows.

Theorem 2.1. (*Jensen formula*) For $r \geq 1$ we have

$$\int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} dd^c \varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(re^{i\theta}) d\theta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad (2.1)$$

in particular if φ is a quasi-plurisubharmonic function, then for r large enough we have

$$\int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} dd^c \varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(re^{i\theta}) d\theta + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Then the following *First Main Theorem* due to Nevanlinna is an immediate consequence.

Theorem 2.2. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, one has

$$T_{\hat{f}, \Theta_D}(r) = N_{f, \mathcal{J}}(r) + m_{f, \mathcal{J}}(r) + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a 1-dimension foliation. Then we can take an open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ such that on each U_α there exists $v_\alpha \in H^0(U_\alpha, T_X|_{U_\alpha})$ which generates \mathcal{F} , and such that the v_α coincide up to multiplication by nowhere vanishing holomorphic functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$:

$$v_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} v_\beta$$

if $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$. The functions $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$ define a cohomology class $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ that corresponds to the cotangent bundle of \mathcal{F} , denoted here by $T_{\mathcal{F}}^*$. Let ω be a hermitian metric on X . Then ω induces a natural singular metric h_s on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$. Indeed, on each U_α the local weight φ_α is given by

$$\varphi_\alpha = -\log |v_\alpha|_\omega^2 = -\log \sum_{i,j} a_\alpha^i \overline{a_\alpha^j} \omega_{i\bar{j}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $v_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$ with respect to the coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) on U_α .

We are going to define a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$ reflecting the behavior of the singularities of \mathcal{F} : on each U_α the generators of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$ are precisely the coefficients (a_α^i) of the vector v_α defining \mathcal{F} , i.e.

$$v_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}.$$

If we fix a smooth metric h on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists a globally defined function φ_s such that

$$h = h_s e^{-\varphi_s}$$

We know that

$$\varphi_s = \log |v_\alpha|_\omega^2 \quad (2.3)$$

modulo a bounded function, and φ_s is the characteristic function associated to the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

All the constructions explained above can be generalized to log pairs. We first begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a smooth Kähler manifold, D a simple normal crossing divisor and \mathcal{F} a foliation by curves defined on X . We say that \mathcal{F} is defined on the log pair (X, D) if each component of D is invariant by \mathcal{F} . For brevity we say that (X, \mathcal{F}, D) a Kähler 1-foliated triple.

The logarithmic tangent bundle $T_X\langle -\log D \rangle$ with respect to the pair (X, D) is the subsheaf of T_X whose local sections are given by

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^k z_j v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i=k+1}^n v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},$$

where $z_1 z_2 \dots z_k = 0$ is the local equation of D .

We can take a hermitian metric $\omega_{X,D}$ on $T_X\langle -\log D \rangle$ defined as follows

$$\omega_{X,D} = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^k \omega_{i\bar{j}} \frac{dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j}{z_i \bar{z}_j} + 2\text{Re}\sqrt{-1} \sum_{i>k \geq j} \omega_{i\bar{j}} \frac{dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j}{\bar{z}_j} + \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j \geq k+1} \omega_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j,$$

where $(\omega_{i\bar{j}})$ is smooth positive definite hermitian matrix. In other words, the local model of $\omega_{X,D}$ is given by

$$\omega_{X,D} \equiv \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i}{|z_i|^2} + \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i \geq k+1} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i.$$

Assume that the foliation \mathcal{F} is defined on (X, D) . Locally we have

$$v_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^k z_j a_\alpha^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

as the generator of \mathcal{F} . Then $\omega_{X,D}$ induces a singular hermitian metric $h_{s,D}$ on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ whose local weight is given by

$$\varphi_{\alpha,D} = -\log |v_\alpha|_{\omega_{X,D}}^2 = -\log \sum_{i,j} a_\alpha^i \overline{a_\alpha^j} \omega_{i\bar{j}}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F},D}$ the coherent sheaf defined by the functions (a_α^j) . In general we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F},D},$$

and the inclusion may be strict. If we find a smooth metric $h = h_{s,D} e^{-\varphi_{s,D}}$ on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, then it is easy to check that $\varphi_{s,D}$ is the characteristic function associated with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F},D}$.

We set $\bar{X}_1 := P(T_X\langle -\log D \rangle)$, then $\omega_{X,D}$ induces a natural smooth metric h_1 on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1)$.

2.2 Basic results about Ahlfors currents

Let X be a compact complex manifold, and let f be an entire curve. Then we can associate a closed positive current of $(n-1, n-1)$ type as follows: for any smooth 2-form η , we let

$$\langle T_{r_k}[f], \eta \rangle := \frac{T_{f,\eta}(r_k)}{T_{f,\omega}(r_k)},$$

where $T_{f,\omega}(r) := \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f^* \omega$. Here $B(t)$ is the ball of radius t in \mathbb{C} and $S(t)$ is its boundary. It is known that one can find a suitable sequence of (r_k) that tends to infinity, such that the weak limit of $T_{r_k}[f]$ is a closed positive current (ref. [Bru99]). It is denoted by $T[f]$ and called the *Ahlfors current of f* . Indeed, to ensure that the weak limit of $T_{r_k}[f]$ is closed, we only need r_k to satisfy the following condition

$$\lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{length}(f(S(r_k)))}{\text{area}(f(B(r_k)))} = 0. \quad (*)$$

Remark 2.1. In the definition of the Ahlfors current, it is not indispensable to assume ω to be a Kähler form. In fact, it suffices to assume that ω is a semi-positive form satisfying

$$\lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f,\omega}(r_k)}{T_{f,\tilde{\omega}}(r_k)} > C > 0$$

with respect to some Kähler form $\tilde{\omega}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let L be a big line bundle on a Kähler manifold X . If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an entire curve on X such that its image is not contained in the augmented base locus $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$ of L (ref. [Laz04]), then $\langle T[f], c_1(L) \rangle > 0$.*

Proof. Since the image of f is not contained in $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$, by the definition of the augmented base locus one can find an effective divisor E whose support does not contain the image of f , such that

$$L \equiv A + E,$$

where A is an \mathbb{Q} ample divisor, and “ \equiv ” means *numerically equivalent*. Then the counting function of f with respect to E is non-negative and one can find a smooth hermitian metric h_E on E such that the proximity function of f with respect to E is also non-negative. Therefore

$$\langle T[f], \Theta_{h_E}(E) \rangle \geq 0.$$

By the ampleness of A , we have

$$\langle T[f], c_1(A) \rangle > 0,$$

and thus

$$\langle T[f], c_1(L) \rangle = \langle T[f], c_1(A) + c_1(E) \rangle > 0.$$

□

Definition 2.2. *An entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is said to be an algebraic curve iff f admits a factorization in the form $f = g \circ R$, where $R : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a rational function and $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ is a rational curve; otherwise f will be called transcendental.*

We have the following criterion for an entire curve to be algebraic (ref. [Dem97]):

Theorem 2.4. *Any entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an algebraic curve if and only if $T_{f,\omega}(r) = \mathcal{O}(\log r)$. In particular, if f is Zariski dense, then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f,\omega}(r)}{\log r} = +\infty.$$

From now on, for brevity we write $T_f(r)$ in place of $T_{f,\omega}(r)$, where ω can be some semi-positive $(1,1)$ -form satisfying the condition in Remark 2.1.

The following logarithmic derivative lemma will be very useful in our arguments.

