



HAL
open science

On mean values of random multiplicative functions

Yuk-Kam Lau, Gérald Tenenbaum, Jie Wu

► **To cite this version:**

Yuk-Kam Lau, Gérald Tenenbaum, Jie Wu. On mean values of random multiplicative functions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2012, 10.1090/S0002-9939-2012-11332-2 . hal-01278413

HAL Id: hal-01278413

<https://hal.science/hal-01278413v1>

Submitted on 24 Feb 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON MEAN VALUES OF RANDOM MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

YUK-KAM LAU, GÉRALD TENENBAUM AND JIE WU

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of primes and $\{f(p)\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables taking values ± 1 with probability $1/2$. Extending f by multiplicativity to a random multiplicative function f supported on the set of squarefree integers, we prove that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the estimate $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \ll \sqrt{x} (\log \log x)^{3/2+\varepsilon}$ holds almost surely—thus qualitatively matching the law of iterated logarithm, valid for independent variables. This improves on corresponding results by Wintner, Erdős and Halász.

1. INTRODUCTION

In many problems of arithmetic nature, probabilistic models serve as heuristic support, sometimes leading to plain solutions. For instance, the link between the distribution of zeros of the Riemann ζ -function and random matrix theory has been extensively studied in recent years—see in particular Montgomery’s pioneer article [11], and Katz & Sarnak’s important monograph [9] for a more general theory.

It is well-known that equivalent forms of the Riemann hypothesis (RH) may be stated in terms of mean values of multiplicative functions. The latest result in this direction, due to Soundararajan [15], states that, if μ designates the Möbius function, RH holds if, and only if, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{x} e^{(\log x)^{1/2+\varepsilon}} \quad (x \geq 3),$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. The best known estimate to date in this direction is the Korobov–Vinogradov bound

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \ll x e^{-c_1 (\log x)^{3/5} (\log_2 x)^{-1/5}} \quad (x \geq 3),$$

where c_1 is a positive constant. Here and in the sequel, we let \log_k denote the k -fold iterated logarithm.

A probabilistic approach to this question is therefore of great interest. It has been stated by many authors that RH is almost always true. However such a statement heavily depends on the nature of the random model that is chosen to represent the Möbius function. If one selects random independent signs ε_n , then the desired bound follows from a well-known theorem of Khintchine and Kolmogorov according to which a series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon_n/n^{\sigma}$ is almost always convergent if, and only if, $\sigma > 1/2$. A more precise, and actually optimal, quantitative form is given by the law of iterated logarithm which provides the exact maximal order for $|\sum_{n \leq x} \varepsilon_n|$ i.e. $\sqrt{\{2 + o(1)\}x \log_2 x}$ —see for instance [14], p. 397.

However, as observed by Lévy [10], such a model provides only limited hint from an arithmetical viewpoint since ε_n does not depend on n in a multiplicative manner. This led Wintner [17] to consider a setting that avoids Lévy’s objection, thus laying the foundation for random multiplicative functions theory.

Date: June 30, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N37, (11K99, 60F15).

Key words and phrases. Random multiplicative functions, law of iterated logarithm, Riemann hypothesis, Möbius function, mean values of multiplicative functions, Rademacher functions.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let \mathcal{P} denote the set of primes, and let $\{f(p)\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables on Ω taking ± 1 both with probability $1/2$. For each positive integer n , we may define a random variable $f(n)$ on Ω by

$$(1.2) \quad f(n) := \mu(n)^2 \prod_{p|n} f(p).$$

Clearly, $n \mapsto f(n)$ is multiplicative, so $f(n)$ is a random multiplicative function. Of course, the probability that $f = \mu$ is zero, but it may be noticed that

$$d_n := \prod_{p|n} p^{(1-f(p))/2}$$

is a random squarefree divisor of n assuming each possible value with uniform probability $1/2^{\omega(n)}$, where $\omega(n)$ denotes the total number of distinct prime factors of n , and that

$$(1.3) \quad f(n) = \mu(d_n) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The quantity

$$M_f(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$$

thus measures the amount of cancellations arising from the multiplicative structure of the random function f . As a heuristic support for (1.1), Wintner [17] obtained the upper bound $M_f(x) \ll x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ almost surely and showed that $M_f(x) \ll x^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ is false almost surely, this latter property being shared by the Möbius function. He also noted that “the chasm between [the upper and lower bound] could perhaps be bridged by an arithmetical counterpart of Khintchine’s law of the iterated logarithm”.

