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# On the friable Turán-Kubilius inequality 

R. de la Bretèche \& G. Tenenbaum

To the memory of Jonas Kubilius, whose vision will enlighten our integers for ages

## 1. Introduction

An additive function, i.e. $f: \mathbb{N}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
f(n)=\sum_{p^{\nu} \| n} f\left(p^{\nu}\right) \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right),
$$

is the arithmetical analogue of a sum of independent random variables in probability theory. However, in the arithmetical framework, independence is only partly fulfilled. A quantitative measure of this tendency is furnished by Kubilius' gauge, which measures the gap between the probabilistic space $\Omega_{x}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}: n \leqslant x\right\}$ equipped with the uniform law $\nu_{x}$ and its canonical probabilistic model. It may be equivalently stated in terms of distance between formal probability spaces (see [16], section III.6.5) or as a bound for the total variation distance (see in particular [13]) between the truncation

$$
f_{y}(n):=\sum_{\substack{p^{\nu} \| n \\ p \leqslant y}} f\left(p^{\nu}\right)
$$

and its probabilistic model $Z_{f, y}:=\sum_{p \leqslant y} \xi_{p}$ where the $\xi_{p}$ are independent geometric random variables with laws

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{p}=f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right):=(1-1 / p) p^{-\nu} \quad(\nu \geqslant 0) .
$$

In this latter setting, the best known uniform estimate, due to the second author [15], states that

$$
K(x, y):=\sup _{f} \sup _{A \subset \mathbb{R}}\left|\nu_{x}\left(f_{y} \in A\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{f, y} \in A\right)\right| \ll u^{-u}+x^{-1+\varepsilon} \quad(x \geqslant 2, y \geqslant 2)
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary and $u:=(\log x) / \log y$.
Kubilius' historical result ([8], [11]) yielded the optimal, qualitative statement that $K(x, y)$ approaches zero as $x$ and $u$ tend to infinity, and indeed provided the effective bound $K(x, y) \ll \mathrm{e}^{-c u}$ for some suitable positive constant $c$. This upper bound was later improved from the quantitative viewpoint by Barban and Vinogradov [1] - see Elliott [4], ch. 3, for details. More precise results, including an asymptotic formula for $K(x, y)$, may be given in wide subregions [15]. In particular, $K(x, y)$ approaches a strictly positive limit when $x$ and $y$ tend to infinity in such a way that $u$ remains fixed.
These results show how friable integers, namely integers all of whose prime factors do not exceed a given bound ( $y$ in the above), naturally occur in probabilistic number theory.
In the last twenty years the study of the distribution of friable integers has been intensively developed. Letting $P(n)$ denote the largest prime factor of an integer $n$, with the convention that $P(1)=1$, we say that $n$ is $y$-friable if $P(n) \leqslant y$ and we write

$$
S(x, y):=\{n \leqslant x: P(n) \leqslant y\}
$$

the set of friable integers not exceeding $x$.

Another classical result in probabilistic number theory marks the discrepancy between the empirical arithmetic situation and its probabilistic model based on independence assumptions. This is the celebrated Turán-Kubilius inequality which may be stated (see in particular [9], [10], [6], [14], [3]) as

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{f} \mathcal{V}_{f}(x) / \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x}\right)=2
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{f}(x)$ denotes the variance of the random variable variable $f$ with respect to the measure $\nu_{x}$ and the inner supremum is taken over all complex-valued additive functions $f$. The constant 2 appearing in the above formula may be thought of as a quantitative measure of the distance of analytic number theory and its probabilistic model. In all but the last quoted result, one will actually observe a constant with value $3 / 2$ : this is due to the fact that corresponding authors used various other normalisation terms instead of $\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x}\right)$. While the finiteness of the left-hand side proved to be a very fruitful tool in probabilistic number theory, mainly because it is uniform with respect to $f$, the fact that the constant is not equal to unity is quite significant from a theoretical viewpoint: probabilistic number theory cannot be reduced to probability theory.
In [3] and [12], the friable version of this inequality is studied, thereby providing another quantitative description of the propensity to independence as the parameter $u$ is growing. Thus, in accord to the Kubilius model, is is shown in [3] that, if $V_{f}(x, y)$ denotes the variance of the additive function $f$ with respect to the uniform measure on the set $S(x, y)$ of $y$-friable integers not exceeding $x$, then, for a suitable model $Z_{f, x, y}$ defined as a sum of independent geometric random variables on an abstract probability space (see (1.1) below for a precise definition),

