



HAL
open science

On the degree-chromatic polynomial of a tree

Diego Cifuentes

► **To cite this version:**

Diego Cifuentes. On the degree-chromatic polynomial of a tree. 24th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2012), 2012, Nagoya, Japan. pp.69-72, 10.46298/dmtcs.3020 . hal-01283152

HAL Id: hal-01283152

<https://hal.science/hal-01283152>

Submitted on 5 Mar 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the degree-chromatic polynomial of a tree

Diego Cifuentes¹

¹*Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia*

Abstract. The *degree chromatic polynomial* $P_m(G, k)$ of a graph G counts the number of k -colorings in which no vertex has m adjacent vertices of its same color. We prove Humpert and Martin’s conjecture on the leading terms of the degree chromatic polynomial of a tree.

Résumé. Le *polynôme degré chromatique* $P_m(G, k)$ d’un graphe G compte le nombre de k -colorations dans lesquelles aucun sommet n’a m sommets adjacents de sa même couleur. On démontre la conjecture de Humpert et Martin sur les coefficients principaux du polynôme degré chromatique d’un arbre.

Keywords: chromatic polynomial, graph coloring, tree

George David Birkhoff defined the chromatic polynomial of a graph to attack the renowned four color problem. The chromatic polynomial $P(G, k)$ counts the k -colorings of a graph G in which no two adjacent vertices have the same color [Read(1968)].

Given a graph G , Humpert and Martin defined its m -chromatic polynomial $P_m(G, k)$ to be the number of k -colorings of G such that no vertex has m adjacent vertices of its same color. They proved this is indeed a polynomial. When $m = 1$, we recover the usual chromatic polynomial of the graph.

The chromatic polynomial is of the form

$$P(G, k) = k^n - ek^{n-1} + o(k^{n-1}),$$

where n is the number of vertices and e the number of edges of G . For $m > 1$ the formula is no longer true, but Humpert and Martin conjectured the following formula which we now prove:

Theorem 1 ([Humpert and Martin(2010), Humpert and Martin(2011)], Conjecture) *Let T be a tree with n vertices and let m be an integer with $1 < m < n$, then the following equation holds.*

$$P_m(T, k) = k^n - \sum_{v \in V(T)} \binom{d(v)}{m} k^{n-m} + o(k^{n-m})$$

Proof: For a given coloring of T , say vertices v_1 and v_2 are “friends” if they are adjacent and have the same color. For each v , let A_v be the set of colorings such that v has at least m friends. We want to find the number of colorings which are not in any A_v , and we will use the inclusion-exclusion principle. As the total number of k -colorings is k^n , we have

$$P_m(T, k) = k^n - \sum_{v \in V} |A_v| + \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in V} |A_{v_1} \cap A_{v_2}| - \dots$$

We first show that $|A_v| = \binom{d(v)}{m} k^{n-m} + o(k^{n-m})$. Let $A_v^{(l)}$ be the set of k -colorings such that v has exactly l friends. In order to obtain a coloring in $A_v^{(l)}$, we may choose the l friends in $\binom{d(v)}{l}$ ways, the color of v and its friends in k ways, the color of the remaining adjacent vertices to v in $(k-1)^{d(v)-l}$ ways, and the color of the rest of the vertices in $k^{n-1-d(v)}$ ways. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_v| &= \sum_{l=m}^{n-1} |A_v^{(l)}| = \sum_{l=m}^{n-1} \binom{d(v)}{l} k^{n-d(v)} (k-1)^{d(v)-l} \\ &= \binom{d(v)}{m} k^{n-m} + o(k^{n-m}). \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to see that for any set S of at least 2 vertices $|\bigcap_{v \in S} A_v| = o(k^{n-m})$; clearly we may assume $S = \{v_1, v_2\}$. Consider the following cases:

Case 1 v_1 and v_2 are not adjacent.

Split A_{v_1} into equivalence classes with the equivalence relation

$$\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_1(w) = \sigma_2(w) \text{ for all } w \neq v_2.$$

Note that each equivalence class C consists of k colorings, which only differ in the color of v_2 . In addition, for each C at most $\frac{d(v_2)}{m}$ of its colorings are in A_{v_2} , as if $\sigma \in A_{v_2}$ there must be m vertices adjacent to v_2 with the color $\sigma(v_2)$. Therefore

$$|A_{v_1} \cap A_{v_2}| = \sum_C |C \cap A_{v_2}| \leq \sum_C \frac{d(v_2)}{m} = \frac{|A_{v_1}|}{k} \cdot \frac{d(v_2)}{m}.$$

It follows that $\frac{|A_{v_1} \cap A_{v_2}|}{|A_{v_1}|}$ goes to 0 as k goes to infinity, so $|A_{v_1} \cap A_{v_2}| = o(k^{n-m})$.

Case 2 v_1 and v_2 are adjacent.

Let W be the set of adjacent vertices to v_2 other than v_1 . They are not adjacent to v_1 as T has no cycles. Split A_{v_1} into equivalence classes with the equivalence relation

$$\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_1(w) = \sigma_2(w) \text{ for all } w \notin W.$$

Each equivalence class C consists of $k^{|W|}$ colorings, which may only differ in the colors of the vertices in W . If v_1 and v_2 are friends in the colorings of C , then a coloring in $|C \cap A_{v_2}|$ must contain at least $m-1$ vertices in W of the same color as v_2 . Therefore

$$|C \cap A_{v_2}| = \sum_{l=m-1}^{|W|} \binom{|W|}{l} (k-1)^{|W|-l} < \sum_{l=0}^{|W|} \binom{|W|}{l} k^{|W|-1} = 2^{|W|} k^{|W|-1}.$$

Notice that here we are using $m \geq 2$ so that $l \geq 1$. Otherwise, if v_1 and v_2 are not friends in the colorings of C , then

$$|C \cap A_{v_2}| = \sum_{l=m}^{|W|} \binom{|W|}{l} (k-1)^{|W|-l} < \sum_{l=0}^{|W|} \binom{|W|}{l} k^{|W|-1} = 2^{|W|} k^{|W|-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{v_1} \cap A_{v_2}| &= \sum_C |C \cap A_{v_2}| < \sum_C 2^{|W|} k^{|W|-1} \\ &= \frac{|A_{v_1}|}{k^{|W|}} \cdot 2^{|W|} k^{|W|-1} = \frac{|A_{v_1}| \cdot 2^{|W|}}{k} \end{aligned}$$

and $|A_{v_1} \cap A_{v_2}| = o(k^{n-m})$ follows as in the first case.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Federico Ardila for bringing this problem to my attention, and for helping me improve the presentation of this note. I would also like to acknowledge the support of the SFSU-Colombia Combinatorics Initiative and the Universidad de los Andes.

References

- [Humpert and Martin(2010)] B. Humpert and J. L. Martin. The incidence Hopf algebra of graphs. *Preprint arXiv:1012.4786*, 2010.
- [Humpert and Martin(2011)] B. Humpert and J. L. Martin. The incidence Hopf algebra of graphs. *DMTCS Proceedings*, 0(01), 2011. ISSN 1365-8050. URL <http://www.dmtcs.org/dmtcs-ojs/index.php/proceedings/article/view/dmAO0146>.
- [Read(1968)] R. C. Read. An introduction to chromatic polynomials. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 4(1):52–71, 1968.