Erdős (unpublished, see [5]) investigated in greater detail how the number-theoretic dependence among the $f(n)$ affects the magnitude of $M_f(x)$. He showed that the factors x^ε and $x^{-\varepsilon}$ may be replaced, still almost surely, by $(\log x)^{c_2}$ and $(\log x)^{-c_3}$ for some positive constants c_2 and c_3 .

Halász [7] made an important step forward by proving that, for suitable positive constants c_4, c_5 , we have almost surely

$$(1.4) \quad M_f(x) \ll \sqrt{x} e^{c_4 \sqrt{\log_2 x \log_3 x}}$$

while

$$(1.5) \quad M_f(x) \ll \sqrt{x} e^{-c_5 \sqrt{\log_2 x \log_3 x}}$$

is false almost surely.

In a very recent paper [8], Harper improved (1.5) to the assertion that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1.6) \quad M_f(x) \gg \sqrt{x}/(\log_2 x)^{5/2+\varepsilon}$$

holds almost surely for infinitely many integers x .

Of course, these estimates still fall short of any conjectural bound based on the law of the iterated logarithm, or on the belief that dependence actually reduces the expected size: see problem 26, due to Halász, in the appendix of Montgomery’s monograph [12], where it is asked whether the bound $M_f(x) \ll \sqrt{x}$ holds almost surely.

In this paper, our aim is to investigate how close one can get to optimality for an almost sure upper bound. Improving on Halász’ estimate (1.4), we show that a power of an iterated logarithm is valid, on a set of probability 1, as an upper bound for the slowly varying factor, and hence that the multiplicative structure does not disrupt statistical cancellations in a significant way. To decide whether it actually increases the amount of

cancellations remains an interesting open problem. However, this could only happen in a relatively narrow range, as shown by (1.6).

We start by setting up a slightly more general probabilistic model, in which the $f(n)$ may vanish. Let $\{f(p)\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a sequence of independent random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbb{P}(\{f(p) = 1\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{f(p) = -1\}) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_p, \quad \mathbb{P}(\{f(p) = 0\}) = 1 - \kappa_p$$

where $\kappa_p \in [0, 1]$ fulfils the following condition, where c is a positive constant,

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \kappa_p \log p = x + O(xe^{-2c\sqrt{\log x}}) \quad (x \geq 2).$$

We obtain a random multiplicative function $f(n)$ by (1.2). Selecting $\kappa_p = 1$ for all primes p , we recover Wintner's probabilistic model. With the choice $\kappa_p = p/(p+1)$, we obtain the probabilistic model for a real primitive Dirichlet character, as defined by Granville & Soundararajan [6]—see also [18]—with the slight difference that our f has support included in the set of squarefree integers.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have almost surely*

$$(1.9) \quad M_f(x) \ll \sqrt{x} (\log_2 x)^{3/2+\varepsilon}.$$

The special case $\kappa_p \equiv 1$ of Theorem 1.1 provides a significant improvement over the estimate (1.4). In particular, our bound now pertains to the scale predicted by the law of the iterated logarithm. In short, our result shows that random signs behave in comparable way whether or not a multiplicative structure is imposed.

Based on Halász' method, our upper bound is obtained by following Halász' suggestion [7] for removing the $\log_3 x$ from (1.4). With some specific, new refinements, we show that this idea leads to a much larger gain than expected—compare Lemma 3(ii) of [7] to Lemma 3.1 below.

It is also valuable to note, as did Erdős and Halász, that, in the case $\kappa_p = 1$, the $f(p)$ may be realized as Rademacher functions. Thus, all results in this theory find a natural interpretation in the theory of orthogonal series.

2. PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES

In the sequel of this work, we let c_j ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) denote suitable positive absolute constants.