$$
C(x, y):=\sup _{f} \mathcal{V}_{f}(x, y) / \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)=1+o(1)
$$

provided $1 / u+(\log x) / y \rightarrow 0$. In [12], it is shown that $C(x, y)=C(u)+o(1)$ when $x$ and $y$ tend to infinity and $u$ remains fixed. Moreover, the limit $C(u)$ is exactly determined in a computable way-see [5].

All results described above rest on the saddle-point method, as developed by Hildebrand and Tenenbaum [7]. Indeed, its specific feature of providing so-called 'semi-asymptotic' formulae yields simple estimates for ratios of the type

$$
\frac{\Psi_{m}(x / d, y)}{\Psi(x, y)} \quad\left(1 \leqslant d, y \leqslant x, m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}\right)
$$

where $\Psi(x, y):=|S(x, y)|$ and

$$
\Psi_{m}(x, y):=|\{n \in S(x, y):(n, m)=1\}|
$$

These estimates depend on the parameter $\alpha=\alpha(x, y)$ defined as the unique solution to the equation

$$
\sum_{p \leqslant y} \frac{\log p}{p^{\alpha}-1}=\log x \quad(2 \leqslant y \leqslant x)
$$

and which incidentally turns out to be the saddle-point corresponding to the Perron integral for $\Psi(x, y)$. Writing $g_{m}(s):=\prod_{p \mid m}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)$, it turns out that

$$
\Psi_{m}(x / d, y) / \Psi(x, y) \approx g_{m}(\alpha) / d^{\alpha}
$$

in a wide region for $d, m, x, y[2]$.

The Kubilius probabilistic model $Z_{f, x, y}$ for a complex additive function $f$ restricted to $S(x, y)$ is defined as

$$
Z_{f, x, y}=\sum_{p \leqslant y} \xi_{p, \alpha}
$$

where the $\xi_{p, \alpha}$ are abstract independent geometric random variables with laws

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\xi_{p, \alpha}=f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right)=\frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}} \quad(\nu=0,1,2, \ldots) .
$$

It is then a standard computation to show that

$$
\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)=\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\left|f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}-\sum_{p \leqslant y} g_{p}(\alpha)^{2}\left|\sum_{1 \leqslant \nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{f\left(p^{\nu}\right)}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\right|^{2} .
$$

A natural upper bound for this is

$$
B_{f}(x, y)^{2}:=\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\left|f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

Indeed, we have $B_{f}(x, y)^{2}=\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)$ when the $\xi_{p, \alpha}$ are centred and we have in full generality

$$
\left(1-2^{-\alpha}\right) B_{f}(x, y)^{2} \leqslant B_{f}^{-}(x, y)^{2} \leqslant \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right) \leqslant B_{f}(x, y)^{2}
$$

with

$$
B_{f}^{-}(x, y)^{2}:=\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)^{2}}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\left|f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

When $y \geqslant \sqrt{\log x} \log _{2} x$, we may replace the variance $\mathcal{V}_{f}(x, y)$ by its semi-empirical variant, namely

$$
V_{f}(x, y): \left.=\frac{1}{\Psi(x, y)} \sum_{n \in S(x, y)} \right\rvert\, f(n)-\mathbb{E}\left(\left.Z_{f, x, y)}\right|^{2}\right.
$$