Theorem 2.5. (*Logarithmic derivative lemma*) *Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} . Then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{f'(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right| d\theta \leq \mathcal{O}(\log T_f(r) + \log r). \quad (2.4)$$

Let (X, V) be a smooth directed variety. It is easy to see that there is a canonical lift of f to $X_1 := P(V)$, defined by $f_1(t) := (f(t), [f'(t)])$ and satisfying $\pi(f_1) = f$, where $\pi : X_1 \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection map. Fix a hermitian metric ω on X . It induces a smooth metric h on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(1)$. Then $0 < \delta \ll 1$, $\omega_1 := \pi^*\omega + \delta\Theta_h(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(1))$ is a hermitian metric on X_1 , and we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that f is a transcendental entire curve on X . Let $\pi : X_1 \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. Then we have*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_1, \pi^*\omega}(r)}{T_{f_1, \omega_1}(r)} \geq 1.$$

In particular, we can define the Ahlfors current $T[f_1]$ with respect to π^ω in such a way that $\pi_*T[f_1] = T[f]$.*

Proof. Since $f'(\tau)$ can be seen as a section of the bundle $f_1^*(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1))$, by the Lelong-Poincaré formula we have

$$dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_\omega^2 = \sum_{|t_j| < r} \mu_j \delta_{t_j} - f_1^* \Theta_{h^*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) \quad (2.5)$$

on $B(r)$, where μ_j is the vanishing order of $f'(t)$ at t_j . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_{h^*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) &= \sum_{|t_j| < r} \mu_j \log \frac{r}{|t_j|} - \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_\omega^2 \\ &= \sum_{|t_j| < r} \mu_j \log \frac{r}{|t_j|} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f'(re^{i\theta})|_\omega^2 d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f'(e^{i\theta})|_\omega^2 d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the Jensen formula (2.1). Let $(\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha \in J}$ of X . We can take a finite family of logarithms of global meromorphic functions $(\log u_{\alpha j})_{\alpha \in J, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ as local coordinates for U_α , and by using the logarithmic derivative lemma (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f'(re^{i\theta})|_\omega^2 d\theta &= \sum_{\alpha \in J} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_\alpha \log^+ |f'(re^{i\theta})|_\omega^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in J} \sum_{j=1}^n C \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \left| \frac{u'_{\alpha j}(re^{i\theta})}{u_{\alpha j}(re^{i\theta})} \right|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\log^+ T_f(r) + \log r), \end{aligned}$$

where C is some constant. Since f is non-algebraic, from Theorem 2.4 we know that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_f(r)}{\log r} = +\infty,$$

thus we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{T_f(r)} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f'(re^{i\theta})|_{\omega}^2 d\theta \geq 0.$$

By (2.6) we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_{h^*}(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{T_f(r)} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f'(re^{i\theta})|_{\omega}^2 d\theta \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

From the definition of ω_1 , we have

$$T_{f_1, \omega_1}(r) = \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \omega_1 = T_{f_1, \pi^* \omega}(r) + \delta \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_h(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(1)).$$

By $T_{f, \omega}(r) = T_{f_1, \pi^* \omega}(r)$ we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f_1, \pi^* \omega}(r)}{T_{f_1, \omega_1}(r)} \geq 1.$$

By Remark 2.1 we can replace ω_1 by $\pi^* \omega$ in the definition of Ahlfors current $T[f_1]$, and the equality $T_{f_1, \pi^* \eta}(r) = T_{f, \eta}(r)$ for any $(1, 1)$ form η then yields

$$\pi_* T[f_1] = T[f].$$

□

Similarly we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a bimeromorphic morphism between Kähler manifolds X and Y , obtained by a sequence of blow-ups with smooth centers. If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an entire curve whose image is not contained in the critical value of p , then we can lift f as $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y$ and define the Ahlfors current $T[\tilde{f}]$ with respect to $p^* \omega$ in such a way that $p_* T[\tilde{f}] = T[f]$.*

Proof. We first fix a Kähler metric on X . Since Y is obtained by a finite sequence of blow-ups, we can find a Kähler metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on Y defined by

$$\tilde{\omega} = p^* \omega - \sum_i \epsilon_i \Theta_{h_i}(E_i),$$

where all E_i are irreducible divisors supported in the exceptional locus of p , h_i is some smooth hermitian metric on E_i , and each ϵ_i is some positive real number. Since the image of f is not contained in the critical value of p , the image of \tilde{f} is not contained in the exceptional locus of p , and *a fortiori* in any of the E_i . We claim that for all E_i we have

$$\langle T[f], \Theta_{h_i}(E_i) \rangle \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Indeed, since the image of \tilde{f} is not contained in E_i , the counting function of f with respect to E_i is non-negative, and if we normalize the hermitian metric h_i , the proximity function is also non-negative. By Nevanlinna's First Main Theorem, we infer (2.8). □

Therefore

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\tilde{f}, p^* \omega}(r)}{T_{\tilde{f}, \tilde{\omega}}(r)} \geq 1,$$

and by Remark 2.1 we can define the Ahlfors current $T[\tilde{f}]$ with respect to $p^* \omega$ in such a way that $p_* T[\tilde{f}] = T[f]$.

Remark 2.2. For any coherent ideal sheaf \mathcal{J} whose zero scheme does not contain the image of $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$, one can take a log resolution $p : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ of \mathcal{J} with $p^* \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-D)$, and by Lemma 2.2 one can find a suitable sequence (r_k) such that

$$\langle T[\hat{f}], \Theta(D) \rangle = \lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\hat{f}, \Theta(D)}(r_k)}{T_{\hat{f}, p^* \omega}(r_k)} = \lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{\hat{f}, \Theta(D)}(r_k)}{T_{f, \omega}(r_k)},$$

where \hat{f} is the lift of f to \hat{X} and $\Theta(D)$ is a curvature form of $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(D)$ with respect to some smooth metric. By Theorem 2.2, we know that $\langle T[\hat{f}], \Theta(D) \rangle$ does not depend on the log resolution of \mathcal{J} . We will denote this intersection number by $T(f, \mathcal{J})$.

2.3 Intersection with the tangent bundle

Theorem 2.6. (*Tautological inequality*) Let $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, V)$ be a transcendental entire curve in X , where (X, V) is a smooth directed variety. We denote by $f_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow P(V)$ the lift of f . Let ω be a hermitian metric on X , and consider the smooth metric induced by h on the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(1)$. Then we have

$$\langle T[f_1], \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1) \rangle = \langle T[f_1], \Theta_{h^*}(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1)) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Proof. By (2.7) above we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T_{f, \omega}(r)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_{h^*}(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1)) \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we can take $\pi^* \omega$ as the semi-positive form used in the definition of the Ahlfors current of $T[f_1]$, where $\pi : P(V) \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection. The equality $T_{f, \omega}(r) = T_{f_1, \pi^* \omega}(r)$ then implies

$$\langle T[f_1], \mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1) \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T_{f_1, \pi^* \omega}(r)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_{h^*}(\mathcal{O}_{P(V)}(-1)) \geq 0.$$

□

Remark 2.3. Recall the following well known formula: if $C \subset X$ is a smooth algebraic curve and $\tilde{C} \subset P(T_X)$ is its lift to $P(T_X)$, then $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{P(T_X)}(-1)) \cdot [\tilde{C}] = \chi(C)$. Thus the above tautological inequality intuitively means that the ‘‘Euler characteristic’’ of the transcendental curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is non-negative.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a Kähler manifold endowed with a 1-dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} , and $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a transcendental entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} such that its image is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Then we have*

$$\langle T[f], c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle + T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \langle T[f_1], \Theta_g(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) \rangle \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where g is the smooth metric on $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)$ induced by ω .

Proof. Let (U_α) be a partition of unit of X , and let us denote $\Omega_\alpha = f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Then we have

$$f'(\tau) = \lambda_\alpha(\tau)v_\alpha|_{f(\tau)} \quad (2.10)$$

for some holomorphic function $\lambda_\alpha(\tau)$ on $\Omega_\alpha \setminus f^{-1}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ (notice that $f^{-1}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \cap \Omega_\alpha$ is a set of isolated points since its image is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$). We denote by η_j the multiplicities of $\lambda_\alpha(\tau)$ at t_j (they may be negative if $f(t_j) \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, however we have $\eta_j + \nu_j \geq 0$), where ν_j is the multiplicity of f along $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e.

$$dd^c \log |v_\alpha|_\omega^2 \circ f(\tau)|_{B(r)} = \sum_{|t_j| < r} \nu_j \log |\tau - t_j|^2 + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Since $v_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}v_\beta$, then if $t_j \in \Omega_\alpha \cap \Omega_\beta$, λ_α and λ_β have the same multiplicity at t_j , and thus η_j does not depend on the partition on unity. By the Lelong-Poincaré formula and (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_\omega^2 &= \sum_{|t_j| < r} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} + dd^c \log |v_\alpha|_\omega^2 \circ f(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{|t_j| < r} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} - f^* \Theta_{h_s}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where h_s is the singular metric on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ whose local weight is $\varphi_\alpha = -\log |v_\alpha|_\omega^2$ (see (2.2) above). If we fix a smooth metric h on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, then there exists a globally defined function φ_s such that

$$h = h_s e^{-\varphi_s},$$

and φ_s is the characteristic function associated to the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$. By (2.11) we have

$$dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_\omega^2 - f^* dd^c \varphi_s = \sum_{|t_j| < r} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} - f^* \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

on $B(r)$. From (2.5) we know that

$$\sum_{|t_j| < r} \mu_j \delta_{t_j} - f_1^* \Theta_g(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) - f^* dd^c \varphi_s = \sum_{|t_j| < r} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} - f^* \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}),$$

where g is the smooth metric on $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)$ induced by ω , and μ_j is the multiplicity of $f'(\tau)$ at t_j . Therefore, as $\mu_j - \eta_j = \nu_j$, we have

$$f^* \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) = - \sum_{|t_j| < r} \nu_j \delta_{t_j} + f_1^* \Theta_g(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) + f^* dd^c \varphi_s$$

on each $B(r)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_r[f], \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle &:= \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f^* \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_g(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) - \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \sum_{|t_j| < r} \nu_j \log \frac{r}{|t_j|} \\
&+ \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_s \circ f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta - \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_s \circ f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{T_f(r)} \int_1^r \frac{dt}{t} \int_{B(t)} f_1^* \Theta_g(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) \\
&- \frac{1}{T_f(r)} N_{f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}}(r) - \frac{1}{T_f(r)} m_{f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}}(r), \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where $N_{f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}}(r)$ and $m_{f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}}(r)$ are the counting and proximity function of f with respect to $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and the second equality above is a consequence of the Jensen formula. Since $T[f]$ is the weak limit of the positive current $T_{r_k}[f]$ for some sequence $r_k \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\langle T[f], \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle = \lim_{r_k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T_{r_k}[f], \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle.$$

From Theorem 2.2, Remark 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 we conclude that

$$\langle T[f], \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle + T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \langle T[f_1], \Theta_g(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1)) \rangle \geq 0.$$

□

In fact, $K_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the canonical sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} defined in [Dem14], by using *admissible metric*. We recall the following definition in [Dem14].