Recall that $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of an integer n . For real, positive numbers m, u, v, y, z , we define

$$S_m = S_m(u, v; y, z) := \sum_{u < d \leq v}^{y, z} \mu(d)^2 m^{\omega(d)}$$

where the symbol $\sum^{y, z}$ indicates a sum restricted to integers all of whose prime factors belong to the interval $]y, z]$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\delta \in]0, 1[$. For $y \geq 3$, $m \geq 1$, $1 < u \leq v(1 - 1/y^{1-\delta})$, $y < z \leq y^2$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad S_m \ll \frac{(v-u)m}{\log y} \sum_{u/z < r \leq v/y}^{y, z} \frac{m^{\omega(r)}}{r}.$$

Proof. We may assume with no loss of generality that $v > y$ for S_m otherwise vanishes. Write $d = rp$ with $p := P^+(d)$. We plainly have

$$S_m \leq m \sum_{u/z < r \leq v/y}^{y,z} m^{\omega(r)} \sum_{u/r < p \leq v/r} 1.$$

Since $(v-u)/r \geq y(v-u)/v \geq y^\delta$, the Brun–Titchmarsh theorem implies that the inner sum is $\ll (v-u)/(r \log y)$. \square

The main aim of this section is to prove Lemma 2.3 below. For this we need to estimate moments, and so appeal to the following form of a result of Bonami [2], for which Halász provided an alternate proof — [7], Lemma 2.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(n)$ be defined by (1.7), (1.8) and (1.2). For $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{n \geq 1} a_j(n) f(n) \right) \right| \leq \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_j(n)|^2 \kappa(n)^{2/m} (m-1)^{\omega(n)} \right)^{1/2}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \kappa(n) = \mu(n)^2 \prod_{p|n} \kappa_p.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbb{E}(M_f(x)^2) \sim c_f x \quad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

with

$$c_f := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 + \kappa_p/p)(1 - 1/p),$$

and, uniformly for $v \geq u + 1 \geq 2$,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbb{E}(\{M_f(v) - M_f(u)\}^4) \ll v^{2/3}(v-u)^{4/3}(\log v)^{52/3}.$$

Proof. When $\kappa_p = 1$ for all p , the bound (2.2) follows immediately from the induction hypothesis appearing in the proof of Lemma 2 of [7]. Letting Q denote the set of integral squares and using an asterisk to indicate that a summation is restricted to squarefree integers, it may be written as

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{n_1 \geq 1}^* \cdots \sum_{n_m \geq 1}^* \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_j(n_j) \right| \leq \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{n \geq 1}^* |a_j(n)|^2 (m-1)^{\omega(n)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consider the general case. We have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \sum_{n \geq 1} a_j(n) f(n) \right) = \sum_{n_1 \geq 1}^* \cdots \sum_{n_m \geq 1}^* \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_j(n_j) \prod_{p|n_1 \cdots n_m} \kappa_p.$$

As $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, we plainly have $\prod_{p|n_1 \cdots n_m} \kappa_p \leq \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \kappa(n_j)^{1/m}$ for all squarefree n_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$), with equality if, and only if, $n_1 = \cdots = n_m$. Thus, the modulus of the left-hand side of (2.7) does not exceed

$$\leq \sum_{n_1 \geq 1}^* \cdots \sum_{n_m \geq 1}^* \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} |a_j(n_j)| \kappa(n_j)^{1/m}$$

and the bound (2.2) follows from (2.6).

Selecting $m = 2$ and $a_1(n) = a_2(n) = 1$ in (2.7), we get

$$\mathbb{E}(M_f(x)^2) = \sum_{n \leq x} \kappa(n).$$

The asymptotic formula (2.4) is hence an immediate consequence of a classical theorem on multiplicative function with values in $[0, 1]$ —see for instance [16], theorem I.3.12.

Finally, since $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, relation (2.2) with $m = 4$ and Hölder's inequality imply

$$\mathbb{E}(\{M_f(v) - M_f(u)\}^4) \leq \left(\sum_{u < n \leq v} 3^{\omega(n)} \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n \leq v} 27^{\omega(n)} \right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_{u < n \leq v} 1 \right)^{4/3}.$$

Therefore, the required bound (2.5) follows from the classical estimate

$$\sum_{n \leq v} 27^{\omega(n)} \ll v(\log v)^{26}. \quad \square$$

Remark. As mentioned in [7], Bonami [2] proved the following variant of (2.2)

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) f(n) \right|^m \leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} |a(n)|^2 (m-1)^{\omega(n)} \right)^{m/2}$$

in which $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ and m may assume any real value ≥ 2 . We shall not need such generalization in this work.

