HAL
open science

Ulrich bundles on abelian surfaces

Arnaud Beauville

To cite this version:

Arnaud Beauville. Ulrich bundles on abelian surfaces. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2016, 144 (11), pp.4609-4611. 10.1090/proc/13091 . hal-01279407

HAL Id: hal-01279407

https://hal.science/hal-01279407v1

Submitted on 29 Feb 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S 0002-9939(XX)0000-0

ULRICH BUNDLES ON ABELIAN SURFACES

ARNAUD BEAUVILLE

(Communicated by Lev Borisov)

Abstract. We prove that any abelian surface admits a rank 2 Ulrich bundle.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety of dimension d over an algebraically closed field. An *Ulrich bundle* on X is a vector bundle E on X satisfying $H^*(X, E(-1)) = \ldots = H^*(X, E(-d)) = 0$. This notion was introduced in [**?**], where various other characterizations are given; let us just mention that it is equivalent to say that E admits a linear resolution as a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}$-module, or that the pushforward of E onto $\mathbb{P}^d$ by a general linear projection is a trivial bundle.

In [**?**] the authors ask whether every projective variety admits an Ulrich bundle. The answer is known only in a few cases: hypersurfaces and complete intersections [**?**], del Pezzo surfaces [**?**, Corollary 6.5]. The case of K3 surfaces is treated in [**?**]. In this short note we show that the existence of Ulrich bundles for abelian surfaces follows easily from Serre's construction:

Theorem 1. *Any abelian surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ carries a rank 2 Ulrich bundle.*

Proof : We put $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) = n$. Let C be a smooth curve in $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$; we have $\mathcal{O}_C(1) \cong \omega_C$, and $g(C) = n+1$. We choose a subset $Z \subset C$ of n general points. Then Z has the *Cayley-Bacharach property* on X (see for instance [**?**], Theorem 5.1.1): for every $p \in Z$, any section of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ vanishing on $Z \smallsetminus \{p\}$ vanishes on Z. Indeed, the image V of the restriction map $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \to H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))$ has dimension $n-1$, hence the only element of V vanishing on $n-1$ general points is zero; thus the only element of $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$ containing $Z \smallsetminus \{p\}$ is C.

By *loc. cit.*, there exists a rank 2 vector bundle E on X and an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{s} E \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z(1) \to 0 \ . \tag{1}$$

Let η be a non-zero element of $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$; then $h^0(\omega_C \otimes \eta) = n$, so $H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \eta(-Z)) = 0$ since Z is general, and therefore $H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_Z\eta(1)) = 0$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{I}_Z\eta(1))) = 0$ we have also $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Z\eta(1)) = 0$; from the above exact sequence we conclude that $H^*(X, E \otimes \eta) = 0$.

The zero locus of the section s of E is Z; since $\det E_{|C} = \mathcal{O}_C(1) = \omega_C$, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C(Z) \xrightarrow{s_{|C}} E_{|C} \longrightarrow \omega_C(-Z) \to 0 \ . \tag{2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14J60; Secondary: 13D02.

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

As above the cohomology of $\omega_C \otimes \eta(-Z)$ and $\eta(Z)$ vanishes, hence $H^*(C, (E \otimes \eta)_{|C}) = 0$. Now from the exact sequence

$$0 \to E(-1) \to E \to E_{|C} \to 0$$

we conclude that $H^*(X, E \otimes \eta(-1)) = H^*(X, E \otimes \eta) = 0$, hence $F := E \otimes \eta(1)$ is an Ulrich bundle. □

Remarks.– 1) There is no Ulrich line bundle on a general abelian surface X. Indeed a line bundle M on X with $\chi(M) = 0$ satisfies $c_1(M)^2 = 0$ by Riemann-Roch; since X is general we have $\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, hence M is algebraically equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_X$. Thus if L is a Ulrich line bundle $L(-1)$ and $L(-2)$ must be algebraically equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_X$, a contradiction.

On the other hand, some particular abelian surfaces do carry a Ulrich line bundle. Let $(A, \mathcal{O}_A(1))$, $(B, \mathcal{O}_B(1))$ be two polarized elliptic curves, and let α, β be non-zero elements of $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{o}}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{o}}(B)$. Put $X = A \times B$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = \mathcal{O}_A(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_B(1)$. Then $\alpha(1) \boxtimes \beta(2)$ is a Ulrich line bundle for $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$.

2) It follows from the exact sequence (2) that $E_{|C}$ is semi-stable, hence E, and consequently F, are semi-stable (actually any Ulrich bundle is semi-stable, see [**?**, Proposition 2.12]). Moreover if F is not stable, there is a line bundle $L \subset E$ with $(L \cdot C) = n$, so that $L_{|C}$ must be isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C(Z)$ or $\omega_C(-Z)$. But we have $2 = \dim \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{o}}(X) < \dim \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{o}}(C) = n + 1$, so for Z general $\mathcal{O}_C(Z)$ and $\omega_C(-Z)$ do not belong to the image of the restriction map $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(C)$. Therefore F is stable.

3) We have constructed the vector bundle E from a curve $C \in |\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$, a subset Z of C and an extension class in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(1), \mathcal{O}_X)$. This space is dual to $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}_Z(1))$; from the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{I}_Z(1) \to \mathcal{O}_X(1) \to \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \to 0$ we get $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_Z(1)) = 1$, thus the extension class is unique up to a scalar. It is not difficult to prove that $H^0(X, E) = \mathbb{C}s$; hence E determines $Z = Z(s)$ and the curve C, so it depends on $\dim |C| + \mathrm{Card}(Z) = 2n - 1$ parameters. To get a Ulrich bundle we put $F = E \otimes \eta(1)$ with $\eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$; the line bundle η is determined up to 2-torsion by $\det F = \eta^2(3)$. Thus our construction depends on $2n + 1$ parameters.

On the other hand, the moduli space of stable rank 2 vector bundles with the same Chern classes as F is smooth of dimension $2n+2$ [**?**]; the Ulrich bundles form a Zariski open subset $\mathcal{M}_U$ of this moduli space. Therefore our construction gives a hypersurface in $\mathcal{M}_U$.

References

[AFO] M. Aprodu, G. Farkas, A. Ortega : *Minimal resolutions, Chow forms and Ulrich bundles on $K3$ surfaces*. Preprint `arXiv:1212.6248`. J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear.

[CKM] E. Coskun, R. Kulkarni, Y. Mustopa : *On representations of Clifford algebras of ternary cubic forms*. New trends in noncommutative algebra, 91-99, Contemp. Math. **562** , AMS, Providence, RI, 2012.

[HUB] J. Herzog, B. Ulrich, J. Backelin : *Linear maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over strict complete intersections*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), no. 2-3, 187-202.

[ES] D. Eisenbud, F.-O. Schreyer : *Resultants and Chow forms via exterior syzygies*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 3, 537-579.

[HL] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn : *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves* (2nd edition). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[M] S. Mukai: *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*. Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 101-116.

Laboratoire J.-A. Dieudonné, UMR 7351 du CNRS, Université de Nice, Parc Valrose, F-06108 Nice cedex 2, France

E-mail address: arnaud.beauville@unice.fr