Definition 2.3. *We say that the canonical sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is “big” if there exists some birational morphism $\nu_{\alpha} : (X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F})$ of (X, \mathcal{F}) such that the invertible sheaf $\mu_{\alpha}^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}$ is big in the usual sense for some log resolution $\mu_{\alpha} : Y_{\alpha} \rightarrow X_{\alpha}$ of \mathcal{F}_{α} . The base locus $Bs(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is defined to be*

$$Bs(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcap_{\alpha} \nu_{\alpha} \circ \mu_{\alpha} \mathbf{B}_+(\mu_{\alpha}^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}),$$

where $(X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{F}_{\alpha})$ varies among all the birational models with $\mu_{\alpha}^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}$ big.

The above Theorem 2.7 then gives another proof of the following *Generalized Green-Griffiths conjecture* for rank 1 foliations formulated in [Dem12]. Moreover we can specify more precisely the subvariety containing the images of all transcendental curves tangent to the foliation. The theorem is as follows:

Corollary 2.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a projective 1-foliated manifold and assume that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is big. If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is a transcendental entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} , its image must be contained in $Sing(\mathcal{F}) \cup Bs(\mathcal{F})$. In particular, any entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} must be algebraically degenerate.*

Proof. Assume that the image of f is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cup \text{Bs}(\mathcal{F})$. We proceed by contradiction. By Definition 2.3, there exists a birational morphism $\nu_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F})$ such that the invertible sheaf $\mu_\alpha^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$ is big in the usual sense, for some log resolution $\mu_\alpha : Y_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}$, and such that the image of f_α is not contained in $\mathbf{B}_+(\mu_\alpha^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha})$, where f_α is the lift of f to Y_α . We denote by \tilde{f}_α the lift of f to X_α . By Theorem 2.3 we have

$$\langle T[f_\alpha], c_1(\mu_\alpha^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}) \rangle > 0.$$

By Remark 2.2 and the fact that $\nu_{\alpha*} T[f_\alpha] = T[\tilde{f}_\alpha]$, we get

$$\langle T[\tilde{f}_\alpha], K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \rangle - T(\tilde{f}_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}) = \langle T[f_\alpha], \mu_\alpha^* \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \rangle > 0.$$

However, since f is transcendental, by Theorem 2.7 we infer

$$\langle T[\tilde{f}_\alpha], T_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \rangle + T(\tilde{f}_\alpha, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}) \geq 0,$$

and the contradiction is obtained by observing that $c_1(K_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}) = -c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha})$. \square

Remark 2.4. In [Den15] we have generalized the above theorem to any singular directed variety (X, V) (without assuming V to be involutive), by applying the Ahlfors-Schwarz Lemma. In the proof, the canonical sheaf plays a crucial role (and it arises in a natural way).

By a result due to Takayama (ref. [Tak08]) we know that on a projective manifold X of general type, every irreducible component of $\mathbf{B}_+(K_X)$ is uniruled. It is natural to ask the following analogous question:

Problem 2.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a projective 1-foliated pair with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}$ big. Is every irreducible component of $\text{Bs}(\mathcal{F})$ uniruled?*

From (2.9), we see that the positivity of $T[f] \cdot T_{\mathcal{F}}$ is controlled by the error term $T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}})$. This gives us the advantage that we only need to compute the coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$ instead of knowing the exact form of \mathcal{F} at the singularities.

Remark 2.5. If X is a complex surface endowed with a foliation \mathcal{F} , and C is an algebraic curve, then by Proposition 2.3 in [Bru04] we have the formula:

$$C \cdot T_{\mathcal{F}} + Z(\mathcal{F}, C) = \chi(C), \tag{2.13}$$

where $Z(\mathcal{F}, C)$ is the multiplicity of the singularities of \mathcal{F} along the curve C . By Remark 2.3, we know that $\langle T[f_1], \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1) \rangle$ can be seen as the ‘‘Euler characteristic’’ of f . Thus (2.9) is a transcendental version of the above formula, and we have the following metamathematical correspondences between any algebraic leaf C and any transcendental leaf $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathcal{F}, C) &\sim T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}), \\ C \cdot T_{\mathcal{F}} &\sim \langle T[f], c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle, \\ \chi(C) &\sim \langle T[f_1], \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We also need the following logarithmic version of Theorem 2.7:

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, D) be a Kähler 1-foliated triple, and let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a transcendental entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} such that its image is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cup |D|$, where $|D|$ is the support of D . Then we have*

$$\langle T[f], c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle + T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}, D}) = \langle T[\bar{f}_1], \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1) \rangle \geq -\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{f, D}^{(1)}(r)}{T_f(r)} =: -N^{(1)}(f, D),$$

where \bar{f}_1 is the lift of f on $\bar{X}_1 := P(T_X \langle -\log D \rangle)$, and $N_{f, D}^{(1)}(r)$ is the truncated counting function of f with respect to D defined by

$$N_{f, D}^{(1)}(r) := \sum_{|t_j| < r, f(t_j) \in D} \log \frac{r}{|t_j|}.$$

Proof. Since the image of f is not contained in $|D|$, the condition that $f(t_j) \in D$ implies $f(t_j) \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

We use the notation and concepts introduced in Section 2.1. Let (U_α) be a partition of unity on X . On each U_α we have

$$v_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^k z_j a_\alpha^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

as the generator of \mathcal{F} , where $z_1 \cdots z_k = 0$ is the local equation of D in U_α . The hermitian metric $\omega_{X, D}$ induces a singular metric $h_{s, D}$ on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ with local weight

$$\varphi_{\alpha, D} = -\log |v_\alpha|_{\omega_{X, D}}^2 = -\log \sum_{i, j} a_\alpha^i \overline{a_\alpha^j} \omega_{i, \bar{j}}.$$

If $h = h_s e^{-\varphi_{s, D}}$ is a smooth metric on $T_{\mathcal{F}}$, then $\varphi_{s, D}$ is the characteristic function associated with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}, D}$.

Since the image of f is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cup |D|$, on U_α we have

$$\bar{f}'(\tau) := \left(\frac{f'_1}{f_1}, \dots, \frac{f'_k}{f_k}, f'_{k+1}, \dots, f'_n \right) = \lambda_\alpha(\tau) (a_\alpha^1(f), \dots, a_\alpha^n(f)), \quad (2.14)$$

where $\lambda_\alpha(\tau)$ is the meromorphic functions with poles contained in $f^{-1}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cup |D|)$. By (2.14) we know that $f(t_j) \in D$ implies $f(t_j) \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Indeed, if $f(t_j) \in D$, then λ_α has a pole of order at least 1 at t_j , and such a pole can only occur at a point of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

Observe that $\bar{f}'(\tau)$ can be seen as a meromorphic section of $\bar{f}_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1)$, where $\bar{f}_1(\tau)$ is the lift of f to \bar{X}_1 , and denote $\Omega_\alpha = f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Then on $\Omega_\alpha \cap B(r)$ we have

$$dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_{\omega_{X, D}}^2 = \sum_{|t_j| < r, t_j \in \Omega_\alpha} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} + f^* dd^c \log |v_\alpha|_{\omega_{X, D}}^2,$$

where η_j is the vanishing order of $\lambda_\alpha(\tau)$ on t_j . Since $v_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} v_\beta$, we see that η_j does not depend on the partition of unity, and thus on $B(r)$ we have

$$dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_{\omega_{X, D}}^2 = \sum_{|t_j| < r} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} - f^* \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) + f^* dd^c \varphi_{s, D}. \quad (2.15)$$