According to (2.4), the expected order of $M_f(x)$ is \sqrt{x} . The next lemma, essentially identical to Lemma 1 of [7] (and the proof of which we provide for mere convenience), shows that, almost surely, this quantity fluctuates moderately in appropriate short intervals: in other words, the problem of bounding $M_f(x)$ everywhere may be reduced to doing so at suitable test-points.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f(n)$ be defined by (1.7), (1.8) and (1.2). For any fixed constant $A > 0$, there is a suitable constant $c_6 = c_6(A) \in]0, 1[$ such that, for*

$$(2.9) \quad x_i := \lfloor e^{i^{c_6}} \rfloor \quad (i \geq 1),$$

we have almost surely

$$\max_{x_{i-1} < x \leq x_i} |M_f(x) - M_f(x_{i-1})| \ll_{A,f} \frac{\sqrt{x_i}}{(\log x_i)^A} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

Proof. Assume that

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{x_{i-1} < x \leq x_i} |M_f(x) - M_f(x_{i-1})| > 2\sqrt{x_i}/(\log x_i)^A$$

and that the maximum is attained at some integer

$$x = x_{i-1} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} 2^{\nu_j}$$

where $\{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^h \in \mathbb{N}^h$ and $\nu_1 > \dots > \nu_h \geq 0$. We split $[x_{i-1}, x]$ into a disjoint union of subintervals with limit points

$$u_k = x_{i-1} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} 2^{\nu_j} \quad (0 \leq k \leq h).$$

Thus, there exists a pair $\{u_k, u_{k+1}\}$ such that

$$(2.11) \quad |M_f(u_{k+1}) - M_f(u_k)| > \sqrt{x_i}/(\log x_i)^{A+1},$$

for the number of these subintervals does not exceed $1 + \{\log(x_i - x_{i-1})\} / \log 2 < 2 \log x_i$. Note that

$$(2.12) \quad u_k = x_{i-1} + (\ell - 1)2^m, \quad u_{k+1} = x_{i-1} + \ell 2^m,$$

with $\ell = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} 2^{\nu_j - \nu_k}$ and $m = \nu_k$.

Next, we bound the total probability of the occurrence of (2.11) when (2.12) holds for some ℓ and m : this clearly dominates the probability of (2.10).

By Markov's inequality for the fourth moment and (2.5), we may write

$$(2.13) \quad \mathbb{P}\left(|M_f(v) - M_f(u)| > \sqrt{x_i}/(\log x_i)^{A+1}\right) \ll \left(\frac{v-u}{x_i}\right)^{4/3} (\log x_i)^{4A+64/3}.$$

Let $u = x_{i-1} + (\ell - 1)2^m$ and $v = x_{i-1} + \ell 2^m$, where $\ell \geq 1$, $m \geq 0$ and $\ell 2^m \leq x_i - x_{i-1}$. Then by (2.13), the probability that (2.11) holds for some u_k, u_{k+1} of the form (2.12) is

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\ll \sum_{\ell 2^m \leq x_i - x_{i-1}} \sum \left(\frac{2^m}{x_i}\right)^{4/3} (\log x_i)^{4A+64/3} \\ &\ll (\log x_i)^{4A+64/3} \sum_{2^m \leq x_i - x_{i-1}} \left(\frac{2^m}{x_i}\right)^{4/3} \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{2^m} \\ &\ll \left(\frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{x_i}\right)^{4/3} (\log x_i)^{4A+64/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $c_6 := 1/(272 + 48A)$. As $(\log x_i)^{4A+64/3} \leq i^{(4A+64/3)c_6}$ and $(x_i - x_{i-1})/x_i \ll 1/i^{1-c_6}$, we deduce that (2.14) is $\ll i^{-5/4}$ and hence that the same bound holds for probability of the event (2.10). The proof is completed by the Borel-Cantelli lemma—see, e.g. [4], Theorem 4.2.1. \square

Remark. Chatterjee and Soundararajan ([3], Prop. 3.1) evaluate $\mathbb{E}(\{M_f(v) - M_f(u)\}^4)$ for short intervals $]u, v]$. However, inserting this estimate into the above proof would only yield to an improvement on the value of the constant c_6 , with no influence on the final exponent $3/2$ appearing in Theorem 1.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We first establish an average estimate improving significantly over the corresponding bound obtained by Halász—see [7], formula (2).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f(n)$ be defined by (1.7), (1.8) and (1.2) and let $\{x_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be given by (2.9). Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have almost surely*

$$\frac{1}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} M_f(x) dx \ll_{f,\varepsilon} \sqrt{x_i} (\log_2 x_i)^{3/2+\varepsilon} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