Indeed, writing $E_{f}(x, y)$ for the empiric expectation of $f$ over the set $S(x, y)$ and $\bar{u}:=\min (u, y / \log y)$, we have, under the above condition on $x$ and $y$,

$$
V_{f}(x, y)-\mathcal{V}_{f}(x, y)=\left|E_{f}(x, y)-\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)\right|^{2} \ll B_{f}^{2}(x, y) / \bar{u} \ll \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right),
$$

where the last estimate follows from (1-2), standard estimates for $\alpha$ and theorem 2.4 of [2].
In [3], we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{f}(x, y) \ll B_{f}^{2}(x, y) \tag{1•4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly for all additive $f$ and $x \geqslant y \geqslant 2$. However, from the estimates given in [3], we can only infer that the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{f}(x, y) \ll \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right) \tag{1•5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds in the region $c \log x \leqslant y \leqslant x$ where $c$ is an arbitrary positive constant.
Even if applications - see [3]-usually only require (1-4), the theoretical study necessitates to analyse the status of (1.5) in complete generality.
We now provide a wider region for the validity of (1.5).
Theorem 1.1. The upper bound (1.5) holds uniformly for all complex, additive $f$ provided $x \geqslant y \geqslant \sqrt{\log x} \log _{2} x$.

## 2. Proof

We first note that, with no loss of generality, we may restrict to the case of real functions. Indeed, if $f=f_{1}+i f_{2}$, then

$$
V_{f}(x, y)=V_{f_{1}}(x, y)+V_{f_{2}}(x, y), \quad \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)=\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f_{1}, x, y}\right)+\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f_{2}, x, y}\right) .
$$

We could also assume that $f \geqslant 0$ since, defining $f^{ \pm}\left(p^{\nu}\right):=\max \left(0, \pm f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right)$, we plainly have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{f}(x, y) & \leqslant 2 V_{f^{+}}(x, y)+2 V_{f^{+}}(x, y), \\
\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right) & =\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f^{+}, x, y}\right)+\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f^{-}, x, y}\right)+2 \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f^{+}, x, y}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f^{-}, x, y}\right) \\
& \geqslant \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f^{+}, x, y}\right)+\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f^{-}, x, y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, we shall not need this extra assumption.
As noted above, we may assume $y \leqslant \log x$ : in the opposite case, the required estimate follows from theorem 1.1 of [3] and the computations appearing in the proof of corollary 5.2.
Setting

$$
R_{m}(x / d, y):=\frac{\Psi_{m}(x / d, y)}{\Psi(x, y)}-\frac{g_{m}(\alpha)}{d^{\alpha}},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{f^{2}}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\Psi(x, y)} \sum_{n \in S(x, y)} f(n)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} f\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{2} \frac{\Psi_{p}\left(x / p^{\nu}, y\right)}{\Psi(x, y)}+\sum_{p \neq q} f\left(p^{\nu}\right) f\left(q^{\mu}\right) \frac{\Psi_{p q}\left(x / p^{\nu} q^{\mu}, y\right)}{\Psi(x, y)} \\
& \quad=\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f, x, y)}^{2}\right)+\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} f\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{2} R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu}}, y\right)+\sum_{\substack{p \neq q \\
p^{\nu} q^{\mu} \in S(x, y)}} f\left(p^{\nu}\right) f\left(q^{\mu}\right) R_{p q}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu} q^{\mu}}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right) E_{f}(x, y) & =\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)}{\Psi(x, y)} \sum_{n \in S(x, y)} f(n) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right) \sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\left\{\frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}}+R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu}}, y\right)\right\} \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)^{2}+\sum_{p^{\nu} q^{\mu} \in S(x, y)} \frac{g_{q}(\alpha)}{q^{\mu \alpha}} f\left(p^{\nu}\right) f\left(q^{\mu}\right) R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu}}, y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Write, when $p \neq q$,

$$
D_{p^{\nu}, q^{\mu}}(x, y)=R_{p q}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu} q^{\mu}}, y\right)-\frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}} R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu}}, y\right)-\frac{g_{q}(\alpha)}{q^{\mu \alpha}} R_{q}\left(\frac{x}{q^{\mu}}, y\right)=\frac{\Delta_{p^{\nu}, q^{\mu}}(x, y)}{\Psi(x, y) p^{\nu \alpha} q^{\mu \alpha}} .
$$