On the other hand, since $\omega_{X,D}$ induces a natural smooth metric on $T_X\langle -\log D\rangle$, it also induces a smooth hermitian metric \bar{h}_1 on $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1)$, thus

$$dd^c \log |f'(\tau)|_{\omega_{X,D}}^2 = dd^c \log |\bar{f}'(\tau)|_{\bar{h}_1}^2 = \sum_{0 < |t_j| < r} \mu_j \delta_{t_j} - \bar{f}_1^* \Theta_{\bar{h}_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1)) \quad (2.16)$$

on $B(r)$, where μ_j is the vanishing order of $\bar{f}'(t)$. By (2.14) we know that $\mu_j = -1$ if and only if $f(t_j) \in |D|$, and otherwise $\mu_j \geq 0$. Then by using the logarithmic derivative lemma again as in Lemma 2.1, we find

$$\langle T[\bar{f}_1], \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1) \rangle \geq -\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{f,D}^{(1)}(r)}{T_f(r)}. \quad (2.17)$$

We can combine (2.15) and (2.16) together to obtain

$$f^* \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) = - \sum_{0 < |t_j| < r} \mu_j \delta_{t_j} + \sum_{|t_j| < r} \eta_j \delta_{t_j} + \bar{f}_1^* \Theta_{\bar{h}_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1)) + f^* dd^c \varphi_{s,D}$$

on $B(r)$. By arguments very similar to those in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we get

$$\langle T[f], \Theta_h(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \rangle = \langle T[\bar{f}_1], \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_1}(-1) \rangle - T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F},D}),$$

and the theorem follows from (2.17). \square

2.4 Siu's refined tautological inequality

In [Siu02] Y-T. Siu proved McQuillan's "refined tautological inequality" by applying the traditional function-theoretical formulation. We will give here an improvement of this result. First we begin with the following lemma due to Siu.

Lemma 2.3. *Let U be an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbf{C}^n and $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ be the blow-up at 0. Then $\pi^*(\mathcal{O}_U(\Omega_U^1)) \subset \mathcal{I}_E \otimes (\Omega_{\tilde{U}}^1\langle -\log E \rangle)$, where \mathcal{I}_E is the ideal sheaf of the exceptional divisor E .*

Theorem 2.9. *Let H be an ample line bundle on a projective manifold X of dimension n . Let Z be a finite subset of X and $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be an entire curve. Let $\sigma \in H^0(X, S^l \Omega_X \otimes (klH))$ be such that $f^* \sigma$ is not identically zero on \mathbf{C} . Let W be the zero divisor of σ in $X_1 := P(T_X)$, and $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of Z with $E := \pi^{-1}(Z)$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{l} N_{f_1, W}(r) + T_{\hat{f}, \Theta_E}(r) - N_{f, m_Z}^{(1)}(r) \leq k T_{f, \Theta_H}(r) + \mathcal{O}(\log T_{f, \Theta_H}(r) + \log r), \quad (2.18)$$

where $N_{f, m_Z}^{(1)}(r)$ is the truncated counting function with respect to the ideal m_Z , and Θ_H (resp. Θ_E) is the curvature of H (resp. Θ_H) with respect to some smooth metric h_H (resp. h_E).

Remark 2.6. In [Siu02] and [McQ98], a slightly weaker inequality is obtained comparatively to (2.18), with $m_{f, m_Z}(r)$ in place of $T_{\hat{f}, \Theta_E}(r) - N_{f, m_Z}^{(1)}(r)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let $\tau = \pi^*\sigma$. By Lemma 2.3, τ is a holomorphic section of $S^l(\Omega_Y(\log E)) \otimes \pi^*(klH)$ over Y and τ vanishes to order at least l on E . Let s_E be the canonical section of E . If we divide τ by $s_E^{\otimes l}$, then $\tilde{\tau} := \frac{\tau}{s_E^{\otimes l}}$ is a holomorphic section of $S^l(\Omega_Y(\log E)) \otimes \pi^*(klH) \otimes (-lE)$ over Y . We now prove that

$$\|\tau(\tilde{f}'(t))\|_{\pi^*h_H^{\otimes kl}} = \|\sigma(f'(t))\|_{h_H^{\otimes kl}}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $\tilde{f}'(t)$ is the derivative of \hat{f} in $T_{\hat{X}}\langle -\log E \rangle$ (see Definition 2.14). To make things simple we assume $l = 1$. Let p be a point in Z and let U be a small open set containing p such that locally we have

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i dz_i \otimes e^{\otimes k},$$

where e is the local section of H and p is the origin. The blow-up at p is the complex submanifold of $U \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by $w_j z_k = w_k z_j$ for $1 \leq j \neq k \leq n$, where $[w_1 : \dots : w_n]$ are the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^n . In the affine coordinate chart $w_1 \neq 0$ we have the relation

$$(z_1, z_1 w_2, \dots, z_1 w_n) = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n),$$

thus

$$\tau = z_1 \left((a_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i w_i) d \log z_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i dw_i \right) \otimes (\pi^* e)^{\otimes k},$$

and $\hat{f}(t) = (f_1, \frac{f_2}{f_1}, \dots, \frac{f_n}{f_1})$ in the local coordinate (z_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) . Thus

$$\tilde{f}'(t) := \left(\frac{f_1'}{f_1}, \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1}\right)', \dots, \left(\frac{f_n}{f_1}\right)' \right)$$

with respect to the local section $(z_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n})$ of $T_{\hat{X}}\langle -\log E \rangle$. It is easy to check the equality (2.19).

By the logarithmic derivative lemma again we know that $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \|\tau(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^*h_H^{\otimes kl}}$ and $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \|\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^*h_H^{\otimes kl} \otimes h_E^{*\otimes l}}$ are both of the order $\mathcal{O}(\log T_{f, \Theta_H}(r) + \log r)$. Using $\log x =$

$\log^+ x - \log^+ \frac{1}{x}$ for any $x > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2lm_{\hat{f},E}(r) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{\|s_E^{\otimes l} \circ \hat{f}(re^{i\theta})\|_{h_E}^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{\|\tau(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^* h_H^{\otimes kl}}^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \|\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^* h_H^{\otimes kl} \otimes h_E^{*\otimes l}}^2 + \mathcal{O}(1) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tau(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^* h_H^{\otimes kl}}^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \|\tau(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^* h_H^{\otimes kl}}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \|\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^* h_H^{\otimes kl} \otimes h_E^{*\otimes l}}^2 + \mathcal{O}(1) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tau(\tilde{f}'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{\pi^* h_H^{\otimes kl}}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\log T_{f,\Theta_H}(r) + \log r) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\sigma(f'(re^{i\theta}))\|_{h_H^{\otimes kl}}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\log T_{f,\Theta_H}(r) + \log r), \tag{2.20}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to equality (2.19). Observe that there is a natural isomorphism between $H^0(X, S^l(\Omega_X) \otimes (klH))$ and $H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(l) \otimes p^*(klH))$, where $X_1 := P(T_X)$ and p is its projection to X . We denote by P_σ the corresponding section of σ in $H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(l) \otimes p^*(klH))$, whose zero divisor is W . Then we have

$$\|P_\sigma(f_1(t)) \cdot (f'(t))^l\|_{p^* h_H^{\otimes kl}} = \|\sigma(f'(t))\|_{h_H^{\otimes kl}},$$

and thus on $B(r)$ we have

$$N(\sigma(f'(t)), r) = N_{f_1, W}(r) + l \sum_{|t_j| < r} \mu_j \frac{r}{|t_j|},$$

where $N(\sigma(f'(t)), r)$ is the counting function of $\sigma(f'(t))$ and μ_j is the vanishing order of $f'(t)$ at t_j . Therefore, by applying the Jensen formula to the last term in (2.20) we obtain

$$2lm_{\hat{f},E}(r) + 2N(\sigma(f'(t)), r) \leq 2klT_{f,\Theta_H}(r) + \mathcal{O}(\log T_{f,\Theta_H}(r) + \log r). \tag{2.21}$$

Since we have

$$N_{f,m_Z}^{(1)}(r) + \sum_{|t_j| < r, f(t_j) \in Z} \mu_j \frac{r}{|t_j|} = N_{f,m_Z}(r) = N_{\hat{f},E}(r),$$

then by applying Nevanlinna's First Main Theorem to (\hat{f}, E) we get

$$T_{\hat{f},\Theta_E}(r) = N_{\hat{f},E}(r) + m_{\hat{f},E}(r) + \mathcal{O}(1),$$

and we can combine this with (2.21) to obtain

$$T_{\hat{f},\Theta_E}(r) - N_{f,m_Z}^{(1)}(r) + \frac{1}{l} N_{f_1, W}(r) \leq kT_{f,\Theta_H}(r) + \mathcal{O}(\log T_{f,\Theta_H}(r) + \log r).$$