Proof. We show that large values of the integral occur with small probability and conclude by the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

We have $x_i = \lfloor e^{i c_6} \rfloor$. Given a large constant ℓ_0 , we put $X_\ell := e^{2^\ell}$ for $\ell \geq \ell_0$, so that $X_{\ell-1} = X_\ell^{1/2}$. We consider those x_i lying in $]X_{\ell-1}, X_\ell]$, and for $\alpha \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$, write

$$(3.1) \quad y_0 := \exp\left\{\frac{c_6 2^\ell}{4\ell}\right\}, \quad y_j = y_{j-1}^{e^\alpha} = y_0^{e^{\alpha j}} \quad (j \geq 1),$$

and observe that, if J is minimal under the constraint $y_J \geq X_\ell$, then

$$J \leq 1 + \frac{\log\{4\ell/c_6\}}{\alpha} \ll \frac{\log \ell}{\alpha}.$$

Employing the notation

$$\Psi_f(x, y) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} f(n) \quad (x \geq 0, y \geq 1),$$

we split the sum $M_f(x)$ according to the size of the largest prime factor $P(n)$ of the summation variable n . For $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(x) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y_0}} f(n) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} \sum_{y_{j-1} < d \leq x_i}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/d \\ P(m) \leq y_{j-1}}} f(dm) \\ &= \Psi_f(x, y_0) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} \sum_{y_{j-1} < d \leq x_i}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} f(d) \Psi_f(x/d, y_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\delta_i := x_i - x_{i-1}$, we express accordingly the integral to be bounded as follows:

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} M_f(x) dx = N_{i0}(f) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} N_{ij}(f)$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad N_{i0}(f) := \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \Psi_f(x, y_0) dx,$$

$$(3.4) \quad N_{ij}(f) := \sum_{y_{j-1} < d \leq x_i}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} b_{ij}(d; f) f(d) \quad (j \geq 1)$$

with

$$b_{ij}(d; f) := \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \Psi_f(x/d, y_{j-1}) dx.$$

We first establish an upper bound for the probability of the event

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_\ell(R) := \bigcup_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta_i} \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} M_f(x) dx \right| \geq 2\sqrt{x_i}R \right\}.$$

To this end, we define

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_0 &= \mathcal{B}_0(R; \ell) := \bigcup_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} \{ |N_{i0}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x_i}R \}, \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &= \mathcal{B}_1(R; \ell) := \bigcup_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} |N_{ij}(f)| \geq \sqrt{x_i}R \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{B}_1$, so

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_0) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_1).$$

We first estimate \mathcal{B}_1 . Following Halász, we consider the filtration $\{\mathcal{T}(y)\}_{y \geq 1}$ where $\mathcal{T}(y)$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by the variables $f(p)$ with $p \leq y$. Since $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, we may deduce from Lemma 2.2 that, for any integer $m \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{E}(|N_{ij}(f)|^{2m} \mid \mathcal{T}(y_{j-1})) \leq (x_i D_{ij}(f))^m,$$

where

$$D_{ij}(f) := \frac{1}{x_i} \sum_{y_{j-1} < d \leq x_i}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} b_{ij}(d; f)^2 \mu(d)^2 (2m-1)^{\omega(d)}.$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we see that

$$b_{ij}(d; f)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \Psi_f(x/d, y_{j-1})^2 dx \leq \frac{x_i}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}/d}^{x_i/d} \frac{\Psi_f(t, y_{j-1})^2}{t} dt$$

where we made the change of variable $t := x/d$ and used the inequality $d = x/t \leq x_i/t$. Therefore

$$(3.9) \quad D_{ij}(f) \leq D_{ij}^*(f) := \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_1^{x_i/y_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{i-1}/t < d \leq x_i/t}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} \mu(d)^2 (2m-1)^{\omega(d)} \frac{\Psi_f(t, y_{j-1})^2}{t} dt.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to the right-hand side of (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij}^*(f) &\ll \frac{1}{\log y_{j-1}} \sum_{r \leq X_\ell}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} \frac{(2m-1)^{\omega(r)+1}}{r} \int_{x_{i-1}/ry_j}^{x_i/ry_{j-1}} \frac{\Psi_f(t, y_{j-1})^2}{t^2} dt \\ &\ll \frac{m}{\log y_{j-1}} \int_1^{X_\ell/y_{j-1}} \sum_{x_{i-1}/ty_j < r \leq x_i/ty_{j-1}}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} \frac{(2m-1)^{\omega(r)} \Psi_f(t, y_{j-1})^2}{r t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we observe that, for $y < \min(z, w)$, $m \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{r \leq w}^{y, z} (2m-1)^{\omega(r)} \ll \sum_{s \leq w}^{y, z} (2m-2)^{\omega(s)} \sum_{d \leq w/s}^{y, w} 1 \ll \frac{w}{\log y} \prod_{y < p \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{2m-2}{p}\right),$$