We may infer from the above that

$$
V_{f}(x, y)=\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)+T_{f}(x, y)+V_{f}^{*}(x, y)-U_{f}(x, y),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{f}^{*}(x, y) & :=\sum_{\substack{p \neq q \\
p^{\nu} q^{\mu} \in S(x, y)}} f\left(p^{\nu}\right) f\left(q^{\mu}\right) D_{p^{\nu}, q^{\mu}}(x, y) \\
T_{f}(x, y) & :=\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} f\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{2} R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu}}, y\right) \\
U_{f}(x, y) & :=2 \sum_{p \leqslant y} \sum_{\mu, \nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{f\left(p^{\nu}\right) f\left(p^{\mu}\right) g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}} R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\mu}}, y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In theorem 3.8 of [3], we give an estimate of $\Delta_{k, \ell}(x, y)$. We now improve on this. We put

$$
v_{k}(\alpha):=\log k-g_{k}^{\prime}(\alpha) / g_{k}(\alpha)=\log k-\sum_{p \mid k} \frac{\log p}{p^{\alpha}-1} \quad(k \geqslant 1) .
$$

We also write

$$
\sigma_{k}:=\left|\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k-1}}{\mathrm{~d} s^{k-1}} \sum_{p \leqslant y} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}\right]_{s=\alpha}\right| \asymp \frac{(u \log y)^{k}}{\bar{u}^{k-1}} .
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $K>0$. There is a constant $C$, depending only on $K$ such that, uniformly for $x \geqslant y \geqslant 2, u>\sqrt{\log y}, k \ell \leqslant x,(k, \ell)=1, \omega(k \ell) \leqslant K, P(k \ell) \leqslant y$, we have

$$
\Delta_{k, \ell}(x, y)=g_{k \ell}(\alpha) \Psi(x, y)\left\{-\frac{v_{k}(\alpha) v_{\ell}(\alpha)}{\sigma_{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\bar{u}^{2}}+\frac{\bar{u}^{2}(\kappa+\lambda)^{4}}{u^{4}}+\frac{\bar{u}^{5 / 2}(\kappa+\lambda)^{5}}{u^{5}}\right)\right\},
$$

where $\kappa:=(\log k) / \log y, \lambda:=(\log \ell) / \log y$.
Proof. Let

$$
G_{m}(s):=\frac{g_{m}(s) m^{\alpha}}{g_{m}(\alpha) m^{s}}-1 \quad(m \geqslant 1), \quad T_{0}:=\frac{\bar{u}^{2 / 3}}{u \log y}, \quad \delta:=\frac{u \log y}{\bar{u}} .
$$

For $P(m) \leqslant y, \omega(m) \ll 1, s=\alpha+i \tau,|\tau| \leqslant T_{0}$, an expansion of $G_{m}(\alpha+i)$ to the third order furnishes that

$$
G_{m}(s)=-i \tau v_{m}(\alpha)-\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2} G_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+O\left(\tau^{3}(\delta+\log m)^{3}\right) .
$$

and $G_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha) \ll(\delta+\log m)^{2}$. This implies that

$$
G_{k}(s) G_{\ell}(s) \zeta(s, y) \frac{x^{s}}{s}=-\frac{x^{\alpha} \zeta(\alpha, y)}{\alpha} \tau^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau^{2} \sigma_{2} / 2}\left\{v_{k}(\alpha) v_{\ell}(\alpha)+I(\tau)+O\left(b^{2} D^{\prime}(\tau)\right)\right\}
$$

where $I(\tau)$ is an odd function of $\tau, b:=\delta+\log (k \ell) \ll(\log y)\{(u / \bar{u})+\kappa+\lambda\}$ and

$$
D^{\prime}(\tau):=\tau^{2} \delta^{2}+\tau^{6} \sigma_{3}^{2}+\tau^{4} \sigma_{4}+b^{2} \tau^{2}(1+b|\tau|) .
$$

The required estimate now follows from the formulae

$$
\int_{-T_{0}}^{T_{0}} \tau^{1+2 k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma_{2} \tau^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} \tau=0, \int_{-T_{0}}^{T_{0}}|\tau|^{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sigma_{2} \tau^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} \tau \ll k \frac{1}{\sigma_{2}^{(k+1) / 2}} \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}) .
$$