□

Now we have the following refined tautological equality:

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be a Kähler manifold of dimension n and $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ be a transcendental entire curve. Then for any finite set Z we have*

$$T[f_1] \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-1) \geq T(f, m_Z) - N_{f, m_Z}^{(1)} \geq m(f, m_Z) := \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{f, m_Z}(r)}{T_{f, \Theta_H}(r)}.$$

Proof. First we choose k large enough, in such a way that $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(1) \otimes p^*(kH)$ is ample over X_1 . When we choose l sufficient large, $\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(l) \otimes p^*(lkH)$ will be very ample over X_1 . Hence there exists a section $\sigma \in H^0(X_1, \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(l) \otimes p^*(lkH))$ whose defect is zero, i.e.

$$N(f_1, W) := \lim_{r_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{f_1, W}(r_k)}{T_{f, \Theta_H}(r_k)} = \langle T[f_1], \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(l) \otimes p^*(lkH) \rangle = \langle T[f_1], \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(l) \rangle + kl,$$

where W is the zero divisor of σ , and where the last equality comes from $\langle T[f], \Theta_H \rangle = 1$. Since f is transcendental, by Theorem 2.4 we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_{f, \Theta_H}(r)}{\log r} = +\infty.$$

We can thus divide both sides in (2.18) by $T_{f, \Theta_H}(r)$ and take $r \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{l} N(f_1, W) + T(f, m_Z) - N_{f, m_Z}^{(1)} \leq k,$$

and we obtain the formula in the theorem. □

2.5 Intersection with the normal bundle

As an application for Theorem 2.7, we will study the intersection of $T[f]$ with the normal bundle; i.e. with $c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}})$. Before anything else, we begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.4. *Let X be a Kähler manifold endowed with a foliation \mathcal{F} by curves. We say that \mathcal{F} has weakly reduced singularities if*

1. *For some log resolution $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ of \mathcal{F} , we have $T_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} = \pi^* T_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the induced foliation of $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$;*
2. *the L^2 multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is equal to \mathcal{O}_X , i.e., at each $p \in X$, assume that v is the local generator of \mathcal{F} around p , then for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$, we have*

$$\frac{|f|^2}{|v|_{\omega}^2} \in L_{loc}^1,$$

where ω is any smooth hermitian metric on X .

With the previous definition, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11. *Let X be a projective manifold of dimension n endowed with a foliation \mathcal{F} by curves with weakly reduced singularities. If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is a transcendental entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} , whose image is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and satisfies $\langle T[f], K_X \rangle > 0$ (e.g. K_X is big), then we have*

$$\langle T[\hat{f}], c_1(N_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}) \rangle < 0$$

for some birational modification $(\hat{X}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$ of (X, \mathcal{F}) .

Proof. From the standard short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow N_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow 0$$

that holds outside of a codimension 2 subvariety, we find

$$c_1(K_X) + c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) = -c_1(N_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

By the definition of multiplier ideal sheaves (ref. [Laz04]) we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \pi_*(K_{\hat{X}/X} - D),$$

where $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is a log resolution of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\pi^* \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-D)$. We know that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathcal{O}_X$ if and only if $K_{\hat{X}/X} - D$ is effective. By the assumption that the image of f is not contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, i.e. in the zero scheme of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$, we know that the image of \hat{f} is not contained in the support of the exceptional divisor, and thus

$$\langle T[\hat{f}], K_{\hat{X}/X} - D \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T[\hat{f}], K_{\hat{X}} + T_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} \rangle &= \langle T[\hat{f}], \pi^* K_X + \pi^* T_{\mathcal{F}} + K_{\hat{X}/X} \rangle \\ &\geq \langle T[\hat{f}], \pi^* K_X + \pi^* T_{\mathcal{F}} + D \rangle \\ &= \langle T[f], K_X + T_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle + T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\pi_* T[\hat{f}] = T[f]$ and $T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \langle T[\hat{f}], D \rangle$ (see Remark 2.2). By Theorem 2.7 we have

$$\langle T[f], T_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle + T(f, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq 0,$$

thus

$$-\langle T[\hat{f}], c_1(N_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}) \rangle = \langle T[\hat{f}], K_{\hat{X}} + T_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} \rangle \geq \langle T[f], K_X \rangle > 0.$$

The theorem is proved. \square

By the reduction theorem of singularities for surface foliations due to Seidenberg, for any pair (X_0, \mathcal{F}_0) there exists a finite sequence of blow-ups such that the induced pair (X, \mathcal{F}) has singularities of one of the following two types:

- a non-degenerate singular point x_0 , in the sense that

$$\log \frac{|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

- a degenerate singular point x_1 “of type k ”, such that

$$\log \frac{|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2}{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2k}} = \mathcal{O}(1),$$

where $k \geq 2$ (we also call this a “type k ” singularity).

Moreover

- Any singular point of \mathcal{F} is either non-degenerate or degenerate of type k .
- For any blow-up $\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ of a point on X , we have $\pi^*T_{\mathcal{F}} = T_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}$ for the induced foliation $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$.
- For any blow-up of the non-degenerate point x_0 , $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ has two singularities on the exceptional divisor and both of them are non-degenerate.
- For the blow-up of a degenerate point x_1 of type k , on the exceptional divisor $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ has a non-degenerate singular point and a degenerate one with the same type as x_1 .

By the property above it is easy to show that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{O}_X$ if \mathcal{F} is reduced, thus \mathcal{F} is weakly reduced in the sense of Definition 2.4. Then we can get another proof of McQuillan’s theorem without using his “Diophantine approximation analysis” (still, we will make use of “Diophantine approximation” in the next section):

Theorem 2.12. *Let X be a complex surface endowed with a foliation \mathcal{F} . If $\langle T[f], K_X \rangle > 0$ (e.g. if K_X is big), then any entire curve tangent to \mathcal{F} is algebraically degenerate.*

Proof. Assume that we have a Zariski dense entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ tangent to \mathcal{F} . We proceed by contradiction.

By Seidenberg’s theorem there is a sequence of blow-ups $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ are reduced, and the lift \tilde{f} of f to \tilde{X} is still Zariski dense. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is weakly reduced and by Theorem 2.11 we have

$$\langle T[\tilde{f}], c_1(N_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}) \rangle < 0$$

for some birational pair $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ which is obtained by resolving the ideal $\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$. However, Theorem 1.5 tells us that

$$\langle T[\tilde{f}], c_1(N_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}) \rangle \geq 0$$

and we get a contradiction. □

2.6 A generalization of McQuillan's theorem

Thanks to the above theorems, we can obtain certain generalizations of McQuillan's theorem to higher dimensional manifolds, under some assumptions for the foliations. We start with some relevant definitions and properties (and refer to [CCS97] and [Tom97] for further details).

Definition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation by curves on a n -dimensional complex manifold X . We say that $p_0 \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is an absolutely isolated singularity (A.I.S.) of \mathcal{F} if and only if the following properties are satisfied:*

1. p_0 is an isolated singularity,
2. If we consider an arbitrary sequence of blowing-up's

$$(X, \mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\pi_1} (X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \xleftarrow{\pi_2} \cdots \xleftarrow{\pi_n} (X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

where the center of each blow-up π_i is a singular point $p_{i-1} \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$, then $\#\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}_n) < +\infty$.

Since locally the foliation \mathcal{F} is generated by a holomorphic vector field $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$, we can define the *algebraic multiplicity* $m_p(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} at p to be the minimum of the vanishing orders $\text{ord}_p(a_i)$. Recall that the linear part of \mathcal{F} at p is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_v : m_p/m_p^2 \rightarrow m_p/m_p^2.$$

A singular point $p \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{F}$ is called *reduced* if $m_p(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ and the linear part of \mathcal{F} at p has at least one non-zero eigenvalue.

We shall say that $p \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{F}$ is a *non-dicritical singularity* of \mathcal{F} if $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is invariant by \mathcal{F} , where π is the blow-up of p . Otherwise p is called a *dicritical singularity*.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, D) be a 1-foliated triple. We assume that all singularities of \mathcal{F} lie on D (this can be achieved after we take a log resolution of $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}}$). Fix a point $p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ and denote by $e = e(D, p)$ the number of irreducible components of D through p . Since $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset D$, we have $e \geq 1$. Then the vector fields which generate \mathcal{F} are given by

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^e z_j a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i=e+1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},$$

where $z_1 z_2 \dots z_e = 0$ is the local equation of D at p .