from which we deduce by partial summation that

$$\sum_{x_{i-1}/ty_j < r \leq x_i/ty_{j-1}}^{y_{j-1}, y_j} \frac{(2m-1)^{\omega(r)}}{r} \ll \alpha e^{c_7 \alpha m}$$

provided $\alpha \gg 1/2^\ell \gg 1/\log y_0$. Writing

$$I_{j\ell} := \int_1^{X_\ell} \frac{\Psi_f(t, y_{j-1})^2}{t^2} dt,$$

we thus have

$$(3.11) \quad D_{ij}^*(f)^m \leq \left(\frac{c_8 \alpha m e^{c_7 \alpha m} I_{j\ell}}{\log y_{j-1}} \right)^m.$$

Let $T \geq 1$ be specified later. Defining the events

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{E}_j := \left\{ I_{j\ell} \leq T \log y_{j-1} \right\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq J), \quad \mathcal{E} := \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq J} \mathcal{E}_j,$$

we plainly have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{B}_1 \subset (\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{E}) \cup \overline{\mathcal{E}}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the classical estimate ([16], th. III.5.1)

$$\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ P(n) \leq y}} 1 \ll x^{1-1/(2 \log y)} \quad (x \geq y \geq 2),$$

we have $\mathbb{E}(I_{j\ell}) \ll \log y_{j-1}$, whence

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}_j) \ll \frac{1}{T}.$$

Moreover, since, as first noticed by Basquin [1], $\{I_{j\ell}\}_{j=0}^J$ is a submartingale with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}(y_j) : 1 \leq j \leq J\}$, we actually deduce from Doob's inequality (see, e.g., [13] Theorem II.1.7) that

$$(3.15) \quad \mathbb{P}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}) \ll \frac{\log \ell}{T}.$$

Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{2^r < \log y_{j-1} \leq 2^{r+1}} \frac{I_{j\ell}}{\log y_{j-1}} > T\right) \ll \frac{1}{T}$$

for each integer r such that $c_6 2^\ell / (4\ell \log 2) < 2^r \leq 2^\ell$. Since there are only $\ll \log \ell$ possible values of r , (3.15) follows.

Applying Hölder's inequality in the form

$$\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} |N_{ij}(f)|\right)^{2m} \leq J^{2m-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} |N_{ij}(f)|^{2m},$$

we derive from (3.6), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11) that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_1 | \mathcal{C}) \leq \sum_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq J} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|N_{ij}(f)|^{2m} | \mathcal{C}_j) J^{2m-1}}{(x_i R^2)^m} \\ &\ll 2^{\ell/c_6} \left(\frac{c_8 T J^2 \alpha m e^{c_7 \alpha m}}{R^2}\right)^m. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we bound $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_0)$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get, as before,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(N_{i0}(f)^2) &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \mathbb{E}(\Psi_f(x, y_0)^2) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta_i} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \Psi(x, y_0) dx \ll x_i e^{-(\log X_\ell)/(4 \log y_0)} = x_i 2^{-\ell/c_6} \end{aligned}$$

since $x_i \geq X_{\ell-1} = X_\ell^{1/2}$. By Markov's inequality, we then deduce that

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_0) &\leq \sum_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} \mathbb{P}(N_{i0}(f) \geq \sqrt{x_i} R) \\ &\leq \sum_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} \frac{\mathbb{E}(N_{i0}(f)^2)}{(\sqrt{x_i} R)^2} \ll \frac{2^{-\ell/c_6}}{R^2} \sum_{X_{\ell-1} < x_i \leq X_\ell} 1 \ll \frac{1}{R^2}, \end{aligned}$$

by our choice for x_i and X_ℓ .