We may now embark the final part of the proof of our theorem.
In the domain under consideration for $x, y$, we have $1 / \bar{u} \ll \alpha \asymp \bar{u} /(u \log y)$, hence it follows from (1-2) that

$$
\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right) \gg B_{f}(x, y)^{2} / \bar{u}
$$

Inserting the estimate of Lemma 2.1 into the proof of formula (4.24) of [3] yields, mutatis mutandis,

$$
V_{f}^{*}(x, y)=S^{*}+O\left(\frac{B_{f}(x, y)^{2}}{\bar{u}}\right)=S^{*}+O\left(\mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)\right)
$$

with

$$
S^{*}:=\frac{-1}{\sigma_{2}} \sum_{\substack{p^{\nu}, q^{\mu} \in S(x, y) \\ p \neq q}} \frac{g_{p q}(\alpha) f\left(p^{\nu}\right) f\left(q^{\mu}\right) v_{p^{\nu}}(\alpha) v_{q^{\mu}}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha} q^{\mu \alpha}} .
$$

Moreover, for a suitable constant $C>0$, we have

$$
S^{*} \leqslant C \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)
$$

Indeed

$$
S^{*}=\frac{-1}{\sigma_{2}}\left(\sum_{p^{\nu} \in S(x, y)} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha) f\left(p^{\nu}\right) v_{p^{\nu}}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{2}} \sum_{p \leqslant y}\left(\sum_{\nu \leqslant(\log x) / \log p} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha) f\left(p^{\nu}\right) v_{p^{\nu}}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\right)^{2}
$$

Since, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, (2•2) and the estimate $\alpha \asymp \bar{u} /(u \log y)$, valid for $y \leqslant \log x$, the second term is $\ll \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)$, we get $(2 \cdot 5)$.

Put $v:=A u / \bar{u}$ where $A$ is a large constant. It follows from lemma 3.5 of [3] that, when $p^{\nu} \leqslant y^{v}$, we have $R_{p}\left(x / p^{\nu}, y\right) \ll g_{p}(\alpha) /\left(\bar{u} p^{\nu \alpha}\right)$. Therefore those prime powers $p^{\nu}$ not exceeding $y^{v}$ contribute to $T_{f}(x, y)$ a quantity $\ll B_{f}(x, y)^{2} / \bar{u} \ll \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)$. Moreover, the same bound for $R_{p}$ implies that the complementary contribution is negative.
It remains to deal with $U_{f}(x, y)$, that we bound appealing to lemma 3.5 of [3] which furnishes, uniformly for $p^{\mu} \leqslant x$,

$$
R_{p}\left(\frac{x}{p^{\mu}}, y\right) \ll \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\mu \alpha}}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{u}}+\frac{t^{2} \bar{u}}{u^{2}}\right)
$$

where $t:=(\mu \log p) / \log y$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we then get that the contribution arising from any fixed prime $p \leqslant y$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \frac{1}{\bar{u}} \sum_{\nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)\left|f\left(p^{\nu}\right)\right|}{p^{\nu \alpha}} \sum_{\mu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)\left|f\left(p^{\mu}\right)\right|}{p^{\mu \alpha}}\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{u} \log p^{\mu}}{u \log y}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\bar{u}} \sum_{\nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha) f\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{2}}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\left(\sum_{\nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha)}{p^{\nu \alpha}} \sum_{\mu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} g_{p}(\alpha) \frac{1+\left(\left(\bar{u} \log p^{\mu}\right) / u \log y\right)^{4}}{p^{\mu \alpha}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll \frac{1}{\bar{u}} \sum_{\nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha) f\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{2}}{p^{\nu \alpha}}\left(1+\frac{\bar{u}^{4}}{(u \alpha \log y)^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll \frac{1}{\bar{u}} \sum_{\nu \leqslant \frac{\log x}{\log p}} \frac{g_{p}(\alpha) f\left(p^{\nu}\right)^{2}}{p^{\nu \alpha}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $p \leqslant y$, we get

$$
U_{f}(x, y) \ll B_{f}(x, y)^{2} / \bar{u} \ll \mathbb{V}\left(Z_{f, x, y}\right)
$$

This completes the proof.
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