Definition 2.6. *Assume that $e = 1$. Then p is a simple point iff one of the following two possibilities occurs:*

- (A) $a_1(0) = 0$, the curve $(z_2 = \dots = z_n = 0)$ is invariant by \mathcal{F} (up to an adequate formal choice of coordinates) and the linear part $\mathcal{L}_{v|_D}$ of $v|_D$ is of rank $n - 1$.
- (B) $a_1(0) = \lambda \neq 0$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue λ is one and if μ is another eigenvalue of the linear part of \mathcal{L}_v , then $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$.

Assume that $e \geq 2$. Then p is a simple corner iff (up to a reordering of (z_1, \dots, z_n)), we have $a_1(0) = \lambda \neq 0, a_2(0) = \mu$ and $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$.

We say that p is a simple singularity iff it is a simple point or a simple corner.

The simple singularities are “stable” under blowing-up:

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that p is a simple singularity of the 1-foliated triple (X, \mathcal{F}, D) . Let $\mu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X with the center p , $\tilde{D} := \mu^{-1}(D \cup \{p\})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ be the induced foliation. Then:*

- (a) *Each irreducible component of \tilde{D} is invariant by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.*
- (b) *If $p' \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s \cap \mu^{-1}(p)$, then p' is also a simple singularity of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with respect to the induced 1-foliated triple $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{D})$. More precisely:*
 - (b-1) *if p is a simple point, there is exactly one simple point $p' \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mu^{-1}(p)$. The other points in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mu^{-1}(p)$ are simple corners. Moreover, p and p' have the same type (A) or (B) of Definition 2.6.*
 - (b-2) *If p is a simple corner, then all points in $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mu^{-1}(p)$ are simple corners.*

In [Tom97] and [CCS97], the following resolution theorem for absolutely isolated singularities has been proved.

Theorem 2.13. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation by curves on the n -dimensional complex manifold X , such that the singularities $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ of the foliation \mathcal{F} is a set of absolutely isolated singularities. Then there exists a finite sequence of blow-up's*

$$(X, \mathcal{F}) \xleftarrow{\pi_1} (X_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \xleftarrow{\pi_2} \dots \xleftarrow{\pi_n} (X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$$

satisfying the following property:

1. *the center of each blow-up π_i is a singular point $p_{i-1} \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.*
2. *$(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n, D_n)$ is a 1-foliated triple with only simple singularities (which are, of course absolutely isolated singularities).*
3. *All the singularities of \mathcal{F}_n are non-dicritical.*

In [Tom97], the author also gave a classification of the linear part $\mathcal{L}_v : m_p/m_p^2 \rightarrow m_p/m_p^2$ of reduced, non-dicritical A.I.S.

Proposition 2.2. *Let p be a reduced, non-dicritical A.I.S of the foliation \mathcal{F} , then its linear part can be written*

$$\mathcal{L}_v = \text{diag}[M(\lambda_1), \dots, M(\lambda_s)]$$

where $M(\lambda_k) = \lambda_k I_{r_k} + R_{r_k}(1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$. (Here I_{r_k} is the identity matrix of $\mathbb{C}^{r_k \times r_k}$ and $R_{r_k}(1) \in \mathbb{C}^{r_k \times r_k}$ is the upper triangle matrix of order 1).

Moreover, if we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the induced foliation of \mathcal{F} after the blow-up at p , then we have

$$\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \cap \mu^{-1}\{p\} = \{\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_s\}$$

where \tilde{p}_l is the zero at the charts $\tilde{z}_j = z_j, \tilde{z}_i = z_i/z_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = r_1 + \dots + r_{l-1} + 1$.

Definition 2.7. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation by curves defined on some open domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. A separatrix of the singular holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} at the point $p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is a local leaf $L \subset (U, p) \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ whose closure $L \cup p$ is a germ of analytic curve.

We can summarize Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 to state a proposition in the following final form:

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, D) be a 1-foliated triple. Let p be an absolutely isolated and simple singularity of \mathcal{F} and let $w_1 w_2 \dots w_e = 0$ be the local equation of D at p . Then we can find a new coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) such that the linear part \mathcal{L}_v of a generator of \mathcal{F} at p can be written in the following Jordan form:

$$\mathcal{L}_v = \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{p=s+r_1+\dots+r_{j-1}+1}^{s+r_1+\dots+r_j-1} (\lambda_{s+j} z_p + z_{p+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_p} + \lambda_{s+j} z_{s+r_1+\dots+r_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{s+r_1+\dots+r_j}}$$

where $r_j \geq 0$ are the sizes of the Jordan blocks, $z_1 z_2 \dots z_e = 0$ (of course, $e \leq s$) is the local equation of D at p . $\lambda_j \neq 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, s+k$; $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \notin \mathbb{Q}_+$ for $i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, s+k, j \neq 1$. Here, the local generator of \mathcal{F} is

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^e z_j a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \sum_{i=e+1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i},$$

and $a_j(0) = \lambda_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, e$. If we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the foliation induced by \mathcal{F} after taking the blow-up at p , then we have

$$\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \cap E = \{\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_{s+k}\}$$

where \tilde{p}_l is the zero at the charts $\tilde{z}_j = z_j, \tilde{z}_i = z_i/z_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = l$ if $l \leq s$ or $j = s + r_1 + \dots + r_{l-1} + 1$ if $l = s + m$; E is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up. Moreover, at each \tilde{p}_i the linear part $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{v}}$ of a generator of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is similar to that \mathcal{L}_v , since simple singularities are stable under blowing-up.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.3 we get the following theorem:

Theorem 2.14. With the above notation, let p be an absolutely isolated and simple singularity of \mathcal{F} and let $z_1 z_2 \dots z_e = 0$ be the local equation of D at p . Then

- (a) if p is a simple corner (i.e. $e \geq 2$), each separatrix of \mathcal{F} at p must be contained in D .
- (b) Let $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{D})$ be the induced 1-foliated triple by the blow-up at p with the exceptional divisor E , and C be a separatrix at p which is not contained in D . If p is a simple point (i.e. $e = 1$), then the lift \tilde{C} of each separatrix C to \tilde{X} intersects with E at \tilde{p}_1 , which is still a simple point with respect to $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{D})$.

Proof. Assume that we have a separatrix C of \mathcal{F} at p which is not contained in D . We take a local parametrization $f : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (C, p)$ for this separatrix, then in the local coordinate system (z_1, \dots, z_n) introduced in Proposition 2.3, we have

$$(f'_1(t), \dots, f'_n(t)) = \eta(t) \cdot (f_1(t)a_1(f), \dots, f_e(t)a_e(f), a_{e+1}(f), \dots, a_n(f))$$

for some meromorphic function $\eta(t)$ whose poles only appear at 0. By the assumption that C is not contained in D , $f_i(t)$ is not identically equal to zero for $i = 1, \dots, e$. We denote by ν_i the vanishing order of $f_i(t)$ at 0 for $i = 1, \dots, n$, which are all positive integers.

If p is a simple corner, then $e \geq 2$ and $\lambda_2 = a_2(0) \neq 0$, and we have

$$\eta(t)a_2(t) = \frac{f_2'(t)}{f_2(t)}.$$

This implies that the order of pole of $\eta(t)$ at 0 must be 1. If we denote by $\eta(t) = \frac{b(t)}{t}$ with $b(t)$ some germ of holomorphic function satisfying $b(0) \neq 0$, then

$$b(0) \cdot \lambda_2 = \nu_2.$$

Similarly we have

$$b(0) \cdot \lambda_1 = \nu_1 > 0,$$

thus $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2} \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, which is a contradiction. Therefore any separatrix at the simple corner must be contained in D , and p only can be a simple point (i.e. $e = 1$).