Collecting our estimates (3.13), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17) and inserting back into (3.7), we get

$$(3.18) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \ll \frac{1}{R^2} + 2^{\ell/c_6} \left(\frac{c_8 T J^2 \alpha m e^{c_7 \alpha m}}{R^2}\right)^m + \frac{\log \ell}{T}.$$

Selecting

$$T = \ell^{1+\varepsilon/2}, \quad R := \ell^{3/2+\varepsilon}, \quad \alpha := 1/\ell, \quad m := \ell,$$

so that $J \ll \ell \log \ell$, we conclude that

$$(3.19) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \ll_\varepsilon \frac{\log \ell}{\ell^{1+\varepsilon/2}}.$$

Thus, the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\limsup_{\ell \geq 1} \mathcal{A}_\ell(R)\right) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. From the identity

$$\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} M_f(t) dt = \delta_i M_f(x) - \delta_i \{M_f(x) - M_f(x_{i-1})\} + \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \{M_f(t) - M_f(x_{i-1})\} dt,$$

we deduce that, for all $i \geq 1$ and all $x \in]x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we have

$$|M_f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta_i} \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} M_f(t) dt \right| + 2 \max_{x_{i-1} < t \leq x_i} |M_f(t) - M_f(x_{i-1})|.$$

Write

$$E_\ell := \left\{ \sup_{X_{\ell-1} < x \leq X_\ell} \frac{|M_f(x)|}{\sqrt{x} (\log_2 x)^{3/2+\varepsilon}} > 4 \right\}.$$

From the above upper bound, Lemma 2.3 with $A = 1$, and (3.19), we have

$$\mathbb{P}(E_\ell) \ll (\log \ell) / \ell^{1+\varepsilon/2}.$$

It hence follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma that $\mathbb{P}(\limsup_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} E_\ell) = 0$, as required.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Basquin, Sommes friables de fonctions multiplicatives aléatoires, prépublication.
- [2] A. Bonami, Étude des coefficients de Fourier des fonctions de $L^p(G)$, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, Grenoble **20**, 2 (1970), 335–402.
- [3] S. Chatterjee & K. Soundararajan, Random multiplicative functions in short intervals, preprint, ArXiv:1011.4917v1 (22 Nov. 2010).
- [4] K.L. Chung, *A course in probability theory*, Harcourt, Brace & World, INC, New York/Chicago/San Francisco/Atlanta, 1968.
- [5] P. Erdős, Some unsolved problems, *Magyar Tu. Akad. Mat. Kut. Int. Közél.* **6** (1961), 211–254.
- [6] A. Granville & K. Soundararajan, The distribution of values of $L(1, \chi_d)$, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **13** (2003), no. 5, 992–1028.
- [7] G. Halász, On random multiplicative functions, *Publ. Math. Orsay*, 83-4 (1983), 74–96.
- [8] A.J. Harper, Bounds on the suprema of Gaussian processes, and omega results for the sum of a random multiplicative function, preprint, arXiv:1012.0210.
- [9] N.M. Katz & P. Sarnak, *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, **45**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. xii+419 pp.
- [10] P. Lévy, Sur les séries dont les termes sont des variables éventuelles indépendantes, *Studia Math.* **3** (1931), 119–155.
- [11] H.L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the zeta function, in: *Analytic number theory* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXIV, St. Louis Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1972), pp. 181–193, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [12] H.L. Montgomery, *Ten lectures on the interface between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis*, CBMS **84**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [13] D. Revuz & M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion* (Third ed.), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 293, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [14] A.N. Shiryayev, *Probability*, Second edition, Graduate Text in Mathematics **95**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [15] K. Soundararajan, Partial sums of the Möbius function, *J. reine angew. Math.* **631** (2009), 141–152.
- [16] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres*, coll. Échelles, Belin, 2008.
- [17] A. Wintner, Random factorizations and Riemann’s hypothesis, *Duke Math. J.* **11** (1944), 267–275.
- [18] J. Wu, Note on a paper by Granville and Soundararajan, *J. Number Theory* **123** (2007), 329–351.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM ROAD, HONG KONG
E-mail address: yklau@maths.hku.hk

INSTITUT ÉLIE CARTAN NANCY, NANCY-UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS & INRIA, 54506 VANDŒUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE

E-mail address: gerald.tenenbaum@iecn.u-nancy.fr

E-mail address: wujie@iecn.u-nancy.fr