Suppose now that p is a simple point. Since $\tilde{C} \cap E \in \text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \cap E = \{\tilde{p}_1, \dots, \tilde{p}_{s+k}\}$, we can assume that $\tilde{C} \cap E = \{\tilde{p}_l\}$ for some $l \geq 2$. Since \tilde{p}_l is the zero at the charts $\tilde{z}_j = z_j, \tilde{z}_i = z_i/z_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = l$ if $l \leq s$ or $j = s + r_1 + \dots + r_{m-1} + 1$ if $l = s + m$, the lift $\tilde{f}(t)$ of $f(t)$ to \tilde{X} is

$$\left(\tilde{f}_1(t), \dots, \tilde{f}_n(t) \right) = \left(\frac{f_1(t)}{f_{c_l}(t)}, \dots, \frac{f_{c_l-1}(t)}{f_{c_l}(t)}, f_{c_l}(t), \frac{f_{c_l+1}(t)}{f_{c_l}(t)}, \dots, \frac{f_n(t)}{f_{c_l}(t)} \right),$$

where

$$c_l = \begin{cases} l & \text{if } l \leq s, \\ j = s + r_1 + \dots + r_{m-1} + 1 & \text{if } l = s + m, \end{cases}$$

and it satisfies

$$\tilde{f}(0) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\nu_i > \nu_{c_l}$$

for $i \neq c_l$. Therefore from the linear part of a_{c_l} we have

$$a_{c_l}(f(t)) = \lambda_{c_l} f_{c_l}(t) + \text{higher order term},$$

where the higher order term is with respect to t . Thus we can denote by $\eta(t) = \frac{b(t)}{t}$ with $b(0) \neq 0$, and

$$b(0) \cdot \lambda_{c_l} = \nu_{c_l},$$

which implies

$$b(0) \cdot \lambda_1 = \nu_1 > 0,$$

thus $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_{c_l}} = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_{c_l}} \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. This is a contradiction, and claim (b) of the theorem is proved. \square

Thanks to the previous theorem, we can prove the following result, which can be seen as a generalization of McQuillan's "Diaphantine approximation".

Theorem 2.15. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, D) be a 1-foliated triple with absolutely isolated singularities, H an ample divisor over X , and p_0 a simple point of \mathcal{F} . We take a sequence of blow-up's*

$$(X, \mathcal{F}, D) \xleftarrow{\pi_1} (X_1, \mathcal{F}_1, D_1) \xleftarrow{\pi_2} \dots \xleftarrow{\pi_k} (X_k, \mathcal{F}_k, D_k) \xleftarrow{\pi_{k+1}} \dots$$

such that the center of each blow-up π_i is the simple point $p_{i-1} \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \cap E_{i-1}$, where E_{i-1} is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up π_{i-1} (from Proposition 2.1 we know that p_{i-1} exists and is unique). Then there exists a positive integer $\alpha > 0$ such that $\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil \alpha \mu_k^ H - kE_k$ is effective in X_k for any $k > 0$, where $\mu_k := \pi_k \circ \dots \circ \pi_1$.*

Proof. With the above notation, we can assume that p_1 is the origin of the charts $z_1^{(1)} = z_1, z_j^{(1)} z_1^{(1)} = z_j$ for $j \neq 1$, where $z_1 = 0$ is the local equation of D at p_0 . Inductively p_k is the origin of the charts $z_1^{(k)} = z_1^{(k-1)}, z_j^{(k)} z_1^{(k)} = z_j^{(k-1)}$ for $j \neq 1$, and $z_1^{(k)} = 0$ is the local equation of E_k . Thus we have

$$\mu_k(z_1^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)}) = (z_1^{(k)}, z_1^{(k)k} z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_1^{(k)k} z_n^{(k)}),$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(-kE_k) \subset \mu_k^* m_{(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})},$$

where $m_{(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is the ideal generated by $(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})$ at p . From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil \alpha H) \cdot m_{(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil \alpha H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,p}/m_{(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the Riemann-Roch theorem we can choose a fixed positive integer $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil \alpha H) \cdot m_{(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})}) \neq 0$$

for any $k > 0$. If we choose a divisor $R_k \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil \alpha H) \cdot m_{(z_1^{(k)}, z_2^{(k)}, \dots, z_n^{(k)})})$, then $\mu_k^* R_k - kE_k$ is effective. \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation by curves on a n -dimensional complex manifold X , such that the singularities $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ of the foliation \mathcal{F} is a set of absolutely isolated singularities. If $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ is an Zariski dense entire curve which is tangent to \mathcal{F} , then we can blow-up X finitely many times to get a new birational model $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ such that*

$$T[\tilde{f}] \cdot T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = 0.$$

Proof. First we assume that all singularities of \mathcal{F} are simple and non-dicritical, and that the linear forms of the generator of \mathcal{F} are like those described in Proposition 2.3. Then, by Definition 2.6, we know that the coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}, D}$ is not trivial at p if and only if p is a simple point of type (A). We denote by η_p the least integer k such that $\frac{\partial^k a_1}{\partial z_1^k}(0) \neq 0$ (if p is a simple point of type (B), let $\eta_p = 0$). Without loss of generality we can assume that p is the unique simple point among all singularities.

If we take a sequence of blow-ups as described in Theorem 2.15, by Proposition 2.1 we know that on each X_k , p_k is the unique simple point, and it is easy to verify that

$$\eta_p = \eta_{p_1} = \cdots = \eta_{p_k} = \cdots .$$

If $\eta_p = 0$, then $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_k, D_k} = \mathcal{O}_{X_k}$ for any k ; now we assume that $\eta_p > 0$. Fix a k , repeat the blow-up procedure η_p times, and resolve the coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_k, D_k}$, i.e.,

$$\mu_{k+\eta_p, k+1}^* \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_k, D_k} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{k+\eta_p}}(-\tilde{E}_{k+1} - \cdots - \tilde{E}_{k+\eta_p-1} - E_{k+\eta_p}), \quad (2.22)$$

where $\mu_{k+\eta_p, k+1} = \pi_{k+\eta_p} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{k+1}$, and \tilde{E}_i is the strict transform of E_i under $\pi_{k+\eta_p} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{i+1} : X_{k+\eta_p} \rightarrow X_i$ (by Proposition 2.3 we have an explicit linear part of the local generator of \mathcal{F}_k at the simple point, it is easy to examine this).

From Theorem 2.15 we know that $\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil \mu_k^* H - kE_k$ is effective for some ample divisor H and any $k > 0$. Thus we have

$$T[f_k] \cdot (\mu_k^* H - \frac{k}{\lceil k^{\frac{1}{n}} \rceil} E_k) \geq 0.$$

By the equality $\mu_{k*} T[f_k] = T[f]$ we get

$$T[f_k] \cdot E_k \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem 2.8

$$\langle T[f_k], c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}_k}) \rangle + T(f_k, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_k, D_k}) \geq -N^{(1)}(f_k, \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}_k) \cap D_k),$$

where $N^{(1)}(f_k, \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}_k) \cap D_k)$ is the truncated counting function. By Theorem 2.14 (a) we know that the image of f contains no simple corners, otherwise $f(\mathbb{C})$ would be contained in D ; therefore simple corners do not contribute to $N^{(1)}$. If $p \in f(\mathbb{C})$ for the unique simple point p , then Theorem 2.14 (b) tells us that $f_k(\mathbb{C}) \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}_k) = \{p_k\}$, and thus

$$N^{(1)}(f_k, \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}_k) \cap D_k) \leq T[f_{k+1}] \cdot E_{k+1}.$$

From (2.22) we obtain

$$T(f_k, \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{F}_k, D_k}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\eta_p} T[f_{k+j}] \cdot E_{k+j},$$

and thus

$$\langle T[f_k], c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}_k}) \rangle \geq -2T[f_{k+1}] \cdot E_{k+1} - \sum_{j=2}^{\eta_p} T[f_{k+j}] \cdot E_{k+j}.$$

The right hand of the preceding inequality tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since all singularities are non-dicritical, we have $\mu_k^*(T_{\mathcal{F}}) = T_{\mathcal{F}_k}$ and

$$T[f_k] \cdot c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}_k}) = T[f] \cdot c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}),$$

thus $T[f] \cdot c_1(T_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq 0$.

Now we come to the general case: there are some singularities which may not be simple singularities. By Theorem 2.13 we can take a finite sequence of blow-ups with centers only at singularities, to get a new 1-foliated triple $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{D})$ with simple singularities which are all non-dicritical. By the proof above we have

$$T[\tilde{f}] \cdot c_1(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}) \geq 0.$$

However, a theorem of Brunella implies that $K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ is pseudo-effective, since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contains a transcendental leaf. Therefore

$$T[\tilde{f}] \cdot c_1(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}) \geq 0.$$

If we combine the two inequalities, we obtain

$$T[\tilde{f}] \cdot c_1(T_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}) = 0.$$

□

3 Towards the Green-Griffiths conjecture

In order to pursue the similar strategy and prove the Green-Griffiths conjecture for any complex surface X of general type, one needs to know the existence of a 1-dimensional foliation directing any given Zariski dense entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$. The condition of $c_1(X)^2 - c_2(X) > 0$ ensures the existence of multi-foliation on X such that any entire curve should be tangent to it. The difficulty in proving the general case is that, we can not ensure that there exists such a (multi)-foliation on X itself. However, inspired by a very recent work of Demailly [Dem15], we believe that his definition of a variety “strongly of general type” is in some sense akin to the construction of foliations. Although one cannot construct foliations on X directly, one can prove the existence of some special multi-foliations in certain Demailly-Semple tower of X . Indeed, in [Dem10] the following theorem has been proved:

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, V) be a directed variety of “general type” (cf. [Dem12] for the definition of general type when V is singular), then $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* \mathcal{O}(-\frac{m}{kr}(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{k})A)$ is big thus has sections for $m \gg k \gg 1$, where X_k is the k -th stage of Demailly-Semple tower of X and A is an ample divisor on X .*

By the Fundamental Vanishing theorem we know that for every entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$, the k -jet $f_k : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X_k$ satisfies

$$f_k(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Bs}(H^0(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(m) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^* A^{-1})) \subsetneq X_k.$$

Assume that we have an entire curve $f : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X, T_X)$ such that its image in X is Zariski dense. By the above theorem of Demailly, there exists an $N > 0$ such that the lift of f on the N th-stage Demailly-Semple tower can not be Zariski dense in X_N , therefore we can find an integer $k \geq 0$ such that f_j is Zariski dense in X_j for each $0 \leq j \leq k$, while the Zariski closure of the image of f_{k+1} is $Z \subsetneq X_{k+1}$ which project onto X_k . Since $\text{rank} T_X = 2$, Z is a divisor of X_{k+1} . From the relation between $\text{Pic}(X_k)$ and $\text{Pic}(X)$ we know that $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(Z) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(\mathbf{a}) \otimes \pi_{k,0}^*(B)$, for

some $B \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $a_k = m$. Therefore the projection $\pi_{k+1,k} : Z \rightarrow X_k$ is a ramified $m : 1$ cover, which defines a rank 1 multi-foliation $\mathcal{F}_k \subset V_k$ on X_k , and $f_k : (\mathbb{C}, T_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow (X_k, V_k)$ is tangent to this foliation. We define the linear subspace $W \subset T_Z \subset T_{X_{k+1}}|_Z$ to be the closure

$$W := \overline{T_{Z'} \cap V_{k+1}}$$

taken on a suitable Zariski open set $Z' \subset Z_{\text{reg}}$ where the intersection $T_{Z'} \cap V_{k+1}$ has constant rank and is a subbundle of $T_{Z'}$. As is observed in [Dem15], we know that $\text{rank} W = 1$ which is an 1-dimensional foliation. We first resolve the singularities of Z to get a birational model $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ of (Z, W) such that \tilde{Z} is smooth, then by the assumption in Theorem 1.6 we take a further finite sequence of blow-ups to get a new birational model (Y, \mathcal{F}) of $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, such that \mathcal{F} has only weakly reduced singularities. We now obtain a generically finite morphism $p : Y \rightarrow X_k$, and the lift of f to Y denoted by $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y$ is still a Zariski dense curve tangent to \mathcal{F} satisfying $g = p \circ f_k$. Then we have

$$K_Y \sim p^* K_{X_k} + R,$$

where R is an effective divisor whose support is contained in the ramification locus of p . We will call X_k the *critical Demailly-Semple tower for f* .

Now we state our conjectures about reduction of singularities to weakly reduced ones, and the generalization of Brunella Theorem to higher dimensional manifolds:

Conjecture 3.1. *Let X be a Kähler manifold equipped with a foliation \mathcal{F} by curves. Then one can obtain a new birational model $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ of (X, \mathcal{F}) by taking finite blowing-ups such that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has weakly reduced singularities.*

Remark 3.1. *From Proposition 2.3 it is easy to show that foliations with absolutely isolated singularities can be resolved into weakly reduced ones after finite blowing-ups.*

Conjecture 3.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}) be a Kähler 1-foliated pair. Suppose that there is a Zariski dense entire curve $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ tangent to \mathcal{F} , then we have*

$$T[f] \cdot c_1(\det N_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq 0.$$

Remark 3.2. *If the singular set of \mathcal{F} is not discrete, it is difficult to construct a smooth 2-form in $c_1(\det N_{\mathcal{F}})$ as that appearing in Baum-Bott Formula (see Chapter 3 in [Bru04]). Probably we should find some representation in the leafwise cohomology, i.e. cohomology group for laminations.*

Based on the conjectures above, we can prove the Green-Griffiths conjecture for complex surfaces:

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det T_{X_k} &= k\pi_{k,0}^* \det T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_k}(k+1, k, \dots, 2), \\ \pi_{k,j*} T[f_k] &= T[f_j] \quad \text{for } k \geq j, \end{aligned}$$

by the tautological inequality and the condition of general type we have

$$\langle T[f_k], \det T_{X_k} \rangle = - \sum_{j=1}^k (k-j+2) \langle T[f_j], \mathcal{O}_{X_j}(-1) \rangle - k \langle T[f], K_X \rangle < 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\langle T[g], K_Y \rangle = \langle T[f_k], K_{X_k} \rangle + \langle T[g], R \rangle > 0.$$

Conjecture 3.1 tells us that we can find a new birational pair $(\hat{Y}, \hat{\mathcal{F}})$ of (Y, \mathcal{F}) with weakly reduced singularities, then by Theorem 1.4 we have

$$\langle T[\hat{g}], c_1(N_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}) \rangle < 0,$$

which is a contradiction to Conjecture 3.2, thus any entire curve must be algebraic degenerate. \square

Acknowledgements I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Jean-Pierre Demailly for suggesting me to consider this problem, and for providing numerous ideas during the course of stimulating exchanges. I would like to thank also Professor Sébastien Boucksom and Zhiyu Tian for further discussions about this work, and Professor Sen Hu for his constant encouragement. This research is supported by the China Scholarship Council.

References

- [Bog77] F.A. Bogomolov, Families of curves on a surface of general type. Soviet. Math. Dokl 18, 1294-1297 (1977).
- [Bru11] M. Brunella, Uniformisation of foliations by curves, Holomorphic dynamical systems. Springer Berlin Heidelberg 2010: 105-163.
- [Bru04] M. Brunella, Birational geometry of foliations, Impa, 2004.
- [Bru99] M. Brunella, Courbes entières et feuilletages holomorphes, L'Enseign. Math. 45, 1999, 195-216.
- [Bru97] M. Brunella, Some remarks on indices of holomorphic vector fields. Publicacions matemàtiques, 1997, 41(2): 527-544.
- [CCS97] C. Camacho, F. Cano, P. Sad, Absolutely isolated singularities of holomorphic vector fields, Inventiones mathematicae, 1989, 98(2): 351-369.
- [DEG00] J.P. Demailly, J. El Goul, Hyperbolicity of generic surfaces of high degree in projective-3 space. Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000) 515-546.
- [Dem15] J.P. Demailly, Proof of the Kobayashi conjecture on the hyperbolicity of very general hypersurfaces, arXiv:1501.07625v3 [math.AG]

- [Dem14] J.P. Demailly, Towards the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture, arXiv: 1412.2986v2 [math.AG]
- [Dem12] J.P. Demailly, Kobayashi pseudo-metrics, entire curves and hyperbolicity of algebraic varieties, Lecture notes in Summer School in Mathematics 2012.
- [Dem10] J.P. Demailly, Holomorphic Morse inequalities and the Green-Griffiths-Lang conjecture, arXiv:1011.3636v2 [math. AG].
- [Dem97] J.P. Demailly, Variétés hyperboliques et équations différentielles algébriques, Gaz. Math. 73 (juillet 1997), 323.
- [Den15] Y. Deng, Non-Degeneracy of Kobayashi Volume Measures for Singular Directed Varieties And Applications. In preparation.
- [GPR13] C. Gasbarri, G. Pacienza, E. Rousseau, Higher dimensional tautological inequalities and applications. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2013, 356(2): 703-735.
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry II. Springer-Verlag. 2004.
- [McQ98] M. McQuillan, Diophantine approximations and foliations, *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes études Scientifiques*, 1998, 87(1): 121-174.
- [McQ08] M. McQuillan, Canonical models of foliations. *Pure and applied mathematics quarterly*, 2008, 4(3).
- [Pau03] M. Paun, Currents associated to transcendental entire curves on compact Kähler manifolds.
- [PS14] M. Paun, N. Sibony, Value distribution theory for parabolic Riemann surfaces, arXiv:1403.6596v2 [math.cv]
- [Siu02] Y. T. Siu, Hyperbolicity in complex geometry, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2004: 543-566.
- [Tak08] S. Takayama, On the uniruledness of stable base loci. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 2008, 78(3): 521-541.
- [Tom97] R. M. B. Tome, A resolution theorem for absolutely isolated singularities of holomorphic vector fields, *Boletim da Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica*, 1997, 28(2): 211-231.

INSTITUT FOURIER & UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA
 YA DENG
 Email: Ya.Deng@fourier-grenoble.fr